

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) (25-02-2009)		2. REPORT TYPE IN-HOUSE REPORT		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 15 Apr 2006 – Jan 2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE AN ELECTRICALLY SMALL INDUCTIVE-LOADED PLANAR ANTENNA				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 65502F	
6. AUTHOR(S) Edward Altshuler				5d. PROJECT NUMBER 2304	
				5e. TASK NUMBER HA	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 01	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory Antenna Technology Branch 80 Scott Drive Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-2909				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Electromagnetics Technology Division Sensors Directorate Air Force Research Laboratory 80 Scott Drive Hanscom AFB MA 01731-2909				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/RHYA	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-RY-HS-TR-2009-0010	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED (ABW-2009-1304 OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO AFRL/RHYA, 80 SCOTT DRIVE, HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731-2909					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT It is shown that it is possible to design an electrically small inductive-loaded planar antenna, with a matching post, that is matched to a 50-ohm coaxial line. Planar antennas having a wide range of configurations were simulated at UHF. Many of these antennas performed very well. Three antennas having the best performance were simulated, fabricated and measured. The antennas had shapes that varied from a square of about 5 cm on a side to a low profile shape 3 cm in height and 9 cm in length. The electrical heights of these antennas ranged from 0.055λ down to 0.035λ . All antennas were elliptically polarized and had simulated maximum gains of about 4.4 dBi along the horizon and about 10 dBi lower at zenith. These antennas are very high Q so the bandwidths were only about 1% for a Voltage Standing Wave ratio (VSWR) below 2.0. The electrical size of the antenna can be further reduced by placing a dielectric slab between the antenna elements. It is shown that it is possible to electrically tune the frequency of the antenna, by placing a variable capacitance in series with the inductance, thus increasing the bandwidth. The agreement of measurements with simulations was excellent.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Electrically small planar antennas, impedance matching, inductive loaded antennas, inverted-F antenna					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17.LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18.NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c.THIS PAGE			Edward Altshuler
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	UU	15	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 781-377-4226

AFRL-RY-HS-TR-2009-0010



AN ELECTRICALLY SMALL INDUCTIVE-LOADED PLANAR ANTENNA

Dr. Edward Altshuler

AFRL/RHYA
80 Scott Drive
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

15 April 2006 to 31 January 2009

In-House Report

Distribution: Statement A: Approved for Public Release DESTRUCTION NOTICE: For unclassified, limited documents, destroy by any method that will prevent disclosure of contents or reconstruction of this document.

AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
Sensors Directorate
Electromagnetics Technology Division
80 Scott Drive
Hanscom AFB MA 01731-2909

NOTICE SIGNATURE PAGE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This report was cleared for public release by the Electronic Systems Center Public Affairs Office for the Air Force Research Laboratory, Electromagnetic Technology Division and is available to the general public, including foreign nationals. Copies may be obtained from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (<http://www.dtic.mil>).

AFRL-RY-HS-TR-2009-0010 HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT.

////SIGNED////

EDWARD ALTSHULER
Program Manager
Antenna Technology Branch

////SIGNED////

DAVID CURTIS
Branch Chief
Antenna Technology Branch

////SIGNED////

MICHAEL N. ALEXANDER
Technical Advisor
Electromagnetics Technology Division

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange, and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Report Documentation Page	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Figures	iii
Abstract.....	1
1.0 Introduction.....	1
2.0 Approach	2
3.0 Results	3
4.0 Conclusions.....	8
Acknowledgements.....	8
References.....	9

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. A sketch of the planar antenna.....	2
2. A plot of the simulated operational frequency as a function of terminal inductance for four antenna widths.	3
3. Simulated and measured impedance plots for a planar antenna with $l = 6.5$ cm, $h = 5.1$ cm and $w = 1.1$ cm.....	4
4. Simulated 2-D pattern for planar antenna with $l = 6.5$ cm, $h = 5.1$ cm, $w = 1.0$ cm.	4
5. Simulated and measured impedance plots for a planar antenna with $l = 7.1$ cm, $h = 4.1$ cm and $w = 1.15$ cm.....	5
6. Simulated and measured impedance plots for a planar antenna with $l = 9.1$ cm, $h = 3.0$ cm and $w = 0.7$ cm.....	6
7. Fabricated planar antenna with and without a Teflon insert for $l = 6.5$ cm, $h = 5.1$ cm, $w = 1.0$ cm	7
8. Planar antenna with a fixed inductance and variable capacitance load	8

