

Aspects of Differential Geometry and Tensor Calculus in Anholonomic Configuration Space

by John D. Clayton

ARL-RP-419

February 2013

A reprint from *Oberwolfach Reports*, Vol. 9, Issue 1, pp. 898–900, 2012.

.

.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

ARL-RP-419**February 2013**

Aspects of Differential Geometry and Tensor Calculus in Anholonomic Configuration Space

John D. Clayton
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

A reprint from *Oberwolfach Reports*, Vol. 9, Issue 1, pp. 898–900, 2012.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<p>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</p>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) February 2013		2. REPORT TYPE Reprint		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) September 2010–September 2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Aspects of Differential Geometry and Tensor Calculus in Anholonomic Configuration Space				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) John D. Clayton				5d. PROJECT NUMBER AH80	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: RDRL-WMP-B Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-RP-419	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES A reprint from <i>Oberwolfach Reports</i> , Vol. 9, Issue 1, pp. 898–900, 2012.					
14. ABSTRACT In the context of finite deformation mechanics, a tangent mapping is anholonomic over some domain when it is not a gradient of a motion; conversely, a deformation gradient is holonomic when it is integrable to a motion field everywhere in that domain. This brief report addresses covariant differentiation for four possible choices of basis vectors in anholonomic space. As an example from continuum physics, the theory is applied towards description of divergence of the heat flux.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS finite deformation, tensor analysis, geometry, curvilinear coordinates, inelasticity					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John D. Clayton
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 410-278-6146

Aspects of differential geometry and tensor calculus in anholonomic configuration space

J.D. CLAYTON

In the context of finite deformation mechanics, a tangent mapping is anholonomic over some domain when it is not a gradient of a motion; conversely, a deformation gradient is holonomic when it is integrable to a motion field everywhere in that domain. This brief report addresses covariant differentiation for four possible choices of basis vectors in anholonomic space. As an example from continuum physics, the theory is applied towards description of divergence of the heat flux. An extensive treatment of anholonomic mathematics can be found in a recent article [1]; however, this report includes material not found in [1], and vice-versa.

As suggested by Schouten [2], consideration of differential geometry of anholonomic spaces dates back to at least 1926 [3]. Many important identities are derived in [2, 4]. Various coordinate systems and associated metric tensors in anholonomic space are considered in [5], with particular focus on convected basis and Cartesian representations. Correspondences among mathematical objects from differential geometry and their continuum physical counterparts in defect field theory of crystals are described at length in a more recent monograph [6].

The present description is limited to the time-independent case, such that spatial coordinates x^a are related to reference coordinates X^A by one-to-one and at least twice-differentiable mappings $x^a(X)$ and $X^A(x)$, with X a material particle and x its spatial representation. Let the usual holonomic deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}(X)$ be decomposed multiplicatively as

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{F} = \bar{\mathbf{F}} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \quad F_{.A}^a = \bar{F}_{.a}^a \tilde{F}_{.A}^a;$$

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{F} = \partial_A x^a \mathbf{g}_a \otimes \mathbf{G}^A, \quad \bar{\mathbf{F}} = \bar{F}_{.a}^a \mathbf{g}_a \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^a, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{F}} = \tilde{F}_{.A}^a \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_a \otimes \mathbf{G}^A.$$

Denoting $\partial_A = \partial/\partial X^A$ and $\partial_a = \partial/\partial x^a$, partial differentiation proceeds as

$$(3) \quad \partial_\alpha(\cdot) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{F}_{.a}^a \partial_a(\cdot) = \tilde{F}^{-1A}_{.a} \partial_A(\cdot), \quad \partial_A(\cdot) = \partial_A x^a \partial_a(\cdot) = F_{.A}^a \partial_a(\cdot).$$

Attention is restricted to a simply connected domain in reference and current configurations such that $\{X^A\}$ and $\{x^a\}$ are global coordinate charts. Let indices in brackets be skew, e.g., $A_{[AB]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(A_{AB} - A_{BA})$. Since X^A and x^a are holonomic coordinates,

$$(4) \quad \partial_{[A} \partial_{B]}(\cdot) = 0, \quad \partial_{[a} \partial_{b]}(\cdot) = 0; \quad \partial_{[A} F_{.B]}^a = 0, \quad \partial_{[a} F_{.b]}^{-1A} = 0.$$

