



DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

OSD DT&E Perspective: Technology Development and Maturation

Col Rich Stuckey

Principal Assistant to the Deputy Director,
Developmental Test & Evaluation

OUSD(AT&L)/Systems & Software Engineering

September 12, 2007

DT&E – From Concept to Combat

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 12 SEP 2007	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2007 to 00-00-2007			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE OSD DT&E Perspective: Technology Development and Maturation		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Ofc Under Secretary of Defense (AT&L), Systems & Software Engineering, Washington, DC, 20301		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM002182. Presented at the AFRL Technology Maturity Conference held in Virginia Beach, VA on 11-13 September 2007.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	11	



Outline

DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

- SSE Mission
- Systems Engineering Revitalization
- Emerging Systemic Issues
- DT&E Revitalization Focus
- DT&E Technology Maturity Initiative
- Pending Guidance Changes



Systems and Software Engineering Mission Statement

DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

- Shape acquisition solutions and promote early technical planning
- Promote the application of sound systems and software engineering, developmental test and evaluation, and related technical disciplines across the Department's acquisition community and programs
- Raise awareness of the importance of effective systems engineering and drive the state-of-the-practice into program planning and execution
- Establish policy, guidance, best practices, education, and training in collaboration with academia, industry, and government communities
- Provide technical insight to program managers and leadership to support decision making


Evolving System Engineering Challenges

DT&E – From Concept to Combat



Systems Engineering Revitalization Cycle

DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION



DT&E - From Concept to Combat



Top 10 Emerging Systemic Issues

DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

1. Management
 - IPT roles, responsibilities, authority, poor communication
 - Inexperienced staff, **lack of technical expertise**
2. Requirements
 - Creep/stability
 - Tangible, measurable, **testable**
3. Systems Engineering
 - Lack of a rigorous approach, technical expertise
 - Process compliance
4. Staffing
 - Inadequate Government program office staff
5. Reliability
 - Ambitious growth curves, unrealistic requirements
 - Inadequate “test time” for statistical calculations
6. Acquisition Strategy
 - Competing budget priorities, schedule-driven
 - Contracting issues, **poor technical assumptions**
7. Schedule
 - **Realism**, compression
8. Test Planning
 - **Breadth, depth**, resources
9. Software
 - Architecture, design/development discipline
 - Staffing/skill levels, organizational competency (process)
10. Maintainability/Logistics
 - Sustainment costs not fully considered (short-sighted)
 - Supportability considerations traded

Major contributors to poor program performance

DT&E – From Concept to Combat



DT&E Revitalization Focus


DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

- Support Faster Fielding of Improved Capabilities
- **Reduce Risk of Immature Technology in Systems Development**
- Revitalize T&E Workforce Education
- Promote Joint T&E in Live-Virtual-Constructive Environments
- Provide Effective Acquisition Policy and Practices for DT&E



Technology vs. Technical Maturity

DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION



Technology Maturity is a component- or subsystem-level issue



Reduce Risk of Immature Technology in Systems Development

DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

- Immature technology is a primary source of cost and schedule risk
 - GAO
 - QDR
 - DAPA
 - SSE/AS Program Support Reviews
- “Programs that started development with **immature** technologies experienced an average acquisition unit cost increase of nearly **21 percent**” (GAO-05-301, March 2005)
- Milestone B – USD(AT&L) certification that *“the technology in the program has been demonstrated in a relevant environment”* - Technology Readiness Level (TRL) 6 (FY06, PL 109-163, Section 801)



DT&E Technology Maturity Initiative


DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

Purpose

- Add Technology Maturity focus into the Systems Engineering and DT&E processes to:
 - Reduce technical, cost, and schedule risk
 - Increase the rigor of SE
 - Plan for alternatives in the event of TM difficulty
 - Verify TRLs during DT&E

Scope

- Leverage existing acquisition review structure
- Use existing DDR&E Technology Readiness Assessment (TRA) methodology



DT&E – From Concept to Combat



Pending Guidance Changes

DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

- Defense Acquisition Guidebook
 - Chapter 4 (SE)
 - For immature enabling/critical technology, identify mature alternative
 - If enabling/critical component is not likely to reach TRL 6 before MS B, substitution of the mature alternative may be required
 - Chapter 9 (T&E)
 - Validate technology maturation during Technology Development phase
 - DT supports decisions to shift to alternative technology




Increased TM emphasis in OSD Oversight

DEVELOPMENTAL TEST & EVALUATION

- Program Support Review (PSR)
 - ID Critical Technology components/sub-systems?
 - Current TRLs known?
 - ID Mature alternative components/sub-systems?
 - TRL monitoring, Alternative decision date?

- Assessment of Operational Test Readiness (AOTR)

- TM verification results
- DT&E performance results
- IOT&E predictive analysis/M&S



DT&E – From Concept to Combat