An Electrically Small Inductive-Loaded Planar Antenna

Abstract

It is shown that it is possible to design an electrically small inductive-loaded planar antenna, with a matching post, that is matched to a 50-ohm coaxial line. Planar antennas having a wide range of configurations were simulated at UHF. Many of these antennas performed very well. Three antennas having the best performance were simulated, fabricated and measured. The antennas had shapes that varied from a square of about 5 cm on a side to a low profile shape 3 cm in height and 9 cm in length. The electrical heights of these antennas ranged from 0.055λ down to 0.035λ . All antennas were elliptically polarized and had simulated maximum gains of about 4.4 dBi along the horizon and about 10 dBi lower at zenith. These antennas are very high Q so the bandwidths were only about 1% for a Voltage Standing Wave ratio (VSWR) below 2.0. The electrical size of the antenna can be further reduced by placing a dielectric slab between the antenna elements. It is shown that it is possible to electrically tune the frequency of the antenna, by placing a variable capacitance in series with the inductance, thus increasing the bandwidth. The agreement of measurements with simulations was excellent.

Index Terms- Electrically small planar antennas, impedance matching, inductive loaded antennas, inverted-F antenna

1.0 Introduction

Electrically small antennas generally have a low radiation resistance and a high reactance, thus making it difficult to transfer power to the antenna. It has been shown that it is possible to match an electrically small antenna to a 50-ohm coaxial line by placing a matching post near the base of the antenna [1, 2, 3]. This previous antenna was designed to fit in a cubical volume. In this investigation we design a planar antenna, which is also electrically small, self-resonant and is matched to a 50-ohm coaxial line; it is mounted over a ground plane. Since it has a planar configuration it can be used for closely spaced array elements. This antenna is actually a modification of an inverted – F antenna, where an extra set of parallel wires is added to that antenna [1]. The extra length of folded wires allows this antenna to be electrically small, which is not the case for the conventional inverted - F or other transmission line antennas [4]. In order to achieve the matching, we place an inductance at its endpoint and use a matching post near the input of the antenna, both of which are connected to the ground plane. We conducted simulations of the antenna configuration shown in Fig. 1, varying antenna length, l , width, w , total height above the ground plane, h , height of the horizontal connecting wire above the ground plane, h_2 , height of the matching post above the ground plane, h_1 , the angle that it makes with the vertical segment, α and the inductance, L . After obtaining satisfactory computational results, we built and tested three of these antennas. We show the computed and measured impedance plots and the simulated radiation patterns. We also show that the operational frequency can be further lowered by inserting a Teflon slab between the wires. In addition we place a capacitor in series with the inductor and show that the operational frequency can be changed by varying this

capacitance; thus it should be possible to tune this antenna electronically by using a varactor to provide the variable capacitance.




Figure 1. A sketch of the planar antenna.

2.0 Approach

The antenna simulations, which used the Numerical Electromagnetics Code (NEC-4) [5], were initially done for values of antenna length, l and height, h fixed at 5 cm. The height of the matching post, h_1 was varied from 0.4 cm to 1.2 cm, and its angle, α , for values of 30° , 45° and 60° . The height h_2 , was varied from 1 cm to 3 cm, the width, w from 0.5 cm to 2.0 cm and the inductance, L , from 0.1 to 1.0 μ Henries. The objective was to find antenna configurations that had a low VSWR when driven from a 50-ohm coaxial line. We did not attempt to optimize the radiation pattern since small antennas over a ground plane tend to have near hemispherical coverage. We analyzed an antenna having the following values: $l = 5$ cm, $h = 5$ cm, $w = 1$ cm, $h_1 = 1$ cm, $h_2 = 1$ cm and $\alpha = 30^\circ$ and $L = 0.55$ μ Henries; the wire diameter was 3/32" (0.24 cm) the simulation was done for the antenna over an infinite ground plane. The input impedance, VSWR and radiation pattern were computed. We then built this antenna using 3/32" brass tubing and a wire-wound inductance about 1 cm in length, 0.4 cm in diameter and having a value of 0.55 μ Henries; since the dimensions of the fabricated antenna were slightly different from the original simulation, we redid the simulation using the dimensions of the antenna that was built. We also simulated and tested lower profile antennas having approximate lengths, $l = 7$ cm and 9 cm, and heights $h = 4$ cm and 3 cm respectively. Once again, since the fabricated antennas did not have the same exact dimensions as the original simulation we redid these simulations with dimensions that were close to those of the fabricated antennas. The measurements were made with a Hewlett- Packard Model 8510 Network Analyzer with the antenna mounted over a 4ft x 4 ft (1.2 m x 1.2 m) ground plane. The antennas were fed with a Type N connector.

