AD

Award Number: W81XWH-11-1-0534

TITLE: RNAi Mediated Silencing of LRRK2G2019S in Parkinson's Disease

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Howard J. Federoff, MD, PhD

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Georgetown University Medical Center Washington DC 20057-2197

REPORT DATE: August 2013

TYPE OF REPORT: Final Option Year 1

## PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

## DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

		Form Approved
REPORT DO	CUMENTATION PAGE	OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is er data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headqu 4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding a valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN Y</b>	stimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions f information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect or arters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 12 ny other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to com <b>DIR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS</b>	s, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the f this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing 15 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- ply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently
1 REPORT DATE	2 REPORT TYPE	3 DATES COVERED
$OE^* \bullet OP013$	Øä æhÁll di } ÁŸ^æhÁF	15. July 2012-14. July 2013
4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a CONTRACT NUMBER
		W81XWH-11-1-0534
RNAI Mediated Silencing of LRRK	2G2019S in Parkinson's Disease	
		VV01XVVII-11-1-0554
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S) Howard J. Federoff, MD, PhD		5d. PROJECT NUMBER
Liang Huang, PhD		5e. TASK NUMBER
Xiaomin Su PhD		
E-Mail: hif8@georgetown.edu: lh629@	georgetown.edu, xs37@georgetown.edu	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
<b>, , , , , , , , , ,</b>	<u></u>	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
		NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S Georgetown University Medic	5) AND ADDRESS(ES) cal Center	
Washington DC 20057		
2107		
2197		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	
LLS Army Medical Beasarch and M		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
U.S. Alliny Weuldal Research and W		
FOIL Dellick, Maryland 21702-5012		
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
		NUMBER(S)
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATE Approved for Public Release; Distrib	EMENT Dution Unlimited	
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> This proposal will utilize RNA interfe G2019S-mediated neurotoxicity to esta Inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2 and G2019S expression using shRNA technology. During this award period w small interfering RNAs in a cell line (M	erence technology to diminish LRRK2 kinase activity blish a novel therapy for PD. To achieve this objective 019S expression using small interfering RNAs (siRNA technology and 3. <i>In vivo</i> inhibition of wild-type LR we completed <b>Technical Objective 1:</b> Inhibition of wild (N9D) with LRRK2 or G2019S overexpression to atter	in both cell culture and animal models of we proposed the following Specific Aims: 1. .), 2. <i>In vitro</i> inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 RK2 and G2019S expression using shRNA l-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using muate the expression of wild-type and mutant

LRRK2, cell death and neurite extension. We have completed this TO. In addition we made progress on **Technical Objective 2**: *In vitro* inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using shRNA technology. We have designed and expressed shRNAs that target LRRK2 or G2019S, cloned them into viral vector expression vectors and initiated the efficacy testing *in vitro*. Lastly, we initiated work on **Technical Objective 3**: *In vivo* inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using shRNA technology. We have constructed and expressed lentivirus with expression of WT and G2019S LRRK2 and rAAV expressing shRNAs.

#### 15. SUBJECT TERMS

RNA interference; Parkinson's disease therapy; LRRK2

16. SECURITY CLAS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	UU		<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER</b> (include area code)

# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Introduction	2
Body	2–6
Key Research Accomplishments	6
Reportable Outcomes	6-7
Conclusion	7
References	7
Appendices	7

#### INTRODUCTION

PD is the second most common neurodegenerative disease with a prevalence ~1.5 million people in the United States. Two forms, sporadic and familial, are recognized with the sporadic form accounting for about 90% of cases. Of the six characterized familial PD genes only one, LRRK2, is prevalent in sporadic cases [1, 2]. LRRK2 mutations account for approximately 3% of familial PD; but interestingly, certain mutations in LRRK2 are also found in non-familial PD. Overall, LRRK2 mutations account for as much as 7-10% of all PD cases worldwide [3, 4]. The most frequent mutation in LRRK2 occurs at position 2019, where a glycine has been changed to a serine (G2019S) [5]. The G2019S mutation lies within the kinase domain of LRRK2 and results in upregulated kinase activity, causing a dominant gain-of-function. Additionally, mutation of the LRRK2 kinase domain (kinase dead mutants) diminishes neurotoxicity and basal kinase levels appear to be required for the toxicity of all LRRK2 mutants [6]. As the G2019S mutation accounts for both familial and non-familial forms, efforts to develop a therapeutic that inhibits LRRK2 kinase activity warrant investigation. In this application we proposed to utilize RNA interference technology to diminish LRRK2 in both cell culture and animal models of G2019S-mediated neurotoxicity to establish a novel therapy for PD. To this end we proposed the following Specific Aims: 1. Inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using small interfering RNAs (siRNA); 2. In vitro inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using shRNA technology; 3. In vivo inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using shRNA technology.

## BODY

In **YEAR 1**, we have accomplished <u>TO1 Inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using small interfering RNAs (siRNA)</u>. Specifically, we have established MN9DG2019S and MN9DLRRK2 doxycycline-inducible cell lines; characterized the cell lines quantifying cell death and neurite extension changes with LRRK2 overexpression; identified an RNAi that attenuates LRRK2 mRNA and protein expression; identified an RNAi that attenuates LRRK2 mRNA and protein expression; identified an RNAi that attenuates G2019S-mediated neurite extension pathology; demonstrated that G2019S-shRNA attenuates G2019S expression but not wild-type LRRK2 expression; and subcloned and expressed shRNAs in lentivirus and rAAV. We proposed the following technical objective for **YEAR 1 & YEAR 2**: <u>TO2 In vitro</u> inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using shRNA technology. To achieve this goal, we first evaluate the inhibition of LRRK2 expression in previously established MN9DG2019S and MN9DLRRK2 cells using lentivirus vectors that express shRNA p4 [7] or Scr shRNA (Control) (**Figure 1**). As shown in Figure 1, shRNAp4 but not Scr shRNA expression significantly decreased LRRK2 G2019S but not wild type LRRK2 mRNA and protein levels in both undifferentiated and differentiated MN9D cells. These results demonstrated efficient and specific inhibition of LRRK2 G2019S expression by shRNAp4 *in vitro*.



differentiated MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells. Only the shRNAp4 exhibited significant decrease in LRRK2 mRNA level (\*\*p<0.01, One-way ANOVA). Cell differentiation was induced by treating MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells with 2mM sodium butyrate for 6

days before transduction. Cells were then processed in the same way as undifferentiated cells. Similar to undifferentiated cells, only the shRNA p4 exhibited significant decrease in LRRK2 mRNA level (\*\*p<0.01 One-way ANOVA ). (B) The shRNAp4 did not inhibit wild type LRRK2 expression. No significant difference in LRRK2 mRNA level was observed in these experimental groups. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean and represent three independent experiments. (C) Western blot analysis showing a decrease of LRRK2 G2019S protein in Lenti-shRNAp4 transduced MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells. Blots were probed for LRRK2 expression using a mouse monoclonal anti-human LRRK2 antibody (1:1,000 dilution; NeuroMab, Cat. #75-253).  $\beta$ -actin is used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin were shown at the bottom of the western blot. (D) Western blot analysis used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin is used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin is used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin is used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin is used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin is used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin were shown at the bottom of the western blot. (D) Western blot analysis used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin were shown at the bottom of the western blot.

The originally proposed outcome measurement for shRNA efficacy was decreased cell death. However, as we reported in the previous annual report, we did not observe consistently increased cell death following overexpression of either G2019S or WT LRRK2 in vitro, which precluded the use of this assay to quantify shRNA efficacy. We have hence used an alternative measure of G2019S-mediated pathophysiology by examine the neurite extension of sodium butyrate differentiate MN9D cells since LRRK2 G2019S overexpression induced a robust shortening of neurite extension. Transduction with lentiviral shRNAp4 but not the Scr shRNA reversed the neuritic shortening in DOX induced, sodium butyrate differentiated MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells (**Figure 2**).



Figure 2. Neurite length shortening is reversed in MN9D<sub>G2019S</sub> cells following lenti-shRNAp4 transduction. MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells were plated on PEI coated 12-mm coverslips in a 24-well plate and differentiated with 2mM sodium butyrate for 6 days. Forty-eight hours before transduction, DOX [250ng/mL] was added to the cells to induce LRRK2 G2019S expression. Seventy-two hours after transduction, cells were fixed and immunocytochemically stained for βtubulin. For each coverslip, pictures of 8 fields were taken and lengths of all neurites were measured using Nikon NIS Elements software. MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> without DOX induction (No Dox) was used as a control. \*\*p<0.01 vs. control; One-way ANOVA.

Phosphorylation at Serine 910 and Serine 935 of LRRK has been implicated in LRRK2 G2019S pathology. We showed that shRNAp4 expression not only decreased total LRRK2 G2019S protein levels, but also decreased Serine 910 and Serine 935 phosphorylated LRRK2 levels (**Figure 3A**). Serine 910 and Serine 935 Phosphorylated LRRK2 wild-type proteins are not affected by shRNAp4 expression (**Figure 3B**).



Figure 3. The shRNAp4 decreased Serine 910 and Serine 935 phosphorylated LRRK2 G2019S proteins. (A) Western blot showing decreases of LRRK2 phosphorylation at amino acids 910 and 935 in lentishRNAp4 transduced MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells but not in (B) MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells. Blots were probed with anti-LRRK2 p-S910 Rabbit antibody monoclonal (1:1000,Epticomics #5098-1) or anti-LRRK2 p-S935 Rabbit monoclonal antibody (1:1000, Epticomics #5099-1).

The above results are included in a manuscript entitled "Development of inducible leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) cell lines for therapeutics development in Parkinson's disease", which has been accepted for publication in *Neurotherapeutics* in July 2013.

