

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE***Form Approved*  
**OMB No. 0704-0188**

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.

**PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b> APRIL 2009		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Conference Paper Postprint		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b> April 2008 – April 2009	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> INTELLIGENT DISSEMINATION IN A SECURE, WIRELESS PLATFORM				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b> FA8750-08-C-0107	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b> N/A	
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b> 65502F	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Catherine H. Clark, John Spina, and Michael Bilinski				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b> 08SB	
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b> IR	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b> 35	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Vision Systems and Technology, Inc. 6021 University Boulevard Ellicott City, MD 21043-6077				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b> N/A	
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> AFRL/RIEH 525 Brooks Road Rome NY 13441-4505				<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b> N/A	
				<b>11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b> AFRL-RI-RS-TP-2009-46	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> <i>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited PA# 88ABW-2009-1335 Date Cleared: 6-April-2009</i>					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> © 2009 SPIE. This paper was submitted and accepted for publication in the Proceedings of the SPIE: Defense & Security Symposium, Orlando, FL, April-2009. This work is copyrighted. One or more of the authors is a U.S. Government employee working within the scope of their Government job; therefore, the U.S. Government is joint owner of the work and has the right to copy, distribute, and use the work. All other rights are reserved by the copyright owner.					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> Although more information than ever before is available to support the intelligence analyst, the vast proliferation of types of data, devices, and protocols makes it increasingly difficult to ensure that the right information is received by the right people at the right time. Analysts struggle to balance information overload and an information vacuum depending on their location and available equipment. The ability to securely manage and deliver critical knowledge and actionable intelligence to the analyst regardless of device configuration, classification level or location in a reliable manner would provide the analyst 24/7 access to useable information. There are several important components to an intuitive system that can provide timely information in a user-preferred manner. Two of these components: information presentation based on the user's preference and requirements and the identification of solutions to the problem of secure information delivery across multiple security levels will be discussed in this paper.					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Information Delivery, Wireless Devices, Mobile Proxy					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b> UU	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 11	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b> John Spina
<b>a. REPORT</b> U	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b> U	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b> U			<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)</b> N/A

# Intelligent Dissemination in a Secure, Wireless Platform

*Catherine H. Clark, Vision Systems & Technology, Inc.*

*John Spina, Air Force Research Laboratory*

*Michael Bilinski, BAE Systems*

***Abstract** - Although more information than ever before is available to support the intelligence analyst, the vast proliferation of types of data, devices, and protocols makes it increasingly difficult to ensure that the right information is received by the right people at the right time. Analysts struggle to balance information overload and an information vacuum depending on their location and available equipment. The ability to securely manage and deliver critical knowledge and actionable intelligence to the analyst regardless of device configuration, classification level or location in a reliable manner, would provide the analyst 24/7 access to useable information. There are several important components to an intuitive system that can provide timely information in a user-preferred manner. Two of these components: information presentation based on the user's preference and requirements and the identification of solutions to the problem of secure information delivery across multiple security levels, will be discussed in this paper.*


## ***1 – Introduction and Background***

The Intelligence Community (IC) requires a secure method to provide information in many formats (text, images, video, etc.) to multiple users, using multiple devices (cell phones, Personal Digital Assistants (PDA), computers, etc.) over wireless and wired communications channels with varied bandwidths. One approach to meeting these requirements is to provide an innovative, domain-independent solution that is easily deployed and managed, extensible, will provide both push and pull of the information and/or notifications, and automatically modified in accordance with security requirements and the physical limitations of users' devices and connections. Information dissemination and management is device, connection, and protocol dependent. The currently available devices vary widely in size, hardware and software capabilities, and connection types. The modern analyst requires unified and secure methods to deliver and manage critical information flow that accommodate multiple devices, connections, and protocols; in addition, the system must be able to accommodate new devices rapidly and efficiently. The main concept that this paper explores is the development of an extensible, standards-based software application that can distribute secure, content-filtered information in differing formats to a wide variety of mobile devices, with a variety of connection bandwidths. In simple terms, the objective is to get the right information to the right people at the right time regardless of their device and location.

A difficult issue associated with implementing a system that leverages small hand-held devices is the ability to automatically massage the data being sent so that the device can receive and display the information in an intelligible format. For example, if an e-mail containing several image attachments is sent to a user utilizing a device that is incapable of displaying images, then bandwidth should not be wasted sending large image files.

