AD\_\_\_\_\_

Award Number: W81XWH-04-1-0534

TITLE: Identification of Potential Therapeutic Mechanisms for HIP1 Inhibition in Breast Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Theodora Ross, M.D., Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Regents of the University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1274

REPORT DATE: May 2007

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

R	EPORT DOC		Form Approved			
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing existing data sources, gathering and maintaining data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reduce this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202, Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a curr valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.						
1. REPORT DATE (DD 01-05-2007	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE Final		3.	DATES COVERED (From - To) 4 APR 2004 - 13 APR 2007	
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT	LE			5	a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
Identification of Po Cancer	tential Therapeutic	Mechanisms for HI	P1 Inhibition in Brea	ist 5	b. <b>GRANT NUMBER</b> /81XWH-04-1-0534	
				5	C. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Theodora Ross, M.D., Ph.D.				5	d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5	e. TASK NUMBER	
E-Mail: tsross@u	mich.edu			51	. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Regents of the University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1274						
9. SPONSORING / MO	NITORING AGENCY I	NAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	1	). SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
U.S. Army Medical	Research and Ma	teriel Command	. ,			
				1	I. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for Publi	VAILABILITY STATEI c Release; Distribu	MENT ution Unlimited		l		
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	Y NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT						
Abstract provided on	next page.					
EGFR, Clathrin, Endocytosis						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC	
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	υυ	72	<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER</b> (include area code)	

The first hypothesis we tested in this grant is that HIP1 expression is necessary for breast tumorigenesis. The ongoing experiments show that HIP1 deficiency does indeed inhibit the formation of breast tumors. This result is similar to our work that demonstrated that HIP1 is necessary for prostate tumorigenesis (Bradley et al., 2005 Ca Res). These HIP1 deficient/MMTV-Myc experiments have taken an interesting turnas a few tumors have developed in the Hip1 deficient mice. To understand how these tumors might develop we have examined the tumors for unpredicted expression of HIP1 polypeptides. We have discovered the presence of a 105 kDa form of HIP1 (slightly truncated from the 116 kDa "wild type"). Upon sequencing of Hip1 DNA and message from these cells, we determined that this 105 kDa form of HIP1 is the product of splicing of a cryptic U12-type AT-AC intron. This event results in the insertion of an AG dinucleotide between exons 2 and 6 and restoration of the original reading frame. Remarkably, this mutant protein retains its capacity to bind lipids, endocytic proteins and EGFR. The expression of this mutant form of HIP1 in breast cancer cells provides clues for future investigations into the contribution of HIP1 to the homeostasis of normal and neoplastic tissues as different stages of development (Graves et al., 2007, submitted). We think that this discovery emphasize the value of how sequencing the transcript that is actually produced by an engineered knock-out allele can reveal novel types of molecular compensation in cancer cells at the level of splicing. These data also indicate that the expression of HIP1 is necessary for the survival of Myc-induced breast cancers. The second hypothesis we have been testing is that dysregulation of endocytosis of EGFR by HIP1 is a mechanism by which HIP1 promotes breast cancer evolution. Indeed, we have found that HIP1 overexpression inhibits the degradation the EGFR (Hyun et al., 2004 J Biol Chem) and that HIP1 interacts directly with the EGFR (Bradley et al., 2007, Cancer Research). Showing that HIP1 is necessary for breast cancer progression and modulates key growth factor receptors involved in breast cancer, fuels the idea that HIP1 inhibition has excellent therapeutic potential. We will continue to explore the activity of distinct regions of HIP1 to discover inhibitors for use in the treatment of breast cancer.

# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	5
Body	6
Key Research Accomplishments	5
Reportable Outcomes	6
Conclusions	6
References	.6-7
Appendices	7- 44

INTRODUCTION: Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1 (HIP1) is a clathrin, actin and inositol lipid binding protein that may be involved in neurodegeneration by virtue of its interaction with huntingtin, the protein mutated in Huntington's disease. It is also associated with leukemia by discovery of the oncogenic HIP1/PDGFBR fusion protein that resulted from a t(5;7) chromosomal translocation in a patient with chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. We think HIP1 is involved in breast tumorigenesis for the following reasons. First, HIP1 is over-expressed in primary breast tumors [1]. Second, expression of a dominant negative mutant of HIP1 [2] or genetic deletion of HIP1 leads to apoptosis of normal as well as tumor tissue suggesting that expression of HIP1 may be necessary for cellular survival of many cell types [3, 4]. Third, over-expression of HIP1 in NIH/3T3 cells leads to transformation [1]. Finally, recently we have found that cells cultured from HIP1 deficient breast tumors express a mutant form of HIP1 suggesting that there are HIP1 sequences that are completely necessary for breast tumor survival [5] and that HIP1 interacts directly with the EGFR [6]. The purpose of this IDEA grant was to explore the role of HIP1 in breast cancer by determining its necessity in breast tumorigenesis and test for the effects of HIP1 overexpression on the signaling from key growth factor receptors that are known to function in the pathophysiology of breast cancer.

BODY: The first hypothesis we proposed to the DOD was that HIP1 expression is necessary for breast cancer evolution. To test this we generated a cohort of breast cancer prone transgenic mice (MMTV-Myc) that were deficient (n=20) or replete for HIP1 (n=20). HIP1 deficient adult mice are relatively healthy allowing for such experiments. Our data indicate that HIP1 deficiency inhibits the breast tumorigenesis in this mouse model (appended manuscript #5; [5]). This result was similar to our work showing that HIP1 is necessary for the progression of prostate cancer (Figure 1 of appended manuscript #2; [7]). However, these MMTV-Myc experiments provided an interesting twist. In an effort to understand how tumors grew differently in the presence or absence of HIP1 we cultured cells derived from the HIP1 deficient mice. To our surprise, in all cases we found that a mutant form of HIP1 was expressed at high levels in cells derived from Hip1mutant/MMTV-myc mouse breast tumors. This mutant form of HIP1 was an alternatively splice form that was lacking exons 3 to 5 but was still able to bind lipids, clathrin and actin (appended manuscript #5; [5]).

The second hypothesis we proposed to the DOD was that dysregulation of endocytosis of growth factor receptors by HIP1 is a mechanism by which HIP1 promotes breast cancer evolution. Indeed, we have found that HIP1 overexpression inhibits the degradation the EGFR and the estrogen receptor (appended manuscripts #1 and #3; [8]). To determine if the deficiency of HIP1 leads to increased degradation of the EGFR we have analyzed tissues from mice with deficiency of HIP1 and/or HIP1r. The double deficient mice are remarkable in that they suffer severe degenerative or premature "aging" phenotypes that include a shortened lifespan, progressive wasting and vertebral column defects. Despite HIP1 and HIP1r's ability to stabilize growth factor receptors and interact with the endocytic machinery, we have NOT yet found double HIP1/HIPr deficient tissues with diminished receptor tyrosine kinases signal transduction or defects in endocytosis. We have found that HIP1 interacts directly with the EGFR (appended manuscript #6; [6]). We will continue to the quest to discover the activity of HIP1 using loss-of-function and gain-of-function experiments as well as conduct a search for regions of HIP1 that may be useful to target in the treatment of breast cancer.

# KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- 1. We have generated a cohort of breast cancer prone MMTV-*Myc* mice that are deficient for HIP1 to test for its role in breast cancer initiation, maintenance or evolution. We have discovered that HIP1 is mutant in breast tumors that do develop in these mice and this has lead to a very nice publication this year (appendix manuscript #5).
- 2. We have discovered that HIP1 and its only known mammalian relative, HIP1r, prolong the half-life of the EGFR when overexpressed. This has lead to the publication of appendix manuscript #2. We have also found that HIP1 interacts directly with the EGFR and this has led to the publication of appendix manuscript #6.
- 3. We have generated a HIP1 transgenic and 8 different HIP1/HIP1r deficient fibroblasts and are in the process of analyzing the mice and fibroblasts for actin abnormalities, growth and survival properties, altered growth factor receptor stability and ability to be transformed by key oncogenes (RasV12, Myc, HER2). This work has lead to a very nice publication in Human Molecular Genetics [9] and another in Cancer Research (appended manuscript #7;[6]). We have not yet accumulated significant evidence that the stable deficiency or over-expression of the HIP1 family alters growth factor receptor levels *in vivo*.

**REPORTABLE OUTCOMES:** Three manuscripts have been published over the last year that are relevant to this proposal and are found in the appendix (#5-7).

CONCLUSIONS: My laboratory is interested in the mechanisms that transform normal cells into cancer cells. We have recently identified a novel HIP1 mediated mechanism whereby alterations in endocytosis cause cancer by simultaneously increasing signaling through multiple mitogenic and survival pathways in parallel. This was the basis for our proposal to study this pathway in breast cancer. Using DOD funds we have succeeded in generating a mouse model that indicates that HIP1 is necessary for Mycinduced breast tumorigenesis *in vivo*. In collaboration with Ian Mills we have identified HIP1 as a nuclear hormone (AR, ER, GR) transcriptional coregulator [10]. We have also discovered that HIP1 alters growth factor receptor stability by inhibiting its degradation at a stage that is not the uptake phase of endocytosis but is instead localized to the early signaling endosomes [11]. More recently we have published that HIP1 interacts directly with the EGFR [6]. We have also discovered that HIP1 alternative splicing allows for the expression of a HIP1 protein in breast tumors that if anything, promotes rather than inhibits tumorigenesis [5].

## **REFERENCES:**

- Rao, D.S., S.V. Bradley, K. P.D., H. T.S., Saint-dic D., O.-W. K.I., K. C.G., and R. T.S., *Altered Receptor Trafficking in Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1-Transformed Cells*. Cancer Cell, 2003. 3: p. 471-482.
- Rao, D.S., T.S. Hyun, P.D. Kumar, I.F. Mizukami, M.A. Rubin, P.C. Lucas, M.G. Sanda, and T.S. Ross, *Huntingtin-interacting protein 1 is overexpressed in prostate and colon cancer and is critical for cellular survival.* J Clin Invest, 2002. 110(3): p. 351-60.
- Rao, D.S., J.C. Chang, P.D. Kumar, I. Mizukami, G.M. Smithson, S.V. Bradley, A.F. Parlow, and T.S. Ross, *Huntingtin interacting protein 1 Is a clathrin coat binding protein required for differentiation of late spermatogenic progenitors*. Mol Cell Biol, 2001. 21(22): p. 7796-806.

