



Assessing and Visualizing Incomparabilities by using an Outranking Method Supporting the Acquisition of Military Equipment

Prof. (Em.) Hugo Pastijn, Cdt Ir.Filip Van Utterbeeck

Royal Military Academy Renaissance Avenue 30 1000 Brussels (Belgium)

hugo.pastijn@rma.ac.be

ABSTRACT

An essential element in the use of outranking methods for ranking or selection problems arising in the acquisition process of military equipment is the interpretation of incomparabilities. We show how this was done in the PROMETHEE methods by the "GAIA" plane representation, which is implemented in the commercial software "DECISION LAB 2000".

We then discuss how the incomparability analysis can be fine-tuned, leading to a more detailed graphical representation. This was implemented in the "MCDMTool" developed at the Royal Military Academy in Brussels.

Finally we discuss the way to interpret the results of these assessments and visualizations, along with their relevance to the acquisition process of military equipment.

INTRODUCTION

In the SAS-080 (NATO SAS-080 Specialist Meeting – Brussels 22-23 October 2009) contribution on "Using an outranking method supporting the acquisition of military equipment" (SAS-080 14) we reminded that outranking methods for multicriteria decision aid belong typically to the so-called European School of Multicriteria Decision Making (MCDM), which came into existence with the stimulating work of B. Roy ([13],[14],[15],[16]). We will repeat here some of the points addressed in that paper. The outranking approach is based on a fundamental partial comparability axiom where incomparability is a key concept ([5], p.80). In contrast with this approach there is the so-called American School in which Th. Saaty plays an important role with his "Analytical Hierarchy Process" (AHP Method) in which there is no place for incomparabilities [17]. In the European School we think that incomparabilities between alternatives to be ranked or to be selected, are a natural aspect of any MCDM problem, in which criteria evaluating the performance of these alternatives are conflicting - meaning that for instance two different criteria can have inversed preferences between couples of the same alternatives. If this happens on a large set of couples of criteria, then we claim that neglecting these conflicts, is leading to decisions which are often far from the original data of the MCDM problem. Although the final objective in practice is to decide about a ranking or about a selection of a subset of the alternatives, we claim that the decision maker should be supported by methods which are warning about the presence of incomparabilities. We even claim that it should be possible to assess the importance (the intensity) of these incomparibilities in order to fully inform the decision maker about it, before the final decision is made.

Many different methods belong to the outranking class. For overviews we refer to [5], [18] and [19]. For a detailed description of industrial applications with the oldest member (ELECTRE) of this class we refer to [11]. In this paper we will concentrate on the well-known PROMETHEE methods. In other contributions

	Report Docume	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188					
maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding as	o average 1 hour per response, inclu ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info ny other provision of law, no person	regarding this burden estimate rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE	RED		
OCT 2009		N/A		-			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER		
		oilities by using an C		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
Method Supporting	g the Acquisition of	Military Equipmen	t	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NU	JMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMB	BER		
				5f. WORK UNIT	NUMBER		
	ZATION NAME(S) AND AI Idemy Renaissance	DDRESS(ES) Avenue 30 1000 Bru	ıssels (Belgium)	8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	G ORGANIZATION ER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITO	RING AGENCY NAME(S) A	AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/M	ONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release, distribut	ion unlimited					
Equipment (Metho Proceedings of Sys	55. RTO-MP-SAS-0 dologies d'aide a la tems Analysis and S	80 Decision Suppor decision pour l'acq Studies Panel (SAS) document contains	uisition d' equipe Specialists Meeti	ements milita	ires). Meeting		
acquisition process done in the PROM commercial softwa fine-tuned, leading	of military equipm ETHEE methods by re DECISION LAB	anking methods for ent is the interpreta y the GAIA plane re 2000. We then disc graphical represent emy in Brussels.	tion of incompar- presentation, wh uss how the incor	abilities. We ich is implem nparability a	show how this was nented in the nalysis can be		
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	- ABSTRACT UU	OF PAGES 8	RESPONSIBLE PERSON		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18



to the NATO SAS-080 Specialist Meeting (Brussels, 22-23 October 2009) we will focus on the ORESTE method ([10],[12]) which is complementary to the PROMETHEE methods. There are other methods belonging to the European School like MACBETH [1] which in SAS-080 is the subject of a keynote address by C. Bana e Costa.

The PROMETHEE methods are sufficiently well-known by System Analysis specialists, to skip in this paper all mathematical aspects. For details we refer to [4],[8] and [9]; for some more philosophical considerations see [3].

The PROMETHEE methods have been extensively used in the eighties and nineties of last century by teams of Belgian MoD equipment acquisition services. These (and other) MCDM methods are taught in the curriculum of the High Staff College for Military Administrators of the Belgian MoD. Currently personnel involved with equipment acquisition can use these methods on an individual basis. For other areas in Defence where these methods were used, we refer for instance to [6].

In this paper we concentrate on practical features of the PROMETHEE I method related to the incomparability analysis, the typical use for military equipment acquisition, and we illustrate the discussion primarily by an implementation we called MCDMTool [7].

INPUT DATA

We start with the same data as in the paper SAS-080 on "Using an outranking method supporting the acquisition of military equipment", but now we intend to apply the PROMETHEE I method, which includes the identification of incomparabilities.

