Calce and a base to the target and of the second	data needed, and completi this burden to Department		CUMENTATIC	ON PAGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MN YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE 3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 30-Scp-2009 RIFFINIT 5. ADATES COVERED (From - To) 4. TILE AND SUBTILE 5. CONTRACT NUMBER CRUST AL. STRUCTURE FROM IN-COUNTRY AND GROUND-TRUTH DATA 5. CONTRACT NUMBER 5. GRANT NUMBER 5. GRANT NUMBER 5. AUTHOR(5) 5. GRANT NUMBER Fried A. Bergman, E. Robert Engdahl, Michael H. Ritzwoller', and Stephen C. Myers' 5. PROGRAM CONMORER 1010 5. TASK NUMBER 5. WIMBER 5. WIMBER 3.100 Marines N. 5. SM 3.100 Marines N. 5. SPONSORMOUTOR'S ACRONYM(S) Art Torce Research Laboratory 29 SONSORMOUTOR'S ACRONYM(S) 2. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILTY STATEMENT 10. SPONSORMOUTOR'S ACRONYM(S) Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited. 11. SPONSORMOUTOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILTY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 3. SPONSORMOUTOR'S ACRONYM(S) 23 September 2009, Tucson, A.Z. Volume 1 pp 22 - 31. 14. SPONSORMOUTOR'S acepone 10. Sponsorm 10. Sp	4002. Respondents should	ng and reviewing this collection of Defense, Washington Headq I be aware that notwithstanding	of information. Send comments r uarters Services, Directorate for Ir any other provision of law, no per	egarding this burden estimate or nformation Operations and Repor rson shall be subject to any penal	any other aspect of this o ts (0704-0188), 1215 Jeff	ching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the ollection of information, including suggestions for reducing erson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-
4. TTLE AND SUBTITLE CRUSTAL STRUCTURE FROM IN-COUNTRY AND GROUND-TRUTH DATA See CONTRACT NUMBER CRUSTAL STRUCTURE FROM IN-COUNTRY AND GROUND-TRUTH DATA See CONTRACT NUMBER CRUSTAL STRUCTURE FROM IN-COUNTRY AND GROUND-TRUTH DATA See CONTRACT NUMBER See GROUPS AND SEE CONTRACT NUMBER SEE CONTR	1. REPORT DATE (2. REPORT TYPE	JURESS.	3. 1	DATES COVERED (From - To)
6. AUTHOR(S) 5b. GRANT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 5c. PROCRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 27. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER 10.0 5d. ARK NUMBER 51. WORK UNIT NUMBER 10.0 52. TASK NUMBER 5d. WORK UNIT NUMBER 3100 Marine St. 5d. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5d. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT University of Colorado at Boulder 3100 Marine St. 5d. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 29. SPONSORMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AIT Force Research Laboratory 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) T2. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT AFRL-RV-HA-TR-2009-1078 Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tree research Research Revearch Revearch Revearch Revearch Review - Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 21 23 Stephentber 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume 1 pp 22 - 31. Martemation Revearch Revearch Revearch Revearch Revearch Revearch Revearch Review - Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 21 30. Stephentber 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume 1 pp 22 - 31. Martemation Revearch Revearch Revearch Revearch	4. TITLE AND SUB			COLIND TOUTH DATA		
6. AUTHOR(S) 62.001F Eric A. Bergman', E. Robert Engdahl', Michael H. Ritzwoller', and Stephen C. Myers' 5d. PROJECT NUMBER 10/0 5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Colorado at Boulder 3100 Marine St. Boulder, CO 80303-1058 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 9. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFF force Research Laboratory 29 Randolph Road 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory' 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from: Proceedings of the 2009 Monitoring Research Review – Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 21 23 September 2009, Tucson, XZ, Volume 1 pp 22 - 31. 14. ABSTRACT Was mentioned and gene main entity in the wing mention and the bould apprentity by longing of the 120 protection of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate of the region was compared public for the strate for the strate of the region	CRUSIALSIR	Jereki i Komin				
Eric A. Bergman, E. Robert Engdahl, Michael H. Ritzwoller ² , and Stephen C. Myers ⁴ 1010 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5						
T. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Image: Start Rest Rest Rest Rest Rest Rest Rest Res		E. Robert Engdahl,	Michael H. Ritzwolle	r, and Stephen C. My		
A1 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Colorado at Boulder 3100 Marine St. Boulder, CO. 80303-1058 9. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010 University of Colorado and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory' 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL/RVB YE 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprived for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory' 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from: Proceedings of the 2009 Monitoring Research Review – Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 21 23 September 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume 1 pp 22 - 31. 14. ABSTRACT We are weighting the email and upper mute structure of the region to central Asia bounded genotimethy by lengined 41-67°E and laitade 20-41°N by using an country duases of seinic place attriving muterial dual toper mute structure of the region to central Asia bounded for the region and and magene muterial for the region to central Asia bounded deprotimethy by lengined 41-67°E and laitade 20-41°N by using an country duases of seinic place attriving muterial dual toper muterial for the region to central Asia bounded for the recentral for the region to central Asia bounded for the r	2			,	5e.	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT University of Colorado at Boulder 3100 Marine St. Boulder, CO. 80303-1058 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) Air Force Research Laboratory 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA. 01731-3010 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT Vulneer(s) AFRI./RV/BYE 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Report of Or Public Release: Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ² 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from: Proceedings of the 2009 Monitoring Research Review – Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 21 23 September 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume 1 pp 22 - 31. 14. ABSTRACT Wear meetinging the cross and and upper mute structure of the region to cross and structure of the region to cross of the structure of the restructure of the region to cross of the structure of the						WORK UNIT NUMBER
