AD

Award Number: W81XWH-08-1-0361

TITLE: Antibody-Mediated BRCC36 Silencing: A Novel Approach for Targeted

Dtgcuv'Ecpegt''Vj gtcr {"

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Xiaowei Chen, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZAVION: Fox Chase Cancer Center Philadelphia, PA 19111

REPORT DATE: Lwpg'4232

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

## DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT:

✓ Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

	REF		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188						
Publi main inclu Davi	Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to								
1.	REPORT DATE (DD	-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3 DATES COVERE	ED (From - To)			
	23/28/4232"		Annual		3'LWP ''422	; "/"52'OC[ "4232			
4.	TITLE AND SUBTITI	LE			5a. CONTRACT NUI	MBER			
Antibody-Mediated BRCC36 Silencing: A Novel Approach fo Breast Cancer Therapy				h for Targeted	5b. GRANT NUMBE W81XWH-0 5c. PROGRAM ELE	r 8-1-0361 ment number			
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER				
Xiaowei Chen, Ph.D.					5e. TASK NUMBER				
					5f. WORK UNIT NU	MBER			
7.	PERFORMING ORG Fox Chase Canc	ANIZATION NAME(S) A cer Center	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING C	DRGANIZATION REPORT			
	E-Mail: <u>Xiaowe</u>	i.Chen@fccc.edu	1						
9.	SPONSORING / MO	NITORING AGENCY N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS	(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MON	ITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
	U.S. Army Med	ical Research and	Materiel Command						
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012					11. SPONSOR/MON	ITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12.	DISTRIBUTION / AV	AILABILITY STATEME	NT						
✓ Approved for public release; distribution unlimited									
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES									
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> The significant mortality associated with metastatic breast cancer suggests a clear need to improve current therapeutic strategies. Breast tumor cells with defective BRCA1 are believed to be more sensitive to the DNA-damage based therapies. We propose that the aberrant expression (gain or loss) or activity of protein(s) in BRCA1-associated pathways will lead to a BRCA1 null-like phenotype and DNA damage hypersensitivity in breast cancer cells. Previous studies have demonstrated that BRCC36 is over-expressed in the vast majority of invasive breast cancers and that depletion of BRCC36 sensitizes breast cancer cells to IR via the BRCA1 DNA repair pathway. Therefore, we are examining if abrogation of BRCC36 will sensitize breast tumors to the DNA-damage based therapies. We have tested a cancer cell-specific or "smart" therapeutic approach utilizing the conjugation of anti-HER2 antibodies and protamine to deliver BRCC36 siRNA to HER2 positive breast cancer cells. This approach should lead to improving the targeting of breast tumor cells while reducing non-specific toxicity.									
15.	15. SUBJECT TERMS								
L	BRCA1, BRCC	<u>36, breast c</u> ancer, l	DNA repair, and tar	get therapies					
16.	SECURITY CLASSIF	FICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC			
a.	REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ŢΠŢ	36	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER			
L	-			00	I	I			

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	4
Body	6
Key Research Accomplishments	10
Reportable Outcomes	10
Conclusion	11
References	11
Appendices	13

#### INTRODUCTION

#### **Breast Cancer**

Breast cancer is the m ost common cancer affecting wom en, with a lifetime risk of  $\sim 10\%$  by the age of 80 years. In the United States 207,090 new breast cancer cases and about 40,000 breast cancer related death s are estimated for 2010 (Am erican Cancer Society, 2010). It is estimated that 13.2 % of all Am erican women (1 in 8) will develop breast cancer and 3.0 % will die from this disease (Ries, et al., 2008). Despite the advances in treatment and early detection, the mortality rate from breast cancer in women only decreased by 2.2% per year between 1990 and 2002 (Jem al, et al., 2008). Current estim ates from previous studies (Collaborative Group on Horm onal Factors in Breast Cancer, 2001; Margolin, et al., 2006) indicate that family history is associated with 15% to 20% of breast cancer cases in the U.S. BRCA1 (OMIM: 113705) and BRCA2 (OMIM: 600185) are the two most important breast cancer su sceptibility genes and deleterious mutations in these two g enes account for about only 15-30% of fam iliar breast cancer (King, et al., 2003; Walsh, et al., 2006). Therefore, m ost fa milial aggregation of brea st cancer rem ains unexplained. Furthermore, the majority of tumors occur in women with little or no family history, and because somatically acquired BRCA1 mutations in the se tumors have very r arely been reported, the contribution of BRCA1 to sporadic breast cancer is still poo rly defined. We hypothesize that fu nctional inactivation of the norm al BRCA1 cellular activity m ay be vastly underestimated and that loss of BRCA1 ac tivity is critical in the development of breast cancer.

#### BRCA1-Associated Proteins: Functional Modifiers of BRCA1

*BRCA1* is one of the most inten sively studied genes in breast cancer research field. The *BRCA1* gene encodes for a 220 kDa nuclear phosphoprotein that has been suggested to play a role in maintaining genomic stability and to act as a tum or suppressor (Miki, et al., 1994). Findings from mouse studies demonstrated that *Brca1* knockout mice, generated by rem oval of exon 11, have a defective G <sub>2</sub>/M cell cycle checkpoint and extens ive chrom osomal abnorm alities, and develope d mammary tum ors (Xu, et al., 200 1; Xu, et al., 1999). Furtherm ore, recent finding s of phenotypic overlap between *BRCA1*-associated and sporadic basallike breast cancers suggest th at the latter m ight have an underlyi ng defect in B RCA1-related pathways (Foulkes, et al., 2003; Lakhani, et al., 2005; Sioud, 2006; Turner, et al., 2007). Therefore, dysfunction of other genes, which code for proteins in com plementary pathways as BRCA1, c ould be im portant in the pathogenesis of a significant proportion of sporadic breast cancers.

BRCA1 interac ts dire ctly o r in directly with othe r tum or suppressors (such as p53 and BRCA2), DNA da mage sensors (such as R AD51, RAD50, MRE11 and NBS1), ubiquitin ligase partners (B ARD1, BRCC45, BRCC 36), and signal transducers (such as p21 and cyclin B) to form m ulti-subunit protein complexes, such as BASC ( BRCA1-associated genom e surveillance com plex) and BRCC [ Figure 1, (Chen, et al., 2006a)]. These multi-subunit protein complexes are involved in a broad range of biological pro cesses including DNA repair, cell cycle control, ubiquitination, and chromatin remodeling (Chen, et al., 2010). However, the num ber of these BRCA1-associated protein complexes and their com plexity have yet to be f ully elucidated. Thus, much of the current scientific effort involving BRCA1 centers around the biochem ical functions of these BRCA1-associated protein complexes (Dong, et al., 2003; Wang, et al., 2007). The m ajority of BRCA1 functional studies have focused on its potential role in DNA da mage responses. The



Figure 1. BRCA1-associated Protein Network. BRCA1 interacts with a number of proteins to form multi-subunit protein complexes. BRCA1-associated protein complexes are involved in DNA repair, protein ubiquitination, cell-cycle-checkpoint control, and chromatin remodeling (Chen et al, 2006).

implication that BRCA1 is a direct component of DNA damage response pathways comes from evidence of its interactions with BRCA2 and RAD51. The protein complex comprised of BRCA1, BRCA2, and RAD51 has been shown to activate DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair and to initiate homologous recombination, an observation which links the m aintenance of genomic integrity to tumor suppression (Chen, et al., 1999). In addition, the BRCA1-associated MR E11-RAD50-NBS1 (MRN) complex has recently been demonstrated to activate CHEK2 downstream from ATM in response to repl ication-mediated DSBs (Takemura, et al., 2006). Disruption of any of these pathways m ay contribute to increased genomic instability and potentially sensitize cells to the effects of ionizing radiation (IR), specifically through the induction of cellular apoptosis. proteins a nd displays s ignificant ubiquitin ligas e activ ities. BRCA1 also intera cts with a number of Importantly, deleterious mutations affecting the BRCA1 RING- finger domain, which were found in clinical specimens, abolish the ubiquitin ligas e activity of BRCA1 (Ruffner, et al., 2001; Wu, et al., 1996). These findings support a relationship between the ligase activity of BRCA1 and the predisposition to breast cancer. In addition, BRCA1 has also been reported to interact with the RNA Pol II holoenzyme (Scully, et al., 1997). Two recent reports have suggested that BRCA1 and BARD1 may be involved in the degradation of RNA polymerase II complex and siRNA-mediated knockdown of BRCA1 and BARD1 r esults in stabilization of RNAP II in the cells following UV exposure (Kleim an, et al., 2005; Starita, et al., 2005). T hese studies reported that BRCA1/BARD1 appears to initiate the degradation of stalle d RNAP II and thus disrupts the coupled transcription by inhibiting RNA processing machinery in cells exposed to DNA damage.

## BRCA1-Associated Proteins as Potential Targets of Breast Cancer Therapies

In the last several decades, effort s have been made toward understanding the mechanism of the response to both cytotoxic chem otherapy and radi ation therapy in the treatment of breast cancer. Because of the important role of BRC A1 in DNA repair, breast tum or cells with defective BRC A1 are believed to be m ore sensitive to DNA-damage based therapies (Farmer, et al., 2005). This speculation is supported by the recent development of the inhibitors of poly (ADP-ribose)-p olymerase-1 (PARP). The PARP enzyme is involved in base excision repair which is a critical pathway in the repair of DNA single-strand breaks (Ratnam and Low, 2007; Schreiber, et al., 2002). Far mer and colleagues have shown that de fects in BRCA1 or BRCA2 profoundly sensitize cells to the inhibition of PARP enzymatic activity, resulting in chromosomal instability, cell cycle arrest, and subsequent apoptosis (Farm er, et al., 2005). PARP inhibitors are currently in clinical trials of patients with breast cancer or other malignancies who are BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carriers. Two phase I studies have shown that AZD2281 (AstraZeneca, UK), a potent orally active PA RP inhibitor, is well tolerated and leads to s ignificant PARP inhibition in pa tients carrying BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations with breast or ovarian cancer (Fong, et al., 2008; Yap, et al., 2007). Im portantly, clinical respons es have been observed in all cohorts evaluated thus far, and future phase II studies are planned (Fong, et al., 2008; Yap, et al., 2007). Findings from these recent studies further suggest that the design of novel therapies, which inhibit components of particular DNA repair pathways, m ay provide effective and m ore tolerable therapeutic options for breast cancer patients with BRCA1 defects. There is growing evidence suggesting that disruption of the BRCA1-associated complexes either through mutations or the aberrant expression of a key member(s) of these multiprotein complexes may result in loss of normal BRCA1 activity (Chen, et al., 2006b; McCarthy, et al., 2003; Wang, et al., 2007; W u, et al., 2007). Therefore, these BRCA1- associated proteins are likely to be involved in tumorigenesis and are potential therapeutic targets.

## Summary

Since tum or cells in general are geno mically unstable and have defects in DNA damage responses, it has been proposed that targeting DNA repa ir pathways m ay lead to a ther apeutic index in tumor cells over "normal" cells. Previous studies have dem onstrated that BRCC36 is over-expressed in the vast majority of invasive breast cancers and that depletion of BRCC36 sensitizes br east cancer cells to IR via the BRCA1 DNA repair pathway. Therefore, we hypothesize that abrogation of BRCC36 will sensitize breast tumors to the DNA-damage based therapies.

## BODY

# Task 1: To establish siRNAs targeting BRCC36 specifically to HER-2 positive breast cancer cells in vitro using C6.5db-protamine/siRNA conjugates.