We next evaluated the efficiency of shRNAp4-mediated inhibition of LRRK2 G2019S expression using human PD patient fibroblasts. The LRRK2 G2019S mutant PD patient cells and normal human fibroblast Cells are originally from Coriell Institute Cell Repositories. Transient or stable expression of shRNA p4 but not Scr shRNA diminished LRRK2 G2019S protein levels in human fibroblasts in an allele specific manner (**Figure 4 and Figure 5B**).



**Figure 4. The shRNAp4 can specifically knockdown LRRK2 G2019S expression in human PD patient fibroblast cells.** Normal human fibroblasts (HP cells) **(A)** or LRRK2 G2019S mutant PD patient fibroblasts (PD cells) **(B)** cells were transduced with Lentivirus expressing shRNAp4 or Scr shRNA. Cells were harvested 72 hours after transduction for Western blot analysis. Blots were probed with mouse monoclonal anti-human LRRK2 antibody (1:1,000 dilution; NeuroMab, Cat. #75-253).

To access the possible off-target effect of shRNA p4, we established stably transduced LRRK2 G2019S mutant PD patient cells expressing shRNA p4 or Scr shRNA (**Figure 5A**). The PD-shRNAp4 cells have a consistent lower level of LRRK2 than the PD cells and PD-Scr shRNA cells (**Figure 5B**). The gene expression profiles of the PD parental cells, PD-shRNAp4 cells, and PD-Scr shRNA cells were compared using Affymetrix microarray assays. Our data revealed no significant difference in gene expression profiles between PD-shRNAp4 and PD-Scr shRNA cells (**Figure 5C**).



**Figure 5.** Accessing shRNAp4 off-targets by Affymetrix microarray analysis. (A) The pGreenPuro-shRNAp4 or pGreenPuro-Scr shRNA constructs that express both shRNA and GFP were delivered into PD cells by lentivirus. Stably transduced cells were selected by puromycin resistance and GFP expression. (B) Western blot analysis of LRRK2 expression in PD-shRNAp4, PD-Scr shRNA and the parental PD cells. (C) Stably transduced PD cells and the parental PD cells were harvest for total RNA. For each cell line, four replicate experiments were performed. Limma-Venn Diagram shows no significant difference between PD-shRNAp4 (PD1P4) and PD-Scr shRNA (PD1Scr) gene expressions.

We continued to work on <u>TO3 *In vivo* inhibition of wild-type LRRK2 and G2019S expression using shRNA</u> <u>technology</u>. Our objective is to utilize the most effective shRNA from TO2 and rAAV viral vector technology to express this shRNA *in vivo*. We have completed the production of rAAV-shRNAp4/GFP, shRNAscr/GFP, shRNAp4/RFP, and shRNAscr/RFP. With an improved rAAV production protocol, we are now able to produce rAAV with a viral titer as high as 10<sup>13</sup> vg/mL, which should guarantee efficient delivery of our shRNA constructs. We next tested rAAV delivery of shRNA constructs *in vivo* (**Figure 6**).



Figure 6. The rAAV-shRNAp4/GFP expression in the striatum of mouse brain. C57BL6 mouse received 5  $\mu$ l of rAAV-shRNAp4/GFP stereotaxic brain injection at right striatum (posterior to bregma 0.86mm, lateral to midline 1.8mm, ventral to surface of skull 3.5mm). Seven days after injection, the animals were perfused and the brains were sectioned coronally on a

freezing sliding microtome at 40  $\mu$ m through the entire brain and the sections were stored in glycol anti-freeze solution at -20°C till further processing. Immunohistochemistry was performed on free-floating sections. Every 12<sup>th</sup> brain section was chosen for NeuN and DAPI staining. (**A**) Overall view of a brain section showing the distribution of the rAAV expression. (**B**) Co-focal microscopy image detailing rAAV expression at cellular level.

As we originally proposed, we are in the process of developing rodent models that expresses human LRRK2 G2019S to test the efficiency of shRNAp4 in vivo. We plan to use two rodent models; the first one is a Lentiviral-mediated human LRRK2 expression on a rat LRRK2 knockout background, the second is a BAC human LRRK2 transgenic rat model also on a LRRK2 knockout background. In the first model, we decided to co-deliver human LRRK2 G2019S/GFP expressing lentivirus construct and shRNA/RFP expressing rAAV construct into LRRK2 knockout rats. To achieve this goal, we constructed C-terminal 3XFLAG tagged Nterminal truncated LRRK2 G2019S (dNLRRK2 G2019S, amino acids 1328 - 2527) lentivirus constructs to improve LRRK2 G2019S expression. This truncated protein retained the C-terminal ROC, COR, Kinase, and WD40 domains of LRRK2 [8]. Since there is no reliable LRRK2 antibody currently for immunohistochemistry (IHC), the 3XFLAG tag allows us to directly detect LRRK2 expressed in vivo via IHC. To achieve optimal expression of dNLRRK2 G2019S in neuronal cells in vivo, we replaced the original cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter on the lentiviral vector with human phosphoglycerate kinase (hPGK) promoter or with human neuronspecific enolase (hNSE) promoter, which expresses more efficiently in neurons [9, 10]. We have tested the lentivirus vectors for dNLRRK2 G2019S expression in human 293T cells and observed robust dNLRRK2 G2019S levels in hPGK-dNLRRK2 vector transfected cells with both anti-FLAG antibody and anti-LRRK2 antibody (Figure 7). The hNSE-dNLRRK2 vector did not express in human 293T cells owing to the use of the neuronal specific NSE promoter. Lentiviruses carrying these vectors have been produced. We are currently in the process of examining dNLRRK2 expression in mouse and rat models.



Figure 7. Evaluation of dNLRRK2 G2019S expression vectors with different promoters in human 293T cells. Human 293T cells are seeded in 12-well plates at 2.5X10<sup>5</sup> cells/well. Cells were transfected with plasmids pCDH-CMV-dNLRRK2G2019S, pCDH-hNSE-dNLRRK2G2019S and pCDH-hPGK-dNLRRK2GS. Forty-eight hours after transfection, Cells were harvested with RIPA buffer and ran on a 4-12% PAGE gel for Western blot analysis. Blots were probed with anti-FLAG (1:1000, Sigma), anti-LRRK2 (1:1000, NeuroMab), and anti- $\beta$ -Actin (1:2000, Abcam) antibodies.

As a proof of concept, we tested the co-transduction of rAAV and lentivirus in human HT1080 cells. As shown in **Figure 8A** (bottom right panel), we were able to locate cells that expressing both shRNAp4 and dNLRRK2 G2019S with the RFP and GFP markers located on the vectors. Co-transduction of rAAV-shRNAp4 with lentidNLRRK2 was able to reduce dNLRRK2 G2019S protein levels (**Figure 8B**, lentivirus:rAAV ratio at 1:20).





We recently acquired hBACLRRK2G2019S rats (hemizygous; background: Sprague Dawley) from the Oxford Parkinson's Disease Centre (OPDC). hBAC trangenic rats carry the G2019S mutant form of the LRRK2 genomic locus tagged with a fluorescent marker. The lines show robust expression of LRRK2 with a correct spatial pattern identified by direct fluorescence imaging and immunohistochemistry (**Figure 9**). We will use these animals as an additional model to investigate the efficiency of shRNAp4 *in vivo* 



Figure 9. Construction and characterization of human BAC transgenic rats carrying the LRRK2 G2019s allele (obtained from OPDC). Characterisation of novel BAC transgenic rat lines expressing wild-type or mutant forms of LRRK2. (A) A BAC clone carrying the complete genomic locus of LRRK2 was modified to incorporate a 5' YPet fluorescent tag to express a YPet-LRRK2 fusion protein. The BACs express either the wild-type protein, or were engineered to express the G2019S or R1441C disease-associated mutation. (B) Transgenic rat lines express the LRRK2 transgene robustly as detected by either anti-GFP or anti-LRRK2 antibodies on western blot. (C) LRRK2-YPet expression is visible in transgenic rats by direct fluoresence imaging in cortical neurons. (D) Dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra of transgenic rats express LRRK2, TH and alphasynuclein by immunohistochemistry.

In conclusion, we have published our data from TO1 and are currently preparing a manuscript that

includes the data from TO2. We have met the objectives for YEAR 2. Furthermore, we are in standing to complete our goals for YEAR 3.

# **KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

- Demonstrated efficient and specific inhibition of LRRK2 G2019S expression by shRNA p4 in MN9D cells and human PD patient fibroblasts.
- Demonstrated that Inhibition of LRRK2 G2019S expression by shRNA p4 rescued the neurite extension shortening in Dox-induced MN9D G2019S cells.
- Showed that shRNA p4 also reduced the levels of LRRK2 Serine 910 and Serine 935 phosphorylation.
- Evaluated the possible off-target effects of shRNA p4 using Affymetrix microarray assays and showed no obvious off-targets.
- Constructed lentiviral truncate LRRK2 G2019S vectors with neuron specific promoters.
- Examined the efficiency of LRRK2 inhibition *in vitro* with co-transduced rAAV-shRNAp4 and LentidNLRRKG2019S.
- Produced high quality rAAV-shRNA vectors and truncate LRRK2 G2019S lentivirus for *in vivo* study.

# **REPORTABLE OUTCOMES**

## 1. Abstracts

Huang L, Su X, Wang J, Maguire-Zeiss K, and Federoff HJ. (2013), RNA Interference-Based Gene Therapy for Parkinson's Disease Using shRNA That Specifically Targeting the LRRK2 G2019S Allele. Mol. Ther. 21:S176. 16<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the American Society of Gene & Cell Therapy, May 15-18, 2013, Salt Lake City, Utah.

## 2. Publications

Huang L, Shimoji M, Wang J, Shah S, Kamila S, Biehl ER, Lim S, Chang A, Maguire-Zeiss KA, Su X, and Federoff HJ, (Accepted, *Neurotherapeutics*), Development of Inducible Leucine-Rich Repeat Kinase 2 (LRRK2) Cell Lines for Therapeutics Development in Parkinson's Disease.

