AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-05-C-0004

TITLE: Targeted Therapies for Myeloma and Metastatic Bone Cancers

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Gianny Rossini

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Southwest Research Institute San Antonio, TX 78228

REPORT DATE: June, 2009

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Ft. Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT:

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
data needed, and completing and reviewing this colle this burden to Department of Defense, Washington H	ction of information. Send comments reg eadquarters Services, Directorate for Info iding any other provision of law, no perso	garding this burden estimate or an prmation Operations and Reports on shall be subject to any penalty	y other aspect of this o (0704-0188), 1215 Jef	ching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the ollection of information, including suggestions for reducing ferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- th a collection of information if it does not display a currently	
1. REPORT DATE (<i>DD-MM-YYYY</i>) 01-06-2009	2. REPORT TYPE Annual			DATES COVERED (From - To) .8 Jan 2008 - 17 May 2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Targeted Therapies for Myeloma and Metasta		atic Bone Cance:		CONTRACT NUMBER B1XWH-05-C-0004	
		5b	. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Neal Vail, Ph.D.; J. Gianny Rossini			. PROJECT NUMBER		
email: GRossini@swri.org				TASK NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA		-	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
Southwest Research Institute					
San Antonio, TX 78228					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGE US Army Medical Research Materiel Command	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
Ft. Detrick, MD 21702-5	012		11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY S	ATEMENT				
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
 14. ABSTRACT Developed methods to radiolabel polymer nanoparticles; to our knowledge, this is the first time this has been accomplished. Quantified functional groups available for ligand conjugation using OPA amino reagent. Developed alternative assay to confirm affinity of bone-targeting nanoparticles to hydroxyapatite substrates. Demonstrated <i>in vitro</i> stability of radiolabeled nanoparticles. Successfully transferred our nanoparticle preparation protocols to another facility to support <i>in vivo</i> biodistribution studies. Started <i>in vivo</i> biodistribution studies to validate ability of our radiolabeled nanoparticles to be imaged for up to 48 hours and further confirming our protocol methods to study bone-targeting nanoparticle biodistribution via radio-imaging. 					
15. SUBJECT TERMS No subject terms provided.					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC		
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT U U	c. THIS PAGE ଅ	טט	18	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	3
II.	BODY	3
III.	KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS	14
IV.	REPORTABLE OUTCOMES	14
V.	CONCLUSIONS	14
VI.	REFERENCES	15

APPENDIX I

I. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this project is to determine in preclinical studies, the potential of skeletally targeted proteasome inhibitors as an efficacious and selective treatment for myeloma. We have found that several proteasome inhibitors are effective against both human and murine myeloma c ells in c ulture. H owever, as with a ny proteasome inhibitor, t here a re s erious concerns over t he pot ential s ystemic e ffects and t oxicity. O ur h ypothesis i s t hat bone - targeting nanocarriers c an preferentially accumulate i n the skeleton and locally release proteasome inhibitors to impair the capacity of myeloma cells to survive and grow *in vivo*, thereby reducing the formation and growth of tumor-induced lytic bone lesions. Proteasome inhibitors a re ot herwise not s elective t o bone a nd t heir therapeutic-toxic w indow m ay b e narrow w hen a dministered s ystemically. T he s cope of t his project i s t o va lidate our hypothesis. The major tasks are:

- 1) Formulate and characterize drug-containing, bone-targeting nanocapsules.
- 2) Determine the *in vivo* biodistribution of bone-targeting nanocapsules.
- 3) Evaluate the efficacy of bone-targeted delivery of proteasome inhibitors on myeloma tumor progression using the myeloma 5TGM1 murine model.

The outcomes of this research will be significant. The study will demonstrate the preferential biodistribution of nanocarriers specifically designed to target and adhere to bone matrices. It will further show that these same nanocapsules can selectively deliver a specific and potent proteasome inhi bitor to s keletal s ites t o act as an anti-myeloma agent. T argeted bone delivery ha s s everal po tential be nefits, i ncluding reduced s ystemic exposure, i ncreased efficacy i n t he t argeted m icroenvironment, a nd t he ul timate oppor tunity t o r everse catastrophic disease processes. Furthermore, targeted delivery to bone has several additional significant application opportunities in the areas of os teoporosis, fracture he aling, cartilage repair, and tissue engineering.

