

## Molecular Strategies Against Sulfur Mustard Toxicity

Lieutenant Colonel Ahmet KORKMAZ, M.D., Ph.D., Air Force Officer <sup>1</sup>  
Commander Hakan YAREN, M.D., Ph.D., Navy Officer <sup>2</sup>  
Captain Zeki Ilker KUNAK, M.D., Ph.D., Army Officer <sup>2</sup>  
Major Bulent UYSAL, M.D., Ph.D., Gendarme Officer <sup>1</sup>  
Major Turgut TOPAL, M.D., Ph.D., Army Officer <sup>1</sup>  
Major Serdar SADIR, M.D., Ph.D., Gendarme Officer <sup>1</sup>  
Lieutenant Colonel Sukru OTER, M.D., Ph.D., Air Force Officer <sup>1</sup>

Gulhane Military Medical Academy;  
Departments of <sup>(1)</sup> Physiology and <sup>(2)</sup> NBC Division; Ankara  
TURKEY

E-mail: [korkmaza@gata.edu.tr](mailto:korkmaza@gata.edu.tr) / [drakorkmaz@gmail.com](mailto:drakorkmaz@gmail.com)

### ABSTRACT

*Among the available chemical warfare agents, sulfur mustard (SM), also known as mustard gas, has been a widely used chemical weapon. Because of its devastating toxicity, its use during the World War I earned it the sobriquet “king of the battle gasses”. The toxicity of SM as an incapacitating agent is of much greater importance than its ability to cause lethality. In our laboratory, we have shown that, acute toxicity of SM is related to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, DNA damage, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase activation and energy depletion within the affected cell. Therefore, a variety of antioxidant molecules including N-acetyl cysteine, alpha-tocopherol, and melatonin may exert beneficial effects against acute mustard-induced toxicity. Because excess nitric oxide and peroxynitrite also involve in the pathogenesis of SM-induced toxicity inhibitors of nitric oxide synthase (e.g., aminoguanidine), and peroxynitrite scavengers (e.g., ebselen) may also alleviate the chemical damage.*

*In spite of the knowledge about acute SM-induced cellular toxicity, unfortunately, it is not clear how mustard gas causes severe multi-organ damage years after even a single exposure. Because of difficulties to create delayed toxicity of SM experimentally, we have almost no idea about the efficacy of molecules used against acute SM-induced toxicity. Nevertheless, 100,000 Iranian casualties are still suffering from the detrimental effects of SM in spite of the extensive treatment. A variety of treatment modalities including antioxidants, anti-inflammatory drugs and others have resulted no promising results. We, therefore, made an attempt whether epigenetic aberrations may contribute to pathogenesis of mustard poisoning.*

*The term epigenetic describes the study of inheritable alterations in gene expression that occur in the absence of changes in genome sequence. This is in contrast to genetics, which deals with the transmission of information based on differences in DNA sequence. Therefore, epigenetic gene regulation requires molecular mechanisms that encode information in addition to the DNA base sequence and can be propagated through mitosis and meiosis. Our current understanding of epigenetic regulation of gene expression involves basically two classes of molecular mechanisms: histone modifications and DNA methylation. A variety of enzymes are involved in this process including most importantly histone deacetylases (HDACs), histone acetyl transferases (HATs) and DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs).*

*Preliminary evidence obtained from our laboratory reveals that exposure to mustards may not only cause nitro-oxidative stress and DNA (genetic) damage, but epigenetic perturbations as well. Epigenetic therapy is a new and rapidly developing field in pharmacology. To date, most trials of epigenetic drugs have been conducted to evaluate their effects on cancer, many of which have shown promising results. Epigenetic*