## *BRCC36* siRNA delivery via anti-HER2 antibodies and prot amine conjugates sensertizes the HER2-positive cells to IR.

Considerable research efforts have been focused on applying siRNA to hum an disease therapy, including cancer therapy. A novel m ethod for *in vivo* delivery of siRNAs to specific cell types has recently been developed, and it takes advantages of the nuc leic-acid binding properties of protam ine as well as the specificity of fragm ent antibodies (Fab) (Sioud, 2006). This m ethod shows that system ically administered siRNA can be targeted to cells that express a specific cell-surface recep tor (Peer, et al., 2007; S ong, et al., 2005). Compared to other siRNA delivery system s, antibody-based siRNA targeting provides m any advantages (Sioud, 2006), including that (i) the siRNA is stable in the bl ood with a prolonged half-life; (ii) the siRNA can be transported across capillary endothelial walls; (iii) the siRNA can be specifically bound to the plasma membranes of target cells ("sm art drug"); a nd (iv) the siRNAs can be efficiently delivered into the targ et cells th rough endocytosis. Here, we will apply a cancer cell-specific or "sm art" therapeu tic approach utilizing diabody-P/siRNA conjugates that should lead to an improvement in the targeting of breast tumor cells, while reducing non-specific toxicity.



**Figure 2.** Depletion of BRCC36 by siRNA delivery via anti-HER2 antibodies and protamine conjugates enhances IRinduced apoptosis. SK-BR-3 cells were transfected with control- or *BRCC36*-siRNAs delivered via either oligofactamine or antibodies and protamine conjugates prior to IR exposure. The proportion of apoptotic cells was measured following annexin V and 7-amino actinomycin D staining using a Guava Personal Cytometer (OF: oligofactamine or AP: antibodies and protamine conjugates).

In the previous report, we have shown that BRCC36 siRNA delivered via the conjugates of Herceptin and protamine peptide enables knock-down of the level of BRCC36 in the HER2-positive b reast cancer cells. We next access if BRCC36 siRNA delivery via anti body and protam ine conjagates will achieve sam iliar effects as the siRNA delivery via oligofactem ine to enhance the IR-induced apoptosis. For the siRNA delivery studies, SK-BR-3 cells were plated at a density  $5x10^{-3}$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup>. After reaching 30% to 40% confluence, cells were transfected w ith *BRCC36* siRNA using either oligofectam ine or antibody/protam ine

conjugates in OPTI reduced serum medium. Following depletion of *BRCC36* via siRNA, cells received 4 - Gy total IR utilizing a C esium 137 Irradiator (Model 81-14R). Cells were then cultured for an additional 72 hours prior to harvesting and were exam ined for DNA damage-induced cell apoptosis via Annexin V and 7- amino actinomycin D staining. The proportion of apoptotic cells was determined utilizing a Guava Personal Cytometer (Guava Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. As shown in **Figure 2**, no significant difference in the fracti on of cells undergoing apoptosis in m ock treated, siR NA-control transfected, or siRNA-*BRCC36* transfected cells was observed in the absence of IR, indicating that depletion of BRCC36 alone is no t lethal. However, whe n combined with *BRCC36* knock-down delivered by either oligofactamine or antibodies and prot amine conjugates, IR exposure led to a significant increase in the percentage of SK-BR-3 cells that undergo apoptosis (44.2%  $\pm$  15.4% or 33.4%  $\pm$  6.1%) when com pared to the siRNA control group (26.5%  $\pm$  5.4%, or 23.1  $\pm$  4.1, *p*<0.05), respectively. As a result, *BRCC36* siRNA delivery via anti-HER2 antibodi es and protamine conjugates appears to sensitize the HER2-positive cells to IR.

#### Exogenous BRCC36 expression stimulates colony formation

Since BRCC36 is over-expressed in majority of breast tumor, we evaluated whether exogenous expression of BRCC36 alone could transform M CF-10F, a non-tum origenic m ammary epith elial cell line, initially by assessing anchorage-independent growth. As shown in **Figure 3**, FLAG-tagged BRCC36-overexpressing MCF-10F cells formed more colon ies (>30 cells after 3 weeks) in soft agar, as compared to v ector-control MCF-10F cells. The breast tum or cell line, MCF7 was included as a positive control. After quantification, BRCC36-overexpressing MCF-10F cells are ~5-times more efficient in colony formation than vector-control cells (18.5  $\pm$  2.1 vs. 3.5  $\pm$  0.7, p< 0.01) (**Figure 3**). Therefore, over-expression of BRCC36 leads to cellular transformation.



Figure 3. Exogenous overexpression of BRCC36 stimulates colony formation in MCF-10F cells. (A) The expression of BRCC36 in MCF-10F cells transfected with either a control vector (pFLAG-CMV2-5a) or a BRCC36-expression vector (pFLAG-CMV2-BRCC36) was determined by immunoblotting with either anti-BRC36 or -FLAG antibodies. (**B**) 5 x  $10^5$  of MCF-7 (positive control), BRCC36 transfected- or vector control MCF-10 cells were plated in soft agar (6-well plates). After 3 weeks, images from 5 independent fields of each well were taken and colonies containing >30 cells were scored. The numbers of colonies shown are the means ± standard deviations (SD) of triplicate results from two independent experiments. (C) Representative images of colony formation in soft agar by MCF-7, BRCC36-transfected and vector control MCF-10F cells.

## Identify the novel substrates of BRCC complex

Much of the current scientific effort involving BR CA1 is being directed to defining the biochem ical functions of BRCA1 and its interacting-proteins. Using a combination of affinity purification of anti-FLAG and m ass spectrom etric sequencing, we have re ported a novel m ultiprotein complex, term ed BRCC (BRCA1/2 Containing Complex), which contains seven polypeptid es including BRCA1, BRCA2, BARD1 and RAD51 (Dong, et al., 2003). W e first reported that BRCC was an E3 ubiquitin ligase com plex

exhibiting activities in the E2-dependent ubique itination of the turn or suppressor p 53. In this multiprotein complex, three proteins, referred to as BRCC36, BRCC45, and BRCC12 0 have been found to be associated with BRCA1 and BRCA2. Amon g in thes e novel BRCA1-associated proteins, BRCC36 is located a t the Xq28 locus, a chromosomal break point in patients with prolymphocytic T-cell leukemia (T-PLL) (Fisch, et al., 1993). The chrom osomal break occurred in two different introns of BCC36 and the fusion transcripts ic cells from T-PLL patients (Fisch, et al., 1993). The were expressed at high levels in the leukaem BRCC36/C6.1A gene is highly conserved between species and bears sequence homology with both hum an Poh1/Pad1 subunit of the 26S proteasome and subunit 5 (Jab1) of the COP9 signalosome (Dong, et al., 2003). Despite its homology to POH1 and Jab1, BRCC36 represents a distinct branch in the evolutionary tree. We have demonstrated that depletion of BRCC36 resulted in increased sensitivity in breast cancer cells to IR and disruption of IR-induced BRCA1 phos phorylation and nuclear foci form ation (Chen, et al., 2006b). RNA interference of BRCC36 also resulted in a defect in G2/M ch eckpoint arrest (Dong, et al., 2003). Cancerassociated truncations in BRCA1 have been found to reduce the association of BRCC36 with the BRCC complex. In addition, our previous study has s hown that a recombinant four-subunit BRCC complex containing BRCA1-BARD1-BRCC45-BRCC36 revealed an enhanced E3 ubiqui tin ligase activity compared to that of BRCA1-BARD1 hetero dimer. Therefore, BR CC36 appears to be a positive regulator of BRCA1/BARD1 E3 ligase activity. Furthermore, BRCC36 has recently been reported to also be present in a novel protein com plex, BRCA1-RAP80-ABRAXAS-BR CC36 (BRCA1 A c omplex), and displays deubiquitinating (DUB) activities (S obhian, et al., 2007; Wang and Elledge , 2007). The recruitm ent of BRCC36 to this complex is via the interaction between the coiled-coil domains of BRCC36 and ABRAXAS. BRCC36 plays an important role in BRCA1 A complex, and it is ess ential for the localization of RAP80, DNA dam age. These findings s uggest that the balance between ABRAXAS, and BRCA1 to sites of synthesis and turnover of certain polyubiquiquitina ted structure by BRCA1-BAR D1 E3 and BRCC36 DUB activities, respectively, could be dyna mic and mediated by other protein partners (e.g., BRCC45 or RAP80) in the same complexes.



Figure 4. Two-dimensional Gels Analyses between BRCC36-overexpression MCF-10A and Parental Lines. (A) One million MCF-10A cells were electroporated with an eGFP construct and either 2  $\mu$ g of a control plasmid (i.e., pFLAG-CMV2-5a) or a pFLAG-CMV2-BRCC36 plasmid via Nucleofector kit V (Amaxa). Transfection efficiency was determined to be ~60% using eGFP as a marker. (B) The expression of BRCC36 and Flag were determined by immunoblotting with specific antibodies. Protein loading levels were evaluated with anti- $\beta$ -actin antibody. (C) Two-dimensional gels were run using protein lysates from MCF-10A cell transfected with CMV-5a empty vector (left) or BRCC36-Flag (right). Molecular weight markers are indicated in kDa and approximate isoelectric point is indicated across the top of the gels. (D) An example of 2D-analyses using Progenesis software: one protein (MW: ~60; PI: ~4.5) has been found to be overexpressed more than 3-fold in MCF-10A cell transfected with BRCC36 in comparison to the cell transfected with control vector.

In this capacity, BRCC36 has the potential to interact with numerous protein substrates and subsequently affects their stabilization, potenti ally explaining the possible oncoge nic and tum or suppressor phenotypes associated with overexpression as observed in breast tu mors or m utations found in hereditary diseases. Therefore, we have studied to determ ine if BRCC36 can mediate protein stability using 2D protein gels. In this study, MCF-10A ce lls were transfected w ith a GFP reporter plasm id and either BRCC36-flag or the control vector. Transfection efficiency was determined by eGFP and BRCC36 expression were determ ined by immunoblotting (Figures 4A and B). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were harvested and lysed in 2D-buffer. Fifty microgram protein from BRCC36 or control vector transfected cell lysates was separated by IEF over a pH 3–10 range followed by gradient SDS-PAGE. After fixing and staining, the gels were scanned with ProXPRESS<sup>TM</sup> Proteom ic Im aging System. Exam ple im ages from one of t he triplicate comparisons are shown in Figure 4C. After analyzing by Progenesis (Nonlinear Dynam ics, Inc.) (Figure **4D**), the density of 22 spots was identified to be increased at least 3-fold in cells transfected with BRCC36 alone than those transfected with empty vector, while 9 spots were 3-fold lower in BRCC36-transfected cells (p < 0.05). Since BRCC36 displays DUB activity, it is expected that the substrates of BRCC36 may be upregulated when BRCC36 is over-ex pressed. However, based on our previous stud y and recen t advance (Dong, et al., 2003; Sobhian, et al., 2007), the DUB activities of BRCC36 m ay be dependent on which BRCA1 complexes BRCC36 participating in, and ther efore, different BRCA1 com plexes m ay either stabilize or prom ote degrad ation of their various substrates. Thes e prelim inary 2D-gel analyses would suggest that both scenarios m ight be in effect following exogenous BRCC36 expression. Although 2D-ge 1 protein analysis is limited to more abundant proteins, we are able to resolve 1000s of individual proteins and their isoform s. LC-M S/MS is being used to identify the protein spots consiste ntly alte red in repea ted experiments through our Biotechnology Core Facility at FCCC to identify potential substrates of the BRCC complex or BRCC36.

