LWL CR-04P73 C. 1

> TECHNICAL REPORT NO. LWL-CR-04-P-73 AERIAL RECONNAISSANCE BINOCULARS

> > USALWL TASK 04-P-73

by

R. Lambert General Electric Co. Space Sciences Laboratory

> TECHNICAL LIBRARY BLDG. 305 June 1974 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD STEAP-TL

Final Report

Contract No. DAAD05-74-C-0734

COUNTED IN

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

# U.S. ARMY LAND WARFARE LABORATORY

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005

20080922197

LWL CR-04P73 C. 1 The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

UNCLASSIFIED	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER TECHNICAL REDORT 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NO. LWL-CR-04P-73	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
	<b>T</b> ' 1 <b>D</b>
Aerial Reconnaissance Binoculars	Final Report
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
R. Lambert	
General Electric Company	DAAD05-74-C-0734
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
General Electric Company	
Philadelphia PA 19101	USALWL Task 04-P-73
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
US Army Land Warfare Laboratory	June 1974
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fro '	m Report)
	TECHNICAL LIBRARY
N/A	BLDG. 305
	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	STEAP-TL
None	
None	
	~
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	
A ADSTRACT (Continue on source of the Handler of the the	
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	1
targets from severely vibrating platforms such as	use in the detection of
copters. Actively stabilized optical systems are	usually large and expensive
Studies have shown that a 3x optical aid is nearly	y as effective in target
detection as are 7x50 binoculars. This report des	scribes the design and
fabrication of two pair of 3x binoculars. The imp	portant features are a wide
field-of-view, 18 degrees, and large exit pupils,	10 mm which should aid in
	1

# SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

the detection probability of targets and alleviate problems associated with vibration. Field testing of the optical system is being performed at Fort Hood, TX and the results will be reported separately.

# iv UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

# FOREWORD

This effort was sponsored by the US Army Land Warfare Laboratory (USALWL), Applied Physics Branch, under the technical supervison of H. Clay McDowell. This project was designated 04-P-73, Aerial Reconnaissance Binoculars.

•

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE (DD FORM 1473)	iii
FOREWORD	v
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
INTRODUCTION	1
OPTICAL DESIGN	3
ALIGNMENT AND TESTING	12
SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK	16
APPENDIX	,
Muffoletto Optical Co., Inc., Reports 1 and 2, 2 July and 9 October 1973	A-1
DISTRIBUTION LIST	17

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

.

FIGURE	NO.	Page
1.	Low power, wide angle binoculars	2
2.	Abbe-Porro prism system	10
3.	Lens cell	11
4.	Prism cells	13
5.	Binocular components	14

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	<u>NO.</u>	Page
I.	Meridional Ray Trace from 15 mm Radius at -7.45 Degrees	5
II.	Meridional Ray Trace from 15 mm Radius at -6.31 Degrees	5
III.	Un-vignetted Ray Trace for -6.8 Degree Ray at a Radius of 0.59033 inches	7
IV.	Ray Trace of -10 Degree Ray Near	8

## INTRODUCTION

For several years the US Army Land Warfare Laboratory (USALWL) has been developing optical aids for use in high vibration environments where standard military binoculars (7 x 50) are only marginally helpful to the naked eye in the detection of targets. Stabilized optical aids have been developed but they are cumbersome, expensive and of marginal optical quality in many cases. Target acquisition studies, in non-vibrating environments, have shown that low power optical aids are almost as effective as the seven power devices. The lower optical power will alleviate the vibration problem and also permit a wider field-of-view.

A design study was performed by the Muffoletto Optical Company, Inc.\* to incorporate the above characteristics together with a large exit pupil and good eye relief into a pair of binoculars for airborne use. The design study was further restricted to incorporate off-the-shelf components to the fullest extent possible, consistent with good optical quality, in order to keep costs reasonable.

This report describes the final design, fabrication and testing of two pair of aerial reconnaissance binoculars based substantially on the previous work. Detail changes in the original optical design were undertaken when computer analysis indicated significant improvement in the overall thru-put at large field angles and an increase in the eye relief.

The project was initiated on March 4, 1974 and the binoculars were delivered on May 28 and June 6, 1974. Presently, one set is undergoing field tests at MASSTER, Fort Hood, Texas. Figure 1 is a photograph of the final binocular design.

\*See Appendix A. Reports #1 & 2, Muffoletto Optical Company, Inc., July 2 & October 9, 1973.





#### OPTICAL DESIGN

# 1. Design Goals

Based on the previous design efforts and the intended system application, the following design goal characteristics for the binoculars were established:

> Magnification - 3x Field-of-view - 20 degrees or greater Exit pupil - 10 mm Eye relief - 10-14 mm Size - as small and as compact as possible Design & materials - rugged Components - off-the-shelf, if possible

If all the components were specified and supplied as results of the previous efforts, the only additional design effort required would have been purely mechanical. However, detailed definition of components was not given in the Muffoletto Reports. The reports gave design specifications for the optical components, radii, glass types and component spacings. Implementation of these specifications into hardware resulted in slight variations from the design specifications because of the necessity of placing manufacturing tolerances on all components to be fabricated. The final binocular characteristics were measured and are listed below.

# Binocular Characteristics

Magnification	3.1x
Field-of-view	17.8 degrees
Exit Pupil	9.65 mm
Eye Relief	27,4 mm
Size	4.5 x 8.75 x 3.5 inches
Weight	4.3 lbs

# 2. Computer Design - Ray Tracing of Original Design

Initially, it was thought possible to reduce the diameter of the optical elements within the eyepiece in order to reduce their size and weight. The required diameters were not specified. Tables 1.2 and 1.3 of Report #2 show two meridional ray traces through the system but neither represent the extreme ray with respect to the eyepiece. In order to define the required diameter of the eyepiece components, additional ray traces were therefore required.

Initial ray traces on the eyepiece above indicated that the design yielded a nominal 12 inch focal length, Erfle design, used in the reversed direction from the originally intended orientation. The eyepieces were available from two vendors, A. Jaegers & Edmund Scientific Co. with identical optical designs but slightly different mechanical designs, the Jaeger model being somewhat larger. The eyepiece contains three cemented doublets. The normal field lens (furthest from the eye) is 0.846 inches (21.48 mm) clear radius, as is the middle element. The normal eye lens (nearest the eye) has a 0.649 inch (16.487 mm) clear radius. Ray traces of the reversed eyepiece design show that for a 30 mm diameter entrance pupil, vignetting will start at a half field angle of 6.3 degrees due to physical blockage at the edge of the first element of the eyepiece. This element also limits the field-of-view to something less than a half angle of 10 degrees regardless of the size of the prisms. This design required a minimum of 33 mm diameter prism assembly in order to prevent vignetting of the 9 degree rays at the edges of the prisms.

