AD_____

AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-08-1-0276

TITLE: Ovarian Carcinoma Stem Cells

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Richard Jones, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine Baltimore, MD 21205

REPORT DATE: May 2009

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

_					Form Approved
ĸ	EPORT DOC	UMENTATIO	N PAGE		OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this	collection of information is esti	mated to average 1 hour per resp	oonse, including the time for revie	ewing instructions, sear	ching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the
this burden to Department of D	efense, Washington Headquar	ers Services, Directorate for Info	rmation Operations and Reports	(0704-0188), 1215 Jef	erson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-
4302. Respondents should be valid OMB control number PI	aware that notwithstanding any FASE DO NOT RETURN YOU	other provision of law, no person R FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDE	n shall be subject to any penalty RESS.	for failing to comply wit	h a collection of information if it does not display a currently
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE		3.	DATES COVERED
1 May 2009		Final		15	Apr 2008 – 14 Apr 2009
4. TITLE AND SU	BTITLE	-		5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER
Ovarian Carcinom	a Stem Cells			5b	GRANT NUMBER
				W	81XWH-08-1-0276
				50	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
				54	
0. AUTHOR(3)				50.	FROJECT NOMBER
Disk and Laws A				50	
Richard Jones, IVI.	D.			be.	TASK NUMBER
				51.	WORK UNIT NUMBER
E-Mail: rjjones@jl	nmi.edu				
7. PERFORMING ORC	ANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
					NUMBER
Johns Hopkins Un	iversity, School of I	Medicine			
Baltimore, MD 21	205				
9. SPONSORING / MC	NITORING AGENCY N	IAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
U.S. Armv Medica	Research and Ma	teriel Command	、 ,		
Fort Detrick Marv	and 21702-5012				
				11	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
				· · ·	
					NOMBER(0)
12. DISTRIBUTION / A	VAILABILITY STATEN	/ENI			
Approved for Publ	ic Release; Distribu	ition Unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
14 ABSTRACT					
Ovarian carcinoma	a is one of the most	t responsive solid tu	mors with the maio	rity of affected	women now achieving complete
remissions: unfort	inately most of the	se women eventual	lly relance and die f	rom the disea	se We hypothesized that the initial
clinical responses	roprocont thorapol	tic offoctivonose og	ainst difforontiated	concor colle m	set we hypothesized that the initial
the bigh rate of rol	ana an realit frame r	are biologically dist		ratam cello (C	CC) The limited understanding
the high rate of rei	apses result from r	are, biologically dist	incl resistant cance	r stern cells (C	SC). The limited understanding
about the phenoty	pe of normal ovaria	in epitnellal stem ce	lis is an obstacle to	identifying ov	arian CSC, if they exist. However,
several characteris	stics that appear to	be shared by norma	al stem cells from m	any tissues m	ay serve as markers for CSC from
many malignancie	s. We have develo	ped one of the first t	rue animal models of	of ovarian can	cer, FNAR, a primary rat ovarian
cancer that arose	spontaneously (sub	omitted for publication	on). FNAR parallels	the human dis	sease both biologically [expresses
Her2/neu, estroge	n receptors (ER) ar	nd androgen recepto	ors (PR)] and clinica	ally. The pan-s	tem cell marker ALDH expression
appears to identify	a CSC subpopulat	tion from the FNAR	cells, as the 2-4% o	of the cells exp	ressing high levels of ALDH are
enriched for both i	n vitro and in vivo o	lonogenic potential.	,		
		<u> </u>			
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
No subject terms	provided.				
-					
16. SECURITY CLASS	FICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
			OF ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	USAMRMC
			-		
			1111	28	code)
	0		00	20	, ,
				1	Standard Form 209 (Poyr 9 09)

Table of Contents

Page

Introduction	4
Body	4
Key Research Accomplishments	5
Reportable Outcomes	5
Conclusion	5
References	6
Appendices	6

Introduction

Many cancers appear to arise from rare self-renewing cells that are biologically distinct from their more numerous differentiated progeny. Although the clinical significance of these so-called cancer stem cells (CSC) has been uncertain, recent data suggest that these cells are responsible for many of the relapses that follow anticancer therapy. Ovarian carcinoma is one of the most responsive solid tumors, with the majority of affected women now achieving complete remissions; unfortunately, most of these women eventually relapse and die from the disease. We hypothesized that the initial clinical responses in ovarian carcinoma represent therapeutic effectiveness against differentiated cancer cells making up the bulk of the tumor, while the high rate of relapses result from rare, biologically distinct CSC resistant to the therapies effective against the tumor bulk. The limited understanding about the phenotype of normal ovarian epithelial stem cells is an obstacle to identifying ovarian CSC, if they exist. However, several characteristics that appear to be shared by normal stem cells from many tissues, such as high expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) and the Hoechst side population (SP) phenotype, may serve as markers for CSC from many malignancies. The overall objective of this proposal is to further characterize and better understand the biology of CSC in ovarian carcinoma, with an eye toward improving therapeutic outcomes.

Body

Studies on the biology of ovarian cancer have been complicated by the absence of a good animal model for this disease. We have developed one of first true animal models of ovarian cancer, FNAR, that spontaneously arose in a Lewis rat (manuscript submitted, see Appendix). Upon intraperitoneal (IP) transplantation into rats, FNAR produces ascites and peritoneal implants; it can also be propagated *in vitro* as a cell line, that maintains the properties of the original tumor. The FNAR cells display striking similarities to human ovarian carcinoma biologically, as well as clinically. Histologically, the tumor is epithelial in origin, and expresses Her2/neu, estrogen receptors (ER), progesterone receptors (PR), and androgen receptors (AR). Gene expression profiling shows upregulation of a number of genes that are also upregulated in human ovarian carcinoma.