3.0 Results

In Fig. 2 we show the results of the initial simulations. The operational frequency is plotted as a function of inductance for antenna widths of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 cm. The VSWRs associated with these curves were typically under 2.0. We note that the operational frequency decreases sharply as the inductance is increased and then tends to level off. Also, the frequency decreases as the antenna width is increased; this is expected since the larger width produces a larger antenna and thus a lower frequency.




Figure 2. A plot of the simulated operational frequency as a function of terminal inductance for four antenna widths.

In Fig. 3 we show simulated and measured impedance plots for a planar antenna having the following dimensions: $l = 6.5$ cm, $h = 5.1$ cm, $w = 1.1$ cm, $h_1 = 3.4$ cm, $h_2 = 1.1$ cm, $\alpha = 69^\circ$ and $L = 0.55$ μ Henries. The solid curve is the simulated antenna and covers the frequency range from 320 MHz to 331 MHz. A minimum VSWR of 1.10 occurs at a frequency of 325 MHz. The dashed curve is the measured impedance and covers the frequency range from 316 MHz to 325 MHz. A minimum VSWR of 1.16 occurs at a frequency of 321 MHz. The electrical height of this antenna is only 0.055λ ; the total length of tubing is about 0.41λ . The approximate frequency band for which the VSWR is below 2.0 is 1.1% for the simulation and 1.5% for the measurement.




Figure 3. Simulated and measured impedance plots for a planar antenna with $l = 6.5$ cm, $h = 5.1$ cm and $w = 1.1$ cm

In Fig. 4 we show the simulated radiation pattern of this antenna. As for all electrically small antennas over a ground plane, we have near hemispherical coverage. Since this antenna has both vertical and horizontal wires, we have vertical polarization at the low elevation angles, horizontal polarization at zenith and elliptical polarization at the intermediate angles. The maximum vertically polarized gain is about 4.3 dBi along the horizon and the horizontally polarized gain in the zenith direction is about -7 dBi.




Figure 4. Simulated 2-D pattern for planar antenna with $l = 6.5$ cm, $h = 5.1$ cm, $w = 1.0$ cm.

In Fig. 5 we show simulated and measured impedance plots for a planar antenna having the following dimensions: $l = 7.15$ cm, $h = 4.1$ cm, $w = 1.15$ cm, $h_1 = 2.0$ cm, $h_2 = 1.1$ cm, $\alpha = 53^\circ$ and $L = 0.55$ μ Henries. The solid curve is the simulated antenna and covers the frequency range from 345 MHz to 355 MHz. A minimum VSWR of 1.23 occurs at a frequency of 349 MHz. The dashed curve is the measured impedance and covers the frequency range from 355 MHz to 362 MHz. A minimum VSWR of 1.22 occurs at a frequency of 359 MHz. The electrical height of this antenna is 0.048λ ; the total length of tubing is about 0.38λ . The approximate frequency band for which the VSWR is below 2.0 is 0.8% for the simulation and 0.9% for the measurement. The radiation pattern of this antenna is similar to the previous antenna but has a slightly higher gain at zenith.




Figure 5. Simulated and measured impedance plots for a planar antenna with $l = 7.1$ cm, $h = 4.1$ cm and $w = 1.15$ cm.