This is illustrated in Figure 1 with MCDMTool.



tions													
			Priman	v proble	m: evalu	ations 🔅							ร์ 🗹 🖂
t 1						uistion C.	Owne	rehin	Economic C	Dienoeah	ility Huma	Doe Bid	Proposa
t 2		Eq		?	?	distion o.	?		4	5	?	2	r roposa
13		Eq		?	?		?		4	3	?	3	
14		Eq		?	?		?		3	1	?	1	
5		Eq		?	?		?		5	3	?	1	
6		Eq		? ?	?		?		1	4	?	4	
7		Eq			?		2		7 2	4 2	2	2	
8		Ea			2		2		6	1	2	2	
9		Eq		?	?		?		2	2	?	1	
10			t 10	?	?		?		6	6	?	2	
]										
eria hierarchy	Concerned and the second	ation ality a	aluation					ି ଜ ି ହ		• • • •			10000 K _ 7
Military Equipment Acquisition	Name	ationality: e			Access	Handli			71 15	Acquisti			
🕈 🚍 Operationality	Eqt 1	120.0 1	Untablc		9			2			Direct Cost 567.0	3	e Paymer 3
- 🗠 Performance	Eqt 2	67.0 6			8			6			599.0	2	8
- ¹ ₂- Portability-Mobility	Eqt 3	90.0 3		·	10			9			578.0	6	1
- ¹ ₂₋ Standardization	Eqt 4	124.0 4		-	5	-		3	E	qt 4 1	487.0	9	5
	Eqt 5	100.0 5			6			5		·	675.0	7	7
- 12- Accessibility	Eqt 6 Eqt 7	57.0 8 89.0 1		1 O 3	4			10 4			967.0	1	10
- ¹ 2- Handling	Eqt 8	77.0 9			4 8	-		4			167.0 597.0	10 8	6
- ¹ 2- Reliability	Eqt 9	68.0 7			7		-	8			076.0	4	9
1 ₂₋ Software	Eqt 10	54.0 2			3			7			784.0	5	2
🕈 🗂 Acquistion Cost													
Direct Cost													
- ¹ 2- Quantity Reductions													
¹ 2- Payment scheme													
🕈 🔚 Ownership													
- 🗠 Maintenance Cost		wnership : e	waluatio	ne 33333		⊡ 2				luman Res	ources: ev	aluati 🗖	í 🛛 🖂
$-\frac{1}{2}$ Servicing							_		Nai	ne Trair	ing Conve	r Manpo	Safety
	Nam Eqt 1	Mainte 300.0	Servic	3	o Stora	ige Supply 2	<u>y</u>		Eqt 1	2	3	2	1
- ¹ 2- Subcontracts	Eqt 2	340.0	8	9	7	8			Eqt 2		7	7	10
- ¹ 2- Storage	Eqt 3	280.0	3	8	3	7			Eqt 3 Eqt 4	9	10 6	10 8	3
¹ 2 Supply Support	Eqt 4	450.0	6	2	8	3			Eqt 5		9	3	7
- 12- Economic Compensations	Eqt 5	370.0	7	10	10	6			Eqt 6		2	6	5
- 12- Disposability	Eqt 6	455.0	2	4	2	10			Eqt 7		8	9	4
	Eqt 7	500.0	5	7	6	5			Eqt 8	6	1	4	2
	Eqt 8 Eqt 9	490.0	10 9	6	5	9	-11		Eqt 9		5		9
• 🗂 Human Resources			4	5	9	4			Eqt 1	0 3	4	5	8
			1.2		19								
← ☐ Human Resources	Eqt 10	500.0											
P- ☐ Human Resources - 1₂. Training - 1₂. Conversion													
P- I Human Resources 1z. Training 1z. Conversion 1z. Manpower planning			·										
P- ☐ Human Resources - 1₂. Training - 1₂. Conversion		500.0											

Figure 1: Input data in MCDMTool

PROMETHEE I COMPUTATIONS FOR OPERATIONALITY

In Figure 2 are shown the successive computational results for PROMETHEE I only for the MCDMproblem "Operationality" which is a criterion of the overall MCDM problem "Military Equipment Acquisition". Notice that ordinal data (ranks are treated as cardinal data).

The second table of Figure 2 gives the unicriterion flows

$$\phi_j(a) = \sum_{x \in A-a} \left[P_j(a, x) - P_j(x, a) \right]$$
 with $P_j(a, x)$ and $P_j(x, a)$ the preference indicators



(see SAS-080 14). They are used in the computation of weight stability intervals and also in the representation of the GAIA plane [9].