The development of this animal model has greatly facilitated our studies on ovarian CSC. Studies into identifying and characterizing CSC from hematologic malignancies have been possible because of a comprehensive understanding of cell surface antigen expression throughout lymphohematopoietic differentiation. In contrast, little is known about the cell surface phenotype associated with the growth and development of most non-lymphohematopoietic tissues. Thus, we have begun studying the ability of the pan-stem cell marker ALDH1 to identify ovarian CSC in the FNAR model. We found that 2-4% of the FNAR cells express high levels of ALDH. Moreover, the ALDH^{high} FNAR cells were significantly enriched for *in vitro* clonogenic potential (Fig 1A). IP injection of 50K FNAR cells leads to malignant ascites at 2-3 weeks, gross IP tumors between 6-8 weeks, and death of the rats between 12 -14 weeks. The cell populations (50K) separated by ALDH expression were injected IP into rats and preliminary results are available. The unfractionated cells generated malignant ascites at 2 weeks after IP transplantation with continued disease progression at 4 weeks (Fig 2B). The ALDH^{low} cells (>95% of the total cells) produced similar abdominal swelling and numbers of ascites tumor cells at 2 weeks, but the abdominal swelling and

ascitic tumor cells disappeared. The ALDH^{high} cells produced no evidence of IP tumor growth at 2 weeks, but at 4 weeks produced more ascitic tumor cells than the ALDH^{low} cells. The ALDH^{high} cells produced large peritoneal tumors involving most of the peritoneal cavity by 2 months. The unfractionated cells produced







Fig 1B. ALDH expression distinguishes overian CA cells with short and long-term engraft ment potential. Rat ovarian CA cells were separated by aldefluor and injected IP into rats.

only small peritoneal implants when the rats were sacrificed at 2 months, while rats receiving the ALDH^{low} cells showed no signs of ovarian cancer. Initial studies into characterizing the ALDH^{high} FNAR cells demonstrated that although ER, PR, and AR are expressed on the bulk FNAR cells, they are not expressed by the ALDH^{high} cells (Fig 2 a,b). Thus, ER, PR, and AR appear to be differentiation antigens for ovarian carcinoma. Conversely, Her2/neu is expressed equally on both populations (Fig 2c). Ovarian carcinoma cells from the malignant ascites of 2 patients

ALDHIM

ALDHOW







a A

- DEA E

total cells, while the ALDH^{1gh} express no AR .



Fig 2C. Both stem cell (ALDH¹⁰⁰) and differentiated cell (*A*LDH⁰⁰) subsets from the FNAR rat overian carcinoma express Her2heu.

в

+ DEAB

have also been studied for the presence of ovarian CSC. In both patients, the ALDH expression pattern paralleled that seen in FNAR cells, with about 1% of cells showing high ALDH expression (Fig 3). Full characterization of the ALDH^{high} expressing ovarian carcinoma cells from patients is underway.

Coco B

Key Research Accomplishments

- Development of one of the first spontaneously-occurring animal models for ovarian carcinoma
- Identification of a population of cells within the rat ovarian carcinoma that has phenotypic and functional characteristics consistent with their being the CSC (i.e., cancer-initiating cells).



Fig 3. ALDH expression of human ovarian carcinomafrom malignant ascites. (A) About 1% of total cells are ALDH⁴⁹⁰ and (B) their Aldefluorfluorescence is eliminated by the ALDH inhibitor DEAB.

Reportable Outcomes

Manuscript: Sharrow AC, Ronnett BM, Thoburn CJ, Barber JP, Giuntoli RJ, Armstrong DK1, Jones RJ, Hess AD. Identification and characterization of a spontaneous ovarian carcinoma in Lewis rats. Submitted 2009.

Grant submitted and funded: DOD grant OC080269 - "Targeting Cancer Stem Cells" (W81XWH-09-1-0129; PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 1 May 2009 - 31May 2012 (Research Ends 30 April 2012)

Conclusion

Using a newly spontaneously-developing rat model of ovarian carcinoma, a small population of ALDH^{high} cells appear to be the cells responsible to the growth and development of the tumor both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Like breast cancer stem cells, this cell population does not apppear to express sex hormone receptors. However, unlike breast cancer stem cells, the ovarian carcinoma CSC do appear to express Her2/neu. Preliminary data suggest that a similar subpopulation is present in primary explanted patient samples. Principles developed in this animal model will be used to perform similar studies in human ovarian carcinoma cell lines and then clinical ovarian carcinoma specimens.

Appendix

Publication:

Sharrow AC, Ronnett BM, Thoburn CJ, Barber JP, Giuntoli RJ, Armstrong DK1, Jones RJ, Hess AD. Identification and characterization of a spontaneous ovarian carcinoma in Lewis rats. Submitted 2009.

