



STUDY TO DETERMINE AND IMPLEMENT STRATEGIES TO MINIMIZE OZONE NONATTAINMENT IMPLICATIONS BY CONTROLLING EMISSIONS FROM MOBILE SOURCES



Sean Bragan
PPM Consultants, Inc.



Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE MAY 2011	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Study to Determine and Implement Strategies to Minimize Ozone Nonattainment Implications by Controlling Emissions from Mobile Sources		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) PPM Consultants, Inc, 2950 Old Nation Road Suite 1, Fort Mill, SC, 29715		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the NDIA Environment, Energy Security & Sustainability (E2S2) Symposium & Exhibition held 9-12 May 2011 in New Orleans, LA. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 28	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



DISCUSSION POINTS

Purpose and Need

Regulatory Background

Demonstration Installation

Probable Nonattainment Designations

Regulatory Drivers

DoD and Other Drivers

Study Overview/Deliverables

No Action Implications


So What?

The Bigger Picture





PURPOSE AND NEED





REGULATORY BACKGROUND

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

Standards set by EPA for 6 common (criteria) air pollutants as required by the Clean Air Act (CAA)

Ozone is primary criteria pollutant of concern for most areas facing nonattainment designation

Ozone is formed by the combination of NO_x and VOCs in the presence of sunlight

1997: Primary ozone standard set at 0.08 parts per million (ppm)


2008: EPA revised primary ozone standard to 0.075 ppm (Stayed)





REGULATORY BACKGROUND


National NOx Emissions By Source Sector 2005



REGULATORY BACKGROUND



National Volatile Organic Compounds Emissions by Source Sector
2005





DEMONSTRATION INSTALLATION - FORT BENNING

Project Examples

Residential
Communities
Initiative

Improvements to
Training Ranges and
other Training Areas

Digital Multi-
Purpose Range
Complex

Consolidated
Maintenance
Facility

Range Control and
Maintenance
Complex

Training Barracks
Complex

Classrooms and
Dual Battalion
Dining Facilities


Chapel





DEMONSTRATION INSTALLATION

Fort Benning Construction Related Emissions Increases




*2007 USACE EIS Data





DEMONSTRATION INSTALLATION

Fort Benning Construction Related Emissions Increases



Emissions
in TPY



NOx

VOC


*2007 USACE EIS Data





PROBABLE NONATTAINMENT DESIGNATIONS - GEORGIA

Fort Benning




*Source: Georgia EPD





PROBABLE NONATTAINMENT DESIGNATIONS - NORTH CAROLINA

North Carolina's Recommendation On 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area Boundaries



Potential 8-hour Ozone Nonattainment Boundaries

- Snow Bird Mountains (4000 feet & greater) - Joyce Kilmer-Slickrock Wilderness, Nonattainment
- Great Smoky Mountains National Park, Nonattainment
- Great Balsam Mountains (4000 feet & greater) - Shining Rock Wilderness Area, Nonattainment
- Black Mountains (4000 feet & greater), Nonattainment
- Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, Nonattainment
- Charlotte-Gastonia-Salisbury, Nonattainment
- Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point, Nonattainment
- Raleigh-Durham-Cary, Nonattainment
- Fayetteville, Nonattainment
- Rocky Mount, Nonattainment
- Greenville, Unclassifiable

Map Drawn To Approximate Scale
North Is Represented As Up
Map Valid: 03-12-2009




*Source: North Carolina DENR





PROBABLE NONATTAINMENT DESIGNATIONS - VIRGINIA



Monitor Station Design Values

- ▲ > 84 ppb
- ▲ 76 – 84 ppb
- ▲ < 76 ppb

- Northern VA Nonattainment Area
- Fredericksburg Nonattainment Area
- Richmond Nonattainment Area
- Hampton Roads Nonattainment Area

Langley AFB

Naval Station Norfolk




*Source: Virginia DEQ





PROBABLE NONATTAINMENT DESIGNATIONS - TEXAS







*Source: Texas CEQ





PROBABLE NONATTAINMENT DESIGNATIONS - KENTUCKY

	Violating monitors
	In MSA with violating monitors
	In CSA or Micropolitan SA with violating monitors



*Source: Kentucky DEP





REGULATORY DRIVERS

Implications of Nonattainment

<p>Has the potential/ability to halt construction installation wide</p>	<p>Section 176(c) of the CAA prohibits Federal entities from taking actions in nonattainment areas which do not conform to the SIP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Federal agencies are required to determine, prior to taking action, that such action, when taken, will conform to the SIP	<p>Increased regulatory requirements for DoD Components</p>	<p>Increased regulatory based project delays</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------





REGULATORY DRIVERS

State Implementation Plan (SIP)

Developed pursuant to CAA Section 110

Regulatory requirements identified in 40 CFR part 51

The State's plan identifying how that State will attain/maintain the NAAQS

Federally-enforceable

Contains criteria and procedures for assessing the conformity of Federal actions consistent with 40 CFR parts 51, subpart W, and 93, subpart B





REGULATORY DRIVERS

General Conformity

Required by CAA Section 176(c)

Applies to all Federal actions that take place in nonattainment or maintenance areas

- Does not include Federal highway and transit projects developed, funded or approved under U.S.C. Title 23 or 49; or FHWA/FTA projects as defined in 40 CFR 93.101

Requires all Federal actions be consistent with the State's air quality goals

Allows for State to provide emissions budgets within the SIP for planned projects





REGULATORY DRIVERS

Transportation Conformity

Required by CAA Section 176(c)

Applies to projects funded under U.S.C. Title 23 or 49 and those which require (to any degree) FHWA or FTA approval (40 CFR 93.101)

Submitted by the State to the EPA pursuant to 40 CFR parts 51, subpart T, and 93, subpart A

SIP revision contains criteria and procedures to assess the regulatory conformity of transportation plans, programs, and projects

- Ensures that federally supported transportation actions are consistent with that State's SIP

Actions not consistent with the SIP are not eligible to receive Federal funding



DOD AND OTHER DRIVERS (PARTIAL LIST)



National Environmental Policy Act

Federal Agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plans

Department of Defense, Base Redevelopment and Realignment Manual

Executive Order 12088

Executive Order 13327

Executive Order 13423

Executive Order 13514

DoD/DOE MOU

Air Force Instruction (AFI) 32-7040, Air Quality Compliance and Resource Management

Army Regulation (AR) 200-1, Environmental Protection and Enhancement

- BRAC05, Realignment & Transition Actions, and Other Growth





STUDY DELIVERABLES





TECHNICAL REPORT

General Overview

A discussion of the contribution of each mobile source category and the significance of each categories emissions

An analysis and discussion of ambient air quality data and its relationship with the current and proposed ambient air quality standards

Detailed analysis of the impacts of installation growth resulting from BRAC 2005 and Transformation Actions and other project growth





TECHNICAL REPORT





FORMAL ACTION PLAN

General Overview

An overview of the data acquisition and analysis

Analysis of potential impacts to ambient air quality from planned actions


Detailed discussions and strategies related to the implementation of available and viable options for reducing NOx and VOC emissions

Collaboration with surrounding cities and organizations





FORMAL ACTION PLAN





NO ACTION IMPLICATIONS






SO WHAT?





THE BIGGER PICTURE





Sean Bragan

Federal Coordinator

PPM Consultants, Inc.

Phone: 803-396-0801

Mobile: 803-318-1694

E-mail: sean.bragan@ppmco.com

Website: www.ppmco.com

