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As the government of the Islamic Republic of Iran’s center of gravity, the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps’ (IRGC) critical strengths are both vulnerable and exploitable. The IRGC’s accumulation of considerable influence in Iranian domestic politics, media, security, and economics and the IRGC’s execution of all instruments of Iranian national power abroad through its Qods Force have consolidated power in a unity of effort unachievable in a less authoritarian state. Iran’s Supreme Leader Ali al Khamenei has delegated the IRGC near-absolute power and made the institution answerable only to him. Corruption, economic mismanagement, and an alienating hubris among the IRGC elite have come with this power. Competition among Iran’s security and intelligence services, as well as the growing divide between the elected government and the ruling clerics threaten to topple the IRGC’s house of cards. The Qods Force’s brazen employment of Iran’s instruments of national power abroad has drawn international attention to the Republic’s malign intent. The IRGC is more powerful and more vulnerable than ever before.
EXPLOITABLE VULNERABILITIES OF IRAN’S ISLAMIC REVOLUTIONARY GUARD CORPS

Within the framework commonly referred to as the instruments of national power, states wield their influence both domestically and externally through various diplomatic, informational, military, and economic tools. The elected and appointed leadership of the Islamic Republic of Iran has empowered the nation’s Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC), or Pasdaran, to employ these tools in such scope and scale that the IRGC has become the center of gravity for the security of the regime, as well as for its economy, domestic and foreign policies, and influence over its society. This empowerment, enabled by a lack of credible state checks and balances or separation of powers, is a critical strength for the Pasdaran and the current regime. However, within each of the instruments of national power, the IRGC has a number of exploitable vulnerabilities. While portrayed and self-promoted domestically as ideologically pure guardians of the revolution and defenders of Islam, the IRGC is neither omnipotent nor omnipresent. Rather, the Pasdaran and its vast network of alumni and advocates are subject to factionalism, internal strife, and exposure of its incompetence. This research paper will describe how the IRGC implements each of the Islamic Republic of Iran’s instruments of national power, assess the vulnerabilities resulting from the implementation of these tools, and offer options for exploiting these vulnerabilities.
The Military Instrument of National Power

The Islamic Revolution Guards Corps, organized in the early days of the triumph of the Revolution, is to be maintained so that it may continue in its role of guarding the Revolution and its achievements. The scope of the duties of this Corps, and its areas of responsibility, in relation to the duties and areas of responsibility of the other armed forces, are to be determined by law, with emphasis on brotherly cooperation and harmony among them.\(^1\)

The \textit{Pasdaran} derives its legal authority from Article 150 of the Islamic Republic of Iran’s constitution. In accordance with Ayatollah Khomeini’s intent, Iran’s Revolutionary Council tasked the IRGC in eight broad categories:

1) Apprehending or liquidating counter-revolutionary elements
2) Battling armed counterrevolutionaries
3) Defending against attacks and the activities of foreign forces inside Iran
4) Coordinating and cooperating with the country’s armed forces
5) Training subordinate IRGC personnel in moral, ideological, and politico-military matters
6) Assisting the Islamic Republic in the implementation of the Islamic Revolution
7) Supporting liberation movements and their call for justice of the oppressed people of the world under the tutelage of the leader of the Revolution of the Islamic Republic
8) Utilizing the human resources and expertise of the IRGC to deal with national calamities and unexpected catastrophes and supporting the developmental plans of the Islamic Republic to completely maximize the IRGC’s resources \(^2\)

In light of the fact that Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khomeini established the \textit{Pasdaran} as an internal security service dedicated to the preservation of the Islamic Revolution, it is useful to examine the IRGC’s role within the military instrument of national power before addressing its less traditional activities in the diplomatic, information, and economic instruments. As Khomeini consolidated power after deposing Shah Mohammad Reza Pahlavi, he balanced the counter-revolutionary threat he perceived from Iran’s conventional military with the IRGC, a trusted parallel military structure specifically beholden to him and his
revolutionary principles. In order to maintain internal order and suppress dissent, Khomeini also formalized the multiple post-revolution militias by forming the *Basij* (Mobilization of the Oppressed). Even though the IRGC is constitutionally directed to coordinate with Iran’s conventional military forces and is nominally subordinate to a joint headquarters that overseas the security services and Law Enforcement Forces (LEF), the *Pasdaran* answers directly only to Ali al Khamenei, the republic's Supreme Leader. This direct access to the Supreme Leader and his consistent and considerable support for the IRGC makes the *Pasdaran* peerless among military, intelligence, law enforcement, intelligence, and security services in Iran. It is not coincidental that Iran’s Minister of Defense, Ahmad Vahidi, is a former IRGC *Qods* (Jerusalem) Force commander, He is also the subject of an Interpol Red Notice for his role in the 1994 attacks on Israeli and Jewish facilities in Buenos Aires, his recruitment of Saudi *Hezbollah* terrorists responsible for the 1996 Khobar Tower attack, and his involvement in the assassinations of Iranian dissidents in Europe in the late 1990s.\(^3\) The placement and access of current and former *Pasdaran* and *Basij* commanders and officers throughout Iran’s military, law enforcement, and internal security services mitigates intra-agency resistance to the *Padaran’s* independence and direct access to the Commander in Chief, Supreme Leader Khamenei. (Ref Table 1, IRGC Personnel and Alumni in Key Positions)

The *Artesh*, Iran’s conventional military ground force, consists of approximately 220,000 troops, as compared to the IRGC’s 125,000. The *Artesh* is more heavily armed with the equipment and technology currently available to
them after decades of sanctions. However, the Pasdaran maintains primacy over and responsibility for Iran’s most critical national security initiatives to include cyber warfare, Iran’s intermediate range ballistic missile program, and naval responsibility for the Arabian Gulf and the Strait of Hormuz. This is in addition to its expansive and growing role in domestic security. There is also widespread assessment that the IRGC is intimately involved in Iran’s nuclear program. As the entity responsible for Iran’s ballistic missile program, as well as its asymmetric warfare capabilities, the Pasdaran has the means to deliver critical or sub-critical fissile material payloads by traditional or unconventional means. The IRGC’s responsibility for military and technological research and testing also suggests a logical involvement in any potential current or future nuclear weapons development. It is unlikely a coincidence that the IRGC’s research center’s website published and article titled, “The Day after Iran’s First Nuclear Test Is a Normal Day” a few days before President Ahmadinejad stated, “[if Iran] want[s] to make a bomb, we are not afraid of anyone…and no one can do a damn thing.”

Major General Mohsen Rezai, Secretary General of the Expediency Council and former Commander of the IRGC said in March 2006, “If we master nuclear technology, we will be transformed into a regional superpower and will dominate 17 Muslim countries in this neighborhood.”

Since October 2007, the IRGC also formally controls the Basij, a geographically based reserve force of 90,000 which can mobilize up to 1,000,000 personnel. Since the 1994 riots, the Basij has assumed a larger role in internal security, receiving training in riot control in order to quell student or opposition
uprisings. The Basij also provides the IRGC a network of eyes and ears across Iran, maintaining a presence in all major universities.

In September 2007, Supreme Leader Khamenei replaced IRGC Commander Major General Yahya Rahim Safavi, with Major General Mohammad Ali Jafari, formerly commander of the IRGC’s Strategic Studies Center. Jafari had long held that the greatest security threat to the regime was an internal “Velvet Revolution” supported by external actors rather than a conventional invasion of Iran by another state or a collection of states. Jafari was instrumental in formally subordinating the Basij to the IRGC, stating that the IRGC was, “a military-political-cultural organization sharing the same organizational goals as the Basij” and that “half of the Revolutionary Guards’ mission is placed on the shoulders of the Basij.”

Jafari also implemented his Mosaic Doctrine for the defense of Iran, restructuring the IRGC and Basij into 31 commands, one per province and two for Tehran. The purpose of decentralizing command and control was to improve IRGC Commanders’ ability to recruit locally, extend the IRGC’s and Supreme Leader’s network of eyes and ears across every province, secure the IRGC and Basij’s role in quelling riots, and disperse IRGC command and control in the event of an external attack.

The IRGC’s military doctrine also emphasizes asymmetric or irregular warfare as a means to mitigate the technological capability and capacity gap in it perceives in a scenario of conventional conflict on Iranian soil with another state or states. In August 2005, when he was the commander of the IRGC Center for Strategy, Major General Jafari stated, “As the enemy is far more advanced
technologically than we are, we have been using what is called asymmetric warfare methods… Our forces are now well prepared for it.”

The driving principle is to defeat the stronger adversary’s will to continue to fight rather than defeat the enemy’s military forces in conventional terms. The tenets of this doctrine include, but are not limited to the following: decentralized command and control of dispersed forces to mitigate an enemy’s superior air power and dominance of the electro-magnetic spectrum, incorporation of unconventional tactics and terrorism in response options, concentration of capabilities against an enemy’s strategic weak points which are not necessarily military in nature, offensive retaliatory strikes against the enemy outside the war zone, targeting of the enemy’s national popular support through information warfare, and emphasis on the power of religious zeal and martyrdom.  

In May 2004, Hassan Abbasi, the Director of the Center for Doctrinal Studies at the IRGC’s Imam Hussain University bluntly summarized the IRGC’s intent to employ terrorist tactics,

“The Islamic world needs suicide bombers… I am a theoretician of terror and violence… We are proud of terrorism, which makes the foundations of unbelief tremble… We have identified the US’ Achilles heel and have coordinated with terrorist organizations… We caused the US economic growth to drop and we will cause its disintegration”

Hassan Abbasi and Major General Jafari were the key architects of Iran’s doctrine of asymmetric warfare. Jafari’s ascendance to command the IRGC and his consolidation of power and political influence since 2009 demonstrate the Supreme Leader’s support for this doctrine and its proactive employment.

1 The concept of martyrdom for the sake of Islam as a religious duty is an integral part of the IRGC indoctrination program, with its roots in Shia theology and Iranian culture. Military success depends more on the human factor and adherence to the Iranian revolutionary brand of Islamic faith and ideology than technology, training, and skills of the soldiers.
In order to effectively employ this doctrine, the IRGC must maintain its influence over the population through non-military means and non-military instruments of national power. The IRGC must also maintain a capability to take the conflict outside its borders through unconventional tactics and asymmetric warfare. The *Pasdaran’s Qods* (Jerusalem) Force is its primary tool in that endeavor. The Jerusalem Force will be addressed separately in subsequent discussion of the IRGC’s influence outside the borders of Iran.

For all of its influence in the military instrument of national power, the IRGC and its asymmetric warfare doctrine have multiple vulnerabilities. The IRGC’s blatant disregard for constitutionally mandated command and control structures, its independence from the Ministry of Defense, and its liberal interpretation of its authority put the *Pasdaran* on shaky legal ground. Iranian liberals and oppositionist make a strong argument that the IRGC has exceeded its authority to the point of acting as an extralegal paramilitary element operating above the law. Mohsen Sazegara, one of the IRGC’s founding members and now a political dissident, described the *Pasdaran*, “I don’t know of any other organization in any country like the Revolutionary Guards. It’s something like the Communist Party, the KGB, a business complex, and the mafia.”