Task 2: To Determine if Abrogation of BRCC36 by C6.5-P siRNA Delivery can Sensitize Breast Tumors to DNA Damage-Based Therapies in Mouse Xenograft Models.



Figure 5. Characterization of New BRCC36 Antibodies. (A) Immunoblot analysis of BRCC36 in three independent lymphoblastoid cell lines using the Zymed polyclonal antibody (upper panel), antibodies derived against a.a. 40-53 (middle panel), and antibodies derived against a.a. 176-189 (lower panel). (B) IR-induced BRCC36 nuclear foci formation detected with antibodies derived against a.a. 40-53. MCF-10F cells were treated with 4-Gy IR and allowed to recover for 2 hours before performing immunoflorescence staining as described in our previous studies (Chen, et al, 2006). MCF-10F cells were also co-stained with  $\gamma$ -H2AX and DAPI for the nuclear foci positive control and nuclear visualization, respectively.

#### Characterization of new polyclonal anti-BRCC36 antibodies

In the previous study, we have i dentified a *BRCC36* fr ameshift mutation (c.880insGGGd up148) in a *BRCA1/2* mutation-negative but *CHEK2*-c.1100delC positive fa mily with st rong indication of hereditary breast cancer history. This fram eshift mutation is predicted to result in expression of a mutant protein [i.e., 72 new residues beginning at 294 and a stop codon at residue 366 (p.Arg294T hrfsX73)]. Since the commercially available antibodies (Zymed) is against to the C-terminus antibody and is not able to detect the mutant BRCC36. We have developed two new polyclo nal antibodies specific to different epitopes of BRCC36. Our antibodies derived against the N-term inus (a.a. 40-53) (NP\_001018065, NCBI) detect both isoforms of BRCC36, while antibodies derived against am ino acids encoded by sequences in exons 7 and 8, i.e., a.a. 1 76-189 (NP 001018065, NCBI), uniquely de tect isoform 2 of BRCC36. In addition, the

commercial BRCC36 antibodies do not work for rimmunoflorescence (IF)-based assays ( **data not shown**). As shown in **Figure 5**, BRCC36 form s discrete nuclear foci in MCF-10F cells following exposure to IR. These data are consistent with a recent study reporting the nuclear foci form ation of exogenous HA tagged-BRCC36 in U2OS cells in response to DNA da mage (S obhian, et al., 2007). These findings continue to indicate that BRCC36 plays an important role in DNA damage/repair pathways.

## **KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

- Demonstrated that BRCC36 siRNA delivery via anti-H ER2 antibodies and protam ine conjugates sensitized the HER2-positive cells to IR.
- Established FLAG-tagged BRCC36-overexpressing MCF-10F and MCF-10A cell lines.
- Demonstrated exogenous BRCC36 expression stimulates colony formation, and this result supports the previous findings that BRCC36 over-expressed in the majority of breast tumors.
- Identified the novel substrates of BRCC complex using 2D-LC/MS.
- Demonstrated that BRCC36 may either stabilize or promote degradation of various substrates, dependent on which BRCA1 complexes BRCC36 participating in.
- Characterized two new polyclonal anti-BRCC36 antibodies, which is able to detect the mutant BRCC36.
- Demonstrated that BRCC36 forms discrete nuclear foci in MCF-10F cells following exposure to IR.

## **REPORTABLE OUTCOMES**

## Abstracts

1 **Chen, X.**, Klimowicz, C., Vanderveer, L., W eaver, J., Am in, N., Ouellette, T., Liao, C., Daly, M.B., Nathanson, K.L., Godwin, A.K. A BRCA1 5'non-codi ng variant influences breast cancer risk am ong African-Americans; In: Annual meeting of American Association of Cancer Research, 2009.

2. **Chen, X.,** Schaeffer A., Devarajan, K., Liao, C., Zhou, Y., Slater, C.M., Vanderveer, L., Conroy, J., Godwin, A.K. De fects in BRC A1 contribute to global dif ferential allele-specific expression; In: 34 <sup>th</sup> San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, 2010.

## **Publications**

1. **Chen, X.,** Kistler, J.L., Godwin, A.K. BRCA1-associated proteins: novel targets for breast cancer radiation therapy, pp 121-141. In: D eFrina, R.H., editor. Chapter 4, Aggressive Breast Cancer. H auppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2010.

## CONCLUSIONS

The significant m ortality associated with m etastatic breast cancer sugg ests a clear n eed to im prove current therapeutic strategies. Pr evious studies have dem onstrated th at BRCC36 is over-expressed in the vast majority of invasive breast cancers and that depletion of BRCC36 sensitizes breast cancer cells to IR via the BRCA1 DNA repair pathway. Ther efore, we are examining if abrogation of BRCC36 will s ensitize breast tumors to the DNA-da mage based therapies. We have tested a cancer cell-specific or "sm art" therapeutic approach utilizing the conjugation of anti-HER2 antibodies and prot amine to deliver BRCC36 siRNA to HER2 positive breast cancer cells. This approach shoul d lead to im proving the targeting of breast tum or cells while reducing non-specific toxicity.

#### REFERENCES

- American Cancer Society, 2010 Breast Cancer Fact s & F igures 2009-2010. Atlant a: Am erican Cancer Society, Inc.
- Collaborative Group on Horm onal Factors in Breast Ca ncer, 2001. Fam ilial b reast cancer: collabo rative reanalysis of individual data from 52 epidem iological studies includin g 58,209 wom en with breast cancer and 101,986 women without the disease. Lancet 358(9291):1389-99.
- Chen JJ, Silver D, Cantor S, Livingston DM, Scully R. 1999. BRCA1, BRCA2, a nd Rad51 operate in a common DNA damage response pathway. Cancer Res 59(7 Suppl):1752s-1756s.
- Chen X, Ar ciero CA, Godwin AK. 2006a. BRCA1-associat ed complexes: new targ ets to overcom e breast cancer radiation resistance. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 6(2):187-96.
- Chen X, Arciero CA, Wang C, Broccoli D, Godwin AK. 2006b. BRCC36 is essential for ionizing radiationinduced BRCA1 phosphorylation and nuclear foci formation. Cancer Res 66(10):5039-46.
- Chen X, Kistler JL, Godwin AK. 2010. BRCA1-associated proteins: novel targets for breast cancer radiation therapy. In: DeFrina RH, editor. Aggressive Publishers, Inc. p 121-141. Breast Cancer. Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science
- Dong Y, Hakimi MA, Chen X, Kumaraswam y E, Cooch NS, Godwin AK, Shiekhattar R. 2003. Regulation of BRCC, a holoenzyme com plex containing BRCA 1 and BRCA2, by a signalosom e-like subunit and its role in DNA repair. Mol Cell 12(5):1087-99.
- Farmer H, McCabe N, Lord CJ, Tu tt AN, Johnson DA, Richardson TB, Sa ntarosa M, Dillon KJ, Hickson I, Knights C and others. 2005. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. Nature 434(7035):917-21.
- Fisch P, F orster A, Sherrington PD, Dyer MJ, Rabbitts TH. 1993. The chrom osomal translocation t(X;14)(q28;q11) in T-cell pro-lymphocytic leukaemia breaks within one gene and activates another. Oncogene 8(12):3271-6.
- Fong PC, Boss DS, Carden CP, Roelvink M, De Greve J, Gourley CM, Carmichael J, De Bono JS, Schellens JH, Kaye SB. 2008. AZD2281 (KU-0059436), a PARP (poly ADP-ribose polymerase) inhibitor with single agent anticancer activity in patients with BRCA deficient ovarian cancer: Results from a phase I study. J Clin Oncol 26:A5510.
- Foulkes WD, Stefansson IM, Chappuis PO, Begin LR , Goffin JR, Wong N, Trudel M, Akslen LA. 2003. Germline BRCA1 m utations and a basal epithe lial phenotype in breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 95(19):1482-5.
- Jemal A, Siegel R, W ard E, Hao Y, Xu J, Murra y T, Thun MJ. 2008. C ancer statistics, 2008. C A Cancer J Clin 58(2):71-96.
- King MC, Marks JH, Mandell JB. 2003. Breast and ovari an cancer risks due to inherited m utations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. Science 302(5645):643-6.

- Kleiman FE, Wu-Baer F, Fonseca D, Kaneko S, Baer R, Manley JL. 2005. BRCA1 /BARD1 inhibition of mRNA 3' processing involves targeted degrad ation of RNA polymerase II. Genes D ev 19(10):1227-37.
- Lakhani SR, Reis-F ilho JS, Fulford L, Penault-Llorca F, van der Vijver M, Parry S, Bishop T, Benitez J, Rivas C, Bignon YJ and others. 2005. Prediction of BRC A1 status in patients with breast cancer using estrogen receptor and basal phenotype. Clin Cancer Res 11(14):5175-80.
- Margolin S, Johansson H, Rutqvist LE, Lindblom A, Fornander T. 2006. Fa mily history, and im pact on clinical presentation and prognosis, in a populati on-based breast cancer cohort from the Stockholm County. Fam Cancer 5(4):309-21.
- McCarthy EE, Celebi JT, Baer R, Ludwig T. 2003. Loss of Bard1, the heterodim eric partner of the Brca1 tumor suppressor, results in early embryonic lethal ity and chrom osomal instability. Mol Cell Biol 23(14):5056-63.
- Miki Y, Swensen J, Shattuck -Eidens D, Futreal PA, Ha rshman K, Tavtigian S, Liu Q, Cochran C, Bennett LM, Ding W and othe rs. 1994. A str ong candidate for the breast and ovarian cancer su sceptibility gene BRCA1. Science 266(5182):66-71.
- Peer D, Zhu P, Carm an CV, Lieberm an J, Shim aoka M. 2007. Selective gene silencing in activated leukocytes by targeting siRNAs to the integrin ly mphocyte function-associated antigen-1. Proc N atl Acad Sci U S A 104(10):4095-100.
- Ratnam K, Low JA. 2007. Current developm ent of clin ical inhibitors of poly( ADP-ribose) polymerase in oncology. Clin Cancer Res 13(5):1383-8.
- Ries LAG, Melbert D, Krapcho M, Stinchcom b DG, Howlader N, Horner MJ, Mariotto A, Miller BA, Feuer EJ, Altekruse SF and others. 2008. SEER Canc er Statistics Review, 1975-2005. 2008 ed. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute.
- Ruffner H, Joazeiro CA, Hemmati D, Hunter T, Verma IM. 2001. Cancer-predisposing mutations within the RING dom ain of BRCA1: loss of ubiquitin protei n liga se activity and protection from radiation hypersensitivity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98(9):5134-9.
- Schreiber V, Ame JC, Dolle P, Schultz I, Rinaldi B, Fraulob V, Menissie r-de Murcia J, de Murcia G. 2002. Poly(ADP-ribose) polym erase-2 (P ARP-2) is required for efficien t base excision DNA repair in association with PARP-1 and XRCC1. J Biol Chem 277(25):23028-36.
- Scully R, Anderson SF, Chao DM, Wei W, Ye L, Young RA, Livingston DM, Parvin JD. 1997. BRCA1 is a component of the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94(11):5605-10.
- Sioud M. 2006. RNAi Therapy: Antibodies guide the way. Gene Ther 13(3):194-5.
- Sobhian B, Shao G, Lil li DR, Culh ane AC, Mor eau LA, Xia B, Livi ngston DM, Greenberg RA. 2007. RAP80 targets BRCA1 to specific ubiquitin st ructures at DNA dam age sites. Science 316(5828):1198-202.
- Song E, Zhu P, Lee S K, Chowdhury D, Kussm an S, Dykxhoorn DM, Feng Y, Palliser D, W einer DB, Shankar P and others. 2005. Antibody m ediated in vivo delivery of s mall interfering RNAs via cell-surface receptors. Nat Biotechnol 23(6):709-17.
- Starita LM, Horwitz AA, Keogh MC, Ishioka C, Pa rvin JD, Chiba N. 2005. BRCA1/BARD1 ubiquitin ate phosphorylated RNA polymerase II. J Biol Chem 280(26):24498-505.
- Takemura H, Rao VA, Sordet O, Furuta T, Miao ZH, Meng L, Zhang H, Pommier Y. 2006. Defective Mre11-dependent activation of Chk2 by ataxia telangiectasia mutated in colorectal carcinoma cells in response to replication-dependent DNA double strand breaks. J Biol Chem 281(41):30814-23.
- Turner NC, Reis-Filho JS, Russell AM, Springall RJ, R yder K, Steele D, Savage K, Gille tt CE, Schmitt FC, Ashworth A and others. 2007. BRCA1 dysfunction in sporadic basal-like breast cancer. Oncogene 26(14):2126-32.
- Walsh T, Casadei S, Coats KH, Swisher E, Stray SM, Higgins J, Roach KC, Mandell J, Lee MK, Ciernikova S and others. 2006. Spectrum of mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2, CHEK2, and TP53 in fa milies at high risk of breast cancer. Jama 295(12):1379-88.