Similar identities do not always hold for $\partial_\alpha(\cdot)$ since $\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ are not necessarily integrable functions of x^a or X^A . Anholonomic object κ obeys [1, 2]

$$(5) \quad \kappa_{\beta\chi}^{\cdot\cdot\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\bar{F}^{-1\alpha}_{.a} \partial_{[\beta} \bar{F}_{. \chi]}^a = -\tilde{F}_{.A}^{\alpha} \partial_{[\beta} \tilde{F}_{. \chi]}^{-1A} = -\kappa_{\chi\beta}^{\cdot\cdot\alpha};$$

$$(6) \quad \partial_{[\alpha} \partial_{\beta]}(\cdot) = -\kappa_{\alpha\beta}^{\cdot\cdot\chi} \partial_\chi(\cdot).$$

Holonomic charts $\{\tilde{x}^\alpha(X)\}$ [or $\{\tilde{x}^\alpha(x)\}$] exist in a one-to-one fashion with X or x if and only if $\kappa_{\beta\chi}^{\cdot\cdot\alpha} = 0$ throughout a simply connected domain.

Let \mathbf{A} be a generic differentiable tensor field. Covariant differentiation in anholonomic space is defined as

$$(7) \quad \nabla_\nu A_{\gamma\dots\mu}^{\alpha\dots\phi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_\nu A_{\gamma\dots\mu}^{\alpha\dots\phi} + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\alpha\dots\phi} A_{\gamma\dots\mu}^{\rho\dots\phi} + \dots + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\phi\dots\phi} A_{\gamma\dots\mu}^{\alpha\dots\rho} - \Gamma_{\nu\gamma}^{\rho\dots\rho} A_{\rho\dots\mu}^{\alpha\dots\phi} - \dots - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\rho\dots\rho} A_{\gamma\dots\rho}^{\alpha\dots\phi}.$$

Connection coefficients can be expressed in general form as [2]

$$(8) \quad \Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\dots\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\delta} (\partial_{\{\beta} \tilde{g}_{\delta\chi\}} - 2T_{\{\beta\delta\chi\}} + 2\kappa_{\{\beta\delta\chi\}} + M_{\{\beta\delta\chi\}}),$$

where $\tilde{g}^{\alpha\chi} \tilde{g}_{\chi\beta} = \delta_\beta^\alpha$ and the following definitions apply:

$$(9) \quad (\cdot)_{\{\alpha\beta\chi\}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\cdot)_{\alpha\beta\chi} - (\cdot)_{\beta\chi\alpha} + (\cdot)_{\chi\alpha\beta}, \quad (\cdot)_{\beta\chi\delta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\cdot)_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\dots\alpha} \tilde{g}_{\delta\alpha};$$

$$(10) \quad \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\beta, \quad T_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\dots\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{[\beta\chi]}^{\alpha\dots\alpha} + \kappa_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\dots\alpha}, \quad M_{\alpha\beta\chi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\nabla_\alpha \tilde{g}_{\beta\chi}.$$

In this report attention is restricted to metric connections so that $M_{\alpha\beta\chi} = 0$ and

$$(11) \quad \partial_\alpha \tilde{g}_\beta = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\chi\dots\chi} \tilde{g}_\chi, \quad \partial_\alpha \tilde{g}^\beta = -\Gamma_{\alpha\chi}^{\beta\dots\beta} \tilde{g}^\chi;$$

$$(12) \quad \partial_\alpha \ln \sqrt{\tilde{g}} = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\chi\dots\chi}, \quad \nabla_\alpha \epsilon_{\beta\chi\delta} = \partial_\alpha \epsilon_{\beta\chi\delta} - \Gamma_{\alpha\phi}^{\chi\dots\chi} \epsilon_{\beta\chi\delta} = 0;$$

$$(13) \quad \tilde{g} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \det(\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}), \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\chi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\tilde{g}} e_{\alpha\beta\chi}, \quad \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\chi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1/\sqrt{\tilde{g}}) e^{\alpha\beta\chi}.$$

The covariant derivative of a generic differentiable vector field $\mathbf{V} = V^\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha$ is then

$$(14) \quad \nabla \mathbf{V} = \partial_\beta \mathbf{V} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^\beta = (\partial_\beta V^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\dots\alpha} V^\chi) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^\beta.$$