lumeric	al evaluations	Unicriteria flows	s Flows	PRC	METHEE 1 relat	ions			
	Performance	Portability-Mobil.	Standardi	ization	Accessibility	Hand	lina F	Reliability	Software
at 1	120.0	1.0	4.0		9.0	3.0	5.0		2.0
t 2	67.0	6.0	1.0		7.5	10.0	4.0		6.0
t 3	90.0	3.0	7.0		10.0	4.0	2.0		9.0
it 4	124.0	4.0	5.5		4.0	2.0	8.0		3.0
it 5	100.0	5.0	8.0		5.0	7.0	10.0		5.0
	57.0	8.0			1.0	8.0			10.0
t 6			10.0				9.0		
t 7	89.0	10.0	2.0		3.0	9.0	1.0		4.0
t 8	77.0	9.0	3.0		7.5	1.0	6.0		1.0
1t 9	68.0	7.0	5.5		6.0	6.0	7.0		8.0
t 10	54.0	2.0	9.0		2.0	5.0	3.0		7.0
	tio: PROMETHE	E 1 Unicriteria flow	s Flows	PR	OMETHEE 1 relat	ions			^ت ۵
	Derfermense	Destablish Malait	Otendend		A	Unad	line a	Deliebilit.	0.4
n de cel	Performance	Portability-Mobil			Accessibility	Hand		Reliability	Software
qt 1	0.7694444060	. 1.0	0.3333333	5432	-0.7777777910			11111342	
qt 2	-0.405555546	-0.1111111342.	1.0		-0.4444444775			33333432	
qt 3	0.1500000059	. 0.5555555820	-0.333333	343	-1.0	0.333333		77777910	
qt 4	0.8250000476	. 0.3333333432	. 0.0		0.3333333432	. 0.777777		555555820	
qt 5	0.4027777612	. 0.1111111342	-0.555555	582	0.1111111342	0.33333	33431.0		0.1111111343
qt 6	-0.619444489	-0.5555555820.	1.0		1.0	-0.55555	55820.7	777777910	01.0
qt 7	0.1222222149	1.0	0.777777	7910	0.5555555820	0.77777	7791 1.0		0.3333333433
at 8	-0.183333352	-0.7777777910.	0.555555		-0.444444775			11111342	
		0.1111111010.							
nt Q	-0.3833333225	-0.33333333433	0.0		-01111111342	-0.111111	113/ .03	222222123	0.555555582
ηt 10	-0.383333325 -0.677777767 atio : PROMETHE	-0.33333333432. 0.7777777910	0.0 0.777777	791	-0.1111111342 0.7777777910.	<u>-0.11111</u> . 0.111111		333333432 55555820	
tt 10 Opera	-0.677777767	0.777777910	0.777777			. 0.111111			0.3333333343
opera Opera Numeri Phi +	-0.677777767 atio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01	0.777777 /s Flows E02	PR E03	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E	. 0.111111 tions	1342 0.55	55555820. E08	-0.333333333333333333333333333333333333
opera Opera Numeri Phi +	-0.677777767 atio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow	0.777777	PR	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E	. 0.111111 tions	1342 0.55	55555820. E08	-0.333333333333333333333333333333333333
Opera Opera Numeri Phi +	0.677777767 atio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.453	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01	-0.777777 /s Flows E02 0.5454	PR E03	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 7 0.6363 0.8	tions 505 E0 181 0.90	1342 0.55	55555820 E08 0.5454	0.333333343 E 09 E11 0.9090 0.727
Opera Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .456	0.67777767 atio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.453 0.448 0.007	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545	 -0.777777 /s Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 	E03 0.772 0.363	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 7 0.6363 0.8 6 0.3636 0.3	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636.	55555820. E08 0.5454 0.5454	
Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .498	-0.677777767 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.448 0.407 0.421 0.077	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 1 0.0 Eqt 2 0.4545 Eqt 3 0.1818	IS Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 0.5590	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 7 0.6363 0.8 6 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.6	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.87	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772.	55555820 E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227	
Opera Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .498 .604	-0.677777767 ttio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.453 0.448 0.007 0.421 0.077 0.343 0.261	0.777777910	 -0.777777 /s Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 0.5590 0.6363 	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 7 0.6363 0.8 6 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.6 0 0.0 0.9	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.87 272 0.90	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 90 0.5227.	55555820 E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.4545	0.333333343 E09 E11 0.9090 0.727 0.6363 0.331 0.5545 0.618 0.6363 0.545
Opera Numeri <u>Phi +</u> .707 .456 .498 .604 .368	-0.677777767 stio.: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi- Phi total 0.2540.453 0.4480.007 0.4210.07 0.3430.261 0.5670.19	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 1 0.0 Eqt 2 0.4545 Eqt 3 0.1818 Eqt 4 0.2 Eqt 5 0.0909	 Image: Image and the second sec	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 f 7 0.6363 0.8 6 0.3636 0.3 0.6636 0.6 0 0.0 0.9 2 0.0 0.0	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.87 272 0.90 0.72	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 90 0.5227. 72 0.3227.	55555820 E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.4545 0.3772	
Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .498 .604 .368 .173	-0.677777767 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.448 0.077 0.442 0.077 0.343 0.261 0.567 0.158 0.758	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 1 0.0 Eqt 2 0.4545 Eqt 3 0.1818 Eqt 4 0.2 Eqt 5 0.0909 Eqt 6 0.0909	 -0.777777 /s Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 0.6363 0.6045 0.1818 	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.090	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 f 0.6363 0.8 6 0.3636 0.6 0.3636 0.6 0.3636 0.9 0.3636 0.9 0.3636 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.87 272 0.90 0.72 727 0.0	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.3227. 90 0.5227. 72 0.3227. 0.3636.	55555820 E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.4545 0.3772 0.2727	