POSTPRINT

The user might instead prefer to receive a textual description or a metadata description of the image. While capabilities and hardware profiles can vary tremendously across hand-held devices, users should not have to resolve these differences. An information dissemination platform must possess the ability to automatically support the addition of new devices, use specific device capabilities, and define data transformation rules. The system must have built-in intelligence as to ensure that the user has access to critical information regardless of her profile. For purposes of this paper, the user's profile describes all of the various attributes of the user's device's capabilities (i.e. device, bandwidth, security clearances, and other limitations). Although more information than ever before is available to support decision making, the vast proliferation of types of data, devices and protocols makes it increasingly difficult to ensure that the right information is received by the right people at the right time. From the viewpoint of the analyst, too much information, not enough information, or information that is not properly formatted, can make decision-making more difficult.



**Figure 1: High-Level example of an architecture for an Information Dissemination Platform (IDP)**

## 2 – Describing a User's Profile

Device, data and service provider descriptions are a vital part of an information dissemination platform. In order to optimize the delivery of information, characteristics

of the user's environment must be captured. Is the user sitting at a high end desktop computer in an office with a T1 internet capability or is the user traveling in a car with a cell phone? While that example offers the two extremes, several other scenarios in between are likely to occur. A system that can map the user's profile in order to determine the most user-preferred delivery method requires several databases or ontologies to perform. Aside from determining the optimal delivery method, the system might also consider allowing user-defined thresholds that weigh speed versus information quality. In other words, for a particular piece of information is it more important to get that information as fast as possible, regardless of the quality of the information, or take longer to push to the user, but provide a more complete information product? Where is that line and how do you approach the convergence of the right speed and ideal information? While exploring this concept is beyond the essence of this paper, it is important to build the foundation of the user's profile, particularly the device, data and connection descriptions.

As the convergence of telecommunications and computers has matured, there have been significant advancements. The pace of this convergence is only accelerating as new devices and technologies become available. Traditional computing depends heavily upon many known factors – known devices (e.g., workstations), known locations (e.g., desks in an office) and known capabilities (e.g., consistent network bandwidths and common software applications). The traditional computing environment has a relatively homogeneous infrastructure and very little thought needs to be given to the capabilities or availability of devices on the network. In contrast to traditional computing environments, the rapid evolution of the telecommunication, wireless, and mobile computing environments and the wide variety of available devices and protocols has created a very heterogeneous environment. This new environment has eliminated most of the known factors that were relied upon in traditional computing. A new type of computing infrastructure is needed to support this distributed, mobile computing paradigm. One of the more promising approaches for supporting this new type of computing infrastructure is the use of a distributed and mobile computing environment and the use of event-driven messaging systems that utilize a publish and subscribe paradigm [1]. Under this model, object interactions (e.g., the arrival of a new intelligence report, a user request for information, inventory stocks falling below a threshold) are treated as events and users identify the events in which they are interested. When an event of interest occurs, information about the event can be pushed to the user as an alert or added to an information queue to be downloaded when the user is online, based on the user's preferences and her device capabilities.

### *2.1-Current practices*

There is work being done in the commercial world that addresses some of the issues associated with handling and formatting information delivered to hand-held devices. For the most part, the service providers handle text and email by truncating the message according to their particular size restrictions. For instance, if the cell phone provider only

allows 256 byte text messages, the message will cut off at the point it exceeds the size limit and either gets divided into multiple messages or gets left off completely. Images and video are handled in a similar way. For example, an image being sent that is 200KB, to a device that can only accept sizes less than 100KB, may get scaled down to 100KB in order to be delivered. This degrades the resolution, and therefore potentially renders the image useless.

## *2.2-Device Ontology Database*

One approach, that has been researched in depth by the authors, to managing the push and pull of information to a wide variety of smart devices is a device ontology. A device ontology is a database that contains the format, security, and protocol information about the devices that would be available to the system. The device ontology maps the specific protocols and requirements for a device to a common schema so intelligence products can be automatically reformatted, reconfigured, and encoded in accordance with the device specifications. Smart mobile devices enable the opportunity for a user to leverage rapid information dissemination. They allow for the right information to be distributed to the right individuals at the right time. Unfortunately, devices operate in a heterogeneous environment. Manufacturers offer a wide array of devices to users with a vast set of features and capabilities. This creates a great strain on disseminating information. While simply distributing the lowest common denominator offers a solution to this problem, it is not an optimal one. Devices capable of receiving enhanced forms of media should receive the most comprehensive information object possible. While this information object could simply be a text message, it should extend to a video feed or similar means of multimedia providing the most relevant information whenever possible.