The duality of the parallel military structures between the IRGC and *Artesh* creates inefficiencies, violates unity of command, and promotes factionalism. The *Pasdaran*’s ascendance has come at the expense of both the *Artesh* and the domestic Law Enforcement Forces in terms of resources, authority, and political capital. The resulting friction among the security services has resulted in poor
coordination, a lack of integration, and un-sustainability.\textsuperscript{14} The IRGC has long promoted itself as the Republic’s savior, based on its performance in the Iran-Iraq war. This implies that the \textit{Artesh} and the Iranian Navy failed the Republic, necessitating the IRGC’s and \textit{Basij}’s heroic sacrifices and martyrdom in order to preserve the revolution. An alternative viewpoint is that the IRGC inserted itself into the forefront of that war in order to secure its enduring prominence after the conflict. Additionally, military analysts both inside and outside of Iran contend that the IRGC’s costly human wave tactics unnecessarily prolonged the conflict at an exorbitant cost in terms of blood and treasure.\textsuperscript{15} The \textit{Pasdaran}’s revisionist historical portrayal of its role in the Iran-Iraq war is vulnerable to the true facts on its actual performance and motives. There are still many military personnel and civilians who remember the \textit{Pasdaran}’s Iran-Iraq war performance differently and can bring this truth to light, which would severely undermine the IRGC’s claimed historical foundation for its current behavior.

The asymmetric warfare doctrine that Hassan Abbassi and Major General Jafari developed and the \textit{Pasdaran} is now implementing also provides exploitable vulnerabilities. The acknowledged promotion of terrorism as a pillar of the strategy constitutes a clear and explicit violation of the Law of Armed Conflict by any interpretation of international law. This effectively isolates Iran from any external state support in the event of conflict, or limits Iran to support from a very small community of like minded states and state sponsored terrorist groups. Though willing and grateful to accept Iranian state support, its surrogates and proxies act in their own interests, with dubious reliability in the context of a larger
conflict. A commentary by Mustafa Al-Sawwaf, former Editor in Chief of Hamas’ daily newspaper, the Gaza Filistin, made this clear:

“If Iran wants to provide aid to HAMAS for the liberation of the Islamic land of Palestine, and given that Iran is an Islamic state, even though we do not share the same doctrine, HAMAS will not reject the aid and will seek to obtain it. However, if the Iranian money is aimed to form alliances, buy political positions or allegiances to this or that regime, HAMAS will not accept this. Iran understands this perfectly well, as the adage says: He who prays asks for forgiveness. If anyone is expecting to receive a [political] price in exchange for their money, then they are bound to be categorically rejected by HAMAS. I would like to point out here that Iran is not innocent in this regard. It tried and it is still trying, but it has failed and has been met with a principle stand by HAMAS regarding this matter. Perhaps it attempted to blackmail HAMAS through the money provided to the movement, but it encountered a firm HAMAS stance. It tried to use the weapon of blackmail but when it failed, it became convinced that HAMAS was holding on to its positions and that that it was not capable of giving Iran what it wanted.¹⁶

The terrorism pillar of Jafari’s strategy relies on intimidating potential adversaries and their supporters through the threat of terrorist activities against non-military targets in their territories. Since September 11, 2001, states are far more prepared to counter this threat and far more likely to respond aggressively to an attack rather than submit to intimidation. Terrorist organizations like Hamas, Lebanese Hezbollah, Khattab Hezbollah in Iraq, and the Palestinian Islamic Jihad are well aware of this and must carefully consider their self interest before acting on behalf of Iran’s interests.

The success of Jafari’s Mosaic Defense across the depth and breadth of Iran assumes the rapid and effective mobilization of the million man Basij force. While a critical strength in extending the IRGC’s domestic surveillance network across the provinces, the Basij remains a poorly trained and ill equipped reserve
of questionable reliability. The demographics of the Basij are trending against the IRGC, as Iran’s younger, more educated population shifts from the historically ideologically reliable rural regions to the more progressive urban regions. The locally based recruiting and manning of the Basij units also presents an exploitable vulnerability. There are conflicting priorities among the Basij rank and file, who are subject to varying degrees of tribal, sect, and local loyalties. During the 1994 Qazvin riots, local IRGC and Basij units demonstrated independence and conflicting loyalties by refusing to fire on unarmed protestors. This required the IRGC to import Basij units from other provinces to quell the riots. An undetermined, but significant percentage of the Basij rank and file have joined purely for the economic, educational, and social benefits rather than belief in the ideology or belief in the virtue of martyrdom. There is opportunity to drive a wedge between the Basij and the IRGC by illuminating the fact that the Mosaic Defense doctrine’s pillar of martyrdom applies mostly to the Basij rank and file and not the power brokers among the IRGC.

The IRGC is also notorious for over-reaching and hyperbole in its claims of capability and capacity. This could be a fatal flaw in its reliance on the deterrent effect of terrorist martyrdom operations. In 2005, as the head of the IRGC’s Center of Strategy, Major General Jafari threatened,

“If America were to make a mistake and carry out an attack against the sacred state of the Islamic Republic of Iran, we will set fire to its interests all over the world and will not leave it with any escape route… let the US know that if it starts a war on our soil, a war of attrition against Washington will start immediately and we will destroy all its sensitive spots… We have many martyrdom seeking forces. Each of them is the equivalent of a nuclear bomb and they are not at all afraid of death… The United States
should know that we have nuclear weapons, but they are in the hearts of our suicide bombers."\textsuperscript{20}

Though Iran lays claim to institutionalizing martyrdom operations, it is worth noting that the vast majority of the suicide attacks in Iraq since 2003 have been at the behest of the Sunni Insurgent Groups (SIGs) rather than the Shia Extremist Groups (SEGs). Many of the SIG attacks were sectarian in nature and directly targeted Shia civilians rather than US or Coalition Forces. This does not prove that the terrorist groups Iran sponsors are no longer willing to conduct suicide attacks, but it does call into question the validity of Jafari’s claim and the assumptions underpinning his strategy. Likewise, the 2011 Basij is not necessarily as ideologically motivated as the 1980-1988 Basij that fought Iraq to preserve the 1979 Revolution on Ayatollah Khomeini’s behalf, when the euphoria of deposing the Shah was still fresh in their hearts and minds. Due to the potential damage and spectacular nature of any effective terrorist attack, Jafari’s threat and his strategy can not and should not be taken lightly. However, the strategy assumes that the adversary will either submit or lose the will to continue based on the reliability, willingness, and effectiveness of the suicide operatives. Efforts to undermine the reliability and willingness could exploit that vulnerability and undermine the strategy.

The IRGC and Basij’s role in crushing opposition inside Iran, in accordance with Jafari’s domestic focus on preventing a ‘Velvet Revolution,’ is possibly the Pasdaran’s greatest exploitable vulnerability in its military instrument of national power. The protests that followed the June 2009 presidential election
extended into December 2009 and caused divisions within the IRGC. Brigadier
General Mohammad Reza Mahdi, a 30 year veteran of the *Pasdaran* and
formerly head of IRGC investigations to protect the regime, is now a political
dissident and activist outside of Iran. In an interview with Guardian News, he
claimed that over one third of the *Pasdaran* are now against the regime.

“The current members of the Revolutionary Guard are saying that they have
become very disheartened. The situation is becoming unbearable... The
regime is witnessing its destruction. The regime is prepared to instill fear and
insecurity into the people within Iran in order to ensure its stability. It has got
to that stage. The regime is sinking.”

Mohammad Hussein Torkaman was the *Basij* officer responsible for security
logistics for the Supreme Leader and the President during the June 2009
elections and the protests that followed. After witnessing the IRGC’s methods of
crushing the internal opposition, he also defected.

“After 2009 election supreme Leader and President brought in foreign
mercenaries to protect them because they were uncertain of their own
security forces... The forces they had chosen to do the shooting at people
were from the *Qods* Force. The majority of them are Lebanese or Palestinian.
They don’t speak Farsi, the Persian language. These were the ones who
were given permission to open fire... They had built places within the prisons,
specifically for torturing people. There’s a basement in Evin prison... it was
extremely bad. Disease was spreading because of the prisoners’ open
wounds, which had been caused by torture.”

Though the *Pasdaran* outwardly presents an image of a tightly knit and
cohesive force that is ideologically, theologically, and politically homogenous,
their role in quelling domestic revolts and crushing opposition movements has
been divisive among the ranks and refutes the image of homogeneity. The IRGC
members’ conflicting loyalties and doubts over the *Pasdaran’s* adherence to its
original intended purpose are exploitable vulnerabilities in its military instrument of national power.

The Diplomatic/Political Instrument of National Power

As the IRGC is not the sole proprietor of Iran’s military instrument of national power, the Pasdaran is also only one of many Iranian institutions that employs the diplomatic instrument of national power. Even though the IRGC is a military organization structured and commanded in a very traditional manner, it also plays a significant role in both domestic and foreign politics. Today’s Pasdaran is far from the apolitical entity Ayatollah Khomeini envisioned in 1979.

“I insist that the armed forces obey the laws regarding the prevention of the military forces from entering into politics, and stay away from political parties, groups and [political] fronts. The armed forces [consisting of] the military, the police force, the guards, and the Basij should not enter into any [political] party or groups, and steer clear from political games.”  

Ayatollah Khomeini

Through careful and skillful cultivation, the IRGC has significantly expanded its domestic portfolio and assumed responsibilities previously reserved for the private sector, elected officials, and various ministries within the national government. This expansion should not be confused with a coup, but viewed as consolidation of power by a network of current and former IRGC commanders, with the consent and support of Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei, in accordance with his interpretation of Ayatollah Khomeni’s intent for the preservation and continuation of the Islamic Revolution. Though divided by multiple camps favoring different candidates, the IRGC ultimately supported and advocated Mahmud Ahmadinejad’s ascendancy to the presidency in 2005.  

Even though
IRGC officers had consistently occupied multiple positions of power within the government since the 1979 revolution, Ahmadinejad accelerated and expanded IRGC and Basij influence by appointing Pasdaran personnel to 10 of the 25 cabinet ministries. Former IRGC commanders already chaired and significantly influenced the powerful Assembly of Experts, responsible for overseeing the work of the Supreme Leader and amending the constitution, and the Expediency Council, responsible for ensuring legislation conforms to Islamic law, as well as screening of election candidates and certification of election results. The trend of saturating the national government with IRGC personnel has continued unabated, despite President Ahmadinejad’s waning influence in his second term. IRGC officers and alumni currently control or influence virtually all of the critical ministries, state media, security functions, state industry, and finance. (Refer to Appendix 1)

“We see the ground shifting. Increasingly, the Revolutionary Guard seems to be filling the space that should be held under the Iranian system by either the clerical or the political leadership. Revolutionary Guard leaders have been assuming greater responsibility not just in the security sector and not just for the nuclear program, but in the economic and political arenas as well. The general conclusion is that there is something happening so that the political and the clerical leadership don’t seem to be able to make the decisions.” - US Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton

The IRGC derives its far reaching domestic authority through its liberal interpretation of the Islamic Republic’s constitution, specifically Article 150.

The Islamic Revolution Guards Corps, organized in the early days of the triumph of the Revolution, is to be maintained so that it may continue in its role of guarding the Revolution and its achievements.
By referencing its role in guarding the revolution, the Pasdaran has justified the extension of its activities to all facets of Iranian domestic and foreign politics.

