



## DoD Executive Agent

Office of the  
Assistant Secretary  
of the Army  
(Installations and  
Environment)

# Extending Installation Sustainability Beyond the Fenceline

Elizabeth Keysar, NDCEE/CTC

The NDCEE is operated by:  *Concurrent Technologies Corporation*

Technology Transition – Supporting DoD Readiness, Sustainability, and the Warfighter

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>JUN 2010</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Extending Installation Sustainability Beyond the Fenceline</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>National Defense Center for Energy and Environment (NDCEE), Concurrent Technologies Corporation, 100 CTC Drive, Johnstown, PA, 15904</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>Presented at the NDIA Environment, Energy Security &amp; Sustainability (E2S2) Symposium &amp; Exhibition held 14-17 June 2010 in Denver, CO. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License</b>					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>14</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			

# Extending Installation Sustainability Beyond the Fenceline




- Sustainability requires more than *outreach*
- Significant challenges involved
- **Case studies provide insight**



# Sustainability Drivers

<b>Systems Thinking</b>	Multiple scales; address the problem at the appropriate scale, recognize the linkages
<b>Natural Resource Scarcities</b>	Water supply, water quality, air quality, land, habitat
<b>Encroachment</b>	Compatible land use, threatened & endangered species, safety zones, noise and smoke buffers
<b>Economies of Scale</b>	Drive the market; often markets do not yet exist
<b>Triple Bottom Line Plus</b>	Mission, environment, and community + economics

# Where Does Your Installation Fit?



Source: Wondolleck & Yaffee, 2000

# Existing Initiatives

## Conference

Fort Carson

Fort Benning



## Nonprofit/Group

Fort Bragg

San Diego Regional  
Partnership

## Memorandum of Understanding

Fort Hood



## Joint Collaboration

Hawaii

Mojave

SERPPAS

Western Regional  
Partnership






# Installation Sustainability Planning

as of Dec 09

*Army Sustainability: Sustain the Mission, Secure the Future*



# Critical Decision Factors

- The nature of the issues
- Maturity of the sustainability program
- Level of external engagement
- Internal and external leadership support
- Relationship with existing community-, regional-, state-, and federal-level organizations
- “Joint” efforts



# Nature of Issues

- Cannot be solved 'inside the fence'
  - Water supply
  - Endangered species, habitat
  - Encroachment
  - Solid waste disposal
  - Air quality
- Economies of Scale
  - Urban farming
  - Transportation alternatives



# Maturity of the Sustainability Program

- Focus of internal implementation strategies
- Availability of resources
- Internal leadership support
  - Does the installation understand the collaboration that is necessary and have the resources to make a commitment?
  - Is the installation ready to commit to stakeholder goals?

# Level of External Engagement

- Level of awareness of community stakeholders
- External leadership support
- Relationship with existing community-, regional-, state-, and federal-level organizations




# “Joint” Efforts

- Strong relationships should already exist
- Sustainability issues will test proximity
  - Hawaii: for most issues, installations are proximate
  - Mojave: for some issues, installations are proximate
  - State-wide may be too large
  - Must start with understanding of key sustainability issues

# Conclusions

- Some sustainability issues are nearly impossible to address without external stakeholders
- Decision for when your installation is ready is complex
- External collaboration is daunting, but the payoff (particularly over the long term) is very high
- Regional Environmental Coordinators and Office of the Secretary of Defense are knowledgeable, willing to help
- Not yet institutionalized
- Persistence and patience

# When Will Your Installation be Ready?



Source: Wondolleck & Yaffee, 2000



**DoD Executive Agent**

Office of the  
Assistant Secretary  
of the Army  
(Installations and  
Environment)

[www.ndcee.ctc.com](http://www.ndcee.ctc.com)

# Points of Contact

Elizabeth Keysar  
Concurrent Technologies Corporation (CTC)  
(770) 631-0137  
[keysare@ctc.com](mailto:keysare@ctc.com)

*This work was funded in part through the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations and Environment) and conducted in part under contract W74V8H-04-D-0005 Task 0501. The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this paper are those of the author and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision unless so designated by other official documentation.*