Figure 2: Example Device Ontology

### 2.3-Enhancing the Device Ontology

An ontology that has become somewhat of a standard is the Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) device ontology. The FIPA device ontology addresses the problem of heterogeneous devices by providing a database of the specifications and capabilities of devices. This device ontology is a catalog of currently released mobile devices. It provides capabilities of many important areas of mobile devices. On the hardware side, the device ontology contains slots for connection, memory and the user interface. Additionally, the device ontology addresses software versions briefly. While this ontology provides for basic dissemination, it can be improved upon for advanced reasoning in deciding what information can be delivered to a device. Proper extension allows for greater reasoning capability and flexibility. Specifically, a hardware description can be extended to better explain a device’s reasoning capability. Additionally, multiple slots can be extended and better incorporated into the ontology through transitivity to allow for more generalized reasoning. [3]

Enhancements to the FIPA model have allowed for advanced reasoning about a device’s capability to both receive and transmit audio. This has prevented a device from unnecessarily sending a higher fidelity item than is necessary for an intended destination

device. The hardware description is extended by adding slots for audio and video. Currently FIPA's device ontology only supports Boolean values for determining whether or not a device accepts input and output. This is simply not sufficient for a device that will publish information. The audioDescription frame will satisfy an audioInput and audioOutput slot of the uiDescription. Audio description has a value for sampling rate, buffer, and formats. Sampling rate will be a frequency value. Buffer represents the available time for recording. Finally, format is a one to many slot allowing for someone to define the formats the device can record or play (depending on whether the audioDescription instance satisfies the input or output slot). The videoDescription frame will satisfy two new slots under ui-description for videoInput and videoOutput. This frame is implemented similar to the audioDescription. Slots in this frame include format, an integer fps, and input and output audioDescriptions in case the audio cannot record with the same fidelity if the video is recording.

In its current form, FIPA's device ontology handles units poorly. It does not provide the flexibility or resources to state that two unit values are equivalent. The FIPA model can be improved by developing a unit frame with a literal, base unit, and multiple off-the-base unit. A unit frame can be sub-classed into similar appropriate units of measurement through a transitive subclassOf relationship. (e.g. FrequencyUnits, DistanceUnits, MemoryUnits). A description will now refer to a unit of measurement, by a value and an instance of a unit or subclass. This join is represented by the unitDescription frame. These additional capabilities will allow a user to extend an ontology to require specific types of units to satisfy a value. The value can then be reasoned over as described previously to allow comparison of values as well as calculations of requirements.


#### ***2.4-Data Ontology Database***

The data ontology database contains the format and protocol information about all data used in this system. The data ontology maps fields, metadata, and other information describing the contents of the data to a common schema so individual components of the data can be extracted as necessary. This component allows only a subset of the original data fields to be sent to the device and avoids overwhelming devices that have limited capabilities. Used in conjunction with the device ontology, the data ontology is a critical component in determining how to present the information to the user.

### ***3 – Information delivery across multiple security levels***

Another main focus of this technology is the ability to navigate through different security levels. In order to handle varying security levels in an open environment, considering almost all mobile devices communicate on a non-secure network, the implementation of a secure one-way transfer capability is necessary. This will allow messages to be sent from a "high-security" network to a "low-security" network, and vice versa. As part of the system, a security database is required to store the user's security information as well as a security sanitizer process. In addition to incorporating a secure mobile device, several

aspects of the application program should have the ability to communicate with several devices, and be able to send several different types of data. The system must be robust and flexible in order to work in many different scenarios. Incorporating multi-level security will enable users to see only data that is within their security clearance level. Users that have access to a secure mobile device (e.g. SME-PED) will be able to send and receive secure transmissions in the field. Users who have non-secure devices will receive a filtered version of the secure message. This capability is extremely useful for the Intelligence Community, military and/or DoD organizations that inherently require access to multi-level security information, but still must get data to users in a timely manner.




**Figure 3: High-Level Architecture of a Secure System**

The idea is to ensure that the system works in secure environments that have multi-level security associated with classified data, such as any of the agencies in the Intelligence Community (IC). It is essential that the system incorporate the ability to move across security domain both low to high and high back down to low. An ideal application would facilitate a one-way transfer across security domains, allowing email, web site content, and other open source information to be passed into secure networks. This allows for a one-way transfer of non-secure data to secure internal domains. The Low-to-High capability is currently being used in the IC and has been accredited at Protection Level 4. The Directory File Transfer System has been accredited at Evaluation Assurance Level 4.



