Mesoscale Dynamics of the Adriatic Sea

Benoit Cushman-Roisin Thayer School of Engineering Dartmouth College Hanover, NH 03755-8000 phone: (603) 646-3248 fax: (603) 646-3856 email: Benoit.Cushman.Roisin@dartmouth.edu

> Award # N00014-02-1-0065 http://thayer.dartmouth.edu/other/adriatic/mesoscale/mesoscale.html

LONG-TERM GOALS

A better understanding of oceanic variability via modeling studies of circulation, entrainment, mixing and convection in the coastal ocean. Development and use of high-resolution models for the study of dynamic processes and for the investigation of specific oceanic regions.

OBJECTIVES

The particular objective of this project is to understand the physics of the mesoscale motions across the Adriatic Sea well enough to simulate them faithfully in high-resolution models.

APPROACH

The selected model is DieCAST because of its extremely low level of dissipation at the grid scale (about 2 km in the horizontal), and forcing fields are interpolated from COAMPS data sets (from the NRL in Monterey). In-situ data used for validation are surface drifter trajectories (from OGS in Trieste). Model results are sampled where drifter data exist, and identical statistics are performed on both drifter speed and simulated currents. In particular, mean and turbulent kinetic energies are calculated and compared. The project is integrated in the ONR-sponsored Dynamics Of Localized Currents and Eddy Variability In The Adriatic (DOLCE VITA) Program.

WORK COMPLETED

The DieCAST ocean model, applied in a high-resolution mode to the entire Adriatic Sea, was used to simulate surface currents during periods for which there is better-than-average drifter trajectory coverage, so that drifter and model statistics could be compared. These periods were: 1-23 October 2002, 1-25 February 2003, and 1-30 June 2003. Interestingly enough, some of the selected periods coincided with strong wind events, either bora and sirocco. The corresponding COAMPS datasets were obtained and mapped onto the DieCAST model grid. Prior model spin-up was performed with climatological fields for 3 months. Forcing was then switched to the COAMPS data, and the simulation continued for a month or more. During the selected comparison periods, surface currents were sampled from the model results at times and places were drifter velocities are known so as to create two surface velocity sets of identical size. At each available location (5x5 model grid box with 5 or more drifter data), means and variances were calculated from the time series and transformed into mean kinetic energy (MKE) and turbulent kinetic energy (TKE), per mass (units of cm²/s²).

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the col maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing VA 22202-4302. Respondents sho does not display a currently valid (llection of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar OMB control number.	o average 1 hour per response, incl ion of information. Send comment arters Services, Directorate for Inf ay other provision of law, no perso	uding the time for reviewing ins s regarding this burden estimate ormation Operations and Report n shall be subject to a penalty for	tructions, searching exi or any other aspect of t s, 1215 Jefferson Davis r failing to comply with	sting data sources, gathering and his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington a collection of information if it
1. REPORT DATE 2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED			
30 SEP 2008		Annual		00-00-2008	8 to 00-00-2008
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER				
Mesoscale Dynami	5b. GRANT NUMBER				
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Dartmouth College,Thayer School of Engineering,Hanover,NH,03755-8000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO code 1 only	DTES				
14. ABSTRACT A better understan convection in the c processes and for t	nding of oceanic vari oastal ocean. Develo he investigation of s	ability via modelin pment and use of h pecific oceanic regi	g studies of circul nigh-resolution mo ions.	ation, entrain odels for the s	nment, mixing and study of dynamic
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF	
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	Same as	4	RESPONSIBLE PERSON
unclassified	unclassified	unclassified	Report (SAR)		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

RESULTS

Comparisons of MKE and TKE between model simulations and drifter trajectories are favorable, but, of course, one looks for differences more than similarities in order to obtain insight about ways to improve the model. For October 2002, a period during which the Western Adriatic Current (WAC) was particularly strong, drifter data show high values of both MKE and TKE all along the Italian Coast, and the model behaves likewise except that its MKE is lower and TKE higher (Figure 1). In order words, the model overestimates the WAC's meandering and eddying. This indicates that the model is insufficiently dissipative.

For February 2003, a time of strong bora wind, the model performs nearly identically to the drifter data, except at the southern tip of Istria and along a line extending southwestward from there. This is where the bora generated an intense cross-cutting jet, and the model underestimated its strength. The model is not wrong *per se*, but its "surface" velocity is by design the velocity averaged over the top 5m of the water whereas drifters sample the velocity in the only top 1m of the water. Naturally, a swift wind creates a drift current highly sheared in the vertical, and the difference between 1m and 5m can be significant. The remedy is to increase the model's vertical resolution near the surface so that its top velocity is more representative of what drifters actually measure.

For June 2003, a time of pronounced cross-basin jets and gyres, the comparison between model results and drifter data is excellent, with a slight degree of overestimation of TKE by the model, suggesting once again that the model is insufficiently dissipative.

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

The results demonstrate that effective simulations of the mesoscale variability of the Adriatic Sea require a low-dissipation model, a grid resolution of at least 2 km, realistic surface forcings (wind stress, heat flux, etc.) of similar spatial resolution, AND a turbulence closure for near-surface mixing. This ought to impact the Mediterranean Sea models currently used by the US Navy. It also leads us to anticipate success should DieCAST be later configured with data assimilation.

RELATED PROJECTS

This project was a component of the multi-project DOLCE VITA Program funded by ONR-PO and focusing on the Adriatic Sea. Related projects are those of Craig Lee (Univ. Washington, TriSoarus towed profiling), Pierre-Marie Poulain and Elena Mauri (OGS-Trieste, surface drifters), and Mirko Orlic (Univ. Zagreb, East Adriatic Coastal Experiment).

PUBLICATIONS

- Lee, C. M., M. Orlic, P.-M. Poulain and B. Cushman-Roisin, 2007: Recent advances in oceanography and marine meteorology of the Adriatic Sea, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, C03S01, doi:10.1029/2007JC004115.
- Cushman-Roisin, B., K. A. Korotenko, C. E. Galos and D. E. Dietrich, 2007: Simulation and characterization of the Adriatic Sea mesoscale variability, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, C03S14, doi: 10.1029/2006JC003515.

- 3. Cushman-Roisin, B., and K. A. Korotenko, 2007: Mesoscale-resolving simulations of summer and winter bora events in the Adriatic Sea, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, C11S91, doi:10.1029/2006JC003516.
- 4. Willmott, A. J., and B. Cushman-Roisin, 2008: Barotropic instability of coastal flows as a boundaryvalue problem; linear and nonlinear theory. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* [in press].



Figure 1. Comparison of surface mean kinetic energy (MKE – top row – values ranging from about zero to 300 cm²/s²) and turbulent kinetic energy (TKE – bottom row – values ranging from about 10 to 100 cm²/s²) calculated from both drifter trajectories (left panels) and DieCAST model simulations (right panels) for the period 1-23 October 2002. Blank areas indicate regions of insufficient drifter data (less than 5 per box). This period was one of intense flow down the Italian coastline and of complex flow in the northern basin. The model faithfully reproduces regions of strong/weak mean flow and low/high variability. However, discrepancies in values (MKE lower in model by 10% and TKE higher in model by 20%) indicate that the model overestimates the meandering and eddying of the coastal current along Italy, and this is attributed to insufficient dissipation on the part of the model.