Total covariant derivatives of two-point tangent mappings $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-1}$ are [1, 6]

$$(15) \quad \tilde{F}_{A:B}^\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_B \tilde{F}_{A\cdot}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\dots\alpha} \tilde{F}_{B\cdot}^\beta \tilde{F}_{A\cdot}^\chi - \Gamma_{BA}^{\chi\dots\chi} \tilde{F}_{C\cdot}^\alpha = \tilde{F}_{A\cdot}^\alpha \tilde{F}_{B\cdot}^\beta;$$

$$(16) \quad \bar{F}_{a:b}^{-1\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_b \bar{F}_{a\cdot}^{-1\alpha} + \Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\dots\alpha} \bar{F}_{b\cdot}^{-1\beta} \bar{F}_{a\cdot}^{-1\chi} - \Gamma_{ba}^{\chi\dots\chi} \bar{F}_{c\cdot}^{-1\alpha} = \bar{F}_{a\cdot}^{-1\alpha} \bar{F}_{b\cdot}^{-1\beta}.$$

Metrics and Levi-Civita connections in reference and current configurations are

$$(17) \quad G_{AB} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{G}_A \cdot \mathbf{G}_B = \partial_A \mathbf{X} \cdot \partial_B \mathbf{X}, \quad \Gamma_{BC}^A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} G^{AD} \partial_{\{B} G_{DC\}};$$

$$(18) \quad g_{ab} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{g}_a \cdot \mathbf{g}_b = \partial_a \mathbf{x} \cdot \partial_b \mathbf{x}, \quad \Gamma_{bc}^{a\dots a} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} g^{ad} \partial_{\{b} g_{dc\}}.$$

Letting $g = \det(g_{ab})$ and $G = \det(G_{AB})$, Jacobian determinants are [5, 6]

$$(19) \quad J = \sqrt{g/G} \det(\partial_A x^a) = \bar{J} \tilde{J}, \quad \bar{J} = \sqrt{g/\tilde{g}} \det(\bar{F}_{\alpha}^a), \quad \tilde{J} = \sqrt{\tilde{g}/G} \det(\tilde{F}_{\alpha}^a).$$

Piola's identities for possibly anholonomic Jacobian determinants are then [1, 4, 6]

$$(20) \quad (\tilde{J} \tilde{F}_{\alpha}^{-1A})_{:A} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\chi} \epsilon^{ABC} \tilde{F}_{A\cdot}^\beta \tilde{F}_{[B\cdot}^\chi, \quad (\bar{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\alpha}^a)_{:a} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\chi} \epsilon^{abc} \bar{F}_{a\cdot}^{-1\beta} \bar{F}_{[b\cdot}^{-1\chi}.$$

Let $\bar{\mathbf{q}} = \bar{q}^\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha$ denote the heat flux vector referred to anholonomic space, let $k^{\alpha\beta}$ denote a covariant constant positive semi-definite tensor of thermal conductivity with the particular form dictated by the material symmetry group, and let θ denote temperature. Nanson's formula and energy invariance among configurations lead to relationships among $\bar{\mathbf{q}}$, spatial flux \mathbf{q} , and reference flux \mathbf{Q} :

$$(21) \quad \bar{q}^\alpha = \bar{J} \bar{F}_{a\cdot}^{-1\alpha} q^a = \tilde{J}^{-1} \tilde{F}_A^\alpha Q^A = -k^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \theta.$$

Heat transfer per unit anholonomic volume is the divergence [6, 7]

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha + \bar{q}^\alpha \bar{J}(\bar{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\cdot\alpha}^a)_{:a} = \nabla_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha + \bar{q}^\alpha \tilde{J}^{-1}(\tilde{J} \tilde{F}^{-1A}_{\cdot\alpha})_{:A} \\ &= \tilde{J}^{-1} \nabla_A Q^A = \tilde{J} \nabla_a q^a. \end{aligned}$$

Four choices of basis $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha\}$ are considered. In the first case, the anholonomic object is assumed to vanish such that Euclidean position vector $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(X)$ exists:

$$(23) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha = \partial_\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\delta} \partial_{\{\beta} \tilde{g}_{\delta\chi\}}, \quad (\tilde{J} \tilde{F}^{-1A}_{\cdot\alpha})_{:A} = 0, \quad (\bar{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\cdot\alpha}^a)_{:a} = 0;$$

$$(24) \quad \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha = \partial_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha + \bar{q}^\alpha \partial_\alpha \ln \sqrt{\tilde{g}} = -k^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \theta - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\chi\chi} \partial_\chi \theta).$$

