A.  ABSTRACT

Thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBAR) using piezoelectric AlN, ZnO and GaN thin films have attracted extensive research activities in the past years. Highly c-axis oriented (normal-plane orientation) binary semiconductor piezoelectric thin films are particularly investigated for resonators operating at the fundamental thickness longitudinal mode. Depending on the processing conditions, tilted polarization (c-axis off the normal direction to the substrate surface) is often found in the as-deposited piezoelectric thin films, which leads to the...
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Thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBAR) using piezoelectric AlN, ZnO and GaN thin films have attracted extensive research activities in the past years. Highly c-axis oriented (normal-plane orientation) binary semiconductor piezoelectric thin films are particularly investigated for resonators operating at the fundamental thickness longitudinal mode. Depending on the processing conditions, tilted polarization (c-axis off the normal direction to the substrate surface) is often found in the as-deposited piezoelectric thin films, which leads to the coexistence of thickness longitudinal mode and shear mode for the thin film resonators.

In this project, we intend to deposit AlN films with tilted polarization angles on Si substrate using a modified DC magnetron sputtering method. So far, AlN thin films with different tilted polarization angles have been achieved. High quality AlN thin films on other suitable single crystal substrate (such as sapphire) have also been deposited and characterized using a composite resonator approach. A theoretical model has been developed for composite thin film resonator, which can be applied to extract the electromechanical material properties of piezoelectric thin films. With AlN film deposited and patterned on sapphire substrate, a laser liftoff approach has been developed to transfer the thin film resonators to the receptor substrate (silicon) for ultimate on-chip integration.

We have also conducted analytical study of thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs) using ZnO, AlN and GaN films with a c-axis tilt angle (off-normal) from 0 to 180 degree. The tilted c-axis orientation induces normal plane and in-plane polarizations, which leads to the coexistence of the longitudinal mode and shear mode in the resonator. Interestingly, it was found that for ZnO, AlN and GaN FBARs, special tilted angles were found for pseudo-shear and pseudo-longitudinal modes. This result opens new ways for FBARs design and fabrication.
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1. Foreword

Thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBAR) using piezoelectric AlN, ZnO and GaN thin films have attracted extensive research activities in the past years. Highly c-axis oriented (normal-plane orientation) binary semiconductor piezoelectric thin films are particularly investigated for resonators operating at the fundamental thickness longitudinal mode. Depending on the processing conditions, tilted polarization (c-axis off the normal direction to the substrate surface) is often found in the as-deposited piezoelectric thin films, which leads to the coexistence of thickness longitudinal mode and shear mode for the thin film resonators.

In this project, we intend to deposit AlN films with tilted polarization angles on Si substrate using a modified DC magnetron sputtering method. So far, AlN thin films with different tilted polarization angles have been achieved. High quality AlN thin films on other suitable single crystal substrate (such as sapphire) have also been deposited and characterized using a composite resonator approach. A theoretical model has been developed for composite thin film resonator, which can be applied to extract the electromechanical material properties of piezoelectric thin films. With AlN film deposited and patterned on sapphire substrate, a laser liftoff approach has been developed to transfer the thin film resonators to the receptor substrate (silicon) for ultimate on-chip integration.

We have also conducted analytical study of thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs) using ZnO, AlN and GaN films with a c-axis tilt angle (off-normal) from 0° to 180°. The tilted c-axis orientation induces normal plane and in-plane polarizations, which leads to the coexistence of the longitudinal mode and shear mode in the resonator. The equation for predicting electric impedance of FBARs was derived from the basic piezoelectric constitutive equations. Material properties including elastic, dielectric and piezoelectric coefficients, bulk wave properties including acoustic velocity and electromechanical coupling coefficient, and impedance of FBARs were calculated and showed strong dependence on the tilt angle. Interestingly, it was found that for ZnO FBAR, pure thickness longitudinal modes occur at 0° and 65.4°, and pure thickness shear modes occur at 43° and 90°. For AlN FBAR, pure longitudinal modes occur at 0° and 67.1°, and pure shear modes occur at 46.1° and 90° for AlN. In other words, pure thickness longitudinal and shear modes exist in ZnO and AlN FBAR at specific tilted polarization angles. In addition, two peaks of shear mode electromechanical coefficient are found at 33.3° and 90° for ZnO, and
34.5° and 90° for AlN. Therefore, ZnO and AlN films with specific tilt angles may provide options in the design and fabrication of FBARs, considering their strong shear resonance with high electromechanical coefficients. The use of dual mode FBARs for mass sensor is also analyzed, the calculated large resonant frequency shift due to mass loading shows their good promising in sensor application with high sensitivity. The simulation results agreed well with the reported experiment results, and can be used for design and application of FBARs. GaN thin film with tilted polarization has also been considered for FBARs in this work. Similar results have been obtained, indicating that GaN is also a promising material for FBARs and sensor applications.
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1) Statement of the problem studied

Development of advanced wireless communication technology has led to an explosive growth of emerging military and consumer applications of RF, microwave, and millimeter wave devices, circuits and systems. Handheld communication systems with desirable frequency control performance are highly desirable for US Army. Future personal and ground communication systems as well as communication satellites for US Army necessitate the use of miniaturized on-chip thin film resonators and filters, featuring small size, low weight, high performance and low cost, for precise frequency control applications. However, On-chip fabrication and integration of thin film RF microelectromechanical resonators has been recognized as a technological challenge in the current microelectronics and MEMS development. The currently used frequency control devices such as surface acoustic wave (SAW) and bulk acoustic wave (BAW) resonators or filters are in general off-chip devices with considerably large sizes. Thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators using piezoelectric AlN thin films have attracted extensive research activities in the past few years but with limited success. This is largely due to the processing difficulties in the direct deposition and integration of high quality thin films on Si substrate. So far, thin film resonators studied are usually composed of materials fabricated by methods that do not result in desirable crystal forms and orientations. Consequently the materials do not necessarily have properties that closely resemble bulk materials in magnitude or stability. The objectives of this project include: 1) fabrication of AlN thin film with tilted polarization (polarization direction off the normal of the thin film thickness direction) to enable the realization of dual mode thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs); 3) theoretical and experimental studies of dual mode piezoelectric thin film resonators; 3) development of an on-chip integration technology of that can ultimately overcome the technological bottleneck in the miniaturization and integration of RF resonators and filters; 4) explore the use of FBARs for sensor applications.

2) Summary of the most important results

The novel dual mode thin film resonators we proposed to develop and studied in the past few years in this project are based on the deposition of AlN films with tilted polarizations, or various different film orientations, which may lead to a great opportunity for the design of high performance frequency control devices. Therefore, this project, targeting at the fundamental issues on the deposition of high quality AlN thin films with different orientations, and the fabrication and onchip integration of multimode thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators, intends to investigate a novel approach to facilitate the development of high performance onchip frequency control devices.

In the first half phase of this project, we intended to deposit AlN films with tilted polarization angles on Si substrate using a modified DC magnetron sputtering method. Through this ARO funding, AlN thin films with different tilted polarization angles have been achieved. High quality AlN thin films on other suitable single crystal substrate (such as sapphire) have also been deposited and characterized using a composite resonator approach. A theoretical model has been developed for composite thin film resonator, which can be applied to extract the electromechanical material properties of piezoelectric thin films. With AlN film deposited and patterned on sapphire substrate, a laser liftoff approach has been developed to transfer the thin
film resonators to the receptor substrate (silicon) for ultimate on-chip integration. More specifically, we have performed the following research tasks:

- Deposition of piezoelectric AlN films with various orientations on silicon and sapphire substrate by DC magnetron sputtering and MOCVD.
- Use of SEM and XRD to characterize the structural characteristics of the as-deposited films.
- Characterization of nanomechanical properties of the thin film materials
- Modeling of the electric impedance of three layer and four layer thin film composite resonators
- Development of application of a spectrum method for the modeling and characterization of composite thin film resonators
- Use of Laser interferometer technique to characterize the piezoelectric property of the AlN thin films
- Sacrificial layer GaN was used between the AlN thin film and the sapphire substrate to assist excimer laser liftoff and transfer of the thin film devices from sapphire substrate to receptor substrate (Si); and ultimate onchip integration of thin film resonators has been successfully demonstrated
- Characterization of frequency-temperature stability of thin film resonators

In the second phase of this project, we have conducted analytical study of thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs) using ZnO, AlN and GaN films with a c-axis tilt angle (off-normal) from 0° to 180°. The tilted c-axis orientation induces normal plane and in-plane polarizations, which leads to the coexistence of the longitudinal mode and shear mode in the resonator. The equation for predicting electric impedance of FBARs was derived from the basic piezoelectric constitutive equations. Material properties including elastic, dielectric and piezoelectric coefficients, bulk wave properties including acoustic velocity and electromechanical coupling coefficient, and impedance of FBARs were calculated and showed strong dependence on the tilt angle. Interestingly, it was found that for ZnO FBAR, pure thickness longitudinal modes occur at 0° and 65.4°, and pure thickness shear modes occur at 43° and 90°. For AlN FBAR, pure longitudinal modes occur at 0° and 67.1°, and pure shear modes occur at 46.1° and 90° for AlN. In other words, pure thickness longitudinal and shear modes exist in ZnO and AlN FBAR at specific tilted polarization angles. In addition, two peaks of shear mode electromechanical coefficient are found at 33.3° and 90° for ZnO, and 34.5° and 90° for AlN. Therefore, ZnO and AlN films with specific tilt angles may provide options in the design and fabrication of FBARs, considering their strong shear resonance with high electromechanical coefficients. The use of dual mode FBARs for mass sensor is also analyzed; the calculated large resonant frequency shift due to mass loading shows their good promising in sensor application with high sensitivity. The simulation results agreed well with the reported experiment results, and can be used for design and application of FBARs. GaN thin film with tilted polarization has also been considered for FBARs in this work. Similar results have been obtained, indicating that GaN is also a promising material for FBARs and sensor applications.
Mass sensitivity of thin film bulk acoustic resonator sensors based on polar c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN Thin Film
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The mass sensitivity of thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs) using ZnO and AlN thin films with tilted polar c-axis has been theoretically investigated. The tilted c-axis orientation induces normal plane and in-plane polarizations, which leads to the coexistence of thickness longitudinal mode and thickness shear mode in the resonators. The equation for predicting electric impedance of FBARs with a mass loading layer was derived from the basic piezoelectric constitutive equations. The mass sensitivity of ZnO and AlN dual mode resonators was found by calculating the resonant frequency shifts of the thickness shear mode and thickness longitudinal mode due to thin film mass loading. In the calculation, ZnO and AlN thin film has a c-axis tilt angle from 0°-90°, 2μm thickness and 300μm by 300μm electrode area; four different materials (Al, SiO₂, Au and Pt) were considered as the thin film mass loading. It was found that both longitudinal resonance frequency and shear resonance frequency for different c-axis angle have a significant shift due to the mass loading; the mass sensitivities, defined as \( \Delta f/(f\Delta m) \), of the longitudinal and shear mode for this four mass loading materials are very close, and do not change much with c-axis tile angle with a value rang around -900 cm²/g for ZnO FBARs and -1550 cm²/g for AlN FBARs. The results are applicable for design and application of ZnO or AlN FBARs.

KEYWORDS: tilted c-axis; thin film bulk acoustic resonator; acoustic wave sensor; mass sensitivity.
I. INTRODUCTION

Due to its high sensitivity, simple structure, and easy interconnection with electronic measurement systems, bulk acoustic wave (BAW) resonators have been studied for mummeries sensing applications in physical and chemical processes. Meanwhile, with the improvement of device fabrication and material growth techniques, now the resonator can be made with very small size. Especially thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs) based on ZnO and AlN can be achieved with thickness from several micrometers down to tenth of micrometers. It is well known that the resonant frequency is inversely proportional to the resonator thickness; hence, the resonant frequencies of this kind resonators can be very high, which usually has a range from hundreds of MHz to tens GHz. Commonly, a BAW resonator consists of one piezoelectric layer with two electrodes deposited on both sides. For mass sensor application, special material sensitive to target is coated on the resonator surface. Surface change due to physical/chemical adsorption and absorption will induce resonant frequency shift, and the absolute frequency shift is proportion to the square of the operating frequency (resonant frequency). In other words, the higher sensor sensitivity can be accomplished by increasing the resonator’s resonant frequency. Therefore, adopting FBAR structures for mass sensor application, can greatly improve the sensor sensitivity considering their high resonant frequency. In fact, FBARs have been experimentally investigated as gas sensors for H₂, CO and ethanol, chemical sensors for metal ion Hg⁺ detection in liquid environment, and biosensors for DNA and protein molecules, which showed much higher sensitivity than quartz microbalance (QCM).

It should be noticed that ZnO and AlN thin film used for FBAR fabrication are usually with normal-plane c-axis orientation, which makes FBARs operated in thickness longitudinal mode. For sensor application in liquid, acoustic wave energy of longitudinal mode is radiated into liquid through compressional motion, inducing significant damping of resonators; while the damping of shear mode acoustic wave is not severe. Hence, FBARs operated in shear mode is very promising for liquid
application. Fortunately, it has shown that thickness shear mode can be excited besides longitudinal mode when AlN and ZnO thin films has a tilted c-axis orientation. 8-13

However, the theoretical analysis of resonator based on c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN is less. To our knowledge, there has not been a throughout study on mass sensitivity of dual mode FBARs based on c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN. For this purpose, we derived the electric impedance expression of c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN FBARs with a mass loading layer by the basic piezoelectric equations, and examine the resonant frequency shift of longitudinal and shear mode for different mass loading; further the mass sensitivity of dual mode resonators will be calculated and discussed.

II. Theory

A. Dual mode ZnO and AlN FBARs with a mass loading layer

Fig. 1(a) shows schematic of normal c-axis ZnO or AlN FBARs with a mass loading layer, and \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) is the original material coordinate; Fig. 1(b) shows the schematic of c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN FBARs with a mass loading layer. Compared with piezoelectric layer and mass loading layer, the acoustic impedance of electrodes is very small, hence for simplifying the problem, the two electrodes are ignored. A rectangular Cartesian coordinate system \((x'_1, x'_2, x'_3)\) is chosen with top electrode on \(x'_3 = h\) and bottom electrode on \(x'_3 = 0\). ZnO or AlN thin film has a tilt angle \(\theta\) with \(x'_3\) direction. As shown in Fig. 1(c), \((x'_1, x'_2, x'_3)\) can be treated as the result of rotation of \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) about \(x_2\) with an angle \(\theta\).

For the characterization of c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN FBARs, the material properties (dielectric permittivity, piezoelectric stress coefficient, elastic stiffness) or \((\varepsilon', e', c')\) in \((x'_1, x'_2, x'_3)\), need to be known, and they can be computed through corresponding value \((\varepsilon, e, c)\) in original coordinate system \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) with the aids of matrix algebra:

\[
\varepsilon' = a \varepsilon a', \quad e' = a e M', \quad c' = M c M'
\]  

(1a)
\[ a = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0 & \sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \]  

\[ M = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & a_{13}^2 & 2a_{12}a_{13} & 2a_{11}a_{13} & 2a_{11}a_{12} \\ a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & a_{23}^2 & 2a_{22}a_{23} & 2a_{21}a_{23} & 2a_{21}a_{22} \\ a_{31}^2 & a_{32}^2 & a_{33}^2 & 2a_{32}a_{33} & 2a_{31}a_{33} & 2a_{31}a_{32} \\ a_{21}a_{31} & a_{22}a_{32} & a_{23}a_{33} & a_{22}a_{33} + a_{23}a_{32} & a_{21}a_{33} + a_{23}a_{31} & a_{21}a_{32} + a_{22}a_{31} \\ a_{11}a_{31} & a_{12}a_{32} & a_{13}a_{33} & a_{12}a_{33} + a_{13}a_{32} & a_{11}a_{33} + a_{13}a_{31} & a_{11}a_{32} + a_{12}a_{31} \\ a_{11}a_{21} & a_{12}a_{22} & a_{13}a_{23} & a_{12}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{22} & a_{11}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21} \end{bmatrix} \]  

where \( \theta \) is the tilt angle, \( a' \) is the transpose of \( a \), and \( M' \) is the transpose of \( M \).

**B. Impedance equation of c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN FBARs with a mass loading layer**

Following a similar procedure\(^\text{15}\), the impedance equation for \( c \)-axis tilted ZnO and AlN FBARs with a mass loading layer is derived. In the coordinate system \((x', x_2', x_3')\), we have the following acoustic field equations and piezoelectric constitution equations\(^\text{14}\):

\[
\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial T_6}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial T_5}{\partial x_3} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial t^2}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T_6}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial T_4}{\partial x_3} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial t^2}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T_5}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial T_4}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial T_3}{\partial x_3} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2}
\]

\[
S_1 = \frac{\hat{\partial}u_1}{\hat{\partial}x_1}, S_2 = \frac{\hat{\partial}u_2}{\hat{\partial}x_2}, S_3 = \frac{\hat{\partial}u_3}{\hat{\partial}x_3}
\]

\[
S_4 = \frac{\hat{\partial}u_3}{\hat{\partial}x_2} + \frac{\hat{\partial}u_2}{\hat{\partial}x_3}
\]

\[
S_5 = \frac{\hat{\partial}u_3}{\hat{\partial}x_1} + \frac{\hat{\partial}u_1}{\hat{\partial}x_3}
\]

\[
S_6 = \frac{\hat{\partial}u_2}{\hat{\partial}x_1} + \frac{\hat{\partial}u_1}{\hat{\partial}x_2}
\]

\[
T_p = c_p^E S_q - e_{\alpha p} E_k
\]
\[ D_i = e_{iq} S_q + e_{ik}^{S'} E_k \]  

(5)

where \( T_p, S_q, D_i \) and \( E_k \) are the components of stress, strain, electric displacement and electric field intensity, \( e_{pq}^{E'} \) are the elastic stiffness constants under constant electric field intensity, \( e_{kp} \) are the piezoelectric stress constants, \( e_{ik}^{S'} \) are the permittivity constants under constant strain, and \( u_i \) is the displacement in the direction of \( x_i \) \((i, k = 1, 2, 3 \) and \( p, q = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) ). The resonator vibration can be treated as one dimension problem considering its high ratio of lateral dimensions to thickness of resonator. Hence, we can assume:

\[
\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x_2} = 0 \quad E_1 = E_2 = 0, E_3 \neq 0 \quad \frac{\partial D_3}{\partial x_3} = 0
\]

(6)

For a sinusoidal excitation, the voltage \( V \) and current \( I \) across FBAR are expressed by

\[
V = \int_0^h E_3 dx_3 \\
I = j\omega AD_3
\]

(7)

where \( A \) is the area of the electrodes, \( \omega \) is the angle frequency. For traction forces at the boundary \((x_3 = 0, h)\) we have:

\[
T_5(0) = T_3(0) = 0
\]

(8a)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
T_5(h) \\
T_3(h)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
-j\omega Z_{ml}^{S'} & 0 \\
0 & -j\omega Z_{ml}^{T'}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u_1(h) \\
u_3(h)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(8b)
$Z^L_{ml}$ and $Z^S_{ml}$ are the longitudinal and shear acoustic impedance of mass loading layer, which can be expressed by

$$Z^L_{ml} = j\rho_{ml}v^L_{ml} \tan(\gamma^L_{ml}), Z^S_{ml} = j\rho_{ml}v^S_{ml} \tan(\gamma^S_{ml})$$  \hspace{1cm} (9a)$$

$$v^L_{ml} = \sqrt{\frac{c^L_{ml}}{\rho_{ml}}}, v^S_{ml} = \sqrt{\frac{c^S_{ml}}{\rho_{ml}}}, \gamma^L_{ml} = \frac{\omega v^L_{ml}}{l_{ml}}, \gamma^S_{ml} = \frac{\omega v^S_{ml}}{l_{ml}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9b)$$

where $v^L_{ml}$ and $v^S_{ml}$ are longitudinal and shear acoustic velocity of mass loading layer; $\gamma^L_{ml}$ and $\gamma^S_{ml}$ are longitudinal and shear phase delay in mass loading layer; $\rho_{ml}$ and $l_{ml}$ are the density and thickness of mass loading layer; $c^L_{ml}$ and $c^S_{ml}$ are the elastic constants of mass loading layer. Here, we assume the mass loading layer is isotropic.