## 3. Manuscript under preparation

Huang L, Su X, Wang J, Maguire-Zeiss K, and Federoff HJ. "Allelle specific targeting of LRRK2 G2019S with shRNA-induced RNA interference as a potential therapeutics for Parkinson's disease".

#### 4. Development of cell lines

Stably transduced LRRK2 G2019S PD patient fibroblasts that express shRNA p4 or Scr shRNA.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have made substantial progress on this project. We have published our data generated in Year 1 in the journal *Neurotherapeutics*. We met the technical objectives for TO2 as we originally outlined for **Year 2** of this project. We have shown, in concept, that our system is valid to evaluate the shRNAp4 in animal models. We have made important progress to establish a LRRK2 G2019S rodent model for this purpose. **"so what section"**: The work presented here demonstrated that the shRNAp4 can attenuate LRRK2 G2019S in mouse and, importantly, human PD patient cells. In addition, our preliminary work showed robust expression of the rAAV-shRNAp4 vectors *in vivo*. Work in Year 3 will test how effectively rAAV-delivered shRNAs attenuate LRRK2 G2019S in animal models.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Cookson, M.R., et al., *The roles of kinases in familial Parkinson's disease*. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2007. **27**(44): p. 11865-8.
- 2. Tan, E.K. and L.M. Skipper, *Pathogenic mutations in Parkinson disease*. Human mutation, 2007. **28**(7): p. 641-53.
- 3. Farrer, M.J., *Genetics of Parkinson disease: paradigm shifts and future prospects.* Nature reviews. Genetics, 2006. **7**(4): p. 306-18.
- 4. Funayama, M., et al., A new locus for Parkinson's disease (PARK8) maps to chromosome 12p11.2q13.1. Annals of neurology, 2002. **51**(3): p. 296-301.
- 5. Hernandez, D., et al., *The dardarin G 2019 S mutation is a common cause of Parkinson's disease but not other neurodegenerative diseases.* Neuroscience letters, 2005. **389**(3): p. 137-9.
- 6. West, A.B., et al., *Parkinson's disease-associated mutations in LRRK2 link enhanced GTP-binding and kinase activities to neuronal toxicity.* Human molecular genetics, 2007. **16**(2): p. 223-32.
- 7. Sibley, C.R. and M.J. Wood, *Identification of allele-specific RNAi effectors targeting genetic forms of Parkinson's disease*. PloS one, 2011. **6**(10): p. e26194.
- 8. Greggio, E., et al., *The Parkinson disease-associated leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) is a dimer that undergoes intramolecular autophosphorylation.* The Journal of biological chemistry, 2008. **283**(24): p. 16906-14.
- Li, M., et al., Optimal promoter usage for lentiviral vector-mediated transduction of cultured central nervous system cells. Journal of neuroscience methods, 2010. 189(1): p. 56-64.
- 10. Xu, R., et al., *Quantitative comparison of expression with adeno-associated virus (AAV-2) brain-specific gene cassettes.* Gene therapy, 2001. **8**(17): p. 1323-32.

## **APPENDICES:** Accepted manuscript

Huang L, Shimoji M, Wang J, Shah S, Kamila S, Biehl ER, Lim S, Chang A, Maguire-Zeiss KA, Su X, and Federoff HJ, (Accepted, *Neurotherapeutics*), Development of Inducible Leucine-Rich Repeat Kinase 2 (LRRK2) Cell Lines for Therapeutics Development in Parkinson's Disease

SUPPORTING DATA: All supporting data are included in the text.

Neurotherapeutics DOI 10.1007/s13311-013-0208-3

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

 $\frac{1}{3}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} 13\\14\\15\end{array}$ 

# 4 Development of Inducible Leucine-rich Repeat Kinase 2 5 (LRRK2) Cell Lines for Therapeutics Development 6 in Parkinson's Disease

\$2 Liang Huang • Mika Shimoji • Juan Wang • Salim Shah •
 9 Sukanta Kamila • Edward R. Biehl • Seung Lim •
 Q2 10 Allison Chang • Kathleen A. Maguire-Zeiss • Xiaomin Su •
 11 Howard J. Federoff

© The American Society for Experimental NeuroTherapeutics, Inc. 2013

Abstract The pathogenic mechanism(s) contributing to loss **Q5** 16 17of dopamine neurons in Parkinson's disease (PD) remain obscure. Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) mutations 18 are linked, as a causative gene, to PD. LRRK2 mutations are 19estimated to account for 10 % of familial and between 1 % and 203 % of sporadic PD. LRRK2 proximate single nucleotide 2122polymorphisms have also been significantly associated with idiopathic/sporadic PD by genome-wide association studies. 2324LRRK2 is a multidomain-containing protein and belongs to 25the protein kinase super-family. We constructed two inducible dopaminergic cell lines expressing either human-LRRK2-26wild-type or human-LRRK2-mutant (G2019S). Phenotypes 27of these LRRK2 cell lines were examined with respect to cell 2829viability, morphology, and protein function with or without induction of LRRK2 gene expression. The overexpression of 30 31G2019S gene promoted 1) low cellular metabolic activity 32without affecting cell viability, 2) blunted neurite extension, and 3) increased phosphorylation at S910 and S935. Our 33 34observations are consistent with reported general phenotypes

Q6	Liang Huang and Mika Shimoji Joint first authors.		
	<b>Electronic supplementary material</b> The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s13311-013-0208-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.		
Q2	L. Huang · M. Shimoji · J. Wang · S. Lim · A. Chang · K. A. Maguire-Zeiss · X. Su · H. J. Federoff (⊠) Department of Neuroscience, Georgetown University Medical		
Q3/Q4	Center, Washington, DC, USA e-mail: hjf8@georgetown.edu		
	S. Shah • H. J. Federoff Department of Neurology, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA		
	S. Kamila · E. R. Biehl Department of Chemistry, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, USA		

in LRRK2 cell lines by other investigators. We used these cell 35lines to interrogate the biological function of LRRK2, to eval-36 uate their potential as a drug-screening tool, and to investigate 37 screening for small hairpin RNA-mediated LRRK2 G2019S 38 gene knockdown as a potential therapeutic strategy. A proposed 39 LRRK2 kinase inhibitor (i.e., IN-1) decreased LRRK2 S910 40 and \$935 phosphorylation in our MN9DLRRK2 cell lines in a 41dose-dependent manner. Lentivirus-mediated transfer of 42LRRK2 G2019S allele-specific small hairpin RNA reversed 43 the blunting of neurite extension caused by LRRK2 G2019S 44 overexpression. Taken together, these inducible LRRK2 cell 45lines are suitable reagents for LRRK2 functional studies, and 46 the screening of potential LRRK2 therapeutics. 47

KeywordsParkinson's disease (PD) · Leucine-rich repeat48kinase 2 (LRRK2) · Dopaminergic cell lines · RNAi · Kinase49assay · Cell viability50

#### Introduction

The pathogenic mechanisms that cause the loss of dopamine 52neurons in Parkinson's disease (PD) remain obscure. Leucine-53rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2)/Dardarin mutations are linked, 54as a causative gene, to PD [1-4]. LRRK2 mutations are esti-55mated to account for 10 % of familial and between 1 % and 563 % of sporadic PD [5-10]. LRRK2 proximate single nucleo-57tide polymorphisms have also been significantly associated 58with idiopathic/sporadic PD by genome-wide association 59studies [3, 4, 11]. LRRK2 is a multi-domain containing pro-60 tein and belongs to the protein kinase super-family [12, 13]. 61The 6 domains include: ankyrin repeats, leucine-rich repeats, a 62 guanosine triphosphate-binding Ras of complex protein 63 (ROC), a carboxy-terminal of ROC, a kinase domain, and a 64 WD40 domain [14]. There are several variant forms of 65

51

# AUTHH30 R10 508 PR# 0009 P013

LRRK2 harboring mutations in different domains [1, 3]. 66 among which, the R1441C/G/H, Y1669C, I2020T, and 67 G2019S mutations are known to be associated with PD [15]. 68 69 These mutations are located within the ROC-carboxy-termi-70 nal of ROC-kinase domain of the LRRK2 protein, affecting the guanosine triphosphatase or the kinase activity; however, 7172it is unclear how these changes influence the normal functions 73of wild-type (WT) LRRK2 [16]. However, the most frequent mutation is a single nucleotide mutation causing an amino 7475acid substitution of glycine to serine (G2019S) [8, 11, 17]. 76This G2019S mutation leads to increased LRRK2 kinase 77 activity [18–21]. Importantly, an inactivating mutation of the LRRK2 kinase domain, in concert with the G2019S mutation, 78has been shown to decrease neurotoxicity [13], thus implicat-79ing increased kinase activity as one of the mechanisms of 80 LRRK2-associated PD pathogenesis. 81

82 Although the exact biological function(s) of LRRK2 and its 83 role in biochemical pathways are under investigation, several 84 potential substrates have been identified, including LRRK2, Akt1, ezrin/radxin/moesin (ERM) proteins, β-tubulin, eukary-85 otic initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1, and mitogen-activated 86 kinase 3, 4, 6, and 7 [13, 22-34]. The functional implications of 87 88 the majority of these potential substrates with respect to LRRK2 patho- and physiological actions remain uncertain. However, the 89 work of Sheng et al. [34] has directly implicated LRRK2 90 91Ser1292 in pathogenic effects in cultured cells.

