A Mashup of Techniques to Create Reference Architectures

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Rick Kazman, John McGregor

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

© 2012 Carnegie Mellon University

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.						
1. REPORT DATE MAY 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2012	RED 2 <b>to 00-00-2012</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT 1	NUMBER			
A Mashup of Tech	es	5b. GRANT NUMBER				
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Carnegie Mellon University,Software Engineering Institute,Pittsburgh,PA,15213				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITO		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)				
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited						
<sup>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</sup> SEI Architecture Technology User Network Conference (SATURN 2012), May 7-11, 2012, St Petersburg, FL.						
<ul> <li>14. ABSTRACT</li> <li>A reference architecture is a complex and high-risk artifact. It is essential to the successful definition and management of a set of architectures that share common attributes and assets. You simply cannot afford to get it wrong, but there are few techniques that have been specifically aimed at defining reference architectures. We have developed a mashup of existing techniques that supports an architecture team in organizing the inputs required to create a robust reference architecture. This mashup takes advantage of several proven, widely used architecture methods. This approach allows the architecture-definition team to incrementally define the appropriate abstractions and patterns and to organize the information so that it is accessible and actionable. The resulting technique has been applied to a large development effort aimed at creating a family of embedded computing architectures and applications for the DoD. The mashup is proving to be effective at focusing the team and giving them practical and proven tools for coordinating their work and making meaningful progress.</li> </ul>						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	22		

Copyright 2012 Carnegie Mellon University.

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0003 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense.

#### NO WARRANTY

THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution except as restricted below.

Internal use:\* Permission to reproduce this material and to prepare derivative works from this material for internal use is granted, provided the copyright and "No Warranty" statements are included with all reproductions and derivative works.

External use:\* This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other external and/or commercial use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

\*These restrictions do not apply to U.S. government entities.



e Carnegie Mellon

#### **The Context**

Our client wanted to create a *reference architecture* to enable largescale strategic reuse.

A major long-term effort in which assets are to be produced by a central team and used by distributed teams.

The distributed teams are independent of the central team.

This was a cultural as well as a SE challenge.



**Carnegie Mellon** 

#### **The Problem**

The client needs an overarching architectural framework if the project is to be successful

- a set of standards, technologies, ...
- more importantly a set of *rules* and *architectural approaches*.

Without the rules and architectural approaches the natural tendency with large numbers of quasi-independent stakeholders, each with their own goals, budgets, and schedules—is towards anarchy.



te Carnegie Mellon

## **The Techniques**

We created a mashup of existing SEI architecture methods to begin address their goal of creating a reference architecture:

- QAW
- ADD
- Ecosystem modeling
- Reference Architecture
- Reference Architecture Documentation
- Continuous ATAM

ADD and Architecture Definition had to be adjusted to produce a reference architecture.

This required extensive mentoring.



#### Scope

The scope of the development planned by the various teams limits the applicability of the reference architecture.

In our case the scope was broadly stated in an ecosystem model.

Our reference architecture needed to address the requirements of all products in the ecosystem.



**Carnegie Mellon** 

#### **Ecosystem Modeling**

For an ultra-large-scale system—a socio-technical ecosystem—to grow and flourish, it needs to enable creativity while minimally restricting developers and users.

The internet accomplishes this by only specifying interconnectivity standards, primarily protocols (e.g. IP). But the internet has no "goal".

Commercial ecosystems accomplish this by providing a "platform" on which individual applications are built and deployed, e.g. iPhone, Android, Facebook, Eclipse, etc.

Our program is establishing an ecosystem around a centrally provided platform and a set of reusable assets.



#### **Software Ecosystems**

A software ecosystem has a "hub," which provides a platform. In our case the core asset team will provide an platform consisting of an asset base and runtime environment.

Programs of Interest will obtain resources from, and contribute resources to, the ecosystem.

The organization at the hub can analyze the relations in the ecosystem and develop strategies that enhance ecosystem health.

Software ecosystems such as surrounding the open source Eclipse Foundation and that surrounding the commercial Microsoft use different governance models. Our client's ecosystem has strict hierarchical control which can make ensuring architectural conformance easier.



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

#### **Strategic Ecosystem Modeling for Decision Making**

Each development team is the hub of a cluster of consumers and suppliers and will foster competitors and alternatives.

There may be overlaps where organization can be both producers and consumers of each others assets and products.