Report Documentation Page		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.		
1. REPORT DATE <b>APR 2010</b>	2. REPORT TYPE <b>N/A</b>	3. DATES COVERED <b>-</b>
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Molecular Strategies Against Sulfur Mustard Toxicity</b>		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
		5b. GRANT NUMBER
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
	5e. TASK NUMBER	
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>NATO</b>		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release, distribution unlimited</b>		
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>See also ADA564622. Use of Advanced Technologies and New Procedures in Medical Field Operations (Utilisation de technologies avancees et de procedures nouvelles dans les operations sanitaires). RTO-MP-HFM-182</b>		
14. ABSTRACT <b>Among the available chemical warfare agents, sulfur mustard (SM), also known as mustard gas, has been a widely used chemical weapon. Because of its devastating toxicity, its use during the World War I earned it the sobriquet king of the battle gasses. The toxicity of SM as an incapacitating agent is of much greater importance than its ability to cause lethality. In our laboratory, we have shown that, acute toxicity of SM is related to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, DNA damage, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase activation and energy depletion within the affected cell. Therefore, a variety of antioxidant molecules including N-acetyl cysteine, alpha-tocopherol, and melatonin may exert beneficial effects against acute mustard-induced toxicity. Because excess nitric oxide and peroxynitrite also involve in the pathogenesis of SM-induced toxicity inhibitors of nitric oxide synthase (e.g., aminoguanidine), and peroxynitrite scavengers (e.g., ebselen) may also alleviate the chemical damage. In spite of the knowledge about acute SM-induced cellular toxicity, unfortunately, it is not clear how mustard gas causes severe multi-organ damage years after even a single exposure. Because of difficulties to create delayed toxicity of SM experimentally, we have almost no idea about the efficacy of molecules used against acute SM-induced toxicity. Nevertheless, 100,000 Iranian casualties are still suffering from the detrimental effects of SM in spite of the extensive treatment. A variety of treatment modalities including antioxidants, anti-inflammatory drugs and others have resulted no promising results. We, therefore, made an attempt whether epigenetic aberrations may contribute to pathogenesis of mustard poisoning.</b>		
15. SUBJECT TERMS		

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>SAR</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>8</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			

*drugs alone or in combination with conventional drugs may prove to be a significant advance over the conventional drugs used to treat both acute and delayed SM toxicity. Future studies are urgently needed to clarify the mechanism of delayed SM-induced toxicity and novel treatment modalities.*

**Key words:** mechlorethamine, toxicity, epigenetic, histone acetylation; DNA methylation

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Among the available chemical warfare agents, sulfur mustard (SM), also known as mustard gas, has been a widely used chemical weapon. Because of its devastating toxicity, its use during the First World War I earned it the sobriquet “king of the battle gasses”. Other compounds such as nitrogen mustard (HN2) were developed during World War II, but found to be unsuitable as a munition [1, 2]. Soon after discovering HN2, it became the first non-hormonal agent used in cancer chemotherapy. A number of nitrogen mustard derivatives such as cyclophosphamide (CP), ifosfamide (IF), mechlorethamine, melphalan and chlorambucil are valuable cytotoxic and radiomimetic agents for the treatment of cancer [2].

### **1.1 Proposed Mechanism of Acute Toxicity**

SM is absorbed by inhalation or through the skin following exposure. Potent alkylating activity is not a result of mustards themselves but is due to their derivatives including sulfonium and carbonium for SM, and aldophosphamide and acrolein for CP. These derivatives are also responsible for the side effects of chemotherapeutic mustards. After absorption, SM undergoes intramolecular cyclization to form a sulfonium or carbonium intermediate. This, in turn, reacts with and alkylates nucleic acids and proteins, resulting in impaired cell homeostasis and eventual cell death. Oxidative and nitrosative stress contribute to the early effects of SM poisoning. It typically affects 3 major organ systems: skin, lungs, and eyes. When absorbed in large amounts it can also damage rapidly proliferating cells of the bone marrow and cause severe suppression of the immune system, as well as other systemic toxicities such as neurologic and digestive disorders.