Air Force Research Laboratory AFRL/RVBYE 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010 AFRL/RVBYE 11. SPONSOF/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-RV-HA-TR-2009-1078 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT AFRL-RV-HA-TR-2009-1078 Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado' and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory' 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from: Proceedings of the 2009 Monitoring Research Review – Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 2 23 September 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume I pp 22 - 31. VM 14. ABSTRACT We are investigating the crusing the crusing from our previous research efforts. We present the regular for homography wing a dataset consisting entrep/ of GTS events in the region From 27 cluster of the region recercl Asia bounded approximately by longinde 41-67E and laitude 20-44"N by using in control datasets developed from our previous research efforts. We present the regular of <i>Pr</i> tomography in grad dataset consisting entrep/ of GTS events in the region From 27 cluster of the region recercle of the social of Clinffer edel 1-87E and laitude 20-44"N by using in control dataset of be seered in the social of the region recercle of the social of Clinffer edel 1-87E and laitude 20-44"N by using in control dataset of be region from 20 for results and usper manife individual culture or appressive result of <i>Pr</i> tomography in grad dataset consisting entrep/ of GTS events in the region From 27 clinffer edel 1-87E and laitude 20-44"N by using in control dataset of be received in the region from 20 for result and upper manule in Europer Statement in the social of Li	University of Col 3100 Marine St.	orado at Boulder	S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-RV-HA-TR-2009-1078 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado' and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory' 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from: Proceedings of the 2009 Monitoring Research Review – Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 2 23. September 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume I pp 22 - 31. 14. ABSTRACT We ar investigating the trustal and upper mantle structure of the region in central Axia bounded approximately by longitude 41-67'E and latitude 20-44'N by using in country datasets of seinic phase artivities, supplemented by ground ruth datasets developed from our previous research efforts. We present the results of <i>Pn</i> toronging from these consisting enterly of CTS events in the region. From 32' 14. ABSTRACT The stage of the 2009 model (Rizvoller et al. 2003), and the so-called "Inified" model 3 ab velocity model of the crust and upper mantle in Fursia, constructed from mot explore the region in central Axia bounded approximately by longitude 41-07'E and latitude 20-44'N by using in country datasets of seinic phase artivities, stage of the 2009 model (Rizvoller et al. 2003), and the so-called "Inified" model 3 ab velocity model of the crust and upper mantle in Fursia, constructed from mot explore the region in central Axia bounded (Rizvoller et al. 2004). Bread and 1. 2004. Bread and 1. 2004 Brea	Air Force Researc	h Laboratory	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRE	ESS(ES)		
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado' and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory' 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from: Proceedings of the 2009 Monitoring Research Review – Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 2 23 September 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume I pp 22 - 31. 14. MaSTRACT We are investigning the crustal and upper mantle structure of the region in central Axia bounded approximately by longitude 41-67 ⁺ E and latitude 20-44 ⁺ N by using in country datasets of seimic phase arrivitines. supplemented by ground ruth datasets developed from our previous research efforts. We present the results of <i>Ph</i> fourigraphy using a dataset consisting entirely of CTS events in the region. Fron 72 Calhrade etforts we arrive and and upper mantle structure of the region the central of the fourigraphy using a dataset consisting entirely of CTS events in the region. Fron 72 Calhrade etforts we arrive and and upper mantle structure of the region the central of the fourigraphy using a dataset consisting entirely of CTS events in the region. Fron 72 Calhrade tarding double clusters we envirt Structure (LINL) and Los Manos National Laboratory (LINL) and Los Manos Nation contens. The celecters of GTS events have esting from 2-4 seconds. For this initial round of tomography ustrestee data station coversity and the coloratory of the					11.	
Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited. University of Colorado ¹ and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ¹ 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from: Proceedings of the 2009 Monitoring Research Review – Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 2 23. September 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume 1 pp 22 - 31. 14. ADSTRACT We are insetigating the crustal and upper mantle structure of the region in central Asia bounded approximately by longitude 41-67°E and laitude 20-44°N by using in centry datasets of seiming pase arrively consisting anticle of GT5 synatic hereing and profile reference model for the region we compared empilical model (Karenet et al., 1995), the CUB20 model (Ritzvoller et al., 2003), and the so-Called "Unifed" model a 3-D velocity model of the region because of the maximum and appropriate reference model of the region we compared empilical model (Karenet et al., 1995), the CUB20 model (Ritzvoller et al., 2003), and the so-Called "Unifed" model a 3-D velocity model of the region from the exercise of the maximum and the state (to elogital effection model) and buoarding (LAN) (Agasson et al., 2004). Secket al., 2004. Flanage at al., 2003, and the so-Called "Unifed" model is a construct model in the state in the second of the region from the regio						AFRL-RV-HA-TR-2009-1078