- Wang B, Elledge SJ. 2007. Ubc13/Rnf8 ubiquitin ligases control foci form ation of the Rap80/Abraxas/Brca1/Brcc36 com plex in response to DNA da mage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104(52):20759-63.
- Wang B, Matsuoka S, Ballif BA, Zhang D, Smogorzew ska A, Gygi SP, Elledge SJ. 2007. Abraxas an d RAP80 form a BRCA1 protein complex required for the DNA da mage response. Science 316(5828):1194-8.
- Wu LC, Wang ZW, Tsan JT, Spillman MA, Phung A, Xu XL, Yang MC, Hwang LY, Bowcock AM, Baer R. 1996. Identification of a RING protein that can inte ract in vivo with the BRCA1 ge ne product. Nat Genet 14(4):430-40.
- Wu W, Nishikawa H, Haya mi R, Sato K, Honda A, Aratani S, Nakajim a T, Fukuda M, Ohta T. 2007. BRCA1 ubiquitinates RPB8 in response to DNA damage. Cancer Res 67(3):951-8.
- Xu X, Qiao W, Linke SP, Cao L, Li W M, Furth PA, Harris CC, Deng CX. 2001. Genetic interactions and p53 in apoptosis, cell cycle and tum origenesis. Nat G enet 28(3):266-71.
- Xu X, W eaver Z, Linke SP, Li C, Gotay J, Wa ng XW, Harris CC, Ried T, Deng CX. 1999. Centrosome amplification and a defective G2 -M cell cycle checkpoint induce ge netic instability in BRCA1 exon 11 isoform-deficient cells. Mol Cell 3(3):389-95.
- Yap TA, Boss DS, Fong PC, Roelvink M, Tutt A, Car michael J, O'Connor MJ, Kaye SB, Schellens JH, De Bono JS. 2007. First in hum an phase I pharm acokinetic (PK) and pharm acodynamic (PD) study of KU-0059436 (Ku), a sm all molecule i nhibitor of poly ADP-ribose poly merase (PARP) in c ancer patients (p), including BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. J Clin Oncol 25:A3529.

## APPENDICES

## Abstracts

1 **Chen, X.**, Klimowicz, C., Vanderveer, L., W eaver, J., Am in, N., Ouellette, T., Liao, C., Daly, M.B., Nathanson, K.L., Godwin, A.K. A BRCA1 5'non-codi ng variant influences breast cancer risk am ong African-Americans; In: Annual meeting of American Association of Cancer Research, 2009.

2. **Chen, X.,** Schaeffer A., Devarajan, K., Liao, C., Zhou, Y., Slater, C.M., Vanderveer, L., Conroy, J., Godwin, A.K. De fects in BRC A1 contribute to global dif ferential allele-specific expression; In: 34 <sup>th</sup> San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, 2010.

## **Publications**

1. **Chen, X.,** Kistler, J.L., Godwin, A.K. BRCA1-associated proteins: novel targets for breast cancer radiation therapy, pp 121-141. In: D eFrina, R.H., editor. Chapter 4, Aggressive Breast Cancer. H auppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2010.

A BRCA1 5'non-coding variant influences breast cancer risk among African-Americans <u>Xiaowei Chen</u><sup>1</sup>, Christine Klim owicz<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Vanderveer <sup>1</sup>, JoEllen W eaver<sup>1</sup>, Neilay Am in<sup>1</sup>, Timothy Ouelle tte<sup>1</sup>, Connie Liao <sup>1</sup>, Mary B. Daly <sup>2</sup>, Katherine L. Nathanson <sup>3</sup>, and Andrew K. Godwin<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Medical Science Division, Fox Chase Cancer Center, and <sup>2</sup>Population Science Division, Fox Chase Cancer Cent er, Philadelphia, PA 19111. <sup>3</sup>Division of Medi cal Genetics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

Mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 have been implicated in the development of breast and ovarian cancer. Mutations (e.g., fram eshifts, nonsense, splice site, large deletions/insertions) in the coding regions of these genes are associated with ~30% of hereditary breast cancer, a proportion which is less than originally estimated. Recent studies have suggested that the alterations in noncoding DNA within or near promoter regions are able to mediate the transcription factor binding and thus disrupt the expression of genes such as EGFR and BCL3. The refore, we hypothesized that sequence variants in conserved, but non-coding regions of BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 may contribute to increase b reast cancer risk. To test this hypothesis, we first identified 3 and 9 evolutionarily conserved regions in the 5' non-coding of BRCA1 and BRCA2, respectively by conducting both com parative genom ic analysis a nd phylogenetic footprin ting of transcription factor binding site analysis. W e then se quenced these conserved regions in 75 wom en (Caucasian: 58, African-American: 17) with a personal and family history of breast cancer. Four sequence variants that would be predicted to a lter transcription factor binding were detected. One novel mutation, BRCA1-IVS1-85del5, was identified in the affected probands from two unrelated Af rican-American brea st cancer-p rone f amilies. No aff ected proband s f rom 58 unrelated C aucasian br east c ancer-prone f amilies c arries this va riant. To determ ine the functional significance of this variant, we first em ployed a luciferase-reporter assay and demonstrated that RNA and protein expression from the BRCA1-IVS1-85del5 mutant allele is significantly decrease as compared to the wild-type allele (p < 0.05). Results from electrophoretic mobility shift assays further confirmed that this sequence variant disrupts the binding of at least two transcription factors to this DNA site. To assess whether this variant may be associated with breast cancer risk, an African-A merican population-based screen of 263 wom en with brea st cancer and 215 cancer-free controls unselected for family history identified a potential risk (O.R. = 1.91, 95% CI: 0.49-7.47) a ssociated with the *BRCA1*-IVS-85del5 allele. In summ ary, this study provides evidence that a novel mutation in a non-coding region of *BRCA1* can alter its expression by blocking the transcription factor binding and appears to increase breast cancer risk. These findings will ultimately help to better de fine the role of sequence variants within highly conserved non-coding regions of *BRCA1* as they relate to cancer susceptibility. This work was supported in part by the Eileen Stein-Jacoby Fund; grants from the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, Department of Defense, W81XWH-07-1-0685 and W81XWH-08-1-0361.

#### Defects in BRCA1 contribute to global differential allele-specific expression.

<u>Xiaowei Chen<sup>1</sup></u>, Arielle Schaeffer<sup>1</sup>, Karthik Devarajan<sup>2</sup>, Connie Liao<sup>1</sup>, Yan Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Carolyn M. Slater<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Vanderveer<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey Conroy<sup>3</sup>, and Andrew K. Godwin<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Women's Cancer Program and <sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatics and Bioinformatics, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA 19111; <sup>3</sup>Department of Cancer Genetics, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY 14263 USA.

Differential allele-specific expression (DASE) has been shown to contribute to phenotypic variability in humans and more recently to the pathogenesis of cancer. DASE is associated with X-chromosome inactivation and genomic imprinting and is relatively common among nonimprinted autosomal genes. The DASE phenotype can also be transmitted by Mendelian inheritance. We have previously reported that nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) of mutant BRCA1 as well as other epigenetic mechanisms can lead to DASE of BRCA1 and enhanced susceptibility to breast cancer. BRCA1 has been implicated in many cellular processes including DNA repair, cell-cycle-checkpoint control, protein ubiquitination, and chromatin remodeling. Importantly, cells carrying a deleterious BRCA1 mutation exhibit increased genome instability, therefore, we hypothesize that defects in BRCA1 lead not only to DASE of itself, but increase genome-wide DASE and thus contribute to increased breast cancer susceptibility. To test this hypothesis, we employed a genome-wide ASE assay (Illumina Human Omni1-Quad BeadChip) using primary mammary epithelial cells [3 BRCA1 wild-type vs. 3 BRCA1 mutant carrying (2800delAA, 4154delA and R1751X)]. As shown in Table 1, cells carrying a BRCA1 mutation had significantly more DASE events as compared to wild-type cells ( $P < 10^{-7}$ ). In addition, we identified 351 genes demonstrating DASE that were unique to the BRCA1 mutant cells. The cellular functions of these genes are wide-ranging, including DNA repair, cell cycle control, lipid metabolism and protein degradation. In summary, this study provides the first evidence that mutant BRCA1 can lead to global DASE, which in turn may contribute to the development of breast cancer in mutation carriers. This work was supported in part by the Eileen Stein-Jacoby Fund and a grant from the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, Department of Defense, W81XWH-08-1-0361 (XC).

	W/O DASE	W/ DASE	OR (95%CI)	P
BRCA1(-)	16494	2615	1.8 (1.70, 1.90)	<10-
BRCA1(+)	14989	4274		

1: A DASE event is defined as that the Log<sub>2</sub> ratio of allele-specific expression level of one gene is more than 1 or less than -1, i.e. the expression level from one allele is at least 50% less than the level of another allele.

## Charpter 4. BRCA1-Associated Proteins: Novel Targets for Breast Cancer Radiation Therapy

Xiaowei Chen<sup>a</sup>, Jamie L. Kistler, and Andrew K. Godwin.Department of Medical Oncology, Fox Chase Cancer Center, 333 Cottman Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19111-2409, USA, <sup>a</sup>Author for correspondence:Tel: 215-214-4286; Fax: 215-728-2741; E-mail: Xiaowei.Chen@fccc.edu

#### Abstract

Tumor cells, in general, are genom ically unstable and have defects in DNA repair pathways, which subsequently hinder DNA dam age responses. It has been proposed that therapeutic strategies specifically targe ting DNA repair pathway proteins m ay lead to an increased therapeutic index in tum or cells versus normal cells. The BRCA1 pathways are known to play a critical role in DNA repair ; thus, breast tum ors with defects in pro teins assoc iated with the BRCA1 pathways are believed to be m ore sensitive to DNA da mage-based therapies. BRCA1 can interact directly or indire ctly with other tum or suppressors, DNA da mage sensors, ubiquitin ligase partners, and s ignal transducers to form multi-subunit protein complexes. These pro tein complexes are involved in a broad range of biological processes including DNA repair, cell cycle control, ubiquitin ation, and chrom atin rem odeling. Growing evidence suggests that m utation and/or aberrant expression of one or more key members of the BRCA1- associated multi-protein complexes may result in loss of normal BRCA1 activity and disruption of the BRCA1 pathways. These BRCA1-associated proteins are potential modifiers of BRCA1 functions and, therefore, potential targets for sensitizing breast cancer cells to radiation therapy.

