AD

Award Number: W81XWH-06-1-0564

TITLE: Prevention of Low Back Pain in the Military: A Randomized Clinical Trial

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Steven Z. George PT, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611

REPORT DATE: June 2009

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT:

X Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

R	FPORT DOC				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
				wing instructions, searc	hing existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the
data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of D 4302. Respondents should be	nd reviewing this collection of in efense, Washington Headquart aware that notwithstanding any	nformation. Send comments rega ers Services, Directorate for Infor	Irding this burden estimate or any mation Operations and Reports (a shall be subject to any penalty f	other aspect of this co 0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe	Ilection of information, including suggestions for reducing rson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- a collection of information if it does not display a currently
1. REPORT DATE (DD 06-30-2009	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE Annual			ATES COVERED (From - To) 6-01-2008 to 05-31-2009
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT Prevention of		in the Militar	y:		CONTRACT NUMBER 1XWH-06-1-0564
A Randomized C	linical Trial				GRANT NUMBER 054098
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S) Steven George (PI)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER
Email: szgeorge@	phhp.ufl.edu			5e.	TASK NUMBER
				5f. \	WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		-	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
University of 219 Grinter Ha PO Box 113001	11				
Gainesville, F	'L 32611				
	.cal Research a	AME(S) AND ADDRESS and Materiel Co 2-5012		10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
	-				SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for p		ENT distribution	unlimited		
13. SUPPLEMENTAR					
14. ABSTRACT					
The third yea	r of the Preventic	n of Low Back Pai	in in the Military (F	OLM) clinica	al trial was successful. The
		•	•	•	recruitment was completed in
					The study website
,		•	•		luring Year 3. The research
•				• •	ce. In addition POLM related
•	•	•	· · ·		<i>i Sports Exerc</i> . Our preliminary
					It low back pain, while the core in fact, was associated with a
					term evidence to support our
-		m prevention of lov	• •		
51	j	,			
15. SUBJECT TERMS Primary preven biopsychosocia		randomized tri	al; low back pa	ain; core s	tabilization;
16. SECURITY CLASS	IFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	υυ	36	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18	

Table of Contents

Page

Introduction 4 – 5	
Body 6 – 12	
Key Research Accomplishments 13	
Reportable Outcomes 14	
Conclusion15	
References	
Appendices 17 – 3	6

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is a musculoskeletal condition that accounts for significant pain and disability, and consumes substantial medical and occupational costs annually. Specific to the United States Armed Forces, LBP was the second most common reason to seek healthcare and affects over 150,000 active duty Soldiers annually (MSMR 2003). Soldiers in the U.S. Army with LBP have the highest risk of disability 5 years after their injury. Furthermore, a military review suggests that LBP was the most common condition bringing about a medical board, with lifetime direct compensation costs estimated to reach into the billions of dollars. Therefore, reduction of disability from LBP is a significant research priority for the military.

Reduction of disability from LBP has been divided into 2 separate phases – primary and secondary prevention. Primary prevention refers to interventions and strategies that are implemented before a low back injury occurs.² Primary prevention reduces LBP related disability by reducing the total number of people who eventually experience an episode of LBP. Secondary prevention refers to interventions and strategies that are implemented during the acute episode of low back injury, before chronic symptoms occur.¹ Secondary prevention reduces LBP related disability by reducing the number of people who eventually experience chronic disability from LBP. We are proposing an innovative approach to LBP prevention by combining primary and secondary prevention strategies that have the potential to limit the development of chronic LBP in Soldiers.

Objective/Hypothesis

The purpose of the Prevention of Low Back Pain in the Military (POLM) trial is to determine if a combined prevention program is more effective at limiting the development of chronic LBP when compared to the effects of individual evidence-based prevention programs, or a traditional exercise program.

Specific Aims

Specific Aim 1: We will determine if a combined prevention program consisting of core stabilization exercise program (CSEP) and psychosocial educational program (PSEP) prevents the development of chronic LBP. During advanced individual training (AIT), United States Army Soldiers who volunteer will be randomly assigned to receive 1 of 4 prevention programs. Soldiers will be followed monthly during the first 2 years following AIT to measure LBP occurrence and severity with a web-based data collection system managed at the University of Florida.

Specific Aim 2: We will determine if the CSEP results in favorable changes in specific core musculature associated with reducing LBP. The CSEP activates specific core musculature that is important in preventing LBP. We will use real-time ultrasound imaging to measure changes in core musculature that occur during AIT. We will also determine if the PSEP results in a favorable change in LBP beliefs. The PSEP educates individuals in an evidence-based, psychosocial approach to the management of LBP, which can potentially decrease the likelihood of experiencing chronic LBP. We will use a validated self-report questionnaire to measure Soldiers' LBP beliefs regarding outcome and management. We will measure LBP beliefs at the beginning and end of AIT (a 12-week period).

Relevance: The results of this study will have several immediate applications for Soldiers. The widespread incorporation of effective preventative strategies will certainly result in a substantial reduction of LBP in the military. Programs that effectively prevent the occurrence and severity of LBP would benefit the U.S. Armed Forces by improving the readiness of their Soldiers, reducing economic burden, and limiting disability among Soldiers. For example, an average

cost of \$136.02 per LBP visit was calculated for 2004. A 40% reduction in the recurrence of LBP after completing the CSEP would generate a cost savings of \$3,343,230 by the 4th fiscal year (approximately 1/5 of the total cost of LBP for one FY).

Low back pain prevention programs are necessary to reduce the impact of musculoskeletal injury in the United States Military. Low back injuries are a significant cause of disability in the United States Army. For example in the United States Military, LBP was the second most common reason to seek healthcare and affected over 150,000 active duty Soldiers. Soldiers in the United States Army with LBP have the highest risk of disability 5 years after injury and a review suggests that LBP was the most common condition bringing about a medical board, with lifetime direct compensation costs estimated to reach into the billions of dollars. Clearly, quality clinical research producing evidence related to LBP prevention is warranted for the United States Military.

Programs that effectively prevent the occurrence and severity of LBP would benefit the United States Military by improving the readiness of their Soldiers, reducing economic burden, and limiting disability among Soldiers.

BODY

As outlined in our SOW, Year 3 was dedicated to data management, collection of followup data, and beginning of dissemination of results. These tasks are outlined below:

Task 3: Data management and follow-up (Years 2 - 4)

- Collect onsite post-training measures (Completed Year 2)
 - Self-report measures
 - Measures of mental and physical function
 - Negative affect
 - LBP
 - Muscle function measures Multifidi
 - Transversus abdominus
 - Erector spinae
- Monitor for episodes of LBP through website (ongoing)
 - Soldier access through username and password
 - Complete episode questionnaire
 - Complete pain questionnaires
 - Complete beliefs and coping questionnaires
 - Monthly email to AKO email address to update profile
 - Complete episode questionnaire
 - Complete pain questionnaires
 - Complete beliefs and coping questionnaires
- Update and maintain web-based data management system (ongoing)
 - System checks and fixes
 - Error checks and fixes

Follow-up is an important part of this study. Early results indicated that we were achieving adequate follow up through use of email and web-based responses only (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary for First Year Follow-Up

-	Unique Survey	-		
Treatment Group	Responses	# Responses with LBP	%	LBP
Traditional Exercise	52		14	26.9%
Traditional Exercise	76		18	
and Education				23.7%
Core Stabilization	90		17	18.9%
Core Stabilization	61		14	
and Education				23.0%
Total	279		63	22.6%

To enhance follow-up rates, we elected to initiate phone contact with Soldiers to collect basic prevalence information about low back pain, and to encourage continued use of our web based data collection. We also gained access to a database that allows us to observe health care utilization data for low back pain for Soldiers enrolled in this study. These additional efforts provide us 3 sources of data to test our primary hypotheses related to prevention of low back pain. These sources will ensure we will have sufficient number of low back pain episodes to test our hypotheses (Figure 1).





There were a total of 505 episodes of low back pain reported: 372 from monthly survey; 85 from utilization data and 63 from the telephone sweep tool. A total of 57 additional episodes were picked up by telephone, in addition to the monthly survey and utilization data; and 75 additional episodes were picked up by the utilization data.

Task 4: Dissemination of research findings (Years 3 - 4)

- Analyze and report pre-training findings (Completed Year 3)
 - Scientific meeting (poster or platform presentation)
 - Manuscript submission
- Analyze and report post-training findings (Will be completed Year 4)
 - Scientific meeting (poster or platform presentation)
 - Manuscript submission
- Analyze and report final findings (Will be completed Year 4)
 - Scientific meeting (poster or platform presentation)
 - Manuscript submission

Dissemination of research findings for Year 3 occurred at the Combined Sections Meeting for the American Physical Therapy Association. POLM investigators reported original data at 3 platform presentations. Relevant content from these abstracts are reported below:

Abstract #1 - The Effects of Traditional Sit-Up Training Versus Core Stabilization Exercises on Sit-Up Performance in US Army Soldiers: A Cluster Randomized Trial (NCT00373009)

Purpose/Hypothesis

Despite longstanding tradition and widespread popularity of performing traditional sit-ups in the US Army, it has been postulated that this exercise results in increased lumbar spine loading, potentially increasing the risk of injury and development of low back pain (LBP). To address these potential concerns, health and fitness professionals commonly recommend performing "core stabilization" exercises, which have been shown to improve abdominal and trunk muscle

strength without the excessive loading incurred with traditional sit-ups, based on evidence that suggests core stabilization exercises may decrease the incidence of LBP and increase performance. However, core stabilization exercise programs (CSEP) have not been widely adopted in the US Army because of the perceived deleterious impact that failure to pass the Army Physical Fitness Test (APFT), which includes traditional sit-ups, can have on the Soldier's career. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine whether performing core stabilization exercises in lieu of traditional sit-ups would have detrimental effects on overall and sit-up scores and passing rates on the APFT.

Number of Subjects 2616

Materials/Methods

Subjects included healthy Soldiers between 18-35 years of age (or 17 year old emancipated minor) participating in Advanced Individual Training (N=2616) at Fort Sam Houston in San Antonio, TX. Soldiers with a previous history of LBP or other serious condition that precluded participation in physical training were excluded. History of LBP was defined as having met each of the following: 1) limited work or physical activity, 2) duration > 48 hours, and 3) resulted in seeking of medical care. Companies of Soldiers who were eligible and consented to the study were randomized to receive TEP with sit-ups or CSEP. A cluster randomization strategy was utilized for assigning companies to receive or not receive the CSEP since military training environments require living in close guarters with other members of the unit, making individual randomization unfeasible due to concerns related to disruption of normal training schedule and treatment contamination. TEP consisted of quick, high-load, high repetition exercises commonly included in military physical training and target the rectus abdominus, internal and external obligue, and hip flexor musculature. CSEP was comprised of slow, low-load exercises that involve minimal trunk motion and target the transverus abdominus and multifidi musculature. Soldiers completed their assigned exercise program during regularly schedules unit physical training 4 times per week over a 12-week training period. Training was led by the Soldiers' drill instructor. Performance on the AFPT was assessed every 4 weeks according to established Army standards. Descriptive statistics including measures of central tendency and dispersion were calculated to summarize the data. Independent variables were Group (CSEP and TEP), Quartile (0-25%, 26-50%, 51-75%, 76-100%), and Time (baseline, 12 wks). Dependent measures were overall and sit-up scores and passing rates on the APFT. We performed a 2x4x2 repeated-measures ANOVA with pairwise comparisons using the Bonferroni inequality to examine differences in the overall and sit-up scores on the APFT. Differences in overall and sit-up passing rates were assessed with a chi-square. The alpha-level was set to .05 a priori. Numbers needed to treat were assessed to determine the potential impact on decisionmaking.

Results

The mean age of participants was 21.9 ± 4.3 years of age (range: 17-35). Both groups performed sit-ups outside of unit physical training at equal rates (TEP: 69.5% and CSEP: 65%, P=0.067). There were no significant between group differences in overall scores (P=0.142) or sit-up performance (P=0.543) on the APFT after 12 weeks of training. CSEP and TEP improved their sit-up pass rates by 5.6% and 3.9%, respectively (P<.05). The NNT for CSEP was 56. Both groups demonstrated significant improvements in their overall and sit-up score and passing rates over time (P<0.05).

Discussion

CSEP did not have a detrimental impact on APFT scores or passing rates. There was actually a small but significantly greater increase in sit-up pass rate in the CSEP (5.6%) versus the TEP

(3.9%). Therefore, incorporating CSEP into Army physical training does not increase the risk of suboptimal performance on the APFT.

Conclusion

A company with 400 Soldiers performing CSEP would actually result in 7 additional Soldiers progressing from a failure to a pass on the sit-up component of the APFT compared to TEP.

Clinical Relevance

The results of this study help to inform the development of optimal training programs for Army physical fitness training.

Abstract #2 - The Influence of Sex, Height and Weight on Trunk Muscle Thickness and Endurance

Purpose

Trunk muscle strength and endurance may have an important role in the prevention and treatment of low back pain (LBP). Direct assessment of trunk muscle function is not feasible. Therefore, muscle morphometry has been used as an indirect measure. The purpose of this study was to describe how sex, height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) influence trunk muscle thickness and endurance times. and to provide reference data for trunk muscle size and symmetry in Soldiers.

Subjects

Soldiers (N = 190, 144 males, 46 females, 21.6 \pm 4.0 years; 24.7 \pm 2.9 kg/m²) attending combat medic training at Fort Sam Houston, TX without a history of LBP were enrolled.

Materials/Methods

Ultrasound images were obtained bilaterally at rest for the following trunk muscles: rectus abdominis (RA), transversus abdominis (TrA), internal oblique (IO), and external oblique (EO), and lumbar multifidis (LM) at L4-L5. The following 4 endurance tests were assessed: supine flexor endurance test, prone extensor endurance test, and right and left horizontal side support. Independent t-tests were performed to determine if muscle thickness, muscle symmetry, or endurance times differed based on sex. Pearson product moment correlations were performed to determine the associations between height, weight, and BMI with muscle thickness values. Sex and weight were included in regression analysis to determine their contribution to the variance in trunk muscle thickness. Finally, sex, weight, and muscle thickness values were included in a regression analysis to determine their contribution to the variance in endurance times.

<u>Results</u>

Overall, muscle thickness was greater in males than females (p < 0.006). However, the TrA accounted for 10% of total abdominal muscle thickness regardless of sex. Muscle symmetry ranged from 6.6%-19.8% but did not differ based on sex (p > 0.34). Asymmetry was > 12% for the lateral abdominal muscles. Weight had a stronger correlation (r = 0.28 to 0.54) to muscle thickness as compared with height and BMI (p <0.001). Weight and sex were able to account for 23-30% of the variance in muscle thickness values while they only accounted for 6% of the variance in endurance test times (p < 0.003). Combining all 4 endurance tests times, males were able to hold these positions about a minute longer than females (p < 0.002). However, there was no difference in trunk extensor endurance time between the sexes (p > 0.20). Relationship between endurance time with sex, height, BMI, and muscle thickness were low (r < 0.20).

Conclusion

Muscle thickness and symmetry values were consistent with findings of prior researchers. Sex and weight were significantly associated with muscle thickness, thus their possible confounding effects should be examined and their potential role as covariates considered in future research. Sex, height, weight, BMI, and muscle thickness values were poorly related to endurance hold times.

Clinical Relevance

Asymmetry of muscle thickness values was found in individuals without a history of LBP; its use as a clinical indicator or predictor for LBP requires further inquiry. Also, this study provides reference data for trunk muscle size and symmetry, which could be used for comparison studies for Soldiers with LBP.

Abstract #3 - Psychosocial Education Improves Low Back Pain Beliefs: Results from a Cluster Randomized Clinical Trial (NCT00373009)

Purpose

The general population has a pessimistic view of LBP and evidence based information has been used to positively influence LBP beliefs in previously reported mass media studies. Since previous studies utilized non-randomized methodologies, there is a lack of randomized trials demonstrating these effects in primary prevention settings. This cluster randomized clinical trial investigated the effect of a psychosocial educational program (PSEP) on low back pain (LBP) beliefs for Soldiers completing military training.