II. BODY

The project is broken down into the following tasks:

- 1) Formulate and characterize drug-containing, bone-targeting nanocarriers
- 2) Determine the *in vivo* biodistribution of bone-targeting nanocarriers
- 3) Demonstrate the efficacy of bone-targeted delivery of proteasome inhibitors on myeloma tumor progress

Task 1 was scheduled to occur during years 1 and 2.

Task 2 was scheduled to occur during years 2 and 3 with some overlap with Task 1. Task 3 is scheduled to occur during the last year and half of the project.

- 1) Task 1 is focused on the development of the bone-targeting nanoparticles and is broken down into the following subtasks:
 - Selection of proteasome inhibitors for *in vivo* studies. Complete and reported in the first annual report.
 - Formulation and characterization of bone-targeting nanoparticles. Complete and discussed in the first and second annual report.
 - Demonstration of adhesion of bone-targeting nanoparticles to bone-like substrates *in vitro*. Preliminarily discussed in the second annual report and discussed further in this annual report.
 - Formulation of proteasome inhibitors into bone-targeting nanoparticles. Preliminarily discussed in the second annual report. Additional work was postponed until completion of biodistribution studies discussed in Task 2.

The bulk of the work during this year of the project was focused on further development and characterization of bone-targeting nanoparticles. This consisted of the following tasks:

- a) Radiolabeling of nanoparticles
- b) Quantifying ligand attachment to nanoparticles
- c) In vitro binding of ligated nanoparticles to bone-like surfaces
- d) Synthesis of targeting polymers
- e) Cell based testing of drug loaded nanoparticles

Formulation of Nanoparticles

Nanoparticles were prepared by emulsification method, characterized for particle size as a function of polymer concentration (Figure 1), or as a function of PEG concentration (Figure 2). Figure 3 shows a SEM microphotograph to confirm the particle size. We determined zeta potential (surface charge of nanoparticles) as a function of PEG concentration and the results are shown in Figure 4. The zeta potential decreases with increasing concentration of PEG. Particles made with 100% PLGA-PEG have the less negative charge and are almost neutral. Cryoprotectants were required to avoid particle agglomeration during lyophilization. Figure 5 shows the change in nanoparticle size as a function of a cry-protecting agent (F-68) ratio to PLA-PEG.



Figure 1. Nanoparticles size as a function of polymer concentration



Figure 2. Nanoparticle size as a function of PEG concentration







Figure 4. Zeta potential as a function of PEG concentration



Figure 5. Nanoparticle size after freeze drying as a function of the of F68 to PLA-PEG ratio (cryo- preservation agent)

Radiolabeling of Nanoparticles

During t he past year w e f urther i mproved our r adiolabeling protocols us ing a multiple variable approach that included reducing labeling time, decreasing particle size, increasing PEG content, and reducing surface charge. All of these strategies to optimize radiolabeling will generate better material for the *in vivo* animal studies.

Our initial approach was to develop m ethods of r adiolabeling of nanoparticles (Task 2.1, Proposal S ection 5.5.1) in pr eparation for bi odistribution s tudies pl anned i n Task 2. W e selected the gamma emitter ^{99m}Tc, with a 6.5 hr half-life, based on the experience of our collaborators at The University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio (UTHSCSA). ^{99m}Tc is h ydrophilic and provided as an a queous s olution from the c yclotron s ource. W e originally proposed chelating this radionuclide with a lipophilic chelator, mirroring methods to label lipos omes, to facilitate e ncapsulation using our s imple pr ecipitation protocol. However, this approach was not successful. After examining several commercial chelators,

we found both -- the chelation and the encapsulation efficiency to be very dependent on the 'quality' of the radionuclide. ^{99m}Tc is subject to oxidation, which affects chelation efficiency and, in turn, affects encapsulation efficiency. In most cases, encapsulation efficiency was less than 20%, which we deemed insufficient. Subsequently, we explored the conjugation of ^{99m}Tc t o reduced proteins, s uch a s bovi ne s erum a lbumin (BSA), t o i mprove t he loading efficiency into nanoparticles (Figure 6). This yielded encapsulation efficiencies of 90% or greater. Payload stability was monitored over a 24-hour period and was found to be nearly 100%. Figure 7 shows *in vitro* stability in serum and in PBS, and Figure 8 demonstrates *in vivo* stability of non-targeted nanoparticles (most of the label is in the liver with a very small amount seen in the bladder; this fraction representing free ^{99m}Tc *in vivo*.

