Report No. D-2011-118

September 30, 2011

Inspector General

United States Department of Defense



Independent Auditor's Report on the Agreed-Upon Procedures for Reviewing the FY 2011 Civilian Payroll Withholding Data and Enrollment Information

Report Documentation Page	
----------------------------------	--

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2011	RED . to 00-00-2011		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Independent Auditor's Report on the Agreed-Upon Procedures for Reviewing the FY 2011 Civilian Payroll Withholding Data and				5b. GRANT NUMBER			
Enrollment Information				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
					5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORM Department of Defense Inspector General,400 Army Navy REPORT NULL Drive,Arlington,VA,22202 8. PERFORM					IG ORGANIZATION BER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)				
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	20			

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

Additional Copies

To obtain additional copies of this report, visit the Web site of the Department of Defense Inspector General at <u>http://www.dodig.mil/audit/reports</u> or contact the Secondary Reports Distribution Unit at (703) 604-8937 (DSN 664-8937) or fax (703) 604-8932.

Suggestions for Audits

To suggest ideas for or to request future audits, contact the Office of the Deputy Inspector General for Auditing at (703) 604-9142 (DSN 664-9142), fax (703) 604-8932 or by mail:

ODIG-AUD (ATTN: Audit Suggestions) Department of Defense Inspector General 400 Army Navy Drive (Room 801) Arlington, VA 22202-4704



To report fraud, waste, mismanagement, and abuse of authority.

Send written complaints to: Defense Hotline, The Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1900 Phone: 800.424.9098 e-mail: hotline@dodig.mil www.dodig.mil/hotline

Acronyms and Abbreviations Used in the Report and the Attachments

Combined Federal Campaign
Defense Finance and Accounting Service
Department of Energy
Environmental Protection Agency
Federal Employees Group Life Insurance
Federal Employees Retirement System
Department of Health and Human Services
National Guard Bureau
Office of Combined Federal Campaign Operations
Other Defense Organizations
Official Personnel File
Office of Personnel Management
Principal Combined Fund Organization
Retirement and Insurance Transfer System
Department of Veterans Affairs



INSPECTOR GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 400 ARMY NAVY DRIVE ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202-4704

September 30, 2011

MEMORANDUM FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND COMPTROLLER) ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE (FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND COMPTROLLER) CHIEF, NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU DIRECTOR, DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE DIRECTOR, DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY NAVAL INSPECTOR GENERAL AUDITOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY INSPECTOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY INSPECTOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES INSPECTOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS INSPECTOR GENERAL, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY INSPECTOR GENERAL, OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: Independent Auditor's Report on the Agreed-Upon Procedures for Reviewing the FY 2011 Civilian Payroll Withholding Data and Enrollment Information (Report No. D-2011-118)

We are providing this final report for your information and use.

We appreciate the courtesies extended to the staff. Please direct questions to me at (703) 601-5868 (DSN 329-5868).

Marsh

Patricia A. Marsh, CPA Assistant Inspector General Financial Management and Reporting



September 30, 2011

The Honorable Patrick E. McFarland Inspector General U.S. Office of Personnel Management Theodore Roosevelt Federal Building 1900 E Street NW, Room 6400 Washington, D.C. 20415-0001

Dear Mr. McFarland:

We performed the procedures described in the Attachment, which were agreed to by the Chief Financial Officer and the Inspector General of the U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM). We performed these procedures solely to assist in assessing the reasonableness of the employee withholdings and employer contributions that the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) reported on Standard Form 2812, "Report of Withholdings and Contributions for Health Benefits, Life Insurance, and Retirement," for the pay periods ending August 28, 2010; October 23, 2010; and February 26, 2011; and reported in the "Supplemental Semiannual Headcount Report," as of September 1, 2010, and March 1, 2011. The reports submitted by DFAS included information for the following entities listed in Appendix A of the Office of Management and Budget, Bulletin No. 07-04, "Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements," as amended: the Department of Defense (DoD), Department of Energy (DOE), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Using Audit Command Language software, we randomly selected a sample of 360 employees from the October 23, 2010, pay period from the 11 DFAS payroll data files (Army, Navy, Air Force, Other Defense Organizations [ODO], Overseas Army/DoD, Overseas DoD, Shipyard DoD, DOE, HHS, VA, and EPA). We compared the sample of 360 employees' pay and withholdings and agency contributions in the Defense Civilian Pay System to the documentary support in the Official Personnel Files (OPFs). Of the 360 OPFs, 180 represented DoD employees, 45 represented DOE employees, 45 represented HHS employees, 45 represented VA employees, and 45 represented EPA employees.

