# Predicting search time in visual scenes using the fuzzy logic approach

Thomas J. Meitzler<sup>a</sup>, Euijung Sohn<sup>a</sup>, Harpreet Singh<sup>b</sup> and Abdelakrim Elgarhi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> US Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command Research, Development and Engineering Center (TARDEC) Warren, MI

<sup>b</sup> Wayne State University Electrical and Computer Engineering Department Detroit, MI

#### ABSTRACT

The mean search time of observers looking for targets in visual scenes with clutter is computed using the Fuzzy Logic Approach (FLA). The FLA is presented by the authors as a robust method for the computation of search times and or probabilities of detection for signature management decisions. The Mamdani/Assilian and Sugeno models have been investigated and are compared. A 44 image data set from TNO is used to build and validate the fuzzy logic model for detection. The input parameters are the: local luminance, range, aspect, width, wavelet edge points and the single output is search time. The Mamdani/Assilian model gave predicted mean search times from data not used in the training set that had a 0.957 correlation to the field search times. The data set is reduced using a clustering method then modeled using the FLA and results are compared to experiment.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

It has been three decades since Prof. L. A. Zadeh first proposed fuzzy set theory (logic) [1]. Following Mamdani and Assilian's pioneering work in applying the fuzzy logic approach to a steam plant in 1974 [2], the FLA has been finding a rapidly growing number of applications. These applications include, transportation (subways, helicopters, elevators, traffic control, and air control for highway tunnels), automobiles (engines, brakes, transmission and cruise control systems), washing machines, dryers, refrigerators, vacuum cleaners, TVs, VCRs, video cameras, and other industries including steel, chemical, power generation, aerospace, medical diagnosis systems, information technology, decision support and data analysis [3, 4, 5, 6, 7].

Although fuzzy logic can encode expert knowledge directly and easily using rules with linguistic labels, it usually takes some time to design and adjust the membership functions, which quantitatively define these linguistic labels. Neural network learning techniques can, in some cases, automate this process and substantially reduce development time. To enable a system to deal with cognitive uncertainties in a manner more like humans, researchers have incorporated the concept of fuzzy logic into the neural network modeling approach. The integration of these two techniques yields the Neuro-Fuzzy Approach (NFA) [8]. The NFA has potential to capture the benefits of both the fuzzy and the neural network methods into a single model. Target acquisition models, based on the theory of signal detection or the emulation of human early vision, are not mature enough to robustly model, from a first principal approach without any laboratory calibration, the human detection of targets in cluttered scenes. This is because our awareness of the visual world is a result of the perception, not merely detection, of the spatio-temporal, spectra-photometric stimuli that is transmitted onto the photoreceptors on the retina [8]. The computational processes involved with perceptual vision can be considered as the process of linking generalized ideas, such as clutter or edge metrics [10], to retinal early vision data [9]. From a system theoretic point of view, perceptual vision involves the mapping of early vision data into one or more concepts, and then inferring a meaning of the data based on prior experience and knowledge. The authors think that the methods of fuzzy and neuro-fuzzy systems provide a robust alternative to complex models for predicting observed search times and detection probabilities for the vehicles in cluttered scenes that are typically modeled by defense department scientists. The fuzzy logic approaches have been used to calculate the search time of vehicles in different visual scenes within the commercially available MATLAB Fuzzy Logic Toolbox.

 $\mathbf{G}$ 

For further information contact:

T.J.M. (correspondence): Email: meitzlet@tacom.army.mil; Telephone: (810)574-5405; Fax (810)574-6145