However, we had concerns a bout r esidual r educing agent us ed t o a ctivate t he pr otein interfering with coupling of bone-targeting ligands to functionalized nanoparticles. Therefore, we modified the radiolabeling method to use a commercial reducing gel that can be removed from the protein preparation by simple centrifugation. The newly reduced, clean protein c an t hen be us ed t o c omplex t he r adionuclide f or e ncapsulation us ing t he s ame procedure described previously. T he encapsulation efficiency is unaffected by this slightly altered a pproach. F urthermore, this modified m ethod a voids a lengthy column s eparation process that diminishes the amount of available radioactivity.



Figure 6. Radio labeling of nanoparticles using oxidized, fresh or conjugated Tc99 into BSA



Figure 7. Relative stability of ^{99m}Tc label nanoparticles in vitro after 24 hours incubation



Figure 8. Stability of ^{99m}Tc nanoparticles in vivo measured by SPECT technique after 2hours post-injection

Ligand Quantification

After testing different methods of direct ligand quantification, we finally developed our own procedure t o qua ntify t he l igand content directly us ing a cid h ydrolysis and colorimetric detection, a m ethod similar to amino acid analysis. On previous a ttempts w e tr ied unsuccessful NMR techniques to quantify the ligand. In retrospect, the size of the polymer relative to the small size of the ligand, made that approach very difficult.

This year we have been using acid hydrolysis followed by primary a mine detection with OPA reagent (O-Phtalaldehyde). In this method, nanoparticles are treated by controlled acid hydrolysis a procedure similar to amino acid analysis of proteins. The released amino ligand is quantified using a sensitive fluorescent amino terminus reagent (OPA). This method was applied on nanoparticles followed by surface ligand conjugation using malamide chemistry or on na noparticles m ade with polymer with targeting ligand already conjugated on t he starting materials before nanoparticle formation.

Using the above procedure, we have been able to detect the presence of the ligand in all of the targeting polymers synthesized so far. Using this method we found that the conjugation efficiency was a variable that depends on the route of synthesis, and it varies from the low 5% for ASP4 ligand to 25% efficiency for BP ligand. This method is now a standard technique f or ligand q uantification and we u sed this t echnique t o g uide t he ligand conjugation.

Hydroxyapatite Binding (HAp Binding)

As a first approach, we created ligand-nanoparticle conjugates containing f unctionalized surfaces. After a cleanup procedure, the nanoparticles a retested f or H Ap binding. To improve the sensitivity of t his technique, we used radiolabeled nanoparticles. One add ed benefit is that being able to demonstrate HAp binding (using the same technique and particle formulation that later will be tested *in vivo*) will reduce the chances of artifacts and non-reproducible r esults. So far, we have s hown preferential a dhesion of 1 igand-containing nanoparticles to hydroxyapatite substrates *in vitro*.

On a second approach, nanoparticles made with polymers already containing ligands (PLGA-PEG-BP and PLGA-PEG-ASP4) bl ended with di fferent r atios of PLGA-PEG, have be en used to evaluate HAp in vitro binding. This approach was developed this year thanks to the successful synthesis of the starting materials as discussed below (see synthesis). However, the binding experiments performed using this approach are negative so far. It is possible that the s urface ligand exposure us ing pr e-conjugated pol ymers i s much lower t han pos t conjugation in already-made nanoparticles. We still have several polymers that need to be tested before reaching a final decision on using this second approach for *in vivo* testing. Figure 9 and Figure 10 show *in vitro* binding data using post conjugation methods (particles are made with a PLGA-PEG-MAL); the reactivity of malamide (MAL) is used post particle formation to conjugate the bone targeting ligand. This approach seems to be working and is the method used for all the *in vivo* studies carried out up to now, as shown below. Figure 9 shows binding to H A u sing a bisphosphonate (BP) or poly aspartic a cid (ASP6)^{99m} Tc labeling as a t racer. Figure 10 shows HA binding results for A SP6 ligand using a dye molecule as a t racer. The two methods demonstrate specific binding with some level of background binding (clear bars). The background binding can be due to the entrapment of nanoparticles with HA particles.

It is important to mention that using pre-made polymers with ligand could in theory simplify all the development of this technology. This was contemplated in the initial proposal; however, this approach has been more difficult than it was anticipated.



Figure 9. In vitro nanoparticle binding for two different ligands (BP, Bisphosphonate and ASP6 polymeric form of aspartic acid).



