

DTIC® has determined on 03 13 2009 that this Technical Document has the Distribution Statement checked below. The current distribution for this document can be found in the DTIC® Technical Report Database.

- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A.** Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.
- © COPYRIGHTED;** U.S. Government or Federal Rights License. All other rights and uses except those permitted by copyright law are reserved by the copyright owner.
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT B.** Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies only (fill in reason) (date of determination). Other requests for this document shall be referred to (insert controlling DoD office)
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT C.** Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies and their contractors (fill in reason) (date of determination). Other requests for this document shall be referred to (insert controlling DoD office)
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT D.** Distribution authorized to the Department of Defense and U.S. DoD contractors only (fill in reason) (date of determination). Other requests shall be referred to (insert controlling DoD office).
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT E.** Distribution authorized to DoD Components only (fill in reason) (date of determination). Other requests shall be referred to (insert controlling DoD office).
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT F.** Further dissemination only as directed by (inserting controlling DoD office) (date of determination) or higher DoD authority.
- Distribution Statement F is also used when a document does not contain a distribution statement and no distribution statement can be determined.*
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT X.** Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies and private individuals or enterprises eligible to obtain export-controlled technical data in accordance with DoDD 5230.25; (date of determination). DoD Controlling Office is (insert controlling DoD office).

## **DARPA CS Study Panel 2007: Final Report**

Noah Smith, Carnegie Mellon University, [nasmith@cs.cmu.edu](mailto:nasmith@cs.cmu.edu)

### 1. Programmatic

I have attended the four Computer Science Study Panel (CSSP) sessions, in April, June, July, and October 2007. I found the sessions to be highly interesting, both as a citizen and as a scientist. Because my research deals with information – in particular, automated processing of language data like text and speech – I saw the greatest connection with my research in the final session when we interact with the intelligence community. I also visited the National Security Agency in August. I gave an overview of my research there and spoke informally with various NSA personnel to learn more about NSA's interests in natural language technology, which I knew prior to this program to be quite strong.

The impact of this program on my research vision was huge. The three key lessons for me are as follows. First, the DOD has more non-technical challenges than it has technical ones; some of these can be addressed by improving basic technical competence and understanding of technology across all levels of the DOD. This probably requires a fundamental change in the way computing (and engineering in general) is presented in college curricula and before. As in many other US organizations, the average DOD employee simply does not understand computers well enough to know how to critically appraise the tools him to help him do his work, or contemplate how they might be made more sophisticated in non-trivial ways. Second, the "information overload" problem is not a problem of filtering; it is a problem of visualization and presentation. "More" data and information are only overwhelming if they are presented badly. Third, intelligent computer systems need to be placed in the hands of DOD people much earlier in the research process; much of what the field works on today will assuredly hold no benefit for the DOD, and will be evaluated by DOD persons much too late to have an effect. This interaction need not be via demonstrations and requirements statements; it would preferably be through natural conversations with the men and women who might use the end-products of academic research, even if the researchers themselves are not creating those end-products.

### 2. Technical

My technical interest is in natural language understanding by computer programs. "Understanding" is an ill-defined goal; normally researchers in my field focus on specific intelligent behaviors (such as summarizing documents or translating sentences between languages), more natural user interfaces (such as speech recognition and synthesis), or deep "understanding" within narrow domains. My particular interest is in core natural language processing tasks (such as syntactic parsing) and learning algorithms that can build these capabilities out of data.

**20090325051**

The third quarter of my participation in the CS Study Group was focused heavily on the submission of a Phase 2 proposal. An abstract of the proposal follows.

We propose to develop RAVINE, a tool for Recombination, Aggregation, and Visualization of Information in Newsworthy Expressions. RAVINE will automatically produce metadata annotating freely available intelligence (specifically, news articles) to show statements attributed to various individuals over time, by different reporters.

RAVINE will perform semantic processing on these attributions to assist the intelligence analyst in tracking multiple potentially conflicting positions over time. Further, RAVINE will provide an interface to permit querying and browsing large collections of news stories based on this metadata, aiding human analysts who wish to understand not only what has been reported in the news, but also variation in news accounts around the world. RAVINE will bring state-of-the-art statistical semantic modeling to bear on the defense analyst's challenge of aggregating information from diverse intelligence sources. Importantly, RAVINE is intended for real-world use by the end of the project, requiring robustness and the ability to broadly cover many topic domains. Statistical natural language processing (NLP) technology is a powerful paradigm for building robust software for annotating text data with linguistic analysis (metadata). It enables designers to train systems from real world data instead of designing them by hand, resulting in systems that behave appropriately in the face of new or noisy inputs. The development of RAVINE will require solutions to several important research problems. Techniques for identifying relationships between news-reported natural language statements made by different people, at different times, and in different contexts will be essential. By automatically classifying these relationships, we can provide a novel visualization of reported news with respect to a given speaker (or organization), event, topic, or point in time. The relationships we aim to model include "echoes" (repetition of the same idea), topical connection (statements relevant to each other), consistent statements, agreeing statements, disagreeing statements, and statements that show a shift in attitude or belief over time. We note that earlier research has studied problems of paraphrase (McKeown, 1979) and textual entailment (Giampiccolo et al., 2007). In order to address this diverse set of semantic phenomena, we will make use of semantic parsing. Semantic parsing is any automated method that constructs a meaning representation—a type of metadata—from a piece of text. There are many different target meaning representations to choose from. Rather than starting from a particular meaning representation meant to serve all purposes, we take the view that different features of meaning are required for different tasks. As we move from simpler relationships (such as echoes) to deeper ones (such as agreement), "deeper" semantic representations will be required. A key element of our research is the

design and large-scale data-driven development of semantic parsers for the particular problems identified. Unlike prior work on semantic parsing (Thompson, Mooney, and Tang, 1997; Zettlemoyer and Collins, 2005), which started with extremely narrow text domains and fixed first-order logic meaning representations, we will start with broad-domain newstext and develop minimally expensive meaning representations that suit our task.

The main product of this research project will be a Web interface that permits browsing of existing news streams in an entirely new way. The intelligence or defense analyst who uses our system will see the news from many sources recombined into a single coherent view that gives the aggregate of information conveyed. By focusing on reported statements and the individuals that made them, our system will provide a natural visualization of a news story in different informational contexts. Attributed statements carry a surprisingly large amount of information in a news story, as can be seen in figure 1. This work goes far beyond existing news aggregation techniques in that we will perform natural language analysis of the content of the news rather than relating stories only at the document level. The combined development of the web tool and the contributions made to language processing research areas discussed above make RAVINE an important step toward large-scale language systems and deeper language understanding.

Our most promising transition partners for Phase 3 are the intelligence agencies: the NSA, the CIA, and the DIA.

### 3. Funding

Incurred expenses:

\$29,089	salary (PI, June-August 2007)
\$6,739	benefits (PI, June-August 2007)
\$21,585	salary and tuition (graduate assistant, Sept.-Dec. 2007)
\$4,001	operating expenses (computer support)
\$7,656	domestic travel (PI – panel sessions 1-4 and HLT-NAACL 2007, NSA visit August 2007, 2 Ph.D. students to HLT-NAACL 2007)
\$2,861	foreign travel (PI – ACL 2007, June-July 2007)
<b>\$71,932</b>	<b>total direct costs</b>
\$28,260	indirect costs
<b>\$100,192</b>	<b>total</b>

I have incurred expenses very slightly exceeding the total budget; the difference will be covered by my discretionary funds at Carnegie Mellon.