Subject: Independent Auditor's Report on the Agreed-Upon Procedures for Reviewing the FY 2011 Civilian Payroll Withholding Data and Enrollment Information (Project No. D2011-D000FP-0139.000)

Auditors from the DOE Inspector General performed the agreed-upon procedures that involved reviewing DOE OPFs. Auditors from the HHS Inspector General performed the agreed-upon procedures that involved reviewing HHS OPFs. We reviewed their working papers and determined that we can rely on their work.

We performed the agreed-upon procedures in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards, which incorporate financial audit and attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the OPM Chief Financial Officer and the OPM Inspector General. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

We were not engaged to express an opinion on whether the Health Benefits, Life Insurance, and Retirement contributions and withholdings and the enrollment information submitted by DFAS to OPM are reasonable and accurate. Accordingly, we did not conduct an examination, nor do we express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that we would have reported to you. We provided a discussion draft of this report to the entities listed in the transmittal memorandum.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the OPM Chief Financial Officer and OPM Inspector General and is not intended to be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures or have not taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes. However, the report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited; thus, we will post the report on our Web site and provide copies upon request.

Sincerely,

tricia & Marsh

Patricia A. Marsh, CPA Assistant Inspector General Financial Management and Reporting

Attachment: As stated

Attachment: Agreed-Upon Procedures Performed and Results

This section contains the OPM Agreed-Upon Procedures and the results of completing those procedures.

Overall Procedure

For employee benefit withholding and contributions, obtain the Agency Payroll Provider's September 2010 and March 2011 Semiannual Headcount Report submitted to OPM and a summary of Retirement and Insurance Transfer System (RITS) submissions for September 2010 and the current fiscal year. For each program (retirement, health, and life), select a total of three RITS submissions for September 2010 and the current fiscal year; two will coincide with the September 2010 and March 2011 Semiannual Headcount Report. Obtain payroll information for the periods covered by the RITS submissions selected.

For the Combined Federal Campaign (CFC) Agreed-Upon Procedures, effective for FY 2011, obtain the following documentation for the Federal agencies serviced by the Agency Payroll Provider:

a) A list of all field offices/duty stations in existence during the fall 2010 CFC solicitation period (September 1, 2010 through December 15, 2010) for each federal agency serviced. The list must include the county, city, state and zip code for the field office.

b) A list of all local CFC campaigns and the areas they cover. This list should be obtained directly from the Office of Personnel Management's (OPM) Office of CFC Operations (OCFCO) by sending an e-mail request to <u>cfc@opm.gov</u>. The subject line of the e-mail should be "Payroll Office AUPs-2010 CFC Campaign Location List Request."

c) A list of accounting codes used by the Agency Payroll Provider to identify each local CFC campaign. The list should include the accounting code, name of campaign, name of Principal Combined Fund Organization (PCFO) for that campaign, and address of PCFO.

d) A report of all employees with CFC deductions from the RITS submission selected to coincide with the March 2011 Semiannual Headcount. The report must include each employee's official duty station location and the agency payroll provider's accounting code identifying the campaign to which each employee's funds are being distributed.

Procedure 1.

Compare RITS submission data with payroll information by performing the following procedures (Note: For cross-servicing agencies, if the internal controls are the same for all agencies serviced, it is only necessary to perform this procedure for one agency.):

Procedure 1.a.

Recalculate the mathematical accuracy of the payroll information.

Procedure 1.b.

Recalculate the mathematical accuracy of each RITS submission for the payroll information selected in step 1.a.

Procedure 1.c.

Compare the employee withholding information at the aggregate level for Retirement, Health Benefits, and Life Insurance (as adjusted for reconciling items) shown on the payroll information obtained in step 1.a. to the related amounts shown on the RITS submission for the corresponding period.

Report any differences for each of the Retirement, Health Benefits, and Life Insurance (categories) for step 1.c. that are over 1 percent of the aggregate amount reported for each of the three categories. Obtain from management a management official name, an explanation, telephone number, and an email address for the differences above the 1-percent threshold.

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences greater than 1 percent for this comparison.

Procedure 2.

(See Procedures 2.a.-j.)

Procedure 2.a.