parameters and stabilizes the inversion. This exercise helps reveal problems in the GT5 dataset that can in some cases (e.g., erroneous station coordinates) be corrected, enhancing the value of the GT5 dataset for validation of tomography based on larger, uncalibrated datasets. It also reveals patterns in <i>Pn</i> velocities in the study region which have much shorter wavelength than previous studies. We also investigated the possible contribution of crustal thickness variations to account for the observed variability of empirical travel times for <i>Pn</i> . The experiment is based on a simple model of a single-layer crust over a halfspace. A digital terrain map is filtered at 100 km and converted to Moho depth, under the asumption of full isostatic compensation, which is almost certainly on osire estimate. For reasonable density contrast the Moho de variation is about 5 times the topography. For a set of raypaths based on the locations of the actual events in the GT dataset and actual stations we calculate the <i>Pn</i> travel time through the unperturbed flat-layered model, and take the difference. The variance of these differences is about 4% of the variance of observed Pn travel times in study region. suggesting that crustal thickness variations, contribute only at a low level to the observed variability. Most of the variability in Pn travel times presumably arrises from lateral variations in bulk velop perturbations to the ray paths caused by those lateral variations, errors in cluster calibration, and phase identification errors. 15. SUBJECT TERMS Seismic velocity models, Seismic clusters, Middle East 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION GF ABSTRACT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 27. C. THIS PAGE 28. AR 20. ANME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSOR 20. ANME OF RESPONSIBLE	13. SUPPLEMENTA Reprinted from: 1 23 September 200 14. ABSTRACT We are investigating the times, supplemented by gr calibrated earthquake clust except for the Makran regi the ak135 global model (K developed by researchers a Although the CUB2.0 and systematically too fast. The Tomography is first perf	ARY NOTES Proceedings of the 20 99, Tucson, AZ, Volu crustal and upper mantle structu- ound rruth datasets developed fr ers we extract 832 events that qu on in the southeast. To determine ennett et al., 1995), the CUB2.0 t Lawrence Livermore National Unified models do vary laterally se baseline errors range from 2- formed in a "traditional" way, al	009 Monitoring Resear Ime I pp 22 - 31. ure of the region in central Asia be om our previous research efforts. Vi ualify as ground truth (GT)5 at the e an appropriate reference model 1 model (Ritzwoller et al., 2003), and Laboratory (LLNL) and Los Alan , in the study region they vary quit 4 seconds. For this initial round of lowing for individual event correce	The Review – Ground- bunded approximately by longitud We present the results of <i>Pn</i> tomog 90% confidence level. The raypa for the region we compared empir nd the so-called "Unified" model a nos National Laboratory (LANL) te slowly and capture little of the tomography we stretched the ak tions as well as station correction	de 41-67°E and latitude 20 graphy using a dataset cor ths of <i>Pn</i> readings from th ical travel times calculated a 3-D velocity model of th (Pasyanos et al. 2004; Beg variability of observed tra 135 model to a crustal thic is. Through relative event 1	-44°N by using in-country datasets of seismic phase arriva isisting entirely of GT5 events in the region. From 27 ese events provide good coverage of most of the region. I from the GT5 dataset to those predicted by several mode e crust and upper mantle in Eurasia, constructed from mo maud et al., 2004; Steck et al., 2004; Flanagan et al., 2007 vel times. All three models predict <i>Pn</i> travel times that are kness of 45 km for the reference model.
DI IIX 10	parameters and stabilizes the validation of tomography be possible contribution of cru- digital terrain map is filtere variation is about 5 times the mantle boundary of the per- study region, suggesting the perturbations to the ray path 15. SUBJECT TERI	the inversion. This exercise helps ased on larger, uncalibrated datust stal thickness variations to acco dat 100 km and converted to M te topography. For a set of raypa trurbed model and also through t at crustal thickness variations co hs caused by those lateral variat MS ty models, Seismic c	s reveal problems in the GT5 datas asets. It also reveals patterns in <i>Pn</i> unt for the observed variability of oho depth, under the asumption o aths based on the locations of the a the unperturbed flat-layered model ontribute only at a low level to the ions, errors in cluster calibration, a	et that can in some cases (e.g., err velocities in the study region whi 'empirical travel times for <i>Pn</i> . The f full isostatic compensation, whic tctual events in the GT dataset and l, and take the difference. The var observed variability. Most of the and phase identification errors.	toneous station coordinates ich have much shorter wave e experiment is based on a ch is almost certainly an or d actual stations we calcul iance of these differences variability in Pn travel tin 18. NUMBER	s) be corrected, enhancing the value of the GT5 dataset f relength than previous studies. We also investigated the simple model of a single-layer crust over a halfspace. A ver-estimate. For reasonable density contrast the Moho date the Pn travel time through the crust and along the crus is about 4% of the variance of observed Pn travel times in nes presumably arrises from lateral variations in bulk veloced 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERS
	16. SECURITY CL4			OF ADSTITACT		ROUCH J. Raistrick