Strategic modeling is used to understand the ecosystem and to guide decisions to enhance that ecosystem.



e Carnegie Mellon

# **Quality Attributes**

For a reference architecture the quality attribute requirements have to be defined

- in a sufficiently broad manner to address the complete range of products to be covered by the architecture or
- in a narrowly focused manner in each of several configurations that address specific markets

We identified a few system categories that affected quality attributes:

- desktop
- mobile
- airborne

For example, the testability and security requirements of each of these will differ.



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

## **Inputs/Constraints**

Inputs and constraints came from both general software engineering techniques and problem-specific information

- General
  - ADD technique definition
  - RUP definition
  - Documenting Software Architectures book
  - ISO/IEC/IEEEFDIS 42010
- Specific
  - QAW outputs, primarily quality attribute scenarios
  - Additional scenarios developed through white papers
  - Architectures from similar systems
  - Ecosystem model for the program



Software Engineering Institute

SATURN 2012 Kazman, McGregor © 2012 Carnegie Mellon University

**Carnegie Mellon** 

#### 12

## **Modifications to ADD**

The emphasis of ADD becomes more "conceptual".

The "elements" in the ADD description were described more abstractly. Quality attributes—but not specific quality goals—were identified.

Architecture patterns were described but not concretely instantiated.

Documentation notation (UML) was used: architecture elements are abstractions but crisply defined abstractions rather than vague notions.



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

# **Outputs from ADD**

The output of ADD is a system design in terms of the roles, responsibilities, properties, and relationships among software elements.

- software element: a computational or developmental concept that fulfills roles and responsibilities, has defined properties, and relates to other software elements to compose the system architecture
- role: a set of related responsibilities
- *responsibility*: the functionality, data, or information that a software element provides
- *property*: additional information about a software element such as name, type, quality attribute characteristic, protocol, and so on
- *relationship*: a definition of how two software elements are associated with or interact with one another

# "n" Week Review Cycle

We used ADD in an iterative, incremental manner

For each iteration:

- Assign a set of requirements
- Architecture sub-team:
  - designs a solution
  - documents it
  - presents it in a review following the peer review process
- Risks, sensitivities, tradeoffs and issues are analyzed and collected
- Revisions are planned
- Here we go again

Based on F. Bachmann's "Give the Stakeholders What They Want:

Design Peer Reviews the ATAM Style", Crosstalk

# A Starting Point for a Reference Architecture

The client has adopted the Eclipse Platform as the basis for a set of development environment products:

- It might release all of the SDK under the Eclipse Public License (EPL) setting up an environment where the client could freely provide the SDK to all teams.
- A new license could be established that restricts certain activities and gives client greater control. Paths through the ecosystem can be examined for license compatibility.

To ensure that the identified product qualities are actually achieved, information needs to be propagated through the ecosystem. Suppliers, whose products negatively impact quality, need to be notified of required quality levels.

The ecosystem can be the basis for organizational qualities such as performance or productivity.



# Accomplishments

Two initial releases of the reference architecture have been made.

Two primary foci

- the basic environment Eclipse Platform plus
- communication buses 2 buses plus gateways to link instances of both

Overarching risks have been identified

- if the scope is too narrowly defined there is a risk the architecture will be inadequate
- if the concepts in the reference architecture are too concrete the architecture may not be sufficiently flexible

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

# Using UML

Even though the definitions in the reference architecture are conceptual they still need to be precise.

The team began UML training to provide clear, concise abstractions.





**Software Engineering Institute** CarnegieMellon

## **Dual Purpose**

Using Eclipse to develop the architecture description had the additional benefit of getting the architects familiar with the Eclipse IDE.





Software Engineering Institute | CarnegieMellon

## **Results and Observations - Challenges**

Architects gave too much detail – implementation versus architecture.

Constantly got wrapped up in functionality and forgot QAs until reminded.

They wanted strategic reuse but they were operating tactically; they failed to see the ecosystem as charting strategic directions.

Reference architecture is a long-term strategy and the team continued with a short-term view.

Explaining the value of strategy to tactical engineers is difficult.



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

## **Result and Observations - Wins**

They have existing architectures and deep experience. But they were very focused on their own legacy and details.

Now teams are beginning to focus on architectural concepts; amount of "useless" (i.e. implementation, short-term focused) material generated has decreased.

They have begun to use architecture concepts as vocabulary, e.g. using a "gateway pattern" to generalize different bus protocols.

Starting to realize what should go into the architecture documentation.

Moving toward the goal of a single reference architecture.



#### Conclusions

Creating a reference architecture is hard.

This required experienced architects to think differently! None of them had ever described or even used a reference architecture before.

To meet this challenge we had to tailor and combine a number of existing architecture creation, analysis, and description methods.

Early results from this mashup are promising.



Carnegie Mellon