Two meridional ray traces are shown in Tables I and II. The nomenclature of each column is as follows:

SURF	An optical surface or plane indexing number
C	The curvature of the plane or 1/radius
т	Linear vertex distance of the next surface
N	Intervening index of refraction
Y	Ray height at SURF
UN	Angle of the ray with the horizontal radians

Surfaces 1 to 6 are the objective lens elements, 7 and 8 the entrance and exit surfaces of the Porro Prism and 9 to 17 the surface of the eyepiece elements. Table I represents a ray starting at the very edge of the objective aperture stop (15 mm) at an angle of - 7.40, which gets vignetted at surface 9, the entrance of the eyepiece since the eyepiece diameter is only 0.649 inches but the ray strikes at - 0.709 inches. Obviously, a ray starting at a steeper negative angle would be vignetted since it would strike surface 9 at a greater radius. Table II shows a ray initiated at - 6.41 degrees which is just barely vignetted at surface 11, the last element of the first cemented doublet. This ray represents the extreme field half angle for which there is no vignetting at the eyepiece. Additional ray

# TABLE I

Meridional Ray Trace from 15 mm Radius at -7.45 Degrees

SURF	С	T	N	Y	U.N.
0	2.	0.10000E-03	1.0000	0.59005E+00	-0.13000E+00
1	0.1786	0.20000E+00	1.5167	0.58602E+00	-0.12143E+30
2	-0.2969	0.10000F+00	1.0000	6.57132E+00	-0.28119E+00
.3	-0.4454	0.50000E-01	1.6475	0.54795E+00	-1.64086E-01
1	-0.1107	0.100005+04	1.0092	0.54142E+00	- 0.14533E+00
5	0.1852	N. 20.000E+00	1.5167	0.52073E+40	-0.12872E+03
6	-0.2903	0.19008E+00	1.0000	0 . 5028 7E+03	-0.27835E+00
7	9.	A. 47500E+01	1.5170	0.46375E+00	-0.18213E+00
8	0.	0.95300E+00	1.0002	-0.41136E+00	-0.27835E+00
9	0.3567	0.87000E-01	1.6492	-0.70948E+00	-0.58041E-01
10	0.8732	0.56500E+00	1.5170	-0.72432E+00	-0.14189E+.00
11	-0.5858	0.16000E-01	1.0000	-0.74358E+00	0.28481E-01
12	2.2864	0.10900E+00	1.6492	-0.73604E+00	0.10126E+00
13	0.7032	0.61130F.+00	1.517	-0.71343E+00	0.61591E-01
14	-0.4677	0.20000E-01	1.000	69474F.+ 00	0.28815E+00
15	1.5587	0.57200E+00	1.5176	-10.62143E+100	0.31081E+00
16	-0.5587	0.67400E+00	1.617	1 -0.49597E+00	0.26981E+00
17	0.1575	0.284002+00	1.0000	-0.28947E+00	0.41335E+00
18	<b>10</b> •	ý) •	1.000	-0.16780E+00	0.41335E+00

# TABLE II

Meridional Ray Trace from 15 mm Radius at -6.31 Degrees

-					
SURF	С	T	N	Y	J.N
3	3.	11-19030E-03	1.0000	0.59005E+00	-0.110000E+00
1	6.1786	0.20000E+00	1.5167	0.58664E+00	-0.10828E+00
2	-1.2969	0.10000E+00	1.0000	0.57359E+00	-0.26044E+00
3	-3.4454	0.50000E-01	1.6475	0.55221E+00	-11.51741E-01
4	-0.1107	4.10000E+00	1.0000	0.54691E+00	-0.12515E+00
5	0.1852	0.239001E+00	1.5167	0.52898E+00	-0.11594F+00
6	-1.2903	1000015+00	1.0000	0.51319E+00	-0.25973E+00
7	·A •	0.47500F+01	1.5170	0.47640E+00	- 0.17011E+00
8	.1.	0.95300E+60	1.0000	-1.33954E+00	-0.25773E+00
9	0.3567	0.87040E-01	1.6490	-0.61067E+00	-0.63863E-01
1 0	0.8772	0.56500F+00	1.5170	-0.62381E+00	-0.13077E+00
11	-10.5858	8-160008-01	1.0000	-0.65642E+00	0.12190E-01
12	1.2864	0.10900E+00	1.6491	-0.65387E+00	3.51884E-01
13	0.7.132	0.61100E+00	1.5170	-0.63760E+00	0 . 46563E-01
14	-0.4677	0.236365-01	1.3000	-3.62045E+00	0.23764E+00
15	1.5587	0.57200E+00	1.5170	-0.57070E+00	0.26729E+00
16	-10.5587	J. 67 000E+30	1.6170	-0.45580E+00	1.23184E+00
17	10.1575	0.23400E+00	1.0000	-0.28222F+00	0.350 43E+00
18	.) •	VI •	1.0000	-0.18070E+00	0.35043E+00

traces show an exit pupil of 9.702 mm and an eye relief of 7.21 mm for the 0.6 zone of the objective lens.

One interesting item is that reversing the eyepiece from its intended design orientation yields a good spacing 0.953 inches (24.2 mm) between the last surface of the Porro Prisms and first surface of the eyepiece. Potential problems, arising from real optical/mechanical tolerances and infinity focusing are thereby alleviated.

# 3. Ray Traces - Eyepieces Normal Mode

Analysis of the Muffoletto design, with the eyepiece placed in the originally intended orientation, yields the following calculated parameters:

Exit Pupil	= 9.69  mm
Eye Relief	= 24.8 mm ·
Prism minimum unvignetted aperture	= 33 mm
Unvignetted half-field	=6.8 degrees

Table III shows the extreme unvignetted ray trace through this system. Table IV shows a -10 degree ray passing nearly through the center of the lens. Unlike the previous design, where no energy 10 degree off-axis passed through the system, all -10 degree off-axis rays from the center to a -15 mm zone is transmitted. Conversely, all +10 degree rays from 0 to +15 will also be transmitted. Whereas the previous design was angularly limited in field extent due to the vignetting of the first element of the eyepiece, this arrangement will be field limited by the physical size of the prisms.

Off-axis transmission in the original design falls to zero at 9.5 degree half angle, while with the eyepiece in the forward orientation, the transmission is approximately 50 percent of full aperture at a 10 degree half angle.

Table IV also shows what the effect of field angle is on eyepiece size. Notice that surface 12, the first surface of the middle doublet of the eyepiece, the -10 ray requires a clear radius of 0.813 inches. Reducing the size of eyepiece elements to reduce overall weight has the effect of reducing the effective aperture at the wide angular field. It should be clear that reducing the diameter of the objective lens does little to reduce the required diameter of the eyepiece elements, since the element clear diameter is primarily determined by the wide field angle requirement.