CRUSTAL STRUCTURE FROM IN-COUNTRY AND GROUND-TRUTH DATA

Erie A. Bergman¹, E. Robert Engdahl¹, Miehael H. Ritzwoller¹, and Stephen C. Myers²

University of Colorado¹ and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory²

Sponsored by the Air Force Research Laboratory and the by the National Nuclear Security Administration

Award Nos. FA8718-08-C-0020¹ and DE-AC52-07NA27344² Proposal No. BAA08-69

ABSTRACT

We are investigating the erustal and upper mantle structure of the region in central Asia bounded approximately by longitude $41-67^{\circ}E$ and latitude $20-44^{\circ}N$ by using in-country datasets of seismic phase arrival times, supplemented by ground truth datasets developed from our previous research efforts. We present the results of *Pn* tomography using a dataset consisting entirely of GT5 events in the region. From 27 ealibrated earthquake clusters we extract 832 events that qualify as ground truth (GT)5 at the 90% confidence level. The raypaths of *Pn* readings from these events provide good coverage of most of the region except for the Makran region in the southeast. To determine an appropriate reference model for the region we compared empirical travel times calculated from the GT5 dataset to those predieted by several models: the ak135 global model (Kennett et al., 1995), the CUB2.0 model (Ritzwoller et al., 2003), and the so-called "Unified" model a 3-D velocity model of the crust and upper mantle in Eurasia, eonstructed from models developed by researchers at Lawrenee Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) and Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) (Pasyanos et al, 2004; Begnaud et al., 2004; Steck et al., 2004; Flanagan et al., 2007). Although the CUB2.0 and Unified models do vary laterally, in the study region they vary quite slowly and eapture little of the variability of observed travel times. All three models predict *Pn* travel times that are systematically too fast. These baseline errors range from 2-4 seconds. For this initial round of tomography we stretched the ak135 model to a crustal thickness of 45 km for the reference model.