Subjects

Consecutive companies of Soldiers (n = 3,792) were recruited into this clinical trial.

Methods

Companies were cluster randomized to receive a PSEP or no education (CG). The PSEP consisted of an interactive seminar and Soldiers were issued the *Back Book* for reference material. LBP beliefs were assessed by the Back Beliefs Questionnaire (BBQ) before randomization and 12-weeks later. A linear mixed model was fitted for the BBQ change in continuous scale and a generalized linear mixed model was fitted for the dichotomous outcomes on BBQ change of greater than 2 points. Sensitivity analyses were performed to account for drop out.

Results

BBQ scores (potential range: 9 - 45) improved from baseline of 25.6 ± 5.7 (mean±sd) to 26.9 ± 6.2 for those receiving the PSEP, while there was a decline from 26.1 ± 5.7 to 25.6 ± 6.0 for those in the CG. These group differences were statistically significant (p<0.0001). The adjusted mean improvement for those receiving the PSEP was 1.74 points higher than those in the CG (p<0.0001). The adjusted odds ratio of BBQ improvement of greater than 2 points for those receiving the PSEP was 1.51 (95% CI = 1.22 - 1.86) times that of those in the CG. BBQ improvement was mildly associated with race, college education, and depression. Sensitivity analyses suggested minimal influence of drop out.

Conclusions

Soldiers that received the PSEP had an improvement in their beliefs related to the inevitable consequences of and ability to cope with LBP. The magnitude of improvement was clinically meaningful when compared to previous studies.

Clinical Relevance

Potentially maladaptive LBP beliefs can be positively altered by a group education program applied in a primary prevention setting.

In addition to the data from the abstracts, we also performed a longitudinal analysis related to mental health symptoms, and how they change over 12-weeks of training. We performed this analysis because predictors of mental health (primarily depression) and suicide in military populations have not received adequate research attention. Branches of the military need military-specific information about factors related to anxiety, depression, and suicide. Depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation were examined at the beginning and end of the 12-week training. At the start of training, 10.4%, 15.5%, and 4.1% of soldiers had clinically significant depression, anxiety, or suicidal ideation, respectively. These percentages increased to 12.2%, 20.3%, and 5.7% at completion of training. Worsening of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation occurred for 7.7%, 11.4% and 4% of soldiers. At both the beginning and end of training, higher percentages of symptoms were associated with females, lower education, and lower income. Active duty personnel were more likely to worsen following training with respect to suicidal ideation (OR = 1.9, 95% CI: 1.2-2.9) compared to reservists.

This analysis represents one of the few prospective, pre-deployment investigations of depression, suicidal ideation, and anxiety in the military (Table 2).

Effects		In	take			Fol	lowup			Becam	e Wors	e
	Odds	95%	6 CI	P-Value	Odds	95%	6 CI	P-Value	Odds	95%	6 CI	P-Value
	Ratio				Ratio				Ratio			
Depression												
Age	0.94	0.91	0.97	0.0002	0.97	0.94	1.01	0.0943	0.99	0.96	1.03	0.7131
Gender – Female	1.73	1.39	2.16	<.0001	1.64	1.30	2.08	<.0001	1.62	1.22	2.15	0.0010
Race - Others	0.85	0.67	1.08	0.1810	0.83	0.64	1.08	0.1630	0.81	0.58	1.12	0.1947
Education - \geq College	0.89	0.71	1.12	0.3275	0.79	0.62	1.01	0.0628	0.84	0.63	1.13	0.2585
Income - \ge \$35,000	0.87	0.63	1.18	0.3581	1.11	0.81	1.52	0.5066	1.40	0.98	2.00	0.0643
Time in Army – 1-3 yr	0.47	0.27	0.80	0.0058	1.45	0.77	2.73	0.2464	1.62	0.75	3.47	0.2170
Time in Army < 1 yr	0.52	0.35	0.77	0.0011	1.22	0.72	2.07	0.4604	1.32	0.70	2.52	0.3923
Navy/Air Force – No	0.56	0.32	0.97	0.0401	1.35	0.57	3.16	0.4951	1.96	0.60	6.34	0.2625
Active Duty - Yes	1.02	0.82	1.28	0.8529	1.26	0.99	1.61	0.0566	1.18	0.88	1.58	0.2712
Anxiety												
Age	0.94	0.91	0.97	<.0001	0.97	0.94	1.00	0.0183	0.99	0.96	1.02	0.6044
Gender – Female	1.39	1.15	1.68	0.0008	1.49	1.22	1.81	<.0001	1.36	1.06	1.74	0.0151
Race - Others	0.90	0.74	1.11	0.3252	0.83	0.67	1.02	0.0788	0.78	0.59	1.03	0.0763
Education - \geq College	0.86	0.71	1.05	0.1347	0.80	0.66	0.98	0.0272	0.87	0.68	1.12	0.2750
Income - \geq \$35,000	0.96	0.74	1.24	0.7458	0.90	0.69	1.17	0.4354	1.00	0.72	1.38	0.9811
Time in Army – 1-3 yr	0.65	0.41	1.02	0.0610	0.84	0.50	1.41	0.5042	0.71	0.35	1.45	0.3447
Time in Army < 1 yr	0.60	0.42	0.85	0.0043	1.10	0.73	1.65	0.6428	1.34	0.79	2.27	0.2730
Navy/Air Force – No	0.85	0.50	1.45	0.5484	1.01	0.55	1.86	0.9806	0.87	0.43	1.79	0.7082
Active Duty - Yes	1.00	0.82	1.20	0.9573	1.22	1.00	1.48	0.0456	1.19	0.93	1.52	0.1584
Suicidal Ideation												
Age	0.97	0.92	1.02	0.1812	0.96	0.92	1.01	0.1263	0.97	0.92	1.03	0.3135
Gender – Female	1.13	0.80	1.61	0.4863	1.08	0.76	1.52	0.6828	1.06	0.70	1.60	0.7901
Race - Others	1.13	0.79	1.61	0.5040	1.12	0.79	1.60	0.5283	0.99	0.65	1.52	0.9662
Education - \geq College	1.08	0.76	1.53	0.6736	1.06	0.76	1.50	0.7295	0.99	0.66	1.48	0.9660
Income - \geq \$35,000	0.70	0.42	1.16	0.1661	0.90	0.56	1.43	0.6396	1.14	0.68	1.92	0.6278
Time in Army – 1-3 yr	1.82	0.76	4.34	0.1775	2.71	0.96	7.64	0.0596	1.52	0.44	5.30	0.5125
Time in Army < 1 yr	1.10	0.52	2.32	0.8127	2.04	0.81	5.11	0.1307	1.89	0.67	5.31	0.2264
Navy/Air Force - No	1.03	0.37	2.88	0.9585	0.58	0.24	1.39	0.2236	0.65	0.23	1.87	0.4222
Active Duty - Yes	0.99	0.70	1.39	0.9567	1.57	1.11	2.22	0.0113	1.90	1.24	2.92	0.0034

Table 2. Results of Generalized Linear Mixed Models for the Dichotomous Outcomes on
Depression, Anxiety, and Suicidal Ideation

In addition to Tasks specific to Year 3, the following recurring Tasks occurred:

Task 5: Complete quarterly procedures (Years 1 - 4)

- (NOTE: Task 5 will be completed once per quarter)
- Conference call between all investigators
- Prepare quarterly reports
 - Manual of Operations
 - Monitor human subjects and safety monitoring

Task 6: Complete annual procedures (Years 1 - 4) (NOTE: Task 5 will be completed once per year)

- On-site meeting between principal investigators
- Prepare annual reports
 - Manual of Operations
 - Human subjects and safety monitoring
- Renew institutional human subjects approval

Task 7: Prepare future proposals (Year 4)

- Conference call to discuss future DOD proposals related to prevention/treatment of musculoskeletal pain
 - Utilize established study infrastructure for data collection and management
 - Maintain established investigative team
- Preparation of subsequent DOD proposal related to prevention/treatment of musculoskeletal pain
- Submission of subsequent DOD proposal related to prevention/treatment of musculoskeletal pain

These activities were completed in Year 3, with details outlined below:

- Communication Between Investigators
 - Use of shared on-line calendar
 - Conference calls scheduled, as needed
- Investigator Meeting
 - Steven George and John Childs met in Las Vegas, NV to discuss long term follow up plans and plans for Year 4 (Deydre Teyhen was unavailable for the meeting due to her deployment).
- Institutional Review
 - BAMC human subject approval has been maintained continuously since February 2006, with appropriate modifications made as needed
 - University of Florida human subject approval has been maintained continuously since June 2006
 - USAMRMC HSRRB deferred review to BAMC June 2006
- Future proposal submitted
 - Proposal keeping research team intact was submitted for review to the PRMRP in 2008. This proposal focused on prevention of lower extremity pain
 - Received favorable review and score (1.6), but proposal was not awarded
 - Plan for resubmission in Year 4

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- 1 year follow up data summary indicates acceptable follow-up rates to test our hypotheses.
- Performance on the Army Physical Fitness Test (APFT) was not affected by performing the core stabilization exercise program (CSEP) utilized in this study. In fact, slightly higher passing rates were observed on the sit up portion of the APFT for Soldiers completing the CSEP.
- The psychosocial education program (PSEP) effectively improved Soldiers beliefs on the management of and ability to cope with low back pain (LBP). The size of improvement in LBP beliefs was comparable to other studies reported in Australia, Norway, and Scotland. This is the first time improvement in LBP beliefs has been reported from a clinical trial and also represents the first time these data have been reported from the United States.
- Worsening of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation occurred during advanced individual training (AIT) of combat medics. Specifically, sex, income, education, and reserve status were significant predictors of mental health status and these data may serve a practical purpose to aid in identification of individuals at risk for worsening mental health before deployment.

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

Published abstracts

- George SZ, Teyhen DS, Wu SS, Wright A, Dugan JL, Yang G, Robinson ME, Childs JD. Psychosocial education improves low back pain beliefs: results from a cluster randomized clinical trial (NCT00373009). *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther,* abstracted 2009.
- Childs JD, George SZ, Wright A, Dugan JL, Benedict T, Bush J, Fortenberry A, Preston J, McQueen R, Teyhen DS. The effects of traditional sit-up training versus core stabilization exercises on sit-up performance in US Army soldiers: a cluster randomized trial (NCT00373009). *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther,* abstracted 2009.
- Teyhen, DS, Childs JD, Hall NM, Gervacio SC, Lopez JA, Mitchler JR, Wright A, Dugan JL, George SZ. The influence of sex, height, and weight on trunk muscle thickness and endurance. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther,* abstracted 2009.
- George SZ, Childs JD, Teyhen DS, Wu SS, Wright AC, Dugan JL, and Robinson ME. Rationale, design, and protocol for the prevention of low back pain in the military (polm) trial (NCT00373009). Proceedings of the 10th Annual Force Health Protection Conference, abstracted 2007.

Papers in press

- George SZ, Teyhen DS, Wu SS, Wright AC, Dugan JL, Yang G, Robinson ME, Childs JD. Psychosocial education improves low back pain beliefs: results from a cluster randomized clinical trial (NCT00373009). *Eur Spine J*, in press.
- Childs JD, Teyhen DS, Benedict TM, Morris JB, Fortenberry AD, McQueen RM, Preston JB, Wright AC, Dugan JL, George SZ. Effects of sit-up training vs.core stabilization exercises on sit-up performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, in press.
- Robinson ME, Teyhen DS, Wu SS, Wright AC, Dugan JL, Yang G, Childs JD, George SZ. Mental health symptoms in combat medic training: a longitudinal examination. *Mil Med*, in press.
- George SZ, Childs JD, Teyhen DS, Wu SS, Wright AC, Dugan JL, Robinson ME. Rationale, design, and protocol for the prevention of low back pain in the military (POLM) trial (NCT00373009). *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*, 2007;8:92.

CONCLUSION

<u>Overall</u>

The POLM trial had another successful year. The research team was able to complete all Year 3 tasks in a timely fashion, and Year 4 tasks related to dissemination of early analyses and future proposals were also initiated. Recruitment has been completed, with over 4,000 Soldiers successfully enrolled in the trial. Follow-up assessment will continue in Year 4, with the continuation of our web-based survey, as well as continuation of our newly implemented telephone follow-ups and accessing health care utilization data.

So far, data from the trial provide encouraging preliminary results from the implemented exercise and education programs. These data have been disseminated through abstracts and manuscripts. First, it does not appear that performance of the core stabilization exercise program adversely affects performance on the Army Physical Fitness Test. Second, the education program implemented in the study effectively improved Soldier beliefs about low back pain. These findings bode well for our primary outcomes of incidence and severity of low back pain at 2 years.

So What?

The POLM trial is 1 year away from completion for its primary outcomes. Preliminary analyses have provided promising information on the exercise and education interventions used in the trial. We have also reported on risk factors of poor mental health, an important topic in the military. We will continue to monitor episodes of LBP over the next year so that the POLM trial can complete its planned 2 year follow up.

REFERENCES

- 1. Frank JW, Brooker AS, DeMaio SE et al. Disability resulting from occupational low back pain. Part II: What do we know about secondary prevention? A review of the scientific evidence on prevention after disability begins. *Spine* 1996;21:2918-29.
- 2. Frank JW, Kerr MS, Brooker AS et al. Disability resulting from occupational low back pain. Part I: What do we know about primary prevention? A review of the scientific evidence on prevention before disability begins. *Spine* 1996;21:2908-17.

APPENDICES

- 1. Copies of abstracts from 2009 Combined Sections Meeting
- 2. Proofs of Military Medicine article
- 3. Proofs of European Spine Journal article

mine the quality of published clinical prediction rules (CPRs) that have been developed for use in outpatient orthopaedic physical therapy settings. This review only included developmental phase CPRs for patients in outpatient settings with various orthopaedic conditions.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: This was a systematic review that included 10 studies.

MATERIALS/METHODS: A systematic review of the literature utilizing relevant databases (PubMed, CINAHL, ProQuest, Academic Search Premier) up to June 2008 resulted in the retrieval of 46 potential publications. Key words included "clinical prediction rule, predict, clinical, outcome and/or risk." Studies were included in this review if the explicit purpose of the study was to develop a CPR related to a specific treatment approach for orthopaedic conditions commonly treated by physical therapists. Previously validated CPRs were excluded from this review. Quality scores were independently assigned to each study by 2 reviewers utilizing a standard, previously published 18-item criteria list for assessing the methodological quality of prognostic studies.

RESULTS: Ten studies met criteria and were included in this review. Five studies involved CPRs for response to spinal manipulation. The other studies predicted response to lumbar stabilization, hip mobilization, patellar taping, multimodal treatment for cervical radiculopathy, and trigger point therapy for headache. Quality scores ranged from 10 to 15 (56%-83%) (mean, 11.80%, 65.70%), with a potential high score of 18 (100%).

CONCLUSIONS: Published CPRs for outpatient physical therapy varied in methodological quality. Studies commonly did not recruit inception cohorts, include adequately long follow-up times, or have large enough sample sizes. This review exemplifies the importance of considering methodological quality of CPR studies when validation studies are not available.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: Physical therapists should consider the methodological quality of developmental phase studies involving CPRs prior to applying them into their clinical practice. This review also provides guidance for future CPR studies by highlighting need for recruitment of inception cohort, longer follow-up time, and larger sample sizes.

OPL13

THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTS OF UPPER THORACIC TRANSLATORIC SPINAL MANIPULATION (TSM) ON CERVICAL PAIN AND RANGE OF MOTION: A RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIAL

Krauss JR, Creighton D, Ely J, Podlewska-Ely J

School of Health Sciences, Oakland University, Rochester Hills, MI; Pro Staff Physical Therapy, Lexington, MI; Port Huron Sport and Spine, Port Huron, MI

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: This study sought to determine if TSM would have an effect on cervical pain (measured by the FPS) and cervical ROM (measured by an inclinometer) when applied to hypomobile segments found in the upper thoracic region.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: 32.