Figure 10. Hydroxyapatite binding using a dye as a tracer, (there is a small (~5%) binding of the non-targeted control)

Synthesis

Our ini tial w ork to s ynthesis of PLGA-PEG-BP and PLGA-PEG-ASP4 had generated polymers with a 5-25% yield as quantified using the OPA technique. To improve the yield, we developed alternative r outes that s hould r esult in even higher efficiency of the final product.

We feel that we have been able to generate and test multiple polymer chemistries will provide long run benefits, especially when we start with to animals studies. For example, different PEG tether lengths could make a big difference on the ligand exposure, something already described in related literature. Also, the ability to provide ready to use polymers as off-the-shelf reagents with the targeting ligand already conjugated will provide a simplified solution for bone targeting technologies.

Cell Based Testing of Velcade-Loaded Nanoparticles

Recently, the pr oteasome i nhibitor, bortezomib (Velcade), approved to treat mul tiple myeloma i n hum ans, has be come com mercially available f or r esearch purposes. This compound was loaded on na noparticles and tested *in vitro* using the 5TMG1 myeloma cell line. This c ell line w as obt ained from our collaboration with Dr. Greg M undy's lab at Vanderbilt in Nashville, Tennessee. Preliminary results indicate that Velcade remains active after encapsulation (Figure 11). Figure 12 shows free versus encapsulated drug measured by cytotoxicity a ssay. Also, controlled r elease i s shown i n F igure 12 w ith c umulative dr ug release reaching 100% at Day 7.



Figure 11. Cytotoxicity of Velcade (Bortezomib) free drug or nanoparticle loaded drug on 5TMG1 Myeloma cells line after 24 hours treatment



Figure 12. Drug release form nanoparticles (Velcade) in phosphate buffer expressed as µg/ml (left panel) or cumulative percentage of drug released (right panel)

Velcade: Recommended clincal dose = 1.3 mg/m^2 ,

Rat equivalent = 0.2 mg/kg in rat

Drug loading: 0.5-1.5% (5-15 ug/mg) for tested formula

Nanoparticles usage: typical 2 mL/kg injection at 10 mg/mL concentration can reach the required dosage in animal

- 2) Task 2 i s c oncerned with de termining t he *in vivo* biodistribution of bone-targeting nanoparticles i n a myeloma m ouse m odel. T he t ask i s br oken down into t he f ollowing subtasks:
 - Prepare radio-labeled bone-targeting nanoparticles, complete.
 - Conduct *in vivo* biodistribution assay.

Task 2 ha s b een d elayed b y op erational a nd t echnical di fficulties. W e c onducted i nitial animal trials in late November/early December 2007, which allowed us to confirm our *in vivo* protocols and test initial nanoparticle formulations. However, this year the task was delayed due t o s erious i llness of our c ollaborator, D r. Mundy. Particle formulations a nd radiolabel contents were more than sufficient to permit *in vivo* imaging, but, the initial particle size of 180 nm proved too large to support long-term distribution. This led to further development to reduce t he particle s ize, w hich r aised s ome pr eviously unknow n i ssues w ith na noparticle formulation by-products on smaller nanoparticle colloidal stability. This forced us to resolve these i ssues b efore p roceeding w ith additional *in vivo* studies. We pl an t o ha ve *in vivo* biodistribution s tudies c ompleted b y late March 2010, a nd we will s tart e fficacy s tudies i n April 2010.

Initial exploratory biodistribution studies were done using 120 nm and 200 nm nanoparticles in non-diseased mice. Biodistribution studies were performed on 6 animals per group; freshly made nanoparticles loaded with ^{99m} Tc were injected in mice by tail vain injection. Figure 13 shows bone biodistribution. Figure 14 shows biodistribution in several tissues and Figure 15 shows blood levels from 0-44 hours. Bars in Figures 13-15 represent mean \pm SD (n=6).







Figure 15. Blood levels for two particle sizes

Because of the r educed blood c inculation t ime on the initial experiments, we decided to modify the nanoparticle formulation method and the results are shown below. Compared with the a bove r esults, we observe now a more prolonged half-life for the non-targeted nanoparticles. However, the targeted particles have reduced time in blood. For this reason, we need to explore one last modification to the formulation. This time we will add a different surface modifier (deacetylated chitosan).