Opera Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .498 .604 .368 .173 .546	-0.677777767 tito.: : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.2540453 0.448007 0.4210.77 0.3430.261 0.567019 0.5720158 0.3720173	Image: Constraint of the state of	-0.777777 IS Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 0.6363 0.6045 0.1818 0.55545	E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.090 0.545	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.36360.8 60.36360.3 0.36360.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0 0.	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.87 272 0.90 0.72 727 0.0 454 0.6	1342 0.55 06 E07 090 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 90 0.5227. 72 0.3227. 0.3636. 0.0	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.4545 0.3772 0.3772 0.3772 0.3790	
dt 10 Operation Phi + .707 .456 .498 .604 .368 .173 .546 .475	-0.677777767 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi- Phi total 0.454 0.454 0.474 0.077 0.343 0.264 0.767 0.343 0.261 0.761 0.372 0.173 0.428 0.047	D.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 0.6 Eqt 0.4545	Image: Provide state state Image: Provide state state Image: Provide s	E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.900 0.545 0.454	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 relat 3 E04 E 70 636303 0.363603 0.363604 0.00 0.9 20 0 0.9 20 0 0.0 30 0.99902 40454505 0.5454405	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.87 272 0.90 0.72 727 0.90 0.72 727 0.90 6454 0.6	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 90 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0 63 0.3636.	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.4245 0.3772 0.500 0.5000	
Dera Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .604 .368 .546 .475 .316	-0.677777767 tio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.453 0.448 0.007 0.448 0.077 0.343 0.261 0.5670.19 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.448	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 1 0.0 Eqt 2 0.4545 Eqt 3 0.1818 Eqt 4 0.2 Eqt 5 0.0909 Eqt 6 0.0909 Eqt 6 0.4545 Eqt 8 0.4545 Eqt 8 0.4545	-0.777777 E 02 0.5454 0.6363 0.6045 0.1818 0.2722 0.1863	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.090 0.545 0.454 0.454 0.363	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.6363 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.9 	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.68 363 0.772 727 0.9 454 0.63 454 0.63 545 0.77	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0	E08 0.5555820 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.3772 0.5727 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090	
Dera Opera Numeri Phi + 707 456 498 604 368 173 546 475 316	-0.677777767 tio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.453 0.448 0.007 0.448 0.077 0.343 0.261 0.5670.19 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.448	D.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 0.6 Eqt 0.4545	-0.777777 E 02 0.5454 0.6363 0.6045 0.1818 0.2722 0.1863	E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.900 0.545 0.454	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.6363 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.9 	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.87 272 0.90 0.72 727 0.90 0.72 727 0.90 6454 0.6	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0	E08 0.5555820 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.3772 0.5727 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090	
Dera Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .604 .368 .546 .475 .316	-0.677777767 tio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.453 0.448 0.007 0.448 0.077 0.343 0.261 0.5670.19 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.448	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 1 0.0 Eqt 2 0.4545 Eqt 3 0.1818 Eqt 4 0.2 Eqt 5 0.0909 Eqt 6 0.0909 Eqt 6 0.4545 Eqt 8 0.4545 Eqt 8 0.4545	-0.777777 E 02 0.5454 0.6363 0.6045 0.1818 0.2722 0.1863	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.090 0.545 0.454 0.454 0.363	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.6363 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.9 	tions 505 E(181 0.90 636 0.68 363 0.68 363 0.77 727 0.0 454 0.63 454 0.63 545 0.77	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0	E08 0.5555820 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.3772 0.5727 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090	
Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .498 .604 .368 .173 .546 .475 .316 .484	-0.677777767 tio : PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.453 0.448 0.007 0.448 0.077 0.343 0.261 0.5670.19 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.448	D.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.0909 Eqt6 0.0909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt9 0.2727	-0.777777 E 02 0.5454 0.6363 0.6045 0.1818 0.2722 0.1863	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.090 0.545 0.454 0.454 0.363	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 t 0.3636 0.8 6 0.3636 0.3 0 0.0 0.9 2 0.0 0.0 9 0.909 0.2 4 0.4545 0.5 5 0.5454 0.5 0.4545 0.5	tions E05 EC 1810.90 6360.68 3630.87 7270.0 0.72 7270.0 4540.63 4540.63 36450.72	1342 0.55 06 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0 0.5227. 0.3636. 0.0	55555820 E08 0.5454 0.4227 0.4545 0.3772 0.3772 0.3772 0.3772 0.3772 0.3772 0.4545	
t 10 Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .498 .498 .604 .368 .173 .368 .173 .316 .484 .484	-0.677777767 attio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.2540453 0.448007 0.4210.77 0.3430.261 0.3430.261 0.577019 0.3720.173 0.4280047 0.577028 0.4560.028 attio: PROMETHE cal evaluations	0.777777910 Unicriteria flow E1 Unicriteria flow Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.9909 Eqt5 0.9909 Eqt6 0.9909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.2727 Eqt10 0.2727 Eqt1 Vunicriteria flow	E02 0.5454 0.6363 0.645 0.61818 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.909 0.545 0.454 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.545 0.555 0.	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.3636 0.8 0.03636 0.3 0.00 0.0 0.0	tions 05 EC 1310.90 6360.68 3630.87 7270.0 4540.6 4540.63 5450.77 4540.72 1540.63 1540.63 1540.72 1550.72 155	1342 0.55 06 E07 900.5045. 180.3636. 720.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3237. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3237. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.36	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.3772 0.2727 0.2707 0.2707 0.2707 0.4545 0.4545	