In Fig. 6 we show simulated and measured impedance plots for a still lower profile planar antenna having the following dimensions: $l = 9.1$ cm, $h = 3.0$ cm, $w = 0.7$ cm, $h_1 = 1.4$ cm, $h_2 = 0.4$ cm, $\alpha = 49^\circ$ and $L = 0.55$ μ Henries. The solid curve is the simulated antenna and covers the frequency range from 350 MHz to 357 MHz. A minimum VSWR of 1.29 occurs at a frequency of 354 MHz. The dashed curve is the measured impedance and covers the frequency range from 351 MHz to 357 MHz. A minimum VSWR of 1.04 occurs at a frequency of 354 MHz. The electrical height of this antenna is 0.035λ ; the total length of the tubing is about 0.38λ . The approximate frequency band for which the VSWR is below 2.0 is 0.4% for the simulation and

1.0% for the measurement. Once again the radiation pattern of this antenna is similar to those of the previous antennas and as expected, because it has longer horizontal segments, the gain in the zenith direction is higher.




Figure 6. Simulated and measured impedance plots for a planar antenna with $l = 9.1$ cm, $h = 3.0$ cm and $w = 0.7$ cm.

We then inserted a slab of teflon, with dielectric constant of 2.1 and loss tangent of 0.0002 in an electrically small planar antenna of length 5 cm, height 5 cm and width 1 cm. The insertion of the dielectric should reduce the operational frequency of the antenna. In Fig.7 we plot the measured impedance of this antenna with and without the teflon insert. We see that the operational frequency has dropped from 350.4 MHz to 332.0 MHz, a change of about 5%.




Figure 7. Fabricated planar antenna with and without teflon insert for $l = 6.5$ cm, $h = 5.1$ cm, and $w = 1.0$ cm

The planar antenna terminated with an inductance is narrow band. The optimum frequency can be changed by varying the inductance; however this cannot be easily done electronically. However, it should be possible to vary the frequency by using a voltage-controlled capacitor (varactor). Initially, a simulation was done for a planar antenna of $l = 5$ cm, $h = 5$ cm, $w = 0.5$ cm, $h_1 = 1$ cm, $h_2 = 1$ cm and $\alpha = 30^\circ$ and $L = 0.55$ μ Henries. A set of capacitances having values of 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 $\times 10^{-12}$ F were placed in series with the inductance. The impedance plots are shown in Fig.8. It is seen that as the capacitance is increased, the antenna can operate over lower frequency bands, thus increasing its bandwidth.




Figure 8. Planar antenna with a fixed inductance and variable capacitance

4.0 Conclusions

We have shown that it is possible to design and fabricate an electrically small inductive loaded planar antenna that is very well matched to a 50-ohm coaxial line. There is excellent agreement between simulated and measured results considering that the simulation is done for the antenna over an infinite ground plane and with a “point source” inductance, whereas the measurements are made over a finite ground plane with an inductance having a finite size. Also, the fabricated antenna is not identical to the simulated antenna.

This configuration is applicable as an array element, since it has a narrow width, thus allowing the elements to be closely spaced. The design parameters are flexible from the standpoint that the planar shape can vary in length, height and width. As is the case for small antennas, these antennas are relatively narrow band, having a bandwidth of approximately 1% over the band for which the VSWR is below 2.0. If the antenna is made larger, the bandwidth can be further increased. The antenna may be made physically smaller if a dielectric slab is inserted between the parallel wires. Also it may be possible to fabricate this antenna on printed circuit board and replace the wire-wound inductor with a smaller surface mount inductor. Finally, the bandwidth of this antenna may be extended by inserting a varactor in series with inductance at the termination.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Terry O'Donnell for the use of the NEC-4 Code that she converted to MATLAB and also for her help with the simulations. The author would also like to

thank Dr. Steven Best for helpful discussions. Finally, the author would like to thank the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) for supporting this research.

References

- [1] K.Fujimoto, A.Henderson, K.Hirasawa and J.R.James, "Small Antennas," Research Studies Press Ltd., Letchworth, England/John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.
- [2] Steven R. Best, "A discussion on quality factor of impedance-matched electrically small wire antennas," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 53, pp 502-508, January, 2005.
- [3] Edward E. Altshuler, "A method for matching an antenna having a small radiation resistance to a 50-ohm coaxial line," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol 53, pp. 3086-3089, September 2005.
- [4] Ronold King et.al., Transmission-line missile antennas, *IRE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. AP-8, pp. 88-90, January, 1960.
- [5] G.J.Burke and A.J. Poggio, "Numerical Electromagnetics Code (NEC)- Method of Moments," Lawrence Livermore Lab., CA, Rep UCID18834, Jan. 1981.