1	
2	Identification and characterization of a spontaneous ovarian carcinoma in Lewis
3	rats.
4	
5	Allison C. Sharrow ¹ , Brigitte M. Ronnett ^{2,3} , Christopher J. Thoburn ¹ , James P. Barber ¹ ,
6	Robert L. Giuntoli II ^{1,3} , Deborah K. Armstrong ¹ , Richard J. Jones ¹ and Allan D. Hess ¹
7	
8	The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center ¹ , Department of Pathology ² and
9	Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics ³ , Johns Hopkins University School of
10	Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21231, USA
11	
12	Running Title: Rat Model of Ovarian Carcinoma
13	
14	Keywords: rat, model, ovarian carcinoma, estrogen receptor α , progesterone receptor,
15	androgen receptor, and her-2/neu
16	
17	Funded in part by the Department of Defense grant number OC073136.
18	
19	Address correspondence to: Richard J. Jones, Room 244, Bunting-Blaustein Cancer
20	Research Building, 1650 Orleans St., Baltimore, MD 21231, Phone: 410-955-2006
21	FAX: 410-614-7279, email: rjjones@jhmi.edu
22	
23	

ABSTRACT

2 **Objective**

Ovarian carcinoma is the fourth most common cause of death from cancer in women. Unfortunately, limited progress has been made toward improving the survival rate of patients with this disease. One obstacle to the development of new therapies for ovarian cancer has been the lack of a good animal model. We present here a model of spontaneous ovarian carcinoma arising in a normal Lewis rat.

8 Methods

9 The tumor was passages *in vivo* by intraperitoneal injection into
10 immunocompetent Lewis rats. Tumors were sectioned for histological examination. A
11 resulting cell line can be cultured *in vitro*. Cells were examined by flow cytometry for
12 expression of estrogen receptor α, progesterone receptor, androgen receptor, her13 2/neu, and Ep-CAM. RNA was harvested from cells for gene expression profiling and
14 for studying the expression of cytokines.

15 **Results**

The tumor, designated FNAR, can be transplanted into Lewis rats and 16 17 propagated as a cell line *in vitro*, maintaining the properties of the original tumor. The FNAR cells display striking similarities to human ovarian carcinoma, resembling the 18 19 endometrioid carcinoma subtype of surface epithelial neoplasms. The cells express 20 estrogen receptor α , progesterone receptor, androgen receptor, her-2/neu, and Ep-CAM. A gene expression profile shows upregulation of a number of genes that are also 21 upregulated in human ovarian carcinoma. 22 23 Conclusion

- 1 This reliable model of ovarian carcinoma should be helpful in better 2 understanding the biology of the disease as well as the development of novel treatment 3 strategies.
- 4

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian cancer is the fifth most commonly diagnosed cancer in women and the 2 fourth most common cause of death from cancer [1]. The high mortality can be 3 attributed to the high percentage of affected women presenting at an advanced stage, 4 with spread within the peritoneal cavity [2, 3]. With current therapies, including surgical 5 debulking and platinum-based chemotherapy, patients in stage III or stage IV only have 6 a 20% chance of long-term survival [2, 3]. Better understanding ovarian carcinoma 7 biology, as well as the development of new therapies for the disease, has been 8 9 hampered by the lack of suitable animal models.

10 Current ovarian cancer models fall into three broad categories: rare spontaneous carcinomas, induced tumors, and human xenografts [4]. These models have allowed 11 12 researchers to gain valuable insight. However, as described in detail by Garson et. al. and Vanderhyden et. al., these models have deficiencies [4, 5]. Spontaneous ovarian 13 cancer has been observed in mice, rats, and hens [6-8]. The drawback to these models 14 15 is that the cancers tend to occur at an advanced age and at similar low frequencies as in humans. The low incidence and the length of time required for the development of 16 these tumors render them of limited use for studying the biology and treatment of 17 ovarian carcinoma. Induced tumor models circumvent these problems but create their 18 own artificial systems, which may not accurately reflect the human disease. In one 19 20 model of *in vitro* transformation, ovarian surface epithelium cells are subcloned until they exhibit the loss of contact inhibition, the capacity for substrate-independent growth, 21 cytogenetic abnormalities, and the ability to form tumors when injected subcutaneously 22 23 and/or intraperitoneally into athymic mice [9]. This model, though, fails to account for

1 critical interactions between the cancer cells and the host. Also, it is uncertain if these cells or their malignant transformation are representative of normal human cells or 2 clinical disease. Animal models have been generated by expressing simian virus 40 3 large T antigen [10], by inactivating p53 and Rb1 [11], by inactivating p53 and activating 4 5 an oncogene [12], and through hormone treatment [13-15]. The high rate of cancer 6 development in these animals makes these models attractive, but they may not reliably represent human cancer because these genetic changes usually do not occur in 7 patients. Xenografts of human cancers have undergone continuous improvement over 8 9 the past twenty years [16-19]. These models allow for direct examination of the human cancer but do not allow the study of the early stages of the cancer. These models also 10 rely on an immune-deficient host, which eliminates the interaction between the cancer 11 12 and the immune system.

We present a new model of ovarian carcinoma, designated FNAR, that spontaneously developed in an untreated, previously normal Lewis rat. The ovarian tumor was harvested and transplanted into normal Lewis rats. In addition, an *in vitro* cell line and clones were generated from the tumor. Importantly, this model fully simulates human ovarian carcinoma by cell biology and growth characteristics.

