### AFRL-RX-TY-TP-2008-4601

## PREPRINT



## COMBINATION ANTIMICROBIAL NANOCOMPOSITE MATERIALS FOR NEUTRALIZATION OF BIOLOGICAL THREAT AGENTS (PREPRINT)

D. Matthew Eby Universal Technology Corporation Microbiology and Applied Biochemistry Air Force Research Laboratory 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 2 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403

Karen E. Farrington Applied Research Associates P.O. Box 40128 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403

Glenn R. Johnson, PhD Air Force Research Laboratory

#### **SEPTEMBER 2008**

# **<u>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A</u>**: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Submitted for publication in conference proceedings for Chemical and Biological Defense Physical Science and Technology Conference, New Orleans LA, Nov 2008

AIRBASE TECHNOLOGIES DIVISION MATERIALS AND MANUFACTURING DIRECTORATE AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND 139 BARNES DRIVE, SUITE 2 TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FL 32403-5323

The Subjective Early TV Read to Subject of Information 1: advanced 1: backet 1: box are tracked, middle in the Wei Provide advanced ad	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
A. TITLE AND SUBTITLE  A. TITLE AND SUBTER  A. TITLE AND SUBTER	The public reporting burden for this collection gathering and maintaining the data needed, and information, including suggestions for reducing 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, A penalty for failing to comply with a collection of PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FO	of information completing and the burden, to lington, VA 2 information if <b>DRM TO TH</b>	is estimated to average 1 hour d reviewing the collection of info b Department of Defense, Washi 12202-4302. Respondents shou it does not display a currently va HE ABOVE ADDRESS.	r per response, incl rmation. Send com ngton Headquarters Id be aware that nu lid OMB control nur	luding the tir iments regard Services, Di otwithstandir mber.	me for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, ding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of, irectorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), ng any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any
	1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPO	DRT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
6. AUTHOR(S)  6. AUTHOR(S)  5. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER  5. VORK UNIT NUMBER  5. WORK UNIT NUMBER  5. WORK UNIT NUMBER  5. WORK UNIT NUMBER  9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  10. SPONSOR/MONITORING ORGANIZATION  11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)  12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  14. ABSTRACT  15. SUBJECT TERMS  16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:  17. LIMITATION OF  18. NUMBER  19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON  10. SEPONSIBLE PERSON  10. SPONSORING OF CASING OF CAS	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE					
6. AUTHOR(S)  5. PROJECT NUMBER  5. TASK NUMBER  5. TASK NUMBER  5. TASK NUMBER  5. WORK UNIT NUMBER  7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  8. SPENFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  10. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)  12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  14. ABSTRACT  16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBER  19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON  16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBER  19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON  10. SPONSOR/MONITOR STATEMENT  10. SUBJECT TERMS  10. SUBJECT TERMS						
5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. ABSTRACT         14. ABSTRACT       17. LIMITATION OF         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF         17. DISTRIBUTION CONSTRACT       18. NUMBER	6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PRC	DJECT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT       13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT       15. SUBJECT TERMS         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT         18. NUMBER OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON					5e. TAS	SK NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT       13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT       14. ABSTRACT         15. SUBJECT TERMS       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT C. THIS PAGE         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT C. THIS PAGE					5f. WO	RK UNIT NUMBER
11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         15. SUBJECT TERMS         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:         17. LIMITATION OF         18. NUMBER         19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         0F	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	iame(s) ai	ND ADDRESS(ES)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         14. ABSTRACT         15. SUBJECT TERMS         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:         17. LIMITATION OF         18. NUMBER         19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         OF ABSTRACT	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AG	ENCY NAN	ie(s) and address(es)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         15. SUBJECT TERMS         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT         c. THIS PAGE             18. NUMBER             19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON						
14. ABSTRACT         14. ABSTRACT         15. SUBJECT TERMS         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:         17. LIMITATION OF         18. NUMBER         19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         0F         ABSTRACT         0. ABSTRACT         c. THIS PAGE	12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S	TATEMEN	Т			·
15. SUBJECT TERMS           16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:         17. LIMITATION OF         18. NUMBER         19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON           a. REPORT         b. ABSTRACT         c. THIS PAGE         17. LIMITATION OF         18. NUMBER         19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF       18. NUMBER       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       c. THIS PAGE       ABSTRACT       OF         PAGES       PAGES	14. ABSTRACT					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF       18. NUMBER       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       c. THIS PAGE       ABSTRACT       OF         PAGES       PAGES						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF       18. NUMBER       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       c. THIS PAGE       ABSTRACT       OF         PAGES       PAGES						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF       18. NUMBER       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       c. THIS PAGE       ABSTRACT       OF         PAGES       PAGES						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF       18. NUMBER       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       c. THIS PAGE       ABSTRACT       OF         PAGES       PAGES						
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE ABSTRACT OF PAGES	15. SUBJECT TERMS					
a. neroni b. Abstract c. this rade			ADOTDAOT		19a. NAI	ME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
					19b. TEL	EPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)