- 4. Oravecz-Wilson, K.I., M.J. Kiel, L. Li, D.S. Rao, D. Saint-Dic, P.D. Kumar, M.M. Provot, K.D. Hankenson, V.N. Reddy, A.P. Lieberman, S.J. Morrison, and T.S. Ross, *Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1 mutations lead to abnormal hematopoiesis, spinal defects and cataracts.* Hum Mol Genet, 2004. **13**(8): p. 851-67.
- 5. Graves, C.W., S.T. Philips, S.V. Bradley, K.I. Oravecz-Wilson, L. Li, A. Gauvin, and T.S. Ross, *Use of a cryptic splice site for the expression of huntingtin interacting protein 1 in select normal and neoplastic tissues.* Cancer Res, 2008. **68**(4): p. 1064-73.
- 6. Bradley, S.V., E.C. Holland, G.Y. Liu, D. Thomas, T.S. Hyun, and T.S. Ross, *Huntingtin interacting protein 1 is a novel brain tumor marker that associates with epidermal growth factor receptor.* Cancer Res, 2007. **67**(8): p. 3609-15.
- Bradley, S.V., K.I. Oravecz-Wilson, G. Bougeard, I. Mizukami, L. Li, A.J. Munaco, A. Sreekumar, M.N. Corradetti, A.M. Chinnaiyan, M.G. Sanda, and T.S. Ross, *Serum antibodies to huntingtin interacting protein-1: a new blood test for prostate cancer*. Cancer Res, 2005. 65(10): p. 4126-33.
- 8. Hyun, T.S., D.S. Rao, D. Saint-Dic, L.E. Michael, P.D. Kumar, S.V. Bradley, I.F. Mizukami, K.I. Oravecz-Wilson, and T.S. Ross, *HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize receptor tyrosine kinases and bind 3-phosphoinositides via epsin N-terminal homology domains*. J Biol Chem, 2004. **279**(14): p. 14294-306.
- 9. Bradley, S.V., T.S. Hyun, K.I. Oravecz-Wilson, L. Li, E.I. Waldorff, A.N. Ermilov, S.A. Goldstein, C.X. Zhang, D.G. Drubin, K. Varela, A. Parlow, A.A. Dlugosz, and T.S. Ross, *Degenerative phenotypes caused by the combined deficiency of murine HIP1 and HIP1r are rescued by human HIP1*. Hum Mol Genet, 2007. **16**(11): p. 1279-92.
- 10. Mills, I.G., L. Gaughan, C. Robson, T. Ross, S. McCracken, J. Kelly, and D.E. Neal, *Huntingtin interacting protein 1 modulates the transcriptional activity of nuclear hormone receptors.* J Cell Biol, 2005. **170**(2): p. 191-200.
- 11. Hyun, T.S. and T.S. Ross, *HIP1: trafficking roles and regulation of tumorigenesis.* Trends Mol Med, 2004. **10**(4): p. 194-9.

## **APPENDICES:**

- 1. Appendix manuscript #1: Mills IG et al., Interacting Protein 1 (HIP1) modulates the transcriptional activity of nuclear hormone receptors. J. Cell Biol. 2005; 170 (2): 191-200.
- 2. Appendix manuscript #2: Bradley, S.V., et al., *Humoral Immune Response to HIP1: a novel blood test for prostate cancer.* Cancer Res, 2005; 65: (10): 4126-4133.
- 3. Appendix manuscript #3: Hyun, T.S., et al., *HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize receptor tyrosine kinases and bind 3-phosphoinositides via epsin N-terminal homology domains*. J Biol Chem, 2004. **279**(14): p. 14294-306.
- 4. Appendix manuscript #4: Hyun, T.S. and T.S. Ross, *HIP1: trafficking roles and regulation of tumorigenesis.* Trends Mol Med, 2004. **10**(4): p. 194-9.
- Appendix manuscript #5: Graves CW\*, Philips ST\*, Bradley SV, Oravecz-Wilson KI, Li L, Gauvin A and Ross TS. Use of a cryptic splice site allows for the expression of HIP1 in select normal and neoplastic tissues. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 2008 Feb 15;68(4):1064-73.
- 6. Appendix manuscript #6: Bradley SV, Holland EC, Liu GY, Thomas D, Hyun TS and **Ross TS**. Huntingtin interacting protein 1 is a novel brain tumor marker that

associates with epidermal growth factor receptor. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 2007 Apr 15;67(8):3609-15.

 Appendix manuscript #7: Bradley SV, Smith MR, Li L, Hyun TS, Lucas PC, Joshi I, Jin F, Antonuk D and Ross TS. Aberrant HIP1 in Lymphoid Malignancies. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 2007 Sep 15;67(18):8923-31

# Huntingtin interacting protein 1 modulates the transcriptional activity of nuclear hormone receptors

Ian G. Mills,<sup>1</sup> Luke Gaughan,<sup>2</sup> Craig Robson,<sup>2</sup> Theodora Ross,<sup>3</sup> Stuart McCracken,<sup>2</sup> John Kelly,<sup>1</sup> and David E. Neal<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cancer Research UK Uro-Oncology Research Group, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Hutchison/Medical Research Council Cancer Research Centre, Cambridge CB2 2XZ, England, UK

<sup>2</sup>Prostate Research Group, Northern Institute for Cancer Research, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Medical School, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, England, UK <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Graduate Program in Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

nternalization of activated receptors regulates signaling, and endocytic adaptor proteins are well-characterized in clathrin-mediated uptake. One of these adaptor proteins, huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1), induces cellular transformation and is overexpressed in some prostate cancers. We have discovered that HIP1 associates with the androgen receptor through a central coiled coil domain and is recruited to DNA response elements upon androgen stimulation. HIP1 is a novel androgen receptor regulator, significantly repressing transcription when knocked down using a silencing RNA approach and activating transcription when overexpressed. We have also identified a functional nuclear localization signal at the COOH terminus of HIP1, which contributes to the nuclear translocation of the protein. In conclusion, we have discovered that HIP1 is a nucleocytoplasmic protein capable of associating with membranes and DNA response elements and regulating transcription.

## Introduction

OLOGY

<u></u>

ЕГ

C

ц О

JOURNAL

THE

Endocytosis is important for receptor internalization, nutrient uptake, antigen presentation, pathogen internalization, and maintenance of plasma membrane surface area. Endocytosis occurs via several distinct pathways and requires coordinated interactions between a variety of molecules at the membrane and cell cortex. In yeast, a functional connection between the actin cytoskeleton and endocytosis has been firmly established (Geli and Riezman, 1998). Mutations in actin and in several actinbinding proteins inhibit both receptor-mediated and fluid-phase endocytosis (Kubler and Riezman, 1993; Munn et al., 1995).

To gain insights into the roles of actin in endocytosis, it was important to identify actin-binding proteins with a functional involvement in endocytosis. Sla2p was one of the first to be identified in a synthetic lethal screen in yeast against a null allele of *ABP1*, a gene encoding an actin-binding protein implicated in cytoskeletal regulation, endocytosis, and cAMP signaling. Sla2p is a peripheral membrane protein that contains a novel NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal domain, three putative coiled coil domains, a putative leucine zipper, and a COOH-terminal talin-like domain (Holtzman et al., 1993; Wesp et al., 1997). Sla2p binds to F-actin

I.G. Mills and L. Gaughan contributed equally to this paper.

The online version of this article includes supplemental material.

in vitro through the talin-like domain and partially colocalizes with F-actin in cortical patches (McCann and Craig, 1997; Yang et al., 1999).

Homologues of Sla2p have since been identified in nematodes (ZK370.3) and humans (HIP1 and HIP1R). Huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) is predominantly expressed in brain and was first identified in a yeast two-hybrid screen for interacting partners of huntingtin (Kalchman et al., 1997; Wanker et al., 1997). Huntington's disease is an inherited neurodegenerative disorder caused by expansion of the codon CAG in the huntingtin gene, which leads to expression of a polyglutamine tract in the protein (Reddy et al., 1999). The affinity of the huntingtin protein-HIP1 interaction is inversely correlated to the polyglutamine repeat length (Kalchman et al., 1997). HIP1 is a 116-kD AP180 NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal homology (ANTH) domain-containing protein capable of binding to phosphatidylinositol lipids and recruiting clathrin via a short peptide motif of the LLMDMD type in the vicinity of a central coiled coil domain (Mishra et al., 2001; Hyun et al., 2004). Consequently, much of the functional work on the HIP1 family has focused on its ability to modulate actin dynamics in clathrin-mediated endocytosis.

However, HIP1 was recently found to be overexpressed in a subset of cancers of the prostate and colorectum (Rao et al., 2002). Prostate cancer is a disease, which in its advanced form is associated with changes in the transcriptional response and expression of a polyglutamine repeat–containing transcription

Supplemental Material can be found at: http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200503106/DC1

Correspondence to I.G. Mills: igm23@cam.ac.uk

Abbreviations used in this paper: ANTH, AP180 NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal homology; AR, androgen receptor; ARE, androgen response element; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; HIP1, huntingtin interacting protein 1; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; siRNA, silencing RNA.

factor, the androgen receptor (AR; Chen et al., 2004). The AR is a member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily of transcription factors. The AR consists of an NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal domain containing polyglutamine and polyglycine repeats, which interacts with a series of transcriptional coregulators; a zinc finger DNA-binding domain; a hinge region encompassing nuclear localization signals; an acetylation site; and a COOH-terminal ligand-binding domain. The nuclear translocation of this transcription factor is dependent on the binding of androgen by the COOH-terminal ligand-binding domain. An actin-binding protein, filamin, was recently shown to interact with the receptor and to be required for translocation (Ozanne et al., 2000).

A subset of endocytic adaptor proteins including Eps15 and Epsin1 have been reported to undergo nucleocytoplasmic shuttling on the basis that their steady-state distribution becomes nuclear upon treating cells with an antifungal antibiotic, Leptomycin B, which inhibits nuclear export (Hyman et al., 2000; Vecchi et al., 2001). A strong argument against a nuclear distribution of these proteins is the absence of a nuclear subfraction under untreated conditions, although this can be explained by a high rate of nuclear export. A potential nuclear function for Eps15 and CALM was reported to be the regulation of transcription on the basis of their modulatory effects using a GAL4-based transactivation assay (Vecchi et al., 2001).

In this study, we have examined the effects of androgen treatment on the subcellular distribution of HIP1 and the effects of this protein on AR-mediated transcription. We have uncovered a functional association of HIP1 with androgen response elements (AREs), providing the first direct evidence for transcriptional modulation of hormone-responsive genes by an endocytic adaptor.

#### Results

#### HIP1 is both nuclear and cytosolic

A characteristic of prostatic tissue is a transcriptional response to androgen, which is mediated by the AR. We used an AR expressing prostate cancer cell line LNCaP to examine by subcellular fractionation changes in the distribution of the endogenous AR and HIP1 in response to treatment with a synthetic androgen, Mibolerone. Treating LNCaP cells with Mibolerone resulted in a 70% increase in nuclear AR but also resulted in a nuclear redistribution of HIP1 of up to 50% (Fig. 1 C). In contrast, clathrin, a binding partner of HIP1, remained entirely cytosolic (Fig. 1 A). This nuclear translocation was observed using confocal microscopy after Mibolerone treatment (Fig. 1 B). Translocation of endogenous AR and HIP1 was also induced by treating LNCaP cells with the physiological androgen dihydrotestosterone (unpublished data).

#### HIP1 associates with the AR

HIP1 was first identified as an interacting partner of a polyglutamine repeat–containing protein, huntingtin, in a yeast twohybrid screen with a binding affinity inversely proportional to the size of the polyglutamine tract (Wanker et al., 1997). We tested whether HIP1 also interacts with the AR, also a polyglutamine repeat–containing protein, using immunoprecipitation.