18

19 MATERIALS AND METHODS

20 Animals. Female Lewis strain rats aged 4-6 weeks (purchased from Charles 21 River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, MA) were kept in sterile micro-isolator 22 cages and fed food and water *ad libitum*. Institutional guidelines concerning the care 23 and use of research animals were followed. The animals were challenged

1 intraperitoneally with graded numbers of FNAR cells and monitored daily for abdominal 2 swelling. At various intervals after tumor challenge or when animals appeared moribund (pallor, lethargy, and marked abdominal distension) the animals were 3 sacrificed by CO₂ asphyxiation and the cells within the peritoneal cavity harvested by 4 flushing the abdomen with 35 milliliters of sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Grand 5 Island Biological Co., Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY). At sacrifice, the animals were 6 examined for tumor growth and tissues taken for histological examination. Slides were 7 photographed at 200x with an Olympus DP70 digital camera. 8

9

In vitro propagation and growth curve. A cell line (FNAR) that grows *in vitro* as an adherent monolayer was established by culture in RPMI 1640 (Gibco)
 supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum in 30 ml tissue culture flasks (Corning Flask
 3056, Corning Inc., Corning NY). Cells used for experiments were low passage and
 maintained in culture for one to three months. The doubling time of the cell line was
 measured by plating 10⁴ cells into macrotiter wells then harvesting and counting at 19.5,
 43.5, and 115.5 hours.

17

18Flow Cytometric Analysis. Flow cytometry was utilized to assess *in vitro* FNAR19cells for expression of known phenotypic markers. Briefly, 5×10^5 tumor cells were20incubated in polystyrene tubes. Analysis of the intracellular antigens estrogen receptor21 α , progesterone receptor, and androgen receptor first required fixation in 2%22formaldehyde (Polysciences, Warrington, PA) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Gibco23Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 15 minutes at 4°C followed by permeabilization with 0.1%

1	Triton-X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in PBS for 15 minutes at 4° C. The cells
2	were then incubated for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ}C$ with commercially purchased murine
3	monoclonal antibodies. The concentrations of antibodies used are as follows: estrogen
4	receptor (ER) α at 8 $\mu\text{g}/10^6$ cells (Abcam, Cambridge, MA), progesterone receptor (PR)
5	at 16 μ g/10 ⁶ cells (Affinity Bioreagents, Golden, CO), or androgen receptor (AR) at 2
6	$\mu\text{g}/10^6$ cells (Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). The cells were washed and counterstained
7	with phycoerythrin (PE) rat anti-mouse IgG_1 (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) at 125
8	ng/10 ⁶ cells for 30 minutes at 4° C. Commercially purchased murine monoclonal
9	antibody to the rat c-neu oncogene product (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) was used at 1
0	$\mu\text{g}/10^6$ cells and was counterstained with PE rat anti-mouse IgG_{2a+b} (Becton Dickinson,
1	San Jose, CA) at 30 ng/10 ⁶ cells for 30 minutes at 4°C. Tumor cells incubated with
12	secondary antibody alone served as a negative control. Ep-CAM expression was
3	analyzed using a PE-conjugated antibody (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA) at 1 $\mu\text{g}/10^6$
4	cells with mouse IgG_1 -PE as a negative control (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA). The
5	cells were analyzed on a Becton-Dickinson FACSCalibur flow cytometer and data was
6	analyzed using FlowJo (Tree Star, Inc, Ashland, OR).

Gene Expression Analysis by cDNA Microarrays. RNA was extracted and purified from cell lysates of 1-5 x 10⁵ *in vitro* FNAR tumor cells and the REH cell line of normal rat endothelial cells with 500 µl Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Tissue samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and pulverized with a mortar and pestle. The powder was dissolved in Trizol and centrifuged. Purified RNA was dissolved in 20µl diethyl-pyrocarbonate-treated distilled water. The resulting RNA was analyzed at the

1 Johns Hopkins microarray core. RNA from control and experimental samples was 2 processed using the RNA amplification protocol described by Affymetrix (Affymetrix Expression Manual). Briefly, 5 µg of total RNA was used to synthesize first strand 3 cDNA using the SuperScript Choice System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California) and 4 oligonucleotide primers with 24 oligo-dT plus the T7 promoter (Proligo LLC, Boulder, 5 6 Colorado). Following the double stranded cDNA synthesis, the product was purified by phenol-chloroform extraction and biotinilated anti-sense cRNA was generated through 7 in vitro transcription using the BioArray RNA High Yield Transcript Labeling kit (ENZO 8 Life Sciences Inc., Farmingdale, New York). Fifteen µg of the biotinilated cRNA was 9 fragmented at 94°C for 35 minutes in buffer (100mM Tris-acetate, pH 8.2, 500mM 10 11 potassium acetate, and 150mM magnesium acetate), and 10µg of total fragmented cRNA was hybridized to the Affymetrix GeneChip rat 230 2.0 array (Santa Clara, CA) 12 for 16 hours at 45°C with constant rotation (60 rpm). Affymetrix Fluidics Station 450 13 was then used to wash and stain the chips with a streptavidin-phycoerythrin conjugate. 14 The staining was then amplified as follows: blocking was performed using goat IgG, 15 16 then a biotinilated anti-streptavidin antibody (goat) was bound to the initial staining, and 17 amplification was completed by the addition of a streptavidin-phycoerythrin conjugate. Fluorescence was detected using the Affymetrix 3000 7G GeneArray Scanner and 18 19 image analysis of each GeneChip was done through the GeneChip Operating System 1.4.0 (GCOS) software from Affymetrix using the standard default settings. For 20 comparison between different chips, global scaling was used to scale all probesets to a 21 22 user defined target intensity (TGT) of 150.