After several decades of research it was revealed that CP and other toxic agents share most of the same pathophysiologic mechanisms [3, 4]. Recent data consistently proves that reactive oxygen species (ROS) [5-7] as well as reactive nitrogen species (RNS), for instance excessive amounts of nitric oxide (NO) produced by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) [8-11], involve in initial detrimental effects of all mustards. Currently, available knowledge supports the idea that a major cause of the toxicity of SM as well as other mustards is the formation of enormous amounts of the highly toxic reactant, peroxyxynitrite ( $\text{ONOO}^-$ ), [12, 13]. Thus, both oxidative and nitrosative (nitro-oxidative) stress take place in pathophysiology of acute mustard toxicity.

A direct toxic effect of  $\text{ONOO}^-$  at the site of its production involves an intriguing process which decides the fate of cells.  $\text{ONOO}^-$  is *per se* not a radical but is a powerful nitrosating agent.  $\text{ONOO}^-$  interacts with and covalently modifies all major types of biomolecules including membrane lipids, thiols, proteins and DNA [14, 15].  $\text{ONOO}^-$  activates matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [16, 17] and triggers the expression of selectins and cellular adhesion molecules, via enhancing of NF- $\kappa$ B activation [18], thereby promoting pro-inflammatory responses.

The mutagenic properties of  $\text{ONOO}^-$ -induced modified products have also been determined [19, 20]. Several studies have shown that NO itself does not induce DNA single-strand breaks *in vitro* in plasmid DNA [21, 22], whereas exposure of plasmid DNA to pre-formed  $\text{ONOO}^-$  [23] or NO plus  $\text{O}_2^{\bullet -}$  generated concurrently [24] induces DNA strand breaks. Single strand breakage can be induced by treatment with very low concentrations of  $\text{ONOO}^-$  indicating that this agent is a potent inducer of this type of damage to DNA [25]. These observations suggest additional pathways by which  $\text{ONOO}^-$  may be associated with not

only elevated DNA damage but also impairment of DNA repair capacity [26].  $\text{ONOO}^-$  induces apoptosis and necrosis in cells. More highly elevated exposure of this agent is associated with necrosis rather than with apoptosis [18, 27]. In this mechanism, activation of the DNA repair enzyme poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1), a member of PARP enzyme family, mediates  $\text{ONOO}^-$ -induced necrosis. PARP-1 detects and signals DNA strand breaks induced by a variety of genotoxic insults. Upon binding to DNA, strand breaks occur and, PARP transfers ADP-ribose units from the respiratory coenzyme nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide ( $\text{NAD}^+$ ) to various nuclear proteins. From a physiological view point, PARP-1 activity and poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation reactions are implicated in DNA repair processes, the maintenance of genomic stability, the regulation of gene transcription, and DNA replication. An important function of PARP-1 is to allow DNA repair and cell recovery under conditions associated with a low level of DNA damage. In case of severe DNA injury, overactivation of PARP-1 depletes the cellular stores of  $\text{NAD}^+$ , an essential cofactor in the glycolytic pathway, the tricarboxylic acid cycle, and the mitochondrial electron transport chain. As a result, the loss of  $\text{NAD}^+$  leads to a marked reduction in the cellular pools of ATP, resulting in cellular dysfunction and cell death via the necrotic pathway [18, 27]. This is known as “suicide hypothesis” of PARP activation and seems to be a regulatory mechanism to eliminate cells after irreversible DNA injury. Experimental evidence has established that the PARP-1 pathway of cell death plays a pivotal role in tissue injury and organ dysfunction in CP- and SM-induced toxicity [28, 29]. Cells that are intoxicated by SM and are repaired by the PARP-1 seem to be responsible of the delayed toxicity. These cells should be free of major DNA damage, are able to divide but they also have either light to mild but not severe DNA damage and/or other type of damages.