Major General Mohammad Ali Jafari, Commander of the IRGC, is acutely aware of the broad authorities the Revolutionary Council vested in the IRGC. Speaking at the change of command at the Khatam al-Anbia Reconstruction Base in August, 2011, he clearly articulated his interpretation of the Pasdaran’s charter.

“…this goes back to IRGC’s overall mission and role because many people think the IRGC is just responsible for armed defense against foreigners or domestic insecurities, but the IRGC’s duty of guarding the revolution and its gains is not limited to physical defense.”

“Although the IRGC was formed to protect the gains of the Islamic revolution, it is not just for opposing foreigners or maintaining domestic security because the Islamic revolution is not just threatened with new military or security threats. This was the first decade of the revolution. However in the second decade of the revolution they also saw they could not get anywhere in this way. Also the supreme leader very cleverly and wisely explained the changes in the essence of the threat to the revolution by enemies and called for preparations for IRGC involvement in various cultural and economic areas.”

In the context of justifying the IRGC’s involvement in industry and finance, Jafari seized the opportunity to remind his multiple intended audiences that the Pasdaran’s responsibilities reach into all aspects of governance, culture, and society. On message, Deputy IRGC Commander, Brigadier General Sardar Hossein Salami, addressed a group of Basij youth on 8 August, 2011 as well.

“We must do all we can to safeguard the offspring of this land, who are the precious capital of Iran, against the cultural onslaught of the west and its ongoing soft war invasion.” The IRGC leadership, through state run media, has
consistently reinforced the message that the *Pasdaran’s* responsibility to protect the revolution run to the core of Iranian society.

As vetted and proven supporters of Khomeini and, more importantly, his successor Ali Khamenei, IRGC commanders and leaders have gravitated to key and critical appointed and elected positions throughout the national government. It is difficult to comprehensively and accurately list all of the key government officials associated with the IRGC and *Basij* due to the opaque nature of the republic and the multiple changes in personnel as the political tides ebb and flow. Regardless of the details of specific individuals in discreet billets, however, the overall trend has been a steady increase in IRGC power and influence within the government of the Islamic Republic of Iran. This is not to suggest that every political appointee or elected official associated with the IRGC adheres to a common, coordinated stance on every issue of policy and practice. The point is that the IRGC, more than any other entity in Iran, influences the political instrument of national power in the governance of Iran. Alumni of the IRGC and its subordinate domestic militia, the *Basij*, are ministers and/or deputy ministers of Welfare and Social Security, Islamic Culture and Guidance, Oil, Defense and Armed Forces Logistics, Industry and Mines, Commerce, Energy, and Interior. (Refer to Appendix 1, IRGC Personnel and Alumni in Key Positions)

Despite the constitutional mandate for the IRGC to adhere to duties and responsibilities defined by law,

“The scope of the duties of this Corps, and its areas of responsibility, in relation to the duties and areas of responsibility of the other armed forces, are to be determined by law, with emphasis on brotherly cooperation and harmony among them,”32
The IRGC answers only to the Supreme Leader rather than an elected official, a higher military command, or any other political or clerical entity within the government of Iran. The IRGC supports and advocates for the Supreme Leader and Khamenei responds in kind. During the height of the 1997 student riots, twenty-four senior IRGC officers sent a letter to reformist president Mohammad Khatami, issuing an ultimatum that he take action against the protestors, or the IRGC would take matters into their own hands. Supreme Leader Khamenei supported the IRGC officers rather than President Khatami, effectively establishing and reinforcing the IRGC’s independence from the popularly elected chief executive. Dissident IRGC Brigadier General Mohammad Reza Madhi gave his assessment of presidential power in Iran, “Ahmadinejad has no power. He’s a puppet, a plaything. He does what he’s told. No one has any belief in him. He has no power.”

The Pasdaran owes its current status and level of political influence to the patronage of the Supreme Leader, Ali al Khamenei, and the support of his policies by the Assembly of Experts, which is also dominated by Pasdaran alumnus Akbar Hashemi Rafsanjani. At the age of 72, having designated no apparent successor, Khamenei has not yet secured the IRGC’s political position beyond his tenure. This is a vulnerability for the IRGC, which has consolidated its power through influence and patronage in the Assembly of Experts, the Council of Guardians, and the Expediency Council. A significant political shift resulting from the designation of a more moderate or reformist successor to Khamenei would significantly threaten the IRGC’s current political position. Overt
interference in the process of selecting Khamenei’s successor could significantly undermine the Pasdaran’s legitimacy among both the population and the clerics responsible for choosing the next Supreme Leader. Factionalism within the IRGC would be more apparent and internal alliances of temporary convenience would be more tenuous.

The political posturing during the Ahmadinejad presidency has also exposed factions and fractures among the IRGC’s senior leadership. Though the IRGC and its alumni played a large role in securing Ahmadinejad’s victory in 2005, it is equally important to note that four other candidates with strong IRGC credentials ran against Ahmadinejad, including Akbar Hashemi Rafsanjani and former IRGC commander Mosen Rezai. Though legally banned from politics, factions within the IRGC chose sides, with Ahmadinejad and his base of Basij support emerging the victor. This divisive election drove wedges between IRGC leadership and Pasdaran rank and file, as well as between the IRGC and Basij. Rafsanjani himself accused the IRGC of election fraud and promised “divine retribution” for those involved. Rafsanjani and Rezai, the Pasdaran alumni who lost in the 2005 election, remain politically influential and maintain their own core of IRGC supporters. Rafsanjani is today the Chair of the Expediency Council and an influential member of the council of Experts. He is also one of Iran’s most wealthy men, which gives him economic as well as political influence. IRGC leadership must take care not to alienate Rafsanjani or multiple other IRGC alumni belonging to various factions. The 2005 election clearly demonstrated that the IRGC’s key leaders and key alumni are not a monolithic body united in
matters of strategy, policy, or politics. This is an exploitable vulnerability, especially as President Ahmadinejad’s influence and support from the ageing Supreme Leader wanes in the run up to the 2012 parliamentary and 2013 presidential elections.

For very different reasons, the IRGC again ensured Ahmadinejad’s victory over reformist candidate Mir Hussein Musavi in the 2009 presidential elections. In what has been generally assessed a fraudulent election, the IRGC ensured that the reformist would not have the opportunity to oust IRGC alumni from key and critical ministries. Again, former Pasdaran Commander Mohsen Rezai ran and lost, joining Musavi in publicly accusing the regime of election fraud. Despite the shared common view that the reformist opposition must not ascend, the IRGC remained split in its support of Ahmadinejad and Rezai.

The IRGC’s strategy of asymmetric warfare and its open advocacy of terrorism as a pillar of this strategy have cost Iran in the international political sphere. The US Department of State, in its 2010 annual country reports on terrorism, re-designated Iran as a state sponsor of terrorism. This is in keeping with its designation since 1984. The designation triggers mandatory sanctions on economic assistance, export of dual use items, and arms sales and exports. While the designation has no binding effect on governments or private entities outside the US, the formal designation is powerful in isolating a nation politically and economically. European Union and US Department of Treasury sanctions against IRGC Major Generals Jafari and Soleimani for their material support in Syria’s violent actions against protestors amplify the message that there is a
political and economic price to pay for the IRGC’s strategy.\textsuperscript{38, 39} This is yet another exploitable vulnerability in the IRGC’s diplomatic instrument of national power. The Pasdaran’s military strategy has a direct and negative impact on Iran’s ability to employ its political and economic tools.

Perhaps the greatest vulnerability in the IRGC’s involvement in the diplomatic and political instrument of national power is that it violates the stated intent of Ayatollah Khomeini, as noted previously. His vision of an ideologically pure entity dedicated to the preservation and continuation of the revolution, with strict adherence to his version of Islamic principles, has been supplanted by an entity more driven by consolidation of power unto itself than by revolutionary principles or adherence to Islam. Khomeini himself might have underestimated what the IRGC would become. Mohsen Rezai, commander of the IRGC for 16 years wrote,

> Once someone had asked Imam [Khomeini] as to why he lends so much support to the IRGC. The Imam had answered “why not?” and the interlocutor had warned him that it may result in staging a coup [if the IRGC became too strong]. The Imam had answered, “It doesn’t matter; it stays in the family [if they stage a coup]; as they are our own guys.”\textsuperscript{40}

Khomeini himself also provided the IRGC the very useful principle of expediency or interest of the regime (\textit{Maslahat}) taking precedence over the tenets of Islam.\textsuperscript{41} The IRGC has taken the principle of interest of the regime, \textit{Maslahat}, as authority to engage in acts such as terrorism and torture even though, arguably, they violate the tenets of Islam. This dichotomy is an exploitable vulnerability for the IRGC, which vociferously portrays itself as both the guardian of the revolution and the protector of Islam. As the Basi\textit{j} officer
responsible for security logistics for the Supreme Leader and the President during the June 2009 elections and the period of protests following them, Mohammad Hussein Torkaman, had regular access to IRGC leadership and Pasdaran alumni cabinet ministers. In a June 2010 interview, he assessed, “The situation is extremely bad in Iran. They claim to be a religious state, a government based on religion. Well, I can say right now that God does not exist in Iran.”

Dissident and/or disgruntled former IRGC members are not the only Iranians who question the Pasdaran’s claim of religious legitimacy. Many senior respected Shia Quietist clerics in Qom do not subscribe to clerical involvement in the politics of raison d’état. Likewise, many Sunni religious leaders and scholars disavow the Maslaha principle of Iran’s regime preservation taking precedence over the tenets of Islam. For these and many other reasons, the IRGC’s religious mandate for participation and interference in politics does not resonate well in many Arab and Muslim nations. For a nation that aspires to lead the Islamic world, this is an exploitable vulnerability.

The Pasdaran’s internal political factionalism is its most exploitable vulnerability. Current and former IRGC officers serving as cabinet ministers and other influential appointed positions derive their political power from several different sources, some of which are competitors. President Ahmadinejad has appointed several ministers from the 1990s IRGC alumni of the Iranian University of Science and Technology as well as the IRGC officers who served with him in northwestern Iran during the war with Iraq. Some of these appointments came at
the expense of individuals favored by Supreme Leader Khamenei and Ali Akbar Rafsanjani. Other IRGC officers serving in key posts owe their appointments to the Supreme Leader or to the Pasdaran's independent base of political power. Individual Pasdaran officers must carefully balance their allegiances and adjust accordingly as the internal power struggle between President Ahmadinejad and Supreme Leader Khamenei continues to play out over time. As different alliances and camps of hardliners, moderates, and pragmatists grow and vie for power and influence, reformers have the opportunity to divide and defeat those camps in detail. This is a vulnerability that can most likely only be exploited by the citizens of Iran through free and fair elections and legal processes rather than by foreign intervention or overt support of opposition movements. Nothing more effectively unites a divided entity like the IRGC than a common enemy.