Placing the eyepiece in the conventional manner reduces the spacing between the last surface of the Porro Prism and the first surface of the eyepiece. Optical and mechanical tolerances in this configuration are therefore more critical.

# Un-Vignetted Ray Trace for -6.8 Degree Ray at a Radius of .59033 Inches

STIRF	С	Т	N	Y	UN .	
(3)	11 .	100000E-03	1.0.100	0.59005E+00	-0.12000F+00	)
1	0.1786	0.2000005+00	1.5167	1. 58633E+00	-11486-+40	1
2	-11-2969	0.10000E+00	1.0030	0.57246E+00	-0.27080E+00	ĵ
3	-0.4454	J. 50000E-01	1.6475	0.55009E+00	-0.57914E-01	
1	-0.11.07	0.10600E+00	1.0000	0.544175+00	-0.13583E+40	)
5	0.1852	0.200005+00	1.5167	0.52486E+00	-0.12233E+00	)
6	-0.2913	0.140A0E+00	1.0000	0.50804E+00	-0.26903E+00	1
7	ন •	0. 47 500E+01	1.5170	0.47008E+00	-0.17612E+00	3
3	<i>i</i> ) •	0.29430E+00	1.00.10	-0.37525E+00	-0.26903E+00	1
9	-10-1575	10.67:000F+ 41.	1.6170	-0.45195E+00	- A . 1930 6E+00	3
1 13	0.5587	0.572305+01	1.517.3	-0.606815+40	-0.23297E+00	)
11	-10.5587	(J. (2.).).)+=v(1	1 . 0.0100	-0.68504E+10	-0.14899E+00	)
12	1. 1677	1.611.1(1F+0)1	1.5170	-0.727668+00	W . 2800 3E-31	
1.3	-11.7132	0.1.190.0E+(10	1.6491	-).71959F+00	-0.21284F-01	
1 1:	-1.2464	3-14000E-01	1 . 333 9.0	-0.72446E+.00	10.10381E+02	)
15	1- 5K5K	0.56511F+11	1.517)	-0.69927E+.W	0.21430F+00	)
16	-1.8772	1.87 d. 1 (F- 1)	1.6691	-J. 65376E+00	0.13127E+00	,
17	-3.3567	8.07 5 (1) + (1)	1 . 9.9)	-1.624362+01	1.367418+10	ţ
1 \	12.		1	-0.19657E+00	1.2-57/1-+.1.	,

# TABLE IV

# Ray Trace of -10 Degree Ray Near Objective Lens Center

SURF	C	Т	N	•	Y	- <b>x</b>	U.V.
53	• (·)	0.10000E-03	1	.0000	10 .		-0.17400E+00
1	0.1786	0.20000E+00	1	•5167	-10.1	7578E-04	-0.11439E+00
2	-1.2969	0.10009E+00	1	.0000	-0.2	2987E-01	-0.17039E+00
3	-10.4454	0.50400E-01	1	.6475	- 10 . 1	40145E-01	-0.11022E+00
1	-0.1107	0.1000+3+3A	1	.13/0/0/0	-13.2	45705E-01	-0.17887E+00
5	0.1852	9.20(40)F+00	1	.5167	-0.6	53875E-01	-0.11347E+00
5	-0.2903	0.10000E+02	1	.0000	-13 . 8	36500E-01	-0.15935E+00
7	17 .	0.47500E+01	1	.5170	-10 . 1	0275E+00	-7.10479F+00
8	1° •	0.29430E+30	1	· () (1() ()	-13 . 1	54234E+04	-0.15935E+00
4	-11.1575	0.67000€+00	1	.6170	-13 . 4	54437E+00	-3.13733E+00
1	0.5587	0.57200E+00	1	.5170	-0.	76523E+00	-10.18107E+00
11	-11.5587	(A • 2.) (A) (A) E − () 1	1	• (4)(3)(4	-0.8	50361E+00	-9.25706E-01
12	0.4677	1.61100円+60	1	.5170	-0	31316E+04	0.12059E+00
13	-0.7032	()•139()5()(+)()	. 1	.6490	-0.1	78741E+00	0.53797E-01
14	-11.2864	() • 16:010() E=01	1	.0000	-0.1	77335E+00	0-245095+00
15	6.5858	0.5650ME+00	1	·5170	-10 .	70908E+00	0.30794E+00
.16	-2.3772	0.870004-01	1	. 6490	-11 . 1	64123E+WM	0.21179F+00
17	-11-3567	0.978005+00	1	.0000	-10 - 5	59377E+00	0.53446E+00
13	16 <b>1 •</b>	·)•	1	•1000	1) . ?	22784E-W1	0.53446E+00

## 4. Prisms

Report #1 in Appendix A adequately discusses the various types of erecting prism systems and shows that Porro Prisms are best for the erecting system. A normal version of the Porro Prism erecting system employs two right angle prisms placed at 90 degrees to one another (See Report #1, Appendix A, Figure 13.33). This version of the Porro design leads to a mechanical interference between the eyepiece and the side of one prism with the eyepiece used in the normal configuration. A second version called the Abbe-Porro design is shown in Figure 2. This configuration has identical optical characteristics with those of the normal Porro design but eliminates the mechanical interference problem.

Optical ray traces show that for the very wide field angles, all rays will not be totally internally reflected at the reflecting surfaces of the prism assembly. Each of the four reflecting surfaces are therefore coated with an evaporated layer of aluminum. All surfaces except the entrance and exit aperture were sprayed with black lacquer for stray light reduction and mechanical protection.

After placing the order for the prism, it was discovered that these prisms were no longer available from surplus stock but were now built by the optical section of Jaeger. Since these prism assemblies are cemented, centering alignment cannot be adjusted after assembly. Jaegers had no alignment procedure other than placing the elements on a flat plate while glue dried. Since collimation is critical to binocular systems, alignment of the prism elements prior to cementing to assure no vignetting due to the prism relative position is essential. This alignment was performed in-house using a laser reference beam during the cementing process. This procedure will be described in the section on alignment.

# 5. Objective Lens

Specifications of the triplet objective radii and spacings were given in Report #2 of Appendix A. The necessary clear radius of each element was determined by the ray trace of 10 degree off-axis rays striking the objectives at a 15 mm radius. Tolerances were specified as  $\pm$  0.005 inches in thickness,  $\pm$  0.003 inches in diameter, 0.25 percent on each radius and optical/mechanical axis to be aligned within 5 min of arc. Each element was coated with  $\frac{1}{2}$  wave of MgF<sub>2</sub> to reduce reflective losses.