## **1.2 Delayed Toxicity of Sulfur Mustard**

Unfortunately, it is not clear how mustard gas causes severe multi-organ damage years after even a single exposure. It is well known that most metabolites of mustard agents are excreted in the urine within a few weeks after exposure [30]. It is also well documented that mustard analogues such as CP and IF severely damage DNA and other molecules, and have toxicity long after the initial exposure leading to cell death and an increased likelihood of cancers [31]. As noted above, the initial toxicity of mustards relates to a massive onslaught of highly reactive oxidizing and nitrosating molecules. For most mustard agents, once these changes occur the cellular effects essentially disappear. For SM, however, there are delayed progressive effects which render victims incapacitated for years [32-36]. The pathophysiologic mechanism of delayed mustard gas toxicity currently has no clear mechanistic explanation.

## **1.3 Epigenetic Perturbations; Possible Explanation of SM-Induced Delayed Toxicity**

If the nuclear DNA in a cell is damaged, it is either repaired via several means including DNA repair enzymes [37] or the cell eventually dies [18, 38]. However, if SM causes not only genotoxicity but also it alters epigenetic processes, this could explain, at least in part, the delayed effects of this warfare agent. We propose that, the epigenetic regulation of the DNA may be the underlying mechanism of delayed effects of SM [39, 40].

A genetic change is thought of as a permanent, inheritable change affecting every cell if it is passed along through the germline. However, these assumptions are not totally correct. In addition to the DNA inheritance system underlying classical genetics, it is now recognized that variations can be transmitted between generations in other ways; the epigenetic inheritance system (EIS). The traditional view that gene and environment interactions control disease susceptibility can now be expanded to include epigenetic reprogramming as a key determinant of origins of human disease [41]. The term epigenetic describes the study of heritable alterations in gene expression that occur in the absence of changes in genome sequence. This can be contrasted with genetics, which deals with the transmission of information based on differences in DNA sequence. Therefore, epigenetic gene regulation requires molecular mechanisms that encode information in addition to the DNA base sequence and can be propagated through mitosis and

meiosis. Our current understanding of epigenetic gene regulation involves two classes of molecular mechanisms: DNA methylation and histone modifications [42, 43].

The chromatin structure is influenced by DNA methylation and DNA-histone interactions. The DNA-histone interaction is further influenced by covalent modification of histones and the action of DNA-binding proteins. The epigenotype can be transmitted from parent cell to daughter cell maintaining a specific epigenotype within cell lineages. Thus, the phenotype is a result of the genotype, the specific DNA sequence, and the epigenotype. The genotype must exist in a particular chromatin configuration, the epigenotype, which allows a secondary level of fine control over gene expression. EIS is generally accepted less stable than the genetic system, and more sensitive to environmental [44], nutritional [45] and chemical toxicants [46, 47]. Epigenetic memory of cells can be passed on to subsequent generations and can transfer the perturbed epigenome upon unaffected or normal genetic sequences. The epigenotype shows far greater plasticity than the genotype, and it has been speculated that epigenetic errors could be a major contributor to human diseases [48].

A variety of enzymes are involved in this process including most importantly DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), histone acetyl transferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs) [49]. Indeed, the transcriptional status of all genes (silent, repressed or active) is determined by its chromatin environment and many molecular responses to toxicants involve alterations in gene expression that are elicited via changes in the chromatin structure of target genes.

Since the genome contains information in two forms, genetic and epigenetic, become clear, initial studies focused on human cancers and rapidly revealed that most of human cancers are relevant to epigenetic aberrations (i.e., epimutations) [50] including epigenetic silencing [51] of tumor suppressor genes because of hypermethylation [52]. To date, numerous tumor suppressor genes have been found to undergo hypermethylation in cancer [53, 54]. Such epimutations rarely appear in healthy tissue, indicating that epigenetic therapies may have high tumor specificity. Currently, two DNMT inhibitors (DNA demethylating drugs) received US Food and Drug Administration approval for the treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome: 5-azacytidine (Vidaza®) and its derivative decitabine (Dacogen®) are now being marketed [55] and several presently available drugs are under extensive clinical investigations [56].