MATERIALS/METHODS: Preintervention and postintervention active cervical rotation range of motion was measured with a cervical inclinometer (CROM), and cervical pain status was monitored before and after manipulation with a Faces Pain Scale. A convenience sample of 32 patients referred to physical therapy with complaints of pain in the midcervical region and restricted active cervical rotation participated in the study. Twenty-two patients were randomly assigned to the experimental group and 10 were assigned to the control group. Preintervention and postintervention cervical range of motion and pain scale measurements were taken by a physical therapist assistant who was blinded to group assignment. The experimental group received TSM to hypomobile upper thoracic segments. The control group received no intervention. Paired *t* tests were used to analyze within group changes in cervical rotation and pain and a 2-way repeated-measure ANOVA was used to analyze between group differences in cervical rotation and pain. Significance was

accepted at the P = .05.

RESULTS: Significant changes which exceed the MCD95 were detected for cervical rotation both within group and between groups with the TSM group demonstrating increased mean (SD) in right rotation of 8.23° (7.41°) and left rotation 7.09° (5.83°). Pain levels perceived during postintervention cervical rotation showed significant improvement during right rotation for patients experiencing pain during bilateral rotation only (*P* = .05).

CONCLUSIONS: This study supports the hypothesis that spinal manipulation applied to the upper thoracic spine (T1-T4 motion segments) significantly increases cervical rotation ROM and may reduce cervical pain at end range rotation for patients experiencing pain during bilateral cervical rotation.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: This study adds to the growing body of evidence supporting the use of spinal manipulation in clinical practice. This study also identifies some of the limitations of thoracic manipulation in addressing neck pain.

OPL14

THE EFFECTS OF TRADITIONAL SIT-UP TRAINING VERSUS CORE STABILIZATION EXERCISES ON SIT-UP PERFORMANCE IN US ARMY SOLDIERS: A CLUSTER RANDOMIZED TRIAL (NCT00373009)

Childs JD, George SZ, Wright A, Dugan JL, Benedict T, Bush J, Fortenberry A, Preston J, McQueen R, Teyhen DS

Physical Therapy, US Army-Baylor University, San Antonio, TX; Physical Therapy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; TRUE Research Foundation, San Antonio, TX

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: Despite the tradition of performing sit-ups in the US Army, it has been postulated that this exercise increases lumbar spine loading, potentially increasing the risk of injury and low back pain (LBP). Therefore, health professionals commonly recommend "core stabilization" exercises, which may improve abdominal and trunk muscle strength without excessive spine loading, thus potentially decreasing the incidence of LBP. However, core stabilization exercise programs (CSEP) have not been widely adopted in the US Army because of the perceived deleterious impact on sit-up performance on the Army Physical Fitness Test (APFT). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine whether performing CSEP in lieu of traditional sit-ups has detrimental effects on APFT sit-up performance and pass rates.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: 2616.

MATERIALS/METHODS: Subjects included healthy Soldiers between 18 and 35 years of age participating in Advanced Individual Training. Soldiers with a previous history of LBP were excluded. Companies of Soldiers were cluster randomized to receive traditional exercise program (TEP) or CSEP. TEP consisted of exercises that target the rectus abdominus, oblique abdominals, and hip flexor musculature. CSEP was comprised of exercises that target the transversus abdominus and multifidi musculature. Soldiers completed their exercise program during unit physical training 4 times per week for 12 weeks. Performance on the AFPT was assessed at baseline and 12 weeks. Descriptive statistics were calculated to summarize the data. Independent variables were Group, Quartile, and Time. Dependent measures were scores and pass rates for sit-up, pushup, and overall APFT. A $2 \times 4 \times 2$ repeated-measures ANOVA with pairwise comparisons using the Bonferroni inequality was used to examine differences in the overall and sit-up scores. Differences in pass rates were assessed with a chi-square. The alpha-level was set to .05 a priori.

RESULTS: The mean age of subjects was 21.9 ± 4.3 years of age. Both groups performed sit-ups outside of unit physical training at equal rates (TEP, 69.5%; CSEP, 65%; P = .067). Both groups significantly improved their overall and sit-up score and pass rates over time (P < .05). There were no significant between group differences in overall scores (P = .142) or sit-up performance (P = .543) on the APFT after 12 weeks of training. CSEP and TEP improved their sit-up pass rates by 5.6% and 3.9%, respectively (P < .05). The NNT for CSEP was 55.6 (95% CI: 55.5, 55.6).

COMBINED SECTIONS MEETING

CONCLUSIONS: CSEP did not have a detrimental impact on APFT scores or pass rates. There was actually a small but significantly greater increase in sit-up pass rate in the CSEP (5.6%) versus the TEP (3.9%). Therefore, incorporating CSEP into Army physical training does not increase the risk of suboptimal performance on the APFT.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: A company with 400 Soldiers performing CSEP would potentially result in 7 additional Soldiers progressing from a failure to a pass on the sit-up component of the APFT compared to TEP.

OPL15

DIAGNOSIS OF SERIOUS SPINAL PATHOLOGY IN PATIENTS PRESENTING TO PRIMARY CARE WITH ACUTE LOW BACK PAIN

Henschke N, Maher C, Refshauge KM, Herbert RD, Cumming R, Bleasel J, York J, McAuley J

Musculoskeletal Division, The George Institute for International Health, Sydney, NSW, Australia; Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia; Department of Rheumatology, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia; Faculty of Medicine, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: We aimed to determine the proportion of people presenting to primary care practitioners with low back pain caused by previously undiagnosed serious pathology and the diagnostic accuracy of a variety of red flag questions singly and in combination.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: 1172.

MATERIALS/METHODS: We recruited an inception cohort of 1172 consecutive patients attending primary care (physiotherapists, general practitioners, chiropractors) for acute low back pain. At the initial consultation clinicians recorded responses to 25 red flag questions and then provided an initial diagnosis. The reference standard was a 12-month follow-up supplemented with a specialist review of a random subsample of participants.

RESULTS: There were 11 cases (0.9%) of serious pathology including 8 fractures. Despite the low prevalence of serious pathology, most patients (80.4%) had at least 1 red flag (median 2, IQR 1-3). Clinicians identified 5 of the 11 cases of serious pathology at the initial consultation, and made 6 false-positive diagnoses (LR+ = 88, LR- = 0.55). Status on a diagnostic prediction rule containing 4 red flags: female gender, age greater than 70 years, significant trauma and prolonged use of corticosteroids was moderately associated with the presence of fracture (χ^2 = 30.4, *P*<.000, *r*² = 0.326). It was not possible to evaluate red flags for conditions other than fracture because of the low prevalence of these other conditions.

CONCLUSIONS: In people presenting to primary care with back pain, previously undiagnosed serious pathology is rarely the cause. The most common serious pathology is vertebral fracture. About half of the cases of serious pathology are identified at the initial consultation. Most individual red flags are of little use, but a diagnostic prediction rule comprising 4 red flags can be used to screen for fracture.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: Screening for undiagnosed serious pathology is an important part of contemporary physical therapy practice. Our study has developed a simple tool that clinicians can use to screen for undiagnosed fracture in their patients with acute low back pain.

OPL16

THE EFFECT OF A WORKSHOP ON USING SPECIFIC EXERCISES ON THE OUTCOMES OF PATIENTS WITH LOW BACK PAIN AND TREATMENT-BASED CLASSIFICATION

Parent EC, Fritz J, Brennan GP, Hunter SJ, Long A

Physical Therapy, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada; Division of Physical Therapy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT; Rehab Agency, Intermountain Health Care, Salt Lake City, UT; The Orthopedic Specialty Hospital, Intermountain Health Care, Salt Lake City, UT; Bonavista Physical Therapy, Calgary, AB, Canada

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: The goal was to determine the effect on patient's outcomes and physical therapist's (PT) classification behaviors of a train-

ing workshop about the specific exercise category of the treatment-based classification (TBC) system. We hypothesized that training would improve the outcomes of patients in this category.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: The outcome of patient with low back pain (LBP) with at least 2 visits between 2004 and 2007 (n = 5109) were retrospectively extracted from a database. Only PTs treating at least 30% of their caseload for LBP and familiar with the TBC for LBP were selected.

MATERIALS/METHODS: Nineteen PTs volunteered for the workshop. Training consisted of a 21-hour workshop with patient demonstrations, case studies and lectures on the assessment and treatment of patients with LBP using specific exercises. The workshop instructor had 10-plus years of teaching, clinical and research experience. Data on patient's outcomes averaged for each 3-month period from PTs who participated in the workshop were compared to 14 PTs who did not participate using runcharts (P-chart and Xbar/S) with 95% confidence intervals. We compared PT groups for the proportion of patients classified, days in therapy, number of visits, disability and pain levels in each category of the TBC system.

RESULTS: There were no differences between groups of PTs in experience using specific exercises or in ranking for which treatment category they had most expertise or hours of training. The proportion of patients classified in the specific exercise category did not differ between participants and nonparticipants before and after the workshop and did not change after the workshop. Days spent in therapy, number of visits, the Oswestry disability and pain outcomes did not differ between groups of PTs either before or after the workshop. Outcomes did not change from before to after the workshop in both groups. In both groups, from just before to after the workshop, the proportion of patients classified in specific exercises did not change (χ^2 , *P*<.05). Repeated-measures ANOVAs for the specific exercise category showed no differences between groups or from before to after the workshop in disability and pain relief. Workshop participants used less visits overall. Both PT groups had shorter therapy durations and less visits after the workshop. Control charts for all trimesters and ANOVAS comparing trimesters before and after the workshop showed that the workshop did not have any adverse effects on the proportion of patients classified, or the outcomes of the patients in the other TBC treatment categories.

CONCLUSIONS: No significant effects on patient's outcomes were observed following participation in a workshop on the assessment and treatment of patients in the specific exercise category of the TBC system. No adverse effects were observed in primary or balance measures.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: Results are consistent with the literature on passive continuing medical education strategies. The effect of active training strategies should be examined.

0PL17

PATIENT CLASSIFICATION BASED ON PSYCHOSOCIAL VARIABLES PREDICTS TREATMENT OUTCOMES IN PATIENTS WITH LOWER BACK PAIN WHO MEET A CLINICAL PREDICTION RULE

Davenport TE, Cleland J, Kulig K

Department of Physical Therapy, University of the Pacific, Stockton, CA; Department of Physical Therapy, Franklin Pierce University, Concord, NH; Division of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: Self-efficacy (SE), activity-related fear, and pain are interrelated concepts that are significant individual predictors of disability in patients with lower back pain (LBP). Identification of patient subgroups involving these variables will refine our understanding of how they interact to affect treatment outcomes. The purpose of this study was to preliminarily validate a classification system for patients with postacute LBP based on SE, fear, and pain.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: Subjects with LBP and related lower extremity pain (n = 111) who met criteria of a clinical prediction rule that identifies a high probability of optimal clinical outcome related to lumbopelvic ma-

COMBINED SECTIONS MEETING

nipulation were enrolled into an existing randomized clinical trial. MATERIALS/METHODS: Subjects completed measures of SE (Lower Back

Activity Confidence Scale function [LoBACS-FN], self-regulation [LoBACS-SR], and exercise [LoBACS-EX] subscales), activity-related fear (Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire physical activity [FABQ-PA] and work [FABQW] subscales), disability (Oswestry Disability Index [ODI]) and average pain (Numeric Pain Rating Scale [NPRS]) at intake. Subjects completed these measures and the Global Rating of Change (GROC) scale at 1 week, 4 weeks and 6 months following intake. Optimal cluster number for standardized LoBACS subscale, FABQ subscale, and NPRS scores at intake was determined by hierarchical cluster analysis. Cluster membership for each subject then was established by nonhierarchical cluster analysis. Guttman's split half reliability estimate (λ) was calculated for the resulting model. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Kruskal Wallis tests were used to compare ODI and GROC, respectively, among clusters.

RESULTS: A 4-cluster solution was identified, including low fear and SE (n = 22); high FABQW and pain-low LoBACS-FN (n = 10); high FABQPA-low LoBACS-SR (n = 44); and low fear and pain-high SE (n = 35) groups. ANOVA revealed significant differences in all cluster variables across clusters at intake (P<.05). Guttman's λ was .635, indicating fair reliability. ODI score was significantly different across groups at intake (P<.01) and 6 months (P<.05). Significantly worse GROC at 6 months was reported in the high FABQW and pain-low LoBACS-FN group at 6 months (P<.05). Magnitude of change in NPRS score significantly differed across groups at all time points (P<.01). LoBACS subscale scores significantly differed across groups at 4 weeks (P<.001), while FABQW remained significantly elevated in the high FABQW and pain-low LoBACS-FN group at 4 weeks and 6 months (P<.01).

CONCLUSIONS: This study's findings indicate patients may be classified into valid and reliable subgroups by psychosocial characteristics, which demonstrate significant differences in outcomes. Future studies should validate this approach in the broader population of patients with LBP.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: This study provides a preliminary framework for physical therapists to integrate information regarding SE, fear, and pain into clinical management plans for patients with LBP.

OPL18

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH UTILIZING MOBILIZATION AND MANIPULATION TECHNIQUES BY PHYSICAL THERAPISTS IN THE OUTPATIENT SETTING

Bollinger M, Ledford D, Marseglia D, Whittaker S, Abraham K, Wolff-Burke M, Fergus A

Shenandoah University, Winchester, VA

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: Low back pain is 1 of the most common problems encountered by outpatient physical therapists. It accounts for more than one quarter of outpatient physical therapy referrals and almost one half of outpatient physical therapy visits. Spinal manipulation is an effective treatment for low back pain, to reduce pain and disability, and to increase joint mobility. However, it is grossly underutilized by physical therapists. The purpose of this study was to identify the use of manipulation by licensed physical therapists and physical therapy students, as well as factors influencing its utilization.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: 210 questionnaires were mailed to clinical instructors in the outpatient setting who were affiliated with, or previously affiliated with an academic physical therapy program.

MATERIALS/METHODS: The survey instrument was developed and validated by physical therapy students and faculty from an academic physical therapy program. The final construct contained 34 items. Survey items included demographics, education on manipulation techniques and the use of and comfort level with performing and instructing nonthrust and thrust manipulation techniques by physical therapists and the physical therapy students they supervise.

RESULTS: The response rate was 79 (37.6%). A significantly greater num-

ber of subjects reported use of nonthrust versus thrust techniques (79.7% and 30.4%, respectively; *P*<.001). These percentages are not all-inclusive, as some subjects who use thrust techniques also use nonthrust techniques. Respondents who incorporated nonthrust and thrust techniques into their plans of care were more likely to be members of the APTA and/ or the orthopedic section of the APTA, be members of the AAOMPT, to have received certification in manual therapy, and/or to have received education in manipulation techniques in their entry level education or through continuing education.

CONCLUSIONS: Professional involvement and manipulation training through entry level or continuing education appear to positively influence physical therapists' comfort with and use of nonthrust and thrust techniques in the outpatient orthopedic setting.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: In order to expand the appropriate use of manipulation techniques in physical therapy practice, entry level physical therapy education programs should include manipulation as an expected competency and model professional involvement and commitment to lifelong learning.

OPL19

THE INFLUENCE OF SEX, HEIGHT, AND WEIGHT ON TRUNK MUSCLE THICKNESS AND ENDURANCE

Teyhen DS, Childs JD, Hall NM, Gervacio SC, Lopez JA, Mitchler JR, Wright A, Dugan JL, George SZ

US Army-Baylor University Doctoral Program in Physical Therapy, Fort Sam Houston, TX; University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: Trunk muscle endurance may have an important role in the prevention and treatment of low back pain (LBP). Direct assessment of trunk muscle function is not feasible. Therefore, muscle morphometry has been used as an indirect measure. The purpose was to describe how sex, height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) influence trunk muscle thickness and endurance times and to provide reference data for trunk morphometry in Soldiers.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: Soldiers (144 males, 46 females; 21.6 ± 4.0 years; 24.7 ± 2.9 kg/m²) attending combat medic training without a history of LBP were enrolled.