An anodized aluminum cell is used to hold the three elements co-axial and at the proper element spacing. The design details as well as the two lens elements spacers are shown in Figure 3. The cell is in turn screwed into the cover of the prism housing.

#### MIRROR AND PRISM SYSTEMS.

Abbe's Modification of the Porro Prism System. This prism system consists of two prisms cemented together. It will invert and revert the image. The system is a direct vision prism but the line of sight will be displaced by the amount d.







Figure 2a - Abbe prism system.



Figure 2b - Abbe prism system tunnel diagram.



Figure 3 - Lens Cell

# 6. Prism Housing

Figure 4 shows the details of the support housing for the prism assembly. There is a right and left hand housing, connected by a hinge. The hinge is positioned so as to change interpupillary distance of the eyepiece as the housings are rotated. A maximum spacing of 82 mm and a minimum of 64 mm is provided limited by the diameter of the eyepiece housings.

The black anodized aluminum housings have two mounting pads machined into the inner walls and a third machined just above the geometrical center of the housing. These pads serve as the mounting points for holder of the prism assembly. The holder or "basket" assembly was fabricated from 0.020 inch stainless steel sheet. Mounting tabs are welded to each "basket" end. The third tab is part of the bar passing between the two top prisms which is attached at each end to the "basket." Two set screws in the bar force the prism assembly solidly into the "basket" locking the assembly in place. Tubular shims, one on each mounting pad, are cut to length as required to adjust tilt of the "basket"/prism assembly for final alignment. Figure 5 shows the components of one side of the binocular.

# 7. Eyepieces

Erfle eyepieces in housing mounts were directly available. Ray tracings indicated that virtually all the glass was necessary to provide high throughput at the large off-axis angles. Each eyepiece is individually adjusted for best focus. A very long eye relief allows the user to wear eyeglasses while using the binocular; however, eyecups proved to be necessary to maintain the eye at the exit pupil. Three types of eyecups were provided: flared, reversed cone and asymmetric. The standard flared cups proved to be uncomfortable due to little nose room between eyecups. The asymmetric pair required a special mount which could be easily counterotated with respect to the eyepieces. The reversed cone pair gave good nose room, but tended to be somewhat uncomfortable if pushed against the eye socket. Since they were symmetrical, focusing was very conveniently achieved. The user is free to choose which set is most comfortable.

To verify the computer ray tracing results, one set of eyepieces was modified so that they could be used in the original Muffoletto configuration. Knurled rings or eyecups were not provided for this set.

#### ALIGNMENT AND TESTING

## 1. Prism Alignment Cementing

Prism alignment was accomplished using a He-Ne laser beam and two screens placed perpendicular to one another with each approximately 12 feet from the alignment fixture. One screen was physically on the ceiling, the other returned the beam to the laser via a retro-reflecting mirror. A plumb line from the ceiling was used to position a beam splitter which reflected half the energy through the base prism to the ceiling target. The base prism was held in a two-axis mount whose tilt was controlled by micrometer drives. Tilt adjustment positioned the base prism perpendicular to the laser beam. The beam splitter was then removed and one prism placed on the base prism.



Figure 4 - Prism Cells



# Figure 5 - Binocular Components

The laser beam image at the ceiling target was positioned by rotation and tilt of the top prism. The prism was cemented in place using UV-71, a UVsetting cement. The second prism was added and the return beam at the laser face was positioned by tilt and rotation of this prism, which in turn was then cemented in place. The return beam was controlled to an angular error of less than 1 milliradian.

# 2. Collimation

By agreement, collimation specifications Mil-B-8568A (ASG), para, 3,4,5 (b) were applied. The beam from a He-Ne laser was split into two parallel components separately by a convenient interocular space. The direction of the beams were made parallel by retro-reflecting both beams back to the source over a total path length of 400 inches. In this way, the beams were easily co-aligned to within 1 milliradian. The two pencil beams were inserted into the centers of each eyepiece and the reflection from each element's surface co-aligned by translation and rotation of the binocular. The output beams were observed on a reference target approximately 200 inches distant. Reference marks corresponded to the zero positions of the two pencil beams and the centers of the objective lens. The two exit beams were adjusted to fall on the objective center references within 1.12 milliradians convergence, and 2.24 milliradians in divergence in the plane defined by the entrance pencils, and within 1.12 milliradians in the perpendicular direction. The angular specifications translate to linear dimensions of 0.219 inches and 0.438 inches, respectively.

Alignment was an iterative procedure in that the prism was positioned, an objective lens installed and the resulting laser beam position with respect to the reference marks observed. Depending on the direction and amount of misalignment, the appropriate shim length was determined, the monocular disassembled, new shims inserted in the appropriate positions, the system reassembled and another observation of the laser position noted. When alignment is achieved, each of the three retaining screws and all cover screws are cemented with "Lock-Tite" to secure their position in a vibrating environment.

After collimation is achieved, the position and size of the exit pupils were determined by placing the binocular, focusing at infinity, in the output beam of a 12-inch Newtonian collimator. A frosted glass screen was positioned at the best focus by visual observation. The size and diameter of the exit pupils were then measured.

Field of view was measured by observing the source at infinity and rotating the binoculars on an indexing head until the image appears at the edges of the field. A measurement of the respective angular position gives the total angular field. A circular field stop was painted on the exit window of each prism. The diameter of the stop is equal to the width of the prism (32 mm). Without such a stop, the field was square. The corners, at approximately 25 degrees total field angle, were distorted quite badly and therefore not useful. The field stop was incorporated to eliminate this unusual square field. The design of a wide angle, low power binocular system was completed and two units were fabricated. Their characteristics match quite closely the computer predictions. Presently, one unit is undergoing field tests to evaluate the effectiveness of the system when compared to other stabilized and unstabilized reconnaissance optical aids.

High optical efficiency at wide field angles necessitated large optical components in the eyepiece. The present design has high brightness across the entire field of view. Since the eye has a logarithmic power response, it is possible that fairly large vignetting at the field edges may not be objectionable. Smaller elements could reduce the weight of the eyepieces significantly and would thus significantly reduce the overall system weight. The current system weighs too much for operational field usage. Using the present system, the size of the eyepiece components consistent with objectionable vignetting can be empirically determined very readily. The results can be used as inputs for further computer analysis to determine the impact on other optical components within the system.

To further reduce weight, other optical materials such as plastics could be considered. The prisms, since they have no optical power, may be readily changed to low density materials if the optical quality and high transmission can be obtained in large components. Changing the objective lenses or eyepiece components to plastic material would probably require re-design. However, a study should be made to locate good quality, light weight optical materials and a determination made concerning the impact such material would have on the overall design.

APPENDIX

PH :: AREA CODE 301 :-8400 .