Exposure to mustards may trigger a variety of mechanisms along with nitro-oxidative stress, inflammation and DNA damage. If this is the case, a number of drugs (e.g., anti-oxidants, anti-inflammatory agents) in treatment of experimental toxicity may not be beneficial for victims. Data based on the experience in Iranian veterans exposed to the agent during the Iran-Iraq conflict (1983–88) have clearly shown that toxicity of SM is almost incurable even extensive treatments [57]. It was described that the toxic effects of SM poisoning in a group of 40 severely intoxicated Iranian veterans, 16–20 years after their initial exposure. The most commonly affected organs, in this study, were lungs (95%), skin (75%) and eyes (65%) [33]. Another clinical study revealed that the delayed toxicity of SM persists on the respiratory tract (78%), central nervous system (45%), skin (41%) and eyes (36%) in 236 Iranian veterans in between 2 and 28 months after exposure [32]. In a study by Khateri et al. (2003) on 34,000 Iranians, 13–20 years after exposure to SM, the most common complications were still found in the lungs (42.5%), eyes (39%), and skin (24.5%) [58]. Although, a vast array of experimental remedies, there is no consensus on medical management of victims exposed to mustard gas, other than thorough decontamination and supportive care. Therefore, this paucity of information regarding the medical management warrants novel approaches to the pathogenesis of SM poisoning. We recently reviewed the possible epigenetic mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of mustard toxicity [59].

Preliminary results of our laboratory revealed that, meclorethamine itself and/or its intracellular metabolites perturb the epigenetic environment of the affected cell in lung tissue. Hypothetically, MEC may cause HDAC induction leading to a variety of gene silencing. Since the animals were healthy and free of disease, inhibiting HDAC by Trichostatine A means that, mustards may activate HDAC which results



in silencing a variety of beneficial genes which code, for example, anti-oxidant enzymes and anti-inflammatory proteins. Since decitabine worsen the MEC-induced lung injury, inhibition of DNMT may silence the genes those are physiologically silenced but require methylation to be activated. Although these genes have normally lower activation level or totally inactive, any proper stimulus can cause gene activation. From this manner, it seems logical that, by inhibiting DNMT, we may block gene expression such as anti-oxidant enzymes and anti-inflammatory proteins. If this mechanism is true, inhibiting HDAC may block the silencing of beneficial genes, on the contrary inhibiting of DNMT may result the silencing of the same group genes. Further studies are needed to clarify the involvement of epigenetic perturbations in the pathogenesis of mustard toxicity.

## **2.0 CONCLUSION**

It seems that epigenetic modifiers have influence on gene expression in the pathogenesis of mustard-induced lung toxicity. Epigenetic therapy is a new and rapidly developing area in pharmacology. To date, most trials of epigenetic drugs have been conducted to evaluate their effects on cancers, many of which have shown promising results. Epigenetic drugs alone or in combination with conventional drugs may prove to be a significant advance over the conventional drugs used to treat both acute and delayed SM toxicity. Since epigenetic defects are thought to underlie a broad range of diseases, the scope of epigenetic therapy is likely to expand. At present, the targets for epigenetic drugs are DNMTs and HDACs, but since a variety of molecules are involved in epigenetic mechanisms, there are several other targets as well.

Collectively, we may speculate that, mustards may cause epigenetic perturbations within the affected cells and reducing mustard-induced lung toxicity requires gene activation rather than gene silencing. This preliminary observation warrants future studies to clarify the epigenetic perturbations in the pathogenesis of delayed-mustard toxicity. A variety of HDAC inhibitors as well as other epigenetic modifiers could give valuable results in experimental studies and may open new avenues for treatment of SM-induced toxicity.

