AFFTC-PA-12344

RF Emulator Network channel emulator for aeronautical telemetry testing

Babak Azimi-Sadjadi, Intelligent Automation, Inc.

AIR FORCE FLIGHT TEST CENTER
EDWARDS AFB, CA

2/20/13

A
F
F
T
C

AIR FORCE FLIGHT TEST CENTER
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1. REPORT DATE *(20-02-2013)*	**2. REPORT TYPE**	**3. DATES COVERED** *(From - To)*
	Technical	3/12 -- 5/12

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
RF Emulator Network channel emulator for aeronautical telemetry testing

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W900KK-11-C-0029

5b. GRANT NUMBER

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S)
Babak Azimi-Sadjadi,

5d. PROJECT NUMBER

5e. TASK NUMBER

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
Intelligent Automation, Inc.
15400 CALHOUN DR STE 400 ROCKVILLE MD 20855-2737

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
AFFTC-PA-12344

9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
Tom Young, EA

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
N/A

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release A: distribution is unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
CA: Air Force Flight Test Center Edwards AFB CA CC: 012100

14. ABSTRACT
Objectives and the journey

Our solution - RFnest™

System Design

Use cases

15. SUBJECT TERMS
RF Emulator Network channel emulator for aeronautical telemetry testing, RFnest, Spectrum, Telemetry,

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			**17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT**	**18. NUMBER OF PAGES**	**19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON**
Unclassified					412 TENG/EN (Tech Pubs)
a. REPORT	**b. ABSTRACT**	**c. THIS PAGE**			**19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER** *(include area code)*
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None	41	661-277-8615

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18



RFnest™: RADIO FREQUENCY NETWORK EMULATOR SIMULATOR TOOL

Dr. Justin Yackoski, Dr. Babak Azimi-Sadjadi, Dr. Ali Namazi, Dr Jason Li, Alex Bogaevskiy, Nick Lenzi, Dr Yalin Sagduyu, Lei Ding, KJ Kwak, Ryan White, Dr. Renato Levy, and many more

babak@i-a-i.com



Intelligent Automation, Inc.
15400 Calhoun Drive, Suite 400
Rockville, MD 20855

Outline

- Objectives and the journey
- Our solution - RFnest™
- System Design
- Use cases

Objective

Realistic wireless network Test &Evaluation

- Controllable & Repeatable
- With high-fidelity: it is wireless!
- Scalable
- Handling mobile scenarios





Journey – Simulation

- Scalable, available, easy to use
  - NS-2, OPNET, Qualnet, OMNeT++ …



- Is my simulation realistic?
  - Of course! (“well, who cares ...”)



- Simulation: **scalable**, **controllable**, **repeatable**

  fidelity: it depends …





Journey - Testbed

- Hardware-in-the-loop experiments
  - CORNET (Vtech), ORBIT (WINLAB), Emulab (U. Utah), …



- Mostly static, fixed size topologies

- Can't fly testbeds

Journey - Mixed Mode

- Add **real** nodes in the **simulation**
  - To demonstrate real applications (e.g. video)



Journey – Field Test 1

- Walking in office hallways, laptops in hands

  - "Can you hear me now?"
  - "Great, let me blue-tape here …



- In the morning …
  - "Can you STILL hear me?"
  - "I blue-taped it here, I swear!"



- Field test (office, parking lot …)
  - Not very controllable, repeatable, or scalable ("Good Luck!")

Journey - Field Test 2

- Not that bad, so we still do it, in our lab and at Forts





Journey – Airborne Networks

- We want to evaluate / demo on real hardware…
  - Don’t want to go back to simulation
- But we can’t fly any radio
  - And do it repeatedly & inexpensively

- Seems that we are stuck …

So How Can We Show AN Demo?

- So let us think about it …



- From the device's point of view
  - "Receiving is believing"
  - Everything else is real (demodulation, decoding, OS/network stack, application, etc.)

- Idea: Can we provide "correct" signal to the devices?
  - So that we can "fly them on the table"?

Agenda

- Objectives and the journey
- **Our solution - RFnest™**
- System Design
- Use cases

RFnest™

- Radio Frequency Network Emulation and Simulation Tool (RFnest™)
- RFnest provides “Air Environment” to devices via RF cables

RFnest Objective

- Real time wireless **network** emulator capable of providing realistic mobile network scenarios for stationary off the shelf **real** radios.



- **Hybrid** emulator where virtual nodes (to support scalability) fully interact with real HW nodes (to support high fidelity).
  - To achieve, need to make nodes (virtual and real) share the "**wireless feeling**"

Gaps Filled and Benefits

- Realistic evaluation of new protocols using real radios

- Reduce cost and implementation time by:
  - allowing network evaluation in **controlled, repeatable, and realistic environment** with the same radio used in battlefield
  - employing a hybrid software/hardware network emulator to provide **scalability** as well as **high fidelity**
  - **replaying field tests** with all its complexity in a lab environment
  - **validating models** by creating identical scenarios for real radios and radio models
  - **collecting** and characterizing **wireless data**

Vision for Wireless Evaluation

- Mixture of real and virtual devices
- Tradeoff between scalability/cost and fidelity
- Real and virtual devices are “plugged in” to emulation
- Real and virtual interaction is as realistic as possible



What does this look like?