*Keywords: BRCA1*, *breast cancer, radiation resistance, DNA repair, cell cycle, ubiquitination, chromatin remodeling.* 

#### Introduction

Breast cancer is the m ost comm on cancer affecting women, with an estim ated lifetime risk of approxim ately 10% by 80 years of age. In the United States, it is estimated that approximately 182,450 new cases of fe male breast cancer will be diagnosed and greater than 40,000 breast cancer-related deaths will occur in 2008 (Jem al et al., 2008). Approximately 13.2% of all Am erican women (1 in 8) are expected to develop breast cancer sometime during their lifetime and 3.0% will subseq uently die from the disease (Ries et al., 2008). Despite advances in treatment and early detection, the breast cancer m ortality rate among women in the United States decreased by only 2.2% per year between 1990 and 2002 (Jemal et al., 2008).

Importantly, estimates from previous studies (Collaborative Group on Horm onal Factors in Breast Cancer, 2001; Margolin et al., 2006) indicate that fa mily history is associated with 15% to 20% of breast cancer cases in the United States. The BRCA1 gene (OMIM: 113705) is one of the m ost intensively studied breas t cancer susceptibility genes and has a profound role in breast cancer etio logy owing to its involvement in several im portant cellular processes. Deleterious mutations in BRCA1 are thought to account for approxim ately 10% to 20% of here ditary b reast cancers (Bove et al., 2002; King et al., 2003; Walsh et al., 2006). Am ong i ts m any bi ological functions, the BRCA1 protein is involved in DNA repair. Because D NA repair pathways and associated protein s are targ eted by radiation therapy, ther e is considerable interest in the developm ent of novel therapeutic strateg ies to s ensitize breast cancer patients with mutations in BRCA1 to radiation therapy. This article will provide

an overview of BRCA1 and its associated proteins with a particular em phasis on their role in DNA repair, as well as summarize current pa radigms for breas t cancer treatment with a focus on the d evelopment of new strategies to exploit the role of BRCA1 associated proteins and improve the efficacy of breast cancer radiation therapy.

**Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer** 

Current treatm ent paradigm s for breast plex and reflect the cancer are com considerable heterogeneity of the disease (NCCN breast cancer treatm ent guidelines 2008). Treatm ent options for noninvasive breast cancers range from observation alone, to breast-conserving lu mpectomy with or without breast radiation, to total mastectomy depending on the tumor biology and individual patient ris k. Radiation therapy is also us ed in the ad juvant se tting and in pectomy f combination with lum or locoregional trea tment of early stage invasive breast cancers. System ic treatment for advanced or m etastatic breast cancer includes chem otherapy, endocrine therapy, and newer types of ta rgeted th erapeutic agents (e.g., targeted monoclonal antibodies and tyrosine kinase in hibitors). Radiation therapy has been a tre atment m odality f or breast cancer patients for m ore than 100 years and, over the last 3 decad es. has become a c ritical component of successful treatment strateg ies for breast can cer. An increasing role f or radia tion therap y developed in the early 1970s, when Fletcher documented that radiation therapy was instrumental in decreasing local recurrences (Fletcher, 1972). In particular, supraclavicular m etastases were reduced from 20% to 25% to only 1.3% to 3% with the add ition of ioniz ing rad iation (IR). Radiation therapy has also been utilized to treat pa tients with tum ors that hav e

undergone total m astectomy resulting in a reduction in local recurrences by greater than two-thirds (Fletc her, 1972). This early work led to an expand ed role for radiation therapy in breast cancer.

The emergence of radiation therap y to the forefront of modern breast cancer treatm ent lies in its a pplication in breast con servation therapy. Current NCCN treatment guidelines support the preferred use of breast conservation therapy (i.e., lumpectomy with or without breast radi ation) as a breast treatment f or the m ajority of women with ncers (i.e., ductal early stage breast ca carcinoma in situ, s tage I and II breas t cancers). Evidence suggests that the addition of radiation therapy m ay significantly reduce recurrence in this patient population. the n ecessity of Landmark studies on breast conservation radiation therapy in therapy came from Fisher and colleagues, as a part of a clinical trial conducted by the National S urgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP; Protocol B-06) that showed lumpectom y with rad iation therapy had m uch lower re currence ra tes than lumpectomy alone (10% versus 35%; Р <0.001) after 12 years of follow-up (Fisher et al., 1995). This observation has been further validated by an extensive m etaanalysis that suppor ted im proved local control with the addition of radiation therapy (Fisher et al., 2002; Veronesi et al., 2002). Recently, clinical research has examined the possible survival benefits of radiation therapy in breast cancer. The Early Breast Cancer Trialists ' Collaborative Group (EBCTG) examined 78 trials involving more than 42,000 patients with breast cancer (Clarke et al., 2006). In the analyses of trials directly com paring patien ts receiv ing radiation therapy versus those not receiving radiation therapy, a cl ear reduction in local recurrences occurred in the radiotherapy group, including patients undergoing

mastectomy or breast conservation therapy (Clarke et al., 2006). Interestingly, there was also a no table im provement in survival among patients treated with radiotherapy. In fact, patients receiving radiotherapy for their breast cancer had a near ly 6% reduction in their 15-year breast cancer mortality risk and a 4% to 5 % reduction in overa 11 mortality (Clarke et al., 2006). These findings support the contribution of radiotherapy to both the reduction of local recurrences and in 15-year overall m ortality rates. Researchers have noted that breast cancer recurrences in the non-irradiated breast often occur within 3 years of initial diagnosis (Kurtz et al., 1989). In com parison, local recurrences in irradiated breast tissu e occur m uch late r. with the ris k increasing with tim e (7% risk at 5 years, 14% risk at 10 years, and 20% risk at 20 years) (Sm ith et al., 2000). Thus, despite the benefits of radiation therapy in the trea tment of bre ast c ancer, patien ts continue to develop loc al recurrences in the targeted breast. The persistent recurrence of breast cancers following radiation therapy in multiple p atient settings has prom pted significant research efforts, particularly in understanding the etiol ogy of radioresistant breast tum ors and subsequent developm ent of novel treatm ent paradigm s to overcom e this resistance.

Despite the benefits of radiation therapy in the trea tment of bre ast c ancer, patien ts continue to develop loc al recurrences in the targeted breast. Researchers have noted that breast cancer recurre nces in the nonirradiated breast often o ccur within 3 years of initial diagnosis (Ku rtz et al., 1 989). In comparison, local recurrences in irradiated breast tissue occur much later, with the ris k increasing with tim e (7% risk at 5 years, 14% risk at 10 years, and 20% risk at 20 years) (Sm ith et al., 2000). It is these recurrences that h ave s purred research in to both breas t cancer recurren ces and the

possibility of radio-re sistant breast tum ors. One of the major radio -resistance mechanisms is related to a score o f genes which are involved in the repair of DNA damage by radiation.

## **BRCA1 in Resistance to Breast Cancer Radiation Therapy**

The clinical benefit of radiation therapy can be attr ibuted to its mechanism of DNA damage and subsequent activation of apoptosis pathways. The da mage caused by IR activates specific DNA damage cell cycle checkpoints, which leads to induction of various DNA repair pathways. The central component of these pathways is the ATM/CHEK2 kinase, which is activated mage and subsequently upon DNA da phosphorylates multiple proteins, includin g BRCA1 (Canman et al., 1998; Cortez et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2000). In response to DNA damage induced by IR, BRCA1 is phosphorylated at specific tyrosine residues by ATM (the gene mutated in ataxia telangiectasia), CHEK2 (the hum an homologue of yeast checkpoint protein kinase [hCds1]), or by the ATM-related kinase, ATR (Cortez et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2000; Tibbetts et al., 1999). This phosphorylation, which occurs in a region containing clusters of serine-glutam ine residues, has been show n to be functionally important using m ouse m odels. In these studies, a m utated form of BRCA1 lacking these phosphorylation sites failed to rescue radiation hypersensitivity when introduced into BRCA1-deficient c ells (Cor tez et al., 1999). In addition, phosphorylation by ATM/CHEK2 following DNA d amage is critical f or the rec ruitment of BRCA1 to both DNA repair and chrom atin remodeling protein complexes (Zhong et al., 1999).

BRCA1 has been im plicated in norm al cellular pro cesses, inc luding DNA f idelity and dam age repair, and has therefore been

examined as having a possible ro le in the radioresistance of br east tum ors. However, the specific role of BRCA1 in radior esistant breast cancer rem ains somewhat unclear. In vitro studies (Abbott et al., 1999; F oray et al., 1999; Mamon et al., 2003; Ruffner et al., 2001; Shen et al., 1998) have de monstrated an increased sensitivity to IR when BRCA1 is mutated in human breast cancer cell lines. However, clinical observations in breast cancer patients fail to reliably support these in vitro findings (Baeyens et al., 2004; Garcia-Higuera et al., 2001; Leong et al., 2000). One study (Kirova et al., 2005) found that BRCA1 m utation carriers exhibited increased sensitivity to radia tion therapy as assessed by the reduced rate of breast cancer recurrence following breas t conservin g treatment; h owever, Pierce and co lleagues (Pierce et al., 2000) noted no significant differences in local recurrences between BRCA1 mutation car riers and pa tients with sporadic for rms of br east can cer in a multicenter study. Two additional hum an studies (Baeyens et al., 2004; Leong et al., 2000) indicated that mutations in BRCA1 may not account for clinical radiation hypersensitivity. These conflicting findings pose the question of whether BRCA1 mutations will in deed inc rease th e sensitivities of tumor cells to the ra diationbased therapies. Therefore, the role of BRCA1 and its influence on tumor cell sensitivity to radiati on in vitro and in vivo will require further investigation.

#### **Role of BRCA1 and Associated Proteins in Breast Cancer Etiology**

Since its cloning and characterization in the mid-1990s (Miki *et al.*, 1994), BRCA1 has been implicated in m any cellular processes s including DNA repair, cell-cycle-checkpoint control, protein ub iquitination, and chromatin rem odeling. Although mutations in *BRCA1* are known to contribute to the development of hereditary breast and

ovarian cancers. BRCA1 m utations in sporadic breast cancers, which account for approximately 90% of all breast cancers, are surprisingly rare (Futre al et al., 1994). In this aspect, various st udies have indicated that loss o f BRCA1 expression through epigenetic m echanisms m av contribute about 10% of sporadic breast cancer (Esteller et al., 2000; Rio et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2001). In addition, accumulating evidence suggests that dysfunction of other genes, coding for proteins in pathways complementary to BRCA1, m av be important in the pathogenesis of a significant proportion of sporadic, nonhereditary cancers. T his hypothesis is supported by several lines of evidence, including phenotypic analyses of breast and ovarian tu mors, as well as mechanis tic studies of BRCA1-associated pathways (Farmer et al., 2005; Jazaeri et al., 2002).