Report Documentation Page					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.							
1. REPORT DATE 23 JUN 2003		2. REPORT TYPE Journal Article		3. DATES COVERED 23-05-2003 to 22-06-2003			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER				
Predicting search t	c approach	5b. GRANT NUMBER					
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER					
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER				
Thomas Meitzler;	Euijung Sohn; Harp	krim Elgarhi	5e. TASK NUMBER				
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ; #13906Department,Wayne State University,5057 Woodward Avenue,Detroit,Mi,48202					G ORGANIZATION ER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(         U.S. Army TARDEC, 6501 East Eleven Mile Rd, Warren, Mi, 48397-5000       TARDEC							
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) #13906				
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
14. ABSTRACT The mean search time of observers look1ng for targets in visual scenes with clutter is computed using the Fuzzy Log1c Approach (FLA). The FLA is presented by the authors as a robust method for the computation of search times and or probabilities of detection for signature management decisions. The Mrundani/Assilian and Sugcno models have been anvestigated and arc compared A 44 image data set from TNO IS used to build and validate the fuzzy log1c model for dctecuon. The input parameters arc the: local luminance. range, aspect, width. wavelet edge points and the single output is search ume. The MamdaniJAssilian modd gave predicted mean seat"ch times from data not used an the trainang set that had a 0.957 coiTelat1on to the field search times. The data set is retluced using n clustering method then modeled using the FLA and results arc compared to experiment.							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBER 19.2 NAME OF							
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT Public Release	OF PAGES 10	RESPONSIBLE PERSON		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

### 2. FUZZY MODELS AND WAVELETS

Fuzzy modeling of systems is an approach, which describes complex system behavior, based on fuzzy logic with fuzzy predicates using a descriptive language. Fuzzy logic models basically fall into two fundamentally different categories, which differ in their ability to represent different types of information. The first category includes linguistic models that are based on the Mamdani and Assilian fuzzy inference method. Within this method, a scientist can design the membership functions are continuous. The second method of fuzzy inference is based on the Takagi-Sugeno-Kang, or simply Sugeno's method. In the Sugeno method the membership functions are linear or constant. For a review of these methods as applied to target acquisition modeling see [11,12].

The method of using wavelets to compute edge points, which are then used with fuzzy logic to compute the search time or the probability of detection, is derived from the elegant technique of Mallat and Zhong [15]. In [15] a derivation is made of 1- and 2-D wavelet transforms using a smoothing function,  $\theta(x)$ , that is a Gaussian. The integral of the function equals unity and the integral also converges to zero at infinity. We define the first- and second-order derivative of  $\theta(x)$ ,

$$\Psi^{a}(x) = \frac{d\theta(x)}{dx} \text{ and } \Psi^{b}(x) = \frac{d^{2}\theta(x)}{dx^{2}}.$$
(1)

By definition the functions  $\psi^{a}(x)$  and  $\psi^{b}(x)$  can be considered as wavelets because their integral is equal to zero. The following subscript 's' will be denoted as the scale factor,

$$\mathcal{E}_{s}\left(x\right) = \frac{1}{s} \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{x}{s}\right). \tag{2}$$

Following standard methods, the wavelet transform is calculated by convolving a dilated wavelet with the original signal. The wavelet transform of a function f(x) at the scale s and position x, calculated with respect to the wavelet  $\psi^{a}(x)$ , is defined in [15] as,

$$W_s^a f(x) = f^* \psi_s^a(x). \tag{3}$$

Similarly, the transform with respect to  $\psi^{b}(x)$  is,

$$W_{s}^{b}f(x) = f^{*}\psi_{s}^{b}(x).$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

The above wavelet transforms are the first and second derivative of the signal smoothed at the scale or resolution level s. Substituting into (3) and (4) equation (2) for the 1-D case, Mallat then derives a 2-D expression for the wavelet transform of a function or image,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
W_{s}^{1}f(x,y) \\
W_{s}^{2}f(x,y)
\end{pmatrix} =$$

$$s \begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f^{*}\theta_{s})(x,y) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(f^{*}\theta_{s})(x,y) \\
= s \vec{\nabla}(f^{*}\theta_{s})(x,y).
\end{cases}$$
(5)

The above wavelet transform definitions in (5) are important for a wavelet based clutter metric because they essentially define edge detectors that are used in the vision science community. For more discussion on this topic see ref. [16]. An implementation of eq. (5) in the program XWAVE was used to compute edge points.