#### COMBINATION ANTIMICROBIAL NANOCOMPOSITE MATERIALS FOR NEUTRALIZATION OF BIOLOGICAL THREAT AGENTS

D. Matthew Eby, Universal Technology Corporation, 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 2, Tyndall AFB FL 32403 Karen E. Farrington, Applied Research Associates, P.O. Box 40128, Tyndall AFB FL 32403

Glenn R. Johnson, Air Force Research Laboratory, 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 2, Tyndall AFB FL 32403

#### ABSTRACT

Through natural selection, microorganisms acquire resistance to conventional antibiotics. Coupled with advances in genomics and genetic engineering, the evolution of highly virulent, multidrug-resistant pathogens provides a resource that could be misused for the design of future biological warfare agents. We are exploring approaches that integrate molecules of the innate immune system and nanoscale inorganic materials in order to create novel antimicrobial composites and self-sterilizing coatings. *In vitro* biomineralization reactions allow antimicrobial proteins to be incorporated and stabilized within inorganic materials, such as amorphous silica, titania and colloidal silver. The approach yields materials that combine the biocidal properties of different antimicrobial mechanisms. The development of new antimicrobial therapies is an important countermeasure to emerging multidrug-resistant pathogens.

#### INTRODUCTION

Throughout the plant and animal kingdoms, organisms possess mechanisms that actively guard against pathogens. Known as innate immunity, it refers to an organism's basic defenses against pathogens. The strategy includes passive physical barriers such as epithelial or mucosal layers as well as active, biochemically-derived components. Innate immunity is distinct from adaptive immunity in higher animals, which generally requires prior exposure to specific antigens to mount effective responses. Innate immunity has a broad, relatively nonspecific mode of action. (1-3). It is remarkably effective as a first line of defense; organisms are constantly exposed to potential pathogens, but only rarely do the invaders slip past the barrier to cause disease or require the adaptive immune response to fend off attack. Seemingly, biomolecules of the innate immune response are an excellent source to exploit for biomedical applications. Unfortunately, many questions still remain unanswered that pertain to the mechanisms and characteristics of innate immunity. Further study is needed to helps us to exploit the biochemical machinery for effective therapeutic treatments and other practical applications.

The focus of the present study is to understand how biochemical mechanisms may be harnessed to design future generations of active materials. In particular, we have used the bacteriolytic enzyme, lysozyme, and antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) as active components in nanocomposite materials. Because of their properties and that they not been overused in the health care community, the biomolecules are interesting candidates for use in next-generation antibiotics and antimicrobial materials. These antimicrobial agents have evolved to function within a biological organism. Consequently, specialized methods are needed to stabilize and

x

<u>ج</u>ڊ

retain their physiological activity, in order to effectively integrate them into practical applications.

The immobilization of enzymes and other biomolecules within and adsorbed to inert supports has been practiced for over 30 years. Porous silica and silica sol gels are often used to support immobilized enzymes (4, 5). The approach can provide stabilized catalysts, but loading capacity is limited and conventional methods for producing the silica involve organic solvents and alcoholic byproducts that can denature the enzyme present during formation. Alternatively, mineralization reactions are wide-spread in biological systems and these biomineralization processes can be mimicked in the laboratory setting. Biomineralization refers to the process that organisms use for generating hard tissues that incorporate inorganic minerals (bone, teeth, shells, and exoskeletons). Biomineralization is typically initiated by a protein that acts as a sort of template or scaffold in order to form a composite material that combines the protein and inorganic components. The process can be mimicked in vitro to some degree, providing methods for production of nanometric structures and inspiration for a burgeoning branch of materials science (6-9). For example, peptides based on the silaffin protein from the marine diatom Cylindrotheca fusiformis catalyze formation of silica nanospheres in vitro (10). The reaction occurs under benign conditions (aqueous solution, neutral pH, ambient temperature), providing an attractive processing technique to form homogenous silica nanoparticles. The reaction also provides a method to entrap additional enzyme in silica matrices. When additional molecules are included in the precipitation reaction mix, they are entrained within the newly-formed material (11, 12). The approach might be broadly applicable to incorporating sensitive molecules within silica frameworks. In addition, biomineralization reactions are not limited to amorphous inorganic matrices. Organic molecule-mediated formation of metal nanoparticles has also been shown in a wide range of studies and is effective in synthesizing hybrid bioinorganic composites that retain properties from the organic and inorganic components (13, 14). In particular, several studies have shown that silver nanoparticles can be easily synthesized from soluble silver salts using biomolecules as reducing agents and/or stabilizing surfactants (e.g. proteins, ribonucleic acids, and extracellular components) (15-17). This phenomenon is of particular interest to our studies, as well as to the larger medical community, as silver inhibits the growth of the wide range of pathogenic bacteria, fungi, and viruses (18).