## **3.0 REFERENCES**

- [1] Smith KJ, Skelton H. Chemical warfare agents: their past and continuing threat and evolving therapies. Part II of II. *Skinmed* 2003;2:297.
- [2] Kehe K, Szinicz L. Medical aspects of sulphur mustard poisoning. *Toxicology* 2005;214:198.
- [3] Korkmaz A, Topal T, Oter S. Pathophysiological aspects of cyclophosphamide and ifosfamide induced hemorrhagic cystitis; implication of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species as well as PARP activation. *Cell Biol Toxicol* 2007;23:303.
- [4] Korkmaz A, Yaren H, Topal T, Oter S. Molecular targets against mustard toxicity: implication of cell surface receptors, peroxynitrite production, and PARP activation. *Arch Toxicol* 2006;80:662.
- [5] Yildirim I, Korkmaz A, Oter S, Ozcan A, Oztas E. Contribution of antioxidants to preventive effect of mesna in cyclophosphamide-induced hemorrhagic cystitis in rats. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2004;54:469.
- [6] Ozcan A, Korkmaz A, Oter S, Coskun O. Contribution of flavonoid antioxidants to the preventive effect of mesna in cyclophosphamide-induced cystitis in rats. *Arch Toxicol* 2005;79:461.
- [7] Sadir S, Deveci S, Korkmaz A, Oter S. Alpha-tocopherol, beta-carotene and melatonin administration protects cyclophosphamide-induced oxidative damage to bladder tissue in rats. *Cell Biochem Funct* 2007;25:521.

- [8] Korkmaz A, Oter S, Deveci S, Ozgurtas T, Topal T, Sadir S, Bilgic H. Involvement of nitric oxide and hyperbaric oxygen in the pathogenesis of cyclophosphamide induced hemorrhagic cystitis in rats. *J Urol* 2003;170:2498.
- [9] Oter S, Korkmaz A, Oztas E, Yildirim I, Topal T, Bilgic H. Inducible nitric oxide synthase inhibition in cyclophosphamide induced hemorrhagic cystitis in rats. *Urol Res* 2004;32:185.
- [10] Topal T, Oztas Y, Korkmaz A, Sadir S, Oter S, Coskun O, Bilgic H. Melatonin ameliorates bladder damage induced by cyclophosphamide in rats. *J Pineal Res* 2005;38:272.
- [11] Ucar M, Korkmaz A, Reiter RJ, Yaren H, Oter S, Kurt B, Topal T. Melatonin alleviates lung damage induced by the chemical warfare agent nitrogen mustard. *Toxicol Lett* 2007;173:124.
- [12] Korkmaz A, Oter S, Sadir S, Coskun O, Topal T, Ozler M, Bilgic H. Peroxynitrite may be involved in bladder damage caused by cyclophosphamide in rats. *J Urol* 2005;173:1793.
- [13] Yaren H, Mollaoglu H, Kurt B, Korkmaz A, Oter S, Topal T, Karayilanoglu T. Lung toxicity of nitrogen mustard may be mediated by nitric oxide and peroxynitrite in rats. *Res Vet Sci* 2007;83:116.
- [14] Demicheli V, Quijano C, Alvarez B, Radi R. Inactivation and nitration of human superoxide dismutase (SOD) by fluxes of nitric oxide and superoxide. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2007;42:1359.
- [15] Pacher P, Beckman JS, Liaudet L. Nitric oxide and peroxynitrite in health and disease. *Physiol Rev* 2007;87:315.
- [16] Okamoto T, Akaike T, Sawa T, Miyamoto Y, van der Vliet A, Maeda H. Activation of matrix metalloproteinases by peroxynitrite-induced protein S-glutathiolation via disulfide S-oxide formation. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:29596.
- [17] Wu J, Akaike T, Hayashida K, Okamoto T, Okuyama A, Maeda H. Enhanced vascular permeability in solid tumor involving peroxynitrite and matrix metalloproteinases. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 2001;92:439.
- [18] Szabo C. Multiple pathways of peroxynitrite cytotoxicity. *Toxicol Lett* 2003;140-141:105.
- [19] Whang YE, Wu X, Suzuki H, Reiter RE, Tran C, Vessella RL, Said JW, Isaacs WB, Sawyers CL. Inactivation of the tumor suppressor PTEN/MMAC1 in advanced human prostate cancer through loss of expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:5246.
- [20] Juedes MJ, Wogan GN. Peroxynitrite-induced mutation spectra of pSP189 following replication in bacteria and in human cells. *Mutat Res* 1996;349:51.
- [21] Masuda M, Nishino H, Ohshima H. Formation of 8-nitroguanosine in cellular RNA as a biomarker of exposure to reactive nitrogen species. *Chem Biol Interact* 2002;139:187.
- [22] Tamir S, deRojas-Walker T, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR. DNA damage and genotoxicity by nitric oxide. *Methods Enzymol* 1996;269:230.
- [23] Yoshie Y, Ohshima H. Nitric oxide synergistically enhances DNA strand breakage induced by polyhydroxyaromatic compounds, but inhibits that induced by the Fenton reaction. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1997;342:13.
- [24] Chaturvedi N, Sjolie AK, Stephenson JM, Abrahamian H, Keipes M, Castellarin A, Rogulja-Pepeonik Z, Fuller JH. Effect of lisinopril on progression of retinopathy in normotensive people with type 1 diabetes. The EUCLID Study Group. EURODIAB Controlled Trial of Lisinopril in Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. *Lancet* 1998;351:28.