a Opera Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .456 .368 .368 .316 .484 .484 .30pera Numeri	-0.677777767 attio.: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.254 0.453 0.448 0.007 0.421 0.077 0.58 0.372 0.173 0.428 0.047 0.428 0.428 0.448 0.047 0.428 0.448 0.047 0.458 0.428 0.448 0.	0.777777910 Whicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.0909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4636 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt9 0.0459 Eqt9 0.04727 Eqt10 0.2727	E02 0.5454 0.6363 0.645 0.645 0.645 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.609 0.227 0.909 0.227 0.909 0.5454 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 0.3634 0.36444 0.36444 0.3644 0.3644 0.3644 0.	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.3636 0.8 6 0.3636 0.3 0 0.0 0.9 2 0.0 0.0 9 0.009 0.2 4 0.4545 0.5 5 0.5454 0.5 0.4545 0.5	tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tion	1342 0.55 06 E07 900.5045. 180.3636. 720.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3237. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3237. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.36	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.2772 0.2772 0.2727 0.2727 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545	
(10) (10)	-0.677777767 attio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.2540453 0.448007 0.4210.77 0.3430.261 0.3430.261 0.577019 0.3720.173 0.4280047 0.577028 0.4560.028 attio: PROMETHE cal evaluations	0.777777910 Unicriteria flow E1 Unicriteria flow Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.9909 Eqt5 0.9909 Eqt6 0.9909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.2727 Eqt10 0.2727 Eqt1 Vunicriteria flow	E02 0.5454 0.6363 0.645 0.6188 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.0 0.609 0.227 0.909 0.545 0.454 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.363 0.545 0.555 0.	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.3636 0.8 0.03636 0.3 0.00 0.0 0.0	tions 05 EC 1310.90 6360.68 3630.87 7270.0 4540.6 4540.63 5450.77 4540.72 1540.63 1540.63 1540.72 1550.72 155	1342 0.55 16 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3036. 72 0.2772 0.3636. 0.0 63 0.3036. 72 0.2727. 0.3636. 0.0 63 0.3036. 1	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.3772 0.2727 0.2707 0.2707 0.2707 0.4545 0.4545	0.333333343 0.333333343 0.333333343 0.50 0.50 0.55450.618 .0.63630.618 .0.63630.618 .0.55900.454 .0.59900.104 .0.61900.618 .0.59800.454 .0.58830.468 .0.00.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 0.0 0.000 0.000 0.00 0.00 0.
(10) (10)	-0.677777767 attio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.2540453 0.448007 0.4210.77 0.3430.261 0.3430.261 0.577019 0.3720.173 0.4280047 0.577028 0.4560.028 attio: PROMETHE cal evaluations	0.777777910 Unicriteria flow E1 Unicriteria flow Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.9909 Eqt5 0.9909 Eqt6 0.9909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.2727 Eqt10 0.2727 Eqt1 Vunicriteria flow	E02 0.5454 0.6363 0.645 0.6188 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.609 0.227 0.909 0.227 0.909 0.5454 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 0.3634 0.36444 0.36444 0.3644 0.3644 0.3644 0.	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.3636 0.8 0.03636 0.3 0.00 0.0 0.0	tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tions tion	1342 0.55 06 E07 900.5045. 180.3636. 720.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3237. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3237. 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.0 0.3636. 0.36	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.2772 0.2772 0.2727 0.2727 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545	
a) Opera Numeri 1707 1707 1498 1499	-0.677777767 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi- Drii total 0.254 Phi total 0.254 0.471 0.077 0.421 0.077 0.421 0.760 0.428 0.760 0.760 0.770 0.567 0.19 0.760 0.770 0	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.0909 Eqt6 0.0909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt10 0.2727 Unicriteria flow Eqt2 Unicriteria flow Eqt2 Eqt2 Eqt3	-0.777777 rs Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 0.5590 0.6045 0.6045 0.6045 0.1818 0.5545 0.2272 0.1863 0.5454 0.2272 1863 0.5454 2.272 0.863 0.5454 s Flows s Flows	■ PR ■ 0.7763 0.7763 0.00 0.609 0.2277 0.900 0.5455 0.4544 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 ■ PR 4 ■ PR 4 ■ PR ■ P	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 0.3636 0.8 0.03636 0.3 0.00 0.0 0.0	tions 505 EC 181 0.90 536 0.68 363 0.87 272 0.90 0.72 727 0.0 454 0.6 454 0.6 454 0.72 454 0.72 454 0.72 tions t6 E	1342 0.55 16 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772 0.3636. 72 0.2727. 0.3636. 72 0.2727. 0.3636. 72 0.2727. 0.3636. 72 0.3636. 72 0.3636. 73 0.3636. 74 0.3636. 75 0.3	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.4545 0.3772 0.3772 0.00 0.0727 0.0 0.0727 0.04545 0.0 0.2727 0.0 0.2727 0.4545 0.45550 0.45550000000000	0.333333343 0.333333343 0.333333343 0.50 0.50 0.55450.618 .0.63630.618 .0.63630.618 .0.55900.454 .0.59900.104 .0.61900.618 .0.59800.454 .0.58830.468 .0.00.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 0.0 0.000 0.000 0.00 0.00 0.