The Information Instrument of National Power

To justify its expansive role in Iranian society and to support the maintenance of its considerable influence on domestic politics, the IRGC employs multiple mechanisms to produce, disseminate, control, and screen information as it implements this instrument of national power inside the borders of Iran. In short, control of the population requires control of the information the people send and receive. The IRGC has expanded the Revolutionary Council's mandate to train subordinate IRGC personnel in moral, ideological, and politico-military matters to include training and enforcement of Pasdaran morals and ideology across the entire population. On August 3, 2011, Vice Regent Hojjat ol-Eslam Ali Saidi explained the IRGC's role in politics and culture. He reported that
the IRGC employs 5000 political guides and the Basij employs 7000 political
guides to bolster IRGC spiritual and insight foundations. Saidi reinforced the
IRGC’s cultural responsibility, “Guarding, reinforcing, and protecting the
foundations and high values of the Islamic revolution are among the genuine
missions of the IRGC.”

The indoctrination of IRGC and Basij members centers on four principles:
religion, obedience to the Supreme Leader, revolutionary character, and
fellowship in a people’s army. When he assumed command of the IRGC in
2007, Major General Jafari reoriented the Pasdaran to counter what he assessed
to be Iran’s greatest national security concern: an internal ‘Velvet Revolution’
supported by external actors. He assessed that internal threats in the form of
reformist movements and student protests posed a greater threat to the regime
than conventional attack by a hostile nation. In order to enhance unity of
command, unity of purpose, and unity of ideology, he requested and received
formal control of the Basij. Jafari established 600 Basij Battalions and formally
expanded the Basij’s social and cultural roles, extending the IRGC’s reach into
every province while expanding its influence in the rural sectors. Through an
extensive system of incentives to include jobs, low interest loans, university
attendance, and monetary compensation, the Basij attracts and enlists its rank
and file, then implements its indoctrination in return for the benefits.

Complementary to the entry level indoctrination process is the more
sophisticated IRGC university system and the other institutions of higher
education that it influences. Two prominent universities are Martyr Mahallati
University in Qom and Seyyed Al-Shohada Educational Center in Tabriz, which train IRGC officers and instructors in ideology and politics. After the war with Iraq, the Imam Sadeq University was established in 1989, to provide the Basij access to higher education while promoting the values of the Islamic Republic in politics, economics, society, and education.

IRGC owned or controlled cyber outlets naturally support its system of indoctrination and political/ideological education. Of the twenty seven Basij web sites, ten are dedicated to Basij students and scholars and three are dedicated to Basij professors. Additionally, six state universities maintain government sponsored websites, which are strictly controlled. This overwhelming ideological virtual presence counters what the IRGC perceives as the threat of subversive ideas and impure ideology available to students and faculty in academia via the global information grid. The utilization of social media to organize and direct uprisings throughout the Middle East in 2011’s Arab Spring reinforced the IRGC’s premise that control of information, both proactively and reactively, is critical to maintaining the regime and the ideological purity of the revolution.

Proactively, the IRGC and Basij indoctrinate their own personnel and the population writ large in an effort to reinforce the ideological and political beliefs of the Pasdaran. The outreach to the universities and to the growing population of well educated students who would otherwise seek access to the information and ideas available on the global information grid is an example of the IRGC’s employment of the informational instrument of national power to counter the
trends that have led to uprisings across the region. As another initiative that could be used as a proactive information control measure or as an access portal to Iranian citizens’ communications, Ali Asghar Ansari, vice president of Iran’s Technology and Information Organization, announced on September 8, 2011, that Iranian citizens will be required to have an email address on a government owned and controlled network, iran.ir, in order to electronically access government services. This amounts to compulsory registration and participation in order to access vital government services. This modern adaptation of a common population control measure also provides a cyber pathway through which the IRGC can collect information on citizens it suspects of being subversive.

There are countless examples of reactive measures taken by the IRGC and Basij dominated Ministry of Islamic Culture and Guidance to control information and influence the domestic population. According to the Committee to Protect Journalists, an international organization dedicated to freedom of the press, Iran consistently ranks as one of the top nations for incarcerating journalists. This is not a new trend and is not unique to Iran. However, the technological sophistication of Iran’s reactive measures has dramatically increased. In August 2011, the Dutch internet security company DigiNotar, suffered a complex cyber attack in which 300,000 digital security certificates were stolen. Although direct attribution of this cyber attack remains elusive, a large body of circumstantial evidence suggests Iranian state sponsorship through the IRGC. According to Fox-IT, ninety nine percent of those affected were inside
Iran. Additionally, the hackers also fabricated certificates for access to intelligence services in the US, Great Britain, and Israel, as well as America Online (AOL) and Microsoft. Finally, the hackers left a cyber signature calling card in Persian Farsi, “Janam Fadaye Rahbar”, which translates to "I will sacrifice my soul for my leader." A similar attack in March 2011 against digital security company Comodo has been attributed to an Iranian hacker and bore the identical signature phrase. Mehli Abdulhayoglu, Comodo’s chief executive, assessed that the attacks are politically motivated and state sponsored, with the intent of creating fake sites to trick activists into thinking they are on a secure site while collecting information on their communications.

The IRGC officially established its cyber army in 2005 by assuming control of three Iranian hacking groups, Ashiyaneh, Shabgard, and Simorgh. According to US research firm Defense Tech, the IRGC cyber army consists of 2400 full time employees, and can leverage another 1200 private hackers. Defense Tech ranks Iran among the top five nations in terms of cyber attack capability and further assesses that the Pasdaran’s Cyber Army has offensive cyber capabilities to include traditional tools like embedded Trojans, viruses, and worms, as well as more sophisticated tools like electromagnetic pulse weapons and wireless data communications jammers.

While the IRGC maintains cyber responsibility for the Islamic Republic, it also attempts to achieve a degree of separation in cyber attacks through the use of surrogates. Ansar al Hezballah, a hard line conservative domestic IRGC surrogate, formally announced its establishment of Cyber Hezballah on 1
September 2011. Ansar al Hezballah openly stated that the IRGC commander for cyber defense publicly recruited hackers to break into the networks and websites of the Iranian government's opponents. Furthermore, Ansar al Hezballah vowed to conduct extensive cyber activities domestically and throughout the world.

Cyber Hezballah boldly outlined its core tasks:

1. Recognizing and providing identification for Hezbollah cyber activists in various areas
2. Holding courses and training conferences to enable active and interested forces
3. Holding meetings to provide familiarization with cyber warfare tactics, holding coordination meetings among soft war officers and cyberspace activists
4. Conducting group activities and creating sweeping waves using the great Hezbollah manpower potential in the net space
5. Introducing, praising and reinforcing selected activists in cyberspace in various areas

Hassan Abbasi, head of the IRGC’s Doctrinal Analysis Center and chief architect of the Pasdaran’s asymmetric warfare strategy addressed one of the initial Cyber Hezballah conferences and has been instrumental in providing guidance on the group’s activities.

Recognizing the importance of the information instrument of national power, the IRGC has invested significant effort in controlling information domestically and externally. In addition to its efforts in the cyber sphere, the IRGC has also attempted to control the flow of information via satellite television transmissions into Iran. Ebrahim Bayani, deputy for intelligence of Fars Province’s IRGC Fajr Corps, claimed that Iran’s enemies are attacking the regime via the internet and satellite television,

“One of the things that the enemy uses to separate the people from religion and to hollow out the [ruling] system consists of cultural tools and
utilizing corrupt Internet sites and satellite channels, which are growing like mushrooms. Creating dissoluteness and shutting down the prohibition of vice and the enjoinder of virtue in the country through a heavy assault via satellites, which more than 50 per cent of the people use today, is one of our enemies’ main programs.\textsuperscript{59}

The IRGC and \textit{Basij} both own and operate their own news web sites and printed news publications, but also influence nominally private Iranian news forums through their placement and access in the ministry of Islamic Culture and Guidance, which is headed by a former IRGC officer. Additionally, former IRGC commander Ezatollah Zarghami directed the national broadcast network, IRIB. This network includes five national television stations as well as multiple provincial stations. Coupled with the multiple web sites and publications dedicated to propagating the IRGC’s themes and messages, the \textit{Pasdaran} has control over a significant percentage of state media.\textsuperscript{60} IRGC commander, Major General Jafari, has been consistent in his assessment that the greatest threat to the regime is an internal ‘Velvet Revolution’ supported by external actors. He has also been consistent in employing, both proactively and reactively, the information instruments of national power to mitigate that threat.

There are multiple exploitable vulnerabilities in the IRGC’s information instrument of national power. There are inherent contradictions in the \textit{Pasdaran}’s principles of indoctrination: religion, obedience to the Supreme Leader, revolutionary character, and fellowship in the people’s army. The first contradiction pits religion against guardianship. Because IRGC personnel are required to emulate the Supreme Leader, they are denied their Shia right to choose their source of emulation. This forms a militia cult of the Supreme Leader
rather than a people’s army. The second contradiction is between revolution and religion. The traditional Iranian Shia Quietist interpretation of Islam promotes separation of politics and religion, which is completely antithetical to the IRGC’s doctrine of the inseparability of politics and religion. The third flaw in the indoctrination principles is religion’s role in a people’s army. The increasingly secular growing urban population that comprises much of the resource pool for the people’s army does not completely subscribe to the theology and ideology the IRGC propagates. Reliance on the rural population for the rank and file of the geographically organized and resourced IRGC and Basij is not sustainable. As the IRGC attempts to force its theology and politics on the urban youth, it alienates itself from a growing segment of the population.61

With the growing population of urban educated youth hungry for access to information via means available to most other nations around the world, the IRGC is hard pressed to close all ingress avenues for information it deems subversive. The proliferation of the internet and satellite television has outpaced the IRGC’s and the Ministry of Islamic Culture and Guidance’s ability to monitor, censure, and block unapproved content. Control and manipulation of information in the 21st century’s cyber sphere is virtually impossible. Despite the IRGC’s efforts to control information, social media has provided a portal increasingly being used by the oppressed opposition. Through Facebook and Twitter, an anonymous woman’s account of her detention, torture, and rape by a regime interrogator following the disputed 2009 elections has been viewed more than 75,000 times.
"Death was my first wish. I wanted it to be over. I wanted to die. No one came to look for me. No one knew when they were raping me, or when they were burning me with cigarettes... All I had done was give one vote and that was to Mousavi. A vote that was never counted."\textsuperscript{62}

This account and multiple other anonymous allegations are impossible to verify and subject to regime criticism as propaganda sponsored by third parties instigating the ‘Velvet Revolution’ the IRGC is intent on preventing. However, reformists and oppositionists have harnessed a powerful tool to disseminate information that would otherwise be censored or blocked.

Even within the IRGC’s official news sites, exploitable vulnerabilities have surfaced as the 	extit{Pasdaran} has struggled to control and censure content. Javad Moghimi was a photo journalist for IRGC press agency, Fars News in 2009. He recounted his experience during the protests following the presidential election in June, “They told us not to take photographs, and said that they are not responsible if anything happens to you. We will not back you up and we will even testify against you and claim that you are spies.” Modhimi disobeyed orders and photographed the protests, then fled to Turkey. His images, which the IRGC did not want exposed, made the cover of Time Magazine. Even though the IRGC arrested 39 journalists during the uprising, over 80 fled and took with them the images and information about the true state of turmoil in Iran.\textsuperscript{63} This is only one example of the IRGC’s inability to completely control the information instrument of national power. The proliferation of communications technology and the rise of social media in the form of Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and many other outlets make attempts to control and shape information increasingly futile. Exposure and
illumination of the truth is the greatest exploitation of the IRGC’s vulnerability in this instrument of national power.