MATERIALS/METHODS: Ultrasound images were obtained bilaterally at rest for the following trunk muscles: rectus abdominis, transversus abdominis (TrA), internal oblique, and external oblique, and lumbar multifidus at L4-L5. The following 4 endurance tests were assessed: supine flexor endurance test, prone extensor endurance test, and right and left horizontal side support. Independent t tests were performed to determine if muscle thickness, muscle symmetry, or endurance times differed based on sex. Pearson product moment correlations were performed to determine the associations between height, weight, and BMI with muscle thickness values. Sex and weight were included in regression analysis to determine their contribution to the variance in trunk muscle thickness. Finally, sex, weight, and muscle thickness values were included in a regression analysis to determine their contribution to the variance in endurance times.

RESULTS: Muscle thickness was greater in males than females (P<.006). However, the TrA accounted for 10% of total abdominal muscle thickness regardless of sex. Muscle symmetry ranged from 6.6% to 19.8% but did not differ based on sex (P>.34). Asymmetry was greater than 12% for the lateral abdominal muscles. Weight had a stronger correlation (r = 0.28 to 0.54) to muscle thickness as compared with height and BMI (P<.001). Weight and sex were able to account for 23% to 30% of the variance in muscle thickness values while they only accounted for 6% of the variance in endurance test times. Males were able to hold the 4 endurance test postures about a minute longer than females (P<.002). However, there was no difference in trunk extensor endurance time between the sexes (P>.20). Relationship between endurance time with sex, height, BMI, and muscle thickness were low (r<0.20).

CONCLUSIONS: Muscle thickness and symmetry values were consistent

COMBINED SECTIONS MEETING

with findings of prior researchers. Sex and weight were significantly associated with muscle thickness, thus their possible confounding effects should be examined and their potential role as covariates considered in future research. Sex, height, weight, BMI, and muscle thickness values were poorly related to endurance hold times.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: Asymmetry of muscle thickness values was found in individuals without a history of LBP; its use as a clinical indicator or predictor for LBP requires further inquiry. This study also provides normative data for trunk muscle size and symmetry, which could be used for comparison studies in a similar population with LBP.

OPL20

MOTOR CONTROL EXERCISE FOR PERSISTENT NONSPECIFIC LOW BACK PAIN: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Latimer J, Macedo L, Maher C, McAuley J

Musculoskeletal Division, The George Institute for International Health, Sydney, NSW, Australia

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: Previous systematic reviews investigating the role of motor control exercise in the treatment of persistent nonspecific low back pain have reached no clear conclusion. A number of studies evaluating motor control exercise have recently been conducted and therefore a new systematic review may enable better estimation of the effectiveness of this treatment. The aim of this study was to perform a systematic review, using a meta-analytical approach, to evaluate the effect of motor control exercise in patients with subacute, chronic and recurrent nonspecific low back pain.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: Eleven trials were included in the review.

MATERIALS/METHODS: Relevant electronic databases were searched up to October 2007. Two independent reviewers extracted data and rated trial quality. Based on statistical heterogeneity trials were pooled using either a fixed or a random effects model. Treatment effects were presented as weighted mean differences (WMD).

RESULTS: Eleven trials were included in the review, with 7 of these, comparing motor control exercise to minimal intervention, sufficiently similar to enable pooling. The pooling revealed that motor control exercise was effective in reducing pain at short (WMD on a 0-100 scale of -14.27 points; 95% CI: -20.45, -8.08), intermediate (-13.76 points; 95% CI: -22.55, -4.88) and long-term follow-up (-14.39 points; 95% CI: -23.11, -5.67). Pooled results comparing motor control exercise to spinal manipulative therapy and to other forms of exercise showed no difference in the effect on pain.

CONCLUSIONS: These results suggest that while motor control exercise appears better than a minimal intervention in reducing pain in the short, intermediate and long-term, motor control exercise appears no more effective than spinal manipulative therapy or other forms of exercise in reducing pain in patients with persistent, nonspecific low back pain.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: When treating patients with persistent non specific low back pain the lack of difference in effect between commonly used physiotherapy treatments such as exercise, spinal manipulative therapy and motor control exercise, suggests that patient preferences and therapist expertise should firstly be considered when determining which treatment to use.

OPL21

COMPARISON OF 2 SUBGROUPS OF PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN CLASSIFIED WITH THE MOVEMENT SYSTEM IMPAIRMENT CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Harris-Hayes M, Van Dillen L

Physical Therapy, Washington University, Saint Louis, MO

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: Classification of patients with low back pain (LBP) into subgroups may assist in determining the most effective treatment and predicting prognosis. A standardized examination based on the Movement System Impairment (MSI) model has been proposed to classify people with LBP. The examination includes tests of signs related to

mechanical factors and symptoms. Our purpose was to test for differences in baseline characteristics of subgroups of people classified based on the MSI clinical examination. We tested for differences in the 2 most prevalent subgroups; patients in the Rotation (Rot) subgroup and patients in the Rotation-Extension (RotExt) subgroup. We hypothesized that patients classified in the Rot subgroup would report greater functional limitations than patients classified in the RotExt subgroup and there would be no differences between the groups in other variables.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: The sample included 57 subjects (mean age, 42.2 \pm 11.4 years; 30 female, 27 male) with chronic LBP enrolled in a randomized clinical trial comparing 2 different treatments for LBP.

MATERIALS/METHODS: Subjects were classified based on findings from the MSI standardized examination (Rot, n = 35 and RotExt, n = 22). We compared the 2 groups on variables related to (1) demographics, (2) LBP history, (3) general health, (4) activity level, (5) function and (6) symptoms. Independent samples *t* test and the chi-square test were used to test for differences between the groups.

RESULTS: The 2 LBP groups were similar in height, weight, LBP history, 6/8 SF-36 subscale scores, activity level, fear avoidance and numerical pain score (current, average and worst). Subjects classified into the Rot subgroup displayed higher scores on the Modified Oswestry (M = 22.8 \pm 9.1) than the RotExt subgroup (M = 17.7 \pm 7.5; t[54] = 2.177; *P* = .034). The Rot subgroup also scored lower on the SF-36 Physical Function subscale score (M = 73.3 \pm 16.4) than the RotExt subgroup (M = 83.4 \pm 11.7; t (55) = -2.493; *P* = .016). The Rot subgroup scored lower on the SF-36 Bodily Pain subscale score (M = 55.7 \pm 13.6) than the RotExt subgroup (M = 63.2 \pm 13.0; t (55) = -2.069; *P* = .043).

CONCLUSIONS: In this sample the Rot subgroup reported significantly greater functional limitations than the RotExt subgroup. The groups were similar in other baseline characteristics, in particular LBP symptoms. Thus, differences in the 3 functional variables were likely not a result of differences in LBP severity between the groups.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE: These findings are important because they support the proposal that LBP groups differ in predictable ways, providing additional evidence that the groups are distinct. These findings also suggest that the LBP subgroups may differ in the mechanical factors that contribute to differences in the extent of functional limitations and disability. These mechanical factors may also impact prognosis. Funded by NIH R01 HD047709.

0PL22

IMPACT LOADS AND PLANTAR STRESSES WHILE WALKING IN SUBJECTS WITH ADULT-ACQUIRED, NEUROPATHIC MEDIAL COLUMN FOOT DEFORMITY Sinacore DR. Hastings MK, Bohnert KL

Physical Therapy/ Internal Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO; Physical Therapy, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO

PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS: Adult-acquired, rigid neuropathic foot deformities place the individual at high-risk for ulceration and lower extremity amputation.

PURPOSE: To report the impact loads and plantar stresses during barefoot walking in subjects with diabetes mellitus (DM), peripheral neuropathy (PN) and a unilateral acquired, rigid neuropathic deformity of the medial column of the foot compared to age-, sex- and race-matched control subjects without rigid deformity.

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS: Fifteen subjects (17 feet; 9 men, 6 women; mean age, 55 ± 11 years) with chronic DM, PN and a rigid, nonreducible neuropathic deformity of the medial column of the foot were studied. Thirty subjects (15 men, 15 women) that were age-, sex- and race-matched served as controls.

MATERIALS/METHODS: All subjects walked barefoot at their preferred walking speed over an Emed-ST pressure platform (Novel Inc, St Paul MN) using a 2-step approach. Subjects walked 2-3 trials for each foot.

ANALYSIS: Each step yielded a plantar map that was divided into 3 masks

Mental Health Symptoms in Combat Medic Training: A Longitudinal Examination

Michael E. Robinson, PhD*; Deydre S. Teyhen, PT, PhD†; Samuel S. Wu, PhD‡; Jessica L. Dugan, MPT†; Alison C. Wright, DPT†; John D. Childs, PT, PhD†; GuijunYang, PhD‡§; Steven Z. George, PT, PhDII

ABSTRACT Mental health symptoms in military populations are rising and constitute a significant health concern. This study examined the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation in soldiers (N = 3,792) undergoing combat medic training. At the start of training, 10.4%, 15.5%, and 4.1% of soldiers had clinically significant depression, anxiety, or suicidal ideation, respectfully. These percentages increased to 12.2%, 20.3%, and 5.7% at completion of training, respectfully. Worsening of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation occurred for 7.7%, 11.4%, and 4% of soldiers. Higher percentages of symptoms were associated with females, lower education, and lower income. Active duty personnel were more likely to worsen following training with respect to suicidal ideation (OR = 1.9, 95% CI = 1.2–2.9) compared to reservists. The identification of these significant predictors of mental health status may serve to identify individuals at risk. Additional work to examine the relative contribution of anticipatory (impending deployment) factors vs. training-related factors is warranted.

INTRODUCTION

Recent reports¹⁻³ have highlighted the concern over depression and suicide in military populations. In addition to the scientific literature, reports about mental health issues in the military have become frequent in the popular press.⁴ Moderate or greater depression has been reported in 15.9% of entry level military personnel.¹ Both male (15%) and female (22%) personnel reported depressive symptomatology. A recent study by the Rand corporation⁴ indicated that approximately 18.5% of U.S. service members returning from current conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan suffered from depression or post-traumatic stress disorder. Thus, both scientific literature and popular media accounts suggest a large number of U.S. military personnel involved in current conflicts report significant mental health problems.

Related to the above-mentioned depression rates are reports that military personnel have significant rates of suicidal ideation.^{2,5,6} Army reports⁶ indicate a significant increase in suicides since recording began in 2002. There were 350 reported suicides in 2002, and 2,100 reported in 2007. This same report indicated that the majority of suicides occurred stateside and included both formerly deployed and those who had not been deployed.

Predictors of mental health (primarily depression) and suicide in military populations have not received adequate

*PO Box 100165, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-0154.

 †Army Medical Department Center and School, U.S. Army–Baylor
 [AU1] University Doctoral Program in Physical Therapy (MCCS-HMT), 3151 Scott Road, Room 2307, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234.

[‡]Department of Epidemiology and Health Policy Research, University of Florida, PO Box 100177, Gainesville, FL 32610-0177.

§Department of Statistics, Tianjin University of Finance and Economics, AU2 Tianjin, People's Republic of China.

||Department of Physical Therapy, Brooks Center for Rehabilitation Studies, University of Florida, Box 100154, UFHSC, Gainesville, FL 32610. research attention.² Although similar to predictors in the civilian population,⁷ Allen and colleagues² noted that the branches of the military need additional military-specific information about factors related to suicide. They suggested that viable candidate factors included deployment status, combat stress, alcoholism, and sex/gender.

The purpose of this study was to examine mental health symptoms (depression, suicidal ideation, and anxiety) in a sample of soldiers enrolled in combat medic training. The data were collected as part of a longitudinal study examining back pain in the military and offered a relatively unique opportunity to examine the aforementioned mental health symptoms in a longitudinal design.8 This design allowed us to describe incidence of these symptoms, and change in mood, and suicidal ideation as soldiers completed training and faced potential deployment to combat situations. Finally, we were interested in examining predictor variables of both baseline mental health symptoms and changes in mental health status with training. Specifically, we tested the hypothesis that female soldiers would have higher levels of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation and would be more likely to transition from subclinical to clinical levels of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation than male soldiers. Military status (active vs. reserve) was also expected to predict mental health status, with reservists less likely to have mental health symptoms than active duty soldiers. Other exploratory analyses examined age of soldier, previous military experience, education, and income as predictors of baseline mental health symptoms and changes with training.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sample

Participants were composed of the first 18 companies of soldiers (n = 3,792) who participated in the randomized clinical trial on prevention of low back pain in the military (POLM).⁸ These soldiers entered the combat medic 12-week Advanced Individual Training (AIT) program at Fort Sam Houston, Texas. Research staff at Fort Sam Houston, Texas introduced the study to individual companies of soldiers. Soldiers were screened for eligibility, and informed consent was obtained, as appropriate. For 12 consecutive months soldiers were screened for eligibility according to the following inclusion/ exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

- Ages 18 (or emancipated minor that is 17 years old) to 35 years old.
- Participating in combat medic military occupational specialty (MOS) training.
- English speaking and reading.

Exclusion criteria

- Prior history of low back pain (LBP) (operationally defined as LBP that limited work or physical activity, lasted longer than 48 hours, and caused the subject to seek health care) or previous medical history for any prior surgery for LBP.
- Currently seeking medical care for LBP.
- History of degenerative joint disease, arthritis, spine trauma or vertebral fractures, and/or spondylolisthesis.
- Currently unable to participate in physical fitness training due to injury in foot, ankle, knee, hip, neck, shoulder, elbow, wrist, or hand.
- History of fracture (stress or traumatic) in proximal femur and/or pelvis.
- Currently pregnant.
- Previous failure of AIT.

Dependent variables

The dependent variables for the study included depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation. Their measurement is described below.

- 1. The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), a 21-question multiple-choice self-report inventory, was used to measure the severity of depression. A total score for the BDI is calculated by summing the score for each item. Scores can range from 0 to 63. Soldiers who scored 15 or higher were classified as clinically depressed.
- 2. Soldiers who chose answers 1–3 for Question 9 of the BDI were designated as having suicidal ideation. Conversely, those who chose answer 0 were designated as not suicidal.
- For anxiety, State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) form Y-2 was used. The STAI yields summary scores ranging from 0 to 80. A total score of 46 or above was classified as clinically significant anxiety.

All three dependent variables were measured two times: at intake and at 12-week follow-up. A soldier was designated "worsened" if (s)he changed from not depressed to depressed and similarly for changing from subclinical anxiety to clinically significant anxiety and changing from not suicidal to suicidal ideation. Individuals who endorsed suicidal ideation were immediately referred with an accompanying soldier to base mental health services for a more complete evaluation. Data from these encounters were not available to the study personnel.

Independent variables

This study investigated three military related factors: (1) how long a soldier has been enlisted in the Army (time in Army: <1 year, 1–3 years, >3 years), (2) whether a soldier has previously been in the Navy (including Marines) or the Air Force (Navy or Air Force: yes, no), and (3) whether a soldier is a full-time active duty service member (active duty: yes, no).

Sociodemographic variables

Variables of interest and for risk adjustment in our final models included age (continuous), gender (female, male), race (white or Caucasian, others), highest level of education (education: college or more, high school or less), and approximate house-hold income (income: \$35,000 or more, less than \$35,000).

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SAS version 9.1. First, descriptive statistics were obtained on the sociodemographic and clinical variables. Second, generalized linear mixed models were fitted for the dichotomous outcomes on depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation, including a random effect of company for the dependency of soldiers within the same unit and adjusting for the sociodemographic factors discussed above. In addition, we have fitted linear mixed models for the continuous depression and anxiety scores to estimate the effects of independent variables. The level of statistical significance was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

Among the 3,792 soldiers enrolled in the POLM study in the first three rounds, 72% were white or Caucasian, 71% were male, 53% had college or more education, 18% had \$35,000 or more household income, 84% had been enlisted in the Army for less than 1 year and 9% for 1–3 years, 3% had previously been in the Navy (Marines) or the Air Force, and 59% were full-time active duty service members (Table I). The TI study population had a mean age of 22 years (SD = 4.39). Distributions of these variables were nearly the same for the 2,931 soldiers who remained at 12-week follow-up, suggesting limited potential of bias from soldiers who did not complete the follow-up assessment.