Tomography is first performed in a "traditional" way, allowing for individual event corrections as well as station corrections. Through relative event relocation, however, these elusters of GT5 events have very strong constraints on relative location, depth, and origin time of the associated earthquakes. For each cluster, then, we can assign a single "cluster correction". This dramatically reduces the number of free parameters and stabilizes the inversion. This exercise helps reveal problems in the GT5 dataset that can in some cases (e.g., erroneous station coordinates) be corrected, enhancing the value of the GT5 dataset for validation of tomography based on larger, uncalibrated datasets. It also reveals patterns in Pn velocities in the study region which have much shorter wavelength than previous studies. We also investigated the possible contribution of crustal thickness variations to account for the observed variability of empirical travel times for Pn. The experiment is based on a simple model of a single-layer crust over a halfspace. A digital terrain map is filtered at 100 km and converted to Moho depth, under the asumption of full isostatic compensation, which is almost certainly an over-estimate. For reasonable density contrast the Moho depth variation is about 5 times the topography. For a set of raypaths based on the locations of the actual events in the GT dataset and actual stations we calculate the Pn travel time through the crust and along the crust-mantle boundary of the perturbed model and also through the unperturbed flat-layered model, and take the difference. The variance of these differences is about 4% of the variance of observed Pn travel times in the study region, suggesting that erustal thickness variations contribute only at a low level to the observed variability. Most of the variability in Pn travel times presumably arrises from lateral variations in bulk velocity, perturbations to the ray paths eaused by those lateral variations, errors in eluster calibration, and phase identification errors.

20090914207

OBJECTIVES

This research has the goal of developing in-country datasets that can be used to improve ground-based monitoring capabilities in the study region, by providing information needed to develop and test more accurate travel time models for seismic phases that propagate in the crust and upper mantle. The main dataset to be produced is a bulletin of earthquakes in the region at magnitudes of 2.5 and higher, associated with readings from seismograph stations operating in-country for the period 1995–2005. These events and readings will be integrated with the best available catalog of regional seismicity for that period that includes associated regional and teleseismic phase arrival times. All events will be relocated, carefully reviewed, and calibrated, where possible, with ground truth data. We will conduct preliminary modeling experiments with these data, using Pn/Sn tomography to image broad-scale features of the crust and upper mantle in the region. The tomographic experiments will also help reveal problems in the datasets of earthquake locations and phase identifications, and thus serve a quality control function. The resulting datasets and model results will provide a solid foundation for further research.

RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHED

In-Country Data

The main sources of in-country data are the seismic networks operated by the International Institute of Earthquakc Engineering and Seismology (IIEES) and the University of Tehran Institute of Geophysics (UTIG). We have acquired all phase reading data from these networks through 2005, and integrated the data with other readings from regional and global networks. We have also acquired phase reading data from 2005 through 2008 for both networks but this data is still being processed.

We have also acquired independent datasets of phase readings from the Oman network (2004–2007) (Figure 1) and the Kuwait network (2000-2008) for earthquakes in the study region and these are being merged with other readings. The Oman data in particular is of great value for improving location accuracy in the southernmost part of the study region.



Figure 1. Locations of events determined by the Oman network during 2005-2007, for which phase readings have been acquired. These network locations are extremely poor and it is a difficult task to properly associate these readings with well-constrained events in our main catalog.

Our colleagues in the region have been conducting careful reanalysis of events in certain parts of the region, repicking all readings and relocating events. We have acquired these datasets for the Tehran, Zanjan, and Tabriz regions (Figures 2 and 3). These datasets have been very valuable for our location calibration studies in these regions.



Figure 2. Seismicity in the Left) Tehran region and Right) Tabriz region, from a specialized study involving repicking all waveforms, combining all available in-country data, and relocating. This work is done by our colleagues in-country.



Figure 3. Seismicity in the Zanjan region from a specialized study involving repicking all waveforms, combining all available in-country data, and relocating. This study produced a large number of new events for the Avaj cluster. This work is done by our colleagues in-country.

Finally, we have acquired from colleagues several valuable datasets of phase readings from temporary deployments that are extremely valuable for our location calibration studies.