> MUFFOLETTO OPTICAL COMPANY, INC. 6100 EVERALL AVENUE BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21206

PARADOLIC MIRRORS SCHLIEREN SYSTEMS OPTICAL INSTRUMENTS CONSULTING

OPTICAL FLATS SPHERICAL MIRRORS

# July 16, 1973

# REPORT #1

Work Statement #SK-R0-503 Specification #SK-R0-409 Re: P.O. #86HJ-DM-88593-0S, dated 7/2/73

# Investigation of Wide Angle Binocular Prisms:

This report concerns the first preliminary look at the Aberdeen  $(Lw^{L})$ 3X binoculars as discussed in the meeting at the Kuffoletto Optical Company, Inc. and during discussions between Frank Kaisler and our consultant, <sup>Dy</sup>John Goodell. The principal subject here is the erecting prism type, including arguments for and against various types.

The prism types included are: Porro, Lehman (also called Abbe and Koenig), and Pechan. The main determining factor in prism type in any binocular and especially in the present design is the length to aperture ratio. This determines whether or not the primary image from the objective will fall within or outside the prism.

Design constraints on the present binoculars make this a critical factor. For example, the binoculars are to have at least a twenty degree field of view; the exit pupil must lie 14 millimeters from the explice and have a director of 10 millimeters which forces the objective to have a director of 30 millimeters. The f/3 system produces (assuming the entrance pupil is coincident with the objective) a 90 millimeter focal length for the objective. Obviously, the optical length of the prism must be less than 90 millimeters.

Consideration of the field of view and aperture indicates appreximately a 30 millimeter diameter beam frem the objective to the primary focal plane. This means that the prime must have at least a 30 millimeter diameter.

The set of figures indicates various prich types and includes length to aperture ratios. The parameter, t, the tunnel length is the important one to consider. For a Ferro pair without truncated edges this ratio is four; for an Abbe the ratio is 5.1962 whether the Abbe is roofed or not; and, for an unroofed Pechan the ratio is 4.6213. Some confusion prices at this juncture concerning a roofed Pechan. Our calculations indicate that a roofed Pechan will have a length to aperture ratio of 6.95.

Precision Lenves and Prisms of Glass, Quartz, Fluorite, Silicon, etc.

Obviously, none of these prism types would work if they weren't optically shortened by the refractive index. For an index of 1.5 the Porro shortens to 2.67, the Abbe shortens to 3.4253 and the <u>unroofed</u> Pechan shortens to 3.064.

According to this consideration, the only prisms possible are Porros. There is another possibility however, and that is to use glasses with higher refractive indices. For example Schott Glass SF6 with an index for the D line of 1.805 would reduce the Porro lengths to 2.216, the Abbe lengths to 2.879, and the <u>unreofed</u> Pechan to 2.73. All these lengths are within the f/3 limit.

Note that the Fechan for which the calculations have been made is unroofed. This prism type would only revert (or invert) the image. Reversion and inversion requires either two Pechans in series or a roofed Pechan. Our calculations indicate that a roofed Pechan even with Schott SF6 would have an optical length of 3.850 times its aperture suggesting that a Pechan would not work in an f/3 system or faster system.

John Goodell discussed this with Frank Kaisler and Kaisler suggested a different roof type. Ray tracing found noticeable vignetting with Kaisler's roof. This was pointed out to him.

Frankiy, we are a little puzzled here since it has been stated that f/2.5 designs exist with Pechans, contrary to the present findings. It appears that a Pechan would only work if: 1. The system were slower than f/3; 2. The image fell within the prism; 3. Vignetting was allowed.

Allowing the primary to fall within the prism body has the drawback that a field lons would be difficult to insert.

As a result of these calculations, Perro prisms seem to be the best condicate in terms of satisfying the f/3 requirement, the field of view, and the necessary sparture to length ratio. They do not, of course, produce in line images.

Ve do also have the possibility of using Abbe prisms providing we use glass of high refractive index. This has a possible difficulty that high index glasses almost always have high dispersions. This may or may not rule out prisms with high refractive indices. This will be known only after some preliminary ray tracing. It still must be considered a possibility.

At present we don't see how Pechans can be made to work in f/3 systems without vignetting. So, although they are not completely excluded, they are to be less recommended then the other types.

After a rather extensive search for existing "off the shelf" prisms, we have found a pair of Perro prisms which may work with some medification. No other types (tehman, Abbe, Keenig, Pechan, etc.) have been found that are adoptable to this system. This, of course, enhances the Perro prism argument even further, at least for use in a preliminary system.

The accompanying figures included descriptions of Porro prisms, Abbe prisms (types A and B), and a Pechan prism. These descriptions come from the Military Standardization Handbook for Optical Design (HIL-HOBK-141.) In addition there are two drawings showing tunnel diagrams for roofed Pechans, including a Descriptive Geometry exercise which indicates vignetting in one type of Pechan roof.

Note from the Military Handbook specification of the Porro that truncating is included in one type of Porro. This is not necessary and increases the optical length, hence is not completely desirable. In the preceeding discussion the shorter, untruncated prism with a length to aperture ratio of four substituted for the truncated version.

MUFFOLETTO OPTICAL COMPANY, INC.

C. Verne Muffoletto

President

# MIRROR AND PRISM SYSTEMS

# MIL-HDBK-141

13.10.2 Porro Prism System. In 1850 the Italian engineer Porro designed the prism system discussed here. This system consists of two right-angle prisms, usually identical in construction, placed at right angles to each other. It is a direct vision prism system but the axis is displaced by the amount d. This system will invert and revert the image.







Figure 13.33-Porro prism system.

-



Figure 13.34-Perro prism tunnel diagram.

## MIL-HOBK-141

13.10.4 Abbe Prism. Type A. This prism inverts and reverts the image, but will not deviate the line of sight; hence, it is a "Direct Vision Prism." The prism is made in two pieces which are comented together.





Figure 13.38-Abbe prism, type A, tunnel diagram.

#### MIRROR AND PRISM SYSTEMS

#### MIL-HDBK-141

13.10.5 Abbe Prism, Type B. This prism is made of three single units which are comented together. This prism will invert and revert the image but will not deviate the line of sight. This also is a "Direct Vision Prism."





Prism #2





Prism #1 and 3

A = 1.00  $\theta$  = 135°  $\omega$  = 45°  $\phi$  = 60°  $\psi$  = 30° n = 1.5170 d = 0.7071 Å = 0.7071 t/n = 3.4253 b = 0.5773 Å = 0.5773 Å = 1.1547 Å = 1.1547 L = 3.4641 Å = 3.4641 t = 5.1962 Å = 5.1962 Figure 13.39-Abbe prism, type B.



Figure 13.40-Abbe prism, type B, tunnel diagram.