#### 3. IMPLEMENTATION

The Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) that models the relationships between the various input variables that affect the determination of the search time is done specifically for this dataset. The predicted search time for target detection can be determined with the FLA using input target metrics for the images shown below in Fig.'s 1 through 6. The input variables were; distance from the target to the observer (km), the aspect angle of the vehicle relative to the observer (deg), the target height (pixels) and the target area (pixels<sup>2</sup>), target and the local background luminance (cd/m<sup>2</sup>), and the wavelet determined edge points of the scene as a measure of clutter. The one output parameter is the search time (secs). There were a total of 44 digitized color images along with the associated target and background metrics for the targets in each picture. 22 images are used for training and 22 are used for testing. Both the Mamdani and Sugeno type FIS methods are used and compared. The authors constructed the FIS's to predict search times using the MATLAB Fuzzy Logic Toolbox [13].

For convenience the algorithm for computing the wavelet edge points is summarized as follows;

- Read the input 256 X 256 element matrix which supports a discrete 2-D image f(x,y)
- · Determine the number of pixels on the target length and height
- The cell size then equals twice the length of the maximum target dimension
- Divide the image matrix into the maximum number of cells allowed
- Take the wavelet transform of each cell using (5) at a certain resolution level
- Set the threshold, here chosen as zero
- Determine the number of edge points in each cell along with the number of pixels
- Find the edge density from the number of edge points divided by the total number of pixels
- Iterate s, the level of wavelet in the analysis
- Find the edge density of the image as before and compute the WPOE clutter metric
- Apply a calibration scale factor based on experiment
- Find the probability of detection (Pd) for the target in the scene.

Sample Visual Images Courtesy of Dr. Alex Toet of TNO



н 06: р.



Fig. 3



Fig. 5





Fig. 4



Fig. 6

Table I below lists the metrics used in the trials. The table entries, all except 'Edge points', were provided by Dr. Alex Toet of TNO. The entries are; target type number, distance from target to sensor, the absolute value of the sin of the aspect angle of the vehicle relative to the observer, the height of the target in pixels, the area of the target in pixels, the target luminance, the darkest part of the target luminance, the surrounding area average luminance, edge points and the mean search time in seconds. The edge points were found using a wavelet program to compute the number of wavelet edge points over the whole image to give a measure of the clutter in the image.

TARGET NO	distance	aspect	vert	area	target lum	Dark	Surround	Educate	0
type	m	ass(sin)	Divele	Intustat	1	area Ium	lum	Lugepts	TIME
1001 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4007		pixeis	(pixels)	scene	dark	grass	pts	search
100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4007	0.707	10	141	14	17	29	0574	time(s)
2	2998	0.819	11	225	21	10	27	9007	14.6
2	3974	0.707	13	173	20	24	28	0927	15.2
3	5377	0.052	5	49	18	23	30	9138	12.4
4	1013	0.515	50	2708	19	5	34	8970	29.8
4	3052	0.000	11	100	12	18	30	8706	2.8
6	5188	0.407	9	76	18	23	28	0755	6.4
2	3679	0.122	10	96	12	20	26	9053	26.7
4	860	0.995	54	3425	9	1.5	40	8620	10.0
3 - 11 - 1 - 1 - 1	1951	0,848	16	332	15	11	27	0901	2.7
6	3992	0.788	11	154	20	19	26	0104	2.8
7	1041	0.743	24	1645	11	4	35	9194	11.9
2	2145	0.978	17	553	8	5	19	9074	2.5
3	1998	0.755	19	659	20	10	22	8280	3.7
4	4410	0.000	11	101	22	18	20	8739	8.1
1 6	2893	0.423	16	320	12	7	29	9404	12.4
0	1933	0.978	13	368	15	12	20	8670	2.5
	1850	0.961	28	876	3	4	23	8606	4.8
8	1045	0.087	26	985	19	01/0	10	8464	2.8
2	1933	0.946	22	867	16	11	12	8613	12.3
1	4206	0.000	9	79	26	29	27	8376	2.8
A Carlot A	5722	0.883	7	73	38	40	30	9506	15.1
4	4920	0.423	8	61	20	21	40	9044	25.6
6	4206	0.809	9	142	18	12	00	8618	12.1
0	2348	0.940	9	198	18	21	21	9152	8.0
1	3992	0.875	11	217	15	14	30	8504	5.5
9	4410	0.956	11	247	16	8	20	9078	7.8
8	2321	0.829	15	458	22	21	19	9397	9.6
5	3661	0.755	9	84	17	25	47	8365	5.1
3	3670	0.000	13	192	14	15	23	8807	7.5
	1671	1.000	19	893	15	13	2/	8483	6.1
4	4345	0.809	8	63	15	10	31	8959	3.5
2	3662	0.574	10	203	26	25	20	9021	12.3
5	633	0.707	50	4403	20	5	44	8702	5.4
3	492	0.070	57	3045	20	16	39	8741	2.5
4	1497	0.777	16	560	10	7	23	8992	2.2
5	1041	0.999	33	1613	17	5	20	9014	5.8
1	2891	0.985	19	486	12	12	32	8486	2.6
	5147	0.934	5	81	18	27	35	9021	12.1
6	1648	0.588	18	648	23	7	34	9075	34.9
8	948	0.731	35	1463	18	-	37	9070	2.7
7	3662	0.407	12	188	10	5	38	8790	3.7
6	2900	0.000	17	340	20	20	39	8524	5.8
2	5136	0.000	10	79	25	10	49	8791	4.1
						10	27	8941	10.6