For any $\theta$, It is found:

$$c^E_{34} = c^E_{45} = c^E_{34} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

From (1) to (10), the impedance of FBAR can be solved:

$$Z = \frac{1}{j\omega C_0} \left(1-(k^L)^2\rho^L \tan(\gamma^L_{ml}/2)-(k^S)^2\rho^S \tan(\gamma^S_{ml}/2)\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11a)$$

Where

$$C_0 = \frac{c^E_{33} A}{h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11b)$$

$$\gamma^L = \frac{\omega h}{v^{(L)}}, \gamma^S = \frac{\omega h}{v^{(S)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11c)$$

$$v^{(L)} = \left[\frac{c^E_{33} + c^E_{55}}{2\rho} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{c^E_{33} - c^E_{55}}{2\rho}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c^E_{35}}{\rho}\right)^2}\right]^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11d)$$

$$v^{(S)} = \left[\frac{c^E_{33} + c^E_{55}}{2\rho} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{c^E_{33} - c^E_{55}}{2\rho}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c^E_{35}}{\rho}\right)^2}\right]^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11e)$$
\[ c_{33}^{E'} = c_{33}^{E'} + \left( e_{33}' \right)^2 e_{33}^S, \quad c_{55}^{E'} = c_{55}^{E'} + e_{33}^S e_{55}' e_{33}^{E'} + \left( e_{35}' \right)^2 e_{33}^S \] (11f)

\[ (k^L)^2 = \frac{(e_L')^2}{e_{33}^S \rho(v^L)^2}, \quad (k^S)^2 = \frac{(e_S')^2}{e_{33}^S \rho(v^S)^2} \] (11g)

\[ e_L = e_{35}' \sin(\alpha) + e_{33}' \cos(\alpha) \] (11h)

\[ e_S = e_{35}' \cos(\alpha) - e_{33}' \sin(\alpha) \]

\[ \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \arctan(\frac{2e_{35}^{E'}}{e_{33}^{E'} - e_{35}^{E'}}) \] (11i)

\[ p^L = 1 + \left[ e_L \sin^2 \left( \frac{\gamma^L}{2} \right) \left( \frac{Z_m^L Z_m^S}{Z_L Z_S} \cos(\gamma^S) + j \frac{Z_m^{LL}}{Z_L} \sin(\gamma^S) \right) + j e_S \sin^2 \left( \frac{\gamma^S}{2} \right) \frac{Z_m^{SL}}{Z_S} \sin(\gamma^L) \right] \]

\[ e_L \times \left\{ \frac{Z_m^L Z_m^S}{Z_L Z_S} \cos(\gamma^L) \cos(\gamma^S) - \sin(\gamma^L) \sin(\gamma^S) + \right\} \]

\[ j \left( \frac{Z_m^{LL}}{Z_L} \cos(\gamma^L) \sin(\gamma^S) + \frac{Z_m^{SS}}{Z_S} \sin(\gamma^L) \cos(\gamma^S) \right) \] (11j)

\[ p^S = 1 + \left[ e_S \sin^2 \left( \frac{\gamma^S}{2} \right) \left( \frac{Z_m^S Z_m^L}{Z_S Z_L} \cos(\gamma^L) + j \frac{Z_m^{SS}}{Z_S} \sin(\gamma^L) \right) + j e_L \sin^2 \left( \frac{\gamma^L}{2} \right) \frac{Z_m^{SL}}{Z_L} \sin(\gamma^S) \right] \]

\[ e_S \times \left\{ \frac{Z_m^S Z_m^L}{Z_S Z_L} \cos(\gamma^L) \cos(\gamma^S) - \sin(\gamma^L) \sin(\gamma^S) + \right\} \]

\[ j \left( \frac{Z_m^{LL}}{Z_L} \cos(\gamma^L) \sin(\gamma^S) + \frac{Z_m^{SS}}{Z_S} \sin(\gamma^L) \cos(\gamma^S) \right) \] (11j)

\[ Z_L = \rho v(L), \quad Z_S = \rho v(S) \]

\[ Z_m^{LL} = Z_m^L \cos^2(\alpha) + Z_m^S \sin^2(\alpha) \]

\[ Z_m^{SS} = Z_m^S \cos^2(\alpha) + Z_m^L \sin^2(\alpha) \]

\[ Z_m^{SL} = (Z_m^L - Z_m^S) \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \] (11k)
Here, $f$ is frequency, $v^{(L)}$ and $v^{(S)}$ are the acoustic velocities for longitudinal mode and shear mode, $k^2_L$ and $k^2_S$ are defined as the electromechanical coupling coefficient of longitudinal and shear mode, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Material properties of c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN

Based on Eq. (1) and material property values of ZnO$^{16,17}$ and AlN$^{18}$ in $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ shown in Fig 1(d) and (e), the material properties of c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN in $(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3)$ can been calculated. Fig. 2 and 3 shows the nonzero components of elastic constants $c^{E'}$ and $c^{D'}$, where $c^{E'}$ is the elastic stiffness constant under constant electric field intensity, and $c^{D'}$ is the elastic stiffness constant under constant electric displacement. For $0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 180^\circ$, both $c^{E'}$ and $c^{D'}$ are found to be symmetric as 6x6 matrixes with

$$c^{E'}_{14} = c^{E'}_{24} = c^{E'}_{34} = c^{E'}_{16} = c^{E'}_{26} = c^{E'}_{36} = c^{E'}_{56} = c^{E'}_{45} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c^{D'}_{14} = c^{D'}_{24} = c^{D'}_{34} = c^{D'}_{16} = c^{D'}_{26} = c^{D'}_{36} = c^{D'}_{56} = c^{D'}_{45} = 0.$$

As shown in Fig. 2 and 3, the most nonzero elastic constants are strongly dependant on the tilt angle. However $c^{E'}_{22}$ and $c^{D'}_{22}$ do not change with the tilt angle, which is due to the fact that $x_2$ and $x'_2$ are the same axis.

Fig. 4 and 5 plot the nonzero components of dielectric constant under constant strain ($\varepsilon^{S'}$) and dielectric constant under constant stress ($\varepsilon^{T'}$), which both keep symmetric as 3 x 3 matrixes when $\theta$ changes from $0^\circ$ to $180^\circ$. As same as dielectric constants, $\varepsilon^{S'}_{22}$ and $\varepsilon^{T'}_{22}$ keep constant because $x'_2$ does not change with $\theta$; the other dielectric constants show great dependency on c-axis tilt angle. For example, the change of $\varepsilon^{S'}_{11}$ or $\varepsilon^{T'}_{11}$ with $\theta$ has an opposite trend with $\varepsilon^{S'}_{33}$ or $\varepsilon^{T'}_{33}$; at $\theta=90^\circ$, $\varepsilon^{S'}_{11}$ or $\varepsilon^{T'}_{11}$ has a maximum value ,and $\varepsilon^{S'}_{33}$ or $\varepsilon^{T'}_{33}$ has a minimum value ; while, at $\theta=0^\circ$, $\varepsilon^{S'}_{33}$ or $\varepsilon^{T'}_{33}$ reaches maximum, and $\varepsilon^{S'}_{11}$ or $\varepsilon^{T'}_{11}$ reaches minimum, which can be explained by the fact that $x'_1$ and $x'_3$ coincide with $x_1$ and $x_3$ respectively when $\theta$ is $0^\circ$, and $x'_1$ becomes $x_3$ and $x'_3$ coincides with negative $x_1$ when $\theta$ is $90^\circ$. 
The strong c-axis tile angle dependency of material properties also can be seen in piezoelectric strain constant $d'_{ij}$ (3 x 6 matrix) and piezoelectric stress constant $e'_{ij}$ (3 x 6 matrix), and their non-zero constants are shown in Fig. 6 and 7.

B Simulation of impedance spectra of c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN FBARs with a mass loading layer

Using the impedance expression of FBAR and the calculated material properties including elastic, dielectric and piezoelectric coefficients, the resonator spectrum with mass loading can be simulated. The parameters used in the simulation are listed in Table I. The calculated impedance spectra of FBARs with different mass loading are shown in Fig. 8 and 9. For most angles, it can be seen that there are two resonance peaks. The first peak is shear resonance with resonant frequency around 0.7 GHz for ZnO FBAR and 1.5 GHz for AlN FBAR, and the second one is longitudinal resonance with resonant frequency around 1.5GHz for ZnO FBAR and 2.7 GHz for AlN FBAR. While For some specific angles, there is only one peak in the spectrum. This is because the resonator could be operated in a single mode when a tilt angle makes $k_L^2 = 0$ (pure shear mode) or $k_S^2 = 0$ (pure longitudinal mode) according to the impedance expression of FBAR. Through solving $k_L^2 = 0$ and $k_S^2 = 0$, it is found that pure longitudinal mode occurs at $0^0$ and $65.40^0$, and pure shear mode occurs at $43^0$ and $90^0$ for ZnO FBAR; pure longitudinal mode occurs at $0^0$ and $67.1^0$, and pure shear mode occurs at $46.1^0$ and $90^0$ for AlN FBAR. As mentioned before, the appearance of strong shear resonance for some certain tilt angles makes ZnO or AlN FBARs desirable for sensor application in liquid.

C. Mass sensitivity of c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN FBARs

From Fig. 8 and 9, we can see that both longitudinal peak and shear peak shift from right to left due to the mass loading. To have an overall understanding of dual mode FBAR as mass sensor, we gradually increase the mass loading by increase the thickness of mass loading layer, and examine the change of the resonant frequency $f_r$. $f_r$ is the frequency corresponding to the maximum conductance of
resonator, which is preferred as sensor output. For a FBAR based on AlN or ZnO with c-axis tilt angle $\theta$, we adopt $f_{sl}(\theta)$ and $f_{ss}(\theta)$ to express the longitudinal and shear resonant frequency, respectively.

Fig. 10 and 11 shows the change of $\frac{\Delta f_{sl}(\theta)}{\Delta f_{sl}(\theta)}$ and $\frac{\Delta f_{ss}(\theta)}{\Delta f_{ss}(\theta)}$ with the thickness of mass loading layer for some specific c-axis tilt angles, where the materials for mass loading layer are Al, SiO$_2$, Au and Pt with thickness from 0 to 500nm, and the material parameters for simulation are shown in Table I. It can be seen from Fig. 10 and 11 that both $\frac{\Delta f_{sl}(\theta)}{\Delta f_{sl}(\theta)}$ and $\frac{\Delta f_{ss}(\theta)}{\Delta f_{ss}(\theta)}$ are linearly proportional to the thickness of mass loading layer when the thickness is small. However, with further increased thickness, the decrease of $\frac{\Delta f_{sl}(\theta)}{\Delta f_{sl}(\theta)}$ and $\frac{\Delta f_{ss}(\theta)}{\Delta f_{ss}(\theta)}$ become fast for low acoustic impedance (lower than piezoelectric layer ZnO or AlN) mass loading material Al and SiO$_2$, and slowly for high acoustic impedance (higher than piezoelectric layer ZnO or AlN) mass loading material Au and Pt, which is believed to be due to the mismatch of acoustic impedance between mass loading layer and piezoelectric layer. In addition we can easily find that the resonant frequency shift for Au and Pt is higher than Al and SiO$_2$, and this can be well explained by that Au and Pt have higher acoustic loading because of higher densities.

Following the mass sensitivity definition of sing mode FBARs, the mass sensitivity of dual mode resonator is defined as below:

$$ S_L(\theta) = \frac{\Delta f_{sl}(\theta)}{\Delta m} \frac{f_{sl}(\theta)}{f_{sl}(\theta)} , \quad S_S(\theta) = \frac{\Delta f_{ss}(\theta)}{\Delta m} \frac{f_{ss}(\theta)}{f_{ss}(\theta)} $$

(12)

where $S_L(\theta)$ is the longitudinal mode mass sensitivity of ZnO or AlN FBAR with c-axis tilt angle $\theta$, and $S_S(\theta)$ is the shear mode mass sensitivity; $\Delta f_{sl}(\theta)$ and $\Delta f_{ss}(\theta)$ are resonant frequency shift for mass loading $\Delta m = \rho_m t_m$; $\rho_m$ and $t_m$ are the density and thickness of mass loading layer.

Using (12), the mass sensitivity of unload FBARs is calculated through measuring resonant frequency shift for 1nm mass loading. Table II and III list the mass sensitivity of FBAR based on 2$\mu$m
ZnO or AlN FBARs with c-axis tilt angle in the range of 0°-90°. From Table II and III, we can see that both $S_L(\theta)$ and $S_S(\theta)$ are almost same for different mass loading material, and their minor difference can be understood since the piezoelectric layer and mass loading layer is not completely non lossy in our simulation.; we also can see that $S_L(\theta)$ has similar value with $S_S(\theta)$, and they do not change much with tilt angle: $S_L(\theta)$ and $S_S(\theta)$ of ZnO FBAR are around -900(cm2/g), and $S_L(\theta)$ and $S_S(\theta)$ of AlN FBAR are around -1550(cm2/g). The reason is shown below, according to (11), the longitudinal and shear mode vibration are found to interact each other through parameter $\alpha$ ; for any $\theta$, $c_{35}^{E'}$ is very small compared with $c_{33}^{E'}$ and $c_{55}^{E'}$ (shown in Fig. 2 and 3), which induces small $\alpha$ less than 5°. Hence the coupling between longitudinal and shear mode is thought to be weak, and the resonator can be approximate to a simple combination of two single mode. The mass sensitivity ($S_m$) of single mode resonator is found only dependant on the density ($\rho_p$) and thickness ($d_p$) of the piezoelectric layer

$$S_m = \frac{-1}{\rho_p d_p}$$

(37)

Hence, for ZnO or AlN dual mode FBARs, $S_L(\theta)$ and $S_S(\theta)$ are close, and do not change much with tilt angle although the material properties are greatly affected by the c-axis tilt angle.

It should be pointed out that the mass sensitivity (shown in Table II and III) with -876 (cm²/g) to -925 (cm²/g) for 2μm ZnO FBAR and -1534 (cm²/g) to -1571 (cm²/g) for 2μm AlN FBAR, are pretty high compared with the mass sensitivity of a 6M QCM, -14(cm²/g) . This high mass sensitivity with the existence of pure shear mode makes ZnO and AlN FBARs promising for sensor application in liquid.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, mass sensitivity of FBARs based on c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN thin films have been theoretically studied. It is found that the material properties and impedance spectra of FBARs are greatly dependant on the c-axis orientation. Pure shear resonant peak is found at 43° and 90° for ZnO, and 46.1°
and 90° for AlN. The mass sensitivity of longitudinal and shear mode for 2μm ZnO or AlN FBAR is very close, and do not change much with c-axis tile angle with a value rang around -900 cm²/g for ZnO and -1550 cm²/g for AlN. This high mass sensitivity and the existence of pure shear mode make ZnO and AlN FBARs desirable for sensor application in liquid.
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FIG. 10. Resonant frequency shift of FBAR based on c-axis tilted ZnO film with different mass loading.

FIG. 11. Resonant frequency shift of FBAR based on c-axis tilted AlN film with different mass loading.
**TABLE I.** Materials properties and data used in theoretical calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piezoelectric layer</th>
<th>Density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Electrode area (μm²×μm)</th>
<th>Mechanical quality factor of longitudinal mode</th>
<th>Mechanical quality factor of Shear mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>5700</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300×300</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlN</td>
<td>3260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass loading layer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>0-500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>19300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>21450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II. Mass sensitivity of FBAR based on $c$-axis tilted ZnO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta$ (°)</th>
<th>$S_x(\theta)$ (cm$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$S_y(\theta)$ (cm$^2$/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-908</td>
<td>-908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>-881</td>
<td>-881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-880</td>
<td>-880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-axis $\theta(\degree)$</td>
<td>$S_x(\theta)(\text{cm}^2/\text{g})$</td>
<td>$S_z(\theta)(\text{cm}^2/\text{g})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1571</td>
<td>-1571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-1561</td>
<td>-1561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-1542</td>
<td>-1542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>-1562</td>
<td>-1562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-1537</td>
<td>-1537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>-1536</td>
<td>-1536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-1537</td>
<td>-1537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 1. The schematic of FBARs based on c-axis tilted ZnO or AlN thin film and coordinate systems: (a) FBAR based on ZnO or AlN thin film with normal c-axis and coordinate system \((X_1, X_2, X_3)\), (b) FBAR based on ZnO or AlN thin film with tilted c-axis and coordinate system \((X_1', X_2', X_3')\), (c) the relation of \((X_1, X_2, X_3)\) and \((X_1', X_2', X_3')\), (d) ZnO material properties in \((X_1, X_2, X_3)\), and (e) AlN material properties in \((X_1, X_2, X_3)\).
FIG. 2. The elastic constants of ZnO thin film as a function of tilt angle: (a) $c^E$, (b) $c^D$. 
FIG. 3. The elastic constants of AlN thin film as a function of tilt angle: (a) $c^E$, (b) $c^D$.
FIG. 4. The dielectric constants of ZnO thin film as a function of tilt angle: $\varepsilon_{33}^{s}, \varepsilon_{33}^{t}$. 
FIG. 5. The dielectric constants of AlN thin film as a function of tilt angle: $\varepsilon_{33}^S$, $\varepsilon_{33}^T$. 
FIG. 6. The piezoelectric constants of ZnO thin film as a function of tilt angle: (a) $e'$, (b) $d'$
FIG. 7. The piezoelectric constants of AlN thin film as a function of tilt angle: (a) $\varepsilon'$, (b) $d'$
FIG. 8. Simulation of impedance spectrum of FBAR based on c-axis tilted ZnO thin film (S: Shear mode, L: Longitudinal Mode).
FIG. 9. Simulation of impedance spectrum of FBAR based on c-axis tilted AlN thin film (S: Shear mode, L: Longitudinal Mode).
FIG. 10. Resonant frequency shift of FBAR based on c-axis tilted ZnO film with different mass loading.
FIG. 11. Resonant frequency shift of FBAR based on c-axis tilted AlN film with different mass loading.
Analysis of dual-mode thin film bulk acoustic resonators based on polar c-axis tilted wurtzite gallium nitride
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We present the analytical study of dual-mode thin film bulk acoustic resonators (FBARs) based on wurtzite gallium nitride (GaN) thin films with tilted c-axis orientation. The normal-plane and in-plane electric polarization vector components caused by the tilted c-axis orientation induce the coexistence of the thickness longitudinal and thickness shear modes in the thin film resonator. Due to the c-axis orientation dependence of material properties including elastic stiffness, dielectric constants, and piezoelectric coefficients, bulk wave properties of FBARs including acoustic velocity and electromechanical coupling coefficient, and electrical impedance spectra are greatly affected by the tilt angle. It is found that the pure longitudinal mode occurs at \( \theta = 0^\circ \) and 66.4\(^\circ\), and the pure shear mode occurs at \( \theta = 42.8^\circ \) and 90\(^\circ\). In addition, the electromechanical coupling coefficient for thickness shear mode has a maximum value 3.18\% at \( \theta = 34.2^\circ \), which is larger than the maximum value for pure thickness longitudinal mode 2.44\% at \( \theta = 0^\circ \). The calculation results show that FBARs based on tilted c-axis wurtzite GaN thin films are promising for frequency control device design and applications. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3427434]

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past years, thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs) have attracted much attention for application in rf and microwave frequency control and signal processing fields such as cellular phones, navigation, satellite communication, and other data communication systems like wireless local area networks.\textsuperscript{1–5} Commonly, FBAR consists of one thin piezoelectric layer with two metal electrodes deposited on both sides. Aluminum nitride (AlN) and zinc oxide (ZnO) are the mainstream piezoelectric materials for FBARs fabrication, and their thickness usually ranges from several micrometers down to tenth of micrometers, which results in high resonant frequency from hundreds of megahertz (MHz) to over tens of gigahertz (GHz). In addition to applications in electronic circuits as frequency control devices, FBARs can also be used for sensor application. In the case of mass sensor application as the quartz microbalance, it is well known that the resonant frequency will change due to surface mass change, and the absolute frequency is proportional to the square of the operating frequency.\textsuperscript{6,7} Therefore, using FBAR structures, with operation frequency in GHz range, can greatly improve the sensitivity of the device. In fact, chemical sensors based on FBARs have been experimentally investigated for low concentration detection, which showed much higher sensitivity and resolution comparable to quartz crystal microbalances.\textsuperscript{8}

FBARs are often operated in thickness longitudinal mode since the ZnO and AlN thin film materials used for device fabrication are usually with normal-plane c-axis orientation. For sensor applications in liquid medium, thickness shear mode bulk acoustic wave resonators are needed. This is because liquid cannot support shear wave, the damping caused by the liquid medium is not severe for thickness shear mode bulk acoustic wave resonator; while for thickness longitudinal mode resonator, the acoustic wave energy is radiated into liquid through compressional motion, leading to significant damping in the liquid medium. Fortunately, previous research works have shown that thickness shear mode can be excited through the use of AlN and ZnO thin films with tilted c-axis orientation.\textsuperscript{9–14}

Until now, GaN thin films have received a great attention for potential high frequency, high temperature, and high power applications due to the unique properties of large forbidden gap, considerable hardness, and high thermal conductivity.\textsuperscript{15–17} The piezoelectric properties of wurtzite GaN also make it an exciting material for manufacture of FBARs. For example, FBAR with resonant frequency up to 6.3 GHz and quality factor higher than 1100 has been achieved using GaN thin film.\textsuperscript{18} The polar c-axis orientation of GaN thin film is usually normal to substrate surface, which produces only thickness longitudinal mode and cannot be applied for sensor application in liquid as mentioned before. Films with in-plane polarization have also been fabricated on certain substrate crystal such as tetragonal (\( \gamma \)) LiAlO\(_2\) (100) for the fabrication of structures free of electro-static fields for efficient white light-emitting diodes.\textsuperscript{19} In this paper, we consider GaN film with tilted polar c-axis from normal-plane to in-plane change, and examine the orientation dependence of elastic, dielectric, and piezoelectric property of wurtzite GaN thin film; further the characteristics of dual-mode thin film resonators will be analyzed.

II. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

A. Material properties of c-axis tilted wurtzite GaN

The material properties of wurtzite GaN thin films with tilted c-axis can be calculated from properties of wurtzite...
GaN thin films with normal c-axis through coordinate system rotation. Figure 1(a) shows FBAR based on wurtzite GaN thin film with normal c-axis, and (x1, x2, x3) is the original material coordinate; Fig. 1(b) shows the schematic of FBAR based on c-axis tilted wurtzite GaN, where the top and bottom electrode are ignored. A rectangular Cartesian coordinate system (x’1, x’2, x’3) is chosen with top electrode on x’3 = h and bottom electrode on x’1 = 0. The c-axis of GaN film is tilted at an angle θ to the x’3 direction. Coordinate system (x’1, x’2, x’3) can be treated as the result of rotation of (x1, x2, x3) about x2 with an angle θ, as shown in Fig. 1(c). For the characterization of c-axis tilted wurtzite GaN FBARs, the material properties in (x’1, x’2, x’3) need to be known. Assuming the dielectric permittivity, piezoelectric stress coefficient, and elastic stiffness are (ε’, ε’, c’) for coordinate system (x’1, x’2, x’3), and (ε, ε, c) for coordinate system (x1, x2, x3), the material properties in new coordinate system can be computed through properties in original coordinate system with the aids of matrix algebra:

\[ e' = a e a', \]  
\[ e' = a e M', \]  
\[ c' = M c M', \]  
\[ a = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0 & \sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \]


\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
    a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & a_{13}^2 & 2a_{12}a_{13} & 2a_{11}a_{13} & 2a_{11}a_{12} \\
    a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & a_{23}^2 & 2a_{22}a_{23} & 2a_{21}a_{23} & 2a_{21}a_{22} \\
    a_{31}^2 & a_{32}^2 & a_{33}^2 & 2a_{32}a_{33} & 2a_{31}a_{33} & 2a_{31}a_{32} \\
    a_{21}a_{31} & a_{22}a_{32} & a_{23}a_{33} & a_{23}a_{32} + a_{22}a_{33} & a_{23}a_{31} + a_{22}a_{32} & a_{22}a_{31} \\
    a_{11}a_{31} & a_{12}a_{32} & a_{13}a_{33} & a_{13}a_{32} + a_{12}a_{33} & a_{13}a_{31} + a_{12}a_{32} & a_{12}a_{31} \\
    a_{11}a_{21} & a_{12}a_{22} & a_{13}a_{23} & a_{13}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{23} & a_{13}a_{21} + a_{12}a_{23} & a_{12}a_{21}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where θ is the tilt angle, \( a' \) is the transpose of \( a \), and \( M' \) is the transpose of \( M \).

**B. Impedance of FBAR based on c-axis tilted wurtzite GaN**

Following a similar procedure\(^\text{21}\) the equation for c-axis tilted FBAR impedance is derived. According to the acoustic field equations and piezoelectric constitutive equations, in the coordinate system (x’1, x’2, x’3) we have\(^\text{20}\)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1'} 0 0 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3'}
\begin{bmatrix}
    T_1 \\
    T_2 \\
    T_3 \\
    T_4 \\
    T_5 \\
    T_6 
\end{bmatrix}
= \rho \begin{bmatrix}
    \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial t^2} \\
    \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial t^2} \\
    \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

where \( T_p, S_q, D_i, \) and \( E_k \) are the components of stress, strain, electric displacement, and electric field intensity, \( e_{ip}' \) are the elastic stiffness constants under constant electric field intensity, \( e_{ip}' \) are the piezoelectric stress constants, \( e_{ik}' \) are the permittivity constants under constant strain, and \( u_i \) is the displacement in the direction of x’_i (i,k = 1, 2, 3 and p,q = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). The thickness of GaN is much smaller than the lateral dimensions, which means that the resonator vibra-
tion can be treated as one dimension problem; hence, we can assume
\[ \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x_2} = 0, \]  
(10)

\[ E_1 = E_2 = 0, E_3 \neq 0, \]  
(11)

\[ \frac{\partial D_3}{\partial x_3} = 0. \]  
(12)

For a sinusoidal excitation, the voltage \( V \) and current \( I \) across FBAR are expressed by
\[ V = \int_0^h E_3 dx_3', \]  
(13)

\[ I = j\omega AD_3, \]  
(14)

where \( A \) is the area of the electrodes, \( \omega \) is the angle frequency. The traction force at the boundary \( (x_1'=0, h) \) is zero, thus we have
\[ T_3(0) = T_3(h) = T_3(0) = T_3(h) = 0. \]  
(15)

For any tilt angle \( \theta \), it is found
\[ c_{34}' = c_{45}' = e_{34}' = 0. \]  
(16)

From Eqs. (6)–(16), the impedance of FBAR can be solved
\[ Z = \frac{V}{I} = \frac{1}{j\omega C_0} \left( 1 - k_L^2 \tan(\gamma_L/2) - k_S^2 \tan(\gamma_S/2) \right), \]  
(17a)

where
\[ \gamma_L = \frac{\omega h}{\nu(L)}, \]  
(17b)

\[ \gamma_S = \frac{\omega h}{\nu(S)}, \]  
(17c)

\[ \nu(L) = \left[ \frac{E_{33}'}{2\rho} + \frac{E_{55}'}{2\rho} \right]^{1/2}, \]  
(17d)

\[ \nu(S) = \left[ \frac{E_{33}'}{2\rho} - \frac{E_{55}'}{2\rho} \right]^{1/2}, \]  
(17e)

\[ c_{33}' = c_{33}' + \frac{(e_{33}')^2}{\varepsilon_{33}'}, \]  
(17f)

\[ c_{35}' = c_{35}' + \frac{(e_{35}')^2}{\varepsilon_{33}'}, \]  
(17g)

\[ c_{55}' = c_{55}' + \frac{(e_{35}')^2}{\varepsilon_{33}'}, \]  
(17h)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>GaN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elastic stiffness matrix (GPa)(^a)</td>
<td>(c_{11}^t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c_{12}^t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c_{13}^t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c_{33}^t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c_{44}^t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c_{66}^t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezoelectric strain coefficient matrix (pn/V)(^b)</td>
<td>(d_{31})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{33})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{13})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric constant matrix(^c)</td>
<td>(e_{11}^s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(e_{33}^s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Reference 22.  
\(^b\)Reference 23.  
\(^c\)Reference 24.

\[ C_0 = \frac{e_{33}'^2}{\varepsilon_{33}'}, \]  
(17i)

\[ k_L^2 = \frac{(e_j)^2}{\varepsilon_{33}' \rho (\nu(L))^2}, \]  
(17j)

\[ k_S^2 = \frac{(e_j)^2}{\varepsilon_{33}' \rho (\nu(S))^2}, \]  
(17k)

\[ e_L = e_{35}' \sin(\alpha) + e_{35}' \cos(\alpha), \]  
(17l)

\[ e_S = e_{35}' \cos(\alpha) - e_{35}' \sin(\alpha), \]  
(17m)

\[ \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left( \frac{2e_{35}'}{c_{33}' + c_{55}' \rho} \right). \]  
(17n)

Here, \( f \) is frequency, \( u^{(L)} \) and \( u^{(S)} \) are the acoustic velocities for longitudinal mode and shear mode, and \( k_L^2 \) and \( k_S^2 \) are, respectively, defined as the electromechanical coupling coefficient for the longitudinal and shear mode.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Dependence of wurtzite GaN properties on the tilt angle

Using Eqs. (1)–(5) and material properties in Table I, the material properties in \((x_1', x_2', x_3')\) can be calculated. We cal-
calculate two type elastic constants \( c_E \) and \( c_D \) shown in Fig. 2. \( c_E \) is the elastic stiffness constant under constant electric field intensity, and \( c_D \) is the elastic stiffness constant under constant electric displacement. When \( \theta \) changes from 0° to 180°, 6 by 6 matrix \( c_E \) and \( c_D \) keeps symmetric with \( c_{14}^E = c_{24}^E = c_{34}^E = c_{26}^E = c_{36}^E = c_{45}^E = 0 \) and \( c_{14}^D = c_{24}^D = c_{34}^D = 0 \). From Fig. 2, it can be seen that \( c_{22}^E \) and \( c_{22}^D \) do not change with the tilt angle, which can be explained by the fact \( x_2 \) and \( x_2' \) are the same axis. However, the other nonzero elastic constants are strongly dependent on the tilt angle. For example, \( c_{11}^E, c_{11}^D, c_{33}^E \), and \( c_{33}^D \) are symmetric about \( \theta = 90° \), decrease at first, and increase when \( \theta \) is over 45°.

The two calculated dielectric constants \( \varepsilon_S^T \) and \( \varepsilon_T^T \), the dielectric constant under constant strain and under constant stress, keep symmetric as \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix for \( 0° \leq \theta \leq 180° \), and the nonzero items are shown in Fig. 3. Similar with dielectric constant, \( \varepsilon_{22}^S \) and \( \varepsilon_{22}^T \) keep constant because \( x_2 \) does not change with \( \theta \). On the contrary, other dielectric constants show great dependency on tilt angle. The variation in \( \varepsilon_{11}^S \) or \( \varepsilon_{11}^T \) with \( \theta \) has an opposite trend with \( \varepsilon_{33}^S \) or \( \varepsilon_{33}^T \). \( \varepsilon_{11}^T \) has a maximum value at \( \theta = 90° \) and a minimum value at \( \theta = 0° \), while \( \varepsilon_{33}^S \) or \( \varepsilon_{33}^T \) has a same maximum value at \( \theta = 0° \) and a same minimum value at \( \theta = 90° \). This is attributed to the fact that axis \( x_1 \) becomes \( x_3 \) and \( x_3' \) coincides with negative \( x_1 \) when \( \theta \) is equal to 90°.

The piezoelectric strain constant \( d' \) (\( 3 \times 6 \) matrix) and piezoelectric stress constant \( e' \) (\( 3 \times 6 \) matrix) also behavior a strong dependency on tilt angle, and the nonzero constants are shown in Figs. 4 and 5.
B. Bulk acoustic wave properties of wurtzite GaN

Acoustic velocity and electromechanical coupling coefficient are two important parameters for FBAR design. Figures 6 and 7 show the calculated acoustic velocity and electromechanical coupling coefficient of GaN thin films for longitudinal and shear mode. The longitudinal velocity ($v_L$) is found in the range of 7598–8145 m/s, which is larger than shear velocity ($v_S$) range 4132–4899 m/s. On the contrary, longitudinal electromechanical coupling coefficient ($k_L^2$) varies from 0% to 2.44%, which is smaller than shear electromechanical coupling coefficient ($k_S^2$) range 0% to 3.18%. Based on the impedance expression of FBAR, the resonator could be operated in a single mode when a tilt angle makes $k_L = 0$ (pure shear mode) or $k_S = 0$ (pure longitudinal mode). Table II summarizes the results for some special angles: the pure longitudinal mode occurred at $\theta = 0^o$ and 66.4°, and the pure shear mode occurs at $\theta = 42.8^o$ and 90°. The electromechanical coupling coefficient of shear mode has a maximum value 3.18% at $\theta = 34.2^o$, which is larger than the maximum value of longitudinal mode 2.44% at $\theta = 0^o$. With the development of modern mobile communication systems, filters with wider bandwidth are greatly needed, which requires higher electromechanical coupling coefficient of piezoelectric material for FBAR based filters. Hence, compared with ($k_L^2$) up to 2.44% ($\theta = 0^o$), the higher value of $k_S^2$ up to 3.18% ($\theta = 34.2^o$) can provide a better choice for the design of higher bandwidth filter.

C. Simulation of electric impedance spectrum of FBAR based on wurtzite GaN

Based on the one dimension impedance equation, the electric impedance spectrum of FBAR can be simulated. Table III lists the parameters used in the simulation. Figure 8 shows the calculated impedance spectra of GaN FBARs with different tilt angle. It can be seen that there are two resonance peaks for most angles. The first peak is shear resonance, and the second one is longitudinal resonance. The resonance frequencies of longitudinal and shear modes are about in the range of 1.9 GHz to 2.0 GHz, and 1 GHz to 1.2 GHz, respectively. As mentioned before, the appearance of strong shear resonance for some certain tilt angles makes GaN FBARs possible for sensor application in liquid. Considering that low electromechanical coupling coefficient of shear mode may lead to deterioration of resonator operation in liquid,11 pure shear mode produced at 42.8° and 90° with good electromechanical coupling coefficient is the best choice for FBAR operation in liquid application.
TABLE II. Bulk acoustic wave properties of wurtzite GaN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tilt angle (°)</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Electromechanical coupling coefficient (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V_L</td>
<td>k_L^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Pure longitudinal</td>
<td>8145</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>Quasi shear and longitudinal</td>
<td>7691</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>Pure shear</td>
<td>7605</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>Pure longitudinal</td>
<td>7789</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>Pure longitudinal</td>
<td>7963</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 6. Acoustic velocities of wurtzite GaN thin film as function of tilt angle.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Electromechanical coupling coefficients of wurtzite GaN thin film as function of tilt angle.

TABLE III. Parameters used in impedance simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piezoelectric layer</th>
<th>Density kg/m^3</th>
<th>Thickness μm</th>
<th>Electrode area 300 μm</th>
<th>Mechanical quality factor of longitudinal mode</th>
<th>Mechanical quality factor of shear mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wurtzite GaN</td>
<td>6150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300 × 300</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 8. (Color online) Simulation of impedance spectrum of FBAR based on c-axis tilted wurtzite GaN thin film (S: shear mode, L: longitudinal mode).
IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, FBARs based on wurtzite GaN thin films have been theoretically studied. It is found that the acoustic velocity, electromechanical coupling coefficient, and impedance spectra of FBARs are greatly dependant on the c-axis orientation. Strong shear resonant peak is found at special tilt angle. At $\theta = 34.2^\circ$, the electromechanical coefficient of shear mode has a maximum value 3.18%; at $\theta = 42.8^\circ$ and $90^\circ$, resonator can be operated in pure shear mode. These special tilt angles with high shear electromechanical coupling coefficient provide more opportunities for FBAR filter design and sensor application in liquid.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge financial support from Army Research Office under Grant No. 49404-RG.

Analytical Study of Dual-Mode Thin Film Bulk Acoustic Resonators (FBARs) Based on ZnO and AlN Films With Tilted c-Axis Orientation
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Abstract—In this paper, we present the analytical study of thin-film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs) using ZnO and AlN films with a c-axis tilt angle (off-normal) from 0° to 180°. The tilted c-axis orientation induces normal plane and in-plane polarizations, which leads to the coexistence of the longitudinal mode and shear mode in the resonator. The equation for predicting electric impedance of FBARs was derived from the basic piezoelectric constitutive equations. Material properties including elastic, dielectric, and piezoelectric coefficients, bulk wave properties including acoustic velocity and electromechanical coupling coefficient, and impedance of FBARs were calculated and showed strong dependence on the tilt angle. Interestingly, it was found that for ZnO FBARs, pure thickness longitudinal modes occur at 0° and 65.4°, and pure thickness shear modes occur at 43° and 90°. For AlN FBARs, pure longitudinal modes occur at 0° and 67.1°, and pure shear modes occur at 46.1° and 90° for AlN. In other words, pure thickness longitudinal and shear modes exist in ZnO and AlN FBARs at specific tilt polarization angles. In addition, two peaks of shear mode electromechanical coefficient are found at 33.3° and 90° for ZnO, and 34.5° and 90° for AlN. Therefore, ZnO and AlN films with specific tilt angles may provide options in the design and fabrication of FBARs, considering their strong shear resonance with high electromechanical coefficients. The use of dual-mode FBARs for mass sensors is also analyzed; the calculated large resonant frequency shift caused by mass loading shows that they have good prospects for use in sensor applications with high sensitivity. The simulation results agreed well with the reported experiment results, and can be used for design and application of FBARs.

I. INTRODUCTION

R

erently, in the RF and microwave frequency control and signal processing fields, thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators (FBARs) have received great attention because of their small size, low loss, and low power consumption [1]–[5]. For example, filters based on AlN FBARs which can be operated in the low and medium gigahertz range have been fabricated for signal processing and communication devices [6]–[8]. Also, FBARs show good prospects for sensor applications. Gabl et al. presented a biosensor system based on 2-GHz longitudinal-mode ZnO FBARs for DNA and protein molecule detection; these sensors showed much higher sensitivity than quartz crystal microbalances (QCMs) because of substantially higher resonant frequencies of FBARs [9]. Zhang et al. investigated the effects of liquid nature and conductivity on ZnO FBARs, and TiO$_2$-coated ZnO FBARs with high mass sensitivity were fabricated for metal ion K$^+$ detection in a liquid environment [10]. Benetti et al. reported a chemical sensor based on AlN FBARs with resonance frequency around 1.6 GHz, which was used to detect low concentrations of H$_2$, CO, and ethanol with a fast and repeatable response [11].

However, for sensor applications in liquid, the performance of thickness longitudinal-mode FBARs will be adversely affected because the acoustic wave energy is radiated into liquid through compressional motion, resulting in excessive damping of the resonator [12]. On the other hand, shear acoustic waves do not produce compressional motion and thus are relatively undamped by liquid. Therefore, shear mode is a preferred choice for liquid operation of FBAR, like the operation of a QCM [13]. Now it must be determined what kind of AlN or ZnO thin films can excite the shear mode.

In recent studies, it has been shown that thickness shear mode resonance can be excited in piezoelectric thin films with tilted c-axis (polarization off-normal) orientations. Foster et al. theoretically and experimentally analyzed the excitation of longitudinal and shear wave in a ZnO transducer, which showed the shear-wave excitation greatly exceeded the longitudinal wave excitation when the c-axis was inclined at angles near 40° [14]. Wittstruck et al. reported the fabrication of the Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O film through metal organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD); the c-axis of the Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O film was lying in the plane surface, providing a possibility for thickness shear wave sensing in a liquid environment [15]. Yanagitani et al. reported pure thickness shear-mode FBARs made of (1010) and (1120) textured ZnO films [16], [17]. Link et al. fabricated solidly mounted FBARs using 18° c-axis inclined ZnO thin films; mechanical quality factors of 192 were determined in water, indicating these devices are attractive for sensing applications in liquids [18]. Wu et al. theoretically analyzed bulk acoustic wave properties of all crystalline plane oriented sputtered and epitaxial ZnO films using the Christoffel equation; it showed that ZnO films with different
crystalline plane orientation formed different acoustic wave modes and properties [19].