Table II showed that, at time of intake, 10.39%, 15.51%, $\square 2$ and 4.11% of soldiers had depression, anxiety, and suicidal

Mental Health Symptoms in Combat Medic Training: A Longitudinal Examination

ideation, respectively; and these percentages increased to 12.18%, 20.31%, and 5.70% at time of follow-up. In addition, 7.71%, 11.36%, and 3.99% of soldiers worsened in the three outcomes, respectively. Table II also showed that soldiers who

 TABLE I.
 Sociodemographic and Military Characteristics of the Study Sample

	Inta	ike	Foll	ow-up
Factors	n	%	n	%
Race				
Other		28	757	26
Caucasian	2,743	72	2,174	74
Gender				
Female	1,103	29	849	29
Male	2,689	71	2,082	71
Education				
College or higher	2,028	53	1,631	56
High school or lower	1,764	47	1,300	44
Income				
≥\$35,000	665	18	521	18
≤\$35,000	3,118	82	2,404	82
Time in Army				
1-3 years	322	9	268	9
<1 year	3,199	84	2,458	84
>3 years	269	7	203	7
Navy/Air Force				
No	3,668	97	2,849	97
Yes	124	3	82	3
Active Duty				
Yes	2,254	59	1,688	58
No	1,538	41	1,243	42
Age (mean [SD])	21.98	4.39	22.00	4.38
Total	3,792	100	2,931	100

are female, with high school or less education or with less than \$35,000 income, had higher percentages of symptoms at both times of intake and of follow-up.

Table III presents the results of generalized linear mixed Т3 models for the dichotomous outcomes on depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation. Compared with soldiers enlisted more than 3 years in the Army, the odds of having depression at time of intake were 0.47 times for those enlisted 1–3 years; similarly the odds of having depression and anxiety at time of intake were 0.52 and 0.60 times for those enlisted less than 1 year. Also, those who had not previously been in the Navy (Marines) or the Air Force had 0.56 times odds of having depression at the time of intake compared to their counterparts. These differences were not significant at the time of follow-up. In addition, the full-time active duty service members had 1.22 times and 1.57 times odds of anxiety and suicidal ideation at time of follow-up and 1.90 times odds of becoming worse in suicidal ideation, compared to those from a Reserve or National Guard unit.

Our results also show that female soldiers had significantly higher risk in the outcomes. More specifically, the odds of having depression at intake, depression at follow-up, or worsening depression for female soldiers were 1.73, 1.64, and 1.62 times compared to males; similarly the odds ratios of having anxiety at intake, anxiety at follow up, or worsening anxiety were 1.39, 1.49, and 1.36, respectively. Other factors significantly related to the outcomes were that older age was associated with lower odds of depression and anxiety and college or more education was associated with lower odds of anxiety at time of follow-up.

TABLE II.	Percentages of Soldiers	Who Had Depression (D_{2})	%), Anxiety (A%), and Suici	de Attempt (S%)
-----------	-------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------

		Inta	ke			Follo	w-up	Became Worse				
Factors	n	D%	<i>A</i> %	<i>S</i> %	n	D%	A%	<i>S</i> %	n	D%	A%	<i>S</i> %
Race												
Other	1,049	9.53	14.68	4.48	757	10.96	18.40	6.08	757	6.87	9.86	3.96
Caucasian	2,743	10.72	15.82	3.97	2,174	12.60	20.97	5.57	2,174	8.00	11.89	4.00
Gender												
Female	1,103	14.05	18.59	4.62	849	15.90	24.56	6.01	849	10.25	13.40	4.12
Male	2,689	8.89	14.24	3.90	2,082	10.66	18.57	5.57	2,082	6.68	10.53	3.94
Education												
College or higher	2,028	9.42	13.61	3.94	1631	10.73	18.02	5.46	1,631	7.17	10.69	3.80
High school or lower	1,764	11.51	17.69	4.31	1300	14.00	23.18	6.00	1,300	8.38	12.20	4.23
Income												
≥\$35,000	665	8.57	13.53	2.86	521	11.71	17.05	4.80	521	9.21	10.73	4.03
≤\$35,000	3,118	10.81	15.97	4.39	2,404	12.31	21.02	5.91	2,404	7.40	11.48	3.99
Time in Army												
1–3 years	322	10.25	18.01	6.52	268	14.55	17.16	6.72	268	9.33	6.72	2.99
<1 year	3,199	10.16	15.04	3.94	2,458	12.25	20.97	5.86	2,458	7.73	12.12	4.27
>3 years	269	13.38	17.84	3.35	203	8.37	16.18	2.46	203	5.42	8.33	1.97
Navy/Air Force												
No	3,668	10.31	15.57	4.14	2,849	12.32	20.44	5.65	2,849	7.83	11.38	3.97
Yes	124	12.90	13.71	3.23	82	7.32	15.66	7.32	82	3.66	10.84	4.88
Active duty												
Yes	2,254	10.29	15.17	3.99	1,688	12.86	21.72	6.58	1,688	7.94	12.34	4.98
No	1,538	10.53	15.99	4.29	1,243	11.26	18.38	4.51	1,243	7.40	10.03	2.65
Total	3,792	10.39	15.51	4.11	2,931	12.18	20.31	5.70	2,931	7.71	11.36	3.99

Mental Health Symptoms in Combat Medic Training: A Longitudinal Examination

TABLE III.	Results of Generalized Linear Mixed Models for the Dichotomous Outcomes on Depression, Anxiety, and Suicidal Ideation	m
------------	---	---

		Int	ake			Fo	ollow-up		Became Worse			
Effects	Odds Ratio	95	% CI	P value	Odds Rat	io 9	5% CI	P value	Odds Ratio	95%	6 CI	P value
Depression												
Age	0.94	0.91	0.97	0.0002	0.97	0.94	1.01	0.0943	0.99	0.96	1.03	0.7131
Gender, female	1.73	1.39	2.16	< 0.0001	1.64	1.30	2.08	< 0.0001	1.62	1.22	2.15	0.0010
Race, others	0.85	0.67	1.08	0.1810	0.83	0.64	1.08	0.1630	0.81	0.58	1.12	0.1947
Education, college or higher	0.89	0.71	1.12	0.3275	0.79	0.62	1.01	0.0628	0.84	0.63	1.13	0.2585
Income ≥\$35,000	0.87	0.63	1.18	0.3581	1.11	0.81	1.52	0.5066	1.40	0.98	2.00	0.0643
Time in Army 1–3 years	0.47	0.27	0.80	0.0058	1.45	0.77	2.73	0.2464	1.62	0.75	3.47	0.2170
Time in Army <1 year	0.52	0.35	0.77	0.0011	1.22	0.72	2.07	0.4604	1.32	0.70	2.52	0.3923
Navy/Air Force, no	0.56	0.32	0.97	0.0401	1.35	0.57	3.16	0.4951	1.96	0.60	6.34	0.2625
Active duty, yes	1.02	0.82	1.28	0.8529	1.26	0.99	1.61	0.0566	1.18	0.88	1.58	0.2712
Anxiety												
Age	0.94	0.91	0.97	< 0.0001	0.97	0.94	1.00	0.0183	0.99	0.96	1.02	0.6044
Gender, female	1.39	1.15	1.68	0.0008	1.49	1.22	1.81	< 0.0001	1.36	1.06	1.74	0.0151
Race, others	0.90	0.74	1.11	0.3252	0.83	0.67	1.02	0.0788	0.78	0.59	1.03	0.0763
Education, college or higher	0.86	0.71	1.05	0.1347	0.80	0.66	0.98	0.0272	0.87	0.68	1.12	0.2750
Income ≥\$35,000	0.96	0.74	1.24	0.7458	0.90	0.69	1.17	0.4354	1.00	0.72	1.38	0.9811
Time in Army 1–3 years	0.65	0.41	1.02	0.0610	0.84	0.50	1.41	0.5042	0.71	0.35	1.45	0.3447
Time in Army <1 year	0.60	0.42	0.85	0.0043	1.10	0.73	1.65	0.6428	1.34	0.79	2.27	0.2730
Navy/Air Force, no	0.85	0.50	1.45	0.5484	1.01	0.55	1.86	0.9806	0.87	0.43	1.79	0.7082
Active duty, yes	1.00	0.82	1.20	0.9573	1.22	1.00	1.48	0.0456	1.19	0.93	1.52	0.1584
Suicidal Ideation												
Age	0.97	0.92	1.02	0.1812	0.96	0.92	1.01	0.1263	0.97	0.92	1.03	0.3135
Gender, female	1.13	0.80	1.61	0.4863	1.08	0.76	1.52	0.6828	1.06	0.70	1.60	0.7901
Race, others	1.13	0.79	1.61	0.5040	1.12	0.79	1.60	0.5283	0.99	0.65	1.52	0.9662
Education, college or higher	1.08	0.76	1.53	0.6736	1.06	0.76	1.50	0.7295	0.99	0.66	1.48	0.9660
Income ≥\$35,000	0.70	0.42	1.16	0.1661	0.90	0.56	1.43	0.6396	1.14	0.68	1.92	0.6278
Time in Army 1–3 years	1.82	0.76	4.34	0.1775	2.71	0.96	7.64	0.0596	1.52	0.44	5.30	0.5125
Time in Army <1 year	1.10	0.52	2.32	0.8127	2.04	0.81	5.11	0.1307	1.89	0.67	5.31	0.2264
Navy/Air Force, no	1.03	0.37	2.88	0.9585	0.58	0.24	1.39	0.2236	0.65	0.23	1.87	0.4222
Active duty, yes	0.99	0.70	1.39	0.9567	1.57	1.11	2.22	0.0113	1.90	1.24	2.92	0.0034

The above findings were consistent with the results of linear mixed modeling of the continuous depression and anxiety scores. Table IV shows that, compared with soldiers enlisted more than 3 years in the Army, those enlisted less than 1 year were 1.30 points lower in the mean anxiety at time of intake, but 1.08 points higher in the mean depression change and 2.30 points higher in the mean anxiety change. These models also showed that female soldiers had a significantly higher level of depression and anxiety at time of intake and follow-up. Once again, older age was associated with less depression and anxiety at intake and at follow-up; while college or more education was associated with less depression at follow-up and less anxiety at both times. However, it should be noted that the sociodemographic and military factors together explained less than 3% of total variations in each of the three outcomes.

DISCUSSION

This study represents one of the few prospective, predeployment investigations of depression, suicidal ideation, and anxiety in the military. Unique features of the study include the investigation of the change in negative mood associated with AIT and the predictors of negative mood and change in mood in a military population. The rising incidence of mental health issues in military personnel, most likely the result of recent conflicts, highlights the need to investigate predisposing factors associated with mental health risk and the effects of training on mental health symptoms.

Our results suggest that at the time of entry into AIT, a substantial number of soldiers in training to become combat medics showed clinically significant levels of depression (10.4%) and anxiety (15.5%). In addition, over 4% endorsed suicidal ideation. These rates are relatively consistent with those associated with returning veterans⁴ and entry-level military personnel.1 Rates of suicide or prevalence of suicidal ideation involving the current military conflicts are not readily available and any direct comparisons of rates of suicidal ideation with suicide attempts or completed suicides should be made with caution. However, suicide ideation prevalence rates as high as 30% have been reported in deployment settings,9 while suicide rates in Navy and Marine personnel ranged from 10 to 16 per 100,000 for the years 1999-2001.10 The latter estimate of actual suicide rate in Navy and Marine personnel occurred in nonwartime deployment. The suicidal ideation reported in the present study is less than that reported in deployment settings; the increase in suicidal ideation at the end of AIT may reflect an increase associated with the possibility of impending deployment to combat, thus reflecting

T4

Mental Health Symptoms in Combat Medic Training: A Longitudinal Examination

		Intak	e		Follow	up	Change		
	Estimate	SE	P value	Estimate	SE	P value	Estimate	SE	P value
Depression									
Intercept	8.65	1.04	< 0.0001	8.14	1.39	< 0.0001	0.59	1.25	0.6414
Age	-0.09	0.03	0.0011	-0.09	0.04	0.0189	0.01	0.03	0.8517
Gender, female	2.11	0.24	< 0.0001	1.72	0.31	< 0.0001	-0.15	0.28	0.5930
Race, others	0.05	0.24	0.8302	-0.44	0.32	0.1669	-0.59	0.29	0.0403
Education, college or higher	-0.09	0.23	0.7104	-0.61	0.30	0.0449	-0.68	0.27	0.0128
Income ≥\$35,000	0.23	0.29	0.4334	0.21	0.38	0.5859	0.06	0.34	0.8632
Time in Army 1–3 years	-0.51	0.57	0.3683	0.78	0.74	0.2871	1.02	0.66	0.123
Time in Army <1 year	-0.10	0.43	0.8215	0.74	0.57	0.1977	1.08	0.52	0.036
Navy/Air Force, no	-0.88	0.61	0.1506	-0.65	0.86	0.4517	-0.65	0.78	0.402
Active duty, yes	0.08	0.23	0.7230	0.57	0.30	0.0532	0.41	0.27	0.121
Anxiety									
Intercept	42.78	1.44	< 0.0001	42.81	1.87	< 0.0001	0.28	1.52	0.853
Age	-0.21	0.04	< 0.0001	-0.16	0.05	0.0015	0.05	0.04	0.250
Gender, female	1.72	0.33	< 0.0001	1.87	0.42	< 0.0001	0.44	0.34	0.195
Race, others	-0.22	0.33	0.5110	-0.55	0.44	0.2045	-0.32	0.35	0.361
Education, college or higher	-1.10	0.32	0.0005	-1.23	0.41	0.0027	-0.34	0.33	0.315
Income ≥\$35,000	-0.43	0.41	0.2875	-0.91	0.52	0.0809	-0.31	0.42	0.464
Time in Army 1–3 years	-1.50	0.78	0.0565	-0.44	0.99	0.6548	1.08	0.81	0.179
Time in Army <1 year	-1.30	0.60	0.0312	0.35	0.78	0.6548	2.30	0.63	0.000
Navy/Air Force, no	-0.86	0.85	0.3106	-2.40	1.17	0.0397	-1.72	0.95	0.0694
Active duty, yes	0.04	0.31	0.9034	0.45	0.40	0.2629	0.26	0.32	0.413

TABLE IV. Results of Linear Mixed Models for the Continuous Outcomes on Depression and Anxiety

a continuum from nonwartime, to predeployment, to combat deployment.

Soldiers with less experience (shorter military service, no other service history) were slightly less likely to have clinically significant depression. However, duration of military service was not a significant predictor of depression or anxiety at the end of AIT. Examination of the changes within each group suggests that initial distress increased for those with less experience, while those with previous military history adjusted better (depression decreased) to the impending deployment as AIT progressed.

A different pattern emerged at post-AIT. Full-time active duty service members were more likely to have clinically significant depression and anxiety, as well as suicidal ideation, at the end of AIT. One potential explanation is that active duty personnel may have had greater experience with combat stressors and thus had higher anticipated distress as imminent deployment approached. The increased rate of distress in this group argued against a preventive function of AIT. The design of this study prevents definitive conclusions about the effects of AIT, but these speculations suggest further investigation with appropriate control for type of training might be fruitful.

Women were more likely to be depressed and anxious and to transition from subclinical to clinical levels of distress than were men. These findings are generally consistent with the larger literature on sex differences in negative affect.⁷ As the number of women in the military increases, so does the importance of recognizing this increased risk. Increased age and greater education appeared to be somewhat protective and were associated with decreased risk of depression and anxiety. However, these effects were not consistently observed at all time points and appeared relatively small in magnitude.