(11) (1)) (1))	-0.677777767 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi-Data 0.2540453 0.448007 0.4210077 0.421007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.4250019 0.4260019 0.4260019 0.4260019 0.4560028 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Eqt1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Name E01 Eqt 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.0909 Eqt6 0.0909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt10 0.2727 Unicriteria flow Eqt2 Unicriteria flow Eqt2 Eqt2 Eqt3	Image: Provide state state Image: Provide state </td <td>■ PR ■ E03 0.772 0.363 0.609 0.2277 0.990 0.5455 0.3633 0.3633 ■ PR 0.3633 0.3633 ■ PR 0.3634 ■ PR 0.3634 ■ PR 0.995 0.955</td> <td>0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 t 0.36360.8 60.36360.3 0.36360.0 0.0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0</td> <td>tions 0.111111 110.90 6360.68 3630.68 3630.72 7270.0 4540.63 5450.73 4540.63 5450.73 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 545</td> <td>1342 0.55 1342 0.55 13 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 17 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td> <td>E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.500.</td> <td>0.333333343 0.333333343 0.333333343 0.50 0.50 0.55450.618 .0.63630.618 .0.63630.618 .0.55900.454 .0.59900.104 .0.61900.618 .0.59800.454 .0.58830.468 .0.00.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 0.0 0.000 0.000 0.00 0.00 0.</td>	■ PR ■ E03 0.772 0.363 0.609 0.2277 0.990 0.5455 0.3633 0.3633 ■ PR 0.3633 0.3633 ■ PR 0.3634 ■ PR 0.3634 ■ PR 0.995 0.955	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 t 0.36360.8 60.36360.3 0.36360.0 0.0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 0.0.0	tions 0.111111 110.90 6360.68 3630.68 3630.72 7270.0 4540.63 5450.73 4540.63 5450.73 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 545	1342 0.55 1342 0.55 13 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 17 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.500.	0.333333343 0.333333343 0.333333343 0.50 0.50 0.55450.618 .0.63630.618 .0.63630.618 .0.55900.454 .0.59900.104 .0.61900.618 .0.59800.454 .0.58830.468 .0.00.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 .0.63630.0 0.245 0.0 0.000 0.000 0.00 0.00 0.
at 10 A Operation Numeri Phi + .707 .456 .604 .316 .173 .316 .317 .316 .316 .316 .316 .317 .316 .316 .316 .317 .316 .317 .316 .316 .317 .317 .316 .317 .316 .317	-0.677777767	0.777777910 Wnicriteria flow Name E1 Unicriteria flow Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.9006 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.92727	-0.777777 rs Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 0.5590 0.6045 0.1818 0.6045 0.1818 0.5545 0.1818 0.5545 0.1863 0.2272 0.1863 0.5454 2.2272 es Flows e Eqt > <	■ PR ■ E03 0.772 0.363 0.609 0.2277 0.990 0.5455 0.3633 0.3633 ■ PR 0.3633 0.3633 ■ PR 0.3634 ■ PR 0.3634 ■ PR 0.995 0.955	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 E 7 0.6363 0.3 0.3636 0.4 0.3636 0.4 0.3636 0.4 0.3636 0.4 0.0 0.9 0.0 0.9	tions t	1342 0.55 0.6 E07 90 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 72 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 63 0.3636. 0.0 63 0.3636. 72 0.2727. 0.3636. 0.0 4 0.5 0.0 5 0.3636. 0.0 5 0.3636. 0.0 5 0.3636. 5 0.2 5	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4227 0.4254 0.4227 0.4227 0.4227 0.4227 0.4227 0.4227 0.4245 0.3772 0.0 0.2727 0.0 0.2727 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.3722 0.4545 0.3727 0.4545 0.4545 0.3727 0.4545 0.3727 0.4545 0.3727 0.4545 0.3727 0.4545 0.3727 0.2727 0.2727 0.2727 0.2727 0.4545 0.2727	
at 10 A Operation	-0.677777767 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi - Phi total 0.2540453 0.448007 0.4210.77 0.4280.453 0.4330.261 0.5670.19 0.4280.47 0.5770.26 0.4280.47 0.4280.47 0.4280.453 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Eqt1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	0.777777910 E 1 Unicriteria flow Eqt 1 0.0 Eqt 2 0.4545 Eqt 3 0.1818 Eqt 4 0.4545 Eqt 5 0.0909 Eqt 6 0.0909 Eqt 7 0.4545 Eqt 8 0.4545 Eqt 9 0.0909 Eqt 9 0.2727 Eqt 1 Unicriteria flow Eqt 2 Eqt 3 0.2727 Eqt 4 Unicriteria flow Eqt 2 Eqt 2 Eqt 2 Eqt 2 Eqt 3	Image: Provide state state Image: Provide state </td <td>PR E000 0.7722 0.363 0.0 0.2277 0.0900 0.545- 0.4545 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0</td> <td>0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 t 0.36360.8 60.36360.3 0.36360.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0</td> <td>tions 0.111111 tions 05 EC 1310.90 0.6360.68 3630.68 3630.72 7270.0 4540.6 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72</td> <td>1342 0.55 1342 0.55 134 0.3636. 12 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td> <td>E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.45450000000000</td> <td> 0.33333343 0.33333343 0.33333343 0.9090 0.727 0.6363 0.331 0.5645 0.618 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.6409 0.613 0.56499 0.614 0.5649 0.564 0.5649 0.5648 0.56</td>	PR E000 0.7722 0.363 0.0 0.2277 0.0900 0.545- 0.4545 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 0.3633 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0.36344 0	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 t 0.36360.8 60.36360.3 0.36360.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0.0 0.00.0	tions 0.111111 tions 05 EC 1310.90 0.6360.68 3630.68 3630.72 7270.0 4540.6 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72 4540.63 5450.72	1342 0.55 1342 0.55 134 0.3636. 12 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5090 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.45450000000000	0.33333343 0.33333343 0.33333343 0.9090 0.727 0.6363 0.331 0.5645 0.618 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.6409 0.613 0.56499 0.614 0.5649 0.564 0.5649 0.5648 0.56
