Headquarters U.S. Air Force

Integrity - Service - Excellence

Thoughts on the Future of Wargaming

Lt Col Peter Garretson AF/A8XC



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 13 MAR 2008				3. DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
Thoughts on the Future of Wargaming				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Air Force				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 2008 DoD M&S (Modeling and Simulation) Conference, presentations held in Orlando, Florida on March 10 - 14, 2008, The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT UU	OF PAGES 19	RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18





- What's in a wargame?
- The current state of wargaming
- Points of departure / innovation on the edge
- A vision of tomorrow's wargame
- What else could this stuff be good for?
 - "Throughout history new technologies tend to first be used to do old tasks better, only after some time do some people realize that the greatest value of that new technology is to do something entirely different"



When you need the discipline of a thinking Red, (the human dynamic of intention, adaptation and invention)

- As an analytical tool, a wargame is distinct from other exercises and analytical tools such as studies, interviews, models by the discipline of a self-interested, thinking, adaptive red.
- When we require insight into the human element

Good for questions like

- How would red see / react to this action?
- Which of these two would I rather have / do?
- Is this something I would really want to attempt?
- What might happen if? (Discovery)

Unknown Unknowns, new phenomena



- A-Ha's: Insight into effective methods of perceiving or conducting conflict. Opportunity.
 U-Oh's:! Insight that an existing action or method is not going to cut it in the forecast environment. Trouble lies ahead.
- Ooops: That was dumb. Glad I did it in the wargame rather than reality
- Hmm's: Insight that there is an emergent class of phenomena that is insufficiently understood



What is a wargame good for?

Producer Surphs Producer Surphs QL Q* QH QUANTITY



- Simulated experience and mistakes
 - Appreciation of complexities, governing dynamics
- Known factors with an unknown elements "does it still work, what has changed?"
- An unknown element against known elements "What does this mean?"
- Unknown elements with unknown elements "How will all this sort out" (Directed Energy vs. Hypersonic Missiles)







Unknown Elements with Unknown Elements = Discovery

Sometimes, as in a complex system, you have multiple changing variables and unknown and novel elements that must all be mixed at once.

What will it do? That's Futures Game



When unknown elements combine... unpredictable things happen

State changes. Result in stability? What is constant? What changes? What is predictable?



Complex phenomena, unexpected results, discovery of <u>Unknown-unknowns</u> & the <u>creation of novelty</u>



- What are we putting into that mind?
- Is there benefit to a close mapping between certain elements in wargaming?
 - Appreciation of time, distance, timing, difficulty?
 - Understanding of the true time pressures of their own OODA loop?
 - Real time is DIFFERENT than turn-based!



Little more than a "BOGSAT" with:

- Maps, moves, and spreadsheets
- Cumbersome, turn-based, not point & click, not model adjudicated
- Minimally supporting, largely unlinked models
- Not "real time" (by default, not by choice)
- No lower-level commanders
- System complexity is not well understood
 - Not logistics informed, fuel and lift "like air"
 - Where modeled, based on attrition, not maneuver





We have nothing like this modeled!



We Need to be able to play System against System



The importance of "Audience"

We need the ability to see cascading effects



Wargaming is Specific tool in the Spectrum of Decision Support





Points of Departure for Expansion





- Many more smart agents / synthetic warriors
- Decision / Prediction markets
- Artificial-Artificial intelligence (Open source participation)
- Make use of Grid / Cloud computing
- The ability to generate a "history of the future" from complex interlined models
- The ability for many people to play the protagonist and be scored against one another
- Tight geospatial integration with open source data (such as Google Earth & lie weather / traffic feeds)→Scenario agility

- Instability forecasted with "grown" scenario
- Model Adjudicated
- Entity level
- Agent Grown infrastructure & logistics
- Linked underlying models
- Distributed & multi-level MMOG w/LVC plug-ins
- And "Let a thousand flowers bloom" of individual decision & education "mod" games for PME & Decision Support



What else could this be good for?

- Train in variety & complexity to establish mutual trust, and sophisticated understanding of causality
- Distributing intelligence into the institution
 - Each agent gains strategic insight
 - Informed resource & optimization functions
 - User created tools, "mods," on-the-fly content
- Identify & train commanders: Ender's Game
- Deterrence: Show the enemy the future
- Predict instability far in advance & apply "institutional acupuncture"





Taking the simulation into the battle

- Turning games into forecasts
- Forecasting where
- Faster-than-real-time what may happen
- Explore and pare decision trees
- Better C2 interfaces
 - Point & Click to task
 - Fight as you train



We will discover a new set of important phenomena that is not properly modeled

- Because the map is not the territory
- Uncertainty and Novelty are fundamental
- We will move on and try to model those

All attempts to model such complexity are hubris, and are subject to spectacular failure, but we must attempt them anyway because we must have schema to operate.

All models are wrong, but some are useful.