As the LRRK2 G2019S mutation is causal and contributo-92ry to familial and sporadic/idiopathic PD respectively, togeth-93er accounting for ~2 % of all PD in the North American and 9495 UK population [7, 8] and 20-40 % in certain populations [35-37], the development of models that may be predictive 96 97 in the prosecution of new therapeutics is meritorious. Advancing the development of both small molecules and biologics for 98 PD requires cellular models in which the varying and stable 99100 levels of a putative pathogenic gene product can be studied. 101 Ideally, these studies should be undertaken in a dopaminergic background. In parallel, the examination of the WT form of 102103the gene product in an identical context is required to ascribe the distinct pathogenic effects owing to the mutant form. 104Finally, the cellular models should prove useful for the dem-105106 onstration that candidate therapeutics protect or reverse the pathogenic action due to putative mutant gene product. 107

In an effort to develop candidate therapeutics targeting 108109LRRK G2019S we constructed two inducible dopaminergic MN9D cell lines expressing either human LRRK2-WT or 110human LRRK2-mutant (G2019S), each co-expressing green 111 fluorescent protein (GFP). These LRRK2 cell lines were 112examined for cell viability, morphology, and LRRK2 func-113tions with or without induction of gene expression [38]. In 114addition, we used these cell lines to investigate a previously 115116described LRRK2 kinase inhibitor, IN-1 [38] and small hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated LRRK2 G2019S gene silencing. 117Our data, reported herein, indicate that these inducible 118

LRRK2 cell lines are suitable for the study of LRRK2 func- tion and for screening potential LRRK2 targeted therapeutics.	119 120
Materials and Methods	121
Reagents and Chemicals	122

Lipofectamine 2000, real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 123universal human LRRK2 probe and Alexa-594 conjugated goat 124 anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Ab) were from Life Technologies 125(Grand Island, NY, USA). Sodium bicarbonate, hygromycin, 126Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium, doxycycline (DOX), so-127dium butyrate, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, and trypan blue 128solutions were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The 129MTS cell viability CellTiter 96 AQueous assay kit was from 130Promega (Madison, WI, USA). Rabbit monoclonal antihuman 131LRRK2 Ab, rabbit monoclonal antihuman phospho-LRRK2 132S910 Ab, and rabbit monoclonal antihuman phospho-LRRK2 133S935 Ab were from Epitomics (Burlingame, CA, USA). Rabbit 134polyclonal antibeta tubulin III Ab was from Covance (Chantilly, 135VA, USA). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-136rabbit IgG and HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary 137Abs were from Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA, 138USA). Mouse monoclonal anti-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate de-139hydrogenase (GAPDH) Ab was from Millipore (Billerica, MA, 140 USA). Rabbit monoclonal pan-Akt (C67E7), rabbit polyclonal 141phosphor-Akt (Ser473), and rabbit polyclonal ERM, rabbit 142monoclonal phospho-Ezrin(Thr567)/Radixin(Thr564)/Moesin 143(Thr558) (41A3) Abs were from Cell Signaling Technology 144 (Danvers, MA, USA). 145

- Chemical Synthesis 146
- IN-1 was synthesized as described by Deng et al. [38]. 147
- DNA Plasmids 148

The full-length human LRRK2 WT or G2019S genes (7.6 kb)149were subcloned in the vector plasmid with IRESeGFP,150pBig2iFLAGSocs6IRES2eGFP plasmid, as described previously [39–41].151

153

Stable Cell Lines

A mouse midbrain cell line, MN9D [42], was used for the 154LRRK2 stable cell line construction. MN9D cells were cul-155tured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium supplemented 156with 10 % fetal bovine serum, and 3.7 g/L sodium bicarbonate 157at 37 °C, 5 % carbon dioxide. MN9D cells were transfected 158with plasmid constructs that overexpress full-length human 159LRRK2 WT or mutant (G2019S) and GFP under the control 160of a tetracycline-inducible promoter. Low passage number 161

Development of Inducible Leucine-rich Repeat Kinase 2

162MN9D cells (< 6 passage) were used for Lipofectamine 2000mediated transfection of the LRRK2 WT or G2019S DNA 163according to the manufacturer's specification. Transfected cells 164 165were selected with hygromycin (500 µg/mL). These constructs 166 also express GFP (eGFP) using an internal ribosome entry sequence (IRES). LRRK2 WT, G2019S, and eGFP expression 167 was induced with addition of DOX (2 µg/mL). Cell colonies 168 169with eGFP expression were selected, expanded, sorted (fluorescence-activated cell sorting), and confirmed for LRRK2 WT or 170G2019S expression. 171

#### 172 Western Blotting

Total protein from MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> and MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> 173cells was extracted in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-hydrochloric **07** 174 acid, pH 7.4; 1 % NP-40; 0.25 % sodium deoxycholate; 175176150 mM sodium chloride) containing protease inhibitors (1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl 177178fluoride) or using a RNA/DNA/Protein Purification Kit 179(Norgen, Thorold, ON, Canada). Equal amounts of total protein (20 µg) from each sample were subjected to dena-180 turing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis [4-20 % Bis-Tris 181182gradient gel (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) or NuPAGE Novex 3-8 % Tris-Acetate Gel (Life Technologies)]. LRRK2 protein 183expression levels were detected using a rabbit polyclonal anti-184185human LRRK2 antibody (1:5000 dilution; Epitomics/MJFF#2 [c41-2]) followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated goat 186anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:2000) and chemilumi-187 188 nescent detection (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Other 189 Abs used were GAPDH (1:20,000), rabbit polyclonal antihuman phospho-LRRK2 S910 and S935 antibodies (1:5000), 190191rabbit monoclonal pan-Akt (C67E7) (1:1000), rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-Akt (Ser473)(1:1000), rabbit polyclonal ERM 192Ab (1:1000), rabbit monoclonal anti-phospho-Ezrin(Thr567)/ 193194Radixin(Thr564)/Moesin(Thr558) (41A3) Ab (1:1000), and 195rabbit anti-4E-binding protein 1 Ab (1:1000).

196 Immunocytochemistry and Neurite Extension Assay

MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> or MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub>  $5 \times 10^4$  cells/well 197 were plated on polyethylenemine-coated coverslips and dif-198 ferentiated for 6 days with 2 mM sodium butyrate. On day 6, 199DOX was added to induce GFP and LRRK2 WT or G2019S 200201 expression. Forty-eight hours after the addition of DOX, cells were fixed in a 4 % paraformaldehyde, 4 % sucrose solution, 202permeabilized in 0.1 % Triton-X-100/PBS, and blocked in 20310 % normal goat serum. Cells were probed with LRRK2 Ab 204205(1:50) or rabbit polyclonal antibeta-tubulin III Ab (1:2000) followed by Alexa-594-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG Ab 206207 (1:200) and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (300 nM) staining. 208 The fluorescent labeled images were captured and analyzed with AxioVision software equipped AxioPlan2 Zeiss fluores-209cent microscope (Carl-Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA). For 210

Q1

221

neurite measurements, MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells were plated 211on polyethylenemine-coated 12-mm coverslips in a 24-well 212plate and differentiated with 2 mM n-butyrate for 6 days. 213DOX (250 ng/mL) was added to induce LRRK2 G2019S ex-214pression for 48 h. Cells were treated with IN-1 or transduced 215with lenti-shRNA and subjected to immunocytochemical stain-216ing as described above. Pictures of 8 fields were taken for each 217sample and lengths of all beta-tubulin II-positive neurites were 218measured with Nikon NIS Elements software. About 40-100 219neurites was measured for each condition. 220

#### RNA Interference With Lenti-shRNA

The shRNA p4 was designed according to the published 222LRRK2 G2019S allele-specific p4 sequence [43]. The shRNA 223p4 sequence 5'-GAGATTGCTGACTGCAGTACCTGACC 224CATGCTGTAGTCAGCAATCTCTT-3' and the scrambled 225shRNA (shRNA) sequence 5'-GGAATACGTACGGCTTAGT 226CCTGACCCAACT AAGCCGTACGTATTCCTT-3' were. 227respectively, cloned into the pENTR6/U6 vector (Life Tech-228nologies) and then subcloned into the pLenti6-/BLOCK-iT-229DEST vector (Life Technologies) via Gateway cloning. The 230resulting plasmids were sequenced to confirmed accuracy. 231Lentivirus was packaged in 293 T cells by cotransfecting 232the cells with the above pLenti6-shRNA vectors and the 233ViraPower Packaging Mix (Life Technologies) according to 234the manufacturer's specifications. MN9DLRRK2G2019S and 235MN9DLRRK2<sub>wT</sub> cells were plated in a 6-well plate and trans-236duced with shRNAp4 or scrambled shRNA-expressing lenti-237virus at a multiplicity of infection of 50. MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> 238cells were induced with 250 ng/mL DOX before transduction 239owing to a very low level of LRRK2 WT expression. Cells 240were harvested 72 h after transduction using a RNA/DNA/ 241Protein Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek). Quantitative real-242time reverse transcription-PCR was performed to measure 243LRRK2 mRNA levels with universal human LRRK2 probe 244(Life Technologies) and Applied Biosystems 7900HT Fast 245**Q8** Real-Time PCR System. For each sample, 1 µg of total RNA 246was used for complementary DNA synthesis. All data were 247normalized to mouse GAPDH expression as an internal con-248trol. Expression of shRNA was inferred from positive expres-249sion of the blasticidin gene within the same construct. The 250LRRK2 protein expression levels were examined by western 251blot assays as described above. 252

#### Results

Human LRRK2 Protein Expression in MN9DLRRK2 Stable254Cell Lines with Doxycycline Induction255

Full-length complementary DNA of LRRK2WT or 256 LRRK2G2019S was amplified by high-fidelity PCR and 257

253

# 

cloned into the pBig2iFLAGSocs6IRES2eGFP, which is a 258tetracycline-responsive autoregulated bi-directional expres-259sion vector with an IRESeGFP cassette [39, 40]. Stably 260 transfected human LRRK2 inducible cell lines were examined 261262 first for GFP expression and followed by fluorescenceactivated cell sorting for GFP expression. Selected GFP-263 264positive cells were expanded and confirmed for LRRK2 gene and protein expression. Undifferentiated MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> 265cells were induced with increasing concentrations of DOX 266