Figure 1. **HIP1 is found in a nuclear subcellular fraction.** (A) LNCaP cells were fractionated after Mibolerone or vehicle treatment. Nuclear (N) and cytosolic (C) fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE (50  $\mu$ g per lane), transferred to nitrocellulose, and blotted for the AR, HIP1, lamin B, and clathrin, illustrated here with representative blots. (B) LNCaP cells were grown in steroid-depleted media for 48 h and then treated with 10 nM Mibolerone or ethanol. HIP1 was detected using mouse mAb and the AR was detected using a rabbit polyclonal antibody. The nuclei were stained with DAPI. Bar, 70  $\mu$ m. (C) The degree of translocation of the AR and HIP1 was quantitated by densitometric analysis of the blots. Data shown represent the means of five independent experiments  $\pm$  SD.

Endogenous AR and HIP1 were coimmunoprecipitated from the LNCaP cell line (Fig. 2 A). This has been confirmed independently by band identification using mass spectroscopy (unpublished data). We have also confirmed this interaction using transfected COS7 cells in a mammalian two-hybrid screen with the AR coactivator Tip60 as a positive control (Brady et al., 1999; unpublished data).

We used a GAL4-based transactivation assay in an attempt to identify domains of HIP1 with potential nuclear functions (Fig. 2 B). Expression constructs encoding the GAL4 DNA-binding domain fused to full-length HIP1, a construct bearing the FxDxF/coiled coil and I/LWEQ domains ( $\Delta$ ANTH), and a construct consisting of the COOH-terminal I/LWEQ domain alone were cotransfected along with a reporter plasmid encoding the luciferase gene under the transcriptional control of a GAL4-responsive promoter into AR-null COS7 cells. GAL4-HIP1 and GAL4- $\Delta$ ANTH produced a three- to fourfold transactivation over the basal value produced by GAL4 alone (Fig. 2 B). Although the degree of transactivation was lower than that produced by E2F1, an established trans-



Figure 2. Association between HIP1 and the AR. (A) LNCaP cells were transiently transfected with 2 µg pcDNA3-AR and pcDNA3-Myc-HIP1 per 90-mm dish. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-AR antibody and immunoblotted with an anti-AR polyclonal antibody and an anti-HIP1 mAb. (B) HIP1 acts as a transcriptional regulator in GAL4-based assays. COS-7 cells were cotransfected with a GAL4-regulated luciferase reporter construct, and chimeric constructs encompassing the GAL4 DNAbinding domain fused to HIP1 and the following domains: ANTH (encompassing aa 1–310),  $\Delta$ ANTH (encompassing aa 320–1037), and I/LWEQ (encompassing aa 800–1037). Luciferase activity was measured 48 h after transfection on equal amounts of total cellular lysates that expressed comparable levels of the various GAL4 fusion proteins as assessed by anti-GAL4 immunoblot (inset). Graphed data represent the means of three independent experiments with error bars for the SD. (C) COS7 cells were transfected with 2 µg pcDNA3-AR per 9-cm dish. Lysates were prepared and the AR was immunoprecipitated from 300  $\mu g$  of lysate supplemented with 10  $\mu$ g of recombinant proteins. The left panel is a Coomassie-stained gel illustrating the equivalent loading of GST (lane 1), GST-ANTH domain (lane 2), GST-FxDxF/coiled coil domain encompassing aa 320–800 (lane 3), and GST-I/LWEQ domain (lane 4). Immunoprecipitates were blotted for AR, HIP1, and GST as indicated.

activator, it was statistically significant and at a similar level to that observed for endocytic proteins previously reported to undergo nucleocytoplasmic shuttling (Vecchi et al., 2001).

We attempted to narrow down the binding site for the AR in HIP1 by expressing and purifying GST-tagged domain constructs of HIP1 encompassing the coiled coil domain, the coiled coil/DxF region, and the COOH-terminal I/LWEQ domain from *Escherichia coli*. We then incubated these recombinant domains with lysate extracted from COS7 cells transfected with the AR and, after immunoprecipitation with a polyclonal AR antibody, blotted for the AR, GST, and HIP1. Equal quantities of the AR were immunoprecipitated in the conditions used. A HIP1 blot detected an association with the



Figure 3. HIP1 is a transcriptional coregulator for the AR. (A) COS7 cells were transfected with 50 ng pcDNA3-AR plus increasing quantities of pcDNA3-HIP1 (0, 50, 100, 200, and 400 ng) together with 100 ng of a pPSA luciferase reporter construct (pPSALuc). Cells were treated with10 n M Mibolerone  $\pm 1 \mu$ M bicalutamide (Casodex) for 48 h and luciferase activity was normalized for transfection efficiency as determined by β-galactosidase assays and expressed relative to vehicle-treated singly transfected AR-positive cells. Data shown represent the means of three independent experiments  $\pm$  SD. (insets) Lysates were prepared and run at 50  $\mu$ g per lane before blotting for HIP1 and AR. (B) COS7 cells were transfected with 50 ng pcDNA3-AR plus increasing quantities of pcDNA3-HIP1 (0, 50, 100, 200, and 400 ng) together with 100 ng of a minimal ARE luciferase reporter construct (pARE\_t-Luc). After 48 h, cells were lysed and luciferase assays were performed as described. Data shown represent the means of three independent three independent experiments  $\pm$  SD.

FxDxF/coiled coil domain and this was confirmed using an antibody raised against GST (Fig. 2 C). From these data we conclude that HIP1 and the AR associate, and that this association requires the central FxDxF/coiled coil domain of HIP1.

# HIP1 is a transcriptional regulator of hormone receptors

We investigated the effects of ectopic HIP1 expression on the transcriptional activity of the AR using a luciferase reporter construct driven by a prostate-specific antigen (PSA) promoter, pPSALuc. COS7 cells were cotransfected with the AR and increasing quantities of HIP1. The transcriptional response to androgen stimulation was enhanced in a dose-dependent manner with a maximal fourfold enhancement above the stimulatory level achieved in the absence of HIP1 (Fig. 3 A). Coactivation was selectively blocked with an anti-androgen, bicalutamide (Casodex; Fig. 3 A). HIP1-dependent coactivation is therefore unlikely to be a cross talk effect occurring through AR-independent

signaling. Coactivation was also observed when a minimal ARE reporter construct was used, further arguing against surrogate effects on the activities of other transcription factors (Fig. 3 B).

To determine whether the effects on AR-mediated transcription reflected an association between HIP1 and AREs, or were less direct, chromatin immunoprecipitations (ChIP) were undertaken using antibodies against HIP1 and the AR. Sequences corresponding to the proximal (AREI) and distal (AREIII) AREs were amplified by PCR (Fig. 4 A). ChIP assays were performed over 4 h after treatment of LNCaP cells with Mibolerone. A temporal recruitment of HIP1 and the AR to both AREI and AREIII was observed, though the temporal recruitment of HIP1 differed somewhat from that of the AR (Fig. 4 B). HIP1 was recruited within 1 h of Mibolerone treatment to AREI at levels that were sustained over the 4-h treatment period in contrast to the biphasic recruitment of the AR, which peaked at 1 and 4 h maxima. Recruitment of the AR to AREIII was also biphasic, resembling the pattern of recruitment to AREI (Fig. 4 B). In contrast, the recruitment of HIP1 to AREIII was monophasic with maximal recruitment detectable 1 h after stimulation with Mibolerone. In a reChIP assay, immunoprecipitated chromatin extracts were blotted for HIP1 and the AR after 2 h of treatment with Mibolerone. HIP1 and the AR were reciprocally immunoprecipitated and associated with AREI but not with a non-ARE-containing region of the PSA promoter (AREX; Fig. 4 C).

Synchronous AR binding is not a requisite for the association of HIP1 with AREs, and HIP1 may therefore potentially associate with other promoters/response elements and regulate the transcriptional activity of other nuclear hormone nuclear receptors. Indeed, cotransfection of HIP1 with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  isoforms of the estrogen receptor enhanced their transcriptional response to estradiol treatment. The coactivation effect of HIP1 was appreciably greater than that of one of the best-characterized estrogen receptor coactivators, p300 (Fig. S1, A and B, available at http:// www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200503106/DC1; Hanstein et al., 1996). Furthermore, increasing quantities of HIP1 cotransfected into COS-7 cells along with the glucocorticoid receptor produced progressive transcriptional coactivation of this receptor (Fig. S1 C). Interestingly, when HIP1 is cotransfected into COS7 cells along with a fusion of GAL4 with the ligand-binding domain (GAL4-LBD) of the glucocorticoid receptor, no additional transcriptional enhancement is observed (Fig. S1 D). This implies that the effects of HIP1 on other nuclear hormone receptors may depend on the NH2-terminal domains of these proteins. HIP1 therefore affects the transcriptional activity of other members of the nuclear hormone receptor family and this is characteristic of many AR coregulators.

# HIP1 levels affect the rate of AR degradation

We examined whether endogenous HIP1 was also required to sustain AR transcriptional activity by taking a silencing RNA (siRNA) approach to knockdown HIP1 in LNCaP cells (Fig. 5 A). HIP1 levels were reduced by 70–80% using this approach, as were levels of PSA, an androgen-responsive gene product for which AR activity is required. Protein levels of the



Figure 4. **HIP1 associates with ARE.** (A) Schematic diagram of the PSA promoter and ChIP assay with the length of PCR products denoted by a black bar. (B) ChIP was performed in LNCaP cells using both HIP1 and AR antibodies over a 4-h androgen time course. PCR was performed for AREs I and III. (C) ReChIP assays were performed in LNCaP cells by reprobing AR immunocomplexes with AR and HIP1 antibodies after 2-h androgen treatment. AR-HIP1 association was analyzed at ARE I and a non-ARE-containing portion of the PSA promoter (AREX).

AR were also reduced and quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR for HIP1, HIP1R, AR, and PSA was used to determine whether the reduction in the protein levels was reflected at the mRNA level. HIP1 mRNA was significantly reduced as predicted from the siRNA targeting of this protein, as were the mRNA levels of PSA, which reflects both the decreased level of AR in the treated cells and perhaps reduced transcriptional activity although it was not possible to differentiate between these two factors (Fig. 5 B). Strikingly, the mRNA levels of the AR itself were unaffected, and this implied that the reduction in the protein levels of the AR reflected an effect on protein rather than mRNA turnover. We explored this further by repeating the siRNA experiment and at 36 h after treatment inhibiting new protein synthesis by treating the cells with cycloheximide. Lysates were then prepared at two hourly time points after the application of the cycloheximide block and blotted for the AR, HIP1, and  $\beta$ -tubulin. The half-life of the AR was found to be reduced threefold in cells treated with siRNA-targeting HIP1 versus control siRNA (Fig. 5 C). HIP1 therefore reduces the rate of AR degradation. It is not currently known what the mechanism for AR degradation may be. There is evidence that the AR is ubiquitinated and that this enhances its transcriptional activity, whereas treatment with proteasomal inhibitors reduces the rate of AR dissociation from the AREs and ARmediated transcription (Beitel et al., 2002; Burgdorf et al., 2004). However, treatment of cells with MG132, a proteasomal inhibitor, has not been shown to increase the protein levels of



Figure 5. Silencing HIP1 expression reduces the transcriptional activity and protein levels of the AR. (A) LNCaP cells were transfected with a combination of HIP1 siRNAs versus a control siRNA. After 48 h, cell lysates were blotted for HIP1, HIP1R, AR, PSA, and tubulin. (B) Relative expression of AR, actin, HIP1, HIP1R, and PSA genes in LNCaP cells analyzed by real-time RT-PCR after transfection with either scrambled control or HIP1 siRNA. The data represent experimental triplicates normalized to actin levels from cells treated with a scrambled control siRNA and the error bars correspond to the SD on this data. (C) LNCaP cells were transfected with HIP1 siRNA or a scrambled control siRNA. After 40 h, cells were treated with cycloheximide (CHX). Cells were lysed during the course of the following 8 h with Western blot analysis of AR levels. Lysates were also probed by Western blotting for tubulin as a loading control.

the AR appreciably and so a direct link between AR ubiquitination and AR degradation is yet to be made (Tanner et al., 2004).