# BRCA1-Associated Proteins: Functional Modifiers of BRCA1 Activity

Due to its clinical significance, the BRCA1 gene is one of the m ost intensively studied breast can cer susceptibility genes. The BRCA1 gene encodes for a 220 kDa nuclear phosphoprotein that has been suggested to play a role in m aintaining genomic stability and to act as a tum or suppressor. The BRCA1 protein interacts directly or indirectly with other tum or suppressor proteins (e.g., p53 and BRCA2), DNA damage sensors (e.g., RAD51, RAD50, MRE11 and NBS1), signal transducers (e.g., p21 and cyclin B), and ubiquitination proteins (e.g., BARD1, BRCC36, and RAP80) to for m m ulti-subunit protein complexes (Figure 1), such as the BRCA1associated genom e surveillance com plex (BASC) and the BRCA1 and BRCA2 containing com plex (BRCC). I mportantly, the proper form ation of these m ulti-subunit protein complexes is cr itical in carrying out the multiple biological processes as sociated

with BRCA1, including DNA repair, cell cycle control, chrom atin rem odeling, and ubiquitination.

The majority of BRCA1 functional studies have focused on its potential role in DNA damage responses. The im plication that BRCA1 is a direct component of DNA damage response pathways com es from evidence of its interactions with BRCA2 and RAD51. The protein complex comprised of BRCA1, BRCA2, and RAD51 has been shown to activate DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair and to initiate homologous recombination, an observation which links the m aintenance of genom ic inte grity to tumor suppression (Chen *et al.*, 1999). In addition, the BRCA1- associated MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 (MRN) complex has recently been dem onstrated to activate CHEK2 downstream from ATM in response to replication-mediated DSBs (Takemura et al., 2006). Disruption of any of these pathways may contribute to increased genom ic instability and potentially sensitize cells to the effects of IR, sp ecifically through the induction of cellular apoptosis.

The involvem ent of BRCA1 and its associated partners in norm al DNA repair processes suggests that mutations in these tumor suppressor proteins would hinder DNA damage responses, predispose cells to additional accum ulated m utations, and potentially contribute to subsequent malignant transform ation. Importantly, compromised DNA repair m echanisms would also be expected to sensitize cells to the lethal effects of IR. Thus, while BRCA1 mutations may play a profound role in breast cancer etiology, consequent disruption of normal DNA repair m ay actually be therapeutically exploited to increase clin ical radiation hypersensitivi ty in breast cancer patients who are BRCA1 mutation carriers.

BRCA1 has also been shown to play a role in cell cycle contro 1. For exam ple, BRCA1 stimulates expressio n of the cyclindependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor, p21, and to inhibit cell-cycle progression into the Sphase (Som asundaram et al., 1997). In addition, research has shown that BRCA1 is not only essential for activating the CHEK1 kinase that regulates G2/M arrest induced by DNA da mage, but also controls the expression, phosphorylation, and cellular localization of Cdc25C and Cdc2/cyclin B kinases (Yarden et al., 2002). Therefore, BRCA1 appears to be involved in regulating the onset of m itosis. Furthermore, a m ouse study dem onstrated that BRCA1 knockout mice, generated by removal of exon 11, have a defective G<sub>2</sub>/M cell cycle checkpoint and extens ive chrom osomal abnorm alities (Xu et al., 1999). It is also reported that elimination of one Tp53 allele (BRCA1 *exon11-/-;Tp53+/-*) rescued the embryonic lethality caused by the deletion of BRCA1 exon 11 and restored norm al mammary gland developm ent (Xu *et* al., 2001). However, most fe male m ice homozygous for t he Brcal exon 11 deletion and heterozygous for loss of the *Tp53* gene developed mammary tumors within 6 to 12 months. Importantly, the resulting tum ors lose the r emaining Tp53 allele (Xu et al., 2001). These findings indicated that the genetic interactions between Brca1 and p53 are associated with breast carcinogenesis.

BRCA1 and its associated protein have also been found to be involved in the process of chromatin remodeling. Wang and colleagues (Wang *et al.*, 2000) used immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry to iden tify a larg e multi-subun it protein complex referred to a s BASC (BRCA1associated genom e surveillance com plex), which is comprised of ATM, BLM , MSH2, MSH6, MLH1, the RAD50-MRE11-NBS1 complex, and the RFC1-RFC2-RFC4

complex. Confocal m icroscopy demonstrated that BRCA1, BLM, and the RAD50-MRE11-NBS1 com plex colocalized to larg e nuc lear foci, and BASC has subsequently been shown to be involved in chromatin remodeling at sites of doublestrand DNA breaks (W ang et al., 2000). In addition, BRCA1 directly interacts with the brahma-related gene 1 (BRG1) subunit of SW1/SNF-associated com plex which has been dem onstrated to be involved in chromatin-remodeling (Bochar et al., 2000). This finding links chrom atin rem odeling processes to breast cancer. Furth ermore, the BRCT domain of BRCA1 has been reported to be associated with the histone deacetylases, HDAC1 and HDAC2 (Yarden and Brody, 1999). Collectively, these findings may help expl ain the involvem ent of BRCA1 in m ultiple, seemingly unrelated processes such as tran scription and DNA repair.

BRCA1 also interacts with a number of proteins and displays significant ubiquitin ligase activ ities. Im portantly, deleterious mutations affecting the BRCA1 **RING**finger domain, found in clinical specim ens, abolish the ubiquitin lig ase ac tivity of BRCA1 (Ruffner et al., 2001; Wu et al., 1996). These findings support a relationship between the ligase a ctivity of BRCA1 and the predisposition to br east cancer. Using a combination of affinity purification of anti-FLAG and mass spectrometric sequencing, a multiprotein protein complex, termed BRCC (BRCA1/2 C\_ontaining C\_omplex), which contains seven pol vpeptides inc luding BRCA1, BRCA2, BARD1 and RAD51, has been identified (Dong et al., 2003). BRCC is an E3 ubiquitin ligas e com plex exhibiting activities in the E2-dependent ubiquitination of the tum or suppressor p53 (Dong et al., 2003). In this m ultiprotein com plex, one protein, referred to as BRCC36, has been found to be directly interacted with BRCA1.

Cancer-causing truncations of BRCA1 have been found to abrogate the association of BRCC36 with BRCC (Dong et al., 2003). We have also demonstrated that depletion of BRCC36 resulted in inc reased sensitivity in breast cancer cells to io nizing radiation (IR) and disruption of IR-induced BRCA1 phosphorylation and nuclear foci form ation (Chen et al., 2006). Previous study has shown that a recombinant four-subunit BRCC complex containing BRCA1-BARD1-BRCC45-BRCC36 revealed an enhanced E3 ubiquitin ligase activity compared to that o f BRCA1-BARD1 heterodimer (Dong al., 2003). et Furthermore, BRCC36 has recen tly been reported to also be present in a novel BRCA1-associated com plex, BRCA1-BARD1-RAP80-Abraxas-BRCC36, which plays a role in recognizing DNA dam age site (Wang et al., 2007). These findings may suggest that the role of BRCC36 in DNA damage response could be dynam ic and mediated by other protein partners (e.g., BRCC45, BRCC120, RAP80 or Abraxas) in the same complexes (Figure 2). In addition, BRCA1 has also been reported to inte ract with the RNA Pol II holoenzym e (Scully et al., 1997). Two recent reports have suggested that BRCA1a nd BARD1 m ay be involved in the degradation of RNA polymerase II complex and siRNA-mediated knockdown of BRCA1 and BARD1 results in stab ilization of RNAP II in the cells following UV exposure (Kleim an et al., 2005; Starita et al., 2005). These studies reported that BRCA1/BARD1 appears to initiate the degrada tion of stalled RNAP II and thus disrupts the coupled transcription by inhibiting RNA proc essing machinery in cells exposed to DNA dam age. At present, the known substrates that are polyubiquitinated by the BRCA1 -BARD1 ubiquitin ligase are very limited and include RNA polym erase II, nucleophosm in/B23, and p53 (Dong *et al.*, 2003; Kleim an *et al.*, 2005; Sato *et al.*, 2004; Starita *et al.*, 2005).

#### BRCA1-associated Proteins as Potential Targets of Breast Cancer Therapies

In the last several decades, efforts have been made toward understanding the m echanism of response to both cytotoxic chem otherapy and radia tion therapy in the tre atment of breast cancer. Becaus e tum or cells are typically genom ically unstab le with dysfunctional DNA damage responses, it has been proposed that targeting DNA repair pathways m ay lead to an incre ased therapeutic index in tum or cells versus normal cells. The invo lvement of BRCA1 and its associated partners in normal DNA repair processes suggests that m utations in these tum or suppressor proteins would hinder DN A dam age responses, predispose cells to ad ditional ac cumulated mutations, and potentially contribute to subsequent malignant transform ation. Importantly, compromised DNA repair m echanisms would also be expected to sensitize cells to the lethal effects of IR. Thus, while BRCA1 mutations may play a profound role in breast cancer etiology, consequent disruption of normal DNA repair m ay actually be therapeutically exploited to increase clin ical radiation hypersensitivi ty in breast cancer patients who are BRCA1 mutation carriers.

This specu lation is sup ported by the recent development of the inhibitors of poly (ADPribose)-polymerase-1 (PARP). The PARP enzyme is involved in base excision repair which is cr itical p athway in the r epair of DNA single-strand breaks (Ratnam and Low, 2007; Schreiber *et al.*, 2002). Farm er and colleagues have show n that d efects in BRCA1 or BRCA2 profoundly sensitize cells to the inhib ition of PARP e nzymatic activity, resulting in chromosomal instability, cell cycle arrest, and subsequent apoptosis (Farmer *et al.*, 2005). PARP inhibitors are currently in clinic al trials of patients with breast cancer or other m alignancies who are BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation ca rriers. Two phase I studies have shown that AZD2281 (AstraZeneca, UK), a potent o rally active PARP inhibitor, is well tole rated and leads to sign ificant PARP inhibition in patien ts carrying BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations with breast or ovarian cancer (Fong et al., 2008; Yap et al., 2007). Importantly, clinical responses have been observed in all cohorts evaluated thus far, and future phase II studies are planned (Fong et al., 2008; Yap et al., 2007). Findings from these recent studies further suggest that the design of novel therapies, whic h inhibit components of particular DNA repair pathways, m ay provide effective and m ore tolerable therapeutic options for breast cancer patients with BRCA1 defects.

In vitro studies have dem onstrated that breast can cer cells express ing m utated BRCA1 have incr eased sensitivity to IR (Kennedy et al., 2004; Powell, 2005). Notably, mutations in BRCA1 itself may not be the only reason for loss of the encoded protein's activity. There is growing evidence that disruption of the BRCA1-associated multi-protein com plexes, either through mutations or the aberrant expression of a key m ember(s) of these com plexes, m ay result in loss of normal BRCA1 activity (Chen et al., 2006; McCarthy et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2007). In our own studies, we have tested the hypothesis that dysregulated expression (e.g., gain or loss) of protein(s) in BRCA1-associated pathways leads to a BRCA1 "nulllike" phenotype and subsequent DNA dam age hypersensitivity in breast cancer cells (Chen et al., 2006). As shown in Figure 3, BRCA1 and p53 are phosphorylated by the ATM kinase following IR. Depletion of the BRCA1-associated protein, BRCC36, prevents the phosphorylation of BRCA1 and

disrupts BRCA1 nuclear foci form ation following IR, an even t that is as sociated with the induction of DNA repair. The proposed model illustrates that disruption of BRCA1 ac tivation through depletion of BRCC36 may create an imbalance between the DNA repair and cell survival pathways and the apoptosis/cell death pathways following IR exposure. As a result, abrogation of BRCC36 sensitizes breast cancer cells to IR-indu ced apoptosis (Chen *et al.*, 2006).