- [25] Yermilov V, Yoshie Y, Rubio J, Ohshima H. Effects of carbon dioxide/bicarbonate on induction of DNA single-strand breaks and formation of 8-nitroguanine, 8-oxoguanine and base-propenal mediated by peroxynitrite. *FEBS Lett* 1996;399:67.
- [26] Chien YH, Bau DT, Jan KY. Nitric oxide inhibits DNA-adduct excision in nucleotide excision repair. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2004;36:1011.
- [27] Virag L, Szabo E, Gergely P, Szabo C. Peroxynitrite-induced cytotoxicity: mechanism and opportunities for intervention. *Toxicol Lett* 2003;140-141:113.
- [28] Kehe K, Raithel K, Kreppel H, Jochum M, Worek F, Thiermann H. Inhibition of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) influences the mode of sulfur mustard (SM)-induced cell death in HaCaT cells. *Arch Toxicol* 2008;82:461.
- [29] Korkmaz A, Kurt B, Yildirim I, Basal S, Topal T, Sadir S, Oter S. Effects of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibition in bladder damage caused by cyclophosphamide in rats. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2008;233:338.
- [30] Somani SM, Babu SR. Toxicodynamics of sulfur mustard. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther Toxicol* 1989;27:419.
- [31] Smith SM, Le Beau MM, Huo D, Karrison T, Sobecks RM, Anastasi J, Vardiman JW, Rowley JD, Larson RA. Clinical-cytogenetic associations in 306 patients with therapy-related myelodysplasia and myeloid leukemia: the University of Chicago series. *Blood* 2003;102:43.
- [32] Balali-Mood M, Hefazi M. Comparison of early and late toxic effects of sulfur mustard in Iranian veterans. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 2006;99:273.
- [33] Balali-Mood M, Hefazi M, Mahmoudi M, Jalali E, Attaran D, Maleki M, Razavi ME, Zare G, Tabatabaee A, Jaafari MR. Long-term complications of sulphur mustard poisoning in severely intoxicated Iranian veterans. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 2005;19:713.
- [34] Shohrati M, Peyman M, Peyman A, Davoudi M, Ghanei M. Cutaneous and ocular late complications of sulfur mustard in Iranian veterans. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol* 2007;26:73.
- [35] Hefazi M, Attaran D, Mahmoudi M, Balali-Mood M. Late respiratory complications of mustard gas poisoning in Iranian veterans. *Inhal Toxicol* 2005;17:587.
- [36] Mahmoudi M, Hefazi M, Rastin M, Balali-Mood M. Long-term hematological and immunological complications of sulfur mustard poisoning in Iranian veterans. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2005;5:1479.
- [37] Nohmi T, Kim SR, Yamada M. Modulation of oxidative mutagenesis and carcinogenesis by polymorphic forms of human DNA repair enzymes. *Mutat Res* 2005;591:60.
- [38] Szabo E, Virag L, Bakondi E, Gyure L, Hasko G, Bai P, Hunyadi J, Gergely P, Szabo C. Peroxynitrite production, DNA breakage, and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase activation in a mouse model of oxazolone-induced contact hypersensitivity. *J Invest Dermatol* 2001;117:74.
- [39] Lyko F, Brown R. DNA methyltransferase inhibitors and the development of epigenetic cancer therapies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005;97:1498.
- [40] Bird A. DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. *Genes Dev* 2002;16:6.