MIL-HOBK-141

13.10.12 Pechan Prism. The prism performs the same duties as the Harting-Dove prism but it has one great advantage over the latter inasmuch as it may be placed in convergent or divergent light. This will permit the reduction in length or height of the instrument. It will invert (as shown) or revert the image, - depending on its orientation. It may displace the line of sight if not properly centered but it will not deviate it. The surfaces marked B are silvered and covered with a protective coating. The unsilvered reflecting surfaces of the prism are separated by a distance of about 0.002 inch.



UNNEL ENGTH ENGTH PEC. FOOL 1 ... JZA -----N PEC Z ·-- W X/N -- 4 4. PECHAN WITH A ROOF +~ 6.95 A 10 ---The smaller-prism is-the-normal prism which is the second of the unroofed Fechan pair. The roof in .... this case goes across the bottom of the first prism. The shorter vertical line represents the tunnel length, t. The longer vertical line represents the longer tunnel length, corresponding to the roofed Pechan. . .. The dotted lines indicate the ray path for the larger second prism when it is in contact with the first prisn. The solid lin through the second prism represents the ray path through the second prism when nust be separated from the first prism in order for the optical line of sight to be undeviated. A-8

Descriptive Geometry techniques showing various Pechan faces. The purpose of the view is to show vienetting for the roof type proposed herein. The roof top is at the same height as the top of an unroofed Pechan. The sloting roof sides parallel the 22.5 degree slope of the second prism tor. The three rays designated as 1.2, and 3 show that only the ray 1 is not vignetted. The other two rays intersect the second roof plane only after intersecting the trism rear face. Such rays would contribute to the optical/mage. On the contrary they would appear as scattered light and would reduce contrast.

The rays come from incident rays parallel to the optical axis. Further tracing would show that upper rays are not vignetted in this configuration, but that rays at the bottom of the aperture are cut off..



Rays 1,2, and 3 cone from incident rays across the price face at the height shown by the incident ray moreod, A

# MUFFOLETTO OPTICAL COMPANY, INC.

6100 EVERALL AVENUE BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21206 OPTICAL FLATS SPHERICAL MIRRORS PARABOLIC MIRRORS SCHLIEREN SYSTEMS OPTICAL INSTRUMENTS CONSULTING

October 9, 1973

# REPORT #2

Work Statement #SK-R0-508 Specification #SK-R0-409

# Re: P.O. #85HJ-DM-88593-OS, dated 7/2/73

#### FINAL DESIGN OF WIDE ANGLE AERIAL RECONNAISSANCE BINOCULARS

#### DISCUSSION:

This report describes a design for a special binocular type. The design constraints are as follows:

- 1. Power ..... 3X
- 2. Field of View ..... 20 degrees
- 3. Exit Pupil Size ..... 10 millimeters
- 4. Eye Relief ..... About 10 millimeters
- 5. Spectral Region ..... Total visible

Also, size and weight shall be compatible with hand-held requirements. For economic reasons, the design shall utilize existing components as much as possible.

The accompanying data will show that the design criteria have been met. The power is almost exactly 3%. The eye relief, here determined by the eyepiece, is close to 10 millimeters. The system has been achromatized in the visible spectral region and, over its entire field of view, has a resolution comparing very favorably with that of the human eye (the eye resolves 206 arc seconds.) The device is small and light. The objective and prisms are matched to a Military (probably World War II design) Erfle eyepiece. This reduces cost significantly since it climinates the need for a new eyepiece design and manufacture, and also makes use of existing prisms. All glasses are common and readily available. All surfaces are spherical or plane.

Report #1, dated 7/2/73, fully investigated erecting prisms and showed why final selection of Porro prisms was made. We have found available Porro

Precision Lenses and Prisms of Glass, Quartz, Fluorite, Silicon, etc.

A-10

54-8400

1 #1

prisms which can be used by a modification which will reduce the cest to less than one-third the price of new prisms.

After investigating three available Erfle eyepieces, one was selected as clearly the best suited for this application and we were fortunate enough to obtain the design of same (through the efforts of Mr. Frank Kaisler of Westinghouse) from Frankfort Arsenal (see page 4, Lens and Prism Description). This has resulted in cost saving of \$1100.00, per page 2 B, 1b cost information of MOC proposal dated 6/21/73. This eyepiece exists completely mounted in a lightweight aluminum focussing mount and may be used "as is." For the sake of greater compactness and some weight reduction, it is possible to de-mount these lenses and reduce their diameters. They can then be re-mounted in a completely new barrel and focussing mount.

Further investigation shows that the center post from existing commercial 10 x 50 binoculars may be utilized to connect both binocular barrels and adjust interpupilary distances. Also, three achromatic doublet lenses were obtained and evaluated for possible use; although the results gave us useful information, we proved that achromatic doublets cannot do this job.

Beyond this, there seems to be no further use of existing parts.

# I. THE DESIGN

Table 1.1 lists the vortex locations, radii and glass types for all binocular elements. Thickness of each individual element can be derived by subtracting vertex distances. Thus, the first vertex lies at the coordinate, zero inches, while the second vertex is at the coordinate .2 inches, indicating a vertex thickness for the first lens of .2 inches = 0 inches = .2 inches. The total prion length is 5.5 inches - .75 inches = 4.75 inches. This includes the total <u>physical</u> ray path through <u>both</u> Porro priems.

Note that the Erflo eyepiece has three cemented doublet combinations. This is indicated by identical radii and vertex locations.

A triplet comprises the objective primarily because of the 20 degree field requirement, in order to avoid sharp curvatures possibly required by off-axis corrections. The negative dement lies between the two positive elements in order to place the principal plane near the rear element. This allows the objective focal plane in these extra wide angle binoculars to fall beyond the final prism surface.

Figure 1.1 is a full scale drawing of the telescope with the prion unfolded. The prion length equals the actual physical length of glass which the light rays must traverse. The dotted lines in the diagram indicate ray directions in the absence of a prism. The Erfle cycpicce is shown only in schematic as a block, but to scale. Note that the telescope will be quite short since

FULL SCALE LAYOUT OF THE 3X BINOCULAES (one side)

:





# LENS AND PRISM DESCRIPTION

	VERTEX LOCATION (in.)	RADIUS (in.)		GLAS	S	
TRIPLET	0.2	5.6 ~3.36779	*	BK7	517642	
OBJECTIVE	• 3 • 35	-2.245 -9.03577	*	SF2	648339	
	.45 .65	5.4 -3.44451	*	BK?	517642	
PRISM	.75 5.5	inf. inf.	*7*	BSC2	517645	
	6.45992 6.54692	2.803 1.14	ंत्रेः	EDF1	619338	
ERFLE	6.54692 7.11192	1.14 -1.707	x-x-	BSC2	517645	
EYEPIECE	7.12792 7.23692	3.492 1.422	**	EDF1	649338	
	7.23692 7.84792	1.422 -2.138	stere.	BSC2	517645	
×	7.86792 8.43992	1.79	***	BSC2	517645	
	8.43992 8.50692	-1.79 6.347	dete.	DBC2	617549	

\* Schott Optical Glass Works, Optical Glasses

\*\*\* Corning Glass Works, Optical Glasses

# Table 1.1

Vertex coordinates, radii, and glass types for all elements of the binoculars.

the prism face,  $B = B^*$  in the actual device will lie in the same plane as the face  $A = A^*$ . A second drawing shows the prism cross section, assuming a Porro.