(ranged from 0 to 4000 ng/mL) for 48 h. The transcription and 267translation of LRRK2 was turned on in response to DOX in a 268precise and dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1). The expression 269of LRRK2 mRNA in sodium butyrate-differentiated 270MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells followed a similar induction profile 271as the undifferentiated cells (Fig. 1A, top right). The expres-272sion level of LRRK2 mRNA in both undifferentiated (Fig. 1A, 273top left) and differentiated MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells (Fig. 1A, 274top right) increased approximately 10-fold in response to 275



Fig. 1 Doxycycline (DOX)-induced *LRRK2* expression in MN9DLRRK2WT and MN9DLRRK2G2019S cells. DOX was added to the culture media 48 h before harvesting using an RNA/DNA/Protein Purification Kit (Norgen, Thorold, ON, Canada). For differentiated cells, cells were treated with 2 mM sodium butyrate for 6 days before the addition of DOX. **a** Quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction was performed to measure LRRK2 messenger RNA (mRNA) levels of DOX-induced undifferentiated and differentiated

MN9DLRRK2WT (top panels) or MN9DLRRK2G2019S (bottom panels) cells. **b** Western blot analysis of *LRRK2* expression upon DOX induction. For MN9DLRRK2WT cells, 20  $\mu$ g of total protein was loaded for each sample; for MN9DLRRK2G2019S cells, 5  $\mu$ g of total protein was loaded for each sample.  $\beta$ -Actin was used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin were shown at the bottom of the Western blot

Development of Inducible Leucine-rich Repeat Kinase 2

DOX over the range of 200-4000 ng/mL. The DOX-induced 276LRRK2 protein expression in MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells was also 277dose-dependent (Fig. 1B, left). Both undifferentiated and dif-278279ferentiated MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells displayed a similar dose-280 dependent induction of LRRK2 gene and protein expression (Fig. 1A, bottom panels, Fig. 1B, right) as MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> 281282 cells. However, the induction of LRRK2 mRNA expression in both undifferentiated (Fig. 1A, bottom left) and differentiated 283MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells (Fig. 1A, bottom right) was much 284 285greater than in the MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells, with approximately 286120-fold mRNA induction in undifferentiated and 40-fold in-287 duction in differentiated cells in response to DOX. No human or mouse LRRK2 protein was detected in MN9D parental cells, 288which are of mouse origin, with or without DOX treatment 289(data not shown). 290

291 Cellular Expression Pattern of Human LRRK2292 in MN9DLRRK2 Cell Lines

The stably-expressing cell lines were examined for the cellular pattern of human LRRK2 by immunocytochemistry. Stable cell lines grown on coverslips and differentiated to a neuronal phenotype for 6 days with sodium butyrate were either induced with DOX or remained uninduced (no DOX). Fortyeight hours later, cells were subjected to immunocytochemistry staining for human LRRK2. The human LRRK2 (Fig. 2A, Q1

309

red) was detected only in DOX-induced (+), GFP-positive 300 (Fig. 2A, green) MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> and MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> 301 cells (Fig. 2A). The LRRK2 expression appears cytosolic, 302 with little or no nuclear staining. There was no significantly 303 enriched subcellular expression of LRRK2 noted. LRRK2 304 was also detected in neurites following sodium butyrate dif-305ferentiation (Fig. 2B). A marked blunting of neurite outgrowth 306 was observed in MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> expressing cells, a phe-307 notypic feature studied in subsequent experiments (see Fig. 4). 308

#### LRRK2 Effects on Cell Viability

The cell viability of MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> and MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> 310cells following LRRK2 induction only or in combination of 311 the addition of toxicants (MPP+ or lactacystin) were evaluated 312using a MTS assay. When LRRK2 was induced in the 313 MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells, viability increased in a DOX dose-314dependent manner relative to uninduced cells (Fig. 3A, PBS). 315When MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> were challenged with cytotoxic doses 316 of MPP+ (Fig. 3A, MPP+), LRRK2 WT induction increased 317cellular viability in a DOX dose-dependent fashion. Cell viability 318with lactacystin treatment was not different between DOX treat-319 ment groups (Fig. 3A, lactacystin). In contrast, DOX induction 320 of mutant LRRK2 expression (MN9DLRRK2G2019S) resulted in 321 no changes in cellular viability at baseline or following toxicant 322 challenge (Fig. 3B). Similar results were obtained with the 323



# 

Q10

Fig. 3 Cell viability of MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> and MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> lines in response to LRRK2 induction only or in combination with MPP + or lactacystin. **a** MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells were plated in a 96-well configuration and induced with 250 ng/mL or

2000 ng/mL doxycycline (DOX) for 48 h, followed by incubation with either phosphate buffered saline (PBS), 500 µM MPP + or 5 µM lactacystin. Cell viability was determined by an MTS assay. **b** MN9D<sub>G2019S</sub> cells were plated in 96-well configuration and induced with 250 ng/mL or 2000 ng/mL DOX for 48 h, followed by incubation with either PBS, 500  $\mu$ M MPP + or 5 uM lactacystin. Cell viability was determined by an MTS assay. \*p < 0.05 vs No DOX; one-way analysis of variance



- Q9 324 lactate dehydrogenase cytotoxicity assay (i.e., DOX induction of
   325 LRRK2 WT, but not LRRK2 G2019S, increased cellular viabil 326 ity over time (data not shown).
  - 327 *LRRK2 G2019S* Expression Blunts Neurite Extension and is
     328 Reversed by Treatment With Kinase Inhibitor IN-1

Sodium butyrate-differentiated MN9DLRRK2 cells were 329induced with DOX and examined for neurite extension 330 (Fig. 4). Quantitative neurite extension was undertaken 331at 48 (Fig. 4A) and 96 h (Fig. 4B) with (+DOX) or without 332333 DOX induction. MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> (G2019S), but not 334MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> (WT), cells showed a statistically signifi-335cant blunting of neurite extension only when LRRK2 was induced by DOX. Photomicrographs of MN9D neurites from 336

the different cell lines under the different conditions are shown 337 in Fig. 4C. 338

A specific and potent kinase inhibitor, IN-1 [38], was 339 examined to determine whether inhibition of LRRK2 340G2019S activity would alter the pathobiologic neurite 341phenotype. We first confirmed that IN-1 would reduce 342 LRRK2 phosphorylation of endogenous serine residues 343 at positions 910 and 935 (Fig. 5A). As anticipated, 344phosphorylation of both sites was reduced in an IN-1 345concentration-dependent manner. Following immunocyto-346 chemistry for beta-tubulin III, we noted that blunted neurite 347 extension mediated by LRRK2 G2019S overexpression was 348 rescued by IN-1 treatment (Fig. 5B). Quantitative neurite 349 extension studies confirmed the morphological changes and 350 showed that the blunted neuritic phenotype was abrogated by 351





**Fig. 4** Neurite length is shortened in MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells with doxycycline (DOX) induction of LRRK2 G2019S. MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> or MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells were plated on polyethylenemine-coated 12-mm coverslips in a 24-well plate and differentiated with 2 mM sodium butyrate for 6 days. DOX (250 ng/mL) was added to the cells to induce LRRK2 expression for 48 h (**a**) or 96 h (**b**). Cells were fixed and

immunocytochemically stained for  $\beta$ -tubulin. For each coverslip, pictures of 8 fields were taken and lengths of all neurites were measured with Nikon NIS Elements software. \*p<0.05 vs control; one-way analysis of variance. c Representative images of differentiated MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> or MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells with [wild-type (WT) + DOX, G2019S+-DOX] or without (WT, G2019S) DOX induction are presented

352IN-1 at both 100 and 500 nM. These data demonstrate that353MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells have an (DOX) inducible neuritic354phenotype (i.e., shorter length) that can be largely reversed by355a potent and specific inhibitor of LRRK2, IN-1.

# 356 *LRRK2 G2019S* Mediated Blunting of Neurite Length

357 is Reversed by Allele-Specific RNAi

Undifferentiated and differentiated MN9DLRRK2 cells were 358359transduced with lentiviral constructs (multiplicity of infection=50) expressing a shRNA directed against the 360 G2019S allele (p4) or a sequence scrambled control. PBS 361 treatment was also included as a control for lentivirus 362 363 transduction. In both undifferentiated and differentiated MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells lentiviral transduction of allele-364 365specific p4, but not the control scrambled sequence, resulted

in decreased expression of LRRK2 G2019S messenger RNA 366 (mRNA) (Fig. 6A). By contrast, p4 transduction of 367 MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> produced no significant decline in LRRK2 368 WT mRNA content in either undifferentiated or differentiated 369 cells (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, the allele-specific knockdown of 370G2019S gene product led to a decrease in LRRK2 protein 371expression in MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> (Fig. 6C), but not in 372 MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells (Fig. 6D). In addition, the LRRK2 373 phosphorylation at amino acids 910 and 935 was decreased 374in p4 transduced MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> (Fig. 6E), but not in the 375MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells (Fig. 6F). Last, we addressed whether 376 lentiviral transduction could reverse the blunted neuritic phe-377 notype engendered by LRRK2 G2019S induction. As shown 378in Fig. 6G, transduction with lentiviral p4 shRNA, but not the 379 scrambled shRNA, reversed the neuritic shortening in DOX-380 induced differentiated MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells. 381

# AUTIPIST RibSt Prop# Octospo 13



**Fig. 5** Evaluation of the LRRK2 inhibitor, IN-1, in MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells. **a** The LRRK2 inhibitor IN-1 decreases LRRK2 phosphorylation at amino acids 910 and 935. MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells were treated with kinase inhibitor IN-1 at various concentrations (30, 100, 300, and 3000 nM) for 90 mins followed by Western blot analysis of total LRRK2, phosphor-LRRK2 at 910 and 935 expression. Equal amounts of total protein were loaded for each sample. **b** Neurite length shortening is reversed in MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells with the addition of the LRRK2 inhibitor IN-1. MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells were plated on polyethylenemine-coated 12-mm coverslips in a 24-well plate and differentiated with 2 mM sodium butyrate for 6 days. Doxycycline (DOX)