#### Transcriptional regulation by HIP1 is distinct from lipid binding and requires a COOH-terminal NLS

HIP1 has in the past been reported to play a role as an adaptor in clathrin-dependent membrane trafficking of growth factor receptors through the binding of phosphoinositides and clathrin (Hyun et al., 2004). It was therefore important to dissect the transcriptional effects of HIP1 from its other established functions. To do this, mutations were made in the phosphoinositidebinding ANTH domain of HIP1 based on the strong homology with other ANTH domain–containing proteins (Fig. 6 A). The crystal structure of the ANTH domain of clathrin assembly lymphoid myeloid leukemia protein (CALM) in complex with a soluble short-chain (diC<sub>8</sub>) L- $\alpha$ -D-*myo*-phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate has been resolved and the strong homology with



Figure 6. The effects of the K56E/K58E double mutation on lipid binding by HIP1. (A) A sequence alignment of the putative  $\alpha$ 1-to- $\alpha$ 2 loop region of HIP1 with that of other ANTH domain proteins based on the crystal structure of the CALM (Altschul et al., 1997; Ford et al., 2001). Note key lipid binding residues (red); sequence identity (red); mutated residues (red arrowheads); and predicted NLS using http://cubic.bioc.columbia.edu/ cgi/var/nair/resonline.pl (blue amino acids). Sequence identifications: HsHIP1 (NP\_005329), HsHIP1R (NP\_003950), Sp putative clathrin coat assembly protein (NP\_596345), ScSla2p (NP\_014156), XI Hip1-prov protein (AAH77182), and RnAP180 (CAA48748). Hs, Homo sapiens; Sc, Saccharomyces cerevisiae; Sp, Schizosaccharomyces pombe; XI, Xenopus laevis; Rn, Rattus norvegicus. An I/LWEQ module sequence alignment prepared using CLUSTALW incorporating the predicted fourth  $\alpha$ helix of the module is shown (McCann and Craig, 1999). Additional sequence identifiers: HsTalin1 (AAF27330) and SpSla2p (NP\_594069). (B) Coomassie-stained gel of a sedimentation assay with phosphatidylinositol (PI) and brain (Folch) liposomes. P, pellet; S, supernatant. Liposomes were incubated with 5  $\mu$ M of the indicated proteins: GST, GST-HIP1N (aa 1–310), GST-HIP1N K/E (aa 1–310 K56E/K58E). (C) Isolation of an enriched clathrin-coated vesicle fraction from LNCaP cells. LNCaP cells were transfected with pcDNA3 HIP1 R1005E or HIP1 K56E/K58E or vector alone. 48 h posttransfection they were disrupted by homogenization and CCV fractions were isolated using a protocol adapted from Hirst et al. (2004). Coomassie blue-stained gel (left) and Western blots of equal protein loadings of homogenate (H), high speed supernatant (HSS), and CCV fractions from the isolation procedure.

HIP1 therefore enabled us to identify conserved basic residues predicted to lie in the lipid binding pocket (Ford et al., 2001). Two conserved lysine residues were mutated (K56E/K58E) and the effects of these HIP1 mutations were tested using bacterially expressed wild-type and mutant HIP1 ANTH domains (HIP1N; amino acids 1–310) in a liposome sedimentation assay. Mutation of the equivalent conserved residues in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Sla2p (Lysines-24 and -26 to alanine) result in the complete ablation of lipid binding (Sun et al., 2005). In our study, neither the wild-type nor the mutant construct bound efficiently to control liposomes but the wild-type HIP1 ANTH domain bound effectively to liposomes produced from bovine brain lipid extract (Folch lipids; Fig. 6 B). However, the double lysine mutation knocked out lipid binding as predicted.

To determine whether the K56E/K58E mutation affected the subcellular distribution of HIP1, LNCaP cells were transfected with Myc-His HIP1 or the HIP1 K56/K58E double mutant. Enriched clathrin-coated vesicle fractions were prepared and blotted for clathrin, HIP1, and adaptors. The double mutant of HIP1 was significantly de-enriched from the CCV fraction relative to the wild-type protein (Fig. 6 C). The lipid binding mutation may therefore increase the size of the "free" or cytosolic pool of HIP1 available to associate with the AR and/or alternative scaffolds such as DNA response elements and transcription complexes.

The COOH-terminal I/LWEQ domain has regularly spaced, conserved amino acids believed to comprise four  $\alpha$ -helices, and in Sla2p and HIP1R this domain binds to F-actin (Engqvist-Goldstein et al., 1999; Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2002). Mutation of a conserved residue, arginine-958, in Sla2p ablates actin binding (McCann and Craig, 1999). Although by sequence alignment this arginine residue (R1005) is also present in HIP1, there is only limited biochemical evidence for an association between a recombinantly expressed I/LWEQ domain fragment and actin (Senetar et al., 2004). Indeed binding is absent if a larger expression construct incorporating an upstream  $\alpha$ -helix (USH) is used in the same binding assay. Other groups have also been unable to detect actin binding with expression constructs encompassing the entire talin-like (I/LWEQ) domain (Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2002).

Given this ambiguity and in light of the nuclear role that we have uncovered for HIP1, we undertook algorithmic searches for other motifs within this COOH-terminal domain. We identified a putative NLS at the COOH terminus between amino acids 996 and 1009 resembling the consensus RK]x[RK]x[KR]x[4-6]RKK, which is strikingly absent in other proteins with talin-like domains (Fig. 6 A; Cokol et al., 2000). This implied an alternative role for R1005 in nuclear transport. We therefore mutated this residue to a glutamate and tagged GFP expression vectors with the HIP1 NLS, the mutated NLS, and the equivalent sequence region in HIP1R. Confocal imaging revealed that the GFP-HIP1 construct has an incomplete but clear nuclear colocalization in comparison to GFP-HIP1R (Fig 7, A and B). Subcellular fractionation revealed that this amounted to an approximate doubling in the amount of nuclear GFP when compared with GFP-HIP1R, the R1005E mutant, or GFP alone (Fig. 7, C and D). This indicates that an NLS within HIP1 itself can contribute to nuclear import and predicts an interaction between HIP1 and importins. A large number of imported proteins contain multiple or bipartite NLS motifs, which cumulatively result in high efficiency of import. Given the fact that the AR contains NLS motifs in its hinge region, we cannot rule out the possibility that other NLScontaining proteins may translocate into the nucleus in a complex with HIP1. This would explain why, in COS7 cells cotransfected with HIP1 and the AR, the nuclear translocation of both is androgen-reponsive and more efficient than that of



Figure 7. Characterization of an NLS at the COOH terminus of HIP1. COS7 cells were transfected with GFP-HIP1R (aa 992–1009; A) or GFP-HIP1NLS (aa 992–1009; B) 48 h before imaging and fixation. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. GFP-HIP1R is predominantly cytosolic, whereas GFP-HIP1 has a nucleocytoplasmic distribution. Bars, 80  $\mu$ m. (C) COS7 cells were transfected with GFPHIP1, GFPHIP1\_R1005E, GFPHIP1R, and GFP alone and were fractionated to give nuclear (N) and cytoplasmic (C) fractions, which were resolved by SDS-PAGE (50  $\mu$ g per lane) and blotted for GFP, lamin B, and clathrin. (D) The degree of translocation of GFP, GFPHIP1, GFPHIP1\_R1005E, GFPHIP1R, and clathrin was quantitated by densitometric analysis. Data shown represent the means of five independent experiments  $\pm$  SD.

the GFP-tagged minimal NLS (Georget et al., 2002; Saporita et al., 2003).

On the basis of this preliminary characterization of the HIP1 mutants, we predicted that the lipid binding mutant (K56E/K58E) might enhance the ability of HIP1 to coactivate the AR by increasing the available cytosolic pool of HIP1 for nuclear import. We also hypothesized that reducing nuclear import with the R1005E mutation might conversely reduce the transcriptional activity of the AR by having a dominant negative effect on the nuclear import of both HIP1 and, owing to their association, the AR. To test this, we transfected mutant and wild-type HIP1 into COS7 cells and examined the Mibolerone response of the pPSALuc reporter construct. The K56E/K58E HIP1 mutant produced a twofold greater enhancement of transcription than wild-type HIP1 under conditions of androgen stimulation (Fig. 8 A). In contrast, the R1005E mutation repressed transcriptional activ-



Figure 8. Effects of lipid binding and NLS mutations on the coregulator functions of HIP1. (A) COS7 cells were transiently transfected with pcDNA3-HIP1, HIP1 K56E/K58E, or HIP1 R1005E constructs, pcDNA3-AR and the pPSALuc reporter. Luciferase assays were performed after treatment with 10 nM Mibolerone and 1  $\mu$ M Casodex. Data shown represent the means of three independent experiments  $\pm$  SD. Lysates were blotted for HIP1 and AR as well as actin as a loading control. (B) HIP1 R1005E was transfected into LNCaP cells undergoing steroid depletion. Cells were treated with 10 nM Mibolerone for 2 h, fixed, and stained for the AR (red) and with a Myc antibody for HIP1 R1005E (green). Bar, 10  $\mu$ m.

ity by fourfold. Examining androgen-treated cells expressing the R1005E mutant we noted that there was a reduction in the apparent nuclear translocation of the AR in cells coexpressing the AR and the mutant (Fig. 8 B and Fig. S2, available at http:// www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200503106/DC1).

## Discussion

# Nuclear translocation of membrane trafficking proteins

It has previously been proposed that certain endocytic proteins undergoing nucleocytoplasmic shuttling might function as protein scaffolds in the nucleus and exert regulatory effects on transcription (Vecchi et al., 2001). Endocytic proteins first reported to undergo nucleocytoplasmic shuttling were not found to be stimulated to translocate to the nucleus upon treatment with epidermal growth factor or phorbol ester (Vecchi et al., 2001). In contrast, we report here that HIP1 translocates to the nucleus and that this translocation is androgen inducible (Fig. 1). The theme of a direct integration between signal-dependent membrane trafficking events and transcription is a new and emerging one. The only other example of a stimulus-induced nuclear translocation of an endocytic protein is the EGF-dependent translocation of APPL (adaptor protein containing PH domain, PTB domain, and leucine zipper motif) to the nucleus, which complexes with histone deacetylase multiprotein complex to regulate chromatin structure and transcription (Miaczynska et al., 2004). In contrast, HIP1 associates with a transcription factor, the AR, and perhaps other members of the nuclear hormone receptor family to enhance their transcriptional activity.

