

Flight Test Success through Effective Mission Assurance Strategy



19 November 2008

Mr. Larry Easterwood

MDA/QS Deputy Director - RSA

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A.

Approved for public release;
distribution is unlimited.

Report Documentation Page

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 01 NOV 2008	2. REPORT TYPE N/A	3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Flight Test Success through Effective Mission Assurance Strategy		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
		5b. GRANT NUMBER	
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
		5e. TASK NUMBER	
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) MDA/QS Deputy Director - RSA		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM202644. AIAA Missile Sciences Conference Held in Monterey, California on November 18-20, 2008, The original document contains color images.			
14. ABSTRACT			
15. SUBJECT TERMS			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	UU
			18. NUMBER OF PAGES 12
			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON



The ‘Definition’



FAILURE: OMISSION OF OCCURRENCE OR PERFORMANCE; A FAILING TO PERFORM A DUTY OR EXPECTED ACTION



History of THAAD Flight Test Failures

THAAD PDRR Flight Test Failures

Flight	Date	Failure	Design Issue(s)	Root Cause
FT-2	01-Aug-1995	Flare deploy failure	No clearance, not inspectable, poor teflon choice)	Damaged at installation
FT-3	13-Oct-1995	FPA edges overran CPU	Software overrun condition	No HWIL test
FT-4	13-Dec-1995	Radar error s/w mistake	SW sign flaw	No ground test of SW
FT-5	22-Mar-1996	Failed KV separation	Cramped assy, used beyond design limits	Pinched or nicked lanyard
FT-6	15-Jul-1996	FPA half blinded	No failsafe software	FOD
FT-7	06-Mar-1997	No DACS response	Poor potting compound choice	FOD
FT-8	12-May-1998	TVA short	Exposed hot pins	FOD
FT-9	29-Mar-1999	DACS nozzle break	Poor material properties, excessive shocks	Ground handling damage



Gen. Welch Team Findings

<i>THAAD PD&RR Findings</i>	<i>THAAD Program Changes</i>
Intense time pressure - rush to failure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phased-acquisition strategy during Development relieves schedule risks/pressures • Planned 49 months to first Development flight (compared to 24 months in PDRR) • Event driven program resulted in first flight during month 63
Initial design and fabrication were not subjected to adequate discipline and quality control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concurrent Engineering involvement in early IPTs (design-in: inspectability, testability, and requirement reliability) • Followed disciplined incremental design review process chaired by Program Chief Eng • Increased subcontractor management and on-site presence
Inadequate ground checkout discipline, and pressures to move on to next step	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full qualification of components and sub-assemblies, comprehensive ground tests, and sequential testing with time to fix major problems prior to next test (during Dev) • "Test-as-you-fly" testability designed-in during Development
Changing configurations and goals between flight tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal hardware changes between flights • Software upgrades limited and strategically planned
Fundamental concerns regarding leadership and management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senior management team from end of PDRR remains in place • Contractor Missile lead position elevated to "VP" level
Basic philosophy change needed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Mission Success" and continuous improvement of all processes through metrics • Program philosophy placed technical over cost
Little evidence of systems engineering process and talent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stable System Engineering Team through design reviews and first six flights • Program lead configuration change board • Concurrent engineering approach implemented
Software management and development process not disciplined	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disciplined Engineering Review Board, Software Review Board, Program Change Control Board process in place • All software ground tested in System Integration Laboratory before flight
Inadequate product assurance program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Empowered the THAAD Quality organization (Contractor QA reports directly to Contractor President. Program QA reports directly to THAAD PM) • Approved QA plans in-place and levied on subcontractors • FRACAS system implemented with subcontractors • Quality and Mission Success leaders have a full veto vote on all mission success issues

Program success is a result of the implemented corrective actions and continuous improvement process.



History of THAAD Flight Test Successes



THAAD PDRR Flight Test Successes

Flight	Date	Demonstrated Objective	Video
FT-10	10-Jun-1999	High lofted trajectory unitary target intercept	Video
FT-11	02-Aug-1999	High lofted trajectory separating target intercept	



History of THAAD Flight Test Successes

THAAD WSMR Flight Test Successes

Flight	Date	Demonstrated Objective	Video
FTT-01	22-Nov-2005	Interceptor Controlled Flight Test – demonstrated kill vehicle control	
FTT-02	11-May-2006	Integrated System Test – radar, launcher, TFCC and Interceptor closed loop functionality against a simulated virtual unitary target	
FTT-03	12-Jul-2006	Integrated Element Seeker Characterization Test – intercept of a high-endo unitary target	Video
FTT-04	13-Sep-2006	“No Test” due to target failure	
FTT-05	26-Jun-2007	Interceptor Controlled Flight Test – demonstrated low-endo, high dynamic and aero heating control	



History of THAAD Flight Test Successes



THAAD PMRF Flight Test Successes

Flight	Date	Demonstrated Objective	Video
FTT-06	26-Jan-2007	High Endo Intercept of a Unitary Target	Video
FTT-07	05-Apr-2007	Mid Endo Intercept of a Unitary Target	Video
FTT-08	26-Oct-2007	Exo Intercept of a Unitary Target	Video
FTT-09	25-Jun-2008	Low Endo Intercept of a Separating Target	Video
FTT-10	15-Sep-2008	Exo Intercept of a Separating Target	



Continued Focus On Mission Assurance



- **Technical Requirements on Contract**
- **Supplier Management**
- **Accountability**
- **Mission Assurance Audits**



- **Boots on the Ground**
- **Systems Engineering Focus**
- **Test As You Fly**
- **Robust Ground Testing**
- **Heed Lessons Learned**



How do you ensure Mission Assurance as a *Government Employee?*



- Stay “Hands On”
- Know your program inside and out
- Be a Subject Matter Expert (SME)
- Question decisions not supported by data
- Assure the appropriate Quality / Mission Assurance requirements are incorporated into the Contract
- Assure Quality / Mission Assurance is a voting member of the Program Award Fee Board
- Speak up if something is not right

Mission Assurance is Everyone's Responsibility



How do you ensure Mission Assurance as an Industry Partner?



- **Maintain healthy and robust Mission Assurance program**
- **Know your suppliers**
- **Incentivize your suppliers**
- **Make decisions based on data**
- **Encourage your workforce to speak up if something is not right**



Mission Assurance is Everyone's Responsibility



Summary

An effective Mission Assurance Strategy must:



- **Influence program execution and behavior in order to achieve mission success**



- **Require unfettered access to the highest organizational leadership, programs and supply chain**



- **Be empowered and supported by the highest level of organizational authority**



- **Hold program offices and contractor's accountable for practices impacting mission success**

Mission Assurance is Everyone's Responsibility



Questions?