Randomly select a total of 25 individuals who were in the payroll system for all three of the RITS submissions selected above that meet <u>all</u> the following criteria:

- covered by the Civil Service Retirement System or the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS);
- enrolled in the Federal Employees Health Benefit Program;
- covered by Basic Life Insurance; and
- covered by at least one Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance (FEGLI) optional coverage (Option A, B or C).

Procedure 2.b

Obtain the following documents, either in electronic or hard copy format, from the OPF for each individual selected in step 2.a. Hard copies can be originals or certified copies.

- All Notifications of Personnel Actions (SF-50) covering the pay periods in the RITS submissions chosen;
- The Health Benefits Election Form (SF-2809) covering the pay periods in the RITS submissions chosen or, if applicable, obtain a report (via the agency personnel office) from the agency's automated system that allows participants to change benefits, (for example, Employee Express), for any Health Benefits transactions in that system for the individuals selected in step 2.a. (note: a new SF-2809 is needed only if an employee is changing health benefit plans, therefore, the form could be many years old); and
 - For Health Benefits, compare date of transaction with date on the certified copy of the SF-2809 or the agency's automated system report obtained above to identify whether the health benefit information to be used in the step 2.f. covers the pay periods in the RITS submissions chosen.
- The Life Insurance Election Form (SF-2817) covering the pay periods in the RITS submission chosen (note: a new SF-2817 is needed only if an employee is changing life insurance coverage, therefore the form could be many years old).

<u>Results</u>

We identified 12 documents from the Navy, National Guard Bureau (NGB), ODO, EPA, and VA that were either missing, incomplete, or processed late. DOE auditors identified two missing documents, and HHS auditors identified three missing documents. The following table identifies the number and type of documents missing, late, or incomplete from the respective organization.

Organization	SF-50	SF-2809	SF-2817
Navy			1
NGB			1
ODO		3	1
DOE			2
EPA			5
HHS			3
VA	1		

Number and Type of Missing, Late, or Incomplete Documents by Organization

Procedure 2.c.

For each individual selected in step 2.a., compare the base salary used for all payroll purposes and upon which withholdings and contributions generally are based to the base salary reflected on the employee's SF-50. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We found one difference for Army and one for VA. HHS auditors identified one difference. For these differences, the applicable SF-50 was processed late; DFAS had made retroactive pay adjustments to the pay system amounts; or Human Resources or DFAS management did not provide an adequate explanation.

Procedure 2.d.

For Retirement for each individual selected in step 2.a., compare the retirement plan code from the employee's SF-50 to the plan code used in the payroll system. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We did not find any differences for this comparison.

Procedure 2.e.

For each individual selected in step 2.a., calculate the retirement amount to be withheld and contributed for the plan code from the employee's SF-50, by multiplying the base salary from the employee's SF-50 by the official withholding and contribution rates required by law. Compare the calculated amounts to the actual amounts withheld and contributed for the retirement plan. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We found one difference for Army, one for EPA, and one for VA. HHS auditors identified one difference. For these differences, the applicable SF-50 was processed late; DFAS had made retroactive pay adjustments to the pay system amounts; or Human Resources or DFAS management did not provide an adequate explanation.

Procedure 2.f.

For Health Benefits for each individual selected in step 2.a., compare the employee withholdings and agency contributions to the official subscription rates issued by OPM for the plan and option elected by the employee, as documented by a Health Benefits Election Form (SF-2809) in the employee's OPF or automated system that allows the participant to change benefits (for example, Employee Express). Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We found three differences for ODO. The applicable SF-2809s were missing.

Procedure 2.g.

For Life Insurance for each individual selected in step 2.a., confirm that Basic Life Insurance was elected by the employee by inspecting the Life Insurance Election Form (SF-2817) documented in the employee's OPF. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We did not find any differences for this comparison.

Procedure 2.h.

For each individual selected in step 2.a., calculate the withholding and contribution amounts for Basic Life Insurance using the following:

- For <u>employee withholdings</u>: Round the employee's annual base salary up to the nearest thousand dollars and add \$2,000. Divide this total by 1,000 and multiply by the rate required by law.
- For <u>agency contributions</u>: Divide the employee withholdings calculated above by two.

Compare the calculated employee withholdings and agency contributions to the actual amounts withheld and contributed for Basic Life Insurance. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We found one difference for Army and one for VA. For these differences, DFAS had made retroactive pay adjustments to the pay system amounts or the applicable SF-50 was processed late.