TABLE I Metrics for FIS construction



Below in Fig. 7 is the Mamdani type FIS with the input parameters mentioned above and the search time as the single output. Fig. 8 is the firing array for the various membership functions using the Mandani approach.

Fig. 7. Mandami Fuzzy Logic Identification System for computing visual search times

✓ Rule Viewer: 8inputdiffedge			
Eile Edit View Options			×
stance = 3e+Boxpect = 0.8¥8rt-tar-ext #.fida-tar-ext = 120	m-scene = 21Lum-tar = 10	, Lum-surrEd@epoin	t = 8 93e+003
			Search-Time = 12.
	A B		牛 뉴
	R R		
		IT P	
0 6000 0 1.15 5 65 45 4500	0 40 0 40	0 50 6000	12500
Input [2998.0.819.11.225.21.10.27.99271]			
	101 Mov	/e: left rig	ht down up
Opened system 8inputdiffedge, 22 rules			
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF		Help	Close

2 . B

Fig. 8 Firing diagrams for the Mamdani FIS to predict search times

#### 4. RESULTS

Fig. 9 shows the correlation of laboratory search times to FLA predicted search times using the Mamdani approach with membership functions we designed and achieved a 0.957 correlation of model predicted search times to experimental search times. Fig. 10 is the output of the ANFIS model of the data, which gave a 0.60 correlation to the data. We also tried using the Mamdani FIS, with the 0.957 correlation to experiment, on another data set of visual imagery [14]. The FIS from one data set can be used to model another data set, if and only if, the metrics used to describe the various data sets are similar.

These results are indicative of the power of using the FLA to model highly complex data, for which there would be many interrelated equations if one tried to model the detection problem in the conventional standard algorithm based method.



,

Fig. 9 Graph of search times from Mamdani FLA model and the laboratory



Fig. 10 Chart showing the comparison of experimental search times to ANFIS FLA predicted search times

Clustering was also used to model the visual metrics and responses. For a large dataset, it will be desirable to reduce the number of input vectors to a small number to reduce the number of rules and membership functions that need to be constructed. Clustering was used to obtain the means of the 7 input vectors. The center of the clusters was used in the and 20 data points. The FLA with clustering was used to predict search time for the 22 points not used in obtaining the clusters and for the entire data set of 44 images.

Cluster	Correlation for 22 points which are not used for	Correlation for
fcm15	o era	44 points
f18	0.83	0.85
fc20	0.75	0.82
1020	0.82	0.88

## TABLE 2 System Evaluation Using Cluster Centers

It is expected that increasing the number of cluster centers and the number of rules will improve the correlation. This is not the case when the number of clusters was increased from 15 to 18. The reason for this is due to the random operations used in clusters' center calculations. In other words, if we started from another clusters' center we may get better correlation. We used the cluster centers as the centers of membership functions, but chose initial values for the width. We can then tune the width manually to increase the correlation. It is clear that there needs to be an objective algorithm or technique to tune the width of the membership functions as ANFIS does. Below in Fig. 11 is a snapshot of the result of clustering the input variable distance over 15 cluster means.



