

UNCLASSIFIED. <u>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A.</u> Approved for public release; unlimited public distribution.



TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

ALTERNATIVE FUELS

Pat Muzzell, Alternative Fuels Team Leader June 11, 2009 Disclaimer: reference herein to any specific commercial company, product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United Stets Government or the Department of the Army (DoA). The opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the untied States Government of the DoA, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Report Documentation Page					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the co maintaining the data needed, and including suggestions for reducin VA 22202-4302. Respondents sh does not display a currently valid	ollection of information is estimated completing and reviewing the colle g this burden, to Washington Head ould be aware that notwithstanding OMB control number.	to average 1 hour per response, in ction of information. Send commen- uarters Services, Directorate for 11 any other provision of law, no pers	cluding the time for reviewing nts regarding this burden estim nformation Operations and Rep son shall be subject to a penalty	instructions, searching tate or any other aspect ports, 1215 Jefferson D y for failing to comply	existing data sources, gathering and of this collection of information, avis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington with a collection of information if it	
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVI	ERED	
11 JUN 2009		N/A		-		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Alternative Fuels			5b. GRANT NUMBER			
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Pat Muzzell				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army RDECOM-TARDEC 6501 E 11 Mile Rd Warren, MI 48397-5000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 19948RC		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) TACOM/TARDEC			
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 19948RC	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVA	ILABILITY STATEMENT lic release, distribu	tion unlimited		1		
13. SUPPLEMENTARY N The original docu	OTES ment contains color	images.				
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF	
a. REPORT unclassified	ь. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	OF ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18



• Introduction

- Transportation Market Evolution
- Tactical Mobility Fuel

• Single Fuel in the Battlefield

- What is the Single Fuel?
- Certification / Qualification Pipeline
- DARPA Alternative Jet Fuels Program
- Coordinating the Overall Alternative Fuel Qualification Process
 - Tri-Service POL Users Group
 - Within Army
- Alternative Fuels Qualification Status
- Army Fuel Requirements and the JP-8 Spec

Transportation Market Evolution



21st Century Transportation market evolution continues, shaped by heightened concerns about energy security and the environment.

- Alternative fuels desired in the jet/diesel fuel supply
- Changes in fuels supply driven by

RDECOM

- Legislation [EPAct 2005, EISA 2007], Exec Orders [EO 13423]
- USAF Alternative Jet Fuels Program with goal to certify aircraft on alternative jet fuels by 2011
- Commercial Aviation Alternative Fuels Initiative (CAAFI)
- Various initiatives to manufacture alternative fuels from diverse sources
- Army active in assessing emerging changes
 - Tri-department coordination of alternative fuels qualification efforts

Tactical Mobility Fuel





RDECON



(relative to gasoline)

Naval Research Advisory Committee Panel* Report (April 2006)

* Dr. Walt Bryzik panel member, Chief Scientist, (Ret) TARDEC

Liquid hydrocarbons – ideal fuel for tactical mobility

DOD SINGLE FUEL POLICY AVIATION KEROSENE GRADE (JP-8) MIL-DTL-83133 JP-8 (Jet A-1 plus additives) is the primary fuel used for both air and ground equipment in all theaters, overseas and Continental U.S.

- Tactical vehicle designs impose severe limitations on volume and weight
- Energy density is therefore the primary consideration for fuel

• Hydrogen presently unsuitable as a tactical mobility fuel - made from other fuels/resources - containment reduces energy density by 10-20X

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

What is the Single Fuel?

RDECOM





5



DARPA Alternative Jet Fuels

- Agricultural crop oils (canola, jatropha, soy, palm, etc.)
 - University of North Dakota EERC
 - UOP

RDECOM

- General Electric (GE)
- Swedish Biofuels AB
- Cellulosic and algal feedstocks that are non-competitive with food material
 - General Atomics (\$19.9M)
 - SAIC (\$25M)
- Acceptable coal-derived fuels
 - \$8.4M total
 - proposals due 02 Jun 2009



Can alternative jet fuels be made on large-scale and be cost competitive?