23

1Quantitative RT-PCR for Cytokine Expression. Quantitative RT-PCR2(Taqman, Applied Biosystems, ABI, Foster City, CA) was utilized to assess levels of3cytokine mRNA transcripts of *in vitro* FNAR cells as previously described [20]. The4oligonucleotide primers and fluoresceinated probes for the cytokine genes (IL-6, IL-12,5and IL-18) were purchased from ABI. Data were analyzed in real-time with Sequencer6Detection version 1.6 software, with the results normalized against mRNA transcripts for7the housekeeping gene glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GADPH).

8

9 **RESULTS**

10 **Description of proband**. Examination of a normal female Lewis rat sacrificed for harvesting normal splenic T cells showed a spontaneously occurring tumor 11 (approximately 0.5 cm³) derived from the left ovary and attached to and invading the 12 abdominal wall (Figure 1A). In addition, tumor studding was observed at several sites 13 on the wall of the peritoneum, and ascites was present. Histologically, the tumor is an 14 15 epithelial neoplasm with features most consistent with an adenocarcinoma (Figure 1B). It is composed of nests displaying admixed cribriform and solid architecture. The tumor 16 17 cells have modest amounts of amphophilic / eosinophilic cytoplasm and relatively uniform oval nuclei that are predominantly vesicular to modestly hyperchromatic and 18 have small nucleoli. Occasional mitotic figures and apoptotic bodies are noted, as is 19 20 focal necrosis. Based on analogy to human ovarian epithelial tumors, this most closely resembles an endometrioid carcinoma (a cribriform variant of that subtype, with cells 21 being less columnar than the classical human endometrioid carcinoma). Lymphocyte 22 infiltration into the tumor mass was minimal at best, although numerous lymphocytes 23

- were present in the peritoneal fluid. The tumor was excised and pushed through a 100 micron wire mesh screen to obtain a single cell suspension.
- 3

2

In vivo and in vitro growth characteristics. Normal Lewis rats were given 4 either intraperitoneal (IP) or subcutaneous injection of graded numbers (5 x 10^4 , 1 x 10^5 . 5 5×10^5 , or 1×10^6) of tumor cells. The animals were monitored daily for overall general 6 health as well as degree of abdominal extension. The tumor repeatedly failed to grow 7 subcutaneously, even with the administration of systemic immunosuppression 8 (Cyclosporine, 10 mg/kg/d) or passage into thymectomized animals. However, all rats 9 became moribund at 150-160 days after IP injection with 5 x 10^5 or 1 x 10^6 cells (Table 10 1). Rats injected with 1 x 10^5 cells became moribund around 175 days. Rats receiving 11 IP injections of 5 x 10^4 cells generally did not appear ill by 6 months, but tumor cells 12 were detected in the peritoneal cavity when sacrificed on day 175. Tumor growth 13 recapitulated that seen in the initial rat with IP tumoral masses adhering to all of the 14 15 visceral organs and the abdominal wall. Histologically, the tumors appeared to be of epithelial origin. This was confirmed by expression of Ep-CAM using flow cytometry 16 (Figure 3E). Affected rats also showed enlargement of the ovaries and fallopian tubes, 17 with a marked increase in vascularization. Successful serial passage was conducted by 18 IP challenge with 1 X 10⁵ tumor cells harvested by flushing of the peritoneal cavity. 19

The doubling time of the FNAR cell line was measured by plating 10⁴ cells into macrotiter wells then harvesting and counting at 19.5, 43.5, and 115.5 hours (Figure 2). The slope of the line of log number of tumor cells versus hours estimates a doubling time of 22.9 hours.

2	Biological characterization of FNAR. Like the clinical presentation, the
3	phenotype of this tumor most resembled epithelial ovarian carcinoma. ER is detected in
4	60-90% of ovarian carcinomas [21-25], 25-50% express PR [21, 23-26], and 45%
5	expressed both [23, 25]. AR is expressed in 50-70% of ovarian carcinomas [24, 26].
6	Accordingly, in the appropriate clinical setting, sex hormone receptor expression is
7	diagnostic of ovarian carcinoma [25, 27]. The tumor expressed ER, PR, and AR by flow
8	cytometry (Figure 3A-C), with ER and PR confirmed by PCR (data not shown). The
9	tumor also expressed her-2/neu (Figure 3D), which is expressed in 25-35% of ovarian
10	carcinomas [28, 29]
11	Gene expression profiling demonstrated that FNAR gene expression was similar
12	to that reported for human ovarian carcinoma (Table 2). Metallothioneins are generally
13	not found at immunohistochemically detectable levels in normal cells, but their
14	expression increases in ovarian carcinoma with increasing grade [30-32].
15	Metallothionein I was overexpressed 11.38-fold in FNAR cells when compared to
16	endothelial cells, and metallothionein II showed 3.56-fold increased expression.
17	Thioredoxin expression correlates with cis-diaminedichloroplatinum resistance [33] and
18	is expressed in FNAR cells 3.07-fold higher than in endothelial cells. Stathmin
19	regulates microtubules during the formation of the mitotic spindle and is not expressed
20	at detectable levels in normal cells; however, high-level expression is generally seen in
21	ovarian carcinoma [34-36]. Accordingly, stathmin expression was 3.23-fold higher in
22	FNAR cells than in endothelial cells. A nuclear factor that it is involved in cell cycle

progression, b-myb, is also highly expressed in both FNAR cells (3.33-fold) and human
 ovarian carcinoma [37].