Procedure 2.i.

Also, for Life Insurance for each individual selected in step 2.a., compare optional coverage elected as documented on the SF-2817 in the employee's OPF to the optional coverage documented in the payroll system. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We identified one difference for the Navy, one for NGB, one for ODO, and five for EPA. DOE auditors identified two differences for DOE. HHS auditors identified three differences. The applicable SF-2817s were missing or incomplete; or Human Resources or DFAS management did not provide an adequate explanation for the difference.

Procedure 2.j.

For each individual selected in step 2.a., calculate the withholding amounts for optional life insurance using the following:

• For Option A: Locate the employee's age group using the age groups provided for Option A in the FEGLI Program Booklet. The withholding amount to be used is the rate listed in the FEGLI Program Booklet for that age group.

Compare the calculated amount to the amount withheld for Option A Life Insurance. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

- For Option B: Inspect the SF-2817 to obtain the number of multiples chosen for Option B. Locate the employee's age group using the age groups provided for Option B in the FEGLI Program Booklet. Round the employee's annual rate of basic pay up to the next 1000, divide by 1000, and multiply by the rate for the respective age group. Multiply this amount by the number of multiples chosen for Option B Life Insurance. Compare the calculated amount to the amount withheld for Option B Life Insurance. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.
- For Option C: Inspect the SF-2817 to obtain the number of multiples chosen for Option C. Locate the employee's age group using the age groups provided for Option C in the FEGLI Program Booklet. Multiply the rate for the age group by the number of multiples chosen for Option C Life Insurance. Compare the calculated amount to the amount withheld for Option C Life Insurance. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We identified one difference for the Army, one for the Navy, one for NGB, one for ODO, five for EPA, and one for VA. DOE auditors identified two differences. HHS auditors identified three differences. For these differences, the applicable SF-2817s were missing or incomplete or the SF-50 was processed late; DFAS had made retroactive pay adjustments to the pay system amounts; or Human Resources or DFAS management did not provide an adequate explanation.

Procedure 3.

Randomly select a total of 10 employees who have <u>no</u> Health Benefits withholdings from the payroll information corresponding to the three RITS submissions selected above and perform the following for each employee selected.

Procedure 3.a.

Obtain SF-2809s covering the pay periods in the RITS submissions chosen, either in electronic or hard copy format, from the selected employee's OPF or, if applicable, obtain a report (via the agency personnel office) from the agency's automated system that allows participants to change benefits, (for example, Employee Express), for any Health Benefit transactions in that system for the individuals selected. Hard copies can be originals or certified copies. Inspect the documentation (that is, SF-2809 or the agency's system-generated report) to identify whether health benefits coverage was not elected. This can be identified in the following ways:

- absence of an SF-2809 in the OPF and no election of coverage made through the agency's automated system that allows participants to change benefits (for example, Employee Express); or
- an SF-2809 in the OPF with Section E checked (indicating cancellation of coverage) and no later election of coverage through the agency's automated system that allows participants to change benefits (for example, Employee Express); or
- cancellation of coverage through the agency's automated system that allows participants to change benefits (for example, Employee Express) and no later election of coverage with an SF-2809.

Procedure 3.b.

Compare the result in step 3.a. to the RITS submissions. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

We identified one difference for NGB, one for ODO, and one for VA. The applicable SF-2809s or the SF-2810s were missing; or Human Resources or DFAS management did not provide an adequate explanation for the difference.

Procedure 4.

Randomly select a total of 10 employees who have <u>no</u> Life Insurance withholdings from the payroll information corresponding to the three RITS submissions selected above and perform the following for each employee selected.

Procedure 4.a.

Obtain the SF-2817s covering the pay periods in the RITS submissions chosen, either in electronic or hard copy format, from the selected employee's OPF. Hard copies can be originals or certified copies. Inspect the SF-2817 to identify that the employee waived or cancelled Basic Life Insurance coverage.

Procedure 4.b.

Compare the result in step 4.a. to the RITS submissions. Report any differences resulting from this step and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

DOE auditors identified one difference. The SF-2817 was missing.

Procedure 5.

Calculate the headcount reflected on the September 2010 and March 2011 Semiannual Headcount Report selected, as follows.

Procedure 5.a.