In the case of AlN, Bjurström and Wingqvist et al. deposited c-axis tilted AlN films by reactive magnetron sputter deposition system. The variation of the electromechanical coupling and the quality factor of the resonators with tilt angle were examined and a dual-mode FBAR with a micro-fluidic transport system was constructed to investigate the device performance in liquid [20]–[23]. Martin et al. presented solidly mounted FBARs based on c-axis tilted AlN films; the resonant frequencies of resonators could be high, up to around 8 GHz for longitudinal mode and 4 GHz for shear mode [24], [25]. Chuang et al. fabricated solidly mounted dual-mode FBARs using 0°, 15°, and 30° c-axis tilted AlN films, and experimentally investigated the effect of mass loading on the resonators [26]. Chen et al. analyzed the input impedance of a dual-mode AlN FBAR by ignoring the coupling of longitudinal and shear mode, which showed the coexistence of dual mode was dependent on the tilt angle [27].

In this paper, we present the theoretical analysis of thickness longitudinal and thickness shear dual-mode FBARs based on c-axis tilted ZnO and AlN films. Following a similar procedure [14], the equation for predicting electric impedance of FBARs was derived by the basic piezoelectric equations. The material properties including elastic, dielectric, and piezoelectric constants were calculated with a tilt angle range from 0° to 180°, which were used to determine the bulk wave properties of films including acoustic velocity and electromechanical coupling coefficient. Interestingly, it is found that pure thickness longitudinal and pure thickness shear modes occur in the c-axis tilted AlN and ZnO thin film resonators at specific tilt angles. The maximum thickness shear mode electromechanical coefficients are found at 33.3° and 90° for ZnO, and 34.5° and 90° for AlN FBARs. The response of dual-mode resonators were analyzed and compared with reported experiment results. In addition, the sensitivity of FBAR mass sensors are analyzed by considering the effect of a thin layer of mass loading on the frequency shift of the resonators. The analytical and simulation results indicate that high sensitivity can be obtained when the dual-mode FBARs are used for sensor applications.

II. THEORY

A. Dual-Mode FBARs

Fig. 1(a) shows the schematic of tilted c-axis ZnO or AlN FBARs, where the top and bottom electrode are ignored. A rectangular Cartesian coordinate system \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) was chosen with top electrode on \(x_3 = h\) and bottom electrode on \(x_3 = 0\). The c-axis of ZnO or AlN films is tilted at an angle \(\theta\) to the \(x_3^\prime\) direction. Fig. 1(b) shows the c-axis normal coordinate \((x_1^\prime, x_2^\prime, x_3^\prime)\). Coordinate system \((x_1^\prime, x_2^\prime, x_3^\prime)\) can be treated as the result of rotation of \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) about \(x_2\) with an angle \(\theta\), as shown in Fig. 1(c).

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^\prime &= a \varepsilon a^t \\
\varepsilon^\prime &= a \varepsilon a^t \\
\varepsilon^\prime &= M \varepsilon M^t \\
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 1. The schematic of FBAR and coordinate systems. (a) Schematic of FBAR and \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) coordinate system; (b) \((x_1^\prime, x_2^\prime, x_3^\prime)\) coordinate system; (c) the relation between the \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) and \((x_1^\prime, x_2^\prime, x_3^\prime)\) coordinate systems.

B. Material Properties of ZnO and AlN Films

For the characterization of tilted c-axis ZnO or AlN FBARs, the material properties in \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) need to be known. Assuming the dielectric permittivity, piezoelectric stress coefficient, and elastic stiffness are \((\varepsilon^\prime, \varepsilon^\prime, c^\prime)\) for coordinate system \((x_1^\prime, x_2^\prime, x_3^\prime)\), and \((\varepsilon, c, e)\) for coordinate system \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\), the material properties in new coordinate system can be computed through properties in original coordinate system with the aid of matrix algebra [28]:

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0 & \sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \\
M^t &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]
$$M = \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 2a_{12}a_{13} & 2a_{11}a_{13} & 2a_{11}a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 2a_{22}a_{23} & 2a_{21}a_{23} & 2a_{21}a_{22} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & 2a_{32}a_{33} & 2a_{31}a_{33} & 2a_{31}a_{32} \\
a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} & a_{12}a_{23} + a_{21}a_{33} + a_{11}a_{32} & a_{12}a_{33} + a_{21}a_{13} + a_{11}a_{23} & a_{12}a_{13} + a_{11}a_{22} + a_{11}a_{21} \\
\end{bmatrix}$$

(5)

C. Electric Impedance of FBARs

According to the acoustic field equations and piezoelectric constitution equations [28], [29], we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \\ T_4 \\ T_5 \\ T_6 \end{bmatrix} = \rho \begin{bmatrix}\frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial t^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial t^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

(6)

From (6)–(10), we can obtain:

$$T_4 = c_{44}^E \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} + c_{35}^E \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_3} + c_{45}^E \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3} - e_{33}^i E_3$$

(12)

$$\frac{\partial T_4}{\partial x_3} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial t^2}$$

(13)

$$T_5 = c_{55}^E \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3} + c_{35}^E \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_3} + c_{45}^E \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} - e_{33}^i E_3$$

(14)

$$\frac{\partial T_5}{\partial x_3} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2}$$

(15)

$$T_3 = c_{34}^E \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3} + c_{35}^E \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_3} + c_{44}^E \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} - e_{33}^i E_3$$

(16)

$$\frac{\partial T_3}{\partial x_3} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2}$$

(17)

$$D_3 = e_{35}^i \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3} + e_{34}^i \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} + e_{33}^i \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_3} + e_{33}^i E_3$$

(18)

For any tilt angle $\theta$, it is found that

$$c_{34}^E = c_{55}^E = c_{33}^i = 0.$$  

(19)

Using (12), (13), and (19), we get $u_2 = 0$. Substituting (14) into (15) and substituting (16) into (17), we have:

$$\frac{c_{55}^E}{c_{35}^E} \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x_3^2} + \frac{c_{35}^E}{c_{55}^E} \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial x_3^2} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2}$$

(20)

$$\frac{c_{34}^E}{c_{35}^E} \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x_3^2} + \frac{c_{44}^E}{c_{35}^E} \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x_3^2} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2},$$

(21)

where

$$\frac{c_{34}^E}{c_{35}^E} = c_{34}^E + \frac{(e_{33}^i)^2}{e_{35}^E}$$

(22a)
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{c_{35}'}{c_{35}} &= c_{35}' + \frac{\varepsilon_{35}'}{\varepsilon_{33}}, \\
\frac{c_{65}'}{c_{65}} &= c_{65}' + \frac{\varepsilon_{65}'}{\varepsilon_{33}}.
\end{align*}
\] (22b)

For a sinusoidal excitation, the solution to (20) and (21) is given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u_1 \\
u_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha \\
\cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_L \\
u_S
\end{bmatrix}
\] (23a)

\[
u_L = \left( A_L \sin(\omega x_3/v^{(L)}) + B_L \cos(\omega x_3/v^{(L)}) \right)e^{jwt} \] (23b)

\[
u_S = \left( A_S \sin(\omega x_3/v^{(S)}) + B_S \cos(\omega x_3/v^{(S)}) \right)e^{jwt},
\] (23c)

where

\[
w = 2\pi f
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left( \frac{2c_{35}'}{c_{33} - c_{55}'} \right)
\] (24a)

\[
v^{(L)} = \left[ \frac{E_{33}'}{c_{33}} + \frac{E_5'}{c_{55}} \right] + \sqrt{\left( \frac{E_{33}'}{c_{33}} - \frac{E_5'}{c_{55}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{c_{35}'}{\rho} \right)^2} \] (24b)

\[
v^{(S)} = \left[ \frac{E_{33}'}{c_{33}} + \frac{E_5'}{c_{55}} \right] - \sqrt{\left( \frac{E_{33}'}{c_{33}} - \frac{E_5'}{c_{55}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{c_{35}'}{\rho} \right)^2} \] (24c)

\[
T_5(0) = T_3(h) = T_3(0) = T_3(h) = 0.
\] (29)

Using (23), (25), and (29), we find that

\[
u_L(h) - u_L(0) = \frac{2w_1l_3 \tan\left( \frac{\omega h}{2v^{(L)}_{33}} \right)}{w_3 l_3 \varepsilon_{33}^{(L)}}
\] (30a)

\[
u_S(h) - u_S(0) = \frac{2w_3l_3 \tan\left( \frac{\omega h}{2v^{(S)}_{33}} \right)}{w_3 l_3 \varepsilon_{33}^{(S)}}.
\] (30b)

Hence, substituting (30) into (27) and using (28), the impedance of FBAR is found to be

\[
Z = \frac{V}{I} = \frac{1}{j\omega C_0} \left( 1 - k_L^2 \tan(\gamma_L/2) \right) - k_S^2 \tan(\gamma_S/2)
\] (31)

where

\[
\gamma_L = \frac{\omega h}{v^{(L)}}
\] (32a)

\[
\gamma_S = \frac{\omega h}{v^{(S)}}
\] (32b)

\[
C_0 = \varepsilon_{33}^{(S)} A/h
\] (32c)

\[
k_L^2 = \frac{(\varepsilon_{33}^{(L)})^2}{\varepsilon_{33}^{(S)} \rho (v^{(L)})^2}
\] (32d)

\[
k_S^2 = \frac{(\varepsilon_{33}^{(S)})^2}{\varepsilon_{33}^{(S)} \rho (v^{(S)})^2}.
\] (32e)

Here, \( k_L \) and \( k_S \) are respectively defined as the electromechanical coupling coefficient for the longitudinal and shear modes.
TABLE I. Material Properties of ZnO and AlN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>AlN</th>
<th>ZnO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/cm³)</td>
<td>3260</td>
<td>5700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic stiffness (Gpa)</td>
<td>cE1</td>
<td>cE11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>345</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cE12</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>395</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cE33</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cE66</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezoelectric stress constant (C/m²)</td>
<td>eS11</td>
<td>−0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eS33</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eS15</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric permittivity (10⁻¹¹ F/m)</td>
<td>eS33</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Dependence of ZnO and AlN Properties on the Tilt Angle θ

The material properties of ZnO [30], [31] and AlN [32] in the (x₁, x₂, x₃) coordinate system are listed in Table I. Using (1)–(5), (22), (26c), and (26d), the material properties and effective parameters in (x₁, x₂, x₃) coordinate system are calculated and shown in Figs. 2 and 3, which can clearly show that elastic stiffness, dielectric permittivity, and piezoelectric coefficient are tilt-angle dependent. According to (22), cE33 and cE55 can be regarded as piezoelectrically stiffened cE33' and cE55', respectively; and have larger values, as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 3(a). The value of cE33, as shown in Figs. 2(b) and 3(b), is much smaller compared with cE33' and cE55', which makes the calculated angle α through (24b) very small, in a range of 0 to 5° shown in Figs. 2(d) and 3(d). α indicates the strength of the coupling between the longitudinal and shear modes. The smaller the value of α is, the weaker is the coupling. The small value of α makes the calculated eL and eS through (26) very close to e33 and e55, respectively, which can be clearly seen from Figs. 2(c) and 2(f) and Figs. 3(c) and 3(f). The calculated eS33', as shown in Figs. 2(c) and 3(c), varies from 7.61 × 10⁻¹¹ to 8.85 × 10⁻¹¹ F/m for ZnO and 8.00 × 10⁻¹¹ to 9.50 × 10⁻¹¹ F/m for AlN.

B. Bulk Acoustic Wave Properties of ZnO and AlN

It is necessary to know the acoustic velocity and electromechanical coupling coefficient of ZnO and AlN films with different tilt angle, because they are two important bulk acoustic wave properties for FBAR design. Figs. 4(a) and 5(a) show the acoustic velocity of ZnO and AlN films for longitudinal and shear mode based on (24c) and (24d); Figs. 4(b) and 5(b) show electromechanical coupling coefficient of ZnO and AlN films for longitudinal and shear mode based on (32d) and (32e). The longitudinal and shear velocity of AlN are found in the range of 10287 to 11354 m/s and 6016 to 6368 m/s, respectively; for ZnO, the longitudinal and shear velocity are in the range of 5935 to 6362 m/s and 2747 to 3233 m/s, respectively. For most tilt angles, the longitudinal electromechanical coefficient (kL2) of ZnO, varying from 0% to 8.53%, is higher than that of AlN, varying from 0 to 6.0%; and the shear electromechanical coefficient (kS2) of ZnO, varying from 0 to 13.1%, is higher than that of AlN, varying from 0 to 6.5%.

Based on the electric impedance expression (31), it can be found that the conditions for a single mode is kL = 0 (for pure shear mode) or kS = 0 (for pure longitudinal mode), which corresponds to eL = 0 or eS = 0 according to (32d) and (32e). The tilt angle for pure modes are numerically solved and summarized in Table II and III, which also include the special tilt angle where the maximum shear electromechanical coupling coefficient occurs. For ZnO, the pure longitudinal mode is excited at θ = 0° with kL² = 8.5% and θ = 65.4° with kL² = 1.2%; the pure shear mode is excited at θ = 43° with kS² = 10.4% and θ = 90° with kS² = 6.6%; and the maximum of electromechanical coupling coefficient for shear mode is 13.1% at θ = 33.3°. For AlN, the pure longitudinal mode is excited at θ = 0° with kL² = 6% and θ = 67.1° with kL² = 0.6%; the pure shear mode is excited at θ = 46.1° with kS² = 4.6% and θ = 90° with kS² = 2.4%; and the maximum of electromechanical coupling coefficient for shear mode is 6.5% at θ = 34.5°.

C. Simulation of Electric Impedance Spectra of FBARs Based on ZnO and AlN Films

It is well known that the mechanical quality factor (Q) of thin films varies with the thin film deposition processing conditions. The reported Q value of ZnO and AlN
Fig. 2. ZnO material properties and effective parameters in the $(x', y', z')$ coordinate system. (a) $c_{E33}', c_{E55}', c_{E33}'$, and $c_{E55}'$; (b) $c_{E35}'$ and $c_{E55}'$; (c) $c_{E35}'$; (d) $\alpha$; (e) $e_{33}'$ and $e_{L}$; (f) $e_{35}'$ and $e_{S}$.

### TABLE II. Bulk Acoustic Wave Properties of ZnO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tilt angle (°)</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>$v_L$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$v_S$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$(k_L)^2$</th>
<th>$(k_S)^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Pure longitudinal</td>
<td>6362</td>
<td>2747</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>Quasi shear and longitudinal</td>
<td>5992</td>
<td>3216</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13.1 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>Pure shear</td>
<td>5936</td>
<td>3213</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>Pure longitudinal</td>
<td>6028</td>
<td>2916</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>Pure shear</td>
<td>6070</td>
<td>2842</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III. Bulk Acoustic Wave Properties of AlN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tilt angle (°)</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>$v_L$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$v_S$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$(k_L)^2$</th>
<th>$(k_S)^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pure longitudinal</td>
<td>11354</td>
<td>6016</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>Quasi shear and longitudinal</td>
<td>10749</td>
<td>6363</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.5 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>Pure shear</td>
<td>10548</td>
<td>6325</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>Pure longitudinal</td>
<td>10380</td>
<td>6104</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Pure shear</td>
<td>10287</td>
<td>6089</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is around several hundred [10], [18], [20], [22]. Here, for simplification, $Q_L$ (mechanical quality factor of longitudinal mode) is assumed to be 350 for ZnO and 400 for AlN; $Q_S$ (mechanical quality factor of shear mode) assumed to be 350 for ZnO and 400 for AlN. Thus, the new acoustic velocity $v_{L}'$ (longitudinal mode) and $v_{S}'$ (shear mode) are adopted for impedance calculation when $Q_S$ and $Q_L$ are taken into consideration [33]:

$$v_{L}' = v_L + j \frac{v_{L}}{2Q_L} \tag{33a}$$

$$v_{S}' = v_S + j \frac{v_{S}}{2Q_S}. \tag{33b}$$

In addition, the thickness of ZnO or AlN films is assumed to be 2 μm and the electrode area is assumed to $9 \times 10^{-8}$ m$^2$. Because of symmetry, tilt angle from 0 to 90° is adopted in the simulation. Figs. 6 and 7, respectively, show the calculated impedance spectra of ZnO and AlN FBARs with different tilt angles, where the resonance frequencies of shear and longitudinal mode are fairly separated because of the difference of the acoustic velocities. For ZnO, the resonance frequencies of thickness longitudinal and shear modes are found in the range of 1.4 to 1.6 GHz and 0.68 to 0.81 GHz, respectively; the ratio of longitudinal and shear resonance frequency varies from 1.84 to 2.32. Link et al. reported that the ratio of longitudinal and shear resonance frequency was around 2.0 for 18° c-axis inclined ZnO thin film [18], which is consistent with our theoretically calculated value 2.09 ($\theta = 18°$). For AlN, the resonance frequencies of thickness longitudinal and shear modes are found in the range of 2.57 to 2.84 GHz and 1.50 to 1.60 GHz, respectively, and the ratio of longitudinal and shear resonance frequency varies from 1.66 to 1.89. For AlN, the theoretical value found was 1.726, which agrees well with 1.72 calculated through the experimental results of Wingqvist et al. [23]. For another tilt angle ($\theta = 30°$) of AlN, the theoretical value was found to be 1.713, which was also close to 1.76 calculated by the experimental results of Chuang et al. [26].

It also can be seen from Figs. 6 and 7 that resonance peaks of the spectra are different with the change of tilt angle. Interestingly, besides tilt angle 0° (i.e., normal polarization), a pure thickness longitudinal mode occurs at 65.4° for ZnO and 67.1° for AlN, with smaller electromechanical coefficient. In addition, besides tilt angle 90° (i.e.,
in-plane polarization) a pure thickness shear mode occurs at 43° for ZnO and 46.1° for AlN, with higher electromechanical coefficient. The strongest resonance peak of thickness shear mode for ZnO occurs at 33.3°, where the maximum shear mode electromechanical coupling coefficient is found to be 13.1%. For AlN, the strongest resonance peak of thickness shear mode occurs at 34.5°, where the maximum shear mode electromechanical coupling coefficient is found to be 6.5%. From Figs. 4(b) and 5(b), it can also be found that two peaks for $k_{S}^2$ exist at tilt angle $\theta = 33.3°, 90°$ for ZnO, and $\theta = 34.5°, 90°$ for AlN. It is noticed that a low electromechanical coupling coefficient of shear mode will lead to deterioration of resonator operation in liquid [23]. Hence, tilt angle close to 33.3°, 90° for ZnO films, and 34.5°, 90° for AlN films are good options for sensor applications of FBARs in liquid environment. In fact, Wingqvist et al. [23] presented AlN FBARs with a tilt angle around 30°; they showed a strong shear resonance around 1.2 GHz with high Q of around 150 in the water, and the viscous load experiments indicated the potential of FBARs for biosensor bioanalytical tools as well as for liquid sensing in general.

