Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment) Environmental Management Directorate

Partnering with the Environmental Protection Agency

May 12, 2011

Mr. Victor Wieszek ODUSD(I&E)

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	s regarding this burden estimate ormation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of t s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington
1. REPORT DATE 12 MAY 2011	2 DEDODT TVDE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
Partnering with the Environmental Protection Agency				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and REPORT NUMBER Enviro,Environmental Management Directorate,3400 Defense Pentagon, ROOM 3B856A,Washington,DC,20301-3400					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	ion unlimited			
	^{DTES} DIA Environment, l 1 in New Orleans, L	•	Sustainability (E2	S2) Symposi	um & Exhibition
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF:					19a. NAME OF
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 15	RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

Agenda

- Munitions Response Forum (MRF)
- Goal Harmonization
- Federal Facility Cleanup Dialogue

What is the MRF?

- The MRF is a state-led forum that brings together stakeholders to discuss and resolve differing views on the cleanup of munitions response sites
- Established in the spring of 2009 under a grant from EPA
- The forum is designed to increase communication between the Department of Defense (DoD), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), state regulators, and the federal land managers
- Replaces the Munitions Response Committee that was led by DoD

Stakeholders Participating in the MRF

- Participants on the MRF
 - EPA (FFRRO)
 - State Regulators (IL, MA, CA, AK)
 - Federal Land Managers (USDA, DOI, FWS)
 - OSD and the DoD Components (including FUDS and Army National Guard)
 - Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials (ASTSWMO)
 - Environmental Council of States (ECOS)
- The MRF's organizational structure
 - A state chair (IL) leads the MRF
 - ASTSWMO/ECOS serve as the organizational lead
 - Professional facilitation

DoD Participation in the MRF

- DoD is only a participant in the state-led MRF and cannot agree to specific policy/program changes
 - DoD can raise policy and program management issues to leadership for consideration as appropriate
- A whole different dynamic than the old Munitions Response Committee

Activities to Date

- Regular and ongoing discussions between participants
 - Bi-annual face-to-face meetings
 - June and November 2009
 - May and October 2010
 - April 2011 and September 2011 (planned)
 - Teleconference calls in between face-to-face meetings
 - Issue-specific subcommittee teleconference calls
- The MRF is addressing these key issues
 - Interim Risk Management
 - Underwater Munitions
 - Emergency Response

MRF Sunset

- Budget constraints and funding for the MRF
 - The last MRF meeting is September 2011
- What's next?
 - DoD supports a continuing dialogue with Federal and State environmental regulators, federal land managers, and other stakeholders.
- We will see stay tuned!



Goal Harmonization Workgroup

- An effort to address real and perceived inconsistencies in how DoD and EPA measure and report cleanup program progress and accomplishments
- Goals of the project:
 - Develop a framework between DoD and EPA cleanup reporting frameworks to promote a more consistent, understandable, integrated tracking and planning process
 - Identify common performance metric elements to better align and track environmental cleanup progress and plan future cleanup strategies
 - Develop a common understanding for collecting data and reporting program performance
 - Collaborate in work planning/target setting efforts to identify greater efficiencies



Why Harmonize DoD's and EPA's Goals?

- Need for clearer and consistent communication
 - Inconsistencies in reported cleanup progress and accomplishments to Congress, OMB, and the public on program progress and accomplishments
- Potential disputes due to differences in performance data
- Potential increased costs and delays
- Unaligned performance measures/metrics
- Enhance government transparency



- Conducted data reconciliation pilot
 - Initial pilot test at four installations
 - Expanded pilot test at Aberdeen Proving Ground
- Developed an EPA pilot tested percent construction complete (CC) checklist at ten installations
- EPA performed root-cause analysis of the CERCLIS data base to identify reasons for missed targets
- Developed and pilot tested percent Construction Completion (CC) checklist at ten installations
- Performed root-cause analysis to identify reasons for missed targets

Challenges



- DoD Challenges
 - Mature, risk-based cleanup program with long history
 - Different level of detail in both goals and data available to measure progress (e.g., DoD site vs EPA operable unit)
 - Different management tracking of NPL installations
 - Expanded DERP eligibility increasing size of program
 - Last Remedy in Place not based on NPL vs. Non-NPL status
- EPA Challenges
 - DoD and EPA do not have the same environmental cleanup measures
 - Harmonizing non-common metrics and data systems (e.g., DoD has no Environmental Indicator (EI) measure)
 - Improve collaboration in regard to work planning and target setting



- Continue to engage EPA Regions and States
- Continue working to resolve progress discrepancies
- Conduct pilot test to evaluate disagreement between DoD and EPA regarding EPA Environmental Indicators
- Evaluate feasibility of EPA establishing percent CC measure instead of current "all or nothing" approach
- Resolve data discrepancies in projected completion dates
- Identify opportunities for improved work planning collaboration



- EPA, DoD, and DOE met in October 2010
- Discussed cleanup at Federal Facilities with regulators, tribes, environmental organizations and other stakeholders
 - What is working well and should be shared with other sites
 - What the biggest cleanup issues were and what policy approaches could help resolve
 - Discussed a path forward for taking actions to improve cleanup and share lessons learned but no clear path was identified
 - Chief concerns were with long-term management and community outreach 13



- As follow-up to the Federal Facilities Cleanup Dialogue, EPA drafted two white papers to address concerns raised by stakeholders
 - Long-Term Stewardship and Five-Year Reviews
 - EPA proposes establishing a Workgroup of EPA, DoD, and DOE representatives to work collaboratively to develop an enhanced Five-Year Review process
 - Empowering Community Through Open Government
 - EPA proposes establishing and coordinating a Web portal in partnership with DoD and DOE



- DoD will discuss with EPA and DOE the ideas presented in their white papers
- DoD and EPA will meet within the next 30 days to discuss EPA's proposals