In the second case, Cartesian intermediate bases $\{\mathbf{e}_\alpha\}$ are assigned, but tangent maps need not be integrable:

$$(25) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{e}_\alpha, \quad \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha} = 0, \quad \nabla_\alpha(\cdot) = \partial_\alpha(\cdot);$$

$$(26) \quad \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha = \partial_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha + \bar{q}^\alpha \bar{J} \partial_a (\bar{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\cdot\alpha}^a) = -k^{\alpha\beta} [\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \theta + \bar{J} \partial_a (\bar{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\cdot\alpha}^a) \partial_\beta \theta].$$

In the third case, $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha\}$ are chosen coincident with reference basis vectors $\{\mathbf{G}_A\}$; object $\kappa_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha}$, torsion $T_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha}$, and curvature from $\Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha}$ all may be nonzero [1]; and

$$(27) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta_\alpha^A \mathbf{G}_A, \quad \Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha} = \tilde{F}^{-1B}_{\cdot\beta} \delta_\alpha^A \delta_\chi^C \Gamma_{BC}^{\alpha\alpha}, \quad \nabla_\alpha V^\beta = \tilde{F}^{-1A}_{\cdot\alpha} \nabla_A V^B \delta_B^\beta;$$

$$(28) \quad \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha = -k^{\alpha\beta} [\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \theta - \tilde{F}^{-1A}_{\cdot\alpha} \delta_\beta^B \delta_\chi^C \Gamma_{AB}^{\alpha\alpha} \partial_\chi \theta + \tilde{J}^{-1} (\tilde{J} \tilde{F}^{-1A}_{\cdot\alpha})_{:A} \partial_\beta \theta].$$

In the fourth case, $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha\}$ are chosen coincident with spatial basis vectors $\{\mathbf{g}_a\}$; object $\kappa_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha}$, torsion $T_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha}$, and curvature from $\Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha}$ all may be nonzero [1]; and

$$(29) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta_\alpha^a \mathbf{g}_a, \quad \Gamma_{\beta\chi}^{\alpha\alpha} = \bar{F}_{\cdot\beta}^b \delta_\alpha^a \delta_\chi^c \Gamma_{bc}^{\alpha\alpha}, \quad \nabla_\alpha V^\beta = \bar{F}_{\cdot\alpha}^a \nabla_a V^b \delta_b^\beta;$$

$$(30) \quad \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \bar{q}^\alpha = -k^{\alpha\beta} [\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \theta - \bar{F}_{\cdot\alpha}^a \delta_\beta^b \delta_\chi^c \Gamma_{ab}^{\alpha\alpha} \partial_\chi \theta + \bar{J} (\bar{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\cdot\alpha}^a)_{:a} \partial_\beta \theta].$$

The second case (Cartesian) is most common and presumably most practical for materials of arbitrary anisotropy; the third or fourth cases may prove useful for structures with curved shapes and hexagonal or isotropic symmetry.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.D. Clayton, *On anholonomic deformation, geometry, and differentiation*, Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids (2012), in press, DOI:10.1177/1081286511429887.
- [2] J.A. Schouten, *Ricci Calculus*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1954).
- [3] G. Vranceanu, *Sur les espaces non holonomes*, C R Academie Sciences **183** (1926), 852–854.
- [4] W. Noll, *Materially uniform simple bodies with inhomogeneities*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **27** (1967), 1–32.
- [5] J.D. Clayton, D.J. Bammann, D.L. McDowell, *Anholonomic configuration spaces and metric tensors in finite strain elastoplasticity*, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics **39** (2004), 1039–1049.
- [6] J.D. Clayton, *Nonlinear Mechanics of Crystals*, Springer, Dordrecht (2011).
- [7] J.D. Clayton, *A continuum description of nonlinear elasticity, slip and twinning, with application to sapphire*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A **465** (2009), 307–334.

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1
(PDF
only) DEFENSE TECHNICAL
INFORMATION CTR
DTIC OCA
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD
STE 0944
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
IMAL HRA
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
RDRL CIO LL
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

37 DIR USARL
RDRL CIH C
P CHUNG
J KNAP
RDRL WM
B FORCH
S KARNA
J MCCAULEY
RDRL WML B
I BATYREV
B RICE
D TAYLOR
N WEINGARTEN
RDRL WMM B
G GAZONAS
D HOPKINS
B LOVE
B POWERS
RDRL WMM F
M TSCHOPP
RDRL WMP B
D POWELL
S SATAPATHY
M SCHEIDLER
RDRL WMP C
R BECKER
S BILYK
T BJERKE
D CASEM
J CLAYTON (10 CPS)
D DANDEKAR
M GREENFIELD
B LEAVY

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

M RAFTENBERG
S SEGLETES
C WILLIAMS