Obtain existing payroll information (from step 1.a.) supporting each Supplemental Semiannual Headcount report. If existing payroll data is not available, obtain a payroll system query that summarizes detailed payroll data supporting each Supplemental Semiannual Headcount Report, as follows:

- Benefit Category (see Semiannual Headcount Report).
- Dollar Amount of withholdings and contributions.
- Number Enrolled (deductions made/no deductions).
- Central Personnel Data File Code.
- Aggregate Base Salary.

Procedure 5.b.

Recalculate the Headcount reflected on each Semiannual Headcount Report. If an electronic file is not available, a suggested method of recalculating the Headcount is as follows: (1) estimate the number of employees per payroll register page by counting the employees listed on several pages, (2) count the number of pages in the payroll register, and (3) multiply the number of employees per page by the number of pages, or count (using a computer audit routine) the number of employees on the payroll data file for the period.

Procedure 5.c.

Compare the payroll information obtained in step 5.a. and the calculated headcount from step 5.b. to the information shown on each respective Semiannual Headcount Report.

Procedure 5.d.

Report any differences (for example, gross rather than net) greater than 2 percent between the headcount reporting on each respective agency Semiannual Headcount Report and payroll information from step 5.a. and the calculated Headcount from step 5.b. Obtain from management a management official name, telephone number, an e-mail address, and an explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences greater than 2 percent for this comparison.

Procedure 6.

Calculate employer and employee contributions for Retirement, Health Benefits, and Life Insurance as follows:

Procedure 6.a.

Calculate Retirement withholdings and contributions for the three pay periods selected in step 1.a., as follows:

Procedure 6.a.i.

Multiply the Civil Service Retirement System and FERS payroll base by the withholding and employer contribution rates required by law.

Procedure 6.a.ii.

Compare the calculated totals from step 6.a.i. to the related amounts shown on the RITS submissions. Report any differences (for example, gross rather than net) between the calculated amounts and the amounts reported on the RITS submissions greater than 5 percent of the amounts on the RITS submission, and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences greater than 5 percent for this comparison with the exception of our Civil Service Retirement System agency contribution calculation for pay period ending August 28, 2010, for the Overseas Army/DoD payroll office. The difference of \$24,583 occurred because an employee was incorrectly coded for FERS instead of Civil Service Retirement System.

Procedure 6.b.

Calculate employee withholdings and employer contributions for Health Benefits for the three pay periods selected in step 1.a., as follows:

Procedure 6.b.i.

Multiply the number of employees enrolled in each Health Benefits plan and plan option by the employee withholdings and employer contributions for the plan and option.

Procedure 6.b.ii.

Sum the totals in step 6.b.i. and compare the result with the Health Benefit withholding and contribution amounts shown on the RITS submissions. Report any differences (for example, gross rather than net) between the calculated amounts and the amounts reported on the RITS submissions greater than 5 percent of the amounts on the RITS submission, and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences greater than 5 percent for this comparison.

Procedure 6.c.

Calculate the Basic Life Insurance employee withholdings and employer contributions for the three pay periods selected in step 1.a., as follows:

Procedure 6.c.i.

Obtain a payroll system query from Agency Payroll Provider personnel to obtain the total number of employees with Basic Life Insurance coverage and the aggregate annual basic pay for all employees with Basic Life Insurance.

Procedure 6.c.ii.

For employee withholdings: Add the product of 2,000 times the number of employees with Basic Life Insurance coverage from step 6.c.i. above to the aggregate annual basic pay for all employees with Basic Life Insurance from step 6.c.i. above to calculate the estimated total Basic Life Insurance coverage. Divide this calculated total by 1,000 and multiply by the withholding rate required by law. The Life Insurance withholding rates can be found in the FEGLI Program Booklet on OPM's website.

Procedure 6.c.iii.

Compare the result in step 6.c.ii. to the withholdings for Basic Life Insurance coverage reported on the RITS submission. Report any difference (for example, gross rather than net) between the estimate and the amount of withholdings reported on the RITS submission greater than 5 percent of the amounts on the RITS submission, and obtain management's explanation for the difference.

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences greater than 5 percent for this comparison.

Procedure 6.c.iv.

For agency contributions: Divide the results of step 6.c.ii. by two—this approximates agency contributions, which are one-half of employee withholdings. Compare this result to the amount reported on the RITS submission. Report any differences (for example, gross rather than net) between the estimated amount and the actual amount reported on the RITS submission greater than 5 percent of the amounts on the RITS submission, and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences greater than 5 percent for this comparison.

Procedure 6.d.