The IRGC’s implementation of Jafari’s strategy of asymmetric warfare, both domestically and abroad, creates exploitable vulnerabilities in Iran’s information instrument of national power as well. Just as the military application of terrorism as a pillar of the asymmetric strategy has negative consequences on the diplomatic instrument, the employment of cyber attack in support of the information instrument has legal ramifications. If the IRGC’s Cyber Army is found, within reasonable doubt, to be responsible for attacking DigiNotar in order to facilitate collection of information on Iranian citizens within its borders, the Pasdaran will have violated international law. Furthermore, under generally accepted international legal protocols, the government of Iran is responsible for preventing attacks emanating from within its borders. Iran is also responsible for stopping the attacks and punishing the perpetrators, even if conducted by an entity not officially affiliated with the government. Cyber Hezballah, by its very existence, is a violation of the protocols guiding behavior in the cyber domain. The IRGC’s affiliation with or tacit support of malevolent cyber operators in support of its asymmetric warfare strategy exposes the Pasdaran’s abuse of the information instrument of national power and invites proportional retribution from the victims, whether they be individuals, multinational corporations, or states. In January of 2010, Iranian hackers attacked Baidu, a Chinese search engine. Chinese hackers responded in kind, attacking multiple Iranian web sites in
retaliation, sending Iran a reminder that China’s cyber capability far exceeds Iran’s.64

The Economic Instrument of National Power

The IRGC’s expansion beyond the roles and tasks traditionally associated with military or security services is most pronounced in its dominant role in Iran’s economy. Speaking at the change of command at the Khatam ol-Anbia Base Complex, IRGC commander Jafari bluntly summarized his position, “The IRGC must play a leading role in the nation’s economic fronts.”65 Referencing the IRGC’s role in the Iran-Iraq war, Jafari further rationalized the IRGC’s economic responsibilities,

“The IRGC actually goes into areas of activity the other sectors cannot do, as in the imposed war where because of the extent of the front and the army’s ability at that time, the IRGC had the duty to go on the battlefield with all its being. We are doing the same thing today in economic areas.”66

Articles 150 and 44 of the Islamic Republic of Iran’s constitution provide the legal basis from which the IRGC derives its authority for involvement in the economy.

Article 150: The Islamic Revolution Guards Corps, organized in the early days of the triumph of the Revolution, is to be maintained so that it may continue in its role of guarding the Revolution and its achievements. The scope of the duties of this Corps, and its areas of responsibility, in relation to the duties and areas of responsibility of the other armed forces, are to be determined by law, with emphasis on brotherly cooperation and harmony among them.67

Article 44: The economy of the Islamic Republic of Iran is to consist of three sectors: state, cooperative, and private, and is to be based on systematic and sound planning. The state sector is to include all large-scale and mother industries, foreign trade, major minerals, banking, insurance, power generation, dams and large-scale irrigation networks, radio and television, post, telegraph and telephone services, aviation,
shipping, roads, railroads and the like; all these will be publicly owned and administered by the State.\textsuperscript{68}

Leveraging its self proclaimed popularity and influence after the Iran-Iraq war, the \textit{Pasdaran} initially entered the economic realm under the auspices of guiding the reconstruction efforts. They have steadily expanded their influence with the support and approval of the Supreme Leader. The IRGC’s influence spans virtually all sectors of the economy. \textit{Khatam ol-Anbia}, Persian for “Seal of the Prophet,” is the largest contracting business within a vast network owned and/or controlled by the IRGC. The IRGC owns and operates multiple port facilities and operates its own banking system. From 2005 to 2010, the IRGC and its affiliates won 750 oil, gas, and construction contracts. In September 2009, the IRGC purchased a 50% controlling interest in Iran Telecommunications Company, complementing its information instrument of national power with an economic instrument.\textsuperscript{69} While it is difficult to accurately capture all of the companies under the IRGC’s business portfolio, appendices 2-5 from Ali Alfoneh’s June 2010 article in Middle East Outlooks provides and extensive list of companies and investment institutes entirely or partially owned by the IRGC.\textsuperscript{70} (See Appendices 2-5)

In 2005, Supreme Leader Khamenei directed “privatization” of 25% of Iran’s publicly held assets, amounting to $110-120 billion worth of assets going to cooperatives, which were owned or controlled by the government. This effectively transferred ownership and control from one public sector to another. Through its complex network of foundations and their subsidiary banks, assisted by generous subsidies, the IRGC has diligently expanded its business holdings at a deep
discount. The true private sector only gained 19% of the “privatized” public assets, while the cooperatives consumed 68% of the resources. The IRGC has systematically militarized rather than privatized the Iranian economy.\textsuperscript{71}

By far, the IRGC’s greatest economic instrument is its influence over the energy sector, which accounts for over 80% of the regime’s revenue.\textsuperscript{72} As commander of the powerful \textit{Khatam ol-Anbia}, IRGC Brigadier General Rostam Ghasemi displaced international oil companies Shell and Total to take full control of the South Pars oil and gas fields, securing no-bid contracts for multiple phases of the fields’ development.\textsuperscript{73} In August 2011, the Islamic Republic’s elected parliament, the \textit{Majles}, confirmed the nomination of IRGC Brigadier General Rostam Ghasemi to be the nation’s oil minister. This was despite the fact that Ghasemi was already the subject of US and international sanctions for his association with terrorist activities. Iran currently holds the rotating position of president of OPEC, effectively placing an IRGC officer in a position to employ Iran’s economic instrument of national power both domestically and globally in the critical energy sector of the world economy.\textsuperscript{74}

The IRGC’s overt activity in the Iranian economy is only one of the \textit{Pasdaran’s} tools for implementing the economic instrument of power. The IRGC influences or controls a vast network of \textit{bonyads}, or foundations though which it exerts its economic and social influence. These foundations account for 20% of Iran’s Gross Domestic Product.\textsuperscript{75} In the regime’s post revolutionary consolidation, it assumed control of the multiple foundations the Shah had established as informal and extra-legal networks. While officially a non-governmental
organization, the Foundation for the Oppressed, *Bonyad Mostazafan*, is the nation’s largest and is chaired by Mohammad Forouzandeh, a former IRGC officer. This massive foundation has over 350 subordinate companies and is diversified throughout the agricultural, transportation, tourism, and industrial sectors of the economy. Its agricultural subsidiary alone has an additional 115 companies. Reportedly, 50% of the foundation’s profits go to aid to the needy, provide low interest loans to the poor, and pay pensions. The remainder is available for re-investment in the foundation’s companies or for the acquisition of additional companies.\(^7\) Through economic favoritism, the IRGC has employed the *bonyads* to displace independent private industry and prevent it from re-emerging. This economic instrument reinforces the political and information instruments by providing social security, social mobility, and popular mobilization.\(^8\)

The gray and black markets comprise a significant sector of Iran’s economy. The IRGC, through its ownership of ports, its influence over airlines, and its nearly immune status among Iranian law enforcement services, has the means and opportunity to play a dominant role in the black and gray market economy. There are multiple motives for this involvement: personal profit for senior officers, funding and acquisition of weapon systems subject to sanctions, bribery of political and clerical officials in order to maintain and increase the IRGC’s economic and political position, support covert initiatives abroad, support the IRGC’s nuclear research program, provision of financial support to IRGC veterans and their families, and growth of the *Basij* through financial incentives.\(^8\)
By militarizing an enormous percentage of Iran’s national economy, the IRGC has built a powerful tool to complement and enhance its ability to influence the military, information, and diplomatic/political instruments of national power. It has also created numerous exploitable vulnerabilities. Though the IRGC diligently employs its information instruments to mask the truth and obfuscate the facts, the mass of the Iranian people know that their economy is in deep trouble and that their economic prospects for the future are not favorable. The IRGC sends conflicting signals through its information instrument by alternately blaming the US and US sanctions for Iran’s economic woes, while claiming that the sanctions are ineffective and futile. Janes Sentinel Security, in August 2011, assessed that the Iranian economy will need to create 700,000 jobs annually to meet the demand of a growing supply of young workers. With both unemployment and inflation at over 25%, Janes assessed that the economy can not meet the challenge. 

As commander of the Khatam ol-Anbia construction base, Brigadier General Rostam Ghasemi summarized the IRGC’s view of direct foreign investment in Iran, “This base must become a replacement for the large foreign companies.” In a world economy that is increasingly integrated and interdependent, the IRGC leadership is moving Iran’s economy in an entirely different direction that is destined to further isolate and alienate Iran from other nations. In keeping with Jafari’s intent to become completely self sufficient, with all critical sectors of the economy under the control or influence of the IRGC, Iran has denied itself any of the benefits of the global market beyond the energy
sector. This is a distinct vulnerability in the IRGC’s economic instrument of national power.

Sanctions have significantly affected Iran’s militarized economy. The oil sector is highly dependent on income from oil and gas exports to India, which accounts for 17% of Iran’s exports.\textsuperscript{81} India, however, has begun to diversify its import sources in order to reduce its reliance on Tehran. Sanctions against IRGC affiliated banks have made it difficult, if not impossible for India and other nations to pay their oil debts to Iran. Various reports indicate that South Korea could owe as much as $4.7 billion and China as much as $30 billion that they can not pay due to the sanctions.\textsuperscript{82}

The Pasdaran’s extra-legal economic activity has not gone un-noticed by Iran’s elected parliamentarians. In reference to the IRGC’s black and gray market activities, Majlis member Ali Ghanbari openly criticized the Pasdaran,

“…unfortunately, one third of the imported goods are delivered through the black market, underground economy, and illegal jetties. Appointed institutions [by Supreme Leader Khamenei] that don’t obey the [rules of] the government and have control over the means of power [violence]; institutions that are mainly military, are responsible [for those illegal activities].”\textsuperscript{83}

Former Majlis Speaker and reformist cleric Mehdi Karrubi accused the IRGC of running 60 extralegal jetties without proper governmental supervision. Another Member of Parliament quantified his estimate of the IRGC’s illicit economic activity, “invisible jetties . . . and the invisible hand of the mafia control 68 percent of Iran’s entire exports.”\textsuperscript{84} As a reformist candidate for the presidency and an outspoken critic of the regime and the Pasdaran, Mehdi Karrubi remains under house arrest.
Corruption and personal enrichment invites contempt and competition among the senior IRGC officers, and creates an opportunity for a wedge between the leadership and the rank and file of the organization. The slow deterioration of the once influential Bazaar merchant middle class through the IRGC’s control of the underground economy and its militarization of the private sector has also created a vulnerability by alienating a large segment of the population accustomed to a tradition of relatively free enterprise. In a formal letter to the government, 29 private businessmen openly questioned the constitutionality as well as the effectiveness of the IRGC’s economic activities,

“Responsibilities [of the military and civilian institutions] are well defined in the Constitution. [Moreover] the goal of the “Next 20 Years’ Economic Projection,” is to make the government smaller. [We ask the question] whether it makes sense economically and technically, to award [all the] large scale projects to the military or paramilitary organizations?”