Catalog of Earthquakes

Our latest update to the eatalog of seismicity in the region includes 26,973 events from 1923 through Deeember 2008. Events with magnitude 2.5 or larger are retained. Some events with unknown magnitude are retained if they can be reliably located. For the major sources of data the current catalog's completeness is given below:

- International Scismological Centre (ISC): complete through 2006.
- U.S. Geological Survey Preliminary Determination of Epicenters (PDE): complete through 2008.
- UTIG: complete through 2005. We have the full catalog for 2006-2008 and it will be merged with the catalog.

• IIEES: complete through 2008 for data reported to the ISC, but not all readings are reported that way. We have the full IIEES catalog through 2008 and it will be merged with the catalog.

A subset of 7592 events from this catalog which satisfy the condition that secondary azimuth gap (largest azimuth filled by a single station) is less than 180° are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. A subset of carthquakes from our earthquake catalog in the study region, showing the locations of 7592 events that satisfy the requirement that secondary azimuth gap is less than 180°. The epicenters of these events are therefore better constrained than other events in the catalog, even though the locations are not calibrated. Focal depths are color-coded.

Calibrated Locations

We have been building our dataset of ealibrated earthquake elusters in the study region through several projects spanning about 10 years. We now have 28 earthquake elusters, containing 1,271 earthquakes, in the region that are ealibrated in location and 27 of those are also ealibrated in origin time (Figure 5). These 28 elusters contain 832 events that qualify as GT5₉₀ or better.

The latest elusters that we have ealibrated are Tiab, Fin, Siah-Kuh, Qom, Aradan, and Ardebil. We have data with which several other clusters can be calibrated as well, and these are in process.



Figure 5. Locations of carthquake clusters with calibrated locations and, in most cases, origin times. Epicenters shown by red circles. Boxes without epicenters indicate clusters whose calibration analysis is in process.

Pn Tomography

Our goal in conducting tomographic experiments with our data is two-fold: 1) to obtain a better image of first-order variations in crustal and upper mantle structure in the region; and 2) to use the results of tomography to reveal outliers in our dataset that may indicate errors in our calibration work or in phase identification. The results we show here deal mainly with the first effort. Tomography is conducted with the method described by Ritzwoller et al. (2002). Only *Pn* tomography has been attempted so far.

A Baseline Model

For our initial tomographic studies, in which we are trying to obtain a reasonable base model for more detailed studies with the full dataset of arrival time readings, we use only Pn readings from events that are ealibrated to $GT5_{90}$ or better. Before any tomography was performed, we simply compared the predicted travel times through several reference models to the empireally-determined travel times from our GT dataset (Figure 6). The comparisons shown here were done for a single source region (the Dorud cluster, Figure 5), sampling paths to existing seismic stations in the study region.





All three models are too fast by 2–4 seconds on average, compared to the GT dataset travel times. The three primary sources for these discrepancies are 1) average crustal thickness which is too small, 2) average crustal velocities that are too high, and 3) average upper mantle Pn velocities that are too high. Pn data alone provide only very weak resolving power between these factors, and so we try to use information from other sources to place some constraints on a baseline model.

One such constraint comes from numerous studies (e.g., Doloei and Roberts, 2003; Sodoudi et al., 2004; Mokhtari et al. 2004; Kaviani et al., 2007) that indicate Moho depth in the study region ranges over 40-55 km. Therefore it is likely that the residuals of the ak135 model (which has a 35-km thick crust) against the GT dataset are partly the result of a too-thin crust. A model in which the two crustal layers of ak135 are stretched proportionally to a total thickness of 45 km improves the fit to observed *Pn* travel times, but there is still a systematic positive residual. We next decreased the crustal velocities by 10%, which gives a good average fit to the observed *Pn* data (Figure 7)



Figure 7. Left) Histogram of residuals against out GT dataset of predicted travel times for *Pn* with a model based on the ak135 model with crustal layers stretched proportionally to a total thickness of 45 km and crustal velocities reduced by 10%. Right) Same residuals plotted as a function of epicentral distance, showing that there is no trend with distance that would indicate the need for a different average *Pn* velocity in the model.

The fact that there is no evidence of distance-dependent trend in the residuals in Figure 7 indicates that the ak135 Pn velocity (8.045 km/s) is a reasonable average for this source region. This baseline model is derived for one source region in the study region. We will repeat the exercise with other source regions, and with the entire GT dataset, in order to better understand the variability of such models across the study region and to derive a full-region baseline model for Pn tomography with larger datasets. It is not yet clear if we will be able to use a single crustal thickness across the entire region, or whether we will adopt a baseline model which incorporates some degree of variability in Moho depth. The issue of Moho depth variations as it relates to Pn tomography is further considered below.