The same general pattern of results was observed whether the outcome variables were treated as dichotomous (clinically significant or not) or continuous, suggesting that the findings are relatively stable and not an artifact of the specific clinical cutoffs employed for this particular analysis. Overall, when considered as continuous variables, the magnitudes of observed differences during AIT are small and probably not clinically significant. The mean values for depression and anxiety are well below clinical cutoffs associated with a diagnosis of depression or anxiety, which may be a reflection of a general reticence of military personnel to report mental health symptoms.¹¹

There are a number of limitations to this study. The study was not originally designed to assess trends in mental health issues in the military. The parent study was designed to test hypotheses about intervention to prevent back pain in military personnel and included the mood measures as predictors and descriptive data for that purpose. Because this report represents a secondary, exploratory analysis, a number of potential explanatory variables were not available for analysis. Furthermore, there was no experimental manipulation (e.g., treatment) related to negative mood, and the resulting data are correlational in nature with all the associated limitations about causality inferences. Our sample also appears to be relatively highly educated (53% with college education) and therefore combat medics may not be representative of the general army population on that variable. This fact is especially important given that education is associated with lower rates of distress in this data set.

In summary, these data are consistent with reports of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation in military personnel. We have identified both demographic (i.e., age, sex) and military-specific predictors (i.e., duty status, history of military service) of psychological distress in soldiers undergoing combat medic training. These longitudinal data add to the existing literature by suggesting that as possible combat deployment is imminent, distress increases were also evident. AIT may be a time when preventive measures could be implemented or more tailored to the identified predictors. Further research designed to specifically investigate the identified predictors in other military populations, and with specific interventions, appear warranted. These could include better diagnostic criteria for depression and anxiety (particularly PTSD), longer follow-up to include suicide attempt data, a greater diversity of comparison groups/cohorts, and designs better able to infer causal relationships.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The institutional review boards at the Brooke Army Medical Center (Fort Sam Houston, TX) and the University of Florida (Gainesville, FL) granted approval for this project. Christopher Barnes, Erik Henrikson, and Yang Li were responsible for the creation and management of the database. We also acknowledge the assistance of those from the U.S. Army–Baylor University Physical Therapy Program, University of Texas Health Science Center (San Antonio), University of Florida, East Tennessee State University, University of Colorado at Denver Health Science Center, and Texas State University at San Marcos for their assistance with data collection and management. J.D.C., D.S.T., S.S.W., M.E.R., A.C.W., and J.L.D. were supported by a Department of Defense peer-review medical research program contract (PR054098) awarded to S.Z.G.

REFERENCES

- Warner CM, Warner CH, Breitbach J, Rachal J, Matuszak T, Grieger TA: Depression in entry-level military personnel. Milit Med 2007; 172(8): 795–9.
- 2. Allen JP, Cross G, Swanner J: Suicide in the Army: a review of current information. Milit Med 2005; 170(7): 580–4.
- Milliken CS, Auchterlonie JL, Hoge CW: Longitudinal assessment of mental health problems among active and reserve component soldiers returning from the Iraq war. JAMA 2007; 298(18): 2141–8.
- Tanielian T, Jaycox LH (editors): Invisible Wounds of War: Psychological and Cognitive Injuries, Their Consequences, and Services to Assist Recovery. Santa Monica, CA, RAND Corporation, 2008.
- Levin A: Dramatic increase found in soldier suicides. Psychiatr News 2007; 42(18): 9–21.
- Lorge EM: Army responds to rising suicide rates. Available at http:// www.behavioralhealth.army.mil/news/20080131armyrespondstosuicide. html; accessed May 1, 2008.
- Moscicki EK: Identification of suicide risk factors using epidemiological studies. Psychiatr Clin North Am 1997; 20(3): 499–517.
- George SZ, Childs JD, Teyhen DS, et al: Rationale, design, and protocol for the prevention of low back pain in the military (POLM) trial (NCT00373009). BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2007; 8: 92.
- 9. Hill JV, Johnson RC, Barton RA: Suicidal and homicidal soldiers in deployment environments. Milit Med 2006; 171(3): 228–32.
- Stander VA, Hilton SM, Kennedy KR, Robbins DL: Surveillance of completed suicide in the Department of the Navy. Milit Med 2004; 169(4): 301–6.
- 11. Greene-Shortridge TM, Britt TW, Castro CA: The stigma of mental health problems in the military. Milit Med 2007; 172(2): 157–61.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

from a cluster randomized clinical trial (NCT00373009) 3 in a primary prevention setting 4 5 Steven Z. George · Deydre S. Teyhen · Samuel S. Wu · 6 Alison C. Wright · Jessica L. Dugan · Guijun Yang · 7 Michael E. Robinson · John D. Childs

1

2

8 Received: 19 August 2008/Revised: 9 December 2008/Accepted: 19 April 2009 9 © Springer-Verlag 2009

Psychosocial education improves low back pain beliefs: results

10 **Abstract** The general population has a pessimistic view 11 of low back pain (LBP), and evidence-based information 12 has been used to positively influence LBP beliefs in pre-13 viously reported mass media studies. However, there is a 14 lack of randomized trials investigating whether LBP beliefs 15 can be modified in primary prevention settings. This cluster 16 randomized clinical trial investigated the effect of an evi-17 dence-based psychosocial educational program (PSEP) on 18 LBP beliefs for soldiers completing military training. A 19 military setting was selected for this clinical trial, because

- S. Z. George (🖂) A1
- Department of Physical Therapy, A2
- A3 Brooks Center for Rehabilitation Studies.
- A4 University of Florida, PO Box 100154, Gainesville,
- A5 FL 32610-0154, USA
- e-mail: szgeorge@phhp.ufl.edu A6
- A7 D. S. Teyhen · A. C. Wright · J. L. Dugan · J. D. Childs
- A8 US Army-Baylor University Doctoral Program in Physical
- A9 Therapy (MCCS-HMT), Army Medical Department Center
- and School, 3151 Scott Rd., Rm. 2307, Fort Sam Houston, A10 TX 78234, USA
- A11
- A12 S. S. Wu · G. Yang
- Department of Epidemiology and Health Policy Research, A13
- A14 University of Florida, PO Box 100177, Gainesville,
- FL 32610-0177, USA A15
- A16 G. Yang
- A17 Department of Statistics,
- A18 Tianjin University of Finance and Economics,
- A19 Tianjin, People's Republic of China
- A20 M. E. Robinson
- A21 Department of Clinical and Health Psychology,
- A22 Center for Pain Research and Behavioral Health,
- A23 University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610-0165, USA

LBP is a common cause of soldier disability. Companies of 20 soldiers (n = 3,792) were recruited, and cluster random-21 ized to receive a PSEP or no education (control group, 22 CG). The PSEP consisted of an interactive seminar, and 23 soldiers were issued the Back Book for reference material. 24 The primary outcome measure was the back beliefs ques-25 tionnaire (BBO), which assesses inevitable consequences 26 of and ability to cope with LBP. The BBQ was adminis-27 tered before randomization and 12 weeks later. A linear 28 29 mixed model was fitted for the BBQ at the 12-week followup, and a generalized linear mixed model was fitted for the 30 dichotomous outcomes on BBO change of greater than two 31 32 points. Sensitivity analyses were performed to account for drop out. BBQ scores (potential range: 9-45) improved 33 significantly from baseline of 25.6 \pm 5.7 (mean \pm SD) to 34 26.9 ± 6.2 for those receiving the PSEP, while there was a 35 significant decline from 26.1 \pm 5.7 to 25.6 \pm 6.0 for those 36 in the CG. The adjusted mean BBQ score at follow-up for 37 those receiving the PSEP was 1.49 points higher than those 38 39 in the CG (P < 0.0001). The adjusted odds ratio of BBQ improvement of greater than two points for those receiving 40 the PSEP was 1.51 (95% CI = 1.22-1.86) times that of 41 those in the CG. BBQ improvement was also mildly 42 associated with race and college education. Sensitivity 43 analyses suggested minimal influence of drop out. In con-44 clusion, soldiers that received the PSEP had an improve-45 ment in their beliefs related to the inevitable consequences 46 of and ability to cope with LBP. This is the first random-47 ized trial to show positive influence on LBP beliefs in a 48 primary prevention setting, and these findings have 49 potentially important public health implications for pre-50 vention of LBP. 51 52

Keywords Primary prevention · Patient education · Biopsychosocial · Public health

Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
Article No. : 1016	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	🗹 СР	🗹 disk

Deringer

53

54

65

66 67

68

69

70

71

72

73

55 Introduction

56 Low back pain (LBP) is a common chronic musculoskel-57 etal disorder [19, 32] that causes significant disability [2, 58 31, 33, 40]. Specifically, LBP has been associated with the 59 inability to obtain or maintain employment [31] and lost productivity while still employed [33]. Cost-effective 60 61 interventions for LBP are a research priority given its 62 adverse impact on society [10]. Providing evidence-based 63 education is one example of a cost-effective intervention 64 for LBP.

Educational approaches based solely on anatomical explanations of LBP are known to be inadequate given the contemporary understanding of a biopsychosocial conceptualization of LBP [17, 26, 37]. Currently, it is recommended that patient education for LBP de-emphasizes the anatomical cause of the pain, encourages the patient to focus on resuming activity, teaches the patient to view LBP as a common condition, and reinforces the importance of maintaining positive attitude and coping styles [36, 38].

74 This change in education approach has had a positive 75 influence on management of existing LBP. For example, 76 advice to stay active and resume normal activities was 77 more effective than usual medical care for LBP in separate 78 randomized trials [14, 15, 18]. Psychosocial education that 79 encourages positive coping was associated with decreased 80 work absence in a quasi-experimental study [34]. The Back 81 Book [27] is a pamphlet that delivers standard, evidenced-82 based information consistent with a biopsychosocial 83 model, and has been used in randomized clinical trials 84 demonstrating reduced disability and fear-avoidance 85 beliefs in general practice [8] and physical therapy settings 86 [12]. A quasi-experimental study also indicated that gen-87 eral practice patients given the Back Book reported higher patient satisfaction ratings and lower rates of persistent 88 89 LBP [10].

90 Although these secondary prevention findings are 91 important, less evidence is available to inform decision-92 making regarding whether psychosocial education can be 93 effective in primary prevention of LBP. In an effective 94 primary prevention model, evidence-based information 95 would alter unwarranted beliefs about the consequences 96 and management of LBP, as well as reduce the fear and 97 threat of experiencing LBP. Understanding whether 98 favorably altering beliefs about LBP before LBP develops 99 has become a critical research priority given the huge cost burden of LBP on society [3, 20] and the pessimistic views 100 101 held by the general population about the consequences of LBP [13]. 102

Several population-based studies have investigated the
primary prevention effects of psychosocial information on
LBP delivered by media campaigns [6, 7, 39, 43]. Collectively these studies demonstrated a positive shift in LBP

beliefs [6, 7, 39, 43], with persistent effects noted 3 years 107 later by Buchbinder et al. [5]. Although these results are 108 encouraging, these population-based studies utilized quasi 109 experimental [7, 43] and "pragmatic observational" [39] 110 methodologies; no randomized trials have been reported to 111 date. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to report the 112 effect of an evidence-based PSEP on LBP beliefs for sol-113 diers completing military training and participating in an 114 ongoing cluster randomized clinical trial. This particular 115 setting was selected for this study, because disability from 116 LBP is commonly experienced in the military [16, 29] and 117 favorable shifts in LBP beliefs before LBP is experienced 118 could potentially alter this trend. 119

Materials and methods

Overview

121

142

149

120

The institutional review boards at the Brooke Army Med-122 ical Center (Fort Sam Houston, TX, USA) and the Uni-123 versity of Florida (Gainesville, FL, USA) granted approval 124 for this project. Consecutive soldiers entering the combat 125 medic advanced individual training (AIT) at Fort Sam 126 Houston, TX were considered for participation in this 127 study. This study reported a planned analysis of a proximal 128 129 outcome of the prevention of low back pain in the military (POLM) clinical trial (NCT00373009) [11] which has been 130 registered at http://clinicaltrials.gov. 131

The goals of this study were to twofold. First, we wanted 132 to determine the efficacy of an implemented PSEP for 133 improving LBP beliefs. Second, we wanted to investigate 134 the potential of responder subgroups to the PSEP. Our 135 a priori hypothesis was that soldiers receiving the educa-136 tion program would have an improvement in LBP beliefs, 137 in comparison to those that not receiving the education 138 program. We also investigated whether demographic or 139 psychological factors were predictive of improvement in 140 LBP beliefs to identify responder subgroups. 141

Subjects

Research staff at Fort Sam Houston, Texas introduced the143study to individual companies of soldiers. Soldiers were144screened for eligibility, and informed consent was145obtained, as appropriate. For 12 consecutive months sol-146diers were screened for eligibility according to the fol-147lowing inclusion/exclusion criteria.148

Inclusion criteria

• age 18 (or emancipated minor that is 17-year-old) to 150 35-year-old, 151

 Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
Article No. : 1016	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	🗹 СР	🗹 DISK

- participating in combat medic military occupational
 specialty (MOS) training,
- English speaking and reading.

155 Exclusion criteria

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

Author Proof

- prior history of LBP (operationally defined as LBP that limited work or physical activity, lasted longer than 48 h, and caused the subject to seek healthcare) or previous medical history for any surgery for LBP,
- 160 currently seeking medical care for LBP,
 - history of degenerative joint disease, arthritis, spine trauma or vertebral fractures, and/or spondylolisthesis,
 - currently unable to participate in physical fitness training due to injury in foot, ankle, knee, hip, neck, shoulder, elbow, wrist, or hand injury,
 - history of fracture (stress or traumatic) in proximal femur and/or pelvis,
- 168 currently pregnant,
- 169 previous failure of AIT.

170 Randomization

171 Military training environments requires living in close 172 quarters with other members of the unit making individual 173 randomization an unfeasible option for this trial due to 174 concerns related to disruption of normal training schedule 175 and treatment contamination. Therefore, a cluster ran-176 domization strategy was utilized for assigning companies 177 to receive or not receive the PSEP. This meant that for a 178 given company, every soldier who consented to the study 179 received the same study condition. Cluster randomization 180 is viable methodological choice that has been effectively 181 used in other large samples of primary prevention [23, 24, 182 42]. The randomization schedule was prepared by com-183 puter and was determined before recruitment began. The 184 randomization schedule was balanced to ensure equal 185 allocation to each condition after 18 companies were 186 recruited.

187 Intervention

188 Companies of soldiers were randomized to receive or not
189 receive the PSEP. It was not possible to mask soldiers in
190 this study, because of the nature of the educational pro191 gram. The interventions are described below.

