AD

AD-E403 289

Technical Report ARMET-TR-09048

M67 HAND GRENADE HEAT TEST

Eugene Homentowski Neha Mehta Gartung Cheng Carl Hu

July 2010



U.S. ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

Munitions Engineering Technology Center

Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

20100820113

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

The citation in this report of the names of commercial firms or commercially available products or services does not constitute official endorsement by or approval of the U.S. Government.

Destroy this report when no longer needed by any method that will prevent disclosure of its contents or reconstruction of the document. Do not return to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-01-0188		
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
July 2010 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	Technical	52	June 2009 CONTRACT NUMBER		
		54.			
M67 HAND GRENADE HEAT TEST		5b. (5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHORS		5d. 1	5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
Eugene Homentowski, Neha Mehta, Gartung Cheng, and Carl Hu		rl Hu 5e.	5e. TASK NUMBER		
		5f. V	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army ARDEC, METC			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
Energetics, Warheads & Manufacturing Technology Directorate (RDAR-MEE)					
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY N		-			
U.S. Army ARDEC, ESIC	ANVIE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
Knowledge & Process Management	(RDAR-EIK)		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT		
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000			NUMBER(S) Technical Report ARMET-TR-09048		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT					
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
Pulling the pin in the grenade's fuze the top of the fuze body initiating the	(the M213) releases the firing train. This fuze tra y, it also has major safet he entire fuze train. The external stimulus with en en many grenades are i grenade the body, heatir	spoon and ain is simple y issues. A large quan lough energ n the same ng tests wer	ly to function the entire grenade. location, through sympathetic e conducted on inert wax-filled M67		
ID. SUBJECT TERMS					
M67 grenade M213 fuze Slow cook-off					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF	R 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Neha Mehta		
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PA		PAGES 15	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area		
	SAR		code) (973) 724-9212 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)		
			Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18		

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Test Descriptions	2
Test Results	2
Conclusions	9
Recommendations	9
Distribution List	11

FIGURES

1	M67 hand grenade	1
2	M213 fuze	1
3	Modified M67 grenade w/ inert M213 fuze	2
4	Sterno® can heater	3
5	Sterno® heater with can oven	3
6	Temperature data, Sterno® heater	4
7	M67 temperature data, Sterno® heater with can oven	4
8	Mesh pad heater	5
9	M67 temperature data, mesh pad heater	5
10	M67 with coiled wire heater	5
11	M67 temperature data, 2 nd test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R	6
12	M67 temperature data, 3 rd test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R	6
13	M67 temperature data, 4^{th} test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R	7
14	Variac heater control circuit	7
15	M67 temperature data, 1 st test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R	7

FIGURES (continued)

P	a	a	P
	u	м	

16	M67 temperature data, 2 nd test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R	8
17	M67 temperature data, 3 rd test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R	8
18	M67 temperature data, 4 th test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R	8
19	M67 temperature data, 5 th test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R	9

INTRODUCTION

The M67 hand grenade (fig. 1) is a traditional pull-pin grenade, widely used by the U.S. Army and Marine Corps. Pulling the pin in the grenade's fuze (the M213) releases the spoon and the hammer, which hits the primer at the top of the fuze body (fig. 2), initiating the firing train. The delay mix is ignited by the primer and burns several seconds before initiating the attached C70 detonator. This detonator is massive, containing approximately 10 times more lead styphnate, lead azide, and RDX than other detonators such as M55, M61, etc. This size is not simply a case of over engineering; the length is required to properly initiate the grenade's explosive fill for good fragmentation, while its diameter is dictated by the dimensions of the fuze body.









This fuze train is simple and has functioned well and reliably in grenades for decades. Unfortunately, it also has major safety issues. Any unwanted stimulus that causes the primer to function, like fire, initiates the entire fuze train. The large quantities of primary explosive in the detonator can also be detonated by external stimulus with enough energy to function the entire grenade. These problems are exacerbated when many grenades are in the same location, through sympathetic detonation.

This report is a description of heating tests made on inert wax-filled M67 hand grenades and empty M67 hand grenades. The tests were conducted in order to see if grenade halves would separate when heated. Fourteen tests were conducted in all with consistent results.

TEST DESCRIPTION

The M67 hand grenade was modified to meet requirements of the insensitive munitions program. Instead of making the grenade body in one piece it was made in two pieces as shown in figure 3. The modified body was designed to separate when heated, as in a fire, by internal pressures that would rotate the pieces apart. The Detonator and Sensitivity Laboratory Group of Munitions Engineering Technology Center's Explosives Development Branch, U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC), Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey was funded to conduct heating tests to see if performance met design goals. Numerous decisions had to be made before the tests could be started. For example: how to heat the item and how fast to heat it, how to collect temperature data, and then how to hold the item while it was being heated. It was decided to collect data using a LabVIEW data acquisition program. The decision was also made to put thermocouples on four spots to get the proper temperature reading of the whole body. Thermocouples were placed on the bottom of the grenade, top of the grenade, middle of the body, and the housing.



Figure 3 Modified M67 grenade w/inert M213 fuze

Several heating methods were considered: propane torch, electric wire, and Sterno® flame. The propane torch method was eliminated because it would require an elaborate test set up and also would be a safety hazard. The flame from a Sterno® can was used initially because it was relatively easy to set up the heating arrangement (fig. 4). Two tests were made with the Sterno® flame. For these tests, thermocouples were placed on the bottom of the grenade (T1), top of the grenade (T2), fuze top (T3), and Sterno® flame (T4).