High levels of interleukin-6 (IL-6), a proinflammatory cytokine and hematopoietic 3 growth factor, are found in both normal ovarian epithelium and human ovarian 4 5 carcinoma [38, 39]. Interleukin-18 (IL-18) is a proinflammatory cytokine that stimulates interferon- γ production. Ovarian carcinoma expresses IL-18, but it is predominantly the 6 pro-IL-18 form [40]. Interleukin-12 (IL-12) is a cytokine that encourages a T_h1 immune 7 8 response. IL-12 has been detected in ascites fluid and serum of ovarian cancer 9 patients [41], although no reports have examined the expression of IL-12 by the ovarian 10 carcinoma cells themselves. Expression of all three cytokines by FNAR cells was 11 detected by real time RT-PCR (Figure 4).

12

13 **DISCUSSION**

14 We present here a model of ovarian carcinoma, designated FNAR, that arose spontaneously in a normal Lewis rat. IP transplantation into rats produces malignant 15 ascites and peritoneal carcinomatosis, leading to death at 5-6 months. Cells from the 16 17 tumor can be easily passaged *in vitro*, and the cell line shows similar growth 18 characteristics when returned to rats. FNAR's biology closely parallels the human 19 disease. It appears to be epithelial in origin by histology and expression of Ep-CAM. 20 Like human ovarian carcinoma, it expresses her-2/neu, sex hormone receptors, and characteristic cytokines. It displays a similar gene expression pattern to the human 21 22 disease. The tumor only develops in the peritoneal cavity, suggesting the tumor microenvironment is intact during formation. 23

1	The FNAR model overcomes many of the limitation of current model systems for
2	ovarian carcinoma. Rats transplanted with FNAR consistently become moribund by 5-6
3	months, overcoming the low frequency and long latency of spontaneous animal models.
4	Xenografts of primary human tumors in immunodeficient mice are perhaps the most
5	attractive current model [16-19]. Although spontaneous human cancers can be studied
6	and used to test treatments in these mice, the study of immunotherapeutic approaches
7	is problematic. Conversely, FNAR develops in immunocompetent rats, allowing the
8	study of immunotherapeutic approaches. The expression of all three sex hormone
9	receptors and her-2/neu also allows for manipulations of these pathways using this
10	model. However, the relevance of this model to the treatment of human disease still
11	remains to be established.

13 CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

14

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

1 Reference List

2

- [1] Jemal A, Tiwari RC, Murray T, Ghafoor A, Samuels A, Ward E, et al. Cancer Statistics, 2004. CA Cancer J Clin. 2004 January 1, 2004;54(1):8-29.
- 4 [2] Cannistra SA. Cancer of the ovary. The New England Journal of Medicine. 5 1993;329:1550-9.
- [3] Armstrong DK, Bundy B, Wenzel L, Huang HQ, Baergen R, Lele S, et al.
 Intraperitoneal Cisplatin and Paclitaxel in Ovarian Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006 January
 5, 2006;354(1):34-43.
- 9 [4] Garson K, Shaw TJ, Clark KV, Yao D-S, Vanderhyden BC. Models of ovarian 10 cancer--Are we there yet? Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology. 2005;239(1-2):15-26.
- [5] Vanderhyden B, Shaw T, Ethier J-F. Animal models of ovarian cancer.
 Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology. 2003;1(1):67.
- [6] Beamer WG, Hoppe PC, Whitten WK. Spontaneous Malignant Granulosa Cell
 Tumors in Ovaries of Young SWR Mice. Cancer Res. 1985 November 1, 1985;45(11
 Part 2):5575-81.
- [7] Walsh KM, Poteracki J. Spontaneous Neoplasms in Control Wistar Rats.
 Fundamental and Applied Toxicology. 1994;22(1):65-72.
- [8] Fredrickson TN. Ovarian Tumors of the Hen. Environmental Health Perspectives.
 1987 1987;73:35-51.
- [9] Godwin AK, Testa JR, Handel LM, Liu Z, Vanderveer LA, Tracey PA, et al.
 Spontaneous Transformation of Rat Ovarian Surface Epithelial Cells: Association With
 Cytogenetic Changes and Implications of Repeated Ovulation in the Etiology of Ovarian
 Cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1992 April 15, 1992;84(8):592-601.
- [10] Connolly DC, Bao R, Nikitin AY, Stephens KC, Poole TW, Hua X, et al. Female
 Mice Chimeric for Expression of the Simian Virus 40 TAg under Control of the MISIIR
 Promoter Develop Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Cancer Res. 2003 March 15,
 2003;63(6):1389-97.
- [11] Flesken-Nikitin A, Choi K-C, Eng JP, Shmidt EN, Nikitin AY. Induction of
 Carcinogenesis by Concurrent Inactivation of p53 and Rb1 in the Mouse Ovarian
 Surface Epithelium. Cancer Res. 2003 July 1, 2003;63(13):3459-63.
- [12] Orsulic S, Li Y, Soslow RA, Vitale-Cross LA, Gutkind JS, Varmus HE. Induction
 of ovarian cancer by defined multiple genetic changes in a mouse model system.
 Cancer Cell. 2002;1(1):53-62.
- [13] Keri RA, Lozada KL, Abdul-Karim FW, Nadeau JH, Nilson JH. Luteinizing
 hormone induction of ovarian tumors: Oligogenic differences between mouse strains