A design does not prescribe any specific prism type. The field of view, however, requires a prism with a large aperture in order to avoid vignetting at the field margins. A Forro has the best aperture to length ratio and therefore appears to be the most suitable prism for a prototype. It is probably also the least expendive type.

Table 1.2 is a computer print-out of the ray coordinates and direction posines as the input ray, entering the front surface of the objective at a height of .6 inchos (the marginal ray height) traverses each lens surface and finally leaves the Erfle cycpiece. I is zero since the ray is meridional. Z is distance along the optic axis referred to the first lens vertex. Y is the ray height. L is the direction cosine, here always zero for the meridional ray. M is the Y direction cosine, also the sine of the angle the ray makes with the optical axis. N is the direction cosine along the Z axis. Positive radii are convex to the incoming ray. The wavelength is 5893A. The prism can be recognized easily because the direction cosines entering the prism are the same as the direction cosines of the ray leaving the pricm. The final output ray height for this marginal ray is almost exactly 5 millimeters, indicating a

ton millimeter exit pupil.

Table 1.3 reacables Table 1.2 except that the input rays enter the front objective surface at an angle of ten degrees with the optical axis. The Y coordinates in this case indicate the minimum clear aperture required for each element in order to avoid vignetting.

II. PEEFOPMANCE

1. On Ax10.

Figure 2.1 shows four curves corresponding to output ray directions for input rays parallel to the optical axis. The four curves represent output ray directions for the wavelengths 4047A, 4861A, 5893A, and 6563A as indicated. The curves plot output ray direction in milliradians vs. input ray heights up to the .6 inch marginal ray.

In order to generate these particular curves, the best focus was found for the 6563A Line. The 4047A rays experience the worst focus, which nevertheless has a spread of the order of the visual angular resolution limit. The output beam divergences for the other rays lie well below the visual angular resolution limit and, in fact, are close to the diffraction limit of the cystem. Thus the design has been weighted toward the visual spectrum.

2. Five end Ton Degroon Incidence.

Figure 2.2 shows four sets of curves corresponding to output been directions for input parallel roys making a five degree angle with the optical axis. For clarity each curve has been drawn on a separate graph.

X=	0	Y= .6 4= 3.223568-2
X=	0	Y= . 595796 Z= . 14688
X=	0	Y= .584279 Z= .222635
Xn	0	Y= .586069 Z= .330973
X=	0	Y= .58383 Z= .431654
X=	0	Y= .578241 Z= .601118
X=	0	Y= • 553984 Z= • 75
X=	0	Y= 4.76008E-2 Z= 5.5
X=	0	Y=109147 Z= 6.46205
X=	0	Y=116623 Z= 6.5529
X=	0	Y=116623 Z= 6.5529
X=	0	Y= 170945 Z= 7.10334
Xa	0	Y= 173769 7= 7.13225
X=	0	Y= 17832 Z= 7.24815
X=	0	Y= 17832 7= 7.24815
X=	0	Y== . 210035 Z= 7.83758
X=	0	Y=211349 Z= 7.88044
X=	0	Y=200197 Z= 8.42369
Xa	0	Y=200197 Z= 8.42869
X=	0	Y=199212 Z= 8.51005
L=	0	M= 2.04139E-4 N= 1.

Table 1.2

Marginal ray height for zero degrees incident ray.

YO= .6 X= ()

K=	0	Y	60579	15 2=	3-28631E-2	1
X=	0	Y	= .6143	2=	.143501	
<b>K</b> =	0	Y	= .61579	1 Z=	.213895	
K=	0	Y	62991	6 Z=	.325016	
X=	0	Y	= .65568	31 Z=	. 489955	
X=	0	Y	= .6615S	57 2=	. 585873	
Xa	0	Y	= .66027	2 2=	.75	
X=	0	Y	· · 6357	52. Z=	5.5	
X=	0	Y	= .62767	17 2=	6.5311	
X=	0	Y	= . 6094	17 2=	6.72352	
X=	0	Y	= .6094	77 2=	6.72352	
X=	0	Y	= · 5955	2 2=	7.004671	
X=	0	Y	= . 5466	21 2=	7.17097	
X=	0	Y	= .5083	1 Za	7.33097	
Xa	0	Y	= · 5083	i Z=	7.33067	
X=	0	Y	= · 3992	15 2=	7.81032	
X=	0	Y	= .35278	57 7.5	7.90303	
X=	0	Y	= .1453	21 7.5	8.43401	•
X=	0	Y	= .1453	21 2=	8 . 43401	
X=	0	Y	= .1189	25 2=	8.50803	
L=	0	M	=5304	55 N=	.847713	-
YO						

# Table .1.3

Marginal ray height for ten degrees incident ray.





			ाक रोड प्रत्ये प्र प्रत्य जनसंख्या			i.
-	а 				÷ •	
	11		1.1	- i r -	1 4	1.9
ф						
						6
					1	
			and the second s		in and the second	4 1
3						SI I
						E C
						No.
						NL
				•		14
	0-6	1.	20		T	E/G
	19	5.5	19			I ii
0			N.		1	PAY O
	120	53	150	0.2	1.5	1 80
						SM
						4N
			· · · · · · · · · · · · ·			100
						- 4- SA
						m X ss
×				1		OIL
				and the second		14 H
						I I
						L N
						H
				1	1	in av
						~
$\bigcirc$ .			· . · . · .			2
						16m
						P.
			A-19			

.





The coordinates are the heights at which the rays onter the objective lens. The absolscae are the angles which the corresponding output rays make with the optical axis.

Piguro 2.3 resembles Pigure 2.2 except that the input rays enter the objective at ten degrees with the optical axis. Notice that the curves stop at the .2 inche zone because meridional rays below this height are largely vignetted by total internal reflection at the Erfle internal surfaces.

3. Virnotting.

In the present bineculars, vignotting can result from two causes: physical limitations and total internal reflection when light rays strike internal glass surfaces at angles exceeding oritical angles. The effect of the first limitation can be inferred from Tables 1.2 and 1.3 in Section I which show the ray heights of the marginal ray as it intersects each optical surface. An important physical limitation whenever is the length to aperture ratio of the prion. The prion length is ultimately set by the f number of the system and this in turn cots a limit on the prion aperture.