Herein, we report synthesis of two antimicrobial composites where biological molecules inspired by the innate immune system of higher animals are integrated with inorganic supports to form hybrid bioinorganic materials. The effectiveness of the antimicrobial activity is influenced by properties of both the biochemical and inorganic components. The resulting products offer effective antimicrobial activity and demonstrate the facile integration of biomolecules into devices and instruments. These novel materials exploit natural antimicrobial mechanisms that have not been overused in the health care community and therefore, have the potential to be an effective countermeasure against multi-antibiotic resistant pathogens.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

Synthesis, physical characterization, and antimicrobial activity of the following composites were completed as reported elsewhere: antimicrobial peptide silica and titania nanocomposites (19), lysozyme-silver nanoparticles (20) and electrophoretic deposited coatings (21). Detailed explanation of synthesis and preparation procedures, physical and chemical analysis, and antimicrobial assays can be found in these manuscripts.

#### RESULTS

#### Peptide-based synthesis of silica and titania antimicrobial nanoparticles (Si- and Ti-ANPs)

The antimicrobial peptide, KSL (KKVVFKVKFK) mediated its own immobilization within silica and titania nanoparticles and retained the antimicrobial properties of the free peptide (19). KSL, a highly cationic peptide, not only demonstrates antimicrobial activity against a wide range of microorganisms, but also has inherent ability to mediate biomineralization of silica and titania (Figure 1). When the peptide was added to phosphate buffer and either tetramethyl orthosilicate or potassium hexafluorotitanate, amorphous silica and titania oxide nanospheres form, which retain the antimicrobial properties of antimicrobial peptide and provide inhibitive and biocidal activities that is comparable to the native peptide (Table 1). Furthermore, the novel composites protected much of the peptide from degradation and inactivation and also facilitate a continuous dose of the peptide over time. These protective and time-release properties of the nanocomposite material facilitated a more stable dose of the peptide than the un-immobilized, free form of the peptide when incubated with *Staphylococcus aureus* (Figure 2). Altogether, the composites show promise for use as a potentially effective antibiotics. For example, the material may be included in topical treatments or as components in self-sterilizing coatings.



Figure 1. Scanning and transmission electron micrographs (TEM and SEM), respectively, of KSL-catalyzed silica (A and B) and titania (C and D) nanoparticles (19).

Strain	cells <sup>a</sup>	peptide	total peptide <sup>d</sup>		
		form <sup>c</sup>	MIC <sup>e</sup>	$\mathbf{MBC}^{\mathbf{f}}$	
E. coli	$5.27 \pm 2.65^{b}$	free	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0$	
ATCC 25922		Si-ANPs	$3.0\pm2.0$	$5.0 \pm 2.0$	
ATCC 25722		<b>Ti-ANPs</b>	$2.0\pm0.9$	$6.0 \pm 0.2$	
S. aureus	2.71 ±2.25	free	$18.0\pm8.0$	$>225.0 \pm 0$	
ATCC 25923		Si-ANPs	$24.0\pm1.0$	$80.0\pm25.0$	
		<b>Ti-ANPs</b>	$62.0\pm41.0$	$91.0\pm22.0$	
S. epidermidis	$1.64 \pm 1.58$	free	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$1.0 \pm 0.5$	
ATCC 14990		Si-ANPs	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	$3.0\pm2.0$	
		<b>Ti-ANPs</b>	$2.0\pm0$	$3.0\pm0$	
C. albicans	$1.50 \pm 0.58$	free	$0.7\pm0.2$	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	
ATCC 10231		Si-ANPs	$2.0 \pm 1.0$	$5.0 \pm 2.0$	
10251		<b>Ti-ANPs</b>	$4.0 \pm 1.0$	$5.0 \pm 2.0$	

 Table 1. Minimum Inhibitory and Biocidal Concentrations of native KSL, Si-ANPs, and Ti-ANPs (19).