The overexpression of HIP1 in prostate cancer, as an endocytic protein capable of translocating to the nucleus and coactivating androgen-dependent transcription, is of potential importance in the study of hormonal responses in prostate cancer. A major focus of the prostate cancer field thus far has been on androgen hypersensitivity and kinase-dependent cross talk between growth factor pathways and nuclear hormone receptors rather than adaptor-based cross talk acting on both promoters and membranes (Chen et al., 2004; Culig, 2004). Our work now suggests that HIP1 may be capable of such integration given the association with AREs and the coactivation of ARmediated transcription (Figs. 3 and 4).

# HIP1 as a transcriptional regulator of the AR

It remains to be shown whether the association between HIP1 and the AR and between HIP1 and DNA is indirect or direct. Immunoprecipitation has demonstrated an association between the AR and the FxDxF/coiled coil domain of HIP1 (Fig. 2, A and C). Mammalian two-hybrid assays suggest that the NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal domain of the AR is a potential binding site for HIP1 (unpublished data). However, this region also binds other transcriptional coregulators, which could act as a molecular bridge to HIP1, and so more detailed mapping of the interaction site in the AR is required (Metzler et al., 2001; Sampson et al., 2001; Waelter et al., 2001).

The function of the I/LWEQ domain of HIP1 has previously proven difficult to confirm despite strong sequence homology with well-characterized actin-binding proteins (Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2002; Senetar et al., 2004). We have identified an NLS within the COOH-terminal I/LWEQ domain, which promotes the nuclear localization of GFP, and we believe that this distinguishes HIP1 from its actin-binding homologues (Figs. 6 A and 7). This motif may also explain a nuclear pool of HIP1 of variable size observed in transfected and untransfected COS7 cells by others (unpublished data). The NLS, although not strong enough to localize GFP constitutively to the nucleus, suggests that HIP1 may therefore have additional nuclear functions and transcriptional effects that are independent of hormonal stimulation and AR expression.

HIP1 is believed to be recruited from the cytosol to membranes through the binding of phosphoinositides by the ANTH domain. We have demonstrated that the nuclear translocation of HIP1 is an alternative dynamic event using cytosolic HIP1. Ablating lipid binding and therefore membrane recruitment with a double lysine mutation in the ANTH domain increases the transcriptional coactivation of HIP1 (Figs. 6 and 8). The NLS in HIP1 is also clearly equally important for the coactivator function of HIP1 because the R1005E mutation within this motif converts HIP1 from a coactivator to a potent corepressor (Fig. 8 A). Although this mutant can still bind to the AR (not depicted), the steady-state distribution of AR in R1005E-transfected cells is altered such that the AR appears largely cytosolic in certain cells (Fig. 8 B).

Other groups have in the past reported a requirement for F-actin binding proteins in the nuclear translocation of the AR although HIP1 itself has not been found so far to bind to F-actin other than in vitro in biochemical experiments (Ozanne et al., 2000; Schrantz et al., 2004; Senetar et al., 2004). Our findings imply that the R1005E mutation exerts its influence on AR signaling as a nuclear trafficking mutant by in part interfering with nuclear entry after androgen treatment (Fig. 8 B and Fig. S2).

Previously, it has been reported that the AR shuttles in and out of the nucleus several times after androgen treatment (Tyagi et al., 2000). Given that the NLS in HIP1 is weak and that the AR contains its own NLS motifs in the hinge domain, it is unlikely that the R1005E HIP1 mutant could block the nuclear translocation of the AR. The more plausible explanation must therefore be that the association between HIP1 and the AR occurs to some degree in the cytoplasm and affects the cycling and turnover of the receptor. A role for HIP1 in regulating the degradation or turnover of the AR is implied by the reduction in steady-state AR levels induced by HIP1 siRNA and the increased rate of AR degradation after the imposition of a cycloheximide block (Fig. 5). A link between nuclear translocation of the AR and its degradation was made when lysine mutations in the NLS of AR were shown to delay nuclear entry of the protein in response to ligand and inhibit proteasomal degradation (Thomas et al., 2004). Degradation of native AR by a cytosolic complex incorporating the E3 ubiquitin ligase, Hsc70 interacting protein (CHIP), was recently reported (Thomas et al., 2004). We therefore hypothesize that the R1005E mutant delays AR nuclear translocation in response to ligand, thus making the receptor available to such a complex for degradation and so repressing transcription. However, other factors that may contribute to the striking repressive effect of the R1005E mutant on transcription by the AR include an alteration in the steady-state nucleocytoplasmic distribution of the AR or disruption to the assembly of an active AR transcription complex on promoters.

In conclusion, the field of endocytosis is now developed enough for network theory to be applied to the large inventory of adaptors and their protein–protein and protein–lipid interactions (Praefcke et al., 2004). In contrast, mapping adaptor interactions at a nuclear and promoter level, be it by ChIP-on-ChIP or ChIP display, is only just beginning (Barski and Frenkel, 2004; Praefcke et al., 2004; Wang, 2005). HIP1 is an example of an emerging subset of adaptor proteins capable of nuclear translocation and associating with promoters and transcriptional machinery. It and other adaptors have been linked with cancer progression through correlative changes in expression and, in leukemias, gene fusions. Although their mechanistic contribution to cancer progression remains to be elucidated, a role as transcriptional regulators at promoters may prove as significant as their involvement in membrane trafficking and endocytosis.

## Materials and methods

#### Constructs and protein expression

Derivatives and mutants were subcloned into pGEX4T1 or 4T2, expressed in E. coli BL21 cells, and affinity purified before use. HIP1 expression constructs were made with the pcDNA3.1 Myc-His6 expression vector as described previously (Rao et al., 2002). GFP-tagged NLS constructs used primers spanning aa 992-1009 ligated into the BamHI-NotI sites of the NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal GFP-tagging vector pQN1-FC3 (Qbiogene), HIP1NLS (GATCCGAATTGCAĞĞATCCAAGĠAGCGTCAAAAAČTGĠGAGAGC-TTCGGAAAAAGCACTACGAGGGC), and HIP1R-NLS (GATCCA-CGGCTGAGGCTGAACGCATGCGGCTGGGGGGAGTTGCGGAAGCA-ACACTACGTGGGC). The K56E/K58E was made using sense and antisense primers incorporating the appropriate single base changes highlighted in bold: gtggctgtagaggaagaacacgccagaacg and cgttctggcgtattettectetacagecae; and for the R1005E mutant using sense and antisense primers: gggagagcttctcaaaaagcac and gtgctttttgagaagctctccc using two cycling conditions for each construct. Cycling protocol 1: 13 cycles consisting of 94°C for 40 s, 65°C for 30 s, and 70°C for 18 min and followed by a final 67°C extension step lasting 18 min. Cycling protocol 2: 18 cycles consisting of 94°C for 40 s, 62°C for 30 s, and 67°C for 18 min and followed by a final 67°C extension step lasting 15 min. In both cases the template DNA was digested using DpnI, with a 37°C incubation lasting 45 min and a subsequent denaturation step (65°C, 20 min) before transformation into an XL-1 Blue strain of E. coli. An NH2-terminal construct of HIP1 comprising aa 1-310 was PCR subcloned into the EcoRI-NotI sites of pGEX4T2 using a sense (ggaattcatatggatcggatggccagctccatgaag) and an antisense primer (ttttccttttgcggccgctaagggctgatatgttctgacagggctg).

The following expression vectors have previously been described: pcDNA3-AR and TK-GAL4UASLuc, pPSALuc, pGAL4DBD-Er $\beta$ , pGAL4DBD-ER $\alpha$ , MMTVLuc, pCMV- $\beta$ -gal, and pARE<sub>4</sub>-Luc (Brady et al., 1999; Gaughan et al., 2001; Lu et al., 2001). pARE<sub>4</sub>-Luc consists of a minimal promoter and was constructed by inserting four synthetic tandem repeats of the ARE primers (5'-TGTACAGGATGTTCTGAATTCCATGTA-CAGGATGTTCT-3' and 5'-AGAACATCCTGTACATGAATTCCAAGAAC-ATCCTGTACA-3') in front of an E1b minimal TATA box sequence, followed by a firefly luciferase gene.

#### **RNA** interference

HIP1 knockdowns were performed using three siRNA constructs, which were obtained as H1 cassettes (GenScript) and had the following sense sequences: GAACCAAGAUGGAGUACCA (HIP1nts440-459); GCA-CUACGAGCUUGCUGGU (HIP1nts3021-3039); GGACGAGGCUGGAGAAAGU (HIP1nts510-528). A scrambled siRNA was purchased from QIAGEN and had the sequence UUCUCGAACGUGUCACGUdTdT. In brief, 250,000 LNCaP cells were plated onto a 24-well plate (Corning) and left for 1 d to grow. On the day of transfection, 1  $\mu$ g of each oligo either alone or in combination was transfected into individual wells at a ratio of 1  $\mu$ g of oligo to 6  $\mu$ l of transfection reagent (RNAifect; QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

#### Western blotting

Total cell extracts were prepared by lysing cells for 30 min on ice in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM sodium glycerophosphate, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, complete protease inhibitor (Roche), and 1% NP-40. The extracts were cleared by centrifugation for 30 min at 17,000 g and then boiled in SDS sample buffer for 10 min. Total cell lysate (20–30 μg) was resolved by SDS-PAGE (10% gel), transferred on to an Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore), and the signal was visualized by ECL (GE Healthcare). Membranes were blotted with antibodies against HIP1 (NOVUS Biologicals), AR (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), PSA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), lamin B (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), actin (Sigma-Aldrich), clathrin heavy chain (Transduction Laboratories), GFP (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.), TGN46 (Serotec), γ-adaptin (Sigma-Aldrich), Myc (Cell Signaling), β-tubulin, and HIP1R (polyclonal: gift from T. Ross, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI).

#### Isolation of nuclear and cytosolic fractions from cell lines

Nuclei were isolated from LNCaP cells according to published protocols (Schreiber et al., 1989). Confluent cells from 90-mm dishes were washed twice in ice-cold TBS, and then gently scraped into 800  $\mu$ l of cold homogenization buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, and 0.5 mM PMSF) and allowed to swell on ice for 15 min. Cells were lysed by addition of 50  $\mu$ l of a 10% solution of

NP-40 followed by 10-s vigorous vortexing. Nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 30 s. The cytoplasmic fraction was removed, and nuclei were washed twice in homogenization buffer with NP-40 and resuspended in 200 µl of the same buffer. Nuclei were solubilized by sonication, and protein concentrations of nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were determined using the BCA protein assay (Pierce Chemical Co.). Equal amounts of protein from all fractions were boiled in  $2 \times$  Laemmli sample buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose. Membranes were blotted with antibodies to AR (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and HIP1 (NOVUS Biologicals), as well as anti-lamin B (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and clathrin heavy chain (Transduction Laboratories) that were used as nuclear and loading control probes. Primary antibodies were followed up with appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Dako). Immunoreactive bands were visualized with ECL using SuperSignal substrate (Pierce Chemical Co.). Blots were scanned using a densitometer (model FL-5000; Fuji) and band densities were quantitated using ImageQuant software. Gel and blot images were prepared for the illustrations with the use of Adobe Photoshop software.