This proposed m echanism is also supported by a number of studies that have demonstrated the impact of cellu lar resistance to IR upon m anipulation of BRCA1-associated proteins, such as RAD51, MRE11, and NBS1 ( Table 1) (Billecke et al., 2002; B oulton et al., 2004; Chinnaiyan et al., 2005; Digweed et al., 2002; Garcia-Higuera et al., 2001; Houghtaling et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007; Lio et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2007; Nakanishi et al., 2002; Russell et al., 2003; Sobhian et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2008). In addition, because multiple genetic hits a renecessary for tum origenesis, i ndividuals that carry defects in DNA da mage repair/response genes are particularly cancer prone, due to the genetic instability and hypermutability of their cells (Deng, 2006; Jasin, 2002). Therefore, these BRCA1-associated proteins are likely to be involved in tum origenesis and are potential therapeutic targets.

#### Summary

Since BRCA1 was cloned a decade ago, significant progress has been m ade in defining its biochem ical and biological functions, a s well as its role in br east and ovarian cancers. BRCA1 has been implicated in m any cellu lar processe s, including DNA rep air, and protein ubiquitination. Because of the important role of BRCA1 in DNA r epair, breast tum ors with defective BRCA1 are believed to be more sensitive to D NA dam age-based therapies. N evertheless, def ects in BRCA1 itself may not be the only reason for the loss of its activity nor the increased sensitivity of tumor cells to DNA damage-based agents. A number of studies have dem onstrated that manipulation of BRCA1-associated proteins, such as RAD51, MRE11, and NBS1, can impact cellular sens itivity to IR. BRCA1associated proteins may, therefore, be considered as poten tial targ ets for breas t cancer the rapies. Des pite a potentia lly significant role for BRCA1-associated protein complexes in m odifying the activities of BRCA1, the total number of complexes and the ide ntity and f unction of component proteins has yet to be fully elucidated. Thus, much of the scientif ic effort related to BRCA1 is currently directed at defining the biochem ical func tions of BRCA1 in assoc iation with these prote in complexes.

## Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by Cheryl Herman and the Eileen Stein-Jacoby Fund, a fellowship award from DOD BCRP, W81XWH-04-1-0573 (XC), and a DOD BCRP grant, Department of Defense, W81XWH-08-1-0361 (XC).

Protein name	BRCA1 pathway affected	<i>BRCA1</i> gene manipulation approach	Increased resistance to IR	Increased sensitivity to IR
FANCD2	DNA repair	Defected		Garcia-Higuera et al, 2001 Houghtaling et al, 2005
NBS1	DNA repair	Defected	Nakanishi et al, 2002	
MRE11 DNA	repair	Disrupted		Digweed et al, 2002
RAD51	DNA repair	Deficiency Blocking Overexpression	Vispe et al, 1998	Lio et al, 2004 Russell et al, 2003
HDAC Chrom	atin remodeling	Blocking		Chinnaivan et al, 2005
RB	Cell cycle checkpoint control	Decreasing Defected		Carlson et al, 2000 Billecke et al, 2002
BARD1	Ubiquitination	Depleted		Boulton et al, 2004
BRCC36	Ubiquitination	Depleted		Dong et al., 2003 Chen et al, 2006
RAP80	Ubiquitination	Depleted		Sobhian, et al, 2007 Yan et al, 2008
CCDC98/Abraxas	Ubiquitination	Deplete		Wang et al, 2007 Kim et al, 2007 Liu et al, 2007

## Table 1. Radiation Sensitivity Studies Related to BRCA1-associated Proteins

IR, ionizing radiation.



**Figure 1. BRCA1-associated protein network.** BRCA1 interacts with a number of proteins to form multi-subunit protein complexes, which are involved in DNA repair, cell cycle checkpoint control, protein ubiquitination, and chromatin remodeling.



Figure 2. BRCC36 in different BRCA1-associated protein complexes (BRCC or BRCA1 A Complex, respectively). Previous study has shown that BRCC36 potentiates the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of BRCA1-BARD1 heterodimer. Recently, BRCC36 has been reported to also be present in a novel BRCA1-associated complex, BRCA1-BARD1-RAP80-Abraxas-BRCC36, which plays a role in recognizing DSB site.



Figure 3. A proposed model illustrating the role of BRCC36 in BRCA1-associated DNA repair pathway in response to ionizing radiation (IR). BRCA1 and p53 are phosphorylated by the ATM kinase following IR. The BRCA1 and p53 proteins are involved in DNA repair and apoptosis pathways, respectively. Depletion of the BRCA1-associated protein, BRCC36, prevents the phosphorylation of BRCA1 and disrupts BRCA1 nuclear foci formation following IR, an event that is associated with the induction of DNA repair. The proposed model illustrates that disruption of BRCA1 activation through depletion of BRCC36 may create an imbalance between the DNA repair and cell survival pathways and the apoptosis/cell death pathways following IR exposure. As a result, abrogation of BRCC36 sensitizes breast cancer cells to IR-induced apoptosis.

#### REFERENCES

Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer (2001). Fam ilial breast cancer: collaborative reanaly sis of individual data from 52 epi demiological studi es including 58, 209 women with breast canc er and 101,986 women without the disease. *Lancet* **358**: 1389-99.

NCCN breast cancer treatment guidelines (2008).

Abbott DW, Thom pson ME, Robinso n-Benion C, Tom linson G, Jensen RA, Holt J T (1999). BRCA1 expression restor es radiation r esistance in BRCA1-defective cancer cell s through enhancement of transcription-coupled DNA repair. *J Biol Chem* **274:** 18808-12.

Baeyens A, Thierens H, Claes K, Poppe B, de Ridder L, Vral A (2004). Chro mosomal radiosensitivity in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Int J Radiat Biol* **80:** 745-56.

Billecke CA, Ljungm an ME, McKay BC, Rehemtulla A, Taneja N, Ethier SP (2002). Lack of functional pRb results in attenuated recovery of mRNA synthesis and increased apoptosis following UV radiation in hum an breast canc er cells. *Oncogene* **21**: 4481-9.

Bochar DA, Wang L, Beniy a H, Kinev A, Xue Y, Lane WS *et al* (2000). BRCA1 is associated with a human SWI/S NF-related complex: linking chromatin re modeling to breast cancer. *Cell* **102:** 257-65.

Boulton SJ, Martin JS, P olanowska J, Hill DE, Gartner A, Vidal M (2004). BRCA1/BARD1 orthologs required for DNA r epair in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Curr Biol* **14:** 33-9.

Bove B, Dunbrack R, Godwin AK (2002). BRAC 1, BRAC2, and hereditary breast cancer. In: Pasqualini J (ed). *Breast Cancer: Prognosis, Treatment and Prevention*. Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York.

Canman CE, Li m DS, Cimprich KA, Taya Y, Tamai K, Sakaguchi K *et al* (1998). Activation of the ATM kinase by ionizing radiation and phosphorylation of p53. *Science* **281:** 1677-9.

Chen JJ, Sil ver D, Cant or S, Livi ngston DM, Scully R (1999). BRCA1, BRCA2, and Rad51 operate in a common DNA dam age response pathway. *Cancer Res* **59:** 1752s-1756s.

Chen X, Arciero CA, Wang C, Broccoli D, Godwin AK (2006). BR CC36 is essential for ionizing radiation-induced BRCA1 phosphorylation and nuc lear foci form ation. *Cancer Res* 66: 5039-46.

Chinnaiyan P, Vallabhaneni G, Arm strong E, Huang SM, Harari PM (2005). Mo dulation of radiation response by histone deacety lase inhibition. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* **62:** 223-9.

Clarke M, Collins R, Darby S, Davies C, Elphinstone P, Evans E *et al* (2006). Effects of radiotherapy and of differences in the extent of surgery for early breast cance r on local recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet* **366**: 2087-106.

Cortez D, Wang Y, Qin J, Elledge SJ (1999). Requirement of ATM-dependent phosphorylation of brca1 in the DNA dam age response to double-strand breaks. *Science* **286**: 1162-6.

Deng CX (2006). BRCA1: cell cy cle checkpoint, genetic instability, DNA damage resp onse and cancer evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**: 1416-26.

Digweed M, De muth I, Rothe S, S cholz R, Jordan A, Grotzinger C *et al* (2002). SV40 large T-antigen di sturbs the f ormation of nuclear DNA-repair foci containing MRE11. *Oncogene* **21:** 4873-8.

Dong Y, Hakimi MA, Chen X, Kumaraswamy E, Cooch NS, Godwin AK *et al* (2003). Regulation of BRCC, a holoenz yme co mplex containing BRCA1 and BRCA2, b y a signalos ome-like subunit and its role in DNA repair. *Mol Cell* **12**: 1087-99.

Esteller M, Silva JM, Dominguez G, Bonilla F, Matias-Guiu X, Lerma E *et al* (2000). Promoter hypermethylation and BRCA1 inactivation in sporadic breast and ovarian tum ors. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **92:** 564-9.

Farmer H, McCabe N, Lord CJ, Tutt AN, Johnson DA, Richardson TB *et al* (2005) . Targeting the DNA r epair defect i n BRCA mutant cells as a therap eutic strateg y. *Nature* **434:** 917-21.

Fisher B, Anderson S, Bry ant J, Margolese RG, Deutsch M, Fisher ER *et al* (2002). Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized trial comparing total mastectomy, lumpectomy, and lumpectomy plus irradiation for the treatment of invasiv e breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* **347**: 1233-41.

Fisher B, Anderson S, Redm ond CK, Wolmark N, Wickerh am DL, Cronin WM (1995). Reanalysis and results aft er 12 y ears of followup in a randomized clinical trial comparing total mastectomy with lum pectomy with or without irradiation in the treat ment of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* **333:** 1456-61.

Fletcher GH (1972). Local results of irr adiation in the prim ary management of localized breast cancer. *Cancer* **29:** 545-51.

Fong PC, Boss DS, Carden CP, Roelvink M, De Greve J, Gourley CM *et al* (2008). AZD2281 (KU-0059436), a PAR P (pol y A DP-ribose polymerase) inhi bitor with single agent anticancer activit y in patients with BRCA deficient ovarian cancer: Results from a phase I study. *J Clin Oncol* **26:** A5510.

Foray N, Randrianarison V, Marot D, Perricaudet M, Lenoir G, Feunteun J (1999). Gamma-rays-induced dea th of h uman cells carrying m utations of BRCA1 or BRCA2. *Oncogene* **18:** 7334-42.

Futreal PA, Liu Q, Shattuck-Eidens D, Cochran C, Harsh man K, Tavtigian S *et al* (199 4). BRCA1 mutations in primary breast and ovarian carcinomas. *Science* **266:** 120-2.

Garcia-Higuera I, Taniguchi T, Ganesan S, Meyn MS, Timmers C, Hejna J *et al* (2001). Interaction of the Fanconi anemia proteins and BRCA1 in a common pathway. *Mol Cell* **7:** 249-62.

Houghtaling S, Newell A, Akkari Y, Taniguchi T, Olson S, Grompe M (2005). Fancd2 functions in a double s trand break repair pathway that is distinct from non-homologous end joining. *Hum Mol Genet*.

Jasin M (2002). Hom ologous repair of DNA damage an d tum origenesis: the BRCA connection. *Oncogene* **21:** 8981-93.

Jazaeri AA, Yee CJ, Soti riou C, Brantley KR, Boyd J, Liu ET (2002). Gene expression profiles of BRCA1-linked, BRCA2-linked, and sporadic ovarian cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **94:** 9 90-1000.

Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y , Xu J, Murray T *et al* (2008). Cancer statistics, 2008. *CA Cancer J Clin* **58**: 71-96.