#### 382 Discussion

383 The MN9DLRRK2 cell lines described herein can be used to study LRRK2 biology and serve as a cellular platform for 384 LRRK2 therapeutics development. In the case of PD, there 385 have been several LRRK2 animal models reported that in-386 387 clude animals from invertebrates to nonhuman primates [44]; however, none demonstrate the progressive degenerative fea-388 389 tures of human PD. Such animal models may be useful for examination of specific questions of gene product function 390 391and/or disease pathogenesis, but appear limited in that they do 392 not recapitulate the many features of PD. To initiate therapeutics development it is optimal to have a model where the 393 cellular content of a putatively pathogenic mutant gene prod-394395uct can be compared across a range of steady-state levels. In addition, a comparator line harboring the nonmutant or WT 396 version of the same gene product is ideal to ensure that the 397 398 pathophysiology attributed to the mutant gene product can be distinguished, if possible, from that due to expression of the 399 WT form. 400

Human LRRK2 PD-associated mutations are manifest as
autosomal dominant [4], and account for a substantial proportion of familial PD and also are implicated in sporadic PD
[5–10]. Additionally, genome-wide association studies studies

(250 ng/mL) was added to the cells to induce LRRK2 G2019S expression for 48 h followed by the addition of IN-1 (100 nM or 500 nM). Twentyfour hours later, cells were fixed and immunocytochemically stained for  $\beta$ -tubulin. Representative images are presented for the IN-1 treatment at the concentration of 0 (+DOX), 100 nM and 500 nM. c Quantitative measurements of neurite lengths of MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells. For each coverslip, pictures of 8 fields were taken and lengths of all neurites were measured using Nikon NIS Elements software. MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> without DOX induction (no DOX) was used as a control. \*p<0.05 vs control; \*\*p<0.01 vs control; one-way analysis of variance. GAPDH glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase

have identified a single nucleotide polymorphism closely 405linked to the LRRK2 locus, suggesting a potential role in 406 sporadic/idiopathic PD [45]. LRRK2-targeted therapeutics 407 development appears to be a promising avenue for the poten-408 tial treatment of symptomatic G2019S gene carriers. In some 409 studies mutant LRRK2, particularly G2019S, is cytotoxic 410when over-expressed in cultured cells [18, 46], Drosophila 411 [30, 47], Caenorhabditis elegans [12], and viral vector trans-412duced mice [21]; however, no apparent neuronal loss was 413 observed in transgenic mice carrying LRRK2 mutant genes 414alone [48–50]. This discordance raises the question as to what 415levels of LRRK2 gene product are most relevant for the study 416of PD pathogenesis and also for therapeutics development. 417

In our study, we generated inducible stable cell lines express-418ing LRRK2 G2019S, using a previously reported bicistronic and 419 auto-regulated stable transfection strategy [39-41]. The parental 420 MN9D cell line used to make inducible stable cell lines was 421 selected because of its dopaminergic properties when differen-422tiated [51] and because its murine origins allow for the detec-423tion, with appropriate Abs, of introduced genes expressing 424human LRRK2. We studied the following characteristics of 425the MN9DLRRK2 stably transfected cell lines: LRRK2 expres-426 sion at the mRNA and protein levels, lactate dehydrogenase 427 release, MTS reduction cell viability, cellular morphology, 428

Development of Inducible Leucine-rich Repeat Kinase 2



Fig. 6 Evaluation of small hairpin RNA (shRNA)p4-mediated allele Q11 specific knockdown of LRRK2 G2019S in MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells. a The shRNAp4, but not scrambled shRNA (Scr shRNA), significantly decreased LRRK2 G2019S messenger RNA (mRNA) levels in both undifferentiated and differentiated MN9DLRRK2G2019S cells. Only the shRNAp4 exhibited a significant decrease in LRRK2 mRNA level [\*\*p<0.01, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)]. Cell differentiation was induced by treating MN9DLRRK2G2019S cells with 2 mM sodium butyrate for 6 days before transduction. Cells were then processed in the same way as undifferentiated cells. Similar to undifferentiated cells, only the shRNA p4 exhibited significant decrease in LRRK2 mRNA level (\*\*p<0.01 one-way ANOVA). b The shRNAp4 did not inhibit wild-type (WT) LRRK2 expression. No significant difference in LRRK2 mRNA level was observed in these experimental groups. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean and represent 3 independent experiments. c Western blot showing a decrease of LRRK2 G2019S protein in LentishRNAp4-transduced MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells. β-Actin is used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin were shown at the bottom of the Western

blot. d Western blot showing no change of WT LRRK2 protein in lentishRNAp4-transduced MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells.  $\beta$ -Actin is used as a loading control. Quantitative determinations of intensities of LRRK2 signals normalized to  $\beta$ -actin were shown at the bottom of the Western blot. e Western blot showing decreases of LRRK2 phosphorylation at amino acids 910 and 935 in lenti-shRNAp4-transduced MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells, but not in (f) MN9DLRRK2\_{WT} cells. g Neurite length shortening is reversed in MN9D<sub>G2019S</sub> cells following lenti-shRNAp4 transduction. MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells were plated on polyethylenemine-coated 12mm coverslips in a 24-well plate and differentiated with 2 mM sodium butyrate for 6 days. Forty-eight hours before transduction, doxycycline (DOX) (250 ng/mL) was added to the cells to induce LRRK2 G2019S expression. Seventy-two hours after transduction, cells were fixed and immunocytochemically stained for  $\beta$ -tubulin. For each coverslip, pictures of 8 fields were taken and lengths of all neurites were measured using Nikon NIS Elements software. MN9DLRRK2G2019S without DOX induction (no DOX) was used as a control. \*\*p < 0.01 vs control; one-way ANOVA

429 LRRK2 interaction with toxicants MPP + and lactacystin,
430 effects of LRRK2 kinase inhibitor treatment, and effects of
431 LRRK2 G2019S allele-specific shRNA gene knockdown.

We showed that increased expression of WT LRRK2 in 432 MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells caused an apparent increase in cell 433 viability. No significant change in cell viability was observed 434

# 

435when LRRK2 was induced in MN9DLRRK2G2019 cells. When MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> cells were challenged with MPP + there was 436 significant decline in cell viability in the absence of LRRK2 437 induction and, interestingly, cell viability significantly increased 438when LRRK2 was induced. MPP + treatment produced sub-439stantial decline in cell viability in MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells 440 441 that was not ameliorated by the induction of LRRK2. It appeared that LRRK2 WT provided protection against a neu-442 443 rotoxin that caused mitochondrial dysfunction. These observations were in line with the recent C. elegans study, which 444445showed that LRRK2 WT, but not LRRK2 mutant, protected dopaminergic neurons against rotenone or paraguat toxicity, 446 agents which compromise [52]. The underlying mechanisms Q12 447 by which LRRK2 WT protected mitochondrial dysfunction 448 remained to be determined. The MN9DLRRK2 cell models 449 enable further studies on the association of LRRK2 action 450 and mitochondrial function. In both  $MN9DLRRK2_{WT}$  and 451452MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells treatment with lactacystin, a 453proteosomal inhibitor, caused a decline in cell viability that was unaffected by induction of either WT or G2019S mutant 454LRRK2, suggesting that in the MN9D cellular context LRRK2 455456does not affect proteosome function.

457 We also studied the effect of LRRK2 expression on MN9D neurite outgrowth after differentiation with sodium butyrate. 458As reported by other investigators in different cell types 459460 [53–59], we also observed that the overexpression of *LRRK2* G2019S results in shortened neuritic extensions. We explored 461 462 potential molecular contributors to this pathogenic neuritic phenotype. We sought to determine whether ERM phosphor-463vlation may be involved given that in LRRK2 G2019S trans-464 genic hippocampal neurons axonal length was reduced and 465466 required increased ERM phosphorylation [58]. However, in differentiated and DOX-induced MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells 467 468 no change in overall ERM or ERM phosphorylation levels were observed (data not shown). Unlike primary hippocampal 469470 neurons that manifest marked axonal and dendritic features, MN9D neurites are less functionally specified [51]. Whether 471472 neurite blunting, which occurs in the absence of 473 MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cell death, is due to specific changes 474that alter the cytoskeleton and/or produce metabolic dysfunc-475 tion is not known.

