Army Research Laboratory



Assessing the Minimum Number of Synchronization Triggers Necessary for Temporal Variance Compensation in Commercial Electroencephalography (EEG) Systems

by Keith W. Whitaker and W. David Hairston

ARL-TN-0495

September 2012

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5068

ARL-TN-0495

September 2012

Assessing the Minimum Number of Synchronization Triggers Necessary for Temporal Variance Compensation in Commercial Electroencephalography (EEG) Systems

Keith W. Whitaker and W. David Hairston Human Research and Engineering Directorate, ARL

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

	REPORT DO	OCUMENTATI	ION PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden and maintaining the data including suggestions fo Davis Highway, Suite 12 comply with a collection PLEASE DO NOT RE	for this collection of infor a needed, and completing r reducing the burden, to 204, Arlington, VA 22202- of information if it does n CTURN YOUR FORM	mation is estimated to aver and reviewing the collection Department of Defense, W 4302. Respondents should ot display a currently valid TO THE ABOVE ADDI	rage 1 hour per response, n information. Send com Vashington Headquarters be aware that notwithsta OMB control number. RESS.	including the time for a ments regarding this bu Services, Directorate fo nding any other provisi	reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering rden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, r Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson on of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to		
1. REPORT DATE (D	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
Septemb	er 2012		Final		January 2012–March 2012		
4. TITLE AND SUBT	ITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Assessing the Minimum Number of Synchronization Temporal Variance Compensation in Commercial E			n Triggers Necessary for lectroencephalography (EEG)		5b. GRANT NUMBER		
Systems					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Keith W. Whitaker and W. David Hairston					5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
					5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING OR	RGANIZATION NAMI	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	3)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
U.S. Army Research Laboratory					REPORT NUMBER		
ATTN: RDRL-I	HRS-C				ARL-TN-0495		
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5068							
9. SPONSORING/MO	DNITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRI	E58(E8)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT							
Approved for pu	blic release; distr	ibution is unlimite	ed.				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
14. ABSTRACT							
This technical no	ote describes the	differences in reco	rding when even	ts happen betwe	en several commercially-oriented		
electroencephalo	graphy (EEG) re	cording systems.	The four systems	examined, Emo	tiv's EPOC, Biosemi's ActiveTwo,		
Advanced Brain	Monitoring's B-	Alert X10 and Qua	asar's prototype r	epresent differe	nt approaches to the problem of recording		
brain activity in human subjects. We found that the EPOC introduces significantly more error in recording event timing, though							
nulses required t	o properly estimate	. rurinermore, we	system dependent	t Therefore and	regressions that the number of calibration		
tested independently							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
electroencephalography, drift, timing, error							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON W. David Hairston		
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified	UU	14	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (410) 278-5925		
					Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)		

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Contents

List of Figures			
1.	Introduction	1	
2.	Methods and Results	2	
3.	Conclusions and Recommendations	4	
Dis	Distribution		

List of Figures

1. Introduction

Electroencephalography (EEG) is a procedure for measuring brain activity, as represented by voltage fluctuations measured at the scalp. This method is a focus of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory's Translational Neuroscience Branch because of its potential as a fieldable technology for measuring brain activity. Event-locked analyses of EEG data requires that brain activity data is precisely recorded in time relative to external events, or else the computed average signal may be significantly different than the actual average signal (Luck, 2005).¹ When using multiple devices for data acquisition, one must account for potential timing variance and drift between devices in order to ensure accurate data representation and external event logging (Luck, 2005).² For instance, uncorrected timing drift will alter the averaged brain activity in response to an event as soon as it exceeds the significant digits of time recorded by the system. A recent ARL Technical Report (Hairston, 2012)³ describes this issue in detail, providing some examples of how averaged EEG signals would be impacted by varying amounts of timing drift and variance between components of a multi-unit system.

Hairston described (Hairston, 2012)⁴ an algorithm that can be used to correct for these errors, using measurements of timing drift within various EEG systems derived from a recording session measuring over a long period of time. It would be preferable, however, to quantify system drift at the time of each experimental session when EEG is recorded, eliminating any errors introduced by day-to-day variance in the system and providing a streamlined correction procedure. If this approach is taken, data must be completed in a pragmatic and time-efficient manner in order to reduce the time commitment of the research participant before they can begin their task and keep the total session time to a minimum.