TheJerusalem Force Exercising All Instruments of National Power

The IRGC’s domestic focus does not suggest a lack of involvement in Iran’s external affairs. Through its subordinate Qods (Jerusalem) Force, the IRGC exercises all instruments of national power on Iran’s behalf. The Jerusalem Force acts as a diplomatic corps, an intelligence/information service, an irregular warfare proponent, and a non-governmental organization.

The Jerusalem Force has long been assessed as functionally independent from the IRGC hierarchy. In January 2011, Supreme Leader Khamenei promoted the Qods Force commander, Khassim Soleimani, to Major General, the highest rank in Iran’s armed forces since the Iran-Iraq war ended. In rank he is equal to the Pasdaran Commander, Major General Jafari. In practice, Soleimani also
ultimately answers only to Kamenei, giving the Jerusalem Force Commander the same direct and frequent access to the Supreme Leader that the IRGC Commander enjoys. In 2003, Supreme Leader Khamenei paid Soleimani homage usually reserved for the deceased by describing him as, “...someone who was martyred at the front on numerous occasions and is a living martyr of the revolution.” Based on the importance of martyrdom in revolutionary Iran’s interpretation of Shia Islam, this complement from Khamenei is indicative of Soleimani’s standing and referent power among the Pasdaran.

The IRGC’s external arm’s designation as the Jerusalem Force is symbolic and significant as well. A popular image during the Iran-Iraq war depicted an arrow stretching from Iran, through Iraq’s Shiite holy city of Karbala to the Dome of the Rock in Jerusalem. The image and its accompanying slogan, “the path to Jerusalem runs through Karbala” suggests Iran’s manifest destiny to unite the Islamic world under Shia leadership in order to take the Islamic holy city of Jerusalem from Israel. This defines the Qods Force’s struggle against imperialism and Zionism.

The Jerusalem Force is Iran’s primary practitioner of irregular warfare outside its borders. In keeping with Iran’s strategy of asymmetric warfare previously discussed within the context of the military instrument of national power, the Qods Force employs all instruments of national power in support of this strategy. The Jerusalem Force performs functions normally executed by officers of the diplomatic corps, the foreign ministry, the national intelligence service, the ministry of defense, and the ministry of finance in most other nations.
As commander of the Jerusalem Force, Major General Khassim Soleimani, wields extraordinary power and influence. In a think tank discussion forum in 2010, General David Petraeus related a message Soleimani had sent him in 2008.

“He said, “General Petraeus, you should know that I, Kassim Soleimani, control the policy for Iran with respect to Iraq, Lebanon, Gaza, and Afghanistan. And indeed, the ambassador in Baghdad is a Qods Force member. The individual who’s going to replace him is a Qods Force member.”

General Petraeus elaborated,

“Now, that makes diplomacy difficult if you think that you're going to do the traditional means of diplomacy by dealing with another country’s Ministry of Foreign Affairs because in this case, it is not the ministry. It's not Mottaki who controls the foreign policy, again, for these countries, at least. It is, again, a security apparatus, the Qods Force, which is also carrying out other activities.”

In the opinion of several prominent Iraqi politicians, Qods Force Commander Soleimani wields inordinate power and influence. According to Mowaffak al-Rubaie Iraq's former national security minister, "He is the most powerful man in Iraq, without question. Nothing gets done without him." Saleh al-Mutlaq, an influential Iraqi Sunni politician, said, "His power comes straight from Khamenei. It bypasses everyone else, including Ahmadinejad." In reference to the significant casualties US Forces sustained in June 2011, the Director General of the intelligence division in Iraq's Ministry of Interior, Hussein Kamal assessed,

"It is clear that the al-Quds Force is responsible. There has been a systematic flow of weapons into Iraq for the past eight years. Of course they try to say it is not state-sponsored. But when weapons are flowing from the borders of a sovereign state, it is very clear where the blame lies."
They are destructive weapons and they cannot deny the responsibility for them.”

The Jerusalem Force also implements the economic instrument of national power in Iraq. *Qods* Force member and head of the Iran-Iraq economic commission, Hassan Kazemi Qom, is responsible for implementing Iran’s plan to increase its energy sector trade with Iraq from $6 billion to $20 billion over the next five years. This is in addition to economic initiatives at the provincial and local level. This economic influence, coupled with the *Qods* Force’s sponsorship of Shia militia groups *Asaib al Haq*, the Promise Day Brigades, and *Khattab Hizballah*, demonstrate the *Qods* Force’s ability to implement the economic instrument of national power in support of the military instrument abroad through sponsorship and training of surrogates and proxies.

The Jerusalem Force also has a long history of implementing Iran’s military, information, and economic instruments of national power in Lebanon, through its surrogate, Lebanese *Hezbollah* (LH). Through the *Qods* Force, Iran has re-armed LH with over 25,000 rockets and 500 *Zelzal* rockets since the 2006 LH conflict with Israel. With a range of 186 miles, the *Zelzal* rockets give LH a capability and considerable capacity to strike Tel Aviv. In concert with the military instrument, the Jerusalem Force also implemented the economic and information instruments by providing LH at least $150 million to distribute to Shia supporters whose homes were damaged during the 2006 Israeli conflict. The US State Department’s Terrorism Report for 2008 assessed that Iranian aid to LH exceeded $200 million that year.
The advent of the Arab Spring in 2011 has given Soleimani justification for expanding the Qods Force’s diplomatic portfolio to several other nations as well. In a May 2011 speech to students at the Haqqani Theological Seminary, Soleimani stated, “Today, Iran’s victory or defeat no longer takes place in Mehran and Khorramshahr. Our boundaries have expanded and we must witness victory in Egypt, Iraq, Lebanon, and Syria. This is the fruit of the Islamic revolution.”

Consistent with the IRGC doctrine of asymmetric warfare and the IRGC’s employment of all instruments of national power, Soleimani and the Qods Force are waging intensive political, informational, and economic warfare, in addition to sponsoring and training surrogates, proxies, and terrorists. Through its intervention in Syria, the Jerusalem Force and its commander offered a critical exploitable vulnerability that the US Government exposed. President Obama signed Executive Order 13573 on 18 May, 2011, condemning Soleimani and the Qods Force for its role in violating human rights by assisting the Asad regime in suppressing Syrian civilians. Assistant Secretaries of State Posner and Feltman testimony to the US Congress was unambiguous,

“It is no coincidence both Iran and Syria have responded to their citizens with similar contempt and brutal tactics. As the latter designation shows, we know that the Syrians have employed Iranian help in curbing dissent. This has exposed a strident hypocrisy on the part of the Iranian regime, which has tried unsuccessfully to take credit for democratic movements in Egypt and elsewhere and laud protesters when it suited its strategic interests, but has materially helped the Syrian government crush its own protestors in order to preserve their ally. The Iranian regime’s false narrative is further exposed even as the regime continues to smother its own domestic opposition.”

The US State Department refuted Iran’s and Soleimani’s attempt to hijack the Arab Spring movement by taking credit for regime changes around the region
while supporting the repressive Syrian regime in its human rights abuses against its own peacefully protesting citizens.

The US Department of State’s 2010 annual report on terrorism states that the Qods Force is Iran’s “primary mechanism for cultivating and supporting terrorists abroad.” The report cites the Jerusalem Force’s training and provision of lethal aid to insurgents and militants in both Afghanistan and Iraq, its expenditure of hundreds of millions of dollars in support of Hezbollah in Lebanon, and its training of thousands of fighters in camps inside Iran. 99 On May 17, 2011, the US Treasury Department sanctioned Major General Soleimani and his officer in charge of training and operations, Mohsen Chizari, for being “the conduit for Iranian material support to the GID (Syrian intelligence service accused of repressing its citizens)” 100 The duplicity of Iran’s policy of intervention in Lebanon, Iraq, and Syria is an exploitable vulnerability in the region as well as inside Iran.

The Jerusalem Force has many of the same exploitable vulnerabilities as the rest of the Pasdaran. As the IRGC’s external practitioner, the Qods Force has some unique vulnerabilities as well. Current Defense Minister and former Jerusalem Force commanding general, Ahmad Vahidi, is subject to an Interpol Red Notice for his alleged involvement in multiple bombings and assassinations on three continents. His ability to travel is limited to those nations which lack an extradition treaty or refuse to honor the associated indictments. Major General Soleimani could very well fall into the same situation, given the US and European Union sanctions already levied against him. As practitioners of asymmetric warfare outside their borders, Qods Force Officers are also at risk of being
exposed, killed, or captured. In 2006 and 2007, Coalition Forces captured one Lebanese *Hezbollah* senior officer and several *Qods* Force officers operating inside Iraq, exposing them and their malevolent activities to the Iraqis and the world.\(^{101}\)

The *Qods* Force practice of placing its personnel in Iranian embassies as diplomats, as articulated by Major General Soleimani to General Petraeus, creates a vulnerability in Iran’s diplomatic instrument of national power. By delegating responsibility for implementing foreign policy to the *Qods* Force rather than a trained and experienced foreign service, Iran has sidelined its professional diplomats in favor of the IRGC and its agenda. There is a risk of *Pasdaran* and *Qods* Force policy dictating Iranian national foreign policy without regard for unintended consequences or second and third order effects.

*Conclusions*

Through the *Pasdaran’s* pervasive influence and active employment of all instruments of national power, the IRGC has become the government of Iran’s center of gravity not only in terms of national security, but also for all other aspects of governance. In military terms, an adversary cannot currently defeat the *Pasdaran* without changing the regime, and cannot change the regime without defeating the *Pasdaran*. Despite its pervasive influence via its extensive network of current and former IRGC political heavyweights, its carefully crafted information control and manipulation tools, its primacy over all critical national security tasks, and its elaborate economic enterprise, the *Pasdaran* has
significant exploitable vulnerabilities in each instrument of national power.

(Reference Chart 1, Summary)

Major General Jafari’s assessment that the greatest threat to the regime is that of a ‘Velvet Revolution’ coming from within the nation’s population, supported by an external actor or actors, is likely correct. Sufficient popular support is critical to preserving the regime. Widespread popular dissent and demand for change is equally critical for regime change. The US or any other potential adversary would likely bolster the regime and validate the IRGC’s growing role in preserving the regime and propagating the revolution if a significant percentage of Iranian citizens actively oppose or passively observe a coordinated attempt to change the regime. Even with widespread support from a significant percentage of the population, an attempt to defeat the Pasdaran and/or change the regime would require a sustained and disciplined whole of governments approach over an extended period. Note that ‘governments’ is plural, meaning that no single nation acting independently could achieve regime change or fundamentally change the IRGC even if that nation efficiently and effectively employed all instruments of its own national power. A significant advantage goes to the defender, the IRGC, and the status quo in this case.

Recommendations

Even though IRGC defense doctrine emphasizes soft power and asymmetric warfare over the technological and physical aspects traditionally associated with kinetic warfare, no potential adversary should underestimate the necessity of maintaining a credible kinetic deterrent. In its autonomous
application of calibrated violence consistent with Jafari’s asymmetric warfare doctrine, the Pasdaran and/or its proxies could easily miscalculate the second and third order effects of their malevolent behavior and employment of terrorism, producing unacceptable unintended consequences. Potential adversaries and competitors must remain cognizant of this and should be prepared to respond proportionally and appropriately in order to establish and reinforce the relevance of deterrence.

