MetalMapper Overview



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the co maintaining the data needed, and d including suggestions for reducing VA 22202-4302. Respondents sho does not display a currently valid	llection of information is estimated t completing and reviewing the collect g this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an OMB control number.	o average 1 hour per response, inclu ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info ny other provision of law, no person	iding the time for reviewing ins regarding this burden estimate rmation Operations and Reports shall be subject to a penalty for	tructions, searching exis or any other aspect of t s, 1215 Jefferson Davis r failing to comply with	sting data sources, gathering and his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington a collection of information if it
1. REPORT DATE	REPORT DATE			3. DATES COVERED	
MAY 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
MetalMapper Overview				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP),4800 Mark Center Drive, Suite 17D08,Alexandria,VA,22350-3605				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAI Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT lic release; distribut	ion unlimited		-	
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Presented at the N held 21-24 May 20	DTES DIA Environment, l 12 in New Orleans, l	Energy Security & S LA.	Sustainability (E2	S2) Symposi	um & Exhibition
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 19	RESPONSIBLE PERSON
unclassified	unclassified	unclassified			

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18



Scope of the Program

- Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP)
 - Manages environmental responses to unexploded ordnance (UXO), discarded military munitions (DMM), or munitions constituents (MC)
 - Does not include operational ranges, operating storage or manufacturing facilities, or permitted facilities for the treatment or disposal of military munitions



Scope of the Program (continued)

- 34,058 sites on 1,907 active and BRAC installations and 2,691 Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) properties
- Active installations 23,961 sites
 - ◆ 21,528 IRP sites
 - ♦ 2,433 MMRP sites
- FUDS properties 4,624 sites
 - ♦ 2,921 IRP sites
 - ♦ 1,703 MMRP sites
- Legacy BRAC installations 5,238 sites
 - ♦ 4,953 IRP sites
 - ♦ 285 MMRP sites
- BRAC 2005 installations 235 sites
 - ♦ 174 IRP sites
 - ♦ 61 MMRP sites

Note: Data is based on draft FY2010 Annual Report to Congress



Program Funding



* Reflects an adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level

^ Other may include revenue gained from land sales or execution of prior year funding



Funding: Cost-to-Complete*



* Includes installation project funding allocated to individual sites



UXO Live Site Demonstrations

- Known liability ~ \$17B
- Excavation of <u>suspected</u> UXO drives cost and time less than 4% of excavations are UXO, most are harmless scrap
- Technology has the potential to discriminate UXO from scrap, thereby potentially reducing costs and accelerating cleanup
- Demonstrations have been completed at six FUDS sites Camp Sibert, AL, Camp San Luis Obisop, CA, and Camp Butner, NC, Mare Island Naval Shipyard, CA, Pole Mountain, WY, Camp Beale, CA
- Additional demonstrations are planned for FY2012 and beyond



UXO Live Site Discrimination Demonstrations (con't)

- Live-Site Demonstration Path Forward
 - Four-pronged approach
 - Demonstrate Successful Technology
 - Replicate success with the current contractor workforce with a variety of munitions, depths, and terrains
 - Work with Contracting Personnel
 - Identify and resolve contract disincentives to innovate technology
 - Regulator Involvement
 - Involve state and federal environmental regulators as site team members
 - Communicate Results to Decision Makers and the Public



Example Live Site Demonstrations

Camp Beale, CA

- 37mm projectiles, 60 and 81mm mortars, 105mm projectiles
- Unexpected fuzes found during intrusive investigation
- Some background noise from soil

Pole Mountain Target and Maneuver Area, WY

- 37mm to 3-inch projectiles, 60mm and Stokes mortars, small arms
- Relatively easy site for classification

Fort Sill, OK

- 20 and 40mm cartridges, 37 to 75mm projectiles (incl. many 40mm), 2.36- and 3.5-in rockets, LAW rockets, practice mines, MKII hand grenades, rifle grenades, various fuzes, possibly others
- Extremely high anomaly density areas



Mobilization

Relatively compact for transport RTK-GPS for target stake-out



Multiple components to transport RTK-GPS for survey Tractor rental and transport





Staffing

1 – 2 person field crew Dedicated analyst

1 – 2 person field crew Dedicated analyst





Test Pit

Camp Beale

- 9 Items: 4 TOI expected at site based on prelim research, 2 seed items, 2 found on-site during surface sweeps, 1 typical frag
- Measurements collected at 2 depths and 4 orientations for most.

Fort Sill

- 24 Items: 22 separate TOI or versions of TOI (ex. 2.36" rockets w/ varying warheads), 2 horseshoes.
- Measurements collected at 1 depth and 3 orientations for most.





Systems Operations

Generally Easy Pre-established background locations

Production rate less influenced by site conditions



More to "keep eye on" Real-time background Production rate more influenced by site conditions





Cued Target Acquisition Production

- 3 person team
- 115/day avg. at Beale, max of 239
- 117/day avg. at Sill, max of 244
- Dependent on density
- Includes re-shots (> 0.4m between collection location and modeled location)

Issues

- GPS + Windows 7 (+ EM3D Acquire? Touch Screen?) = crashes
- High percentage of re-shots at Beale first week
- Learning curve both locations





Quality Assurance / Quality Control Instrument Verification Strip

- 5 items at each site, tailored to site
 - Sphere, 37mm projectile, 60mm mortar, 105mm HEAT round, and small ISO at Beale
 - 37 and 40mm projectiles, MK2 hand grenade, anti-tank mine fuze (native), and 2.36-inch rocket at Sill
- Surveyed morning and afternoon. Identified TOI should match buried TOI using classification scheme planned for project, measured locations w/in 15cm X,Y and 10cm Z.

Seeds

- Mix of inert examples of TOI expected at the site and ISOs.
- 2 types of seeds: QC and statistical. Both blind to collection and initial analysis. QC misses available for revision of classifier, as necessary, following submittal of draft dig list. Statistical remain blind.



Polarization Curve Analysis Usable 3-Curve Results





Polarization Curve Analysis Unusable Curves





Pole Mountain Results



Dig list V2: Reduced false positives by limiting digs to targets with β 1 metric > 0.7 (116 fewer digs). Could have been higher. Dig list V1: Except for targets with 1 or more poor curves, used 3-curve metrics only

No Difficult UXOs





Example Results Summary

Camp Beale

- 1 missed item: 1 statistical seed item
- 462 of 1,441 digs required to excavate all TOI
- ~74% reduction in unnecessary digs

Pole Mountain

- No missed TOI
- 616 digs required to excavate all TOI
- Threshold at 633 digs of 2,368 possible digs
- ~79% reduction in unnecessary digs



Lessons Learned

- Understanding best ways to apply emerging technologies to current investigations
- Training and system refinements in progress
- Regulatory acceptance key component to process
- Use of appropriate contracting mechanisms vital to success
- Process is still evolving; not a silver bullet