

# SYNCHRONIZATION IN PACKET NETWORKS: TIMING METRICS AND MONITORING

**Charles Barry and Srinivas Bangalore**  
**Brilliant Telecommunications**  
**307 Orchard City Drive,**  
**San Jose, CA 95008, USA**  
**E-mail: *srinivas@brillianttelecom.com***

## Abstract

*Packet Delay Variation (PDV) is a major impediment to transferring timing from a source (Master/Server) to destination (Slave/Client) over a packet-switched network. PDV directly affects frequency alignment (syntonization) and asymmetry of PDV impacts time alignment (phase). There is considerable interest in the industry to establish a relationship between PDV and the ability to recover time/phase/frequency via a packet-based method (e.g., PTP, NTP). Recent developments in PDV analysis have indicated that it is feasible to build accurate models of network behavior under varying conditions of load, number of switches, forwarding algorithms, QoS implementation, and so on. It has also been shown that no single metric (e.g., TDEV, minTDEV) is sufficient to characterize PDV and that a suite of metrics is necessary. Study of PDV also develops intuition and permits heuristic approaches to be devised that use nonlinear processing to filtering of the PDV, greatly enhancing the performance of clock recovery compared to linear PLL methods.*

*In this presentation, Brilliant will provide experimental results and demonstrate that: (1) Practice does indeed have relationship to theory. Proper measurements are indeed consistent, repeatable, and significantly predictable; (2) Proper heuristics and multiple metrics provide high-quality clock recovery and knowledge of the transport layer (e.g., GigE, xDSL) can be applied to improve performance.*


# Report Documentation Page

*Form Approved*  
*OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE <b>01 DEC 2008</b>	2. REPORT TYPE <b>N/A</b>	3. DATES COVERED <b>-</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Synchronization In Packet Networks: Timing Metrics And Monitoring</b>		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
		5b. GRANT NUMBER	
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
		5e. TASK NUMBER	
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Brilliant Telecommunications San Jose, CA 95008, USA</b>		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release, distribution unlimited</b>			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>See also ADM002186. Annual Precise Time and Time Interval Systems and Applications Meeting (40th) Held in Reston, Virginia on 1-4 December 2008, The original document contains color images.</b>			
14. ABSTRACT			
15. SUBJECT TERMS			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>	<b>UU</b>
			18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>8</b>
			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

# Implication of Network Evolution

- » Networks will be a hybrid of circuit-switched (i.e. legacy) segments and packet-switched segments
  - Circuit-switching good for real-time, delay-sensitive, low-bandwidth, continuous, 100%-duty-cycle traffic
  - Packet-switching good for non-real-time, delay-insensitive, high-bandwidth, bursty, low-duty-cycle traffic
- » TDM streams (e.g. DS1/E1) will be transported over packet-switched networks introducing Circuit/Packet boundaries



***Networks are heterogenous; packet timing metrics must be flexible to account for different packet forwarding and media access***

BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY 3


# Packet Delay Variation Metrics



- » Metrics that characterize Packet Delay Variation (PDV) are computed from the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  of delay measurements:
  - Probability density function (pdf) or cumulative distribution function (cdf) or histogram - all provide the same information related to amplitude, including:
    - Mean:  $x_{av}$  – Average of all values in the sequence  $x_k$
    - Variance:  $\sigma_x^2 = \langle x_k^2 \rangle - \langle x_k \rangle^2$  {  $\langle \rangle$  is the average }
    - Standard deviation: square root of variance
    - Minimum,  $x_{min}$  : largest value such that  $x_k > x_{min}$
    - Maximum-95 ,  $x_{max}$  : smallest value such that  $P[x_k < x_{max}] > 0.95$
  - Spectral metrics (e.g. TDEV) address temporal distribution
    - Implied sampling interval =  $t_0$  (packet interval)
    - TDEV is a measure of stability of the mean
    - minTDEV estimates stability of the minima



# Interpreting Packet Delay Variation Charts




5 Switches 40% Load w/QoS – Multiple stable peaks in PDV pdf  
min is always useful, but at high loads other metrics, if stable, may be used

BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY

5

# The Need for Multiple Metrics




- » Hardware-based routing/switching
- » Forward packets as soon as possible
- » *min* is a good measure for moderate loads and hop counts
- » Point-to-point Media Access delays
- » Media Access time variability is minimized
- » *Mean* is a good metric, *min* is not
- » Software-based forwarding
- » Processes scheduled to meet real-time deadlines – “just in time” completion
- » Deadline (*max*) may be a more stable metric than *min* at high loads

BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY


6

# The Need for Multiple Metrics



- » Network Reconfiguration Detection
- » Discontinuity in *min* and *mean* is useful to detect network rearrangements
  - Network protection switching
  - Network additions/deletions/outages
- » Variance can be used to provide a measure of confidence of the detection
- » End-point Frequency and Phase must remain stable during revertive and non-revertive rearrangement scenarios

# G.8261 Test Setup – PDV Analysis



## PDV Measurement Tool




- » Measures PDV to 16ns resolution
- » Uses NTP or PTP
- » Logs PDV in Real Time
- » Off-line analysis and modeling
  - Provides ability to improve understanding of network behavior
  - Impact of load, Hop-Count, QoS and forwarding algorithms on network performance
- » Provides insight into the improvement of the time sync algorithms
  - Multiple Metrics – min, mean, max, variance

BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY

9

## ADSL/Femtocell Test Setup




Maintain Femtocell Frequency (and Phase) accuracy over Asymmetric DSL

BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY

10

# ADSL/Femtocell – Delay Variation





Highly Asymmetric Delay; Jitter order of magnitude greater than GbE: 1-2ms vs. 100 $\mu$ s

BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY

11

# ADSL Test Setup – PDF Analysis

ADSL: Highly asymmetric PDF, downstream is more stable (less variance) than upstream


BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY

12

## Deadline-based Software Delay



5 Hops, G.8261 Test Case 3 – 24 Hour Cycle 20% to 80% Load



*Mean* metric is unusable for timing analysis

*Min* metric is extremely stable at low to moderate loads

However, *Max* in this case is a stable metric for timing at high loads

BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY

13

## Concluding Remarks



- » PDV monitoring in Next Generation Networks provides clock distribution assurance
- » Monitoring achieved using timing client/server communications with existing protocols (e.g., PTP, NTP)
  - IEEE1588v2/PTP is suitable for Mobile Backhaul networks
  - NTP is suitable for Femtocell timing over Layer 3 IP Access
- » Multiple PDV metrics may be appropriate for synchronization
  - min is a very useful metric in most, but not all cases
  - min, mean, max and variance have important roles
  - Packet timing must gracefully accommodate heterogeneous networks, media access and network rearrangement scenarios

BRILLIANT PROPRIETARY

14



