UV Sentry: A Collaborative Approach to Creating a Collaborative System

The 2011 CINT Team

		Form Approved *OMB No. 0704-0188*

Report Documentation Page

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE **2012**	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED **00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012**

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE **UV Sentry: A Collaborative Approach to Creating a Collaborative System**	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
	5b. GRANT NUMBER
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER
	5e. TASK NUMBER
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) **Centers for Innovative Naval Technology,Bethesda,MD,20817**	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT **Approved for public release; distribution unlimited**

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES **Presented at : ASNE Day 2012, Crystal City, Arlington, VA February 9-10, 2012**

14. ABSTRACT

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT **unclassified**	b. ABSTRACT **unclassified**	c. THIS PAGE **unclassified**	**Same as Report (SAR)**	**29**	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

The 2011 CINT Team

- Naval Surface Warfare – Carderock Division: Center for Innovation in Ship Design
  - Dr. Karl von Ellenrieder
  - Mark Groden
  - Greg Koch
  - Lauren Moraski – Mentor
  - David Widhalm – Mentor
  - Cullen Sarles
- Naval Undersea Warfare – Newport
  - Alexandria Byrd
  - Davis Knox
  - Ronald Duarte
  - Chris Duarte – Mentor
  - Bill Lonardo – Mentor

- SPAWAR Systems Center – Pacific
  - Daniel Gorelik
  - Jeremy Payne
  - Phillip Verbancsics
  - John Reeder
  - Robert Gutzwiller
  - Virginia Wang
  - Azi Sharif – Mentor
  - Jim Hubin – Mentor
  - Doug Lange – Mentor
- Office of Naval Research
  - Bob Brizzolara

Overview

- Background
  - Centers for Innovative Naval Technology (CINT)
  - UV Sentry Concept
  - Proposed Scenario
- Summer Objectives
- Discussion of Results
  - Developed CONOPS
  - Platform
  - Autonomy
  - Communications
  - Human-Machine Interfacing

Background: Centers for Innovative Naval Technology

- Underlying Structure:
  - Single Overall Project
  - Student Interns at Multiple Centers
    - NREIP
    - SMART
  - Mentors and Advisors at Each Center
- Objectives:
  - Concept Development and Exploration
  - Cross Lab Collaboration
  - Students Exposure to Multidisciplinary Team Projects

Background: UV Sentry

- **Multiple Unmanned Vehicle System**
  - Autonomous Collaboration
  - Heterogeneous Mix of Vehicles
- **Force Protection Role**
  - Expanded Situational Awareness
  - Reduced Response Times
  - Flexible Mission Profiles

Background: UV Sentry

- Originally Envisioned for Sea Base Protection

Background: UV Sentry

Several Identified Missions:

- ## Maritime Facility Protection
  - ▫ Persistent, long endurance, asset-limited surveillance and tracking

- ## Counter Drug Operations:
  - ▫ Persistent, long endurance, wide-area surveillance and tracking

- ## Maritime Security/ Anti-Piracy
  - ▫ Persistent, long endurance, wide-area surveillance and warning

- ## Maritime Domain Awareness
  - ▫ Persistent ISR, automated data fusion, autonomous mission planning and task allocation

# Background: Anti-Piracy Scenario

- 219 attempted pirate attacks off the coast of Somalia in 2010

- Greater than $80M was paid out in ransom

- Costs maritime industry $1-16B
  (Peter Chald, Rand Corp 2009)

- Number of attacks and dollars paid out in ransom increasing each year

Background: Anti-Piracy Scenario
Area of Protection

Extension of IRTC
(Internationally Recommended Transit Corridor)

Detail of protection area

12 nm

1,000 nm

Background: Anti-Piracy Scenario

- **Typical Convoy Based Force Protection Schemes Not Viable:**
  - 33,000 ships pass through per year
  - 3 days to transit area of protection
  - 300 ships in area of protection at one time
  - 15 minute response times
- **Major Challenges**
  - Reduction in Response Time
  - Increased Situational Awareness

Summer Objectives

- CINT:
  - Provide Stimulating, Challenging, and Educational Problems for the Summer Students
  - Foster Interdisciplinary, Cross-Warfare Center Teams
  - Establish a model from which future CINT efforts could draw.
- UV Sentry
  - Evaluate Technical Feasibility of Concept
 - Identify Technologies of Interest for Future Study

Summer Objectives: Topic Focuses

- **Platform Objectives:**
  - USV design to meet needs of scenario
  - Explore small craft design without need for onboard human operator
- **Autonomy Objectives:**
  - Identify enabling technology and methods for the autonomous, decentralized operation of multiple, heterogeneous unmanned vehicles
  - Focus Areas:
    - Real-time tasking
    - Machine learning
    - Autonomous target identification and classification
- **Communication Objective:**
  - Identify requirement drivers for the UV Sentry communication system
- **Human-Machine Interaction Objectives**
  - Identify Human-Machine Interface (HMI) requirement drivers
  - Explore the implications related to increasing the level of autonomy in system