Event Corrections vs. Cluster Corrections

In standard Pn tomography studies, a source correction term is allowed for each earthquake source to absorb errors in assumed location, focal depth, and origin time, as well as near-source crustal velocity variations. This adds a large number of free parameters to the problem. In our case however, the multiple event relocation algorithm we use to develop clusters of calibrated earthquake locations provides very string constraints on the relative locations and origin times of the events in a given cluster. Therefore, we can reduce the number of free parameters dramatically by specifying a "cluster correction" which is common to all events in each cluster. The consequence of doing tomography with the two types of correction is shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Comparison of *Pn* tomography done with Left) cluster corrections, and Right) event corrections, using 6,135 *Pn* raypaths from GT5 events to stations in the region. The clipping region, within which results are shown in color, is defined by a raypath density of at least 50 rays within a 2°x2° eell.

The use of event corrections clearly allows them to absorb some of the signal that would otherwise be reflected in greater variations in Pn velocity. The reduction in velocity variations when using event corrections is about 0.3%.

Relative Importance of Source and Station Corrections

We earried out several tomographic studies to determine the relative importance of source corrections (i.e., eluster corrections) and station corrections, which mainly account for departures of the crustal velocities from those of our model. The reference model is ak135, stretched to have a 45 km crustal thickness, retaining ak135 crustal velocities. The consequence of using no source or station corrections is shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Left) Tomographic results when neither cluster nor station corrections are applied, compared to Right) tomographic results when both cluster and station corrections are applied. Same dataset as Figure 8.



Figure 10. Left) Tomographic results when station corrections, but no cluster corrections, are applied, compared to Right) tomographic results when both cluster and station corrections are applied. Same dataset as Figure 8.



Figure 11. Left) Tomographic results when cluster corrections, but no station corrections, are applied, compared to Right) tomographic results when both cluster and station corrections are applied. Same dataset as Figure 8.

Qualitatively, tomography using station corrections but no source corrections appears rather similar to the result when using all corrections (Figure 10), while tomography using source (cluster) corrections without station corrections leads to an image of Pn velocity variations that differs significantly from the case of using both source and station corrections (Figure 11). The magnitude of the difference in Pn velocities in each pair of cases is about the same, however, and we suspect that the greater apparent importance of station corrections is an artifact of source and station distribution. It is clear that both source and station corrections are needed, and examination of these corrections is a good indicator of data quality problems, such as poor calibration of a cluster or erroneous station coordinates or timing problems.

Contribution of Moho depth variations

If Pn tomography is performed assuming a flat-layered Moho, any actual variations in Moho depth will cause variability in Pn arrival times that will be mapped into Pn velocity variations. To estimate the degree to which this

eould occur in the study region we ealeulated travel times through a model with variable Moho depth based on observed topography, and compared their variability with that of the observed dataset of ealibrated *Pn* travel times.

To generate a model of Moho depth variability we converted topography to Moho depth through the assumption of full isostatic compensation. With reasonable assumptions about density contrast, the surface topography (low pass filtered at a wavelength of 100 km) transforms into inverse Moho depth variations that are larger by a factor of 5.

The variability of observed Pn arrival times from our GT dataset is shown in Figure 12, and the variability of Pn travel times through the model with artificial Moho undulations based on observed topography and the assumption of full isostasy is shown in Figure 13. Note that the same horizontal scale is used for both plots. The raypaths through the model with undulating Moho were based on the actual source locations of events in the GT dataset and actual stations in the study region.



Figure 12. Histogram of residuals for Pn arrivals at less than 10° epicentral distance from the GT dataset.



Figure 13. Histogram of residuals for synthetic *Pn* phases traced through the model with Moho undulations based on observed topography and the assumption of full isostatic compensation. Same horizontal scale as Figure 12.