Figure 4 Sterno® can heater

TEST RESULTS

In the first test, the grenade was suspended over the Sterno® can, which was ignited and the grenade was observed to see if it separated while temperature data was logged into the LabVIEW excel spreadsheet. The grenade temperature reached 300°F with an erratic flame temperature, which was probably caused by flame flicker. The grenade did not separate.

The second test (fig. 5) used a tin can oven to reduce flame flicker. For this test, a tin can was used to hold the grenade to reduce the flame flicker. Thermocouple locations were changed to the middle, top, fuze top, and then on the Sterno® flame. There wasn't much improvement in flame stability with the oven. Data from this test is shown in figures 6 and 7. This method was not chosen as a stable heating method was needed.



Figure 5 Sterno® heater with can oven

M67 Grenade Fast Heat Sterno 12/1/0



Figure 6 M67 temperature data, Sterno® heater



Figure 7 M67 temperature data, Sterno® heater w/can oven

Insulated AWG 20 nichrome heating wire was on hand and it was decided to use the wire to heat the grenade for the remaining tests. It was a challenge to devise a way to heat the grenade with the wire because of the grenade shape. A mesh pad was first woven to hold and heat the bottom of the grenade (fig. 8). Full power at 120 V was applied to the heater wire, which glowed red and then broke when it reached a temperature of 1300°F. Data from this test is shown in figure 9. Four series represents the thermocouple readings at different locations. Series 4 trace was at the bottom of the grenade, series 3 on the fuze housing, series 2 at the middle of the grenade, and series 1 at the top half of the grenade. Later, a better system was devised by placing the heater wire coiled around the top half of the grenade as shown in figure 10. In the first of these tests, 120 V was applied to the heater. Thermocouples were placed at the fuze housing, heater wire, and two locations on the lower grenade body. Shortly after the test was started, the heater wire emitted sparks and then burned out. The voltage was too high for that size heater. The test did not last long enough to acquire sufficient data.



Figure 8 Mesh pad heater



Figure 9 M67 temperature data, mesh pad heater



Figure 10 M67 w/coiled wire heater

For further testing, a resistor was placed in series with the heater wire to reduce power to the wire. Three tests were performed with this method. Temperature data for these tests are shown in figures 11 through 13. Test results were about the same for these tests. The wire insulation first starts to smoke, then the wire glows red and then white, and then starts to burn. Then the wire opens, sometimes accompanied by sparks. The last five tests were controlled with a variable transformer (variac), which gave greater control of the power applied to the heater wire. Figure 14 shows the circuit that was used for these tests. The variac controlled tests lasted longer, but results were similar. The insulation would start to smoke, then the wire would glow red and white, then wax would leak from the grenade seams, and then wax/insulation would burn. Three out of five (first, fourth, and fifth) tests resulted in the fuze threads melting and the grenade separating from the fuze. Temperature data for these tests are shown in figures 15 through 18.



Figure 11 M67 temperature data, 2nd test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R



Figure 12 M67 temperature data, 3rd test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R

M67 Fast Heat Test 4, 12/11/08



Figure 13 M67 temperature data, 4^{th} test, coiled wire heater, 120 V w/R



Figure 14 Variac heater control circuit





Figure 15 M67 temperature data, 1st test w/coiled heater and Variac control

M67 Fast Heat Test 2, 1/5/09



Figure 16 M67 temperature data, 2nd test w/coiled heater & Variac control



Figure 17 M67 temperature data, 3rd test w/coiled heater & Variac control



Figure 18 M67 temperature data, 4th test w/coiled heater and Variac control

One test was conducted using an empty grenade body (no wax or any other inert) to see at what temperature the body separates from the fuze. Figure 19 shows data from the last test. The grenade temperature was slowly heated until the grenade body separated from the fuze. The grenade separated around 690°F.



Figure 19 M67 temperature data, 5th test w/coiled heater and Variac control

CONCLUSIONS

None of the tests resulted in the grenade halves rotating and separating from each other although the grenade separates from the fuze in three of the tests. The first thing that happens after applying power is the heater wire insulation starts to smoke, then the insulation glows, first red then white, then at about 180°F the wax filler melts and starts to leak from the seam in the middle of the grenade, then the insulation and wax starts to burn, and if the power is left on long enough the fuze threads melt at about 690°F and the grenade drops away from the fuze. If too much power is applied, the heater wire opens before the grenade separates from the fuze. The grenade separates from the fuze at approximately 690°F.

RECOMMENDATIONS

No recommendations.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

U.S. Army ARDEC ATTN: RDAR-EIK RDAR-AAR-GC RDAR-MEE-W, N. Mehta (7) Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC ATTN: Accessions Division 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Ste 0944 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

Commander Soldier and Biological/Chemical Command ATTN: AMSSB-CII, Library Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

Director

U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-CI-LP, Technical Library Bldg. 4600 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

Chief Benet Weapons Laboratory, WSEC U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: RDAR-WSB Watervliet, NY 12189-5000

Director U.S. Army TRADOC Analysis Center-WSMR ATTN: ATRC-WSS-R White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

Chemical Propulsion Information Agency ATTN: Accessions 10630 Little Patuxent Parkway, Suite 202 Columbia, MD 21044-3204

GIDEP Operations Center P.O. Box 8000 Corona, CA 91718-8000