D. Sensitivity Analysis of ZnO and AlN FBAR Mass Sensors

When bulk acoustic wave resonators are used for mass sensors such as in the case of the commonly used QCM, it is well known from the Sauerbrey equation that the resonant frequency will change due to surface mass loading [34], [35], $\Delta f = -(2f_{0}^{2}\Delta m)/(A(\mu_{q}\rho_{q})^{1/2})$, where $f_{0}$ is...
the resonant frequency (in hertz), \( \Delta f \) is frequency change (in hertz), \( \Delta m \) is the mass change (in grams), \( A \) is the piezoelectrically active crystal area (the area between electrodes, in square centimeters), \( \rho_q \) is the density of quartz (\( \rho_q = 2.648 \text{ g/cm}^3 \)), and \( \mu_q \) is the shear modulus of quartz for AT-cut crystal (\( \mu_q = 2.947 \times 10^{11} \text{ g/cm-s}^2 \)). The absolute frequency change is proportional to the square of the operating frequency. Because the operational frequency of FBARs can be up to tens of gigahertz, it is believed that sensor sensitivity will be greatly improved. For analyzing sensor performance of the FBARs, the electric impedance expression of an FBAR with a mass loading layer needs to be derived. Acoustic wave resonator sensors usually consist of four layers: one piezoelectric layer, two electrode layers, and a surface loading (mass) layer, as shown in Fig. 8. Usually the electrode layer is quite thin and its acoustic impedance is very small compared with the piezoelectric and mass-loading layers. Thus for simplicity, the effect of electrodes is neglected here. In other words, we only consider the effect of the mass layer on the resonance frequency shift of the dual-mode FBARs. For derivation of the impedance expression, (1)–(28) are adopted here, but the traction forces at the boundary (\( x_d = 0, h \)) change to

\[
P_d(0) = T_d(0) = 0 \quad \text{(34a)}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
T_d(h) \\
T_S(h)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
-j\omega Z^L_d & 0 \\
0 & -j\omega Z^S_d
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u_l(h) \\
u_s(h)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

\( Z^L_d \) and \( Z^S_d \) are the longitudinal and shear acoustic impedance of the surface mass-loading layer, which can be expressed by

\[
Z^L_d = j\rho_d v^L_d \tan(\gamma^L_d) \quad \text{(34c)}
\]

\[
Z^S_d = j\rho_d v^S_d \tan(\gamma^S_d) \quad \text{(34d)}
\]

\[ v^L_d = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^3_d}{\rho_d}} \quad v^S_d = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^5_d}{\rho_d}} \quad \gamma^L_d = \frac{\omega v^L_d}{l_d} \quad \gamma^S_d = \frac{\omega v^S_d}{l_d} \quad \text{(34e)}
\]

where \( v^L_d \) and \( v^S_d \) are longitudinal and shear acoustic velocity of mass-loading layer; \( \gamma^L_d \) and \( \gamma^S_d \) are longitudinal and shear phase delay in the layer; \( \rho_d \) and \( l_d \) are the density and thickness of the mass layer; \( c^3_d \) and \( c^5_d \) are the elastic constants of the mass layer. According to the boundary condition (34) and (1)–(28), the electric impedance of the mass-loaded FBAR can be solved:

\[
Z = \frac{1}{j\omega C_0} \left[ 1 - (k^L)^2 \frac{\tan(\gamma^L/2)}{\gamma^L/2} - (k^S)^2 \frac{\tan(\gamma^S/2)}{\gamma^S/2} \right],
\]

where

\[
C_0 = \frac{\varepsilon^3_{33} A}{h} \quad \text{(35b)}
\]

\[
(k^L)^2 = \frac{(e^L)^2}{\varepsilon^3_{33} (\mu^L)^2} \quad \text{(35c)}
\]

\[
(k^S)^2 = \frac{(e^S)^2}{\varepsilon^3_{33} (\rho v^S)^2} \quad \text{(35d)}
\]

\[
\gamma^L = \frac{\omega h}{v^L} \quad \text{(35e)}
\]

\[
\gamma^S = \frac{\omega h}{v^S} \quad \text{(35f)}
\]

with \( p^L \) and \( p^S \) as defined in (35g) and (35h), see above, and

\[
Z_{33}^{1L} = Z_{33}^L \cos^2(\alpha) + Z_{33}^S \sin^2(\alpha) \quad \text{(35i)}
\]

\[
Z_{33}^{1S} = Z_{33}^L \cos^2(\alpha) + Z_{33}^S \sin^2(\alpha) \quad \text{(35j)}
\]

\[
Z_{33}^{2L} = (Z_{33}^S - Z_{33}^L) \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \quad \text{(35k)}
\]

Through analyzing (35), the condition for pure longitudinal mode is \( \alpha = 0 \), \( e_5 = 0 \), which is equivalent to \( c_{33}^L = 0 \), \( c_{35} = 0 \); and the condition for pure shear mode is \( \alpha = 0 \), \( e_3 = 0 \), which is equivalent to \( c_{33}^S = 0 \), \( e_3 = 0 \). Hence, from Figs. 2 and 3, we can conclude that the pure longitudinal mode for ZnO and AlN is excited at \( \theta = 0^\circ \), and for pure shear mode it is \( \theta = 90^\circ \). In addition, \( \alpha \) is small because of the small value of \( c_{35}^L \); then longitudinal mode is predominant when \( e_5 = 0 \) and shear mode is predominant when \( e_3 = 0 \); therefore, at \( \theta = 65.4^\circ \) for ZnO and \( \theta = 67.1^\circ \) for AlN, it can be approximated by pure longitudinal mode; at \( \theta = 43^\circ \) for ZnO and \( \theta = 46.1^\circ \) for AlN, it can be approximated by pure shear mode.

For a better understanding of the dual-mode FBAR for mass sensors, we gradually increase the mass loading and
Fig. 6. Impedance spectrum simulation of FBAR based on c-axis tilted ZnO film. (a) $\theta = 0, 15, 33.3, \text{ and } 43^\circ$; (b) $\theta = 60, 65.4, 75, \text{ and } 90^\circ$.

Fig. 7. Impedance spectrum simulation of FBAR based on c-axis tilted AlN film. (a) $\theta = 0, 15, 34.5, \text{ and } 46.1^\circ$; (b) $\theta = 60, 67.1, 75, \text{ and } 90^\circ$. 
investigate the change of the resonant frequency $f_s$, which corresponds to maximum conductance and is usually adopted for sensor output. Here, $f_{sL}(\theta)$ and $f_{sS}(\theta)$ are used to express the longitudinal and shear resonant frequency of FBAR based on AlN or ZnO with c-axis tilt angle $\theta$. Figs. 9 and 10 show the change of $f_{sL}(\theta)$ and $f_{sS}(\theta)$ with the thickness of the mass-loading layer for some specific tilt angles. In the simulation, Al and Ag are the materials for the mass-loading layer, and Table IV lists their parameters for calculation of $f_{sL}(\theta)$ and $f_{sS}(\theta)$ using (35). As shown in Figs. 9 and 10, for mass loading Al and Ag, both $f_{sL}(\theta)$ and $f_{sS}(\theta)$ linearly decrease when the thickness of mass-loading layer is small. For the same thickness of mass loading, the resonant frequency shift for Ag is higher than Al, because Ag has higher density than Al, inducing higher acoustic loading. The mass sensitivity of dual-mode FBARs is defined as

$$S_L(\theta) = \frac{\Delta f_{sL}(\theta)}{\Delta m}$$

(36a)

$$S_S(\theta) = \frac{\Delta f_{sS}(\theta)}{\Delta m}$$

(36b)
\[ \Delta m = \rho m \Delta f_s, \quad (36c) \]

where \( S_L(\theta) \) is the mass sensitivity of longitudinal mode for FBAR based on AlN or ZnO with c-axis tilt angle \( \theta \) and \( S_S(\theta) \) is the mass sensitivity of shear mode; \( \Delta f_s L(\theta) \) and \( \Delta f_s S(\theta) \) are the resonant frequency shifts caused by mass loading \( \Delta m \); \( \rho_m \) and \( t_m \) are the density and thickness of mass-loading layer. The mass sensitivity of FBARs in a linear range \( \left( \frac{\Delta f_s}{f_s(\theta)} \leq 5\% \right) \) is evaluated through examining resonant frequency shift for 5 nm Al or Ag mass loading, and the calculated mass sensitivity for some special angles according to (36) is listed in Tables V and VI. It can be seen from Tables V and VI that \( S_L(\theta) \) and \( S_S(\theta) \) of ZnO are around \(-904 \) cm\(^2\)/g, and \( S_L(\theta) \) and \( S_S(\theta) \) of AlN are around \(-1550 \) cm\(^2\)/g, which is much higher than \(-14 \) cm\(^2\)/g, the mass sensitivity of a 6-MHz QCM [36]. Because of the small value of \( \alpha \) or \( c_{E35}' \) for all \( \theta \), the coupling between longitudinal and shear mode is weak, so the resonator can be approximated by a simple combination of two single modes. On the other hand, it is known that the mass sensitivity \( (S_m) \) of single mode resonator is dependent on the density \( (\rho_p) \) and thickness \( (d_p) \) of the piezo layer [36].

\[ S_m = -\frac{1}{\rho_p d_p} \quad (37) \]

Hence, for ZnO or AlN FBAR, \( S_L(\theta) \) and \( S_S(\theta) \) have similar values, and do not change much with tilt angle, which also can be seen in Table V and VI.

It should be pointed out that in (35) the mass loading layer is assumed to be isotropic. When this layer is the material used for electrodes, (35) can be used to analyze the effect of electrode thickness, which actually has been shown in mass sensitivity calculations. Moreover, through replacing the acoustic impedance of the single mass-loading layer with the acoustic impedance of a multilayer loading, (35) can be used for analysis of multilayer loading on the frequency change of the resonator, as long as the multilayer is isotropic and non-piezoelectrically active.

### IV. Conclusion

FBARs based on ZnO and AlN films with tilted c-axis orientation have been theoretically studied. Because of the crystalline orientation dependence of the material properties, including elastic stiffness, piezoelectric coefficients, and dielectric constants, the acoustic velocity, electromechanical coefficient, and electric impedance of FBARs all depend on the crystalline orientation. The equation for predicting the electric impedance of FBARs has been derived through the basic piezoelectric equations, which shows the coexistence of longitudinal and shear modes when the c-axis tilt angle changes. The simulation results show that a pure longitudinal mode occurs at 0° and 65.4°, and a pure shear mode occurs at 43° and 90° for ZnO; a pure longitudinal mode occurs at 0° and 67.1°, and a pure shear mode occurs at 46.1° and 90° for AlN. Two peaks

---

**Table IV. Parameters for Calculation of \( f_{s L}(\theta) \) and \( f_{s S}(\theta) \).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass-loading layer</th>
<th>Density (kg/m(^3))</th>
<th>Thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Young’s modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
<th>( Q_L )</th>
<th>( Q_S )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>0–500</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>10490</td>
<td>0–500</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table V. Mass Sensitivity of FBAR Based on c-Axis Tilted ZnO.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tilt angle (°)</th>
<th>( f_{s L}(\theta) ) (GHz)</th>
<th>( f_{s S}(\theta) ) (GHz)</th>
<th>( S_L(\theta) ) (cm(^2)/g)</th>
<th>( S_S(\theta) ) (cm(^2)/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.533439408</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−904</td>
<td>−904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.520757366</td>
<td>0.709173538</td>
<td>−896</td>
<td>−894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.496907496</td>
<td>0.751143640</td>
<td>−882</td>
<td>−879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.767714841</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>1.499892844</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−881</td>
<td>−877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.690955092</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table VI. Mass Sensitivity of FBAR Based on c-Axis Tilted AlN.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tilt angle (°)</th>
<th>( f_{s L}(\theta) ) (GHz)</th>
<th>( f_{s S}(\theta) ) (GHz)</th>
<th>( S_L(\theta) ) (cm(^2)/g)</th>
<th>( S_S(\theta) ) (cm(^2)/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.767650148</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−1579</td>
<td>−1558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.746620142</td>
<td>1.517122380</td>
<td>−1556</td>
<td>−1548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.695601826</td>
<td>1.541801144</td>
<td>−1541</td>
<td>−1531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.550894306</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>2.588555566</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−1548</td>
<td>−1525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.507487836</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
of shear electromechanical coefficient are found at \( \theta = 33.3^\circ, 90^\circ \) for ZnO and \( \theta = 34.5^\circ, 90^\circ \) for AlN. ZnO and AlN films with a tilt angle around these two peaks are good options for FBAR applications in liquid, considering their strong shear resonance and high electromechanical coefficients. The mass sensitivity of FBAR-based ZnO and AlN are much higher than that of QCMs, which shows good promise for use as mass sensors.
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Acoustic Wave Flow Sensor Using Quartz Thickness Shear Mode Resonator

Lifeng Qin, Zijing Zeng, Hongbin Cheng, and Qing-Ming Wang, Member, IEEE

Abstract—A quartz thickness shear mode (TSM) bulk acoustic wave resonator was used for in situ and real-time detection of liquid flow rate in this study. A special flow chamber made of 2 parallel acrylic plates was designed for flow measurement. The flow chamber has a rectangular flow channel, 2 flow reservoirs for stabilizing the fluid flow, a sensor mounting port for resonator holding, one inlet port, and one outlet port for pipe connection. A 5-MHz TSM quartz resonator was edge-bonded to the sensor mounting port with one side exposed to the flowing liquid and other side exposed to air. The electrical impedance spectra of the quartz resonator at different volumetric flow rate conditions were measured by an impedance analyzer for the extraction of the resonant frequency through a data-fitting method. The fundamental, 3rd, 5th, 7th, and 9th resonant frequency shifts were found to be around 920, 3572, 5947, 8228, and 10300 Hz for flow rate variation from 0 to 3000 mL/min, which had a corresponding Reynolds number change from 0 to 822. The resonant frequency shifts of different modes are found to be quadratic with flow rate, which is attributed to the nonlinear effect of quartz resonator due to the effective normal pressure imposing on the resonator sensor by the flowing fluid. The results indicate that quartz TSM resonators can be used for flow sensors with characteristics of simplicity, fast response, and good repeatability.

I. INTRODUCTION

A flow sensor is a device for sensing the rate of fluid flow. It is a critical component for system operation monitoring and control in many industrial and laboratory applications. Typically, a flow sensor is the sensing element used in a flow meter or flow logger to record the flow of fluids. There are various types of flow sensors and flow meters in practical applications. Traditional mechanical devices, such as variable area flow meters, pitot tubes, and turbine meters, are simple and do not require power supply [1]; however, they are limited by their physical size and mechanical characteristics, especially for applications that require integration of electronic control. Some invasive methods such as ultrasonic flow meters [2] and laser doppler anemometers [3] are nonintrusive and highly sensitive to flow rate, however, they are expensive and require complex auxiliary electronic parts. Additionally, the widely used thermal anemometers such as hot-wire anemometer, can measure the flow in a precise location and has extremely high frequency response. However, it also has many problems in device design and applications, including breakage of filament stability, calibration, and auxiliary electronics [4].

Acoustic wave resonators including BAW and SAW devices have been regarded as the most sensitive sensor platforms that take the advantage of precise frequency counting in electronic measurement, and they have been extensively studied for many physical- and chemical-sensing applications [5]. Acoustic wave resonators have been investigated for flow rate measurement, and flow sensors based on SAW resonators have been demonstrated with attractive features of high sensitivity, wide dynamic range, and direct digital output [6], [7]. This kind of SAW flow sensor is based on thermal convection: a SAW device with a heater is placed in the flow path. When the fluid flow passes over the sensor surface, it carries heat and changes the temperature of the sensor substrate. Thus, the frequency of the SAW device changes due to its frequency-temperature dependence. Although the theoretical aspect of this kind of sensor is simple and well established, the necessity of a heater for sensor operation may impose practical difficulties in which large power consumption is usually not desirable for compact sensor operation. In addition, the variation of ambient temperature and heater power supply may cause instability of frequency output; to minimize these effects, an additional SAW device that is not exposed to the fluid flow is needed as a reference, which increases system complexity.

On the other hand, to the best of our knowledge, BAW resonators have not been investigated for flow sensors. Among all the acoustic wave sensor configurations, BAW resonators, particularly quartz thickness shear mode (TSM) resonators, are often preferable in many sensor applications due to its high sensitivity, simple structure, and easy interconnection with electronic measurement systems [8]–[12]. Commonly, a resonator consists of one thin layer of piezoelectric material with 2 electrodes on both sides. For sensor applications, an external chemical or physical sensitive thin film is usually coated on the top of the resonator. When an ac electric field is applied across the resonator electrodes, acoustic waves are generated and propagate in the device due to the piezoelectric property of quartz crystal. Variations in coating thin-film parameters, including the thickness, density, and complex shear modulus and interfacial interactions, affect the amplitude and phase of the acoustic wave propagation in the device, which changes the electrical properties of the resonator. Therefore, the measured quantity can be precisely ex-
tracted by the resonance characteristics and the electrical spectrum of the resonator.

The electrical properties of the resonator, such as resonant frequency shift and mechanical damping, depend not only on the mechanical properties of the coating layer and the surface interactions, but also on mechanical or electrical loading conditions because the material properties of quartz resonators are subjected to changes under electrical or mechanical fields. For example, it is found that the resonant frequency of quartz resonators will shift due to nonlinear elastic responses when it is subjected to bias fields [13]–[19]. Among these studies, Ballato et al. revealed that the resonant frequency shift of a single-rotated Y-cut quartz resonator due to the applied force on the rim is dependent on the force magnitude, crystal cut angle, azimuth angle, and the vibration mode [13].

The force-frequency effect of double-rotated quartz resonators was also investigated [14]. Ratajski introduced the force-frequency coefficient $K_f$ for quantifying the force-frequency effect, and an empirical chart based on the normalized experimental results was derived, which can be used to determine the force-frequency behavior of any crystal cut in the singly rotated Y-cut group [15]. Lee et al. derived 2-D governing equations for theoretical analysis of force-frequency effect; and the formula for predicting the resonant frequency shift was developed and compared with experimental results [16], [17]. Numerical methods including finite element method (FEM) were also used to investigate the force-frequency effect of quartz resonators [18], [19]. When the acoustic wave resonators are used for frequency control and signal processing applications, it is often desirable to minimize force-frequency effect. On the other hand, through the force-frequency effect, quartz acoustic wave resonators have also found applications as force sensors, pressure sensors, or accelerometers [20]–[22]. Therefore, it is possible to apply the resonator for flow measurement if the mechanical load due to the flow can significantly change the frequency response of acoustic wave resonators due to linear or nonlinear response of the device by choosing an appropriate flow path and mechanical boundary conditions.

In this paper, a quartz acoustic wave resonator is studied as flow sensor for in situ and real-time detection of fluid (water) flow rate at room temperature. Considering the structural simplicity and high mechanical quality factor, a quartz TSM resonator is particularly chosen as the sensing element. For flow rate measurement, the quartz resonator is edge-bonded at the sensor mounting port in a specially designed flow chamber. First, the relationship of the resonant frequency shift to the flow rate is theoretically discussed based on the nonlinear response of the resonator. The resonant frequency shifts of fundamental thickness shear mode and overtones are then monitored as the sensor responses to different flow rates. The sensitivity and repeatability of the acoustic wave flow sensor are experimentally studied. Finally a brief discussion is given on the flow sensor optimization and potential applications.

II. Material and Methods

A. Quartz TSM Resonator and Flow Chamber

A 5-MHz quartz At-cut TSM resonator (Stanford Research Systems, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) with 25.4-mm diameter was used in this study as a flow sensor. A flow chamber was designed according to the method proposed by Chuang et al. [23]. Fig. 1 shows the schematic of the chamber, which is composed of 2 parallel acrylic plates, in which a rectangular flow channel, inlet and outlet reservoir, 1 sensor mounting port, and 1 inlet port and outlet port are formed. These 2 plates were held together through bolts and an O-ring between them to prevent the liquid leakage. The top plate was machined to form a sensor mounting port, and the quartz resonator was edge-bonded at the port using epoxy of Eccobond 45 Clear (Ellsworth Adhesives, Germantown, WI) such that one surface of the resonator is exposed to the flowing liquid and the other surface is exposed to the air. Two fine Cu wires were bonded to the resonator electrodes through silver paste, which provides electrical connection for resonator impedance measurement. The rectangular flow channel, inlet reservoir, outlet reservoir, inlet port, and outlet port were formed in the bottom of the plate. During flow measurement, fluid entered the inlet reservoir through the inlet port, passed through the rectangular flow channel, flowed into the outlet reservoir, and exited through the outlet port. Plastic pipes were connected to inlet and outlet ports for flow delivery. The dimension of the flow channel is 165.10 mm × 69.85 mm × 0.51 mm.
and the dimension for these 2 reservoirs is 19.05 mm × 76.0 mm × 15.24 mm.

B. Experiment Setup and Procedure

Fig. 2 shows the measurement setup, which is made of a water pool, Manostat Preston pump (Thermo Scientific, Barrington, IL), pulse dampener (Cole-Parmer Instrument Company, Vernon Hills, IL), flow chamber, Agilent 4294A precision impedance analyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA), Hi-Speed GPIB Controller (National Instruments, Austin, TX), and computer. The pool was used to store the fluid: deionized (DI) water, which was driven by the pump. The water level in the pool is a little higher than the flow chamber, which produces around 30 cm of water pressure on the resonator. The pulse dampener was adopted to smooth the flow considering the unstable flow due to the pulse pump. The resonator admittance impedance spectra near the resonant frequencies were recorded by the impedance analyzer. By data fitting the admittance impedance spectra, the resonant frequencies were precisely extracted: 1st (fundamental), 3rd, 5th, 7th, and 9th series resonant frequencies as the sensor response were monitored and recorded during flow measurement. Water flow rates up to 3000 mL/min were used in this study, all of which are limited to laminar flow; corresponding Reynolds numbers were 0 to 822.

