

Military Operations Research Society Symposium
Social Sciences Underpinnings of Complex Operations
October 20, 2010

**“*What* is the problem? *Where* is the
work? Getting to the bottom of social action”**

Mark Addleson
School of Public Policy,
George Mason University


Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 20 OCT 2010		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 'What is the problem? Where is the work? Getting to the bottom of social action'				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) George Mason University, School of Public Policy, 4400 University Dr, Fairfax, VA, 22030				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Social Science Underpinnings of Complex Operations 18-21 October 2010; George Mason University, Arlington Campus. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Organizing for action: It's *not* the structure



From: a US Central Command briefing from 'Military Victory to Strategic Success' in Iraq

Source: Thomas E. Ricks, 2006, *Fiasco: The American Military Adventure in Iraq*, New York, The Penguin Press

'What is the problem?' 'Where is the problem?'

To policy analysts, organization researchers, and others

What is the problem is meant as both question(s) and a statement

- **The questions:** What are the problems we're dealing with? What kinds of problems are these?
- **As a statement:** We're dealing with complex social environments, but, when it comes to getting things done, we're not looking at the real issues.

Social researchers, analysts, policy makers, and managers operate in a make-believe world and deal with imaginary problems.

Where is the problem:

The line I'm going to take is that *if we ask where the problems are* we'll come to see *what the problems are* – the kinds of issues we're dealing with and how to get to grips with them

Problems of the 'old' and 'new' professional

"The professional's job was once seen as solving an assortment of problems that *appeared to be definable, understandable, and consensual* [meaning there was consensus about what the problems were].

He [*sic*] was hired to eliminate those conditions that predominant opinion judged undesirable [i.e. to solve the problem]. His record has been quite spectacular of course....

But ... we have been turning our attention to ... [problems] that are much more stubborn....

... [Conventional] professionalized cognitive and occupational styles [approaches to and methods of research]...based on Newtonian mechanistic physics, are not readily adapted to contemporary conceptions of interacting open systems and to contemporary concerns with equity [rather than efficiency]."

What and Where is the Problem?

“With arrogant confidence, the early systems analysts pronounced themselves ready to take on anyone’s perceived problem, diagnostically to discover its hidden character, and then, having exposed its true nature, skillfully to excise its root causes ... By now we are all beginning to realize that **one of the most intractable problems is that of defining problems** (of knowing what distinguishes an observed condition from a desired condition) and **of locating problems** (finding where in the complex causal networks the trouble really lies).”

Enlightenment thinking is coming apart

Via Emergence, complexity, chaos theory: The world is no clockwork. It is neither linear nor predictable

Via the interpretive tradition in philosophy: The world as we know it isn't 'out there'. People make meaning of circumstances and events – **give meaning to the world** ('social constructionism) – and what they do (their actions') reflects those meanings.

'Social problems' have to do with 'social action' and how *different* people make meaning. To understand the problems we have to understand how they see things and the connections between what they see and do.

When people *set problems* they organize

- “In real-world practice... problems do not present themselves to the practitioners as givens.[A] practitioner must ... **make sense of an uncertain situation that initially makes no sense**. When professionals consider what road to build, for example, they deal usually with a **complex and ill-defined situation** in which geographic, topological, financial, economic, and political issues are all mixed up together....
- *It is this sort of situation that professionals are coming increasingly to see as central to their practice.They are coming to recognize that ... [their problems are not technical ones].”*
- [T]hey set the problems. **Problem setting is a process in which, interactively, we name the things to which we will attend and frame the context in which we will attend to them.**


Adapted from Donald Schön (1983), *The Reflective Practitioner*, New York, Basic Books. p.40

Looking in on a group (i.e. 'stakeholders' or 'participants'), in conversation, 'setting their problems'




They're talking about what's going on, what to do.... Asking questions, making proposals, and taking decisions, they're sharing knowledge, negotiating meaning, *organizing*.

The idea of a social space



Together, they create a **social space** (equal emphasis on both words) that 'holds' them and their conversations, influences what they say and, ultimately, what they do

A social space: 'Inside' the work of organizing



What's 'in' a social space?

A social space is 'shaped' – influenced –
by

- Relationships
- Attitudes
- Beliefs
- Values
- Interests


Influencing, amongst other things, their
commitment to the work and each other.

The boundaries in social spaces

There are invisible 'boundaries' in their social space that have to do with their relationships, attitudes, values, interests, beliefs.... (and their space is a potential boundary to 'outsiders' joining the conversation).

- Are they generous about sharing knowledge, open to learning and able to convince one another about how to proceed, so they move to action together, with a sense of a shared purpose and joint commitment?
- Or do they act without broad agreement and support, either because they're competitive, guarded, or distrustful, or because they just haven't had, or made, the time to find out what others think or expect?

They represent various political groupings at peace talks



What is their social space going to 'look' like?
What are their relationships, interests, priorities?
What kinds of conversations will they have?

The work of organizing

To take action (get things done) they have to 'get organized'

Four interwoven threads to the work of organizing:


- Making meaning of the situation at hand
- Creating work (deciding what to do)
- Building networks and negotiating boundaries
- Aligning for action

This is a deeply social process

It is what Ron Heifetz calls '*adaptive work*'. It is all about values, beliefs, attitudes, relationships, ...

Have you done all this? Then you've 'set the problem' and are ready to tackle it

Where are the problems? In the 'space between'



The problems belong to the group. They're **both created and resolved collectively**, in their interaction (meaning making), in the process of asking questions, making proposals, taking decisions.

**'Tame' (technical) problems
are 'out there,' in systems,
structure, tools, etc. They're
things we can see, measure,
...**

Implications

To see the problems (what are the problems?)....

- We have to **get 'inside' the work**, where the action is, and make meaning of how others make meaning of what is going on and what they're doing.
- This is the **'view from practice'**, the view of a **participant**, where you're 'inside' the social spaces, 'in' the conversations..
- Most social research, in particular research using quantitative methods, is based on the **'view from the top'**. A view from 'outside'. A view of structures, systems, rules, budgets....

Paying attention to the boundaries

No matter how stable or certain its boundaries... **a territory is never totally discrete but can be connected to any other – anything other.** A territory cannot be understood independently of other territories that it adjoins, only in connection with them. However, connection is not the simple interlocutor between separate territories, **rather they are the “outline” of a third position, a position in and between;** never fixed, always moving, always in the middle, always becoming one territory or another without ever achieving totality”.

Wood, M. (1999). "Cyborg: A Design for Life in the Borderlands." *Emergence* 1(3): 92-104.

'Get the beat'

Before you disturb the system in any way, watch how it behaves. If it's a social system, watch it work.

Learn its history. Ask people who've been around a long time to tell you what has happened.....

Starting with the behavior of the system forces you to focus on facts, not theories. It keeps you from falling too quickly into your own beliefs or misconceptions, or those of others

Starting with the behavior of the system directs one's thoughts to dynamic, not static analysis – not only to "what's wrong?" but also to "how did we get there?" and "what behavior modes are possible?" and "if we don't change direction, where are we going to end up?"