Regardless of intent or lack of intent to change the Islamic Republic of Iran’s current regime, support of oppositionists and reformists is consistent with the US policies of support for human rights and emerging democracies. The US has the capability to use its own hard and soft instruments of national power to encourage other similarly inclined nations to pledge and deliver their support as well. Accurate and timely information, widely and effectively disseminated, is critical in any efforts to influence the Pasdaran or the regime. In order to substantively back claims of malevolent IRGC behavior, the US and allied nations will need to carefully consider declassifying and sharing sensitive intelligence information while balancing the desire to protect sensitive sources and collection methods. The information supporting US claims and justifying US actions must withstand scrutiny from not only the international community, but also the sophisticated information control, distortion, and dissemination architecture the Pasdaran has carefully cultivated over the last three decades to deny the Iranian population access to the truth.

*Diplomatic/Political*
As state sponsors of terrorism in accordance with the IRGC’s asymmetric warfare doctrine, Iran’s diplomatic instrument of national power is extremely vulnerable. The US and other nations should continue to condemn the support of terrorist organizations and discourage any other nation from entering into bilateral agreements with the terrorist state of Iran. At the far end of the diplomatic spectrum is a political ultimatum requiring other nations to publicly declare their support for Iran and its policies of promoting terrorism, abusing human rights, and repressing its population; or to join in a coalition of like minded nations condemning these practices and refusing to recognize the Iranian regime that implements these unacceptable policies. A tangible first step is to continue to indict key IRGC leaders, restrict their international travel, and seize their assets held abroad. European Union arrest warrants should accompany every EU sanction against Pasdaran leadership. The US could then leverage the soft power of the EU member nations to secure cooperation from additional nations currently unwilling to arrest and/or extradite IRGC senior officers like Jafari, Vahidi, Ghasemi, and Soleimani. The US State Department should continue to encourage other nations to recognize Iran as a state sponsor of terrorism. Declarations or demarches from Islamic nations like Turkey, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, and Pakistan would help to invalidate the IRGC’s credibility as self proclaimed guardians of the faith.

Whenever and wherever the Jerusalem Force is operating behind the veil of diplomatic immunity from within Iranian embassies and consulates, the US and other nations should also carefully consider exposing this practice and these
operatives. There are multiple potential negative second and third order effects in doing so, but the US and other nations have the option to overtly and openly expose the Qods Force, or to more discreetly inform select partner nations through diplomatic or intelligence channels.

The US can exploit the factions among the Pasdaran by being perfectly clear that the US is open to dialogue with responsible reformists and pragmatists who seek a mutual relationship of trust and partnership rather than conflict. To be effective, this message must be unambiguous and consistent, with multiple target audiences to include IRGC leadership, elected Iranian politicians, the Artesh, and the Iranian people.

Informational

If mobilizing the population of Iran is the key to either maintaining or changing the regime, the information the population receives is the key to effective mobilization. The truth is on the side of the IRGC’s adversaries, both inside Iran and external to Iran. The proliferation of truth via the internet, satellite television, and social networks serves to expose and illuminate the inherent contradictions of the IRGC indoctrination effort and the abuse of its extra-legal authority to guide and regulate culture and society in Iran. The US and partners should actively support and encourage all of these information venues and aggressively oppose and expose the IRGC Cyber Army and surrogate Cyber Hezbollah’s virtual terrorism. The Pasdaran’s information instrument of national power, like its military instrument, depends largely on the calculus of intimidation and calibrated violence, counting on both the Iranian population and other
nations to avoid confrontation out of fear of what harm the regime might do. Constant exposure and illumination of IRGC abuses of Iran’s informational tools, coupled with proportional and appropriate state retaliation against reasonably attributable attacks could affect or change the IRGC’s calculus. The United States, other nations, and the civilian commercial sector with experience and expertise in cyber security can and should aggressively work together to protect infrastructure, information, and networks from Iranian cyber attack. Likewise, the US should use its available soft power tools to share information and training support on cyber security best practices with other susceptible nations to proactively deter and mitigate the IRGC Cyber Army’s intimidation.

The US and its allies must also communicate, through all available means, with the nearly 80 million citizens of Iran, constantly reinforcing the message that US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton articulated in 2010, “We want to send a clear message that it’s not about the Iranian people; this is about the Revolutionary Guard.” There must be a proactive, sustained campaign to expose and illuminate the Pasdaran’s malevolent behavior both inside Iran and around the world, and to inform the Iranian people of the consequences associated with this unacceptable behavior.

_Military_

The US and allied nations’ militaries should maintain technical and physical regional superiority, providing a credible deterrent to the IRGC threat of asymmetric warfare and terrorism, as well as malevolent behavior in neighboring nations. Through theater security cooperation in multiple geographic combatant
commands, the US Departments of Defense, State, Justice, and Treasury should also continue to support improvement in counter-terrorism capability and capacity among allied nations in order to deter the Pasdaran’s implementation of terrorism as a pillar of its military doctrine and strategy. Within the United States Central Command area of responsibility, specifically the nations bordering the Arabian Gulf and Strait of Hormuz; military sales, training, exercises, and intelligence sharing should all be coordinated to counter the IRGC’s asymmetric warfare doctrine and its naval swarm tactics. The US could also make a case for declaring the entire Pasdaran and Basij terrorist organizations, exempt from the protections normally afforded uniformed armed forces under the Geneva Conventions and the Laws of Armed Conflict due to both organizations’ sponsorship and training of the terrorist organizations previously noted.

Additionally, the US military should consider developing a campaign plan based on exploiting the inherent flaws in Jafari’s Mosaic Defense doctrine by segregating and isolating the IRGC and Basij from the Artesh, and driving a wedge between the Pasdaran elite leadership and the rank and file expected to martyr themselves on the IRGC’s behalf. The US can make clear to the Artesh that there is a place for that element of Iran’s armed services in a future sovereign Iran that is free from the Pasdaran and its leaders. The US can also communicate directly to the Basij that mass attrition of their rank and file is only in the best interest of the IRGC, not the US or the Iranian people.

The US and allied militaries should also consider improving the capability and capacity of their Military Information Support Operations (MISO), tailored to
achieve and maintain information superiority as quickly as militaries would normally strive to achieve air superiority against a traditional adversary. The tools and skills required are not necessarily the same as those employed in Iraq and Afghanistan and can not be produced rapidly. Early investment in learning the Farsi language and understanding the Persian culture would be critical to effective employment of the military instrument of national power.

**Economic**

The US and allied nations should fully expose the IRGC economic enterprise to both the Iranian people and the rest of the world. Through information and intelligence sharing, the US can provide the transparency that the IRGC has obfuscated through its use and abuse of foundations, cooperatives, front companies, and the black market. The Iranian private sector bazaar middle class should be encouraged and should have full visibility of the unfair business practices the Pasdaran enterprise employs to enrich its elite and to finance its consolidation of power.

Economic sanctions and US Department of Treasury designations should extend to all bonyads, banks, and cooperatives associated with this vast network. Though currently having an effect, US unilateral sanctions are not completely effective in preventing the Pasdaran from generating and laundering the revenue required to maintain and expand its domestic and international influence. The US should also consider engaging regional political and economic interests like the European Union, MERCOSUR, the African Union, ASEAN, and the Gulf
Cooperation Council, as well as member nations of the World Trade Organization to honor sanctions against the entirety of the Pasdaran’s economic enterprise.

The US and other willing nations should also aggressively support development of alternative markets and sources of oil and gas for nations dependent on Iranian imports. Coupled with economic sanctions against the IRGC economic enterprise, this could have a profound effect on the Pasdaran’s ability to implement its economic instrument of national power.

Closing Comments

The May 2010 National Security Strategy of the United States of America states,

“We will disrupt, dismantle, and defeat al-Qa’ida and its affiliates through a comprehensive strategy that denies them safe haven, strengthens front line partners, secures our homeland, pursues justice through durable legal approaches, and counters a bankrupt agenda of extremism and murder with an agenda of hope and opportunity.”

Though Osama bin Laden and Anwar al-Awlaki are dead, and al-Qa’ida has suffered substantial losses in terms of senior leadership and popular support, al-Qa’ida remains a significant threat to US national security. However, Iran, under the influence of the Pasdaran and its political and clerical mentors, poses a greater potential threat to US national security. As a pillar of the IRGC’s military doctrine and strategy, Iran’s state sponsorship of terrorism remains unacceptable, but largely unchallenged. Since September 11, 2001, the US government and willing partner nations have employed the principles of cooperative security and whole of governments implementation of the instruments of national power against al-Qa’ida. Senior leaders should leverage
the lessons learned from this experience and apply them toward exploiting the multiple vulnerabilities of the *Pasdaran* terrorist network as well.
Appendix 1: IRGC Personnel and Alumni in Key Positions

Current and former IRGC personnel placement and access (as of 01 AUG 2011)

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad—President of the Islamic Republic of Iran, former mayor of Tehran, Basij volunteer during Iran-Iraq war

Esfandiar Rahim-Mashaei -- Ahmadinejad’s Chief of Staff, established the IRGC intelligence unit in Kurdistan

Hoseyn Dehgan – Vice president, former commander of the IRGC in Lebanon and Tehran, former commander of the IRGC Air Force, former General Manager of the Cooperatives Foundation of the IRGC

Saeed Jalili—Secretary of the Supreme National Security Council and top nuclear negotiator (replacing Ali Larijani), former Basij commander

Ali Larijani—Speaker of the Majlis, Expediency Council member, former IRGC member, former head of state TV and radio, former SNSC representative to Khamenei

Sadegh Mahsouli —Minister of Welfare, former commander of the IRGC District 5 and Special district 6, formerly Ahmadinejad’s commanding officer

Ahmad Vahidi -- Minister of Defense and Armed Forces Logistics, former Commanding General of the IRGC Qods Force


Rostam Qasemi – Minister of Petroleum, former IRGC commander of Khatam ol-Anbia construction base

Mohammad Mostafa Najjar— Minister of Interior, Former Minister of Defense and Armed Forces Logistics; in General Command of Central Headquarters of IRGC Sistan va Baluchestan province; in charge of the Cooperative Office of 104 Assessing the Domestic Roles of Iran’s Islamic Revolutionary Guards Corps the IRGC, 1981; former deputy director of the Warfare Group of the Ministry of the Guards Corps (Vezarat-e Sepah); member of IRGC since late 1979; membership in the board of directors of the Guards Corps Industries; creation of the Training, Treatment, and Equipment Center of the Guards Corps Hospital

Mehdi Ghazanfari —Minister of Commerce, Industries and Mines, Iran University of Science and Technology and IRGC alumnus

Aliakbar Mehrabian – Minister of Industry, Member of the Central Command Council of Student Basij, nephew of Ahmadinejad, IRGC alumnus

Ali Akbar Salehi – Minister of Foreign Affairs, IRGC associations through Iran’s nuclear program

Hamidreza Hajijababaiy – Minister of Education, IRGC alumnus

Kamran Daneshjou – Minister of Science and Higher Education, IRGC alumnus

Reza Taghipour Anvari -- Minister of Communications and Information Technology, IRGC association through telecommunications industry
Mohammed Abbasi — Minister of Cooperatives, IRGC alumnus
Majid Namjou—Minister of Energy, former IRGC security officer, civilian deputy of the Khatam ol-Anbia construction base
Hoseini Shahrudi—Director of the Indoctrination Bureau of the IRGC