Fig. 11 Clustering results using 15 clusters

#### 5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the FLA yields very satisfactorily results, 0.97 correlation of laboratory or field data to model predicted data, and requires a fraction of the effort that goes into traditional algorithm based techniques of modeling target acquisition probabilities and search times. We expect that the fuzzy modeling approach could be used in the existing statistical decision theory modules of target acquisition models for any spectral regime.

Two fuzzy models have been used: namely the Mamdani and Sugeno models. This application of the FLA involved pictures, metrics, and experimental search times of images in the visual band. Future work will involve the application of the FLA to predict the Pd's of moving targets in visual and infrared cluttered scenes for military and commercial applications. Clustering of the input data was explored as a means to reduce the number of input vectors and membership functions. For large data sets, a saving of computational time and effort should be realized using this approach. The membership functions can be designed using experimental Pd's or search times collected in the TARDEC Visual Perception Laboratory (VPL). The TARDEC VPL is being used in a collaborative R&D project with auto companies on vehicle conspicuity.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors extend thanks to Dr. Lex Toet of the TNO Human Factors Research Institute of the Netherlands for providing the visual images for study and conspicuity metrics used in their vision research and for the assistance of Mr. Deok Nam from the E.C.E. Dept. Wayne State University.

#### 6. REFERENCES

- [1] L. Zadeh, "Fuzzy Sets", Information and Control", 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [2] E. Mamdani and S. Assilian, "Applications of fuzzy algorithms for control of simple dynamic plant", Proc. Inst. Elec. Eng., Vol. 121, pp. 1585-1588, 1974. [3] T. Munakata, and Y. Jani, "Fuzzy Systems: An Overview", Commun., ACM, Vol. 37, No. 3, pp. 69-76, Mar. 1994.
- [4] R. Mizoguchi, and H. Motoda (eds.), "AI in Japan: Expert Systems Research in Japan", IEEE Expert, pp. 14-23, Aug.
- [5] E. Cox, The Fuzzy Systems Handbook: A Practitioner's Guide to Building, Using, and Maintaining Fuzzy Systems, AP [6] D. G. Schwartz, G. J. Klir, H. W. Lewis, and Y. Ezawa, "Applications of Fuzzy Sets and Approximate Reasoning",
- IEEE Proc., Vol. 82, No. 4, pp. 482-498, Apr. 1994.

11.14

- [7] T. Terano, K. Asai, and M. Sugeno, Fuzzy Systems and its Applications, AP Professional, 1992.
- [8] J-S. R. Jang, "ANFIS: Adaptive-Network-Based Fuzzy Inference System", IEEE Trans. Sys., Man, and Cyber., Vol. 23,
- [9] M. Gupta and G. Knopf, "Fuzzy Logic in Vision Perception", SPIE Vol. 1826, Robots and Computer Vision XI, pp. 300-
- [10] S.R. Rotman, E.S. Gordon, and M.L. Kowalczyk, "Modeling human search and target acquisition performance:III. Target detection in the presence of obscurants," Optical eng., Vol. 30, No.6, June 1991.

[11] T.J. Meitzler, "Modern Approaches to the Computation of the Probability of Target Detection in Cluttered Environments", Ph.D. Thesis, Wayne State University, Dec. 1995.

[12]T. Meitzler, L. Arefeh, H. Singh, and G. Gerhart, "The fuzzy logic approach to computing the probability of target detection in cluttered environments," Optical Engineering, vol. 35 No. 12, December 1996, pp. 3623-3636. [13] Fuzzy Logic Toolbox, for use with the MATLAB, the Math Works Inc., Jan. 1995.

[14] Meitzler, T, Singh, H., Arefeh, L., Sohn, E., and Gerhart, G., "Predicting the Probability of target detection in static infrared and visual scenes using the fuzzy logic approach," Opt. Eng., Vol. 37 (1), Jan. 1998.

[15] S. Mallat and S. Zhong, "Characterization of signals from multiscale edges," IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. 14, No.7, pp. 710-732, (1992).

[16] Meitzler, T., Karlsen, R., Gerhart, G., Sohn, E., and Singh, H., "Wavelet transforms of cluttered images and their application to computing the probability of detection," Optical Engineering, 35(10), pp. 3019-3025, Oct. 1996.