A noro fundamental limitation manifests itself when the field of view becomes large and the cycpiece experiences steep internal incidence angles. For example, a twenty degree incident chief ray becomes a sixty degree emergingray. Harginal rays experience even steeper depos. Thus, total internal reflection

occurring when internally incident rays exceed critical angles sets a limitation on field of view which is difficult to circumvent.

Figures 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, and 2.8 are computer generated spot diagrams indicating aperture loss arising from total internal reflection. They correspond to 0°. 7°. 9°. 10°. and 12° incident parallel ray bundles. At zero degrees insidence no vignetting from total internal reflection occurs and the corresponding spot diagram is full. At seven degrees, the first loss begins to occur at the bottom and sides of the aperture. Nine degree incident rays show more noticeable loss at the aporture edges and Ten degree incldent rays are quite spotty, botton. indicating that each ray strikes at least one internal curface of the Erfle cycpicco at close to a critical angle. Pigure 2.8 depicting aperture loss for 12 acgree incident rays shows complete less of sporture at the bottom edge as well as spotty transmittance throughout the aporture.

# III. OPTIMIZATION

The design appears to be close to optimum. Some further design effort, however, has resulted in a slight improvement. This may be academic since the prosent design seems well within required telerances: hence further improvement may not even be noticeable. As an example of the degree of improvement, Tables 3.1 and 3.2 compare slightly improved design with the reported

domign. The output rays correspond to incoming 5893A rays making an angle of five degrees with the optical afils. Any modifications from the present reported design which result in significant imporvement will be considered in an actual prototype manufacture.

Typical improvements would result in: more tolerance for the lens maker, better resolution (examination of the present design suggests that such modifications would likely produce insignificant improvement to the present design), fewer elements, or smaller and lighter elements.



Figure 2.4

Computer generated spot diagram showing aperture for zero degrees incident rays filling the entrance pupil.

+ + \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# Figuro 2.5

Computer generated spot diagram showing aperture for seven degrees incident rays filling the entrance pupil.

. . . . . .



# Figure 2.6

Computer generated spot diagram showing aperture for nine degrees incident rays filling the entrance pupil.

# Figure 2.7

Computer generated spot diagram showing aperture for ton degrees incident rays filling the entrance pupil. ++

\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
\*\*
<

Pigure 2.8

Computer generated spot diagram showing aporture for twelve degrees incident rays filling the extrance pupil.

Y0= .6 1.= 0 M=-. 262265 N= .964996 Y0= .4 L= 0 M=-.263029 N= .964788 X0= •5 M=-. 263474 N= .964666 L= 0 Y0= 0 L=0M=-.263736 N= .964595 X0=-.5 L= 0 M=-.264012 N= .964519 Y0=-.4 M=-. 264159 N= .964479 L= 0 Y0=-.6 1.= 0 M=-. 263122 N= .964763

Y0= .6 L= 0 M=-.263909 N= .964548 Y0= .5 L= 0 M==.264052 N= .964509 Y0= .4 L= 0 M=-.264144 N= .964483 Y0= .3 M=-.264162 N= .964478 L= 0 Y0= .2 M=-.264115 N= .964491 L= 0 YO= .1 L= 0 M=-.264029 N= .964515 Y0= 0 L= O M=-.263931 N= .964541

# Table 3.1

# Table 3.2

Output beam angles for corresponding aperture heights. Present design, radius of fifth triplet surface, n = 5.4 inches.

Output beam angles for corresponding aperture heights. Possibly more optimum design. Radius of fifth triplet surface, R = 5.451477124.

# DISTRIBUTION LIST

Copies Commander 1 US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDL 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Commander 3 US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Commander 1 US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-P 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Director of Defense, Research & Engineering 1 Department of Defense WASH DC 20301 Director 3 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency WASH DC 20301 HQDA (ODCSRDA) 2 WASH DC 20310 HQDA (DAMO-PLW) 1 WASH DC 20310 Commander 1 US Army Training & Doctrine Command ATTN: ATCD Fort Monroe, VA 23651

Commander US Army Combined Arms Combat Developments Activity Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027 Commander US Army Logistics Center. Fort Lee, VA 23801 TRADOC Liaison Office HOS USATECOM Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005 Commander US Army Test and Evaluation Command Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005 Commander US Army John F. Kennedy Center for Military Assistance Fort Bragg, NC 28307 Commander-In-Chief US Army Pacific ATTN: GPOP-FD APO San Francisco 96558 Commander Eighth US Army ATTN: EAGO-P APO San Francisco 96301 Commander Eighth US Army ATTN: G-3 O&T Division APO San Francisco 96301 Commander-In-Chief US Army Europe ATTN: AEAGC-ND APO New York 09403 Commander US Army Alaska ATTN: ARACD APO Seattle 98749

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

4

1

•

Commander 1 MASSTER ATTN: Combat Service Support & Special Programs Directorate Fort Hood, TX 76544 Commander 2 US MAC-T & JUSMAG-T ATTN: MACTRD APO San Francisco 96346 Senior Standardization Representative 1 US Army Standardization Group, Australia c/o American Embassy APO San Francisco 96404 Senior Standardization Representative 1 US Army Standardization Group, UK Box 65 FPO New York 09510 Senior Standardization Representative 1 US Army Standardization Group, Canada Canadian Forces Headquarters Ottawa, Canada K1AOK2 Director Air University Library ATTN: AUL3T-C4-572 Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112 Battelle Memorial Institute Tactical Technical Center Columbus Laboratories 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201 Defense Documentation Center (ASTIA) 12 Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Commander 2 Aberdeen Proving Ground ATTN: STEAP-TL Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005 Commander US Army Edgewood Arsenal ATTN: SINCA-TS-L Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

US Marine Corps Liaison Officer Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005 Director Night Vision Laboratory US Army Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-NV-D (Mr. Goldberg) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060 Commander US Air Force Special Communications Center (USAFSS) ATTN: SUR San Antonio, TX 78243 Commander US Army Armament Command ATTN: AMSAR-ASF Rock Island, IL 61201 Mr. Verne Muffoletto Muffoletto Optical Co. 6100 Everall Ave Baltimore, MD 21206 Commander Frankford Arsenal ATTN: FCD-0 (Mr. Wright Scidmore) Philadelphia, PA 19137 General Electric Valley Force Space Center Space Sciences Laboratory (Ray Lambert) PO BOX 8555 Valley Forge, PA 19101 Westinghouse Electric Corporation Defense & Space Center Surface Division Baltimore/Washington Intl Airport Box 1897 (Mr. Frank Kaisler) Baltimore, MD 21203

1

1

1

1

2

4

2