476 One of the goals for construction of the LRRK2 MN9D cell lines was to study candidate therapeutic strategies directed 477 478 against the LRRK2 target. The G2019S mutant form of LRRK2 conveys an increase in kinase activity compared with 479the WT [13, 18, 23, 29, 30, 46, 60-63]. Not surprisingly, the 480481 causal role of dysregulated kinase activity of G2019S has spawned interest in the development of kinase inhibitors, 482which, if potent and specific, represent disease-modifying 483therapeutics for PD patients harboring the LRRK2 G2019S 484 485allele. One pharmacophore, IN-1 kinase inhibitor, was described by Deng et al. [38] as having an IC<sub>50</sub> in the low nM 486 range and high specificity for LRRK2 [38]. We evaluated IN-487

1 in both MN9DLRRK2<sub>WT</sub> and MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cell 488 lines. The data indicate that IN-1 does inhibit LRRK2 phos-489phorylation at both S910 and S935 without affecting the levels 490 of total LRRK2 protein. In addition, we tested whether 491 LRRK2 G2019S inhibition would reverse the pathogenic 492 action of dysregulated kinase activity. Our observations indi-493cate that this was, indeed, the case. Notably, IN-1 reversed the 494neurite blunting phenotype in a dose-dependent manner. The 495literature suggests that S910 and S935 are autophosphoryl-496 ation sites on LRRK2 [64-66], although recent work reveals 497that S1292, at least in some cellular contexts, is also a 498 pathogenically relevant LRRK2 autophophosphorylation site 499 [34]. The IN-1 dose-dependent reduction of phosphoserine 500residues on LRRK2 in both cell lines support their use in the 501prosecution of small molecule kinase inhibitors. The ability to 502independently modulate LRRK2 levels during cell-based drug 503discovery efforts is another advantage of the MN9DLRRK2 504cell lines in that LRRK2-mediated pathophysiological effects, 505and kinase inhibitor interdiction, may be more readily discov-506 ered at particular steady-state levels of LRRK2. 507

The MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cell line was also used to evalu-508ate LRRK2 transcript knockdown promoted by lentiviral 509transduction of shRNAs targeting the mutant allele. The re-510sults indicate that the p4 shRNA was effective in decreasing 511G2019S mRNA and protein levels in both undifferentiated 512and differentiated MN9DLRRK2G2019S cells. In addition, we 513showed that p4 was allele specific as it did not decrease WT 514LRRK2 expression. Most importantly, the neurite blunting 515phenotype engendered by LRRK2 G2019S was reversed by 516lentiviral p4 transduction. Prior work using RNA interference, 517introduced by transient transfection, has made evident that the 518G2019S target sequence, when embedded in a synthetic sub-519strate, can be effectively targeted relative to WT sequence 520[43]. Our results with shRNA transduced by lentiviral vector 521are, to our knowledge, the first demonstration of allele selec-522tive knockdown of a native G2019S transcript and, important-523ly, the reduction in mutant gene product levels. This finding 524portends the rapid extrapolation of these constructs into an 525in vivo model. 526

Our key finding, blunted neurites upon G2019S induction 527without cytotoxicity, is in agreement with other reported re-528sults. Dächsel et al. [59] observed that expression of the 529G2019S mutant in primary neurons from transgenic mice 530resulted in diminished neurite outgrowth and branching [59]. 531Similarly, in transfected primary rat cortical neurons the 532forced expression of LRRK2 G2019S also resulted in neuritic 533shortening [56]. In MN9D cells, the induction of mutant 534G2019S gene does not cause cytotoxicity. While other inves-535tigators have reported toxicity when LRRK2 is overexpressed 536this may be function of the levels of gene product. In our 537stably transfected MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cell line we observed 538a greater than 40-fold increase in transcript level and marked 539elevation of gene product. Unlike transiently transfected cells 540

- these MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells are selected for an integrated
- transgene and expanded in the absence of DOX. Whether this 542process of cell line construction or, alternatively, the nature of 543fusion cell line account for the absence of G2019S toxicity is 544unknown. 545
- Our inducible stable MN9D cell lines appear useful for the 546547study of LRRK2 biology in the context of a dopaminergic background and over a range of gene product levels. The 548absence of cytotoxicity and presence of a neuritic blunting 549phenotype in the MN9DLRRK2<sub>G2019S</sub> cells enable their use 550for the evaluation of candidate therapeutics, small molecule 551
- 552kinase inhibitors, and also RNA interference strategies.
- 553Acknowledgments This work was supported RC2NS069450 (NIH/ Q13 554 NINDS/ARRA) to HJF and DOD grant USAMRMC11341009 to HJF. 555We thank Dr. M. Cookson and Ms. A. Kaganovich from NIA/NIH 556 (Bethesda, MD, USA) for their valuable technical advice on neurite assay. 557and Amanda Edwards for her help with the evaluation of the cell lines. 558

559Required Author Forms Disclosure forms provided by the authors are 560available with the online version of this article.

#### References Q14 563

541

- 563 1. Zimprich A, Biskup S, Leitner P, Lichtner P, Farrer M, Lincoln S, 564et al. Mutations in LRRK2 cause autosomal-dominant parkinsonism 565with pleomorphic pathology. Neuron 2004;44:601-607.
- 566 2. Paisan-Ruiz C, Lang AE, Kawarai T, Sato C, Salehi-Rad S, Fisman 567GK, et al. LRRK2 gene in Parkinson disease: mutation analysis and 568case control association study. Neurology 2005;65:696-700.
- 5693. Paisan-Ruiz C, Jain S, Evans EW, Gilks WP, Simon J, van der Brug 570M, et al. Cloning of the gene containing mutations that cause 571PARK8-linked Parkinson's disease. Neuron 2004;44:595-600.
- 5724. Funayama M, Hasegawa K, Kowa H, Saito M, Tsuji S, Obata F. A 573new locus for Parkinson's disease (PARK8) maps to chromosome 57412p11.2-q13.1. Ann Neurol 2002;51:296-301.
- 5755. Zabetian CP, Samii A, Mosley AD, Roberts JW, Leis BC, Yearout D, 576et al. A clinic-based study of the LRRK2 gene in Parkinson disease 577 yields new mutations. Neurology 2005;65:741-744.
- 578 6. Tan EK, Skipper LM. Pathogenic mutations in Parkinson disease. 579Hum Mutat 2007;28:641-653.
- 5807. Nichols WC, Pankratz N, Hernandez D, Paisan-Ruiz C, Jain S, Halter 581CA, et al. Genetic screening for a single common LRRK2 mutation 582in familial Parkinson's disease. Lancet 2005;365:410-412.
- 5838. Gilks WP, Abou-Sleiman PM, Gandhi S, Jain S, Singleton A, Lees 584AJ, et al. A common LRRK2 mutation in idiopathic Parkinson's disease. Lancet 2005;365:415-416. 585
- 5869. Farrer M, Stone J, Mata IF, Lincoln S, Kachergus J, Hulihan M, et al. 587LRRK2 mutations in Parkinson disease. Neurology 2005;65:738-40.
- 58810. Di Fonzo A, Rohe CF, Ferreira J, Chien HF, Vacca L, Stocchi F, et al. 589A frequent LRRK2 gene mutation associated with autosomal domi-590 nant Parkinson's disease. Lancet 2005;365:412-415.
- 59111. Hardy J. Genetic analysis of pathways to Parkinson disease. Neuron 5922010;68:201-6.
- 59312. Li X, Tan YC, Poulose S, Olanow CW, Huang XY, Yue Z. Leucine-594rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2)/PARK8 possesses GTPase activity that 595is altered in familial Parkinson's disease R1441C/G mutants. J 596Neurochem 2007;103:238-247.
- 59713. West AB, Moore DJ, Choi C, Andrabi SA, Li X, Dikeman D, et al. 598Parkinson's disease-associated mutations in LRRK2 link enhanced

GTP-binding and kinase activities to neuronal toxicity. Hum Mol Genet 2007;16:223-232.

- 14. Mata IF, Wedemeyer WJ, Farrer MJ, Taylor JP, Gallo KA. LRRK2 in 601 Parkinson's disease: protein domains and functional insights. Trends 602 Neurosci 2006:29:286-293. 603
- 15. Biskup S, West AB. Zeroing in on LRRK2-linked pathogenic mech-604 anisms in Parkinson's disease. Biochim Biophys 605 Acta 2009;1792:625-633. 606 607
- 16. Tsika E, Moore DJ. Mechanisms of LRRK2-mediated neurodegeneration. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2012;12:251-260. 608
- 17. Hernandez D. Paisan Ruiz C. Crawlev A. Malkani R. Werner J. 609 Gwinn-Hardy K, et al. The dardarin G 2019 S mutation is a common 610 cause of Parkinson's disease but not other neurodegenerative dis-611 eases. Neurosci Lett 2005;389:137-139. 612
- 18. Greggio E, Jain S, Kingsbury A, Bandopadhyay R, Lewis P, 613 Kaganovich A, et al. Kinase activity is required for the toxic 614 effects of mutant LRRK2/dardarin. Neurobiol Dis 2006;23:329-615341. 616
- 19. Smith WW, Pei Z, Jiang H, Moore DJ, Liang Y, West AB, et al. 617Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) interacts with parkin, and 618 mutant LRRK2 induces neuronal degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci 619 U S A 2005;102:18676-18681. 620
- 20. Cookson MR. The role of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) in 621 Parkinson's disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 2010;11:791-797. 622
- 21. Lee BD, Shin JH, VanKampen J, Petrucelli L, West AB, Ko HS, et al. 623 Inhibitors of leucine-rich repeat kinase-2 protect against models of 624 Parkinson's disease. Nat Med 2010;16:998-1000. 625
- 22. Ohta E, Kawakami F, Kubo M, Obata F. LRRK2 directly phosphor-626 ylates Akt1 as a possible physiological substrate: impairment of the 627 kinase activity by Parkinson's disease-associated mutations. FEBS 628 Lett 2011;585:2165-170. 629
- 23. West AB, Moore DJ, Biskup S, Bugayenko A, Smith WW, Ross CA, 630 631 et al. Parkinson's disease-associated mutations in leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 augment kinase activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 632 2005;102:16842-16847. 633
- 24. Gloeckner CJ, Kinkl N, Schumacher A, Braun RJ, O'Neill E, 634 635Meitinger T, et al. The Parkinson disease causing LRRK2 mutation 636 I2020T is associated with increased kinase activity. Hum Mol Genet 637 2006:15:223-232
- 25. Hsu CH, Chan D, Greggio E, Saha S, Guillily MD, Ferree A, et al. 638 MKK6 binds and regulates expression of Parkinson's disease-related 639 protein LRRK2. J Neurochem 2010;112:1593-1604. 640
- 26. Ito G, Okai T, Fujino G, Takeda K, Ichijo H, Katada T, et al. GTP 641 binding is essential to the protein kinase activity of LRRK2, a 642 causative gene product for familial Parkinson's disease. Biochemistry 643 2007;46:1380-1388. 644 645
- 27. Kamikawaji S, Ito G, Iwatsubo T. Identification of the autophosphorylation sites of LRRK2. Biochemistry 2009;48:10963-10975.
- 646 28. Li X, Moore DJ, Xiong Y, Dawson TM, Dawson VL. Reevaluation 647 of phosphorylation sites in the Parkinson disease-associated leucine-648 rich repeat kinase 2. J Biol Chem 2010;285:29569-29576. 649
- 29. Jaleel M, Nichols RJ, Deak M, Campbell DG, Gillardon F, Knebel A, 650 et al. LRRK2 phosphorylates moesin at threonine-558: characteriza-651tion of how Parkinson's disease mutants affect kinase activity. 652Biochem J 2007;405:307-317. 653
- 30. Imai Y, Gehrke S, Wang HQ, Takahashi R, Hasegawa K, Oota E, 654et al. Phosphorylation of 4E-BP by LRRK2 affects the maintenance 655of dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila. EMBO J 2008;27:2432-656 2443 657
- 31. Gandhi PN, Wang X, Zhu X, Chen SG, Wilson-Delfosse AL. The 658Roc domain of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 is sufficient for interaction 659with microtubules. J Neurosci Res 2008;86:1711-1720.
- 660 32. Gloeckner CJ, Schumacher A, Boldt K, Ueffing M. The Parkinson 661 disease-associated protein kinase LRRK2 exhibits MAPKKK activ-662 ity and phosphorylates MKK3/6 and MKK4/7, in vitro. J Neurochem 663 2009;109:959-968. 664