<sup>a</sup> Number (x10<sup>5</sup>) of colony forming units (CFU) ml<sup>-1</sup>at start of assay. <sup>b</sup>Standard deviations are representative of at least three assays. <sup>c</sup> KSL added to cell cultures either as the non-biomineralized, native form (free) or in silica and titania nanoparticles (Si-ANPs and Ti-ANPs, respectively). <sup>d</sup> The total amount of peptide added to cultures in  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>e</sup> minimum inhibitory concentration.



Figure 2. Measurement of antimicrobial peptide over time during incubation with *S*. *aureus* cells (19). Soluble concentrations were monitored of KSL in the free form (squares, solid line) and Si-ANPs (triangles, dashed line) after addition of peptide ( $25 \mu g ml^{-1}$ ) to between  $10^6$  and  $10^7$  viable *S. aureus* cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. Standard error mean represents six individual assays. Regression analysis was calculated using second order polynomial curve fit for measurements between 5 and 360 min.

## *Synthesis of lysozyme-silver antimicrobial nanoparticles and their deposition into medical instruments*

Lysozyme catalyzed silver reduction to form antimicrobial silver nanoparticles (20). When exposed to silver ions in methanol, lysozyme acted as the primary reducing agent and formed stable colloidal suspensions of silver (Figure 3). The enzyme also acted as an effective colloidal stabilizer and solutions can be stored in a concentrated form in methanol or water for months without significant change in physical or chemical properties. Furthermore, the colloid solutions could be used to form homogeneous enzyme and silver coatings on surgical steel (21). Uniform antimicrobial coatings were deposited on surgical stainless steel blades and needles using an electrophoretic deposition technique. The antimicrobial activity of lysozyme and biocidal properties of colloidal silver were retained in the coatings and antibacterial assays demonstrate the coatings have potent biocidal activity over several strains (Table 2). When used in an assay designed to mimic the standard use of the instrument, clearings of cell lysis formed in bacteria-infused agarose around incisions and stab sites, demonstrating not only the coatings were a self-cleaning surface, but also would transfer antimicrobial activity into a subject during use (Figure 4). The findings show that a one-pot method and simple electrophoretic deposition can be used to generate antimicrobial coatings that combine two different antimicrobial mechanisms.



Figure 3. Suspension of silver nanoparticles formed in lysozyme-catalyzed process (A) TEM image of silver nanoparticles (B) (21).

	Decreased		
Strain	$1.5 \text{ h}^{\dagger}$	$3 h^{\dagger}$	Inhibition type
Acinetobacter baylyi	98	99	bactericidal
Bacillus anthracis Sterne	99	>99.99	bactericidal
Bacillus subtilis	>99.99	>99.99	bactericidal
Klebsiella pneumoniae	99	99.9	bactericidal
Staphylococcus aureus	99	99.9	bactericidal
Staphylococcus epidermidis	4	42	bacteriostatic

#### Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of coated blades towards bacterial and yeast strains (21).

<sup>†</sup>Contact time



Figure 4. Cell lysis assay measuring antimicrobial activity of coated blades and needles (21). Coated blades (left) and needles (right) were used to make incisions and punctures, respectively, and then placed on top of agarose infused with *M. lysodeikticus* cells. The top blade contains a coating of lysozyme and silver nanoparticles, while the lower blade has a coating of lysozyme only. Zones of cell lysis are seen at the incision and puncture site, as well as surrounding the blades and needles after incubation at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 30 min and 16 h (labeled as shown).

