REPORT DO	CUME		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188						
Public reporting burden for this collection of informa gathering and maintaining the data needed, and co of information, including suggestions for reducing th 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlingto Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washing PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FO	mpleting and re his burden to W on, VA 22202-4 gton, DC 20503	eviewing the collection of inf lashington Headquarters Se 302, and to the Office of Ma a.	formation. Send comment ervice, Directorate for Inf anagement and Budget,	nts regarding this	s burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection				
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) JULY 2010	2. REF	PORT TYPE	aper (Briefing C	3. DATES COVERED (From - To) April 2008 – January 2009					
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		Com re	aper (Briefing C	-	CONTRACT NUMBER FA8750-08-C-0157				
SEMANTIC SEARCH WITH SE	LF-MAIN	TAINING CLAS	SES	5b. GRANT NUMBER N/A					
		5c. PRO	ic. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 65502F						
6. AUTHOR(S) Terry Patten and Stephen Hookwa		5d. PRO	5d. PROJECT NUMBER 08SB						
Terry Fatteri and Stephen Hookwa	ly	5e. TASI	5e. TASK NUMBER IR						
				5f. WOR	RK UNIT NUMBER 75				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME(S) AN	ID ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER				
Charles River Analytics, Inc. 625 Mount Auburn Street, Suite 3 Cambridge, MA 02138-4555			N/A						
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE AFRL/RIEB		E(S) AND ADDRESS	S(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) N/A				
525 Brooks Road Rome NY 13441-4505		11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER AFRL-RI-RS-TP-2010-33							
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY S APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELI Date Cleared: 21-June-2010			NLIMITED. PA	. #: 88ABW	V-2010-3417				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work was funded in whole or Government has for itself and othe modify, reproduce, release, perfor	ers acting	on its behalf an un	ilimited, paid-up	, nonexclu	sive, irrevocable worldwide license to use,				
14. ABSTRACT	ledge-base	ed Access and Data	a Integration (K	ADI) result	ted in a paper being presented at the 2010				
15. SUBJECT TERMS Semantic Integration, Imagery, In	telligence	Surveillance Reco	onnaissance, Ser	vice Orient	ted Architecture				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF	:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES		DF RESPONSIBLE PERSON N. Haritatos				
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THU	UU	12	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) N/A						

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Semantic Search With Self-Maintaining Classes

Prepared for:							Presented by:
+							
SWWS 2010							Terry Patten
Las Vegas							Stephen Hookway

This	work was	supported	by	US	Air	Force	Contra	act
FA87	'50-08-C-	0157						

12-15 July 2010

www.cra.com

charles river analytics

Background

- Semantic search is all about *inferring* membership in query classes
- Traditionally, semantic search has focused on class-subclass inference (subsumption)
 - Query: Aircraft
 - Match: MiG-23
 - Subclass of Fighter
 - Subclass of MilitaryAircraft
 - Subclass of Aircraft
- Most often, classes are enumerated through subsumption trees
- But class membership can also be inferred using
 - Logic (a class is the intersection of several other classes)
 - Properties (a class includes any x that has property y)
- In general, class membership descriptions can be complex
 - In OWL 2 these are called "Class Expressions"
 - In Description Logic these are called "Complex Concepts"

Class Expressions and Semantic Search

- Inferring class membership using class expressions is a powerful semantic search technique because:
 - It provides a mechanism loosely analogous to database "views"
 Alternate ways of organizing information can be built on top of an existing ontology
 - Query classes do not have to reflect the subsumption hierarchy
 - Query classes do not have to be enumerated
- The inferred classes are self-maintaining because their membership automatically changes as the membership of underlying classes changes

A Case Study: Threats to Air Operations

- Problem: Air Force analysts searching image metadata for "threats to air operations"
- Currently, these searches are done using keywords
- But there may be many names for weapon systems:
 - NATO designations
 - Coalition partner names
 - Anglicized manufacturer names
- Having to include all these names in queries places a burden on the analyst
 - An ontology can keep track of all these names
 - This is an old technique: using a thesaurus to expand a query
- But even with only one term for each weapon, there are still a lot of weapons to list
- We would like to search for whole classes of threats

Searching for Threat Classes

- Threats to air operations include members of traditional weapons classes such as:
 - Aircraft
 - Missiles
 - Artillery
- But not all members of these traditional military classes are threats to air operations
 - Bombers are aircraft, but are not really a threat to an air operation
 - Ballistic missiles are not a threat
 - Surface-to-surface artillery is not a threat
- It is tempting to enumerate the threats to air operations
 - What are all the types of Threats from the air ...
 - What are all the types of Threats from the surface ...
- A better idea is to *infer* threats based on weapon properties

Inferring Threats from Properties

- Using OWL-DL we can say that the class of weapons that fires air-to-air missiles is a subclass of threats to air operations
- We don't have to say (explicitly) what weapons are in that class—they are inferred based on the property
- We don't have to know (or care) where in the ontology such weapons exist
- This technique allows us to cut across existing subsumption hierarchies and create alternate views of the knowledge

 In particular, we can create views that line up well with useful queries

Example: BM-21

- The BM-21 is a multiple-rocket launcher
 - Classic surface-to-surface artillery
- But adversaries modified the rockets
 - Used timed-fuzes
- And pointed the weapon straight up
 - Rockets become heavy flak



- The list of munitions that the BM-21 fires is updated to include these modified, now anti-aircraft rockets
- Since weapons that fire anti-aircraft artillery munitions are a subclass of threats to air operations...
 - The BM-21 *automatically* becomes a threat as soon as that property appears

<owl: Class rdf: about="#FunctionalAntiAircraftArtillery"> <rdfs: subClassOf rdf: resource="#ThreatToAirOperations"/>

```
<rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#Artillery"/>
<owl:equivalentClass>
<owl:Restriction>
<owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#Fires"/>
<owl:someValuesFrom rdf:resource="#AntiAircraftMunitions"/>
</owl:Restriction>
</owl:equivalentClass>
</owl:Class>
```

- This class expression defines—and *maintains*—a useful class
 - Prior to having anti-aircraft munitions, the BM-21 it is not a member of this class
 - Once the BM-21 fires anti-aircraft munitions it *automatically* becomes a member of this class

Case Study Summary

- Weapons are threats to air operations if they
 - Fire air-to-air missiles
 - Fire surface-to-air missiles
 - Fire anti-aircraft artillery munitions
 - Etc.
- We define a class expression for each of these
- And make them all subclasses of "ThreatsToAirOperations"
- Weapons automatically become members of the Threat class if they match the class expressions
- The class expressions automatically maintain class membership
- For scalable OWL-DL, we are implementing our semantic search using PelletDb (<u>http://clarkparsia.com/pelletdb/</u>)
 - A tight integration of Pellet and Oracle Semantic Technology

Generality of Search Using Self-Maintaining Classes

- This technique can be used in *any* domain where some query classes do not line up well with the subsumption hierarchy
- Some non-military possibilities:
 - Find me all the risky investments ...
 - Find me all the high-performance cars ...
 - Find me all the dangerous substances ...

• ...

Questions?

QUESTIONS?

11

charles river analytics

- + Charles River Analytics Inc.
- 625 Mount Auburn St.
- Cambridge, MA 02138
- p: 617.491.3474
- f: 617.868.0780
- WWW.cra.com