599

600

752

753

754

- 33. Kumar A, Greggio E, Beilina A, Kaganovich A, Chan D, Taymans
  JM, et al. The Parkinson's disease associated LRRK2 exhibits weaker
  in vitro phosphorylation of 4E-BP compared to autophosphorylation.
  PLoS One 2010;5:e8730.
- 34. Sheng Z, Zhang S, Bustos D, Kleinheinz T, Le Pichon CE,
  Dominguez SL, et al. Ser1292 autophosphorylation is an indicator
  of LRRK2 kinase activity and contributes to the cellular effects of PD
  mutations. Sci Transl Med 2012;4:164ra1.
- 55. Lesage S, Durr A, Tazir M, Lohmann E, Leutenegger AL, Janin S,
  et al. LRRK2 G2019S as a cause of Parkinson's disease in North
  African Arabs. N Engl J Med 2006;354:422–423.
- 676 36. Saunders-Pullman R, Lipton RB, Senthil G, Katz M, Costan-Toth C,
   677 Derby C, et al. Increased frequency of the LRRK2 G2019S mutation
   678 in an elderly Ashkenazi Jewish population is not associated with
   679 dementia. Neurosci Lett 2006;402:92–96.
- 37. Ozelius LJ, Senthil G, Saunders-Pullman R, Ohmann E, Deligtisch
   A, Tagliati M, et al. LRRK2 G2019S as a cause of Parkinson's disease
   in Ashkenazi Jews. N Engl J Med 2006;354:424–425.
- 38. Deng X, Dzamko N, Prescott A, Davies P, Liu Q, Yang Q, et al.
  Characterization of a selective inhibitor of the Parkinson's disease kinase LRRK2. Nat Chem Biol 2011;7:203–205.
- Su X, Maguire-Zeiss KA, Giuliano R, Prifti L, Venkatesh K, Federoff
   HJ. Synuclein activates microglia in a model of Parkinson's disease.
   Neurobiol Aging 2008;29:1690–1701.
- 40. Strathdee CA, McLeod MR, Hall JR. Efficient control of tetracycline-responsive gene expression from an autoregulated bidirectional expression vector. Gene 1999;229:21–29.
- 41. Luo Y, Henricksen LA, Giuliano RE, Prifti L, Callahan LM, Federoff
   HJ. VIP is a transcriptional target of Nurr1 in dopaminergic cells. Exp
   Neurol 2007;203:221–232.
- 42. Choi HK, Won LA, Kontur PJ, Hammond DN, Fox AP, Wainer BH,
  et al. Immortalization of embryonic mesencephalic dopaminergic
  neurons by somatic cell fusion. Brain Res 1991;552:67–76.
- 43. Sibley CR, Wood MJ. Identification of allele-specific RNAi effectors targeting genetic forms of Parkinson's disease. PLoS One 2011;6: e26194.
- 44. Dawson TM, Ko HS, Dawson VL. Genetic animal models of
   Parkinson's disease. Neuron 2010;66:646–661.
- 45. Liu X, Cheng R, Verbitsky M, Kisselev S, Browne A, Mejia-Sanatana H, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies candi-date genes for Parkinson's disease in an Ashkenazi Jewish popula-tion. BMC Med Genet 2011;12:104.
- 46. Smith WW, Pei Z, Jiang H, Dawson VL, Dawson TM, Ross CA.
  Kinase activity of mutant LRRK2 mediates neuronal toxicity. Nat Neurosci 2006;9:1231–1233.
- 47. Liu Z, Wang X, Yu Y, Li X, Wang T, Jiang H, et al. A Drosophila
  model for LRRK2-linked parkinsonism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
  2008;105:2693–2698.
- 48. Li Y, Liu W, Oo TF, Wang L, Tang Y, Jackson-Lewis V, et al.
  Mutant LRRK2(R1441G) BAC transgenic mice recapitulate cardinal features of Parkinson's disease. Nat Neurosci 2009;12:826–
  828.
- 717 49. Tong Y, Pisani A, Martella G, Karouani M, Yamaguchi H, Pothos
  718 EN, et al. R1441C mutation in LRRK2 impairs dopaminergic neu719 rotransmission in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:14622–
  720 14627.
- 50. Lin X, Parisiadou L, Gu XL, Wang L, Shim H, Sun L, et al. Leucine rich repeat kinase 2 regulates the progression of neuropathology
   781

induced by Parkinson's-disease-related mutant alpha-synuclein. Neuron 2009;64:807–827. 724

- 51. Choi HK, Won L, Roback JD, Wainer BH, Heller A. Specific 725 modulation of dopamine expression in neuronal hybrid cells by primary cells from different brain regions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1992;89:8943–8947. 728
- 52. Saha S, Guillily MD, Ferree A, Lanceta J, Chan D, Ghosh J, et al. 729
  LRRK2 modulates vulnerability to mitochondrial dysfunction in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Neurosci 2009;29:9210–9218. 731
- 53. Winner B, Melrose HL, Zhao C, Hinkle KM, Yue M, Kent C, et al. 732
  Adult neurogenesis and neurite outgrowth are impaired in LRRK2 733
  G2019S mice. Neurobiol Dis 2011;41:706–716. 734
- 54. Ramonet D, Daher JP, Lin BM, Stafa K, Kim J, Banerjee R, et al. Dopaminergic neuronal loss, reduced neurite complexity and autophagic abnormalities in transgenic mice expressing G2019S mutant LRRK2. PLoS One 2011;6:e18568.
  738
- Plowey ED, Cherra SJ, 3rd, Liu YJ, Chu CT. Role of autophagy in G2019S-LRRK2-associated neurite shortening in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells. J Neurochem 2008;105:1048–1056.
   741
- 56. MacLeod D, Dowman J, Hammond R, Leete T, Inoue K, Abeliovich
   A. The familial Parkinsonism gene LRRK2 regulates neurite process
   morphology. Neuron 2006;52:587–593.
- Heo HY, Kim KS, Seol W. Coordinate regulation of neurite outgrowth by LRRK2 and its interactor, Rab5. Exp Neurobiol 2010;19:97– 105.
   745 746 747
- Parisiadou L, Xie C, Cho HJ, Lin X, Gu XL, Long CX, et al. Phosphorylation of ezrin/radixin/moesin proteins by LRRK2 promotes the rearrangement of actin cytoskeleton in neuronal morphogenesis. J Neurosci 2009;29:13971–13980.
- Dachsel JC, Behrouz B, Yue M, Beevers JE, Melrose HL, Farrer MJ. A comparative study of Lrrk2 function in primary neuronal cultures. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2010;16:650–655.
- 60. Luzon-Toro B, Rubio de la Torre E, Delgado A, Perez-Tur J, Hilfiker
  S. Mechanistic insight into the dominant mode of the Parkinson's disease-associated G2019S LRRK2 mutation. Hum Mol Genet 2007;16:2031–2039.
  758
- 61. Guo L, Gandhi PN, Wang W, Petersen RB, Wilson-Delfosse AL, Chen SG. The Parkinson's disease-associated protein, leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2), is an authentic GTPase that stimulates kinase activity. Exp Cell Res 2007;313:3658–3670.
  762
- 62. Covy JP, Giasson BI. Identification of compounds that inhibit the kinase activity of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2009;378:473–477.
   765
- 63. Anand VS, Reichling LJ, Lipinski K, Stochaj W, Duan W, Kelleher
   K, et al. Investigation of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2: enzymological
   properties and novel assays. FEBS J 2009;276:466–478.
- 64. Nichols RJ, Dzamko N, Morrice NA, Campbell DG, Deak M, 769
  Ordureau A, et al. 14-3-3 binding to LRRK2 is disrupted by multiple Parkinson's disease-associated mutations and regulates cytoplasmic localization. Biochem J 2010;430:393–404. 772
- 65. Li X, Wang QJ, Pan N, Lee S, Zhao Y, Chait BT, et al. Phosphorylation-dependent 14-3-3 binding to LRRK2 is impaired by common mutations of familial Parkinson's disease. PLoS One 2011;6:e17153.
  776
- b. Dzamko N, Deak M, Hentati F, Reith AD, Prescott AR, Alessi DR, et al. Inhibition of LRRK2 kinase activity leads to dephosphorylation of Ser(910)/Ser(935), disruption of 14-3-3 binding and altered cytoplasmic localization. Biochem J 2010;430:405–413.
  c. Marcellin AD, Prescott AR, Alessi DR, 777
  c. Marcellin AD, Prescott AR, 777
  c. Marcellin AD, Prescott AR, 777
  c. Marcellin AD, 778
  c. Marcellin AD, 778
  c. Marcellin AD, 778
  c. Marcellin AD, 778