#### CONCLUSIONS

The rise in multi-resistant pathogens, along with rapid advances in microbial genomics and genetic engineering affords the opportunity for malicious design of biowarfare agents (22). Because microbes have evolved to overcome present antimicrobial therapies, conventional antibiotics may be useless against a terrorist attack that involves multi-resistant pathogenic agents. Consequently, novel methods of materials design and the effective combination of different antimicrobial mechanisms are compelling approaches to counteract resistance to commonly-used antibiotics (23). Two approaches explored for application are antimicrobial peptides and nanoparticulate silver. While the concept shows promise, a substantial amount of study into their stability, sustainability, dosage, and means of delivery is still needed before the applications can be fully realized. In general, establishing effective methods to combine multiple antimicrobial agents into one application are critical to defense against pathogenic resistance that will eventually arise to new antibiotics in the future, whether through natural evolution or by man-made design. The results of the study will assist in accelerating these types of materials to commercial production and ultimately contribute to biological warfare mitigation in the future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research was supported by funding from Air Force Materials and Manufacturing Directorate (AFRL/RX) and the Joint Science and Technology Office – Defense Threat Reduction Agency under project code AA06CBT008.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Fluhr, R., and R. N. Kaplan-Levy. 2002. Plant disease resistance: commonality and novelty in multicellular innate immunity. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 270:23-46.
- 2. Froy, O. 2005. Convergent evolution of invertebrate defensins and nematode antibacterial factors. Trends Microbiol. **13:**314-319.
- 3. **Kimbrell, D. A., and B. Beutler.** 2001. The evolution and genetics of innate immunity. Nat Rev Genet. **2:**256-67.
- 4. **Gill, I., and A. Ballesteros.** 1998. Encapsulation of biologicals within silicate, siloxane, and hybrid sol-gel polymers: an efficient and generic approach. J Am Chem Soc. **120:**8587-8598.
- 5. Lei, C., Y. Shin, J. Liu, and E. J. Ackerman. 2002. Entrapping enzyme in a functionalized nanoporous support. J Am Chem Soc. **124**:11242-11243.
- 6. Lopez, P. J., C. Gautier, J. Livage, and T. Coradin. 2005. Mimicking biogenic silica nanostructures formulation. Curr Nanosci. 1:73-83.
- 7. Lowenstam, H. A. 1981. Minerals formed by organisms. Science. 211:1126-1131.
- 8. Sarikaya, M., C. Tamerler, A. K. Y. Jen, K. Schulten, and F. Baneyx. 2003. Molecular biomimetics: nanotechnology through biology. Nat. Mater. 2:577-585.

- 9. Wilt, F. H. 2005. Developmental biology meets materials science: Morphogenesis of biomineralized structures. Develop Biol. 280:15-25.
- 10. Kröger, N., R. Deutzmann, and M. Sumper. 1999. Polycationic peptides from diatom biosilica that direct silica nanosphere formation. Science. **286**:1129-32.
- 11. Luckarift, H. R., J. C. Spain, R. R. Naik, and M. O. Stone. 2004. Enzyme immobilization in a biomimetic silica support. Nat Biotechnol. 22:211-3.
- 12. Naik, R. R., M. M. Tomczak, H. R. Luckarift, J. C. Spain, and M. O. Stone. 2004. Entrapment of enzymes and nanoparticles using biomimetically synthesized silica enzyme immobilization in a biomimetic silica support. Chem Comm. 14:1684-5.
- Wangoo, N., K. K. Bhasin, R. Boro and C. R. Suri. 2008. Facile synthesis and functionalization of water-soluble gold nanoparticles for a bioprobe. Anal Chim Acta. 610:142-8.
- 14. Lagziel-Simis, S., N. Cohen-Hadar, H. Moscovich-Dagan, Y. Wine and A. Freeman. 2006. Protein-mediated nanoscale biotemplating. Curr Opin Biotech. 17:569-73.
- 15. Wei, G., Zhou, H., Liu, Z., Song, Y., Wang, L., Sun, L., and Z. Li. 2005. One-step synthesis of silver nanoparticles, nanorods, and nanowires on the surface of DNA network. J Phys Chem B. 109: 8738-43.
- Vigneshwaran, N., Kathe, A.A., Varadarajan, P.V., Nachane, R.P., and R.H. Balasubramanya. 2007. Silver-protein (core-shell) nanoparticle production using spent mushroom substrate. Langmuir. 23:7113-7.
- 17. Naik, R.R., Stringer, S.J., Agarwal, G., Jones, S.E., Stone, M.O. 2002. Biomimetic synthesis and patterning of silver nanoparticles. Nat Mater. 1:169-72.
- 18. Landsdown, A.B. 2006. Silver in health care: Antimicrobial effects and safety in use. Curr Prob Dermatol. 33:17-34.
- Eby, D. M., K. E. Farrington, and G. R. Johnson. 2008. Synthesis of Bioinorganic Antimicrobial Peptide Nanoparticles with Potential Therapeutic Properties. Biomacromol. 9:2487–94.
- 20. Eby, D. M., N. M. Schaeublin, K. E. Farrington, S. M. Hussian, and G. R. Johnson. 2008. Lysozyme Catalyzes the Formation of Antimicrobial Silver Nanoparticles. *In review*.
- 21. Eby, D. M., H. R. Luckarift, and G. R. Johnson. 2008. Antimicrobial Enzyme and Silver Nanoparticle Coatings for Medical Instruments. *In review*.
- 22. Fraser, C.M. and M. R. Dando. 2001. Genomic and future biological weapons: the need for preventative action by the biomedical community. Nature Gen. 29:253-6.
- 23. Cottarel, G. and J. Wierzbowski. 2007. Combination drugs, an emerging option for antibacterial therapy. Trends Biotech. 25:547-55.