RFnest™ General Capabilities

- Real nodes with radios transmit over "emulated" channel
  - E.g, two "distant" nodes **do not** receive each other's signals on their antennas

- Communication & interference over correct channels for a network of real wireless devices

- Seamless integration of real (emulated) and virtual (simulated) nodes providing fidelity/scalability tradeoff



- **Done through FPGA based emulation hardware & accompanying software**

Status of FPGA based Digital RFnest™

- Currently have 8 and 12 node prototypes
- Integrated with Boeing CORE & NRL EMANE
  - 12 node (132 channel)
  - 2.4 GHz band, 20 MHz bandwidth
  - 2 to 3 taps per channel w/ separate gain
  - 0-100 us delay per link
  - Real-virtual interactions
- Designs for:
  - 225 MHz to 3 GHz
  - Doppler
  - More taps per channel
  - 24, 48, 96 nodes
  - Satellite delay





Analog RFnest™

- Controllable attenuator bank
- 0-63 dB @ ½ dB increments
- Wide band, arbitrary bandwidth
- 8 node single-PCB version currently in initial use
- Same control interface as digital

4 node





8 node

Integrated Scenario Control & Monitoring GUI

DCF-based node behavior, network status, channel state



Agenda

- Objectives and the journey
- Our solution - RFnest™
- **System Design**
- Use cases

FPGA-Based Emulation Hardware

- RF Signals are digitally sampled, modified to reflect channel, then converted back to analog
- Allows channels with Doppler, multipath, delay, etc.



CORE & EMANE State of the Art

- Emulated nodes run a real OS (on either real or virtualized HW)
- Use **models** to determine whether packets are received
- Real and virtual worlds are separate



IAI's Emulation Architecture



Real and Virtual Interaction

- Surrogate radios connect the real and virtual worlds
- Real nodes' radios receive packets from virtual nodes over appropriate channel
- Virtual nodes receive packets sent by real nodes' radios over appropriate channel

- Accurate interference effects being implemented

Channel Modeling



Seamless Use of Real / Virtual Nodes



Real and Virtual Interaction

- Surrogate radios transparently connect the real and virtual worlds
- Surrogates' identities and channels change in sync

Surrogate Radios (SVR & SVT)

Real-Virtual Routing

- V-prefixed nodes are software-only with OS stack via CORE and radio via EMANE
- Other nodes are real devices (radios, jammers, etc.)
- All nodes (except jammer) run same routing protocol



Working with Virtual and Real Nodes

- Virtual nodes run **exact** same OS and software code, network stack, etc. as real radios
- OpenWRT toolchain allows user to switch between compilation for CORE/EMANE and creating firmware for real radios with a single configuration setting

Agenda

- Objectives and the journey
- Our solution - RFnest™
- Hardware Design
- Real-virtual Integration
- **Use cases**

Use Case – Model Validation

Does my model have same performance as reality?

Before:

- Compare performance of simulation and field test
- Maybe the performance is the same
- Maybe the performance is different
- Do my simulated and field test environments really match?
- How confident am I really?

Now with **RFnest™**:

- Create simulated environment
- Digitally create identical environment for real radios
- Performance comparison results are now reliable

Simulation becomes more reliable

Use Case – Field Tests

Scenario: a problem is observed during a field test

Before:

- Try to replicate in lab/simulation
- Fix problem in replicated scenario
- Test it in simulation
- Re-run field test, hope fix works **Time/$$$**

Now with **RFnest™**:

- Record field test scenario, reproduce with high fidelity
- Fix problem in field test scenario with field test radios (“let radios experience that again”)
- Digitally replay field test many times

“free”

- Run final field test with high confidence

Use Case – Protocol works according to model

- Suppose evaluations using models (e.g. EMANE, ns-3, QualNet, etc.) suggest our protocol works fine
- Time for a field test 
- RFnest™ serves as intermediate step before field test
  - Actual OS/network stack
  - Actual RF transmissions
  - Actual network behaviors
  - Only “the air” is artificial
  - Validates the need for a field test and reduces the risk

Use Case - Cognitive RF Evaluation

- Cognitive RF modeling “hole”
  - Multiple channels
  - Primary/secondary users
  - Sensing, measuring, timing
  - **Many degrees of freedom**



- SDR results in actual cognitive radios being ahead of model counterparts
  - “There is no model for my radio!”
- Is the best path for cognitive radio evaluation to use

1) simulation, or 2) actual SDRs in an emulated environment?

Use Case - Jamming / EW

- Growing need to consider harsh RF environments
- Approvals for field tests with RF interference are difficult
- Infeasible to re-run field test many times to test performance in different RF conditions
- Fidelity of models for impact of various emitters?

- RFnest™ allows emitters to be added in the lab, signal is confined to RF cables

- If channel properties from field test are recorded, can efficiently re-run scenario with added emitters



Towards Realistic Wireless Evaluation

EMULATION

SIMULATION → REAL TESTING





network simulations

Emulator

“wireless wind tunnel”

RFnest

Repeatable field tests

Intelligent Automation, Inc.



Innovative solutions to meet your technical challenges …..

15400 Calhoun Drive, Suite 400
Rockville MD, 20855
(301) 294-5200
i-a-i.com