#### **CCV** isolation

CCVs were isolated from LNCaP cells growing on six to eight 75-cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture flasks using an adaptation of an existing protocol (Hirst et al., 2004). Protein levels were assayed, and equal protein loadings of the fractions were blotted after SDS-PAGE.

#### Cell culture and microscopy

COS7 and LNCaP lines were grown in DME and RPMI-1640 media, respectively, supplemented with 10% FBS or charcoal-stripped FBS (Hyclone). Cells were grown in steroid-depleted media for 48 h pretransfection and were then transfected with Fugene according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were fixed with 3% PFA, followed by permeabilization with 0.1% saponin. Primary antibodies are listed in the Western blotting section; secondary antibodies were purchased from Molecular Probes. Images were acquired on a confocal microscope (model LSM510 META; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc.) equipped with the appropriate filters and laser lines. Images were rendered in image browser software (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc.) before processing for publication using Adobe Photoshop.

#### RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from growing LNCaP cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time PCRs were performed using a SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in an ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cycling conditions were 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, and 60°C for 1 min. The following primer pairs were used to profile the AR (CTCACCAAGCTCTGGACTC and CAGGCAGAAGACATCTGAAAG), PSA (GCAGCATTGAACCAGAGGAG and AGAACTGGGGAGACTGGAGCTGAAGT), HIP1 (CAACCCTGGCGAACAGTTCTA and TCCAAATGACCAGAGCAGCAGGAGAGTTCTA and TCCAAATGACCAGAGCAGCAGGAAGTCCA, ACTTGCCCGTGGAA), and  $\beta$ -actin (CACAGCTGAGAGGGAAATC and TCAGCAATGCCTGGGTAC).

#### Luciferase reporter assays

**GAL4-based reporter assay.** For transcriptional assays, HIP1 and its truncated versions were cloned into the PM2 vector fused to the GAL4 DNAbinding domain (aa positions 1–147). COS-7 cells grown in 6-well dishes were transiently transfected in triplicate with 0.3  $\mu$ g of the GAL4-TKluciferase reporter and with 1.2  $\mu$ g of the different GAL4 fusion constructs using lipofectamine (Invitrogen). Cells were lysed after 48 h and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-GAL4 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) to verify the levels of expression of the various GAL4 fusion proteins. Transactivation assays were performed only on sets of transfectants that showed comparable levels of expression of the various proteins. Luciferase activity was measured on identical amounts of total cellular lysates from the various transfectants using a commercial kit (Promega).

Androgen reporter assay. Cells were seeded into 24-well plates and grown in the presence of charcoal-stripped medium for at least 24 h before transfection with a PSA luciferase reporter construct (pPSALuc) and a  $\beta$ -Gal reporter. Reporter assays were undertaken as described previously (Gaughan et al., 2002). All experiments shown are the average of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate  $\pm$  SD.

**Liposome sedimentation assay.** Sedimentation assays were performed according to an established protocol (Peter et al., 2004). Recombinant protein was expressed and purified from BL21 DE3 cells. Liposomes consisting either of 40% phosphatidylcholine, 40% phosphatidylethanolamine, 10% cholesterol, and 10% phoshatidylinositol (Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc.) or of Folch fraction1/total bovine brain lipids (Folch fraction 1; Sigma-Aldrich B1502) were resuspended at 1 mg/ml in 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT and sized by extrusion. Supernatants and pellets were resuspended in an equal volume of sample buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie stain.

ChIP. ChIP assays were performed as described previously (Gaughan et al., 2002). For immunoprecipitation, 2  $\mu g$  of polyclonal AR and 2 µg monoclonal HIP1 antibodies were used as indicated. ReChIP analysis was performed as described previously (Reid et al., 2003). In brief, AR and HIP1 antibodies were added to chromatin extracts for 5 h followed by the addition of 60 µl of salmon sperm/protein A-Agarose (Upstate Biotechnology) to recover immunocomplexes. AR- and HIP1-containing complexes were eluted by 1-h incubation in reChIP buffer (0.5 mM DTT, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, and 20 mM Tris, pH 8.1) and subsequently reimmunoprecipitated by the addition of 2  $\mu$ g of antibodies for AR, HIP1, or anti-VP16 for control, to an equal volume of eluted material. Recovery and preparation of DNA was performed as described previously (Gaughan et al., 2002). Semi-quantitative PCR was performed with 10  $\mu l$  of DNA, BioTaq DNA polymerase, and  $\alpha \text{-} [^{32}\text{P}]\text{dATP},$ using the following primers: ARE IF, TCTGCCTTTGTCCCCTAGAT, and ARE IR, AACCTTCATTCCCCAGGACT, to amplify 235 bp of the proximal PSA promoter, encompassing the ARE I (Fig. 4 A); ARE IIIF, CCTCCCAG-GTTCAAGTGATT, and ARE IIIR, GCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTT, to amplify the distal ARE III; ARE XF, CTGTGCTTGGAGTTTACCTGA, and ARE XR, GCAGAGGTTGCAGTGAGCC, to amplify a non-ARE-containing portion of the PSA promoter. PCR products were resolved, dried, and then exposed to X-ray film for 2-12 h. ChIP data are representative of triplicate experiments performed using similar passage number LNCaP cells.

#### Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows coactivation of estrogen and glucocorticoid receptors by HIP1. HIP1 was cotransfected into COS7 cells with the estrogen or glucocorticoid receptors along with appropriate luciferase reporter constructs. Lysates were assayed for luciferase activity. Experiments were performed in triplicate and SDs are shown. Fig. S2 shows the effect of the HIP1 R1005E mutant on the nucleocytoplasmic distribution of the AR. LNCaP cells were transfected with Myc-tagged wtHIP1 or HIP1 R1005E and fractionated after androgen treatment. Nuclear and cytosolic fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotted for the AR and Myc illustrated with representative blots (Fig. S2 A). Fractions were also blotted for lamin B and clathrin as nuclear and cytosolic control proteins (Fig. S2 C). The degree of translocation of the AR and HIP1 was quantitated by densitometric analysis of the blots (Fig. S2 B). Experiments were performed five times and SDs are shown. Online supplemental material is available at http:// www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200503106/DC1.

We thank B.A.J. Ponder and members of the Ross Laboratory for critical reading of the manuscript.

This work was supported by a Cancer Research UK Programme Grant (I.G. Mills), by the Association of International Cancer Research (L. Gaughan), and by the National Cancer Research Institute Prostate Research Collaborative (ProMPT).

#### Submitted: 21 March 2005 Accepted: 8 June 2005

#### References

- Altschul, S.F., T.L. Madden, A.A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller, and D.J. Lipman. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25:3389–3402.
- Barski, A., and B. Frenkel. 2004. ChIP Display: novel method for identification of genomic targets of transcription factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32:e104.
- Beitel, L.K., Y.A. Elhaji, R. Lumbroso, S.S. Wing, V. Panet-Raymond, B. Gottlieb, L. Pinsky, and M.A. Trifiro. 2002. Cloning and characterization of an androgen receptor N-terminal-interacting protein with ubiquitin-protein ligase activity. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 29:41–60.
- Brady, M.E., D.M. Ozanne, L. Gaughan, I. Waite, S. Cook, D.E. Neal, and C.N. Robson. 1999. Tip60 is a nuclear hormone receptor coactivator. J. Biol. Chem. 274:17599–17604.
- Burgdorf, S., P. Leister, and K.H. Scheidtmann. 2004. TSG101 interacts with apoptosis-antagonizing transcription factor and enhances androgen receptor-mediated transcription by promoting its monoubiquitination. J. Biol.