This report is a follow-on analysis of the data from Hairston (2012),⁵ with the goal of as-sessing the minimum number of trigger pulses necessary to properly characterize the clock drift present in each of the EEG systems currently in use by the ARL Translational Neuroscience Branch. It covers the Emotiv EPOC,⁶ Advanced Brain Monitoring (ABM) B-Alert X10,⁷ Quasar ⁸ DSI helmet-based prototype, and Biosemi ActiveTwo.⁹

Luck, S.J. An Introduction to the Event-Related Potential Technique; MIT Press: Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2005.
Ibid.

³ Hairston, W.D. Accounting for Timing Drift and Variability in Contemporary EEG Systems; ARL-TR-5945; U.S. Army Research Laboratory: Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 2012

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ EPOC is a trademark of Emotiv.

⁷ B-Alert X10 is a trademark of Advanced Brain Monitoring.

⁸ Quasar is a trademark of Quasar.

⁹ ActiveTwo is a trademark of Biosemi.

2. Methods and Results

Specific details of data collection can be found in Hairston (2012).¹⁰ Briefly, 500 pulses were sent from a PC running E-prime (Psychology Software Tools, PA) into each EEG system's data integration unit with an arbitrary interval of 1250 ms between pulses. These pulses were recorded by a separate data acquisition computer (DAQ) running the respective software provided by the manufacturer of each EEG system. We compared the recorded inter-pulse interval (IPI) against the E-prime value of 1250 ms. Prior to testing, this computer running E-prime was confirmed against a calibrated function generator to show no measurable drift relative to absolute time across the length of time used for this recording. For each EEG system, a regression equation derived the relationship between the timing error (1250 minus observed IPI) and the presentation of 500 calibration pulses. The slope of the line represents a percent drift between the IPI recorded by the DAQ and the actual IPI.

In order to better understand the relationship between the variability of the IPI for each EEG acquisition system and determination of the drift in timing error, we re-calculated the slope of the regression line for subsets of the IPI data. For each system, we initially calculated the slope of the regression line for the first 20 IPIs and iteratively recalculated the slope with the addition of the next IPI. We continued to add one more IPI at each iteration to visualize the point where the amount of change in the slope of the regression line with each IPI decreases to zero.

Emotiv's EPOC system showed the largest amount of drift and variance in timing accuracy with recorded IPI errors of hundreds of milliseconds (figure 1A).

Over the course of the calibration period (~10.5 mins), this resulted in nearly 0.5 s of error between the actual pulse event occurring and recording of the event relative to the EEG data, denoting substantial timing drift. Additionally, the high variability in the recorded IPI of the EPOC system required more than 200 pulses to estimate the timing drift (figure 1B). In comparison, Biosemi's ActiveTwo and ABM's B-Alert X10 both showed similar amounts of time drift to one another, leading to an error in the recorded IPI of tens of milliseconds for the entire period (respectively, figure 1C-F). The drift of both systems was accurately measured with 150 calibration triggers sent over the course of 3 min, evident by the asymptote in figure 1C-F. Quasar's EEG system displayed the least amount of time drift (4 milliseconds total as in figure 1G). Due to the rarity of incremental changes, this system requires a large number of calibration stimuli in order to resolve (more than 300 triggers as in figure 1H). That is, the drift in timing is low enough that the DAQ cannot accurately measure it and therefore the calibration session requires a longer length of time to accumulate enough drift to be measured. The distinctive stepwise pattern of error values in ABM, Biosemi and Quasar's EEG system is the result of the DAQ

¹⁰ Hairston, pg. 1.



rounding time measurements. The difference in the length of each timing bin results from differences in the sampling rate of each system.

Figure 1. Drift in timing is shown for each system relative to the pulses sent from a computer running E-Prime software. The DAQ was not precise enough to measure the true time that each pulse was received. Rounding resulted in the step-wise features evident in E-H. Time drift with EPOC system is very large. A) The error between the trigger being logged by the DAQ and when it was sent is on the order of hundreds of milliseconds. B) In order to estimate the slope of the regression line, more than 300 calibration trials are necessary. C) Time drift with Biosemi's ActiveTwo system. The error in time logging is relatively small, on the order of 10 milliseconds during this calibration session. D) About 250 trials are needed to accurately measure this drift. E) ABM's B-Alert X10. Also on the order of 10 milliseconds, the drift in time logging is similar to that of Biosemi. F) Similar to Biosemi, ABM's system also requires 250-300 trials to accurately represent the regression line. G) Quasar's EEG system. Over the course of the calibration trials, Quasar's system showed the least amount of drift in logging events, about 5 milliseconds total. H) This precision requires a large number of trials to compensate for the artificial binning of variance that results from the limited time resolution (about 300–350 trials).

3. Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on these results, we conclude that there is a large degree of variability of timing accuracy between commercially-oriented EEG acquisition systems. As we have shown here, a predetermined number of pulses covering a pre-specified length of time is not appropriate for all systems. It should be noted that using this methodology with the Quasar headset, while several hundred pulses are necessary to achieve a statistically stable assessment of drift, the actual amount of change within each "step" is quite small—only 0.005% of the total time. According to the simulations in the previous report (Hairston, 2012),¹¹ this difference would be inconsequential for anything other than extremely long recording periods, suggesting that long calibration time may not be necessary if pragmatically difficult. However, in our test case, the measured direction of drift shifted from negative to positive after the initial 100 pulses, so at least this many calibration points would be a minimum.

Because at least 150 pulses, covering a span of 187.5 seconds, were necessary to appropriately calculate the drift factor for even the most ideal systems tested with the methods presented here, it is unlikely to be feasible to perform this calibration at the beginning of every data acquisition. Since many EEG experiments involve several data "runs", this would require adding a notable amount of time to the duration of the experimental sessions. A few alternatives could be considered. For instance, it is common for an EEG participant to require considerable time for preparation and placement of electrodes before beginning the study; an experimenter could run a calibration test *during* this time period. Another option would be to send calibration pulses during the course of the EEG acquisition, or even use a log for the native event codes and use these for calibration later.

Moving forward, the timing drift should be measured for each system over a number of sessions in order to determine if the estimated value of drift is inherently stable for each system. If the drift is a stable, inherent property of the systems being used, then only a single calibration period would be required at the start of an experiment. If, however, the drift in time logging is not stable, then it must be assessed intermittently throughout the experimental session. This may involve presentation of calibration triggers before and after an experimental session, or even during the experiment if they can be presented in a way that does not influence the research participant.

¹¹ Hairston, pg. 1.

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
- (PDF INFORMATION CTR
- only) DTIC OCA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218
 - 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB IMAL HRA 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
 - 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB RDRL CIO LL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
 - 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB RDRL CIO LT 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM C A DAVISON 320 MANSCEN LOOP STE 115 FORT LEONARD WOOD MO 65473
- 2 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM DI T DAVIS J HANSBERGER BLDG 5400 RM C242 REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-7290
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRS EA DR V J RICE 2377 GREELEY RD STE R FORT SAM HOUSTON TX 78234-7731
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM DG K GUNN BLDG 333 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED ARMC FIELD ELEMENT RDRL HRM CH C BURNS THIRD AVE BLDG 1467B RM 336 FORT KNOX KY 40121
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED AWC FIELD ELEMENT RDRL HRM DJ D DURBIN BLDG 4506 (DCD) RM 107 FORT RUCKER AL 36362-5000
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM CK J REINHART 10125 KINGMAN RD BLDG 317 FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-5828
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM AY M BARNES 2520 HEALY AVE STE 1172 BLDG 51005 FORT HUACHUCA AZ 85613-7069
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM AP D UNGVARSKY POPE HALL BLDG 4709 BCBL 806 HARRISON DR FORT LEAVENWORTH KS 66027-2302

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM DQ M R FLETCHER NATICK SOLDIER CTR AMSRD NSC WS E BLDG 3 RM 343 NATICK MA 01760-5020
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM AT J CHEN 12350 RESEARCH PKWY ORLANDO FL 32826-3276
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM AT C KORTENHAUS 12350 RESEARCH PKWY ORLANDO FL 32826
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM AS C MANASCO SIGNAL TOWERS BLDG 29808A RM 303A FORT GORDON GA 30905-5233
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM CU 6501 E 11 MILE RD MS 284 BLDG 200A 1ST FL RM A1117 WARREN MI 48397-5000
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED FIRES CTR OF EXCELLENCE FIELD ELEMENT RDRL HRM AF C HERNANDEZ 3040 NW AUSTIN RD RM 221 FORT SILL OK 73503-9043
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED RDRL HRM AV S MIDDLEBROOKS 91012 STATION AVE FORT HOOD TX 76544-5073
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY HRED HUMAN RSRCH AND ENGRNG DIRCTRT MCOE FIELD ELEMENT RDRL HRM DW E REDDEN 6450 WAY ST BLDG 2839 RM 310 FORT BENNING GA 31905-5400

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 ARMY G1
- (CD DAPE MR B KNAPP
- only) 300 ARMY PENTAGON RM 2C489 WASHINGTON DC 20310-0300

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

6 DIR USARL RDRL HR L ALLENDER T LETOWSKI RDRL HRM P SAVAGE-KNEPSHIELD RDRL HRS D B AMREIN RDRL HRS C WD HAIRSTON

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.