The figures be low s how b iodistribution performed on 6 a nimals p er group; freshly m ade nanoparticles loaded with ^{99m}Tc were injected in mice by tail vain injection. Figure 16 shows blood l evels of non -targeted nanoparticles. Figure 17 s hows blood l evels of t argeted nanoparticles. Figure 18 shows bone distribution of non-targeted and targeted nanoparticles. Bars in Figures 16-18 represent mean \pm SD (n=6).



Figure 16. In vivo blood biodistribution in mice (n=6)



Figure 17. In vivo blood biodistribution in mice (n=6)



Figure 18. Bone biodistribution in mice (n=6).

- 3) Task 3 i s concerned with de monstrating t he e fficacy of bone -targeting na noparticles containing small molecule therapies in a myeloma mouse model. The task is broken down into the following subtasks:
 - Prepare radiolabeled bone-targeting nanoparticles, pending
 - Conduct *in vivo* efficacy studies, pending.

III. KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Developed methods to r adiolabel polymer nanoparticles; to our know ledge, this is the first time this has been accomplished.
- Quantified functional groups available for ligand conjugation using OPA amino reagent.
- Developed a lternative assay t o confirm affinity o f bone -targeting n anoparticles t o hydroxyapatite substrates.
- Demonstrated *in vitro* stability of radiolabeled nanoparticles.
- Successfully t ransferred our na noparticle pr eparation pr otocols t o a nother f acility t o support *in vivo* biodistribution studies.
- Started *in vivo* biodistribution studies to validate ability of our radiolabeled nanoparticles to be imaged for up to 48 hours and further confirming our protocol methods to study bone-targeting nanoparticle biodistribution via radio-imaging.

IV. REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

- A change of the principal investigator (PI) was filled this year due to the departure of the previous PI.
- An extension for final completion of this project was granted by the U.S. Army Office. The new completion date is now on March 17, 2010.
- Animal protocols for the bi odistribution and efficacy studies described in the original statement of work (Task 2) have been reviewed and approved by the IACUC office and the ACURO army office.
- Manuscript is in preparation describing the de velopment of bone-targeting and radiolabeled nanoparticles.
- Additional professional staff has been hired to support biodistribution and *in vivo* efficacy studies.
- An a bstract w as s ubmitted and accepted f or pr esentation at the a nnual C DMRP conference in Kansas City, Missouri in September 2009.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The completed work positions the project to continue biodistribution studies to determine the performance of bone -targeting na noparticles *in vivo*. W e cons istently pr epare pol ymer nanoparticles of required size and composition necessary to support other tasks of the project. Technical di fficulties encountered dur ing t he de velopment o ft he bone -targeting nanoparticles were due to the principal investigator transfer and the interruptions in t he supply of key materials which delayed the project by more than one year. Task 2 remains to be completed, but is expected to be accomplished by late spring and Task 3 would start soon after.

The new SOW will allow us to reduce the number of efficacy studies from 400 to only 100 animals. Under this new efficacy protocol we will look at the efficacy of one proteasome inhibitor formulation instead of multiple drugs.

VI. REFERENCES

Mundy, G. R. Myeloma bone disease. In: Bone Remodeling and Its Disorders. London: Dunitz; 1995 123 136.

I.Garrett, S.Dallas, J.Radl, G.Mundy: A murine model of human myeloma bone disease 2007 *Bone* 20 (6): 515-520.

Ahmedin J emal, PhD, DVM, T aylor M urray, A licia S amuels, M PH, Asma G hafoor, M PH, Elizabeth Ward, PhD and Michael J. Thun, MD, MS 2003 Cancer Statistics, Cancer J Clin 2003; 53:5.

Kasugai S, Fujisawa R, Waki Y, Miyamoto K, Ohya K 2000 Selective drug delivery system to bone: small peptide (Asp)6 conjugation. J Bone Miner Res 15:936–943.