Calculate the Option A, Option B and Option C Life Insurance coverage withholdings for the three pay periods selected by using detail payroll reports used to reconcile the RITS reports in Step 1. In addition to the information used for step 1, the reports should include the employee's date of birth, annual rate of basic pay, and number of multiples selected for Option B and C. Note: While similar to step 2.j., the calculation at this step is for the entire amount reported on the RITS submissions for the three pay periods selected, as opposed to the sample of 25 employees in step 2.j.

Procedure 6.d.i.

Multiply the number of employees in each age group by the appropriate rate for Option A in accordance with the rates for age groups provided in the FEGLI Program Booklet.

Procedure 6.d.ii.

Compare the result in step 6.d.i. to the amounts for Option A reported on the RITS submissions. Report any differences (for example, gross rather than net) greater than 2 percent of the amounts on the RITS submission, and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences greater than the 2-percent threshold for this Option A comparison with the exception of the Overseas Army/DoD payroll office difference of \$115 for the pay period ending February 26, 2011. DFAS stated that the difference for this payroll office was primarily attributable to retroactive pay adjustments.

Procedure 6.d.iii.

Segregate the reports for Option B and Option C insurance into the age groups shown in the FEGLI Program Booklet. For Option B, round the employee's annual rate of basic pay up to the next 1000, divide by 1000, multiply by the rate for the age group, multiply this by the number of multiples:

(Annual rate of basic pay (rounded up) /1000*rate*multiples).

For Option C, multiply the rate for the age group by the number of multiples chosen for each employee.

Procedure 6.d.iv.

Compare the result in step 6.d.iii. to the amounts for Option B and Option C, respectively, reported on the RITS submissions. Report any differences (for example, gross rather than net) greater than 2 percent of the amounts on the RITS submission for Option B or Option C, and obtain management's explanation for the differences.

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences greater than 2 percent for this comparison for Option B except for the Overseas Army/DoD payroll office difference of \$4,517 for the pay period ending February 26, 2011. DFAS stated that some of this difference was attributable to FEGLI refunds and debt collections. DFAS could not adequately explain the remaining difference.

There were differences for Option C, which occurred in each of the three pay periods selected. For pay period ending August 28, 2010, the Army/DoD and Overseas DoD payroll offices had differences of \$7,250 and \$1,000 respectively, over the 2-percent threshold. For pay period ending October 23, 2010, the ODO payroll office had a difference of \$3,593 over the 2-percent threshold. For pay period ending February 26, 2011, Army/DoD and Overseas Army/DoD showed differences of \$12,548 and \$542 respectively, over the 2-percent threshold. DFAS management explained that these differences were primarily attributable to retroactive FEGLI deductions.

Procedure 7.

Compare the list of field offices/duty stations to the list of local CFC campaigns obtained from OPM's OCFCO.

Procedure 7.a.

Determine in which campaign each field office/duty station is located. (Note: It is possible for a field office/duty station to be in a location with no local CFC campaign.)

<u>Results</u>

There were no differences for this comparison.

Procedure 8.

Compare the list of accounting codes to the identified campaigns for each field office/duty station.

Procedure 8.a.

Determine the accounting code for each field office/duty station.

Procedure 8.b.

Determine if the name of the campaign, the PCFO and address of the PCFO in the Agency Payroll Provider system agree to the information for that field office/duty station on the list of local CFC campaigns obtained from OPM's OCFCO.

<u>Results</u>

We determined that the name of the campaign, PCFO, and address of the PCFO in the Defense Civilian Pay System agreed with the OPM list of CFC campaign codes, except for 22 out of 220 Defense Civilian Pay System codes. DFAS personnel stated that they would update the codes in the Defense Civilian Pay System.

Procedure 9.

Sort the report of all employees with CFC deductions by Official Duty Station.

Procedure 9.a.

Compare the Official Duty Stations to the campaigns identified for those locations.

Procedure 9.b.

Compare the accounting codes for each employee with CFC deductions to the accounting code identified for that employee's Official Duty Station.

• Determine if this agrees to the accounting code identified for that field office/duty station.

<u>Results</u>

We determined that 1,848 out of 179,866 employees with CFC deductions for pay period ending February 26, 2011, had accounting codes for CFC campaigns that differed from the accounting codes corresponding to their duty stations. DFAS could not provide an explanation for the differences. DFAS stated that each customer service representative from every affected Human Resource office would have to be contacted to get an explanation for each difference.



Inspector General Department of Defense