192 Psychosocial educational program (PSEP)

The PSEP involved an educational session within the first
14 days of entering AIT. The session consisted of an
interactive seminar designed by the POLM investigative

team and was implemented by study personnel. The overall 196 goal of the 45-min session was to emphasize current sci-197 entific evidence on LBP. The seminar covered topics 198 related to the favorable natural history of LBP, lack of 199 definitive anatomical causes of LBP, the importance of 200 201 returning to normal activity, and decreasing fear-avoidance 202 beliefs and pain catastrophizing when experiencing LBP. After the seminar, soldiers were involved in a question and 203 answer session and issued The Back Book [27]. The Back 204 Book was used as the educational supplement, because of 205 our prior experience with it in a physical therapy clinical 206 trial [12] and its prior association with positive shifts in 207 patient LBP beliefs [8, 10]. 208

Control group (CG)

209

217

223

238

The CG received no formal instruction on LBP. An anatomy-based education program was not appropriate for a210comparison, because prior studies have demonstrated no212favorable change in LBP beliefs [8, 12, 34]. Furthermore,213use of a CG (as opposed to an alternate form of education)214is consistent with the methodology from the previously215reported population-based studies [6, 7, 39, 43].216

Measurement

Study-related measures were collected by research per-
sonnel unaware of randomization assignment before AIT
and 12 weeks later, when AIT was completed. All mea-
sures were scored in a masked manner by computer
algorithm.218
219
220

Primary outcome measure

224 The back beliefs questionnaire (BBO) was the primary outcome variable for this study. The BBQ is a previously 225 validated self-report questionnaire used to quantify beliefs 226 227 about the likely consequences of having LBP [35]. The BBQ has 14 items with response options ranging from 1 228 (agree) to 5 (disagree), and only the nine inevitability items 229 230 are included for scoring (potential range: 9-45). Higher BBQ scores are indicative of better LBP beliefs and indi-231 cate the potential of a better ability to cope with LBP [6, 7]. 232 In addition to having sound psychometric properties, the 233 BBQ has been used as an outcome measure in other studies 234 235 investigating educational and mass media interventions [5-7, 34]. Use in this trial is appropriate for our hypotheses 236 and will also allow for cross-study comparisons. 237

Other measures

Commonly implemented and previously validated self- 239 report questionnaires were used to compare baseline 240



Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
Article No. : 1016	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	CP	🗹 DISK

241 attributes for the intervention groups and to determine 242 baseline influence on LBP belief outcomes. The medical 243 outcomes survey 12-item short-form health survey (SF-12) 244 was used as a self-report of health status for physical and 245 mental function. The physical and mental component 246 summary scales (PCS and MCS) were reported individu-247 ally in this study because they are valid estimates of 248 physical and mental health [41]. The state-trait anxiety 249 questionnaire (STAI) [30] and Beck depression inventory 250 (BDI) [9, 28, 44] were used to measure negative affect 251 from generalized anxiety and generalized depression, 252 respectively. Nine items from the fear of pain questionnaire 253 (FPQ-III) were used to measure fear about specific situa-254 tions that normally produce pain [1, 21, 25].

255 Sample size estimation

256 In a previous study from Buchbinder et al. [5.], it was 257 estimated that a sample size of 550 provided 80% power to 258 detect a shift in BBQ of 0.5 (at 0.05 significance). Our 259 primary sample size estimation was based on determining 260 the effect of education and exercise programs on the 261 occurrence and severity of LBP episodes [11]. Such a sample size (16 companies, approximately 3,200 soldiers) 262 263 provided adequate statistical power for the planned proxi-264 mal outcome analysis of LBP beliefs, as well as the con-265 sideration of responder subgroups from various demographic and psychological factors. 266

267 Data analysis

268 Demographic and baseline levels of variables were com-269 pared between the two randomly assigned groups using t270 test for comparison of means and chi-square tests for 271 comparison of proportions. It was determined a priori that 272 variables significantly different between the two groups 273 would be considered in the final analyses, in addition to 274 previously specified covariates of sex, age, and race.

275 First, we analyzed the 12-week follow-up completers only, as a liberal estimate of treatment effect. A linear 276 277 mixed model was fitted for the BBQ at the 12-week follow-278 up in continuous scale, and a generalized linear mixed 279 model was fitted for the dichotomous outcomes on BBQ 280 change of more than two points. Two points was selected 281 as a criterion of meaningful change in the BBQ, because it 282 corresponded with previously reported thresholds in the 283 literature such as 2-year population changes in BBQ scores 284 that were associated with improvements in worker's com-285 pensation claims [7]. There was no sample-specific cut-off 286 scores available for this part of the analysis, as the BBQ 287 has not been previously studied in military samples.

A sensitivity analysis regarding missing data was conducted with the following 3-step process: (1) the dropout 300

rates were compared across the education programs to 290 291 assess systematic differences; (2) demographic and baseline levels of variables were examined for their relationship 292 to dropout. Those variables related to dropout status were 293 used to impute missing values for use in the intention to 294 295 treat analysis of all soldiers; (3) comparison of the 296 completers versus imputation analyses would provide an additional estimate of the effect of dropouts on hypothesis 297 tests. All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS 298 software, version 9 (SAS Institute Inc, 1996). 299

Results

Refer to Fig. 1 for a flow chart describing the number of 301 patients considered for this trial, eventually enrolled into 302 the trial, and completed follow-up assessment, as per 303 CONSORT guidelines [22]. Descriptive statistics for the 304 sample (n = 3,792) are summarized in Table 1. There 305 were small post randomization differences noted for the 306 307 PSEP and CG, such that soldiers assigned to PSEP had worse BBO scores, were older, more likely to have college 308 level or more education, and more likely to have enlisted in 309 the army for 1–3 years (P < 0.01). These variables were 310 included as covariates in the subsequent analyses. 311

The BBQ score improved significantly (P < 0.0001) 312 from baseline of 25.6 \pm 5.7 (mean \pm SD) to 26.9 \pm 6.2 at 313 the 12-week follow-up for those receiving the PSEP, while 314 there was a significant decline (P < 0.0001) from 315 26.1 ± 5.7 to 25.6 ± 6.0 for those in the CG (Fig. 2). The 316 effect sizes of BBQ change were 0.18 and -0.10, for the 317 PSEP and CG groups, respectively. These differences 318 favoring the PSEP for BBQ scores were statistically sig-319 nificant at the 12-week follow-up (P < 0.0001). Table 2 320 presents the results of linear mixed modeling of the BBO at 321 the 12-week follow-up and the results of generalized linear 322 mixed models for the dichotomous outcomes of BBO 323 improvement (greater than two points). The adjusted mean 324 improvement for those receiving the PSEP was 1.49 points 325 higher than those in the 4CG (P < 0.0001). The adjusted 326 327 odds ratio of BBQ improvement for those receiving the PSEP was 1.51 (95% CI = 1.22-1.86) compared to those 328 329 in the CG.

BBQ score at intake, older age, female, race other than 330 331 white, college education or higher are significantly asso-332 ciated with higher BBQ score at the follow-up. When psychological factors were investigated, only fear of pain 333 and depression were statistically associated with BBQ 334 follow-up score. These psychological associations were 335 small in magnitude, as every unit increase in FPQ and BDI 336 was associated with a 0.04 and 0.10 point lower follow-up 337 BBQ score, respectively. The analyses investigating sub-338 characteristics indicated 339 group responder potential

Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
Article No. : 1016	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	🖌 СЬ	🗹 DISK



Fig. 1 Summary of recruitment, enrollment, follow-up, and analysis for psychosocial education trial. *LBP* low back pain, *PSEP* psychosocial education program, *CG* control group, *AIT* advanced individual training, *ITT* intention to treat analysis

demographic influences on BBQ scores (Table 2). BBQ
improvement for soldiers of race other than white had an
odds ratio of 0.82 (95% CI: 0.69–0.98). College education
or higher was also related to BBQ improvement, with an
odds ratio of 1.23 (95% CI: 1.05–1.44). In contrast, none of
the psychological variables were associated with BBQ
improvement of greater than two.

347 There were no major changes in results when the sen-348 sitivity analyses were performed, suggesting minimal 349 influence of study drop out. The CG had a higher drop out 350 rate than the PSEP group (25.7 vs. 19.8%). The drop outs 351 from the two groups had the same intake characteristics, 352 except that proportion of soldiers of race other than white 353 in the CG was higher than that of the PSEP group. There 354 were significant differences (P < 0.05) from the soldiers, who completed the follow-up (n = 2,940) at 12 weeks 355 compared to those soldiers that dropped out (n = 852) in 356 baseline BBQ, PCS, MCS, BDI, STAI, race, education 357 level, and time enlisted in army. These variables were used 358 to predict the BBQ at follow-up for those dropped out 359 using a linear mixed model fitted based on complete data. 360 361 Sensitivity analyses were then performed by running two separate models. The first model was an intention to treat 362 analysis with all soldiers (n = 3.792) using the imputed 363 outcome for those not completing follow-up. The second 364 model was an analysis of the imputed outcome for only 365 those soldiers (n = 852) not completing follow-up. In the 366 intention to treat analysis, the adjusted mean BBQ scores at 367 follow-up for those receiving the PSEP was 1.44 points 368 higher than those in the CG (P < 0.0001), with an odds 369

 Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
Article No. : 1016		□ TYPESET
MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	CP	🗹 disk

Table 1 Sociodemographic and psychological characteristics of the military sample

Factors	Intake $(n = 3,$	792)		Missed 12-wee	ek follow-up ($n =$	852)
	Total	CG $(n = 2,065)$	PSEP $(n = 1,727)$	Total	CG $(n = 443)$	PSEP $(n = 409)$
BBQ total [mean (SD)]	25.9 (5.7)	26.1 (5.7)	25.6 (5.7)*	25.4 (5.6)	25.7 (5.5)	25.2 (5.7)
PCS total [mean (SD)]	53.5 (5.1)	53.5 (5.2)	53.4 (5.1)	52.8 (5.6)	52.9 (5.4)	52.6 (5.8)
MCS total [mean (SD)]	49.1 (8.6)	49.2 (8.6)	49.0 (8.7)	47.7 (9.8)	48.3 (9.5)	47.0 (10.1)
FPQ total [mean (SD)]	18.1 (5.8)	17.9 (5.9)	18.3 (5.7)	18.1 (6.1)	17.7 (6.3)	18.5 (5.9)
BDI total [mean (SD)]	6.4 (6.6)	6.4 (6.7)	6.3 (6.5)	7.9 (8.0)	7.8 (8.0)	7.9 (8.0)
STAI total [mean (SD)]	36.0 (9.2)	35.9 (9.3)	36.0 (9.0)	37.7 (9.8)	37.6 (10.0)	37.8 (9.7)
Age [mean (SD)]	22.0 (4.4)	21.6 (4.2)	22.4 (4.6)*	21.9 (4.4)	21.6 (4.1)	22.2 (4.7)
Race [n (%)]						
Other	1,049 (27.7)	581 (28.1)	468 (27.1)	288 (33.8)	173 (39.1)	115 (28.1)*
White or Caucasian	2,743 (72.3)	1,484 (71.9)	1,259 (72.9)	564 (66.2)	270 (61.0)	294 (71.9)
Gender $[n (\%)]$						
Female	1,103 (29.1)	625 (30.3)	478 (27.7)	252 (29.6)	144 (32.5)	108 (26.4)
Male	2,689 (70.9)	1,440 (69.7)	1,249 (72.3)	600 (70.4)	299 (67.5)	301 (73.6)
Education $[n (\%)]$						
College or more	2,028 (53.5)	1,073 (52.0)	955 (55.3)	391 (45.9)	195 (44.0)	196 (47.9)
High school or less	1,764 (46.5)	992 (48.0)	772 (44.7)	461 (54.1)	248(56.0)	213 (52.1)
Income $[n (\%)]$						
\$35,000 or more	665 (17.6)	322 (15.6)	343 (19.9)*	143 (16.8)	63 (14.3)	80 (19.7)
Less than \$35,000	3,118 (82.4)	1,738 (84.4)	1,380 (80.1)	706 (83.2)	379 (85.8)	327 (80.3)
Time in army $[n (\%)]$						
1–3 years	322 (8.5)	153 (7.4)	169 (9.8)*	54 (6.3)	25 (5.6)	29 (7.1)
<1 year	3,199 (84.4)	1,794 (87.0)	1,405 (81.4)	733 (86.0)	386 (87.1)	347 (84.8)
>3 years	269 (7.1)	116 (5.6)	153 (8.9)	65 (7.6)	32 (7.2)	33 (8.1)

BBQ back beliefs questionnaire, PSEP psychosocial education program, FPQ-III fear of pain questionnaire, BDI Beck depression inventory, STAI state trait anxiety index, PCS physical component summary, MCS mental component summary

* P < 0.01 in t tests for comparison of means and chi-square tests for comparison of proportions between the two randomly assigned groups



Fig. 2 Psychosocial education results in improvement in low back pain beliefs. BBO back beliefs questionnaire, CG control group, PSEP psychosocial education program. Statistically significant differences were present at the 12-week assessment (P < 0.0001)

370 ratio for BBQ improvement of 1.75 (95% CI: 1.44-2.13). 371 In the imputation outcome analysis the adjusted mean BBQ 372 scores for those receiving the PSEP was 1.31 points higher 373 than those in the CG (P < 0.0001), with an odds ratio for 374 BBQ improvement of 2.10 (95% CI: 1.52-2.92).

🖉 Springer

(F)	
-	

The general population has a pessimistic view on the 376 consequences of LBP, and it has been hypothesized that 377 378 such beliefs contribute to the development of disability from LBP [13]. Information that positively alters beliefs 379 about LBP to better reflect current evidence has potential 380 treatment implications in a variety of settings [7, 8, 10, 12, 381 39, 43]. Consecutive companies of soldiers were recruited 382 for the current study, excluding those with a previous 383 history of LBP or with a current musculoskeletal pain 384 condition. Our findings suggested that for this cohort, the 385 PSEP resulted in a small improvement in LBP beliefs and 386 potential ability to cope with LBP. Our study included a 387 CG that suggests the natural history of LBP beliefs is to 388 slightly worsen in this particular environment. Although 389 only a small effect size was associated with BBQ 390 improvement, the current study adds to the existing liter-391 ature as it is the first randomized trial to demonstrate 392 positive influence on LBP beliefs in a primary prevention 393 394 setting.

~	Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
	Article No. : 1016	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	СР	🗹 disk

Table 2 Su	ummary of	analyses	results	for low	back	pain beliefs	
------------	-----------	----------	---------	---------	------	--------------	--

Effects	BBQ total at follow-up (continuous)			BBQ improvement (categorical)			
	Estimate	SE	P value	Odds ratios	95% CI		P value
Intercept	15.66	2.35	< 0.0001				
BBQ total at intake	0.41	0.02	<0.0001				
PSEP	1.49	0.22	<0.0001	1.51	1.22	1.86	0.0001
Age	0.06	0.02	0.0090	1.01	0.99	1.03	0.1939
Gender: female	0.84	0.24	0.0004	0.90	0.76	1.07	0.2217
Race: others	-0.23	0.24	0.3271	0.82	0.68	0.96	0.0128
Education: college or more	0.45	0.22	0.0401	1.23	1.05	1.44	0.0106
Income: \$35,000 or more	-0.13	0.28	0.6374	1.00	0.82	1.22	0.9722
Time in army: 1-3 years	0.51	0.54	0.3432	1.11	0.76	1.62	0.5814
Time in army: <1 year	-0.03	0.42	0.9516	0.95	0.71	1.27	0.7344
FPQ-III total at intake	-0.04	0.02	0.0205	1.00	0.99	1.02	0.5859
BDI total at intake	-0.10	0.02	<0.0001	0.99	0.97	1.01	0.1905
STAI total at intake	-0.01	0.02	0.7679	1.01	0.99	1.02	0.3146
PCS total at intake	0.00	0.02	0.8621	1.00	0.98	1.02	0.9922
MCS total at intake	-0.02	0.02	0.1889	1.00	0.99	1.01	0.9270

Continuous outcome was calculated by raw change score and categorical outcome was defined as yes/no depending whether BBQ score increased more than two points from time of intake to follow-up. Statistically significant predictors are indicated in bold font (P < 0.05)

BBQ back beliefs questionnaire, PSEP psychosocial education program, FPQ-III fear of pain questionnaire, BDI Beck depression inventory, STAI state trait anxiety index, PCS physical component summary, MCS mental component summary

395 These results are consistent with earlier findings on 396 improving LBP beliefs from population-based studies that 397 used quasi-experimental or observational designs in Aus-398 tralia [5–7], Scotland [39], and Norway [43]. Although the 399 evidence-based educational messages regarding LBP 400 were likely similar across all studies, the current study 401 incorporated one time, group instruction as compared to 402 information delivered by radio, television, or print adver-403 tisements. The current study had the shortest follow-up 404 time (12 weeks), while previously reported studies had 405 follow-up times up to 3 years. Despite these methodological 406 differences, there appears to be converging evidence that 407 LBP beliefs can be effectively altered with evidence-based 408 information delivered by a variety of mediums.