Kennedy RD, Quinn JE, Mullan PB, Johnston PG, Harkin DP (2004). The role of BRCA1 in the cellular response to chemotherapy. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **96:** 1659-68.

Kim H, Huang J, Chen J (2007). CCDC98 is a BRCA1-BRCT dom ain-binding protein involved i n the DNA damage response. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **14:** 710-5.

King MC, Marks JH, Man dell JB (2003). Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Science* **302**: 643-6.

Kirova YM, Stoppa-L yonnet D, Savi gnoni A, Sigal-Zafrani B, Fabre N, Fourquet A (2005). Risk of breast cance er recurrence and contralateral breast cancer in relation to BRC A1 and BRCA2 m utation status following breastconserving s urgery and radiotherapy. *Eur J Cancer*.

Kleiman FE, Wu-Baer F, Fonseca D, Kaneko S, Baer R, M anley JL (2005). BRCA1/BARD1 inhibition of mRNA 3' processing invol ves targeted degradation of RNA poly merase II. *Genes Dev* **19:** 1227-37. Kurtz JM, Amalric R, Brandone H, Ay me Y, Jacquemier J, Pietra J C *et al* (198 9). Local recurrence after breast- conserving surgery and radiotherapy. Frequency, tim e course, and prognosis. *Cancer* 63: 1912-7.

Lee JS, Collins KM, Brown AL, Lee CH, Chung JH (2000). h Cds1-mediated phosp horylation of BRCA1 regulates the DNA dam age response. *Nature* **404:** 201-4.

Leong T, Whitty J, Keilar M, Mifsud S, Ramsay J, Birrell G *et al* (2000). Mutation an alysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 cancer predisposition genes in radiation hypersensitive cancer patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* **48**: 959-65.

Lio YC, Schild D, Brenneman MA, Redpath JL, Chen DJ (2 004). Hum an Rad51C d eficiency destabilizes XRCC3, impairs recombination, and radiosensitizes S/G2-phase cells. *J Biol Chem* **279:** 42313-20.

Liu Z, Wu J, Yu X (2007). CCDC98 targets BRCA1 to DNA dam age sites. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **14:** 716-20.

Mamon HJ, Dahlberg W, Azzam EI, Nagasawa H, Muto MG, Little JB (2003). Differing effects of breast cancer 1, early onset (BRCA1) and ataxia-telangiectasia m utated (ATM) mutations on cellular re sponses to ionizing radiation. *Int J Radiat Biol* **79**: 817-29.

Margolin S, Johansson H, Rutqvist LE, Lindblom A, Fornander T (200 6). Fam ily history, and im pact on cli nical present ation and prognosis, in a population-based breast cance r cohort from the Stockholm County. *Fam Cancer* **5**: 309-21.

McCarthy EE, Celebi JT, Baer R, Ludwig T (2003). Loss of Bard1, the heterodimeric partner of the Brca1 tum or suppressor, results in earl y embryonic lethality and chromosomal instability. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**: 5056-63.

Miki Y, Swe nsen J, Shattuck-Eidens D, Futreal PA, Harsh man K, Tavtigian S *et al* (1994). A strong candi date for the breast and ovarian

cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1. *Science* **266**: 66-71.

Nakanishi K, Taniguchi T, Ranganathan V, New HV, Moreau LA, Stotsky M *et al* (20 02). Interaction of FANCD2 and NBS1 in the DNA damage response. *Nat Cell Biol* **4:** 913-20.

Pierce LJ, Strawderman M, Narod SA, Oliviotto I, Eisen A, Dawson L *et al* (2000). Effect of radiotherapy after breast-conserving treatment in women with breast cancer and germline BRCA1/2 mutations. *J Clin Oncol* **18:** 3360-9.

Powell SN (2005). T he roles of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in the cellular response to ionizing radiation. *Radiat Res* **163:** 699-700.

Ratnam K, Low J A (2007) . Current development of clinical inhibitors of p oly(ADP-ribose) pol ymerase in oncolog y. *Clin Cancer Res* **13:** 1383-8.

Ries LAG, Melbert D, K rapcho M, Stinchcom b DG, Howl ader N, Horner MJ *et al.* (2008). National Cancer Institute: Bethesda, MD.

Rio PG, Maurizis JC, Peffault de Latour M, Bignon YJ, Bernard-Gallon DJ (19 99). Quantification of BRCA1 protein in sporadic breast car cinoma with or without loss of heterozygosity of the BRCA1 gene. *Int J Cancer* **80:** 823-6.

Ruffner H, Joazeiro CA, Hemmati D, Hunter T, Verma IM (2001). Cancer-predisposing mutations within the RING do main of BRCA1: loss of ubiquitin protein ligase acti vity and protection from radiation hy persensitivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98:** 5134-9.

Russell JS, Brady K, Burgan WE, Cerra MA, Oswald KA, Cam phausen K *et al* (2003). Gleevec-mediated inhi bition of Rad51 expression and enhancement of tum or c ell radiosensitivity. *Cancer Res* **63**: 7377-83.

Sato K, Hayami R, Wu W, Nishikawa T, Nishikawa H, Okuda Y *et al* (2004). Nucleophosmin/B23 is a candidate substrate for the BRCA1- BARD1 ubiquitin ligase. *J Biol Chem* **279:** 30919-22.

Schreiber V, Ame JC, Dolle P, Schultz I, Rinaldi B, Fraulob V *et al* (2002). Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-2 (PARP-2) is required for efficient base excisio n DNA repair in association with PARP-1 and XRCC1. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 23028-36.

Scully R, Anderson SF, Chao DM, Wei W, Ye L, Young RA *et al* (1997). BRCA1 is a component of the RNA pol ymerase II holoenz yme. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94:** 5605-10.

Shen SX, Weaver Z, Xu X, Li C, Weinstein M, Chen L *et al* (1998). A targeted disruption of the murine Br cal gene causes ga mma-irradiation hypersensitivity and genetic instability. *Oncogene* **17**: 3115-24.

Smith TE, Lee D, Turner BC, Carter D, Haffty BG (2000). True recurrence vs. new prim ary ipsilateral breast tu mor r elapse: an a nalysis of clinical and pathologic differences a nd their implications in natural history, prognoses, and therapeutic management. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* **48**: 1281-9.

Sobhian B, Shao G, Lilli DR, Culh ane AC, Moreau LA, Xia B *et al* (2007). RAP8 0 targets BRCA1 to specific ubiquitin structures at DNA damage sites. *Science* **316**: 1198-202.

Somasundaram K, Zhang H, Zeng YX, Houvras Y, Peng Y, Wu GS *et al* (1997). Arrest of the cell cy cle by t he tum our-suppressor BRCA1 requires the CDK-inhibitor p21WA F1/CiP1. *Nature* **389:** 187-90.

Starita LM, Horwitz AA, Keogh MC, Ishioka C, Parvin JD, Chiba N (2005). BRCA1/BARD1 ubiquitinate phosphorylated RNA polymerase II. *J Biol Chem* **280**: 24498-505.

Takemura H, Rao VA, Sordet O, Furuta T, Miao ZH, Meng L *et al* (2006). Defective Mre11dependent activation o f Chk2 b y ataxia telangiectasia m utated in colorectal ca rcinoma cells in respo nse to replica tion-dependent DNA double strand breaks. *J Biol Chem* **281:** 30814-23.

Tibbetts RS, Bru mbaugh KM, William s JM, Sarkaria JN, Cliby WA, S hieh SY *et al* (1999). A role for ATR in the DNA damage-induced phosphorylation of p53. *Genes Dev* **13**: 152-7.

Veronesi U, Cascinelli N, Mariani L, Greco M, Saccozzi R, Luini A *et al* (2002). Twe nty-year follow-up of a rando mized study co mparing breast-conserving surgery with radical mastectomy for early breast canc er. *N Engl J Med* **347:** 1227-32.

Walsh T, Casadei S, Coats KH, Swisher E, Stray SM, Higgin s J *et al* (2006). Spe ctrum of mutations in BRCA1, B RCA2, CHE K2, and TP53 in families at high risk of breast cancer. *Jama* **295**: 1379-88.

Wang B, M atsuoka S, Ballif BA, Zhang D , Smogorzewska A, G ygi SP *et al* (200 7). Abraxas and RAP80 form a BRCA1 protein complex required for the DNA damage response. *Science* **316:** 1194-8.

Wang Y, Cortez D, Yazdi P, Neff N, Elledge SJ, Qin J (2000). BASC, a super complex of BRCA1-associated proteins involved in the recognition and repair of aberrant DNA structures. *Genes Dev* **14**: 927-39.

Wu LC, Wang ZW, Tsan JT, Spillm an MA, Phung A, Xu XL *et al* (1996). Identification of a RING protein that can interact in vivo with the BRCA1 gene product. *Nat Genet* **14:** 430-40.

Wu W, Nishikawa H, Hayami R, Sato K, Honda A, Aratani S *et al* (2007). BRCA1 ubiquitinates RPB8 in response to DNA damage. *Cancer Res* **67:** 951-8.

Xu X, Qiao W, Linke SP, Cao L, Li WM, Furth PA *et al* (2001). Genetic interactions between tumor suppressors Brca1 and p53 in apoptosis, cell cycle and tumorigenesis. *Nat Genet* **28**: 266-71.

Xu X, Weaver Z, Linke SP, Li C, Gotay J, Wang XW *et al* (1999). Centrosome amplification and

a defective G2-M cell c ycle checkpoint induce genetic instability in BR CA1 exon 11 isoform deficient cells. *Mol Cell* **3:** 389-95.

Yan J, Yang XP, Kim YS, Jetten AM (200 8). RAP80 responds to DNA damage induced by both ionizing radiation and UV irradiation and is phosphorylated at Ser 2 05. *Cancer Res* 68: 4269-76.

Yang Q, Sakurai T, Mori I, Yoshim ura G, Nakamura M, Naka mura Y *et al* (200 1). Prognostic significance of BRCA1 expression in Japanese sporadic breast carcinomas. *Cancer* **92**: 54-60.

Yap TA, Boss DS, Fong PC, Roelvink M, Tutt A, Car michael J *et al* (2 007). F irst i n hum an phase I pharm acokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) stud y of KU-0059 436 (Ku), a small molecule in hibitor of poly ADPribose polymerase (PARP) in cancer patients (p), including BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. *J Clin Oncol* **25:** A3529.

Yarden RI, Brody LC (1999). BRCA1 interacts with com ponents of the histone de acetylase complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96:** 4983-8.

Yarden RI, Pardo-Reoyo S, Sgagias M, Cowan KH, Brody LC (2002). BRCA1 regulates the G2/M check point by activating Chk1 kinase upon DNA damage. *Nat Genet* **30**: 285-9.

Zhong Q, Ch en CF, Li S, Chen Y, Wang CC, Xiao J *et al* (1999). Association of BRCA1 with the hRad50-hMre11-p95 complex and the DNA damage response. *Science* **285**: 747-50.

## Affiliations

- Xiaowei Chen Department of Medical Oncology Fox Chase Cancer Center 333 Cottman Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19111-2409, USA Tel: +1 215 214 4286 Fax: +1 215 728 2741 Xiaowei.Chen@fccc.edu
- Jamie L. Kistler<sup>a</sup> Department of Medical Oncology Fox Chase Cancer Center 333 Cottman Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19111-2409, USA
- Andrew K. Godwin Department of Medical Oncology Fox Chase Cancer Center 333 Cottman Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19111-2409, USA

<sup>a</sup>Currently employed with MedErgy Marketing, Yardley, PA.