Results: System CONOPs

General CONOPs

- Leverage Platform Capabilities
  - UAVs – Comms relays and broad area surveillance
  - USVs – Local recon and threat interdiction
  - UUVs – Discrete surveillance
- Zonal Coverage
- Human in-the-loop Control Systems

UxV System Operational View

Remote Supervisory Control

OV 1 Diagram

Results: Platform CONOPs

- Deployed & serviced by Service Ship
- Loiter until pirate threat detected
  - Each USV guards a 77x12 nm area
  - 13 USVs needed for complete coverage
  - 5 days between servicing
- Intercept pirate threat (sprint up to 39 nm)
  - Provide ISR
  - Delay, Deter or Monitor/Follow

12 nm

77 nm

39 nm

USV patrol area

Results: USV Requirements

- Endurance: 6 days (1 day buffer)
- Speed: 40 knots sprint speed
  - Allows for 60 minute or less response time anywhere in zone
- Sea State (S.S.)
  - Operable in S.S. 4 (2.5m waves)
  - Survivable in S.S. 7 (9m waves)
- Loiter in up to 1.5 knot current

Hydrofoil Supported Catamaran

Length Overall	11 m
Beam	4.7 m
Draft	0.8 m
Displacement	7.8 tonnes
Speed (S.S. 4)	40 knots
Duration	6 days
Installed Power	898 kW

Characteristics

Isometric View

Results: Group Autonomy

- Game Theory (GT) is used to determine the **Group Mode**.
  - ▫ Every possible action is given an objective function.
  - ▫ Each function is evaluated given the current state of the environment.
  - ▫ The highest function value is chosen for the next action.

- Market-Based Tasking (MBT) is used to determine **Individual Tasks**.
  - ▫ MBT uses an auctioning system to optimally redistribute Tasks.
  - ▫ Periodically each UV auctions it current task.
  - ▫ Participants place bids on the task using a personal cost-benefit function.
 - ▫ The highest bid wins the auction and is assigned the task.

Results: Machine Learning

Big Picture: Benefits of Machine Learning:

- Machine learning leverages cheap computational power rather than expensive man-power

- Learned policies can compete with or exceed the performance of human-designed policies

- Learning can provide robustness and features such as scalability

- Learning algorithms are domain independent

Results: Machine Learning Search Patterns

Scripted Search Pattern

Learn Search Behavior

Patrol Training Domain
- 7 'USV's
  - 3 nm sensors
  - 25 knot speed
- Potential 'pirate' threats
  - Randomly appear along edges of operational area
  - Traverse a straight line to a random point on opposite edge
  - 10 knot speed
- Learned policy 'USV's must come within half sensor range to remove threat
  - Hand designed parallel search is relaxed to full sensor range

Results: Machine Learning

- Learned policy competitive with scripted policy that incorporates domain knowledge

- Learned policy more robust to changes in the domain

- Learned scales performance with number of agents

Results: Object Recognition

Rapid Image Exploitation
Resource (RAPIER)

- What is RAPIER?
  - Framework for processing satellite imagery
  - Automatically detect targets and analyze imagery
- Allows one to create new algorithms to plug into RAPIER (airplanes, vehicles, roads, etc.) – currently used for ship detection
- Handles variety of data sources : SAR, EO, Multispectral, IR, etc
- Large Computational Loads
 - Increased Preprocessing
  - High Bandwidth

Advanced Algorithms Detect Ships
behind Clouds and in Rough Seas

Results: Communication Systems

- Challenges:
  - Variable Bandwidth Loading with High Peak Loading
  - Large Distributed Network without Set Architecture
- Proposed Solution:
  - Ad Hoc Network Structure
  - Communication Pathways reconfigure based upon bandwidth demands

Results: Communication Systems


Low Bandwidth Network Concept

High Bandwidth Network Concept

Results: Human Factors

- Automation Level Concerns
  - ▫ Too much automation under utilizes users, reducing their situation awareness and overall system performance
  - ▫ Too little automation overloads users, reducing their situation awareness and overall system performance
  - ▫ **Balance**
- Human – Machine Interface Design Concerns
  - ▫ Focus on the users and their tasks, not the technology
  - ▫ Consider function first, presentation later
  - ▫ Conform to the users' view of the task
  - ▫ Do not complicate the users' task
  - ▫ Promote learning
  - ▫ Deliver information, not just data
  - ▫ Design for responsiveness
  - ▫ Try it out on users, then fix it

Results: Human – Machine Interface

Results: Summary

- A large number of the necessary pieces of UV Sentry are being actively developed for use in other programs
  - Machine Learning
  - Object Detection
  - Communication Networks
  - Single Vehicle Autonomy
  - HMI
- The viability of UV Sentry is dependent upon the integration of all of the technical components

Questions

Thanks to Our Sponsors:
Bob Brizzolara (ONR) and Kelly Cooper (ONR)