C. Method of Flow Measurement

Fig. 3 shows the schematic cross sections of the flow channel, and the Cartesian coordinate system (x, y, z) for fluid flow discussion. The top and bottom plate is at $y = h/2$ and $y = -h/2$. The lateral walls are at $z = \pm w/2$. In the rectangular flow channel, the relation of pressure $p$ and volumetric flow rate $Q$ is given by [23], [24]:

$$Q = \frac{h^3}{12\mu} \left( \frac{dp}{dx} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{192h}{\pi^5} \sum_{n=1,3,5...}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5} \tanh \left( \frac{n\pi w}{2h} \right) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\mu$, $L$, $w$, and $h$ are the viscosity of fluid, the length, width, and height of the flow channel. Shear stress $\tau$ at the wall ($y = \pm h/2$) is given by [23]:

$$\tau = \frac{h}{2} \left( -\frac{dp}{dx} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{8}{\pi^5} \sum_{n=1,3,5...}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5} \frac{1}{\cosh \left( \frac{n\pi w}{2h} \right)} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

From (1), one can get:

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{12Q\mu}{h^3w} \left( 1 - \frac{192h}{\pi^5w} \sum_{n=1,3,5...}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5} \tanh \left( \frac{n\pi w}{2h} \right) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The resonator is located at (0, h/2, 0). Thus, when $Q$ is constant, the normal pressure difference $\Delta P$ on the 2 sides of the resonator can be obtained as:

$$\Delta P = \int_{L/2}^{0} \frac{dp}{dx} dx = \frac{8\mu L}{\pi R_p} Q + \frac{8\mu L}{\pi R_p} Q,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $L$ and $R_p$ are the length and radius of the pipe connected to the outlet port. The first term in (4) represents the pressure drop from the resonator position to the outlet port in the flow channel, and the second term is the pipe pressure drop from the outlet port to the water pool. Also, The shear stress $\tau_r$ on the resonator surface can be obtained by substituting the resonator position into (2):
Eqs. (4) and (5) indicate that both $\Delta P$ and $\tau_r$ are proportional to the flow rate. Fig. 4 plots the calculation results of $\Delta P$ and $\tau_r$ versus flow rate.

When the device is subjected to fluid flow, the resonator will be deformed due to $\Delta P$ and $\tau_r$. In Fig. 5(a) the dotted line indicates the middle plane, which has a flexure deflection $w$. During the deformation, the resonator disk is subjected to either tension or compression on the two sides of the middle plane. According to the first-order perturbation integral [25], this antisymmetrical deformation has no effect on the resonant frequencies. However, the stretch of the middle plane and the contraction of thickness cause a second-order (or nonlinear) frequency shift [26].

It is found that the resonant frequencies are affected by zero-order strains through the second- and third-order elastic stiffness coefficient, and also by first order strain gradients through the third-order elastic stiffness [17]. In other words, resonant frequency shift will be induced by the mechanical strain or stress. For a given flow rate in the flow path of the chamber, finite element analysis (FEA) was performed using ANSYS (ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA), the results indicate that the magnitude of $\Delta P$ is significantly (around 3500 times) higher than $\tau_r$. Thus $\Delta P$ could be the primary factor for resonant frequency shift of the quartz resonator, and the effect of $\tau_r$ on frequency change is then ignored in the following analysis.

In the theoretical analysis of force-frequency relationship for quartz resonators, the isotropic assumption is often made for the calculation of the stress distribution, and the results were found to be consistent with the experimental results [17], [27]. For the determination of the mechanical deflection of quartz resonators subjected to a steady-fluid flow rate, it is assumed that the quartz resonator is isotropic plate with Poisson’s ratio $\nu$, Young’s modulus $E$, and thickness $h$. Thus, for an isotropic plate with clamped edge, the deflection $w$ due to the uniform normal load $\Delta P$ on the surface can be obtained by [28]:

$$w = \frac{\Delta P}{64D} \left( \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2h} \right)^2,$$

where $D = (E h^3)/(12(1 - \nu^2))$ is the rigidity of the quartz plate, $\Delta P$ is the normal pressure difference across the thickness of resonator, $R$ is radius, and $r$ is the radial distance of the point of interest in the middle plane. Therefore, the radius of the middle plane will be elongated from $R$ to $R + \Delta R$, as was schematically shown in Fig. 5(b), and $\Delta R$ is given by:
\[ \Delta R = \int_0^R \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} - 1 \, dr \]
\[ \approx \int_0^R \frac{1}{2} \left( w' \right)^2 \, dr \]
\[ = \frac{R^2}{6720D^2} \Delta P^2. \quad (7) \]

The resonant frequency shift can be determined by the force-induced strain distribution \cite{17}, and the main terms for fundamental resonant frequency are given \cite{26}:

\[ \frac{\Delta f}{f} = A_1 \varepsilon_1 + A_2 \varepsilon_2 + A_3 \varepsilon_3, \quad (8) \]

where the coefficients \( A_1, A_2, \) and \( A_3 \) are determined by the second-order and third-order elastic constants; \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \) and \( \varepsilon_3 \) are the initial strains of middle plain due to the pressure. Here, for estimation, the average strains are used \cite{26}, and the expression can be easily found for \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_3 \):

\[ \varepsilon_1 \approx \varepsilon_3 \approx \frac{\Delta R}{R} = \frac{R^6}{6720D^2} \Delta P^2. \quad (9) \]

Because the normal stress along the thickness direction is approximately zero for a very thin plate, according to strain and stress relations, \( \varepsilon_2 \) can be given:

\[ \varepsilon_2 = -\frac{C_{21}}{C_{22}} \varepsilon_1 - \frac{C_{23}}{C_{22}} \varepsilon_3 = -\frac{(C_{21} + C_{23}) R^6}{6720C_{22}D^2} \Delta P^2, \quad (10) \]

where \( C_{ij} \) is the stiffness of quartz crystal.

From (1) to (10), the relationship between the fundamental resonant frequency shift and flow rate is found as:

\[ \frac{\Delta f}{f} = \left( A_1 + A_3 \right) - \left( \frac{C_{21} + C_{23}}{C_{22}} \right) A_2 \frac{R^6}{6720D^2} \Delta P^2 \]
\[ = \left( A_1 + A_3 \right) - \left( \frac{C_{21} + C_{23}}{C_{22}} \right) A_2 \frac{R^6}{6720D^2} \]
\[ \times \left[ \frac{6\mu L}{3h^3 w} \left( 1 - \frac{18\Delta}{\pi w} \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \tanh \left( \frac{n\pi w}{2h} \right) \right) + \frac{8\mu L_p}{\pi R_p^4} \right]^2 Q^2. \quad (11) \]

It can be seen from (4) that the normal pressure difference is proportional to the flow rate; and (8)–(10) show that the resonant frequency shift has a quadratic relationship with normal pressure difference. Thus, as shown in (11), the resonant frequency shift of quartz resonator caused by fluid flow is in a quadratic relation with the flow rate. For sensor design and application, it is often desirable to establish a linear or first-order transduction relationship between the measure and the output electrical signal. With frequency as the output signal, a nonlinear relationship is still quite convenient for sensor applications.

III. Results and Discussion

The electrical admittance spectra of TSM quartz resonator are first measured in the air and deionized (DI) water with zero flow rate. Fig. 6 shows the admittance-frequency spectra of the resonator near the fundamental resonant frequency. \( G \) is conductance, the real part of admittance; \( B \) is susceptance, the imaginary part of admittance. It can be seen that resonant frequency is decreased due to the liquid load, and the spectrum is widened and the peak is greatly decreased due to increased viscous damping. The increased damping is reflected from the change of motional resistance (R) and mechanical Q of...
the resonator. The motional resistance and Q factor of the resonator are found to be 29 Ω and 39.477 in the air, and 362 Ω and 3077 in the water. The 1st resonance frequency shift due to water load is around 645 Hz, which is very close to the theoretical value 669 Hz calculated according to the Kanazawa equation [29], [30].

\[
\Delta f = \frac{f_0^{3/2} (\rho L \eta L)^{1/2}}{\pi \rho q \mu q} \frac{1}{(\rho \eta)^{1/2}},
\]  

where \(f_0\), \(\rho_L\), \(\eta_L\), \(\rho_q\), and \(\mu_q\) are 1st resonant frequency in the air, density of liquid, viscosity of liquid, density of quartz, and piezoelectric stiffened shear modulus; \(\rho_L = 1000 \text{ (kgm}^{-3})\), \(\eta_L = 8.7048 \times 10^{-4} \text{(Pa} \cdot \text{s)}\), \(\rho_q = 2651 \text{(kgm}^{-3})\), and \(\mu_q = 2.947 \times 10^{10} \text{(Nm}^{-2})\) were used for the calculation. The resonant frequency of resonator in the DI water with zero flow rate is used as the baseline for the frequency shift of device under different flow rates.

It is found that the flow variation due to the pulse pump makes the resonator admittance spectrum unstable under a certain flow rate, which induces some error in extracting resonant frequencies. Even when a pulse damper is adopted, the effect of flow variation can still be seen in the admittance spectrum, as shown in Fig. 7(a). To reduce the measurement noise, 5-times average values are taken for each data point in the electrical admittance-frequency spectrum, which is an average measurement algorithm provided by the precision impedance analyzer. From Fig. 7(b) it can be seen the admittance spectrum after average becomes stable and smooth, which improves the precision of extracting resonant frequency.

For flow measurement, 9 different flow rates (0, 375, 750, 1125, 1500, 1875, 2250, 2625, and 3000 mL/min) were chosen in the acoustic wave flow sensor test by justifying the pumping speed in the water circulation setup. Fig. 8 shows the frequency shifts for fundamental shear mode and higher order resonances of the quartz acoustic wave resonator under these flow rates. It can be seen that resonant frequency increases with flow rate. In addition, the peak value of conductance-frequency spectrum \((G-f)\) has a different trend-to-flow rate for different modes. For the fundamental mode, the peak decreases for the first 8 flow rates and increases at the 9th flow rate. For the 3rd, 5th, and 7th modes, it seems that the G peak has not clear trend with the flow rate. For the 9th mode, the peak increases with the flow rate. Compared with conductance peak value, it is clear that the frequency shift is an indication of flow rate; thus, it can be used as the sensor output for the acoustic wave flow sensor.

Fig. 9 plots the results of different mode resonant frequency shifts versus flow rate. It is found that the resonant frequency shift has a nearly perfect quadratic relation with flow rate, agreeing very well with the prediction of (11). It is also found that the resonant frequency shifts of all the overtones are basically the same for a certain flow rate when compared in terms of fractional frequency shift \((\Delta f/f)\). For example, the fractional frequency shifts of fundamental and overtones (3rd, 5th, 7th, and 9th) for a flow rate of 2250 mL/min are found to be 118, 127.6, 127.7, 127.6, and 127.2 ppm.

The repeatability of the resonator was also investigated. Nine flow rate levels were subsequently applied from 0 up to 3000 mL/min and from 3000 back to 0 mL/min. For each flow rate, the duration time is 1 min, and the resonant frequencies were monitored in real time through a LabVIEW program (National Instruments). Fig. 10 plots the result of the fundamental shear mode resonant frequency shift with time. It can be seen that the resonator has an excellent repeatability for different flow rates. Variations of the frequency shift with time are also observed, particularly under the 5th and 6th flow rate levels, which
may reduce the output resolution of the acoustic wave flow sensor. This variation is mainly attributed to the flow rate instability in the flow path of the flow chamber. Another possible reason for this variation is the decrease of $Q$ due to liquid load, which broadens the admittance spectrum and makes it more difficult to identify the peak frequency of the spectrum because the resonant frequency
is extracted through searching the peak of conductance spectrum.

The experimental results indicate some attractive features of the TSM quartz acoustic wave flow sensor. One is its structural simplicity: no coating layer or other auxiliary sensing component is needed in the construction of the flow sensor cell. Another feature is that the operation of the acoustic wave flow sensor is very simple: the frequency shift of the quartz resonator is a convenient output that can be easily read by a simple oscillator circuit and frequency counter. In addition, a wide range of flow rates can be measured by using the quartz acoustic wave flow sensor. Moreover, for many industrial applications, one is interested, not in the resolution of flow rate, but in the threshold of flow rate or pressure for monitoring the operational parameters of electromechanical or energy systems. Thus, compared with the conventional expensive and complex flow-sensing devices, the acoustic wave flow sensor studied in this paper may provide an alternative method for flow-rate monitoring with substantially simple sensor cell structure and low operation cost.

It should be noticed that, before the practical implementation of this acoustic wave flow sensor, some issues need to be considered. Using the relationship between the flow pressure and flow rate given by (4), it is possible to extract the flow rate by the measurement of pressure at one point using a quartz resonator sensor. However, the flow rate measurement is also related to the outlet pressure [second term in (4)], which is often unknown in practical applications. Thus, to eliminate the effect of the outlet pressure, one approach is to introduce a fluid connection channel from the outlet to the other side of the quartz resonator such that the other side of the device is exposed to a hydrostatic pressure that is equal to the pressure at the outlet port. For this case, (4) and (11) will then be simplified as:

\[
Q = \frac{h^3w}{12\mu} \left[-\frac{dP}{dx}\right] \left[1 - \frac{192h}{\pi^5w} \sum_{n=1,3,5...}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5} \tanh\left(\frac{n\pi w}{2h}\right)\right]
\]

\[
= -\frac{h^3w}{12\mu} \left[1 - \frac{192h}{\pi^5w} \sum_{n=1,3,5...}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5} \tanh\left(\frac{n\pi w}{2h}\right)\right] \frac{P(x_2) - P(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1},
\]

(15a)

\[
\Delta f_1 = \left(\frac{A_1 + A_3}{2} - \frac{C_{21} + C_{23}}{2C_{22}}\right) A_2 \frac{R^6}{6720D^2} \Delta P^2,
\]

(15b)

\[
\Delta f_2 = \left(\frac{A_1 + A_3}{2} - \frac{C_{21} + C_{23}}{2C_{22}}\right) A_2 \frac{R^6}{6720D^2} \Delta P^2,
\]

(15c)

where \(P(x_i), \Delta P_i\), and \(\Delta f_i/\Delta f\) are pressure at \(x = x_i\) in the flow channel, pressure difference across the resonator thickness, and fractional frequency shift of the resonator. One can find:

\[
P(x_2) - P(x_1) = \Delta P_2 - \Delta P_1.
\]

(16)

Combining (15) and (16):

\[
Q = -\frac{h^3w}{12\mu(x_2 - x_1)} \left[1 - \frac{192h}{\pi^5w} \sum_{n=1,3,5...}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5} \tanh\left(\frac{n\pi w}{2h}\right)\right] \frac{\left(\sqrt{\Delta f_2 - \Delta f_1}\right)}{\sqrt{(A_1 + A_3) - \left(\frac{C_{21} + C_{23}}{C_{22}}\right) A_2 R^6}}.
\]

(17)

From (17), it can be found that by using the dual resonator configuration, flow measurement is independent of outlet pressure and \(Q\) can be obtained by the frequency shift of 2 resonators.

The sensitivity of the TSM quartz acoustic wave flow sensor seems not as high as the flow sensors based on SAW device, which has a frequency shift greater than 142 kHz (1900 ppm) for gas flow rate in the range from 0 to 1000 mL/min [6]. The experimental results in this work show for 1000 mL/min flow rate a frequency shift less 190 Hz (40 ppm) for the fundamental shear mode resonance and 2000 Hz (43 ppm) for the 9th mode. This is because a nonlinear (second-order effect) frequency shift of the quartz resonator is used for the signal transduction, which is usually much smaller than a linear effect. The 9th overtone has a frequency shift of 10300 Hz (228 ppm) for 3000 mL/min flow rate, which is equivalent to 0.076 ppm/(mL/min). Assuming that the quartz resonator sensor has a temperature dependent noise level of 1 ppm on the frequency shift, the calculated resolution for the flow sensor is about 13 mL/min.

It should also be noted that theoretically this acoustic wave flow sensor is applicable to the flow rate measurement for any type of fluid such as air, oil, and others.
Other conduit materials and geometry may be used for the fabrication of the flow sensor structures to meet the need of specific applications, as long as the fluid pressure is not high enough to cause the failure of thin quartz resonator disk.

In addition, because the resonant behavior can be affected by the chemical reactions or physical adsorption occurring on the resonator electrode, the electrode facing the fluid needs to be protected, particularly when it is exposed to reactive or abrasive fluid. For this purpose, in the measurement of abrasive fluids, the electrode material should be anti-abrasive or a protective thin film needs to be coated on the electrode of the resonator sensor. Further study is also needed to investigate the resonator behavior under higher pressure levels and determine the maximum pressure that the resonator can endure for long-term use, because the highest pressure in the experiment study is about $6 \times 10^3$ Pa, which is quite moderate as compared with many practical applications. Furthermore, it is well known that the flow is laminar and the velocity profile is parabolic for low Reynolds numbers (generally under 2000), and the flow becomes turbulent for Reynolds numbers over 4000. The Reynolds numbers of the current experiments spanned from 0 to 822, therefore, experiments at high Reynolds numbers are still needed to investigate the influence of the turbulent flow profile on the resonator response.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper, a TSM quartz resonator was studied as a flow sensor to measure the liquid flow rate. A special flow sensor structure was designed and constructed, and the theoretical relationship between the quartz resonator frequency shift and flow rate was derived. Both theoretical and experimental results have indicated that the frequency shift of quartz resonator caused by fluid flow can be attributed to the nonlinear (quadratic) frequency response of the device due to the normal pressure imposing on the sensor disk through the fluid flow. The resonant frequencies of different modes can be used as the sensor output for flow rate monitoring, and the fundamental, 3rd, 5th, 7th, and 9th mode resonant frequency shifts were found to be around 920, 3572, 5947, 8228, and 10300 Hz for flow rate variations from 0 to 3000 mL/min or a Reynolds number change from 0 to 822. To obtain the flow rate by measuring the pressure drop across the quartz resonator disk using a single resonator sensor configuration, the effect of the outlet pressure needs to be eliminated. It is proposed that a fluid connection channel from the outlet to the other side of the quartz resonator can be introduced such that the other surface of the device is exposed to a hydrostatic pressure that is equal to the pressure at the outlet port. Another approach for flow rate measurement is to use dual-sensor configuration, in which 2 quartz resonators are installed in the flow chamber along the flow channel. The relative frequency shifts of the 2 resonators will be directly related to the flow rate, and independent of the outlet pressure. In addition, considering that the resonant behavior can be affected by the chemical reactions or physical adsorption occurring on the resonator electrode, the electrode facing the fluid may need to be protected, particularly when it is exposed to reactive or abrasive fluids. Further experiment studies under higher pressure and high Reynolds numbers are needed to determine the pressure limit and the flow profile influence on resonator response.
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Vibration Characteristics of a Circular Cylindrical Panel Piezoelectric Transducer

Zengtao Yang, Jiashi Yang, Senior Member, IEEE, Yuantai Hu, and Qing-Ming Wang, Member, IEEE

Abstract—We study the theory of the basic vibration characteristics of a circular cylindrical shell piezoelectric transducer. The linear theory of piezoelectricity is used. Both the free-vibration solution for resonant frequencies and modes as well as the electrically forced-vibration solution for admittance are obtained. Numerical results are presented.

I. INTRODUCTION

Piezoelectric materials are frequently used for making electromechanical transducers of various shapes. We are interested in circular cylindrical shell piezoelectric transducers to be attached to circular cylindrical structures for exciting and detecting acoustic waves. It is part of our effort in the development of electromechanical transducers for nondestructive evaluation (NDE) of circular cylindrical elastic structures, in particular the circular cylindrical pressure vessels in nuclear reactors. Existing theoretical results on circular cylindrical piezoelectric transducers are mostly for full cylinders, e.g., [1]–[14], but the application we are interested in requires knowledge of vibration characteristics of a finite portion of a circular cylinder. There are limited results on vibrations of finite circular cylindrical piezoelectric panels [15], [16] where mixed boundary conditions are chosen in a particular way so that analytical solutions are possible, but the mixed mechanical boundary conditions treated are different from typical situations in our applications. There are also extensive results on circular cylindrical piezoelectric shells for smart structural applications [17] where approximate, 2-D structural theories are used. Results from smart structural analyses are for the so-called low-frequency modes of extension and flexure, etc. They are insufficient for NDE where the so-called high-frequency thickness modes [18] are usually used, for which analyses based on the exact 3-D equations are necessary. In this paper, we formulate a problem of finite circular cylindrical shell piezoelectric transducers that is relevant to NDE of circular cylindrical structures and perform a theoretical analysis on these transducers.

II. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Consider a portion of a circular cylindrical shell with inner radius \(a\) and outer radius \(b\) as shown in Fig. 1. The 2 radii going through the edges of the shell form an angle \(2\beta\); \(\beta\) is less than \(\pi\) and is otherwise arbitrary. The shell is unbounded in the \(z\) direction determined by the right-hand rule from the \(x\) and \(y\) axes in the figure. Only a cross section of the shell is shown. The material of the shell is ceramics poled in the \(z\) direction. A cylindrical coordinate system is defined by \(x = r\cos\theta\), \(y = r\sin\theta\), and \(z = z\). In the index notation below, \((x,y,z)\) correspond to \((1,2,3)\).

We consider the so-called antiplane or shear horizontal (SH) vibration with [19], [20]

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_1 &= u_2 = 0, \quad u_3 = u(x_1, x_2, t), \quad \phi = \phi(x_1, x_2, t), \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \(u_i\) is the displacement vector and \(\phi\) is the electric potential. Modes described by (1) are allowed by the 3-D equations of linear piezoelectricity. For these modes, the 3-D equations of linear piezoelectricity reduce to a 2-D mathematical problem. Corresponding to the displacement and potential fields in (1), the nonvanishing strain and electric field components are

\[
\begin{align*}
  \begin{bmatrix}
    2S_{13} \\
    2S_{23}
  \end{bmatrix} &= \nabla u, \\
  \begin{bmatrix}
    E_1 \\
    E_2
  \end{bmatrix} &= -\nabla \phi,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\nabla = i_1\partial_1 + i_2\partial_2\) is the 2-D gradient operator. For ceramics poled in the \(x_3\) direction, the nontrivial components of \(T_{ij}\) and \(D_i\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
  \begin{bmatrix}
    T_{13} \\
    T_{23}
  \end{bmatrix} &= e\nabla u + \varepsilon\nabla \phi, \\
  \begin{bmatrix}
    D_1 \\
    D_2
  \end{bmatrix} &= e\nabla u - \varepsilon\nabla \phi,
\end{align*}
\]

where we have denoted the relevant elastic, piezoelectric, and dielectric constants by \(c = c_{44}\), \(e = e_{15}\), and \(\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{11}\). The nontrivial equation of motion and the charge equation of electrostatics take the following form:

\[
 e\nabla^2 u + \varepsilon\nabla^2 \phi = \rho \ddot{u}, \quad e\nabla^2 u - \varepsilon\nabla^2 \phi = 0.
\]

We introduce [19], [20]

\[
\psi = \phi - \frac{e}{\varepsilon} \dot{u}.
\]
Then, in terms of $u$ and $\psi$,

\[
T_{23} = \tau u_{,2} + e \psi_{,2}, \quad T_{31} = \tau u_{,1} + e \psi_{,1},
\]

\[D_1 = -\varepsilon \psi_{,1}, \quad D_2 = -\varepsilon \psi_{,2},\]

and

\[
v^2 \nabla^2 u = \ddot{u}, \quad \nabla^2 \psi = 0,
\]

where

\[
v^2 = \frac{\tau}{\rho}, \quad c = \frac{e^2}{\varepsilon} = c(1 + k^2), \quad k^2 = \frac{e^2}{\varepsilon c}.
\]

In polar coordinates, (7) takes the form

\[
v^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = \ddot{u}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} = 0.
\]

Mechanically the boundaries are all traction-free. Electrically the boundaries at $\theta = \pm \beta$ are unelectroded. The boundaries at $r = a, b$ may be either electroded or unelectroded. We will consider time-harmonic modes and use the complex notation. All fields are with an exp(\(i\omega t\)) factor that will be dropped for convenience.

III. Free Vibration

According to whether $u$ and $\psi$ are even or odd functions of $\theta$, we classify the modes into symmetric and antisymmetric. First, consider the following symmetric modes:

\[
u = u(r) \cos \nu \theta, \quad \psi = \psi(r) \cos \nu \theta.
\]

Substitution of (10) into (9) results in

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\xi^2 - \nu^2}{r^2} u = 0, \quad u = [C J_{\nu}(\xi r) + D Y_{\nu}(\xi r)] \cos \nu \theta,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} - \frac{\nu^2}{r^2} \psi = 0, \quad \psi = [F \nu + G \nu^{-1}] \cos \nu \theta,
\]

where we have denoted

\[
\xi = \frac{\omega}{v T}.
\]

Eq. (11a) can be written as Bessel’s equations of order $\nu$; (11b) allows a simple power function solution. Then, when $\nu \neq 0$,

\[
\phi = \psi + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} u = \frac{e}{\varepsilon} [C J_{\nu}(\xi r) + D Y_{\nu}(\xi r)] \cos \nu \theta,
\]

\[
T_{ij} = \tau u_{,j} + e \psi_{,j}, \quad D_1 = -\varepsilon \psi_{,1}, \quad D_2 = -\varepsilon \psi_{,2},
\]

\[\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} = 0.
\]

or, when $\nu = 0$:

\[
\phi = \psi + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} u = \frac{e}{\varepsilon} [C J_{0}(\xi r) + D Y_{0}(\xi r)] + F \ln r + G,
\]

\[T_{ij} = \tau u_{,j} + e \psi_{,j}, \quad D_1 = -\varepsilon \psi_{,1}, \quad D_2 = -\varepsilon \psi_{,2},
\]

\[\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} = 0.
\]
For traction-free and unelectroded edges at \( \theta = \pm \beta \), we must have \( T_{\theta z} = 0 \) and \( D_{\theta} = 0 \), which implied that
\[
\begin{align*}
\nu_{,\beta} &= 0, \\
\psi_{,\beta} &= 0, \\
\theta &= \pm \beta.
\end{align*}
\] (15)

From (15) we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\sin \nu \beta &= 0, \\
\nu \beta &= m \pi, \\
\nu &= \nu_m = \frac{m \pi}{\beta}, \\
m &= 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{align*}
\] (16)

Similarly, for antisymmetric modes, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \nu \beta &= 0, \\
\nu \beta &= \left( m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi, \\
\nu &= \nu_m = \left( m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\pi}{\beta}, \\
m &= 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{align*}
\] (17)

For antisymmetric modes \( \nu \neq 0 \). We will discuss unelectroded and electroded transducers separately below.

A. Unelectroded Transducer

For traction-free and unelectroded boundaries at \( r = a, b \), we must have \( T_{rz} = 0 \) and \( D_r = 0 \), or, equivalently,
\[
\begin{align*}
u_{,r} &= 0, \\
\psi_{,r} &= 0, \\
r &= a, b.
\end{align*}
\] (18)

Then, when \( \nu \neq 0 \) or \( m \neq 0 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
C\xi J_{\nu_m}(\xi a) + D\xi Y_{\nu_m}(\xi a) &= 0, \\
F\nu_{,a} \nu_m^{-1} - G\nu a^{-\nu_m^{-1}} &= 0, \\
C\xi J_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + D\xi Y_{\nu_m}(\xi b) &= 0, \\
F\nu_{,b} \nu_m^{-1} - G\nu b^{-\nu_m^{-1}} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\] (19a)

or, when \( m = 0 \):
\[
\begin{align*}
-\tau \xi C J_{\nu}(\xi a) + D Y_{\nu}(\xi a) + e\frac{F}{a} &= 0, \\
-\tau \xi C J_{\nu}(\xi b) + D Y_{\nu}(\xi b) + e\frac{F}{b} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\] (19b)

For nontrivial solutions of \( C, D, F, \) or \( G \), the determinant of the coefficient matrix of (19a) or (19b) must vanish, which gives the frequency equation that determines the resonant frequencies. We note that lines 1 and 3 in (19a) are not coupled to lines 2 and 4 in (19a). Therefore the frequency equation determined by (19a) can be factored into 2
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\xi J_{\nu_m}(\xi a) & \xi Y_{\nu_m}(\xi a) \\
\xi J_{\nu_m}(\xi b) & \xi Y_{\nu_m}(\xi b)
\end{bmatrix}
= 0.
\] (20a)

Eq. (20b) has no solution because \( m \neq 0 \). In the case of (19b), we have \( F = 0 \), which implies a constant \( \psi \). In practice, we are more interested in the case of an electroded plate below.

B. Electroded Transducer

When the transducer is electroded at \( r = a, b \) and the electrodes are shorted, the electrical boundary conditions at \( r = a, b \) are that \( \varphi = 0 \). When \( m \neq 0 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{e}{\varepsilon} C J_{\nu_m}(\xi a) + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} D Y_{\nu_m}(\xi a) + F a^{-\nu_m} + G a^{-\nu_m} &= 0, \\
\tau \xi C J_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + \tau \xi D Y_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + e\nu a^{-1} - \nu G a^{-\nu_m^{-1}} &= 0, \\
\frac{e}{\varepsilon} C J_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} D Y_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + F b^{-\nu_m} + G b^{-\nu_m} &= 0, \\
\tau \xi C J_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + \tau \xi D Y_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + e\nu b^{-1} - \nu G b^{-\nu_m^{-1}} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (21a)

When \( m = 0 \) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
-\tau \xi C J_{\nu}(\xi a) + D Y_{\nu}(\xi a) + e\frac{F}{a} &= 0, \\
-\tau \xi C J_{\nu}(\xi b) + D Y_{\nu}(\xi b) + e\frac{F}{b} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\] (21b)

The frequency equation is obtained by setting the determinant of the coefficient matrix of (21a) or (21b) to zero.

C. Numerical Results

As a numerical example, for geometric parameters we choose \( a = 0.2 \) m, \( b = 0.21 \) m, \( \beta = \pi / 6 \). For the material, we consider PZT-7A \[21\] with \( \rho = 7600 \) kg/m\(^3\), \( c_{14} = 2.53 \times 10^{10} \) N/m\(^2\), \( \epsilon_{15} = 9.2 \) C/m\(^2\), \( \epsilon_{11} = 0.407 \times 10^{-8} \) C/Vm.

Fig. 2 shows resonant frequencies versus \( m \); \( m \) is effectively the wave number in the \( \theta \) direction. Therefore, in Fig. 2, if the dots are properly connected in the horizontal direction, the resulting curves resemble the dispersion curves of SH waves in plates or circular cylindrical shells, but here the wave number and frequencies are discrete. Frequencies with \( m = 0 \) are called thickness-shear frequencies whose modes have \( \theta \) dependence. When \( m \) is positive, the frequencies are called thickness-twist frequencies whose modes have \( \theta \) dependence.
Figs. 3–8 show displacement and electric potential distributions of the modes whose frequencies are near the origin of Fig. 2. Only symmetric modes with a $\cos \theta$ dependence are considered. Antisymmetric modes are of less interest because they are not electrically excitable by a radial electric field, which will be seen later in the forced-vibration analysis, and therefore are not plotted. Due to symmetry, only the fields in the first quadrant of Fig. 1 with $0 \leq \theta \leq \beta$ are plotted. The figures show that increasing $m$ introduces more nodal points in the $\theta$ direction. For a fixed $m$, modes with more nodal points in the $r$ direction have higher frequencies.

IV. Forcéd Vibratión

For forced vibration, we consider a time-harmonic voltage $2V$ applied across the electrodes at $r = a, b$ with the electric potential as
\[ \phi = \begin{cases} -V \exp(i\omega t), & r = a, \\ V \exp(i\omega t), & r = b. \end{cases} \] (22)

Obviously only the symmetric modes can be excited. Therefore, a general symmetric solution satisfying the transducer edge conditions at \( \theta = \pm \beta \) is constructed as

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= C_0 J_0(\xi r) + D_0 Y_0(\xi r) \\
    &+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ C_{m}\nu_n(\xi r) + D_{m}Y_{\nu_n}(\xi r) \right] \cos \nu m \theta, \\
    \psi &= F_0 \ln r + G_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ F_{mr}^{\nu_n} + G_{mr}^{-\nu_n} \right] \cos \nu m \theta. \tag{23}
\end{align*}
\]

The boundary conditions at \( r = a, b \) require that the conditions in (25) (see page 2333) are met.

We multiply (25) by \( \cos \nu p \theta \) and integrate the resulting equation from \( -\beta \) to \( \beta \) for \( p = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Then, from the orthogonality of \( \cos \nu p \theta \), the summation in (25) disappears. Only the \( \theta \)-independent terms are left because the applied voltage is a constant and does not vary with \( \theta \). To calculate the charge on and the current flowing into the electrode at \( r = b \), we need

\[
\begin{align*}
    D_r &= \varepsilon_{15} 2S r + \varepsilon_{11} E_r, \\
    Q &= \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} -D_r \bigg|_{r=b} b d\theta, \\
    I &= \dot{Q} = i\omega Q. \tag{26}
\end{align*}
\]

Then the impedance of the transducer is given by

\[
Z = \frac{2V}{I}. \tag{27}
\]
\[
\phi = \psi + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} u
\]
\[
= \frac{e}{\varepsilon} [C_0 J_0(\xi r) + D_0 Y_0(\xi r)] + F_0 \ln r + G_0
\]
\[
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e}{\varepsilon} C_m J_{\nu_m}(\xi r) + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} D_m Y_{\nu_m}(\xi r) + F_m r^{\nu_m} + G_m r^{-\nu_m} \right] \cos \nu_m \theta,
\]
\[
T_{rz} = u_{r,2} + e \psi_{,2}
\]
\[
= -\frac{e}{\varepsilon} [C_0 J_1(\xi r) + D_0 Y_1(\xi r)] + \frac{e}{r} F_0
\]
\[
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e}{\varepsilon} C'_m J_{\nu_m}(\xi r) + \varepsilon \xi D'_m Y_{\nu_m}(\xi r) + e \nu_m F_m r^{\nu_m-1} - e \nu_m G_m r^{-\nu_m-1} \right] \cos \nu_m \theta,
\]
\[
D_r = -\varepsilon \psi_{,r} = -\frac{e}{r} F_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ -\varepsilon \nu_m F_m r^{\nu_m-1} + \varepsilon \nu_m G_m r^{-\nu_m-1} \right] \cos \nu_m \theta.
\]
With (24c), the impedance can be written in the following form:

\[
Z = \frac{e}{\varepsilon} [C_0 J_0(\xi a) + D_0 Y_0(\xi a)] + F_0 \ln a + G_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e}{\varepsilon} C_m J_{\nu_m}(\xi a) + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} D_m Y_{\nu_m}(\xi a) + F_m a^{-\nu_m} + G_m a^{-\nu_m} \right] \cos \nu_m \theta = -V, \\
-\tau [C_0 J_0(\xi a) + D_0 Y_0(\xi a)] + e \frac{F_0}{a} \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e}{\varepsilon} C_m J_{\nu_m}(\xi a) + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} D_m Y_{\nu_m}(\xi a) + \nu_m F_m a^{-\nu_m - 1} - e \nu_m G_m a^{-\nu_m - 1} \right] \cos \nu_m \theta = 0,
\]

\[
-\tau [C_0 J_0(\xi b) + D_0 Y_0(\xi b)] + e \frac{F_0}{b} \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e}{\varepsilon} C_m J_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} D_m Y_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + F_m b^{-\nu_m} + G_m b^{-\nu_m} \right] \cos \nu_m \theta = V,
\]

\[
-\tau [C_0 J_0(\xi b) + D_0 Y_0(\xi b)] + e \frac{F_0}{b} \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e}{\varepsilon} C_m J_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + \frac{e}{\varepsilon} D_m Y_{\nu_m}(\xi b) + \nu_m F_m b^{-\nu_m - 1} - e \nu_m G_m b^{-\nu_m - 1} \right] \cos \nu_m \theta = 0.
\]

In the numerical calculation for the forced vibration, the elastic constant \( c_{44} \) is replaced by \( c_{44}(1 + iQ) \) to take viscous damping into consideration. For ceramics, \( Q \) is of the order of \( 10^{-3} \) to \( 10^{-2} \). \( Q = 0.05 \) is used in the calculation.

Fig. 9 shows the real part of the impedance as a function of the driving frequency. Only the modes with \( m = 0 \) can be excited due to the uniformity of the applied voltage. Among the modes with \( m = 0 \), in the \( r \) direction, odd modes are mainly antisymmetric about the middle of

\[ V = \frac{2V}{i\omega \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} \frac{F_0 b \theta}{\varepsilon}}. \]
the transducer where \( r = (a + b)/2 \) and even modes are mainly symmetric. Therefore, the major peaks in Fig. 9 correspond to the first and the third resonant frequencies with \( m = 0 \) in Fig. 2.

Displacement and electric potential distributions at the first 2 major resonances in Fig. 9 are shown in Fig. 10 and 11. What is shown are the real parts of the complex fields. These are modes with \( m = 0 \). Modes with higher frequencies have more variations along \( r \).

V. Conclusion

Exact solutions from the 3-D equations of linear piezoelectricity are obtained for free and electrically forced vibrations of a finite circular cylindrical panel piezoelectric transducer. The modes obtained include high-frequency modes of thickness-shear and thickness-twist. These cylindrical transducers can be used to excite SH modes and are useful for NDE of circular cylindrical structures. When they are attached to such structures, the modeling problem becomes much more complicated. Numerical procedures that may be needed are left for future work.
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AlN thin films with c-axis orientation have been investigated for fabricating thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators in the past few years. Characterization of thin film material properties including density, elastic modulus, and piezoelectric coefficient is essential in processing study and for predicting the performance of the acoustic devices. In this paper, we present our results on the fabrication of highly c-axis oriented AlN thin films on Pt/Ti/Si (100) substrates by dc reactive magnetron sputtering method. The crystalline structure and the surface morphology of AlN films are characterized by x-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscopy. The effective piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33\text{eff}}$ of the AlN films was measured by a laser interferometer method and the piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33}$ was estimated. A recently developed resonance spectrum method is applied to characterize the electromechanical properties of AlN thin films based on the input electrical impedance equation derived by one-dimensional transmission line theory for composite resonators. Using the experimental impedance spectrum data, the density and elastic constant of the piezoelectric AlN thin film in the four-layer composite resonator structure are evaluated. The calculated results reveal that the piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33\text{eff}}$, density, and velocity of the c-axis oriented AlN thin film are 4.19 pm/V, 3187.3 kg/m$^3$, and 10631 m/s, respectively. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2716391]

I. INTRODUCTION

With a significant progress in thin film deposition technologies for complex material systems such as AlN, ZnO, and PZT in recent years, thin film bulk acoustic wave resonator (FBAR) and filter concepts are becoming more and more attractive for microwave frequency control applications. For resonators operating in the gigahertz range, a thin film piezoelectric layer in the order of a few microns with desirable electromechanical properties is required. Among these materials, AlN is particularly attractive due to that it has a number of interesting properties such as high thermal conductivity, high electrical insulation, and chemical stability. In addition, it is piezoelectric in its crystalline form and has high longitudinal acoustic velocity, ~11000 m/s. These characteristics make it possible to design and fabricate high frequency resonators and bandpass filters for signal processing and communication devices. It is obvious that the deposition of high quality AlN thin film with desirable material properties is needed for the fabrication of thin film bulk acoustic wave resonators and bandpass filters.

In this paper, we report our recent studies on the deposition of highly c-axis oriented AlN thin films on both sapphire and silicon substrates by dc reactive magnetron sputtering and the characterization of material elastic and piezoelectric properties. A single beam laser interferometer system that can detect very small displacement signals with resolution down to $10^{-3}$ Å in the low frequency range was first employed to measure the effective piezoelectric coefficient of the AlN thin film through converse piezoelectric effect, and a resonant spectrum method was applied to extract the other properties including density, elastic constant, and acoustic wave velocity of the AlN films based on the input electric impedance of thin film composite resonators.

Many approaches have been used to derive the input electric impedance of a thin film composite resonator. These include the equivalent circuit method and transfer matrix approach. Sittig and Meitzler and Sittig used the transfer matrix approach to calculate the impedance of the multilayer piezoelectric transducers used in ultrasonic digital delay lines. Zhang et al. utilized the input electric impedance spectrum for a four-layer composite resonator to characterize the material properties of ZnO thin film on the quartz substrate. Here, we follow a similar approach by applying the resonance spectrum method to characterize the material properties of the AlN thin film in a composite resonator.