Other Government Officials/Advisors (as of 2009)
Yahya Rahim Safavi—Assistant and senior advisor to commander-in-chief of Iranian Armed Forces (Khamenei), former commanding general of the IRGC
Mohsen Rezai—Secretary General of Expediency Council, one of the original IRGC members, former commanding general of the IRGC
Hojjat ol-Eslam Behzad Jalali—Khamenei’s representative in the IRGC

University Chiefs (as of 2009)
Kelishadi—Head of Amir al-Mu’minin University
Behrouz Moradi—On faculty at Imam Hosein University; governor of Hamedan
Mohammad Mehdi Zahedi—Head of the SBO at the Science and Industry University
Mardani—Head of the Iranian SBO
Ja’far Ya’qubi—Head of the LBO

Bonyad/Media/Business Heads (as of 2009)
Mohammad Forouzande—Director of the Mostazafan and Janbazan Foundation; Head of the IRGC General Headquarters; Assistant Commander-in-Chief of the IRGC in Reorganizing the IRGC’s three Naval, Air, and Ground Units
Brigadier General Ehtessam—Director of Hara Company
Hossein Shariatmadari—Director of Keyhan newspaper
Hoseyn Dehghan—Deputy to the president and director of the Martyr’s foundation; former IRGC Air Force commander
Appendix 2

Companies and Investment Institutes Entirely or Partially Owned by the IRGC Cooperative Foundation as of May 31, 2010

- Alaleh-ye Kavir Samen al-Aemeh Cultural and Service Institution [Moasseseh-ye Farhangi/Khadamati-ye]
- Samen al-Aemeh-ye Sherkat-e Alaleh-ye Kaboud-e Kavir
- Baharan Company [Sherkat-e Baharan]
- Bahman Group [Gorouh-e Bahman]
- Behinesas Engineering Prefabricated Articles [Mohandesi-ye Amadeh-ye Behinesaz]
- Chaharmahal and Bakhtiari Food Products Yeast Company [Mavad-e Ghazayi-ye Khamir-Mayeh Chaharmahal Va Bakhtiari]
- Housing Jihad Companies [Sherkatha-ye Jahad-e Khaneh-sazi]
- Iran Telecommunications [Sherkat-e Mokhaberat-e Iran]
- Isfahan Zowb-rou Company [Sherkat-e Zowb-ro-ye Esfahan]
- Kermanshah Petrochemical Industries [Sanaye’ Petroshimi-ye Kermanshah]
- Khorasan Shadab Agricultural and Industrial Company [Sherkat-e Kesht Va San’at-e Shadab-e Khorasan]
- Kish Atlas Commerce and Industrial Company [Sherkat-e Bazargani Va San’ati-ye Iran Atlas-e Kish]
- Kish Bahrestan Company [Sherkat-e Bahrestan-e Kish]
- Kowsaran Institute [Moasseseh-ye Kowsaran]
- Maedeh Food Industries [Sanaye’ Ghazayi-ye Maedeh]
- Misagh-e Basirat Institute [Moasseseh-ye Misagh-e Basirat]
- Mowj-e Nasr-Gostar Communications Company [Sherkat-e Mokhaberati-ye Mowj-e Nasr-Gostar]
- Navid-e Bahman Company [Sherkat-e Navid-e Bahman]
- Omran-e Mohit Consultancy and Development Company [Sherkat-e Andisheh Va Omran-e Mohit]
- Pars Air Services [Sherkat-e Khadamat-e Havayi-ye Pars]
- Pre-Fabricated Light Structures Consulting Engineering Company [Sherkat-e Mohandesin-e Moshaver-e Sazeh-ha-ye Pishsakhteh-ye Sabok]
- Rahian-e Komeyl Commercial and Consulting Services Institute [Moasseseh-ye Khadamat-e Bazargani/Moshaverehi-ye Rahian-e Komeyl]
- Razmandeh Social Housing Company [Mojtama’e Khaneh-sazi-ye Razmandeh]
- Sepahan Social Housing Company [Sherkat-e Mojtama’e Khaneh-sazi-ye Sepahan]
- Shahab-Sang Mining Industries [Sanaye’ Ma’dani-ye Shahab-Sang]
Shahriar-e Mahestan Investment Company [Sherkat-e Sarmayehgozari-ye Shahriar-e Mahestan]
Tose’eh-ye E’temad Investment Company [Sherkat-e Sarmayehgozari-ye Tose’eh-ye E’temad]
Yazd Bahar Wool Company [Sherkat-e Pashmbafi-ye Bahar-e Yazd]
Zagros Steel [Foulad-e Zagros] (end note, Alfoneh, The Revolutionary Guards’ Looting of Iran’s Economy, p9)
Appendix 3

Subsidiaries of the Mehr Finance and Credit Institution
Azerbaijan Kowsar Company [Sherkat-e Kowsar-e Azerbaijan]
Kousha Paydar Company [Sherkat-e Kousha Paydar]
Mehr Ayandehnegar Commerce Services Company [Sherkat-e Khadamat-e Bazargani-ye Ayandehnegar-e Mehr]
Mehr Housing and Development Investment Company [Sherkat-e Sarmayehgozari-ye Maskan Va Omran-e Mehr]
Mehr-e Eghtesad-e Iranian Investment Company [Sherkat-e Sarmayehgozari-ye Mehr-e Eghtesad-e Iranian]
Tadbirgaran-e Atiyeh Company [Sherkat-e Tadbirgaran-e Atiyeh] (end note, Alfoneh, The Revolutionary Guards’ Looting of Iran’s Economy, p8)

Appendix 4

Companies Entirely or Partially Owned by Mehr-e Eghtesad-e Iranian Investment Company
Azerbaijan Development Investment Company [Sarmayehgozari-ye Tose’eh-ye Azerbaijan]
Iran Aluminum Company [Sherkat-e Alouminium-e Iran]
Iran Marine Industrial Company [Sherkat-e San’ati-ye Daryayi-ye Iran]
Iran Mineral Products Company [Sherkat-e Faravari-ye Mavad-e Ma’dani-ye Iran]
Iran Industrial Development [Tose’eh-ye San’ati-ye Iran]
Iran Tractor Factory [Traktorsazi-ye Iran]
Iran Tractor Foundry Company [Rikhtegariye Traktorsazi-ye Iran]
Iran Zinc Mines Development Company [Tose’eh-ye Ma’aden-e Rouy]
Isfahan Mobarakeh Steelwork [Foulad-e Mobarakeh-ye Estahan]
Jaber Ben Hayan Pharmaceuticals [Darousazi-ye Jaber Ben Hayan]
Middle East Tidewater Company [Tidewater-e Khavar-e Mianeh]
Parsian Bank [Bank-e Parsian]
Sadid Pipe and Equipment Company [Sherkat-e Louleh Va Tajhizat-e Sadid]
Tabriz Tractor Factory [Traktorsazi-ye Tabriz]
Technotar Engineering Company [Sherkat-e Mohandes-e Teknotar]
Appendix 5

Main Subsidiaries of the Basij Cooperative Foundation
Basij Housing Foundation [Moasseseh-ye Ta’min-e Maskan-e Basij]
No-Interest Loan Institute of the Basij Members [Moasseseh-ye Gharz al-Hassaneh-ye Basijian]
Consumer-Goods Provision Foundation of the Basij Members [Moasseseh-ye Ta’min-e Aghlam-e Masrafi-ye Basijian]
Cultural/Artistic Institute of the Warriors of Islam [Moasseseh-ye Farhangi/Honari-ye Razmandegan-e Eslam]
Scientific and Pedagogic Services Institute of the Basij Members [Moasseseh-ye Khadamat-e Elmi Va Amouzeshi-ye Razmandegan]
Table 1: Exploitation Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument of National Power</th>
<th>Exploitable Vulnerability</th>
<th>WOGs Exploitation Options (current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diplomatic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Inconsistency with Khomeini’s guidance</td>
<td>- Expose IRGC involvement and interference in politics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reliance on SL Khamenei</td>
<td>- Expose IRGC dismissal of executive, judicial, and legislative branches’ authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- State sponsorship of terrorism</td>
<td>- Increase scope and breadth of sanctions, convince Islamic nations to declare Iran a state sponsor of terrorism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Expediency principle over tenets of Islam</td>
<td>- Enlist support of other Islamic nations (Turkey, GCC, Pakistan) to demarche Iran for violations of tenets of Islam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Opposition of Quietist clerics</td>
<td>- Enlist support of other Islamic nations to support and reinforce Quietist position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Factionalism among IRGC officers and alumni</td>
<td>- Establish dialogue with moderate and pragmatic IRGC leaders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Displacement of trained diplomats</td>
<td>- Expose IRGC officers operating under diplomatic cover and expel them from embassies and consulates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Informational</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Inherent contradictions in indoctrination</td>
<td>- Expose and illuminate the contradictions, encourage dissent and free thought and speech, denounce human rights violations and oppression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- inability to block electronic media</td>
<td>- Promote and proliferate technology, provide cyber infrastructure for free flow of information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- oppression of journalists</td>
<td>- Impose additional sanctions for violations of human rights, provide journalists avenues for disseminating reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- reliance on cyber terrorism</td>
<td>- retaliate proportionally to cyber attacks against individuals, organizations, and cyber infrastructure; sanction individuals and organizations responsible for attacks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Military</strong></td>
<td>- Violating constitutionally</td>
<td>- Declare the IRGC and Basij hostile terrorist entities without the protections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mandated C2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Duality of IRGC and <em>Artesh</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Flawed doctrine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Historical revisionism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

normally afforded military services under the Geneva Conventions and LOAC.
- Establish dialogue with senior *Artesh* leadership, guarantee conditional protection in the event of conflict
- Indict IRGC leadership for sponsoring terrorism; seize all IRGC and IRGC affiliated financial assets; encourage Basij to refute martyrdom operations; retaliate proportionally against IRGC sponsored terrorists; employ information operations aggressively and proactively to discredit IRGC propaganda
- Provide accurate historical reports on IRGC policy and strategy that cost Iran thousands of lives

| Economic |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| - Intentional economic isolation |
| - Extralegal activity in Black and Gray Market |
| - Destruction of merchant class |
| - Ineptitude in running the economy |
| - shrinking export markets |

- Extend sanctions to all IRGC and state owned banking and financial institutions, indict individuals and corporations violating sanctions and seize their assets
- Support border control efforts by Iran’s neighbors; designate IRGC owned ports, jetties, and transportation services as material supporters of terrorism and seize their assets; expose IRGC leaders’ illegal accumulation of wealth
- Expose the fallacy of Iranian economic privatization; expose all foundations, businesses, and cooperatives that are IRGC owned or affiliated and seize their assets
- Continue to block IMF and World Bank support to Iran due to lack of transparency in economic planning, banking, and finance
- Aggressively support alternative oil and gas sources for India, China and Japan; support direct foreign investment in gas
- Inconsistent messaging ref sanctions
- Expose the opportunity cost the IRGC has imposed on the Iranian economy through its flawed military strategy and its self serving management of the economy
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