at 10 A Operation Numeri Phi + 1.707 1.456 1.456 1.484 1.546 1.546 1.546 1.173 1.546 1.316 1.316 1.484 1.316 1.484 1.316 1.484 1	-0.677777767 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi- Data 0.2540453 0.448007 0.421007 0.421007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.4250 0.456028 0.456 0.456	0.777777910 E 1 Vuicriteria flow Egt1 0.6 Eqt1 0.77777910 Eqt1 0.18 Eqt1 0.6 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.4545 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.909 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.9090 Eqt10 0.2727 Eqt10 0.2727 Eqt2 Eqt2 Eqt2 Eqt2 Eqt2 Eqt2 Eqt2 Eqt2 I > <	Image: solution of the second secon	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.609 0.227 0.90 0.454 0.363 0.454 0.363 0.363 v	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela B E04 [0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.4 0.4045 0.5 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.00	tions 505 EC 505 EC 506 EC 506 EC 506 EC 507 EC 507 EC 508 EC	1342 0.55 1342 0.55 10 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 17 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	55555820 E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555.	0.333333343 E09 E11 0.90500 0.727 0.6363 0.331 0.5545 0.618 0.6363 0.545 0.6363 0.454 0.0909 0.1043 0.56409 0.454 0.0409 0.454 0.0409 0.454 0.05863 0.468 0.0 0.245 0.6363 0.468 0.0 0.484 0.0 0.445 0.6363 0.468 0.0 0.445 0.6363 0.468 0.0 0.445 0.6363 0.468 0.0 0.445 0.555 0.555
at 10 A Operation Numeri Phi+3 (707) (498) (498) (498) (173) (368) (316) (-0.677777767	0.777777910 Wnicriteria flow E1 Unicriteria flow Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.9909 Eqt6 0.4545 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.9090 Eqt10 0.2727	Image: Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 0.5590 0.6383 0.6383 0.645 0.55454	PR E03 0.772 2 0.363 0.0 0.227 0.090 0.5454 0.363 0.36	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 [0.3636 0.8 6 0.3636 0.3 0 0.0 0.9 2 0.0 0.0 9 0.0009 0.2 4 0.4545 0.5 5 0.5454 0.5 0.4545 0.5 0.04545 0.5 0.04555 0.5 0.04555 0.5 0.04555 0	tions	1342 0.55 1342 0.55 134 0.3636. 12 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.2727 0.4545 0.2727 0.4545 0.555	0.333333343 0.333333343 0.33333343
t10 Numeri Phi+ 498 368 173 546 498 368 173 368 173 368 173 498 498 498 498 498 173 498 497 498 497 407	-0.677777767 atio: PROMETHE cal evaluations Phi- Data 0.2540453 0.448007 0.421007 0.421007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.424007 0.4250 0.456028 0.456 0.456	0.777777910 E1 Unicriteria flow Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.0909 Eqt6 0.4545 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.0909 Eqt9 0.1818 Eqt4 0.4545 Eqt9 0.4545 Eqt9 0.2727 Eqt10 0.2727 Eqt2 Unicriteria flow Eqt2 Eqt3 0.1010 Eqt4 Eqt2 Eqt3 Eqt4 Eqt4 Eqt4 Eqt4 Eqt4 Eqt4 Eqt4 Eqt4 Eqt5 <t< td=""><td>Image: Flows E02 0.5454 0.5454 0.6045 0.6045 0.5454 0.6045 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 S Flows Image: State S</td><td>PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.609 0.227 0.90 0.454 0.363 0.454 0.363 0.363 v</td><td>0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela B E04 [0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.4 0.4045 0.5 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.00</td><td>tions 0.111111 tions 0.5 EC 1310.90 0.72 0.7270.90 0.72 0.7270.9 0.72 0.7270.9 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.9 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.9 0.72 0.</td><td>1342 0.55 0.6 E07 90 0.5045 18 0.3636 72 0.2772 0.3636 0.0 63 0.3636 72 0.2727 0.3636 0.0 63 0.3636 0.0 63 0.3636 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 65 0.0</td><td>55555820 E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555.</td><td> 0.333333343 0.333333343 0.9090 0.727 0.6363 0.331 0.5454 0.618 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.5063 0.613 0.5863 0.648 0.0 0.245 0.6363 0.048 0.0 0.245 0.6499 0.6499 0.6499 0.6499 0.6499 0.6499 0.649 0.6499 0.649 0</td></t<>	Image: Flows E02 0.5454 0.5454 0.6045 0.6045 0.5454 0.6045 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 S Flows Image: State S	PR E03 0.772 0.363 0.609 0.227 0.90 0.454 0.363 0.454 0.363 0.363 v	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela B E04 [0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.3 0.3636 0.4 0.4045 0.5 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.00	tions 0.111111 tions 0.5 EC 1310.90 0.72 0.7270.90 0.72 0.7270.9 0.72 0.7270.9 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.9 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.9 0.72 0.	1342 0.55 0.6 E07 90 0.5045 18 0.3636 72 0.2772 0.3636 0.0 63 0.3636 72 0.2727 0.3636 0.0 63 0.3636 0.0 63 0.3636 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 64 0.0 65 0.0	55555820 E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.5454 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.555555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555 0.55555.	0.333333343 0.333333343 0.9090 0.727 0.6363 0.331 0.5454 0.618 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.5090 0.454 0.5063 0.613 0.5863 0.648 0.0 0.245 0.6363 0.048 0.0 0.245 0.6499 0.6499 0.6499 0.6499 0.6499 0.6499 0.649 0.6499 0.649 0
t 10 Numeri Phi + 707 456 604 368 546 173 546 173 546 448 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-0.677777767	0.777777910 Wnicriteria flow E1 Unicriteria flow Eqt1 0.0 Eqt2 0.4545 Eqt3 0.1818 Eqt4 0.2 Eqt5 0.9909 Eqt6 0.4545 Eqt7 0.4545 Eqt8 0.4545 Eqt9 0.9090 Eqt10 0.2727	Image: Flows E02 0.5454 0.0 0.5590 0.6383 0.6383 0.645 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.55454 0.54544	PR E03 0.772 2 0.363 0.0 0.227 0.090 0.5454 0.363 0.36	0.777777910 OMETHEE 1 rela 3 E04 [0.3636 0.8 6 0.3636 0.3 0 0.0 0.9 2 0.0 0.0 9 0.0009 0.2 4 0.4545 0.5 5 0.5454 0.5 0.4545 0.5 0.04545 0.5 0.04555 0.5 0.04555 0.5 0.04555 0	tions	1342 0.55 1342 0.55 10 0.5045. 18 0.3636. 17 0.2772. 0.3636. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	E08 0.5454 0.5454 0.4545 0.4545 0.4545 0.2727 0.4545 0.2727 0.4545 0.555	0.333333343 0.333333343 0.33333343