II. METHODS FOR PROPERTY CHARACTERIZATION

A. Measurement of effective piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33\text{eff}}$ of AlN thin film

In order to measure the piezoelectric coefficient of the AlN thin film, both the direct and converse piezoelectric effects can be employed. It is in general more convenient to use the converse piezoelectric effect to characterize the piezoelectric coefficient of thin film or bulk materials by measuring the displacement of the surface of the materials subjected to the external ac electric field or voltage. Since the thin film AlN is deposited on the substrate, which can be regarded as a thin layer clamped on the substrate, the strain and electric field ratio $d_{33\text{eff}} = x_3/E_3 = \Delta d/V$ where $\Delta d$ is the displacement induced by applied voltage $V$, does not represent the real piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33}$ of the thin film in
free mechanical boundary conditions but an effective value. The piezoelectric coefficient of AlN is relatively small, the resulting deformation excited by the electric field is correspondingly very small. Thus how to measure the small deformation signal of the AlN accurately is important for the measurement of the $d_{33ef}$. For the characterization of the piezoelectric property of AlN film, a very sensitive single beam laser interferometer system with displacement resolution down to $10^{-3}$ Å in the low frequency range was employed in the measurement.\(^5\)

**B. Resonance spectrum method**

In the past decades, several methods have been developed to determine the coefficients of piezoelectric materials in order to better characterize and describe the electromechanical properties and performance of materials and devices. The measurement methods for low loss materials have been summarized in IEEE standards.\(^10\) Those methods have been successfully used to determine the coefficients of materials with high mechanical quality factors such as lead titanate zirconate ceramics.\(^11\) In order to characterize the material properties of the piezoelectric composite resonators, the resonance spectrum method has also been developed,\(^4\) which includes the effect of electrodes and substrate. Using the information contained in the impedance or admittance spectrum for piezoelectric resonators such as parallel and series resonance frequencies, the elastic and electromechanical properties of the piezoelectric thin film layer can be obtained. The resonance spectrum method is particularly suitable for the characterization of material properties of piezoelectric thin films that are used for microdevice applications. We have also applied the transfer matrix approach to derive the one-dimensional input electric impedance for three-layer and four-layer thin film composite resonators and the input electric impedance has been used for the discussion of the effect of electrodes and the temperature compensation in composite resonators.\(^6\) The input electric impedance of the four-layer resonator is

\[
Z_m = \frac{V}{I} = \frac{1}{j\omega C_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{k^2}{\gamma} \left( z_1 + z_2 \right) \cos \gamma + j(1 - \cos \gamma) \right],
\]

where $z_1 = Z_i/Z_0$ and $z_2 = Z_f/Z_0$ are the normalized acoustic impedance impedances on both sides of the piezoelectric layer. $C_0 = \varepsilon_0^{33} S/\ell$ is the clamped capacitor of the resonator with area $S$ and dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_0^{33}$, $Z_0 = S\rho v$ is the acoustic impedance of the piezoelectric layer with density $\rho$, $v$ is the longitudinal acoustic wave velocity in the piezoelectric layer along the direction normal to the resonator surface, $\gamma = \omega t/\ell$ is the phase delay of the acoustic wave in the piezoelectric film in which $\omega = 2\pi f$. It is noted that a three-layer thin film resonator is a special case of four-layer resonators with zero substrate thickness. Using Eq. (1), the electric impedance spectrum of the thin film composite resonator can be simulated by using appropriate material property data.

It is known that parallel resonances correspond to maximums of the resistance of the composite resonator. In a lossless resonator, parallel resonance corresponds to the infinite impedance $Z_m$. Thus, by setting the denominator equal to zero, we can get the determination equation for parallel resonance frequencies:\(^6\)

\[
(z_1 + z_2)\cos \gamma + j(1 + z_1 z_2)\sin \gamma = 0.
\]

At the center of the first normal region, where $\gamma \approx \pi$, we can get the space between two adjacent parallel resonant frequencies which can be derived from Eq. (2):

\[
\Delta f_N = \frac{\Delta f_0}{Z_m^{\gamma} \rho} \left[ 1 + \frac{\rho_1 v_e^2 z_1}{\rho_2 v_e^2 z_2} + \rho_3 v_e^2 z_2 \right]^{-1},
\]

where $\Delta f_0 = v_e \sqrt{2z}$, and the subscripts $e1, e2$, and sb represent the top electrode, bottom electrode, and substrate. Similarly, at the center of the first transition region, where $\gamma \approx \pi/2$, we can get the space between two adjacent parallel resonant frequencies:

\[
\Delta f_T = \frac{\Delta f_0}{Z_m^{\gamma} \rho} \left[ 1 + \frac{\rho_1 v_e^2 z_1}{\rho_2 v_e^2 z_2} + \rho_3 v_e^2 z_2 \right]^{-1}.
\]

The density and the acoustic velocity of the AlN thin film can be calculated from Eqs. (3) and (4). The value of the stiffened elastic constant of the film can then be calculated by

\[
e^D = v^3 \rho.
\]

**C. Nanomechanical property characterization by nanoindentation**

Indentation has been widely used to measure the mechanical properties of thin film materials and a host of nanoindentation methods is available, which employ various tip shapes and rely on different model assumptions.\(^15\) The two mechanical properties measured most frequently using indentation techniques are the hardness $H$ and the elastic modulus $E$. As the indenter is pressed into the sample, both elastic and plastic deformation occurs, which results in the formation of a hardness impression conforming to the shape of the indenter. During indenter withdrawal, only the elastic portion of the displacement is recovered, which facilitates the use of an elastic solution in modeling the contact process. Thus in a typical nanoindentation test, data from a complete loading and unloading cycle are collected to generate a force-distance curve, which is then used for the estimation of nanomechanical properties. Nanoindentation hardness is defined as the indentation load divided by the projected contact area of the indentation. It is the mean pressure that a material can support under load. From the load-displacement curve, hardness can be obtained at the peak load, $H = P_{\text{max}}/A$, where $A$ is the projected contact area. The elastic modulus of the indented sample can be obtained from the initial slope of the unloading curve, $S = dP/dh$. A geometry-independent relation has been derived for contact stiffness, contact area, and elastic modulus as follows:\(^9\)–\(^21\)

\[
S = 2\beta \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}} E_r,
\]

where $\beta$ is a constant that depends on the geometry of the indenter ($\beta = 1.034$ for a Berkovich indenter) and $E_r$ is the stiffness of the indenter.
III. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A. Deposition of the AlN thin film

The AlN thin films were deposited by using dc reactive magnetron method (ATC-1300 dc and rf magnetron sputtering systems, AJA International, MA) from an Al target of high purity (99.999%) in the high purity nitrogen (99.999%) and argon (99.999%) gas mixture. Before the sputtering, the silicon (100) and sapphire (0001) substrates were cleaned.

The (100) direction of silicon is the more common wafer orientation for microelectromechanical devices. Unfortunately, the c-axis orientation growth of the AlN film on the silicon substrate with this direction is very difficult. There are also a large lattice mismatch and a large difference in the coefficient of thermal expansion between the AlN film and the Si (100) substrate. The Pt (111) is an ideal buffer layer for the c-axis oriented AlN film growth where the AlN crystal growths grow quasiepitaxial on Pt (111) substrate.25,26 Thus, before sputtering the AlN (002), the bottom electrode Pt/Ti was grown first on the substrate. Here, Ti thin film was used as the adhesive layer between Pt thin film and Si substrate. The Ti thin film should have an adequate thickness to bond the Pt and Si together but not affect the electromechanical properties of the resonator. Table I lists the processing parameters used in the deposition process for AlN film. The deposition time of the AlN thin film varied from 3 to 6 h.

B. Fabrication of the four-layer composite resonator

After the deposition of the piezoelectric AlN layer, hot H3PO4 acid is used to etch the AlN film to expose the bottom electrode. Then the top electrode Al was deposited and patterned to form the four-layer composite resonator (Fig. 1). The AlN thin film composite resonator on sapphire substrate was used to characterize the effective piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33}^{\text{eff}}$ of AlN film, and that with thin silicon substrate (100 μm) was utilized to characterize the density and stiffened elastic modulus and acoustic wave velocity of the AlN thin film by the resonance spectrum method.

C. Measurement of effective piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33}^{\text{eff}}$

The setup for the single beam laser interferometer is shown in Fig. 2. The laser beam from the He–Ne laser-light source is split into two equal components by the beam splitter. One of the beams is incident on the reference mirror and the other on the sample to be tested where both lasers travel...
paths of equal length. There is a reflected beam from each surface and the beams are then combined in the beam splitter and the intensity is gathered at the photodetector. The absolute phase of each beam in turn depends on the distance between the beam splitter and the respective reflecting surface. If the surface of the test sample moves due to the external voltage applied, the absolute phase of the combined probe beam will change. By detecting the electric signal variation induced by the phase change, the displacement of the sample surface can be obtained. To eliminate possible electric and mechanical noise at the measurement frequency (1 or 10 Hz), a lock-in amplifier was used to detect the signal at the measurement frequency; thus measurement sensitivity can be improved.

**D. Measurement of the scattering parameters S_{11}**

When an electric device operates at the low frequency range, the dimensions of the elements in the circuit are much smaller than the wavelength and thus the circuit can be treated as the interconnection of lumped elements. The voltage and current are unique at any point in the circuit. Therefore, we can use electric impedance and admittance parameters to describe the circuit network. However, when the operating frequency is up to the radio frequency (rf) or microwave wave range, the dimensions of the elements are larger than the wavelength. The impedance and admittance are not well defined in such situation. Normalized wave variables (a and b) are more suitable for the characterization of the circuit at microwave or higher frequencies. The scattering parameters or S parameters are used to express the relationship of the normalized wave variables. Unlike other parameters, the S parameters relate to the traveling waves that are scattered or reflected when a network is inserted into a transmission line with certain characteristic impedance Z_0.

For a two-port network, there are totally four scattering parameters S_{ij}. Each S parameter has two subscripts, i and j, where i refers to the port number at which power emerges and j refers to the port number at which power enters. Thus S_{11} and S_{22} are the reflection coefficients and S_{12} and S_{21} are the transmission coefficients. Specifically, reflection scattering parameter S_{11} is defined as

\[ S_{11} = \frac{\text{power reflected from the network input}}{\text{power incident on the network input}}. \]  

It reflects the impedance Z_m and admittance Y_m of the resonator through the following equations:

\[ Z_m = Z_0 \frac{1 + S_{11}}{1 - S_{11}} \]  
\[ Y_m = \frac{1}{Z_0} \frac{1 - S_{11}}{1 + S_{11}}, \]

where Z_0 = 50 Ω is the standard normalized impedance for the network analyzer. Measurement of the S parameter is usually performed using a vector network analyzer (VNA). In the measurement of the S parameter, a careful calibration of the VNA is very important to get accurate results for the devices under test. VNA calibration is the process of measuring devices with known characteristics and using these measurements to establish the measurement reference planes. Calibration also corrects for the imperfections of the measurement system. These imperfections include not only the nonideal nature of cables and probes but also the internal characteristics of the VNA itself. A VNA uses multiple standards, typically open circuit, short circuit, loads, and through connections, to perform the calibration.

The S_{11} of AlN thin film composite resonator was measured by an Agilent network analyzer (Agilent 8510C vector network analyzer, 45 MHz to 50 GHz, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Calibrations were first performed using open, short, and standard (50 Ω). In the measurement, the four-layer thin film composite resonator was placed on the probe station (Summit 9101 manual probe station, Cascade MicroTech, OR), which contacted the sample bottom surface only at the edge so that the resonator could vibrate with free boundary condition in the thickness direction. A proper SG probe, which has two pins on the tip, was used to contact the electrodes of the composite resonator electrically. The other end of the probe was connected to the network analyzer through a cable.

The reflection scattering parameter S_{11} was measured from 400 to 2500 MHz. The entire frequency span of 2100 MHz was divided into tens of narrow subspans to achieve higher resolution in the resonance spectrum measurement.

**E. Nanomechanical test of AlN thin films**

The nanoindentation tests were performed in a Hysitron TriboScope (Minneapolis, MN) attached to a Quesant (Agoura Hills, CA) atomic force microscope (AFM). Figure 3 is a schematic of the working principle for the Hysitron nanoindenter. In situ imaging capability allows the indenter probe to be positioned to any desired material feature within 10 nm. A Berkovich diamond indenter with a nominal tip radius of 40 nm is used. AlN films with thicknesses of 0.6, 1.2, and 1.5 μm deposited on sapphire substrate were used for this study. The maximum loads are varied from 500 to 4000 μN. The results of the loads and displacements during the test offer us a lot of information including the reduced elastic modulus and hardness.
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Surface morphology and crystal structure of AlN film

After the deposition of the bottom electrode and AlN thin films, the thin film crystalline structures were characterized by x-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Figure 4 shows the XRD patterns of the AlN thin films deposited on different substrates with Pt/Ti bottom electrode layers. Only AlN (002) and Pt (111) peaks are observed, indicating that highly c-axis oriented AlN films have been achieved. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the SEM images of the cross section of the AlN thin films deposited on the sapphire (0001) and silicon (100) substrates, respectively. The SEM images reveal that the AlN films have dense column grains that are perpendicular to the surface of the substrates. Figure 6 shows the surface morphology of the AlN thin film on silicon substrate. The results indicate that under the processing conditions, AlN thin films deposited are smooth, crack-free, and with a good uniformity.

B. Piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33}$ of AlN film

With quasistatic driving voltage at 1 Hz and peak to peak voltage from 0 to 5 V, the voltage induced dynamic displacement of the piezoelectric AlN thin film was measured using the single beam laser interferometer. An effective piezoelectric strain coefficient of $d_{33}^{\text{eff}}=2.9 \text{ pm/V}$ was detected for AlN film in the multilayer composite resonator structure (electrode/AlN thin film/electrode/sapphire). Since the piezoelectric coefficient is measured under the fact that the AlN film is always clamped to the sapphire substrate, the displacement and driving voltage ratio $\Delta d/V$ does not reflect the true piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33}$ of the AlN film under free boundary condition. In the converse piezoelectric effect, the effective piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33}^{\text{eff}}$ of thin film materials can be related to the true piezoelectric coefficient by the following relationship:

$$d_{33}^{\text{eff}} = d_{33} - 2d_{31}s_{13}(s_{11} + s_{12}),$$

where $s_{11}$, $s_{12}$, and $s_{13}$ are the mechanical compliances of the piezoelectric film and $d_{31}$ is the transverse piezoelectric coefficient. Since $d_{33} = -2d_{31}$, we have

FIG. 4. X-ray diffraction patterns of AlN films deposited at 550 °C on different substrates: (a) sapphire (0001) and (b) silicon (100).

FIG. 5. SEM micrographs of AlN films deposited at 550 °C on (a) sapphire (0001) substrate and (b) silicon (100) substrate.

FIG. 6. Surface morphology of the AlN thin film on silicon substrate.
C. Density and acoustic velocity of AlN film

Based on the one-dimensional input electric impedance [Eq. (1)], the electric impedance spectra for a four-layer thin film composite resonator and a three-layer resonator can be simulated. Listed in Tables II and III are the material properties used in the calculation. Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the typical simulation results of the electric impedance spectra for composite resonators, in which material properties of each layer are plugged in the equation for calculation. It should be mentioned that a small imaginary part of the acoustic velocity for each material has been introduced in the calculation, which can stand for the mechanical quality factor of the material. The mechanical quality factor \( Q \) in the table represents half of the real part to imaginary part ratio of the acoustic velocity.\(^{13,14} \) The impedance of the composite resonator follows a hyperbolic decrease that is the presentation of the static capacitance \( C_0 \) of the piezoelectric layer. There are multiple sharp peaks corresponding to the resonance “modes.” At a resonance mode, a standing wave is established in the thickness direction of the composite resonator. Since the substrate is much thicker than the piezoelectric layer, the mode frequencies are mainly determined by the substrate and modulated by the piezoelectric layer. Therefore, both the piezoelectric layer and the substrate will affect the distribution of multiple resonance modes of the resonator. By analyzing the space of the adjacent resonance frequency at special regions, for instance, the first normal and transition regions, we can derive the material properties of the piezoelectric layer.

The experimental results for the \( S_{11} \) parameter and the converted impedance spectrum with three consecutive peaks for a four-layer composite resonator are shown in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b). By measuring the parallel resonance frequency of these modes, we can obtain the space of two adjacent parallel resonance frequencies \( \Delta f_p \) over the measurement frequency range. Figure 9 shows the experiment results and the simulation results of \( \Delta f_p \). Although the experimental data are a little dispersive, the periodic shape of the curve is in good agreement with the simulation results. By averaging the measurement data around the center of the first normal and transition regions, we can obtain values of \( \Delta f_T \) and \( \Delta f_N \) of 40.81 and 39.86 MHz, respectively.

Using Eqs. (3) and (4), the density and acoustic velocity of the thin film are attained as 3187.3 kg/m\(^3\) and 10631 m/s. From Eq. (5), the stiffened elastic constant of the AlN thin film is 341.2 GPa.

D. Elastic modulus and hardness of the c-axis oriented AlN film

Using the nanoindentation, the load-displacement curves were obtained. Figure 10 shows the nanoindentation load-displacement curves for the AlN thin films with thicknesses of 0.6 and 1.5 \( \mu \)m that are deposited on sapphire substrates. Maximum loads \( P_{\text{max}} \) for the indentation are varied from

---

**TABLE II.** Properties of electrode and substrate materials used in the calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Density (kg/m(^3))</th>
<th>Acoustic velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Mechanical Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>6418</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>21.450</td>
<td>2823</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>2.320</td>
<td>8270</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III.** Properties of the piezoelectric thin film used in the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piezoelectric film</th>
<th>Density (kg/m(^3))</th>
<th>Acoustic velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>( k^2 ) (%)</th>
<th>Thickness (( \mu )m)</th>
<th>Area (m(^2))</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>( \varepsilon_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AlN</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.5\times10(^{-9})</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
500 to 4000 μN during the tests. All the load-displacement curves are smooth and regular without discontinuities, which indicate that no cracking occurred in the penetration process. From the load-displacement curves for various applied maximum loads, the reduced elastic modulus $E_r$ and hardness $H$ can be obtained.

Figures 11(a) and 11(b) show the reduced elastic modulus $E_r$ and hardness of AlN thin films with different thicknesses under different maximum loads at room temperature. No significant difference is observed for values of the elastic modulus and hardness of AlN thin films with different thicknesses. For all samples under test, the thickness of AlN film is quite thick as compared with the indentation depth; the effect of the substrate is thus insignificant. The variation of the properties could be due to the surface conditions such as roughness at different indentation locations on the films. In addition, indentation at or close to the grain boundaries may lead to lower values of elastic modulus and hardness as can be expected. The average reduced modulus of all thin film samples is about 250 GPa and the average hardness of the thin films is about 18 GPa.

The elastic modulus is related to the reduced elastic modulus by Eq. (7). Substituting the Poisson ratio of 0.2 for AlN, the elastic modulus and Poisson ratio for diamond indenter, and with the average reduced elastic modulus into the equation, the average elastic modulus of the AlN thin films can be estimated to be about 307 GPa.

V. SUMMARY

Smooth piezoelectric AlN thin films were grown on the sapphire and silicon substrates by the dc reactive magnetron...
sputtering. SEM and XRD characterization results show that the films show highly c-axis orientation. Single beam laser interferometer system was used to measure the effective piezoelectric coefficient of the AlN thin film deposited on sapphire substrate. The effective and true piezoelectric strain coefficients $d_{33\text{eff}}$ and $d_{33}$ of the AlN thin films are 2.9 and 4.17 pm/V, respectively. Thin film composite resonators have also been fabricated on silicon substrate for the characterization of electromechanical properties. The $S$-parameter ($S_{11}$) spectrum of the composite resonator was first measured at a wide frequency range and converted into electric impedance spectrum. Using the space of two adjacent parallel resonance frequencies at the first normal and transition regions in the electric impedance, the density and acoustic velocity of the AlN thin film were calculated to have values of 3187.3 kg/m$^3$ and 10631 m/s, respectively. Consequently the stiffened elastic modulus $C^D$ of the AlN film is 341.2 GPa. The results obtained from the experiments are in good agreement with those for bulk AlN crystals.
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