409 The relevance of the observed improvement in LBP 410 beliefs is an important consideration when interpreting the 411 results of this trial; yet definitive clinically important 412 thresholds for BBQ change have not been reported. We 413 utilized a BBQ change criterion based on the initial 414 Buchbinder et al. [6, 7] studies that reported that a 2-year 415 mean BBQ change of 1.9 was associated with decreased 416 rates of compensation claims [7]. In contrast, we reported a 417 smaller mean improvement of 1.5 in BBQ scores at 418 12 weeks. This smaller magnitude of change and earlier 419 outcome assessment indicate a smaller potential for 420 affecting future reports of disability and pain [7]. 421 One reason for a smaller effect size in the current trial 422 could be that the previously reported study [7] utilized

423 quasi-experimental methodology, which has the potential to overestimate treatment effects [4]. Other equally plausible 424 reasons for the smaller effect size observed in our study 425 426 include the previously mentioned differences in study populations, and the mass media campaign by Buchbinder 427 et al. [7] was more effective than a single session PSEP. 428

Another part of our analysis was to determine if 429 demographic predictors of success existed, suggesting the 430 potential for responder subgroups to exist. These analyses 431 indicated that soldiers of race other than white were less 432 likely to report a BBO improvement (OR = 0.82), while 433 434 those with college education or higher were more likely to report a BBQ improvement (OR = 1.23). These results 435 suggest the potential of cultural or socioeconomic influ-436 ences on the alteration of LBP beliefs. We are hesitant to 437 speculate further on these influences, because these find-438 ings are preliminary and their theoretical implications are 439 beyond the scope of the current manuscript. The only other 440 441 available report is from Buchbinder et al. [5], who have reported similar levels of BBQ improvement across most 442 demographic factors, with only upper white-collar workers 443 having larger BBO changes. Additional research is neces-444 sary to replicate these findings and determine if race or 445 education status can be used to identify LBP belief 446 responder subgroups. 447

Previous studies have not considered psychological 448 factors, and our study suggests that fear of pain and 449 depression was predictive of BBQ follow-up scores. 450

	Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
X	Article No. : 1016	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	🛃 СР	🖌 DISK

451 However, these associations were quite small, suggesting 452 these baseline psychological factors have only a minimal 453 influence on BBQ outcome. Contrary to our expectations, 454 baseline psychological factors were not associated with 455 BBO change greater than two. Psychological distress has 456 been consistently associated with the development of 457 chronic LBP [17, 26], and we expected those with higher 458 pre-morbid levels of anxiety, depression, and fear of pain 459 to have a stronger association with BBQ improvement. 460 However, this was not the case in the current trial, as only 461 weak statistical associations with follow up scores existed. 462 A possible explanation for these unexpected findings could 463 be that psychological distress levels were very low in this 464 particular setting (Table 1), and these low levels had 465 minimal potential to influence LBP beliefs. Another 466 explanation is that the psychological factors of interest 467 have a strong influence on LBP beliefs but only when 468 individuals are actively experiencing LBP. Overall the 469 responder analyses suggest that for this setting the PSEP 470 intervention should not be considered for targeted appli-471 cation to psychological subgroups. However, future studies 472 in different primary prevention cohorts with wider ranges 473 of psychological distress are necessary to further investi-474 gate this issue.

475 The primary limitation of this study is that we did not 476 investigate the LBP beliefs after 12 weeks or the effect of 477 the PSEP on subsequent reports of pain, disability, and 478 health care utilization. Pain, disability, and health care 479 utilization are important outcomes to consider and these 480 will be considered as 2-year endpoints in the ongoing POLM trial [11]. PSEP effect on LBP beliefs was an 481 482 important factor to establish before determining pain, dis-483 ability, and health care utilization as distal outcomes, 484 because previous studies on the topic had not used ran-485 domized trial methodology. Another limitation is that this 486 study was performed in a military setting, while the other 487 studies in this area were performed with general popula-488 tions. Although disability from LBP is a major problem 489 across both of these settings, caution should be used when 490 attempting to generalize our results to the general popu-491 lation. The use of a CG allowed us to determine the 12week natural history of LBP beliefs, but it is also another 492 493 limitation of this study. The effects of this particular PSEP 494 are in reference to the CG, not a comparison education 495 session.

496 Conclusion

This is the first randomized trial to show positive influence on LBP beliefs following a PSEP implemented in a
primary prevention setting. In contrast, LBP beliefs
slightly deteriorated for those in the CG. Though only

525

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

small effect sizes were observed, these findings have 501 502 potentially important public health implications for prevention of LBP. Future study will involve continuing 503 the POLM trial to collect reports of LBP occurrence, 504 severity, and health care utilization over the next 2 years 505 [11]. These endpoints will allow us to make broader 506 conclusions about the effectiveness of the PSEP for 507 clinical presentation of LBP. Future study will also 508 involve providing the same PSEP to health care providers 509 and determine whether it positively influences profes-510 sional advice given for treatment of LBP. Last, the same 511 PSEP could be investigated to determine if it has public 512 health implications for environments outside of the 513 military, for example its effects on LBP beliefs in schools, 514 universities, occupational, or clinical settings. 515

516 Acknowledgments SZG, JDC, DST, SSW, ACW, JLD, and MER 517 were supported by the peer-review medical research program of the 518 Department of Defense (PR054098). Christopher Barnes, Erik Hen-519 rikson, and Yang Li were responsible for the creation and manage-520 ment of the database. Donna Cunningham provided administrative 521 assistance during this phase of the study. The institutional review 522 boards at the Brooke Army Medical Center (Fort Sam Houston, 523 Texas) and the University of Florida (Gainesville, FL) granted 524 approval for this project.

References

- Albaret MC, Munoz Sastre MT, Cottencin A, Mullet E (2004) The Fear of Pain questionnaire: factor structure in samples of young, middle-aged and elderly European people. Eur J Pain 8(3):273–281. doi:10.1016/j.ejpain.2003.09.005
 Andersson HL, Eilertsson G, Leden L, Rosenberg C (1993)
- Andersson HI, Ejlertsson G, Leden I, Rosenberg C (1993) Chronic pain in a geographically defined general population: studies of differences in age, gender, social class, and pain localization. Clin J Pain 9(3):174–182. doi:10.1097/00002508-199309000-00004
 Asche CV, Kirkness CS, dam-Marx C, Fritz JM (2007) The
- 3. Asche CV, Kirkness CS, dam-Marx C, Fritz JM (2007) The societal costs of low back pain: data published between 2001 and 2007. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother 21(4):25–33
- Benson K, Hartz AJ (2000) A comparison of observational studies and randomized, controlled trials. N Engl J Med 342(25):1878–1886. doi:10.1056/NEJM200006223422506
- 5. Buchbinder R, Jolley D (2005) Effects of a media campaign on back beliefs is sustained 3 years after its cessation. Spine 30(11):1323–1330. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000164121.77862.4b
- Buchbinder R, Jolley D, Wyatt M (2001) 2001 Volvo award winner in clinical studies: effects of a media campaign on back pain beliefs and its potential influence on management of low back pain in general practice. Spine 26(23):2535–2542. doi: 10.1097/00007632-200112010-00005
- Buchbinder R, Jolley D, Wyatt M (2001) Population based intervention to change back pain beliefs and disability: three part evaluation. BMJ 322(7301):1516–1520. doi:10.1136/bmj.322. 7301.1516
- Burton AK, Waddell G, Tillotson KM, Summerton N (1999) Information and advice to patients with back pain can have a positive effect. A randomized controlled trial of a novel educational booklet in primary care. Spine 24(23):2484–2491. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199912010-00010

Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
Article No. : 1016	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	🖌 СР	🖌 disk

- 561 10. Coudeyre E, Tubach F, Rannou F, Baron G, Coriat F, Brin S, S62 Revel M, Poiraudeau S (2007) Effect of a simple information booklet on pain persistence after an acute episode of low back pain: a non-randomized trial in a primary care setting. PLoS ONE 2(1):e706. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000706
 566 11. George SZ, Childs JD, Teyhen DS, Wu SS, Wright AC, Dugan
 - George SZ, Childs JD, Teyhen DS, Wu SS, Wright AC, Dugan JL, Robinson ME (2007) Rationale, design, and protocol for the prevention of low back pain in the military (POLM) trial (NCT00373009). BMC Musculoskelet Disord 8:92. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-8-92
 - George SZ, Fritz JM, Bialosky JE, Donald DA (2003) The effect of a fear-avoidance-based physical therapy intervention for patients with acute low back pain: results of a randomized clinical trial. Spine 28(23):2551–2560. doi:10.1097/01.BRS.0000096677. 84605.A2
 - Gross DP, Ferrari R, Russell AS, Battie MC, Schopflocher D, Hu RW, Waddell G, Buchbinder R (2006) A population-based survey of back pain beliefs in Canada. Spine 31(18):2142–2145. doi: 10.1097/01.brs.0000231771.14965.e4
 - Indahl A, Haldorsen EH, Holm S, Reikeras O, Ursin H (1998) Five-year follow-up study of a controlled clinical trial using light mobilization and an informative approach to low back pain. Spine 23(23):2625–2630. doi:10.1097/00007632-199812010-00018
 - Indahl A, Velund L, Reikeraas O (1995) Good prognosis for low back pain when left untampered. A randomized clinical trial. Spine 20(4):473–477. doi:10.1097/00007632-199512150-00006
 - Lincoln AE, Smith GS, Amoroso PJ, Bell NS (2002) The natural history and risk factors of musculoskeletal conditions resulting in disability among US Army personnel. Work 18(2):99–113
 - Linton SJ (2000) A review of psychological risk factors in back and neck pain. Spine 25(9):1148–1156. doi:10.1097/00007632-200005010-00017
- 18. Malmivaara A, Hakkinen U, Aro T, Heinrichs ML, Koskenniemi L, Kuosma E, Lappi S, Paloheimo R, Servo C, Vaaranen V (1995) The treatment of acute low back pain—bed rest, exercises, or ordinary activity? N Engl J Med 332(6):351–355. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199502093320602
- 598
 19. Mantyselka P, Kumpusalo E, Ahonen R, Kumpusalo A, Kauhanen J, Viinamaki H, Halonen P, Takala J (2001) Pain as a reason to visit the doctor: a study in Finnish primary health care. Pain 89(2-3):175–180. doi:10.1016/S0304-3959(00)00361-4
 20. Martin BI, Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Turner JA, Comstock BA,
 - Martin BI, Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Turner JA, Comstock BA, Hollingworth W, Sullivan SD (2008) Expenditures and health status among adults with back and neck problems. JAMA 299(6):656–664. doi:10.1001/jama.299.6.656
- 606
 607
 608
 608
 21. McNeil DW, Rainwater AJ (1998) Development of the Fear of Pain Questionnaire–III. J Behav Med 21(4):389–410. doi: 10.1023/A:1018782831217
- 22. Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman DG (2001) The CONSORT
 statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of
 reports of parallel-group randomized trials. J Am Podiatr Med
 Assoc 91(8):437-442
- 613
 613 23. Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Holme I, Bahr R (2005)
 614 Exercises to prevent lower limb injuries in youth sports: cluster
 615 randomised controlled trial. BMJ 330(7489):449. doi:10.1136/
 616 bmj.38330,632801.8F
- 617
 618
 618
 619
 619
 620
 620
 621
 621
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 627
 627
 628
 629
 620
 620
 620
 621
 621
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 625
 626
 627
 627
 628
 629
 629
 620
 620
 620
 621
 621
 621
 622
 623
 624
 624
 625
 625
 626
 627
 627
 627
 628
 629
 629
 620
 620
 620
 621
 621
 621
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 625
 625
 626
 627
 627
 627
 628
 629
 629
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 621
 621
 621
 621
 622
 623
 624
 624
 625
 625
 626
 627
 627
 628
 628
 629
 629
 629
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
- 622 25. Osman A, Breitenstein JL, Barrios FX, Gutierrez PM, Kopper BA
 623 (2002) The Fear of Pain Questionnaire-III: further reliability and

validity with nonclinical samples. J Behav Med 25(2):155–173. doi:10.1023/A:1014884704974

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676 677

678

679

680

681

682 683

684

685

- 26. Pincus T, Burton AK, Vogel S, Field AP (2002) A systematic review of psychological factors as predictors of chronicity/disability in prospective cohorts of low back pain. Spine 27(5):E109–E120. doi:10.1097/00007632-200203010-00017
- Roland M, Waddell G, Klaber-Moffett J (1996) The Back Book, 1996. The Stationery Office, Norwich, United Kingdom
- Schotte CK, Maes M, Cluydts R, De Doncker D, Cosyns P (1997) Construct validity of the Beck Depression Inventory in a depressive population. J Affect Disord 46(2):115–125. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0327(97)00094-3
- 29. Songer TJ, LaPorte RE (2000) Disabilities due to injury in the military. Am J Prev Med 18(3)(Suppl):33–40. doi:10.1016/S0749-3797(00)00107-0
- Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE, Vagg PR, Jacobs GA (1993) Manual for the state and trait anxiety inventory (form Y). Consulting Psychologists Press, Palo Alto, CA
- Stang P, Von Korff M, Galer BS (1998) Reduced labor force participation among primary care patients with headache. J Gen Intern Med 13(5):296–302, doi:10.1046/j.1525-1497.1998.00094.x
- 32. Sternbach RA (1986) Pain and 'hassles' in the United States: findings of the Nuprin pain report. Pain 27(1):69–80. doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(86)90224-1
- Stewart WF, Ricci JA, Chee E, Morganstein D, Lipton R (2003) Lost productive time and cost due to common pain conditions in the US workforce. JAMA 290(18):2443–2454. doi:10.1001/jama. 290.18.2443
- 34. Symonds TL, Burton AK, Tillotson KM, Main CJ (1995) Absence resulting from low back trouble can be reduced by psychosocial intervention at the work place. Spine 20(24):2738– 2745. doi:10.1097/00007632-199512150-00016
- 35. Symonds TL, Burton AK, Tillotson KM, Main CJ (1995) Do attitudes and beliefs influence work loss due to low back trouble? Occup Med (Lond) 46(1):25–32
- 36. Vlaeyen JW, Linton SJ (2000) Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a state of the art. Pain 85(3):317–332. doi:10.1016/S0304-3959(99)00242-0
- Waddell G (1987) 1987 Volvo award in clinical sciences. A new clinical model for the treatment of low-back pain. Spine 12(7):632–644. doi:10.1097/00007632-198709000-00002
- Waddell G (1996) Low back pain: a twentieth century health care enigma. Spine 21(24):2820–2825. doi:10.1097/00007632-199612150-00002
- Waddell G, O'Connor M, Boorman S, Torsney B (2007) Working Backs Scotland: a public and professional health education campaign for back pain. Spine 32(19):2139–2143. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e31814541bc
- 40. Walker BF, Muller R, Grant WD (2004) Low back pain in Australian adults: prevalence and associated disability. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 27(4):238–244. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2004.02.002
- Ware J Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD (1996) A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care 34(3):220–233. doi: 10.1097/00005650-199603000-00003
- 42. Watson L, Small R, Brown S, Dawson W, Lumley J (2004) Mounting a community-randomized trial: sample size, matching, selection, and randomization issues in PRISM. Control Clin Trials 25(3):235–250. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2003.12.002
- Werner EL, Ihlebaek C, Laerum E, Wormgoor ME, Indahl A (2008) Low back pain media campaign: no effect on sickness behaviour. Patient Educ Couns 71(2):198–203. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2007.12.009
- 44. Whisman MA, Perez JE, Ramel W (2000) Factor structure of the Beck Depression Inventory-Second Edition (BDI-II) in a student sample. J Clin Psychol 56(4):545–551. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4679(200004)56:4<545::AID-JCLP7>3.0.CO;2-U
 689
 690

Author

558

559

560

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

603

604

605

Ľ	Journal : Large 586	Dispatch : 28-4-2009	Pages : 9
	Article No. : 1016	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : ESJO-D-08-00440	🛃 СР	🗹 DISK