"Zeta Potential of Colloids in Water and Waste Water", ASTM Standard D 4187-82, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985

APPENDIX I

Abstract was submitted to MFRP C onference. This Military Health Research Forum (MHRF) conference was held at the Hallmark Crown Center in Kansas City, Missouri August 31, 2009 – September 3, 2009. Abstract included below:

BONE-TARGETING NANOPARTICLES FOR TREATMENT OF MYELOMA Gianny Rossini, M.S

Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX, 78238

BACKGROUND: Multiple myeloma is the second most common adult hematologic malignancy and is unique in its propensity to cause bone destruction [Mundy, '88]. The disease accounts for 1-2% of cancer-related deaths [Jemal, '03] with 80% of patients suffering devastating and progressive bone destruction. Beneficial effects of conventional therapeutic regimens are modest and relapse is invariable, therefore, new treatment strategies are of urgent and vital importance. We have found that several proteasome inhibitors (PI) are effective against both human and murine myeloma cells in culture. Further, we have found the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway exerts exquisite control of osteoblast differentiation and bone formation *in vitro* and *in vivo* in rodents [Garrett, '03]. However, as with any proteasome inhibitor, there are serious concerns over their potential systemic effects and toxicity. Therefore, there is significant need to develop bone-targeted delivery platforms to preferentially deliver these and other drugs to the bone microenvironment.

PURPOSE: The purpose of our work is to determine, in preclinical studies, the potential of skeletally targeted PIs as an efficacious and selective treatment for myeloma. The program hypothesis is that bone-targeting nanocarriers can preferentially accumulate in the skeleton and locally release PIs to impair the capacity of myeloma cells to survive and grow in vivo, thereby reducing the formation and growth of tumor-induced lytic bone lesions. Proteasome inhibitors are not selective to bone and their therapeutic-toxic window may be narrow when administered systemically. Targeted bone delivery has potential to reduce systemic exposure, increase efficacy in the bone environment, and the opportunity to reverse catastrophic disease processes. In this paper we present work on the development and characterization of the bone-targeting nanoparticles that will be used in our preclinical studies.

METHODS: Site-specific targeting requires quantitatively distinct receptors. We selected the calcified matrix as our initial site for bone-targeting. We identified bone-binding ligands and selected two well-known for their predilection to bone surfaces, methylene bisphosphonate (MBP) [e.g. Davis, '76] and an aspartic acid oligopeptide (Asp4) [e.g. Kasugai, '00]. We synthesized amino-MBP and confirmed structure by 1H-NMR. Either aMBP or Asp4 was linked via sulfhydryl-amino conversion to a maleimide-functionalized PEG-b-PLA copolymer. Ligand conjugation was monitored by sulhydryl content using UV-Vis spectroscopy. The PEG-b-PLA copolymer was prepared by ring-opening polymerization of lactide in the presence of a hydroxyl-terminated bifunctional PEG. The block copolymer composition was confirmed by 1H-NMR. Polymer nanoparticles of PLGA/PLA-PEG were prepared by either emulsification/solvent-loss or nanoprecipitation. The particles were purified by ultracentrifugation or cross-flow filtration and lyophilized for long-term storage. Particle size was determined by photon correlation spectroscopy (N4+, Beckman-Coulter) and zeta-potential at 7.0pH (ZetaPALS, Brookhaven). Ligand content was confirmed by amino acid analysis.

RESULTS: We prepared nanoparticles with different compositions ratios of PLGA/PLA-b-PEG using coacervation method and emulsion method with particle size from 100nm to about 200nm, depending on the PEG content. Particle size decreased with increasing PEG content. Similarly, zeta-potential decreased with increasing PEG content, probably due to shielding of the PLGA surface by

the surface PEG groups. Two approaches were used to prepare targeted NPs. The first approach, polymer was synthesized with ligand already on (PLGA-PEG-ASP4) and particles were form after, on the second approach ligand was conjugated after particle formation; the benefit of the first method is reproducibility and speed of processing. Cryoprotectants, such as disaccharides, were required to avoid particle agglomeration during lyophilization. The selected bone-targeting ligands were conjugated to the surfaces of functionalized nanoparticles. Adherence of these ligand-containing nanoparticles to hydroxyapatite substrates was confirmed by radio labeling particles with Tc99m. Cell base assay confirmed the activity of encapsulated drug. Early biodistribution of non targeted NP show prolong blood circulation times with half life around 4 hours

CONCLUSION: We demonstrated the formulation and characterization of nanoparticles for bone-targeting. We showed that these specifically formulated bone -targeting nanoparticles preferentially adhere to bone -like surfaces *in vitro*. *In vivo* biodistribution of two untargeted nanoparticles formulation demonstrate prolong circulation times, encapsulated F DA a pproved drug V elcade i s f ully a ctive. T his positions us t o proceed with i n vi vo w ork t o s tudy the biodistribution and efficacy of these nanoparticles. We believe this technology has tremendous potential in the treatment of myeloma and other musculoskeletal diseases and disorders.