- dictates tumor disposition. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2000
 January 4, 2000;97(1):383-7.
- [14] Bai W, Oliveros-Saunders B, Wang Q, Acevedo-Duncan ME, Nicosia SV.
 Estrogen stimulation of ovarian surface epithelial cell proliferation. In Vitro Cellular&
 Developmental Biology Animal. 2000 November 01, 2000;36(10):657-66.
- [15] Silva EG, Tornos C, Deavers M, Kaisman K, Gray K, Gershenson D. Induction of
 Epithelial Neoplasms in the Ovaries of Guinea Pigs by Estrogenic Stimulation.
 Gynecologic Oncology. 1998;71(2):240-6.
- [16] Hamilton TC, Young RC, Louie KG, Behrens BC, McKoy WM, Grotzinger KR, et
 al. Characterization of a Xenograft Model of Human Ovarian Carcinoma Which
 Produces Ascites and Intraabdominal Carcinomatosis in Mice. Cancer Res. 1984
 November 1, 1984;44(11):5286-90.
- [17] Molpus KL, Koelliker D, Atkins L, Kato DT, Buczek-Thomas J, Fuller Jr AF, et al.
 Characterization of a xenograft model of human ovarian carcinoma which produces
 intraperitoneal carcinomatosis and metastases in mice. International Journal of Cancer.
 1996;68(5):588-95.
- [18] Rose GS, Tocco LM, Granger GA, DiSaia PJ, Hamilton TC, Santin AD, et al.
 Development and characterization of a clinically useful animal model of epithelial
 ovarian cancer in the Fischer 344 rat. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology.
 1996;175(3):593-9.
- [19] Sallinen H, Anttila M, Narvainen J, Orden M-R, Ropponen K, Kosma V-M, et al. A
 highly reproducible xenograft model for human ovarian carcinoma and application of
 MRI and ultrasound in longitudinal follow-up. Gynecologic Oncology. 2006;103(1):315 20.
- [20] Chen W, Thoburn C, Hess AD. Characterization of the Pathogenic Autoreactive
 T Cells in Cyclosporine-Induced Syngeneic Graft-Versus-Host Disease. J Immunol.
 1998 December 15, 1998;161(12):7040-6.
- 28[21]Lee P, Rosen DG, Zhu C, Silva EG, Liu J. Expression of progesterone receptor is29a favorable prognostic marker in ovarian cancer. GynecolOncol. 2005 03;96(3):671-7.
- [22] Cunat S, Hoffmann P, Pujol P. Estrogens and epithelial ovarian cancer.
 Gynecologic Oncology. 2004;94(1):25-32.
- [23] Ho S-M. Estrogen, Progesterone and Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Reproductive
 Biology and Endocrinology. 2003;1(73).
- [24] van Doorn HC, Burger CW, van der Valk P, Bonfrer HMG. Oestrogen,
 progesterone, and androgen receptors in ovarian neoplasia: correlation between
 immunohistochemical and biochemical receptor analyses. J Clin Pathol. 2000 March 1,
 2000;53(3):201-5.

- [25] Lee BH, Hecht J, L., , Pinkus JL, Pinkus GS. WT1, Estrogen Receptor, and
 Progesterone Receptor as Markers for Breast or Ovarian Primary Sites in Metastatic
 Adenocarcinoma to Body Fluids. American Journal of Clinical Pathology. 2002 May
 2002;117(5):745-50.
- [26] Chadha S, Rao BR, Slotman BJ, van Vroonhoven CCJ, van der Kwast TH. An
 immunohistochemical evaluation of androgen and progesterone receptors in ovarian
 tumors. Human Pathology. 1993;24(1):90-5.
- 8 [27] Ordóñez NG. Value of estrogen and progesterone receptor immunostaining in
 9 distinguishing between peritoneal mesotheliomas and serous carcinomas. Human
 10 Pathology. 2005;36(11):1163-7.
- [28] Verri E, Guglielmini P, Puntoni M, Perdelli L, Papadia A, Lorenzi P, et al.
 HER2/neu Oncoprotein Overexpression in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: Evaluation of its
 Prevalence and Prognostic Significance. Oncology. 2005;68(2-3):154-61.
- [29] Nielsen JS, Jakobsen E, Holund B, Bertelsen K, Jakobsen A. Prognostic
 significance of p53, Her-2, and EGFR overexpression in borderline and epithelial
 ovarian cancer. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer. 2004;14(6):1086-96.
- [30] McCluggage WG, Strand K, Abdulkadir A. Immunohistochemical localization of
 metallothionein in benign and malignant epithelial ovarian tumors. International Journal
 of Gynecological Cancer. 2002;12(1):62-5.
- [31] Bayani J, Brenton JD, Macgregor PF, Beheshti B, Albert M, Nallainathan D, et al.
 Parallel Analysis of Sporadic Primary Ovarian Carcinomas by Spectral Karyotyping,
 Comparative Genomic Hybridization, and Expression Microarrays. Cancer Res. 2002
 June 1, 2002;62(12):3466-76.
- [32] Surowiak P, Materna V, Kaplenko I, Spaczyński M, Dietel M, Lage H, et al.
 Augmented expression of metallothionein and glutathione S-transferase pi as
 unfavourable prognostic factors in cisplatin-treated ovarian cancer patients. Virchows
 Archiv. 2005;447(3):626-33.
- [33] Yamada M, Tomida A, Yoshikawa H, Taketani Y, Tsuruo T. Increased
 expression of thioredoxin/adult T-cell leukemia-derived factor in cisplatin-resistant
 human cancer cell lines. Clin Cancer Res. 1996 February 1, 1996;2(2):427-32.
- [34] Wei S-H, Lin F, Wang X, Gao P, Zhang H-Z. Prognostic significance of stathmin
 expression in correlation with metastasis and clinicopathological characteristics in
 human ovarian carcinoma. Acta Histochemica. 2008;110(1):59-65.
- [35] Balachandran R, Welsh MJ, Day BW. Altered levels and regulation of stathmin in
 paclitaxel-resistant ovarian cancer cells. Oncogene. 2003;22(55):8924-30.