- [41] Bronner C, Chataigneau T, Schini-Kerth VB, Landry Y. The "Epigenetic Code Replication Machinery", ECREM: a promising drugable target of the epigenetic cell memory. *Curr Med Chem* 2007;14:2629.
- [42] Bestor TH. The DNA methyltransferases of mammals. *Hum Mol Genet* 2000;9:2395.
- [43] Yang XJ, Seto E. HATs and HDACs: from structure, function and regulation to novel strategies for therapy and prevention. *Oncogene* 2007;26:5310.
- [44] McLachlan JA. Environmental signaling: what embryos and evolution teach us about endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Endocr Rev* 2001;22:319.
- [45] Gallou-Kabani C, Junien C. Nutritional epigenomics of metabolic syndrome: new perspective against the epidemic. *Diabetes* 2005;54:1899.
- [46] McLachlan JA, Burow M, Chiang TC, Li SF. Gene imprinting in developmental toxicology: a possible interface between physiology and pathology. *Toxicol Lett* 2001;120:161.
- [47] Bombail V, Moggs JG, Orphanides G. Perturbation of epigenetic status by toxicants. *Toxicol Lett* 2004;149:51.
- [48] Jiang YH, Bressler J, Beaudet AL. Epigenetics and human disease. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2004;5:479.
- [49] Miremadi A, Oestergaard MZ, Pharoah PD, Caldas C. Cancer genetics of epigenetic genes. *Hum Mol Genet* 2007;16 Spec No 1:R28.
- [50] Ducasse M, Brown MA. Epigenetic aberrations and cancer. *Mol Cancer* 2006;5:60.
- [51] Robertson KD. DNA methylation, methyltransferases, and cancer. *Oncogene* 2001;20:3139.
- [52] Esteller M. Epigenetic gene silencing in cancer: the DNA hypermethylome. *Hum Mol Genet* 2007;16 Spec No 1:R50.
- [53] Das PM, Singal R. DNA methylation and cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:4632.
- [54] Garinis GA, Patrinos GP, Spanakis NE, Menounos PG. DNA hypermethylation: when tumour suppressor genes go silent. *Hum Genet* 2002;111:115.
- [55] Brueckner B, Kuck D, Lyko F. DNA methyltransferase inhibitors for cancer therapy. *Cancer J* 2007;13:17.
- [56] Peedicayil J. Epigenetic therapy--a new development in pharmacology. *Indian J Med Res* 2006;123:17.
- [57] Balali-Mood M, Hefazi M. The pharmacology, toxicology, and medical treatment of sulphur mustard poisoning. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 2005;19:297.
- [58] Khateri S, Ghanei M, Keshavarz S, Soroush M, Haines D. Incidence of lung, eye, and skin lesions as late complications in 34,000 Iranians with wartime exposure to mustard agent. *J Occup Environ Med* 2003;45:1136.
- [59] Korkmaz A, Kunak ZI, Paredes SD, Yaren H, Tan DX, Reiter RJ. The use of melatonin to combat mustard toxicity. REVIEW. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett* 2008;29:614.