The variance of *Pn* arrival times through the model with undulating Moho $\sigma^2 = 0.16$ is only about 4% of the variance of the observed dataset ($\sigma^2 = 4.38$). Therefore Moho variability is a minor component of the observed variability of *Pn* travel times in the study region. The major factors in the observed variability must be related velocity variations in the erust and upper mantle, departures of raypaths from the geometric assumption, errors in cluster ealibration, and errors in phase identification.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

At this point of the project we have assembled a catalog of seismicity that incorporates a large amount of in-country phase readings. Most earthquakes were earefully relocated in a single event process. We continue to develop this catalog, but it is already a good dataset for use in general tomographic studies of the region. We have also developed

28 calibrated clusters of earthquakes, containing over 800 GT5₉₀ events, which are well enough distributed to permit useful tomography to be conducted using only events with calibrated locations and origin times, and datasets of phase readings that have been carefully reviewed and filtered for outliers in a multiple event location analysis. We continue to add new calibrated clusters. Our initial tomographic studies have been concerned with developing a suitable baseline model for tomography. Existing models are generally too fast (theoretical *Pn* arrivals are early by 2-4 seconds). A model similar to ak135 with 45 km thick crust and somewhat slower crustal velocities appears to be a good candidate for the baseline model but further testing is needed. When using our GT dataset for tomography, it is advantageous to parameterize source corrections as cluster corrections (applied to all events in the cluster) rather than individual event corrections. A synthetic experiment suggests that variability of Moho depth in the study region accounts for only a small fraction of the observed variability of *Pn* travel times. The major sources of the observed variability must be velocity varitaions in the crust and upper mantle, perturbations of the raypaths by lateral heterogeneity, and errors in location calibration and phase identification.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We have received invaluable assistance in this work from numerous colleagues in the study region who prefer to remain unnamed.

REFERENCES

- Begnaud, M. L., C. A. Rowe, and L. K. Steck (2004). Validating three-dimensional velocity models in China and East-Asia for use in regional seismic event location, *Eos Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* 85: (47, Fall Meet. Suppl.), T11C-1277.
- Doloei, J. and R. Roberts (2003). Crust and uppermost mantle structure of Tehran region from analysis of teleseismic P-waveform receiver functions, *Tectonophysics* 364: (3-4), 115–133.
- Flanagan, M. P., S. C. Myers, and K. D. Koper (2007). Regional travel-time uncertainty and seismic location improvement using a three-dimensional *a priori* velocity model, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 97: (3), 804–825.
- Kaviani, A., A. Paul, E. Bourova, D. Hatzfeld, H. Pedersen, and M. Mokhtari (2007). A strong seismic velocity contrast in the shallow mantle across the Zagros collision zone (Iran), *Geophys. J. Int.* 17: (1), 399–410.
- Kennett, B. L. N., E. R. Engdahl, and R. P. Buland (1995). Constraints on seismic velocities in the Earth from traveltimes, *Geophys. J. Int.* 122: (1), 108–124.
- Mokhtari, M., A. M. Farahbod, C. Lindholm, M. Alahyarkhani, and H. Bungum (2004). An approach to a comprehensive Moho depth map and crust and upper mantle velocity model for Iran, *Iranian Int. J. Sci.* 5: (2), 223–244.
- Pasyanos, M. E., W. R. Walter, M. P. Flanagan, P. Goldstein, and J. Bhattacharyya (2004). Building and testing an *a priori* geophysical model for Western Eurasia and North Africa, *Pageoph* 161: 235–281.
- Ritzwoller, M. H., N. M. Shapiro, M. P. Barmin, and A. L. Levshin (2002). Global surface wave diffraction tomography, J. Geophys. Res. 107: (B12), 2335, doi:10.1029/2002JB001777.
- Ritzwoller, M. H., N. M. Shapiro, A. L. Levshin, E. A. Bergman, and E. R. Engdahl (2003). Ability of a global three-dimensional model to locate regional events, J. Geophys. Res. 108: (B7), 2353, doi:10.1029/2002JB002167.
- Sodoudi, F., R. Kind, N. Kamalian, and A. Sadidkhoy (2004). The crustal and upper mantle structure of the central Alborz (Iran) using teleseismic receiver functions, *Geophys Res Abs* 6: 01353.
- Steck, L. K., C. A. Rowe, M. L. Begnaud, W. S. Phillips, V. L. Gee, and A. A. Velasco (2004). Advancing seismic event location through difference constraints and three-dimensional models, in *Proceedings of the 26th Seismic Research Review: Trends in Nuclear Explosion Monitoring*, LA-UR-04-5801, Vol. 1, pp. 346–355.