RDECONCoordinating Overall Process for Alternative Fuel Qualification



• Tri-Service POL Users Group

- Developing DoD qualification process
 - Includes all stakeholders (e.g., aircraft, ground vehicles/GSE, infrastructure . . .), OEMs
 - Process specified and mandated for alt fuel producers independent of feedstock
 - Requires process be recognized by major fuel specifications, standard agreements
- Synthetic fuels database populated (85%)
- JP-8 specification FT wording coordinated
- FY08 – Continued liaison with DESC SynFuels Working Group
 - Shared Lessons Learned, data and resources
 - Conduct gap analysis synfuel efforts, expand to biofuels, ID potential joint efforts
 - FY09 Increase visibility outside SCP world
- Challenges More awareness needed that group exists, recognition as key OSD asset
 - Development of framework for DoD test and certification process

• Within Army

- Currently in evaluation phase (see process flow chart next slide)
- Coordination with AMRDEC, need to expand to other key RDEC stakeholders

RDECOM Alternative Fuel Evaluation & Approval (Notional Qualification Process)





Unclassified

Army Synfuel Blends* Qualification Process

RDECOM



Laboratory Evaluations	Component Evaluations	System Evaluations	Demonstrations
 Completed Fuel chemic Materials co Fuel lubricity Fuel blends Limited com In Progress Engine perform Test track even Tactical when Fuel lubricity Cetane - Vol Planned Component/ 	al composition and proper mpatibility evaluations evaluations (rotary fuel studies conent/engine/system te ormance / durability testin valuation – HMMWV eled vehicle (5x5) pilot fi evaluations (common ra atility window studies	erties injection pump) sting (ground equipment ng (NATO test cycle) eld demo ail injection system)	The second se
Synfuel Blends: blends of Fischer-T erosene and JP-8 meeting MIL-DTL	ropsch Synthetic Paraffinic -83133F(JP-8 spec)	TECHNOLOGY DI	RIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED

Properties of Synthetic Paraffinic Kerosene (SPK)



JP-8 Fischer-Tropsch (FT) SPK*

RDECON



*Synthetic-Paraffinic Kerosene: Hydrocarbons distributed across the full jet fuel boiling range and having on whole properties suitable for use as an aviation fuel.

 Can impact component or engine performance and durability

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Nothing in FT SPK that is not in JP-8

Fuel Blends Are Implementation Path

• TARDEC elastomer compatibility evaluations* supported a "blends implementation path"

RDECON

- Blends of up to 50% by volume FT SPK with JP-8
 - Blends minimize/eliminate risk of fuel leaks due to change in fuel aromatic content
- Other aspects supporting a blends implementation path
 - Production capacity will build slowly
 - Lower energy density of FT SPK

*SAE Paper 2007-01-1453



- Nitrile components swell in JP-8, then shrink when switched into FT SPK (FT "JP-8")
- O-ring shrinkage increases risk of sealing failures
- Using unaffected o-ring elastomers or FT SPK in blends with JP-8 are ways to reduce this risk

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.





• FT SPK/JP-8 Blend Properties

RDECON

- Compared properties of blends with typical properties of JP-8 (CONUS, 2004)
- Determined properties of blends (up to 50% FT SPK) generally fell within typical "property box" of JP-8
- Study documented in SAE Paper 2006-01-0702
- Follow-on study looked at typical JP-8 in use at five Army installations in CONUS
 - Determined that at four of the five installations blends with the maximum reduction of 50% by volume petroleum content (JP-8) are possible
 - Study results documented in 2007 IASH Conference Poster (see next slide)
 - International Association of the Stability, Handling and Use of Liquid Fuels (IASH)

RDECOM Property Values of Synfuel Blends* Fit Within Range for JP-8

EXAMPLE: Volumetric Energy Density (see chart)

- (1) JP-8 batches procured in 2007 worldwide, range and distribution, wt. mean.**
- (2) Test fuels, GEP engine evaluation. JP-8 and synfuel blend
- (3) Minimum shown is calculated from what is allowed by JP-8 spec. for minimum density and minimum net heat of combustion.