- Chen, C.D., D.S. Welsbie, C. Tran, S.H. Baek, R. Chen, R. Vessella, M.G. Rosenfeld, and C.L. Sawyers. 2004. Molecular determinants of resistance to antiandrogen therapy. *Nat. Med.* 10:33–39.
- Cokol, M., R. Nair, and B. Rost. 2000. Finding nuclear localization signals. *EMBO Rep.* 1:411–415.
- Culig, Z. 2004. Androgen receptor cross-talk with cell signalling pathways. Growth Factors. 22:179–184.
- Engqvist-Goldstein, A.E., M.M. Kessels, V.S. Chopra, M.R. Hayden, and D.G. Drubin. 1999. An actin-binding protein of the Sla2/Huntingtin interacting protein 1 family is a novel component of clathrin-coated pits and vesicles. J. Cell Biol. 147:1503–1518.
- Ford, M.G., B.M. Pearse, M.K. Higgins, Y. Vallis, D.J. Owen, A. Gibson, C.R. Hopkins, P.R. Evans, and H.T. McMahon. 2001. Simultaneous binding of PtdIns(4,5)P2 and clathrin by AP180 in the nucleation of clathrin lattices on membranes. *Science*. 291:1051–1055.
- Gaughan, L., M.E. Brady, S. Cook, D.E. Neal, and C.N. Robson. 2001. Tip60 is a co-activator specific for class I nuclear hormone receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 276:46841–46848.
- Gaughan, L., I.R. Logan, S. Cook, D.E. Neal, and C.N. Robson. 2002. Tip60 and histone deacetylase 1 regulate androgen receptor activity through changes to the acetylation status of the receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 277:25904–25913.
- Geli, M.I., and H. Riezman. 1998. Endocytic internalization in yeast and animal cells: similar and different. J. Cell Sci. 111:1031–1037.
- Georget, V., B. Terouanne, J.C. Nicolas, and C. Sultan. 2002. Mechanism of antiandrogen action: key role of hsp90 in conformational change and transcriptional activity of the androgen receptor. *Biochemistry*. 41:11824–11831.
- Hanstein, B., R. Eckner, J. DiRenzo, S. Halachmi, H. Liu, B. Searcy, R. Kurokawa, and M. Brown. 1996. p300 is a component of an estrogen receptor coactivator complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 93:11540–11545.
- Hirst, J., S.E. Miller, M.J. Taylor, G.F. von Mollard, and M.S. Robinson. 2004. EpsinR is an adaptor for the SNARE protein Vti1b. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 15:5593–5602.
- Holtzman, D.A., S. Yang, and D.G. Drubin. 1993. Synthetic–lethal interactions identify two novel genes, *SLA1* and *SLA2*, that control membrane cytoskeleton assembly in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. 122:635– 644.
- Hyman, J., H. Chen, P.P. Di Fiore, P. De Camilli, and A.T. Brunger. 2000. Epsin 1 undergoes nucleocytosolic shuttling and its eps15 interactor NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal homology (ENTH) domain, structurally similar to Armadillo and HEAT repeats, interacts with the transcription factor promyelocytic leukemia Zn<sup>2</sup>+ finger protein (PLZF). J. Cell Biol. 149:537–546.
- Hyun, T.S., D.S. Rao, D. Saint-Dic, L.E. Michael, P.D. Kumar, S.V. Bradley, I.F. Mizukami, K.I. Oravecz-Wilson, and T.S. Ross. 2004. HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize receptor tyrosine kinases and bind 3-phosphoinositides via epsin N-terminal homology domains. J. Biol. Chem. 279:14294–14306.
- Kalchman, M.A., H.B. Koide, K. McCutcheon, R.K. Graham, K. Nichol, K. Nishiyama, P. Kazemi-Esfarjani, F.C. Lynn, C. Wellington, M. Metzler, et al. 1997. HIP1, a human homologue of *S. cerevisiae* Sla2p, interacts with membrane-associated huntingtin in the brain. *Nat. Genet.* 16:44–53.
- Kubler, E., and H. Riezman. 1993. Actin and fimbrin are required for the internalization step of endocytosis in yeast. *EMBO J.* 12:2855–2862.
- Legendre-Guillemin, V., M. Metzler, M. Charbonneau, L. Gan, V. Chopra, J. Philie, M.R. Hayden, and P.S. McPherson. 2002. HIP1 and HIP12 display differential binding to F-actin, AP2, and clathrin. Identification of a novel interaction with clathrin light chain. J. Biol. Chem. 277:19897–19904.
- Lu, M.L., M.C. Schneider, Y. Zheng, X. Zhang, and J.P. Richie. 2001. Caveolin-1 interacts with androgen receptor. A positive modulator of androgen receptor mediated transactivation. J. Biol. Chem. 276:13442–13451.
- McCann, R.O., and S.W. Craig. 1997. The I/LWEQ module: a conserved sequence that signifies F-actin binding in functionally diverse proteins from yeast to mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 94:5679–5684.
- McCann, R.O., and S.W. Craig. 1999. Functional genomic analysis reveals the utility of the I/LWEQ module as a predictor of protein: actin interaction. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 266:135–140.
- Metzler, M., V. Legendre-Guillemin, L. Gan, V. Chopra, A. Kwok, P.S. McPherson, and M.R. Hayden. 2001. HIP1 functions in clathrin-mediated endocytosis through binding to clathrin and adaptor protein 2. J. Biol. Chem. 276:39271–39276.
- Miaczynska, M., S. Christoforidis, A. Giner, A. Shevchenko, S. Uttenweiler-Joseph, B. Habermann, M. Wilm, R.G. Parton, and M. Zerial. 2004. APPL proteins link Rab5 to nuclear signal transduction via an endosomal compartment. *Cell*. 116:445–456.
- Mishra, S.K., N.R. Agostinelli, T.J. Brett, I. Mizukami, T.S. Ross, and L.M. Traub. 2001. Clathrin- and AP-2-binding sites in HIP1 uncover a general assembly role for endocytic accessory proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 276:46230–46236.
- Munn, A.L., B.J. Stevenson, M.I. Geli, and H. Riezman. 1995. end5, end6, and

end7: mutations that cause actin delocalization and block the internalization step of endocytosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 6:1721–1742.

- Ozanne, D.M., M.E. Brady, S. Cook, L. Gaughan, D.E. Neal, and C.N. Robson. 2000. Androgen receptor nuclear translocation is facilitated by the f-actin cross-linking protein filamin. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 14:1618–1626.
- Peter, B.J., H.M. Kent, I.G. Mills, Y. Vallis, P.J. Butler, P.R. Evans, and H.T. McMahon. 2004. BAR domains as sensors of membrane curvature: the amphiphysin BAR structure. *Science*. 303:495–499.
- Praefcke, G.J., M.G. Ford, E.M. Schmid, L.E. Olesen, J.L. Gallop, S.Y. Peak-Chew, Y. Vallis, M.M. Babu, I.G. Mills, and H.T. McMahon. 2004. Evolving nature of the AP2 alpha-appendage hub during clathrin-coated vesicle endocytosis. *EMBO J.* 23:4371–4383.
- Rao, D.S., T.S. Hyun, P.D. Kumar, I.F. Mizukami, M.A. Rubin, P.C. Lucas, M.G. Sanda, and T.S. Ross. 2002. Huntingtin-interacting protein 1 is overexpressed in prostate and colon cancer and is critical for cellular survival. *J. Clin. Invest.* 110:351–360.
- Reddy, P.H., M. Williams, and D.A. Tagle. 1999. Recent advances in understanding the pathogenesis of Huntington's disease. *Trends Neurosci.* 22:248–255.
- Reid, G., M.R. Hubner, R. Metivier, H. Brand, S. Denger, D. Manu, J. Beaudouin, J. Ellenberg, and F. Gannon. 2003. Cyclic, proteasome-mediated turnover of unliganded and liganded ERalpha on responsive promoters is an integral feature of estrogen signaling. *Mol. Cell.* 11:695–707.
- Sampson, E.R., S.Y. Yeh, H.C. Chang, M.Y. Tsai, X. Wang, H.J. Ting, and C. Chang. 2001. Identification and characterization of androgen receptor associated coregulators in prostate cancer cells. J. Biol. Regul. Homeost. Agents. 15:123–129.
- Saporita, A.J., Q. Zhang, N. Navai, Z. Dincer, J. Hahn, X. Cai, and Z. Wang. 2003. Identification and characterization of a ligand-regulated nuclear export signal in androgen receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 278:41998–42005.
- Schrantz, N., J. da Silva Correia, B. Fowler, Q. Ge, Z. Sun, and G.M. Bokoch. 2004. Mechanism of p21-activated kinase 6-mediated inhibition of androgen receptor signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 279:1922–1931.
- Schreiber, E., P. Matthias, M.M. Muller, and W. Schaffner. 1989. Rapid detection of octamer binding proteins with 'mini-extracts', prepared from a small number of cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 17:6419.
- Senetar, M.A., S.J. Foster, and R.O. McCann. 2004. Intrasteric inhibition mediates the interaction of the I/LWEQ module proteins Talin1, Talin2, Hip1, and Hip12 with actin. *Biochemistry*. 43:15418–15428.
- Sun, Y., M. Kaksonen, D.T. Madden, R. Schekman, and D.G. Drubin. 2005. Interaction of Sla2p's ANTH domain with PtdIns(4,5)P2 is important for actin-dependent endocytic internalization. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 16:717–730.
- Tanner, T., F. Claessens, and A. Haelens. 2004. The hinge region of the androgen receptor plays a role in proteasome-mediated transcriptional activation. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* 1030:587–592.
- Thomas, M., N. Dadgar, A. Aphale, J.M. Harrell, R. Kunkel, W.B. Pratt, and A.P. Lieberman. 2004. Androgen receptor acetylation site mutations cause trafficking defects, misfolding, and aggregation similar to expanded glutamine tracts. J. Biol. Chem. 279:8389–8395.
- Tyagi, R.K., Y. Lavrovsky, S.C. Ahn, C.S. Song, B. Chatterjee, and A.K. Roy. 2000. Dynamics of intracellular movement and nucleocytoplasmic recycling of the ligand-activated androgen receptor in living cells. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 14:1162–1174.
- Vecchi, M., S. Polo, V. Poupon, J.W. van de Loo, A. Benmerah, and P.P. Di Fiore. 2001. Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of endocytic proteins. J. Cell Biol. 153:1511–1517.
- Waelter, S., E. Scherzinger, R. Hasenbank, E. Nordhoff, R. Lurz, H. Goehler, C. Gauss, K. Sathasivam, G.P. Bates, H. Lehrach, and E.E. Wanker. 2001. The huntingtin interacting protein HIP1 is a clathrin and alpha-adaptinbinding protein involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 10:1807–1817.
- Wang, J.C. 2005. Finding primary targets of transcriptional regulators. *Cell Cycle*. 4:356–358.
- Wanker, E.E., C. Rovira, E. Scherzinger, R. Hasenbank, S. Walter, D. Tait, J. Colicelli, and H. Lehrach. 1997. HIP-I: a huntingtin interacting protein isolated by the yeast two-hybrid system. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 6:487–495.
- Wesp, A., L. Hicke, J. Palecek, R. Lombardi, T. Aust, A.L. Munn, and H. Riezman. 1997. End4p/Sla2p interacts with actin-associated proteins for endocytosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Biol. Cell.* 8:2291–2306.
- Yang, S., M.J. Cope, and D.G. Drubin. 1999. Sla2p is associated with the yeast cortical actin cytoskeleton via redundant localization signals. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 10:2265–2283.

# Serum Antibodies to Huntingtin Interacting Protein-1: A New Blood Test for Prostate Cancer

Sarah V. Bradley,<sup>1</sup> Katherine I. Oravecz-Wilson,<sup>1</sup> Gaelle Bougeard,<sup>1</sup> Ikuko Mizukami,<sup>1</sup> Lina Li,<sup>1</sup> Anthony J. Munaco,<sup>1</sup> Arun Sreekumar,<sup>2</sup> Michael N. Corradetti,<sup>1</sup> Arul M. Chinnaiyan,<sup>2,3</sup> Martin G. Sanda,<sup>3</sup> and Theodora S. Ross<sup>1</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Internal Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Pathology, and <sup>3</sup>Urology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan

#### Abstract

Huntingtin-interacting protein 1 (HIP1) is frequently overexpressed in prostate cancer. HIP1 is a clathrin-binding protein involved in growth factor receptor trafficking that transforms fibroblasts by prolonging the half-life of growth factor receptors. In addition to human cancers, HIP1 is also overexpressed in prostate tumors from the transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate (TRAMP) mouse model. Here we provide evidence that HIP1 plays an important role in mouse tumor development, as tumor formation in the TRAMP mice was impaired in the *Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup> background. In addition, we report that autoantibodies to HIP1 developed in the sera of TRAMP mice with prostate cancer as well as in the sera from human prostate cancer patients. This led to the development of an anti-HIP1 serum test in humans that had a similar sensitivity and specificity to the anti- $\alpha$ -methylacyl CoA racemase (AMACR) and prostate-specific antigen tests for prostate cancer and when combined with the anti-AMACR test yielded a specificity of 97%. These data suggest that HIP1 plays a functional role in tumorigenesis and that a positive HIP1 autoantibody test may be an important serum marker of prostate cancer. (Cancer Res 2005; 65(10): 4126-33)

#### Introduction

Currently, the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) blood test is widely relied upon for the early detection of prostate cancer. Despite the fact that this test was identified almost 20 years ago and standardized >10 years ago (1), the effect of this screening on mortality is not yet defined (2–4) and both its sensitivity (5, 6) and specificity (7) have limitations. Although there has been a stage migration with the use of the PSA test and therefore this test likely diminishes mortality from prostate cancer, the discovery of new biomarkers for early diagnosis and prognosis of prostate cancer may improve management of and survival from prostate cancer.