- [36] Alaiya AA, FranzÈn B, Fujioka K, Moberger B, Schedvins K, Silfversvärd C, et al.
 Phenotypic analysis of ovarian carcinoma: Polypeptide expression in benign, borderline
 and malignant tumors. International Journal of Cancer. 1997;73(5):678-82.
- [37] Martoglio AM, Tom BD, Starkey M, Corps AN, Charnock-Jones DS, Smith SK.
 Changes in tumorigenesis- and angiogenesis-related gene transcript abundance
 profiles in ovarian cancer detected by tailored high density cDNA arrays. Mol Med. 2000
 Sep;6(9):750-65.
- 8 [38] Ziltener HJ, Maines-Bandiera S, Schrader JW, Auersperg N. Secretion of
 9 bioactive interleukin-1, interleukin-6, and colony- stimulating factors by human ovarian
 10 surface epithelium. Biol Reprod. 1993 September 1, 1993;49(3):635-41.
- [39] Johanna G.W. Asschert EV, Marcel H. J. Ruiters, Elisabeth G. E. de Vries.
 Regulation of spontaneous and TNF/IFN-induced IL-6 expression in two human
 ovarian-carcinoma cell lines. International Journal of Cancer. 1999;82(2):244-9.
- [40] Wang ZY, Gaggero A, Rubartelli A, Rosso O, Miotti S, Mezzanzanica D, et al.
 Expression of interleukin-18 in human ovarian carcinoma and normal ovarian
 epithelium: Evidence for defective processing in tumor cells. International Journal of
 Cancer. 2002;98(6):873-8.
- [41] Bozkurt N, Yuce K, Basaran M, Gariboglu S, Kose F, Ayhan A. Correlation of
 serum and ascitic IL-12 levels with second-look laparotomy results and disease
 progression in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer patients. International Journal of
 Gynecological Cancer. 2006;16(1):83-6.
- 22 23
- 24

TABLE and FIGURE LEGENDS

Table 1. The survival time of rats corresponds to the number of FNAR cells injected
 intraperitoneally. The animals were monitored daily for overall general health as well as
 degree of abdominal extension and were sacrificed when appearing moribund.

5

Table 2. Gene chip analysis of FNAR shows similarities to human ovarian carcinoma.
 RNA was harvested from FNAR and REH endothelial cell lines and analyzed by
 GeneChip at a Johns Hopkins core facility. Data are presented as the relative

9 expression of the gene in FNAR compared to expression in endothelial cells.

10

Figure 1. Proband shows tumor of the left ovary and intraperitoneal tumor studding (A).
 The tumor is an adenocarcinoma resembling human ovarian endometrioid carcinoma
 (B).

14

Figure 2. *In vitro* doubling time was measured by plating 10⁴ cells into large flat bottom macrotiter wells. At the designated intervals, cells were harvested and counted. Data is presented as log number of tumor cells versus growth time. The slope of the line represents an estimate of the doubling time.

19

Figure 3. Flow cytometric evaluation of expression of ER (A), PR (B), AR (C), her-2/neu (D), and Ep-CAM (E). In all five graphs, isotypic control is shown with a solid line 22 and the antibody of interest is shown with a shaded area.

- Figure 4. FNAR tumor cells express IL-6, IL-12, and IL-18. Expression was assessed
 by qPCR. Data are standardized against GAPDH.
- 3

TABLE 1

Survival Following Tumor Challenge			
No. of Cells Injected	No. of Animals	(No. of Animals)	
5 X 10 ⁴	N = 6	175 (6)	
1 X 10 ⁵	N = 8	150 (4) 155 (3), 160 (1)	
5 X 10 ⁵	N = 6	155 (2), 160 (4)	
1 X10 ⁶	N = 6	150 (5), 152 (1)	
^A Animals were observed daily for general health and abdominal extension. The animals were sacrificed upon becoming moribund			

extension. The animals were sacrificed upon becoming moribund that was characterized by extreme lethargy, paleness and abdominal extension. The abdominal cavity was examined histologically for the presence of tumor cells in the peritoneal fluid and for tumor masses attached to the visceral organs and the abdominal wall

5

6

7

TABLE 2

Gene Expression Profiling of FNAR Cells

Gene Description	EST Accession #	Relative Expression
Metallothionein I	AW141679	11.38
Metallothionein II	AW916991	3.56
Thioredoxin	AW140607	3.07
Stathmin	BF281472	3.23
b-myb	RGIAC37	3.33

9

8



Sharrow Figure 1.





Sharrow Figure 3



1 ARTICLE PRÉCIS

- This article describes a spontaneous rat model of ovarian carcinoma that
- 3 resembles the human endometrioid carcinoma subtype of surface epithelial neoplasms.