The significance of moving data from the “high” side to the “low” side may be more of a policy issue than a technical issue.



*Figure 4: Example Scenario – Automatic Real-Time Alerting Scenario*

Figure 4 illustrates an example of how an architecture such as this is utilized to perform real-time alerting. This capability can send data to every user in the system, or to a specified user.

1. The new data is annotated with a standard set of markup tags describing the information, origin, format, and summary of the data.
2. An agent registers the new report in the Object Catalog.
3. An agent compares the new report to the information needs identified by users in the Subscription Information Database to locate users who requested an alert be sent, and on which device.
4. An agent triggers other agents to begin delivery of the alerts.
5. An agent gathers device information for each user and communication information for the data.
6. An agent sends the alerts through the Data Translator Process, and Protocol Translator Process to adjust content, format, and protocol as specified.
7. The alert appears on the users' devices in the appropriate format. A notice of the delivery is sent to the Delivery Log.

*Scenario 1 – When military forces are working with NATO and/or other allies, these allies may only be cleared for certain levels of information. If national level intelligence is passed to troops in the field at a Top Secret level, they may only be able to send Secret level information to their NATO allies. This information could be sent at the Secret level to a handheld device, even though it was originated at the Top Secret level. This information would also be tailored to fit those devices to speed up the transfer of data and expedite the planning and execution of the mission.*

*Scenario 2 – Occasionally, force units in the field are not cleared to the same level as the information needed to complete their missions. Top Secret information sent to Intelligence forces often has to be downgraded to be broadcast to units in the field. With the help of a managed information system on hand held devices, actionable intelligence can be downgraded and passed to those units in a timely manner to expedite operations. To illustrate this, forces are conducting counter-insurgency operations and detain a possible suspect. Much of the intelligence gathered on this person may be at the TS level, but Secret level information could be sent quickly to these units on their handheld devices to correctly verify whether or not this is a person of interest.*

*Scenario 3 – The ability to pass large amounts of data on a hand held device has been hindered by bandwidth and processing speeds in the past. An outstanding example of the benefits of an information system that can manage large transfers of data in a military domain, would be a reconnaissance operation. The ability to process imagery intelligence would help analysts identify potential suspect movement or enemy equipment and artillery movement. In the past, the time it took to transfer imagery data of suitable quality was unacceptable. Using hand held devices and a user-centered intelligent dissemination platform, actionable intelligence would be available to the reconnaissance units in a timely manner.*

***Figure 5: Application to Military Operations Scenarios***

#### **4 – Conclusions**

In conclusion, it may soon be possible to disseminate information to multiple devices using multiple service providers and implementing the device, data and connection ontologies. Most importantly, the possibility of doing this without adding any special software or hardware to the user's device may help speed the transition to this technology. A user will be able log into the system and immediately add her devices to the system and begin sending and receiving information. This design allows for the system to be available immediately to any user with any device; no proprietary hardware and/or software restrictions placed on the device. This paradigm would not require users of the system to purchase specific devices in order to communicate with each other.

An important research finding was that when defining a device ontology, equal attention should be paid to the service provider and/or client's properties. Important device properties include available memory, sampling rate, and video resolution. Additionally, it is important to know the limitations of the service provider, for example encryption type, data size limitation, etc. Each service provider differs, regardless if the device is the same. Another finding is that it is possible to enhance the device ontologies that are available which will allow for advanced reasoning about a device's capability to both receive and transmit audio.

The ability to securely manage and deliver critical knowledge and actionable intelligence to the analyst regardless of device configuration (bandwidth, processing speed, etc.), classification level or location in a reliable manner, would provide the analyst 24/7 access to useable information. As illustrated in the many scenarios addressed in this paper, military success can be enhanced through the implementation of an intelligent information dissemination system.

## References

- [1] Capraro, Gerard T., “Publish and Subscribe Paradigm with Hand-held Computing Devices”, proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on Information Fusion, FrA2-19 (2004)
- [2] P. Obendorf, D. Carney; “A Summary of DoD COTS-Related Policies”, SEI Monographs on the Use of Commercial Software in Government Systems Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute (1998)
- [3] <http://www.fipa.org/>, “FIPA Device Ontology Specification” Foundation for Intelligence Physical Agents, Geneva, Switzerland (1996)