Figure 2: PROMETHEE I Computations

The third table of Figure 2 gives all the flows $\phi(a)$, $\phi^+(a)$ and $\phi^-(a)$ (see SAS-080 14), as well as all the aggregated preference indicators $\pi(a,b)$ and $\pi(b,a)$.

Finally the last table of Figure 2 gives the binary relations PROMETHEE I is obtaining for each couple of alternatives. Therefore the alternatives are ranked in decreasing order of $\phi^+(a)$ and in increasing order of $\phi^-(a)$. If for a couple of alternatives both rankings are the same, PROMETHEE I is maintaining this ranking as the final one. If for a couple of alternatives both rankings are opposite, then PROMETHEE I is



considering this couple as incomparable. In the last table of Figure 2 such couples are identified with the symbol *R*. There is no measure of the intensity of this incomparability in the original version of PROMETHEE I. This has been changed in the implementation of MCDMTool where we use de aggregated preference indicators $\pi(a,b)$ and $\pi(b,a)$ to represent each couple of alternatives in a IPR-diagram identical to the one we use for conflict analysis in the ORESTE method [10] (see SAS-080 16) with the same parameter settings to define the (blue) Indifference region (I), the (green) Preference region (P) and the (red) incompaRability region (R).

This is illustrated in Figure 3 with MCDMTool, along with the representation of the profiles of all alternatives. Notice that the couple of alternatives Eqt8 and Eqt10 are identified by PROMETHEE I as incomparable. This couple had the number 44 in the IPR-diagram ; it is in the middle of the incomparability region. The intensity of the incomparability can be measured by the distance of the point of the couple in the IPR-diagram, to the bisector of the first quadrant of this graph (see similarly [10]).






Figure 3: Conflict analysis for Operationality

INCOMPARABILITY ANALYSIS FOR THE MCDM PROBLEM

Finally the same is done for the overall MCDM problem. The results are illustrated with MCDMTool in Figure 4.

Notice that the couple Eqt7 and Eqt9 is not identified in the original version of PROMETHEE I as incomparable (see the upper left table in Figure 4), but it is in the IPR-diagram (see point 41 for this couple) because it is almost on the bisector of the (red) incomparability region. The original PROMETHEE I method is obviously not very discriminating for identifying incomparabilities.






Figure 4: Final PROMETHEE I conflict analysis

CONCLUSION

In addition to the GAIA representation implemented in Decision Lab 2000 [20] the IPR-diagram implemented in MCDMTool is very important to assess the existence and the intensity of incomparabilities. This IPR-diagram is much more discriminating than the original version of PROMETHEE I.

REFERENCES

- C.A. Bana e Costa, J.C. Vansnick, MACBETH An interactive path towards the construction of cardinal value functions, International Transactions in Operations Research, Vol. 1, No 4, pp. 489-500, 1994
- [2] B.S. Blanchard, *Logistics Engineering and Management*, (6th Ed.), Pearson Prentice Hall, 2004
- [3] J.P Brans, *The space of freedom of the decision maker or modelling the human brain*, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Centre for statistics and operations research, working paper CSOOTW/265, 1994



- [4] J.P Brans, Ph. Vincke, B. Mareschal, *How to select and how to rank projects: The PROMETHEE method*, EJOR, 24, pp. 228-238, 1986
- [5] J. Climaco (Ed.), Multicriteria Analysis, Springer, 1997
- [6] I. De Leeneer, H. Pastijn, Selecting land mine detection strategies by means of outranking MCDM techniques, EJOR, 139, pp. 327-338, 2002
- [7] F. Hallot, Ph. Beirens, H. Pastijn, MCDMTool, Brussels, Belgium, 2000
- [8] B. Mareschal, Weight stability intervals in multicriteria decision aid, EJOR, 33, pp.54-64, 1988
- [9] *B. Mareschal, J.P Brans,* Geometrical Representation for MCDM, the GAIA procedure, *EJOR, 34, pp. 69-77, 1988*
- [10] H. Pastijn, J. Leysen, Constructing an Outranking Relation with ORESTE, Mathematical Computer Modelling, Pergamon Press, Vol. 12, No 10/11, pp. 1255-1268, 1989
- [11] M. Rogers, M. Bruen, L.-Y. Maystre, *Electre and Decision Support Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure Investment*, Kluwer, 2000
- [12] M. Roubens, *Preference relations on actions and criteria in multicriteria decision making*, EJOR, 10, pp. 51-55, 1982
- [13] B. Roy, Classement et choix en présence de points de vue multiples (la méthode ELECTRE), Rev. Française Automat., Informat., Recherche Opérationnelle, 8, 1968
- [14] B. Roy, Méthodologie multicritère d'aide à la décision, Economica, Paris, 1985
- [15] B. Roy, D. Bouyssou, Aide Multicritère à la Décision: Méthodes et Cas, Economica, 1993
- [16] B. Roy, Ph. Vincke, Multicriteria analysis : survey and new directions, EJOR, 8, pp. 207-218, 1981
- [17] Th.L. Saaty, Multicriteria Decision Making The Analytic Hierarchy Process, Univ. of Pittsburgh, 1988
- [18] Ph. Vincke, L'Aide Multicritère à la Décision, Editions Ellipses, 1989
- [19] Ph. Vincke, Multicriteria Decision-aid, Wiley, 1992
- [20] Visual Decision Inc., Decision Lab 2000 Executive Edition, Montreal, Canada, 1999