* Synfuel Blends: blends of Fischer-Tropsch Synthetic Paraffinic Kerosene and JP-8 meeting MIL-DTL-83133F(JP-8 spec)

** Calculated values; batches missing data not included

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Unclassified

Lubricity Testing TARDEC F&L Research Facility



RDECOM

- BOCLE, SLBOCLE, and HFRR battery
- BOCLE indicated improved lubricity of FT fuel treated with CI/LI additive per QPL-25107
- Rotary fuel injection pump test rig testing
 - Showed FT IPK with lubricity improved to a level indicative of acceptable field performance
 - Both at min. and max. treat rates per QPL-25017
 - Results documented in SAE Paper 2004-01-2961



"Early Demo" – Tactical Generators TARDEC F&L Research Facility



• **Objective:** Operate tactical equipment using 50:50 FT synthetic fuel blend

Test Protocol

RDECOM

- Three 10 kW generator sets
- Gen sets "broken-in" using Ultra-Low Sulfur Diesel (ULSD)
- Gen sets fueling during test, operating cycles (% of total time)
 - Gen sets #1 & # 3
 - ≻ 10% ULSD
 - ▶ 45% JP-8
 - ➤ 45% 50:50 blend of FT SPK:JP-8
 - Gen set # 2
 - ➤ 100% FT SPK
- Tests conducted for 1000 hrs at 50% load
- Some Results (final report in DTIC)
 - No reliability issues encountered
 - Power generation unchanged for all fuel cases
 - Exhaust emission checked; NOx lower using fuel blend than for JP-8

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

- Determine effects of using fuel blend in a subset Army legacy ground vehicles
- Field demonstration fleet (variety of wheeled vehicles) at Ft. Bliss, TX
 - (2) M998 HMMWV
 - (9) M925 A2 5-Ton truck
 - (10) M1075 LMTV
 - (10) M1083 A1 FMTV

- (2) M1089 A1 FMTV
- (1) M984 A1 HEMTT
- (1) M978 HEMTT
- (10) M915 A4 TRAC
- Control vehicles of the same type, operated on JP-8 will be included
- Data generation

RDECON

- Monthly fleet performance monitoring and fuel analyses
- Vehicle fuel injection systems pre-test inspections for operation / fuel leaks
- Up to 10 fuel injection system (blend fueled vehicles) post-test inspections (or earlier if needed) to check operation / fuel leaks
- No recordable issues to-date
- Field demo expected to finish in July 2009

RDECOM Army Fuel Requirements and the JP-8 Specification



- Army started conversion from diesel fuel to Single Fuel in the Battlefield (SFB) in 1980s, implemented in 1988
 - Done on "no-harm" premise basis for use of aviation turbine engine fuel in Army equipment typically having compression ignition (CI) engines
- Army equipment has generally maintained acceptable levels of performance and durability using SFB, but have been some issues
- Requirements in diesel fuel specs not in JP-8 spec
 - Minimum viscosity at 40°C (1.3 mm²/s, No. 1-D)
 - Low fuel viscosity could lead to increased wear rates in some types of fuel injectors and injection pumps
 - Minimum Cetane No. (40, No. 1-D and 2-D)
 - Better cold-starting of CI engines
 - Better CI engine performance, namely less misfire/combustion instability, for light to medium load operation
 - Army request to add these two requirements, to Table A-1 for FT SPK, during last revision to MIL-DTL-83133F was dismissed, will try again for next revision
- Different lubricity specification for DF-2 (HFRR) vs. JP-8

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



BACK-UP SLIDES

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Unclassified



Unclassified

HRJ Properties Study



- HRJ properties indistinguishable from F-T SPK
 - Spec properties (density, freeze, flash, heat of combustion, etc.)
 - Contaminants (metals, oxygenates, etc)
 - Fit-for-purpose properties (lubricity, dielectric, cetane, etc.) (in progress)
 - Combustion operability and emissions (in progress)
 - Material compatibility (in progress)
 - Blend properties (in progress)
- Issues (same as SPK!)
 - Density of blend
 - Aromatic content of blend
 - GHG footprint/sustainability
 - Cost (feedstock for HRJ, plant cost for F-T)

RDECON