Recently, the identification of a test that identifies autoantibodies to the prostate tumor marker,  $\alpha$ -methylacyl CoA racemase (AMACR) provided hope that use of cytoplasmic tumor markers in addition to secreted antigens could lead to blood screening tests (8). The proposed reason for the formation of autoantibodies is that upon turnover of tumor cells, tumor antigens are shed into the circulation at low levels inducing an

immune response. Immunoreactivity to other cytoplasmic tumor antigens has been described in prostate cancer patients previously, but the formation of these autoantibodies did not show high sensitivities (9–11).

Because HIP1 is specifically up-regulated in prostate cancer relative to benign prostatic epithelia (12) and is a cytoplasmic protein, we hypothesized that HIP1 autoantibody formation could, like AMACR, yield a useful blood test for prostate cancer. In addition, because overexpression of HIP1 is associated with advanced prostate cancer (12) and HIP1 directly transforms fibroblasts (13), we hypothesized that HIP1 may be necessary for *in vivo* tumor cell survival or progression.

To experimentally evaluate these two questions in mice, we employed the transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate (TRAMP) model (14) and Hip1 mutant mice generated in our laboratory (15). TRAMP mice express SV40 T antigen under the control of the probasin promoter. This targets transgene expression to the epithelial cells of the prostate and leads to prostate cancer. Although many of the tumors in these mice are more representative of a neuroendocrine rather than epithelial cancer (16), the progression of these cancers in the TRAMP model is similar to human prostate cancer in that the prostates of these mice develop hyperplastic epithelia, in situ carcinoma, locally invasive cancers followed by metastases to the liver, lung, lymph nodes, and bone. In addition to providing evidence here that HIP1 may indeed be necessary for tumorigenesis in the TRAMP prostate, we have discovered that both TRAMP mice and men with prostate cancer produce autoantibodies to HIP1 more frequently than control individuals. Using both immunoblot and ELISA tests, described herein, we have found that the sensitivity and specificity of this novel prostate cancer blood test is similar to that of PSA, and when combined with AMACR, has the exciting potential to surpass the specificity of the PSA test.

#### Materials and Methods

**Animals.** The *Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup> mice (15) and TRAMP mice (14) were maintained on a C57BL/6;129svJ and C57BL/6 background, respectively. SV40 T antigen "homozygous" TRAMP male mice were intercrossed with *Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup> females to generate T antigen transgenic (TRAMP) mice that were heterozygous for the *Hip1* mutation (TRAMP/*Hip1*<sup>null/+</sup>). The latter mice were intercrossed to make TRAMP littermates containing either wild type or knockout *Hip1* alleles. Mouse tail DNA was genotyped for the SV40 T antigen by PCR (14) or for the *Hip1* null allele by Southern (15, 17). Euthanized mice were subjected to complete necropsy as well as *Hip1*/SV40 T antigen genotype verification via repeat southern blot of tail tissue and Western blot of tumors for the presence or absence of HIP1 and T antigen proteins. Mouse care followed established institutional guidelines.

Evaluation of transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate tissue. Sixteen TRAMP/ $Hip1^{+/+}$  and eight TRAMP/ $Hip1^{null/null}$  littermate mice were analyzed for tumor extent at 6.5 months of age. Prostate and

Note: S.V. Bradley and K.I. Oravecz-Wilson contributed equally to this work. Requests for reprints: Theodora S. Ross, University of Michigan, 6322 CCGC, 1500 East Medical Center Drive, Ann Harbor, MI 48109-0942. Phone: 734-615-5509; E-mail: tsross@umich.edu.

<sup>©2005</sup> American Association for Cancer Research.

 Table 1. Clinical data for prostate cancer patients used in study

$59.0 \pm 8.0$
$1.6 \pm 1.5$
$53.6 \pm 51.8$
$7.5~\pm~5.9$
23.3
55.5
21.1
10.0
38.9
60.1

\*Data were available for 91 patients only.

<sup>†</sup>Data available for 89 patients.

<sup>‡</sup>Data available for 97 patients.

<sup>§</sup>Data available for 90 patients.

tumor samples were fixed in 10% (v/v) buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, serially sectioned and stained by H&E. The slides of prostatic tissue were evaluated for the presence of hyperplasia, adenoma, or invasive adenocarcinoma as described previously (18).

Acquisition of serum samples from transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate mice. TRAMP mice and T antigen–negative control mice were initially bled between the ages of 2 and 4 months from the saphenous vein of the hind leg. Approximately 100 to 200  $\mu L$  of blood was collected into Microvette CB 300 serum separation tubes (Starstadt, Nümbrecht, Germany) and 30- to 40- $\mu L$  aliquots were stored at  $-20\,^\circ C$  until analyzed.

**Human patient cohort and samples.** This study was approved by the University of Michigan Medical School Institutional Review Board. At the time of diagnosis and before prostatectomy, sera from 97 biopsy-proven clinically localized prostate cancer patients were collected and stored in the University of Michigan Prostate Specialized Programs of Research Excellence Tissue/Serum Bank from January 1995 to January 2003. The average age of the participants was 59 (range, 41-83). Table 1 summarizes the clinical data for the 97 prostate cancer patients. As controls, sera from 211 male subjects (average age, 61; range, 29-84; collected at the University of Michigan clinical pathology laboratories from May 2001 to May 2003) with no known history of cancer were used. All sera were stored in aliquots at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

**Preparation of HIP1 antigen.** A glutathione *S*-transferase-3'HIP1 (GST-3'HIP1) fusion construct was used to generate 3'HIP1 antigen. Briefly, GST was fused in frame to the COOH-terminal half of HIP1 amino acid sequence starting at the sole internal *Eco*RI site (nucleotide 1250) and ending at the native stop codon (nucleotide 3010; ref. 19). Expression of antigen was induced in bacteria with 0.1 mmol/L isopropyl-L-thio-B-D-galactopyranoside for 4 hours at 37°C, bacteria were sonicated and antigen initially fractionated from other bacterial proteins with glutathione-Sepharose. GST was then cleaved off of the partially pure protein with thrombin. The antigen was separated from free GST on a preparative 8% SDS-PAGE gel, electroeluted, dialyzed, and concentrated to obtain further purity.

Immunoblot analysis of anti-HIP1 antibodies in mouse or human serum. 3'HIP1 protein (10 µg for mouse sera and 20 µg for human sera) was separated on a 10% preparative gel, transferred to nitrocellulose, and blocked overnight at 4°C in TBST (mouse sera) or TBS (human sera) with 5% milk and 5% goat (mouse samples) or donkey (human samples) serum ("blocking solution"). A Miniblotter 28-dual unit system (Immunetics, Inc., Cambridge, MA) was used to make 25 incubation chambers for serum samples, diluted 1:50 in 1:10 blocking solution (human sera) or 1:15 in TBST/5% milk (mouse sera). Membranes were incubated with the serum samples for 2 hours at room temperature and washed with TBST. For blots of TRAMP sera, goat antimouse horseradish peroxidase (HRP)–conjugated secondary antibody (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was used at 1:5,000 dilution in TBST/5% milk for 1 hour at room temperature. The blots were washed for 1 hour with TBST and HRP developed with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL). For analysis of human sera, a donkey anti-human biotin conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) was used at a 1:50,000 dilution in 1:10 "blocking solution" for 1 hour. After washing with TBST, HRP-conjugated streptavidin was incubated with the blots (1:25,000 dilution in 1:10 blocking solution) for 1 hour, and the blots were subjected to a final wash. Super-Signal ECL (Pierce, Rockford, IL) was used to develop the HRP for the human samples and generic ECL was used for mouse samples (20).

ELISA test for HIP1 autoantibodies. MaxiSorb immunoplates (Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY) were coated with 5 µg/mL of the 3'HIP1 antigen by incubating 50 µL per well overnight at 4°C. The plates were washed twice with TBST. Plates were blocked with 200 µL of 5% milk in TBST overnight at 4°C, washed twice with TBST, and stored at 4°C for a maximum of 2 weeks. Serum samples (50 µL per well) diluted 1:100 in blocking solution were assayed in duplicate and incubated with the antigencoated plates at room temperature for 1 hour. The plates were washed five times with TBST and incubated with 1:10,000 goat anti-human IgG biotinconjugated (Pierce) secondary antibody for 30 minutes. The plates were again washed five times with TBST and incubated with avidin-biotin complex reagent (Pierce) for 30 minutes and washed; 100 µL of the 1-Step Ultra TMB (Pierce) were incubated on the plates for 30 minutes for color development and quenched with 100 µL of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Absorbance was measured at 450 to 550 nm using a VERSAmax microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

**Statistical analysis.** All statistical analyses were done with Excel, Medcalc, or SPSS. To test for the difference in tumor incidence and histologic appearances the MedCalc program was used to perform correlative and  $\chi^2$  tests. To test for significant differences in HIP1 immune response between prostate cancer patients and control subjects, Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test as well as Student's two-sided *t* test were done using SPSS. ROC curve analysis was achieved using the MedCalc program.

#### Results

Diminished prostate tumor development in transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate/Hip1<sup>null/null</sup> mice. To examine the in vivo necessity of HIP1 overexpression in prostate tumors, TRAMP mice (14) and  $Hip1^{null/null}$  mice (15) were crossed to generate TRAMP mice deficient of HIP1 (TRAMP/ Hip1<sup>null/null</sup> mice) as well as control littermates (TRAMP/Hip1<sup>+/+</sup> mice). This experiment was based on the previous observation that murine HIP1 is overexpressed in 50% of prostate tumors that develop in TRAMP mice (12) and that the loss of function mutation of Hip1 does not alter the development or maintenance of normal prostate tissue nor does it affect hormone levels in mice including testosterone (15, 17). In an initial study of these mice, we noted that TRAMP mice deficient for HIP1 did not develop as many palpable tumors as their wild-type HIP1 expressing littermates (data not shown). To quantitate this observation, we initiated a second experiment where TRAMP littermates without HIP1 expression (TRAMP/Hip1<sup>null/null</sup>) and their controls  $(\text{TRAMP}/Hip1^{+/+})$  were sacrificed at 6.5 months of age. We chose to analyze mice at this age, as by 6 months of age, all TRAMP mice develop prostate tumors (18). As seen in Fig. 1A, the absence of HIP1 expression resulted in fewer grossly observed prostate tumors than littermate *Hip1* wild-type controls (2 of 8 TRAMP/*Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup> [25%] versus 13 of 16 TRAMP/*Hip1*<sup>+/+</sup> [81%], respectively; P < 0.01).

The diminished tumor frequency observed in the Hip1<sup>null/null</sup> mice could be due to a reduced rate of tumor initiation. Alternatively, HIP1 may be required for tumor growth or progression to invasive carcinoma. To begin to distinguish between these possibilities, the histologic characteristics of the prostates and their tumors from these mice was scored using a previously described grading system of prostatic lesions (18). Briefly, serial tissue sections were characterized for their most advanced lesions. For example, "hyperplasia" was scored when the epithelial cells of the acini were crowded and formed foci in cribiform or papillary patterns but still followed the outline of the acinus. "Adenoma" was scored when in some parts of the tissue the epithelial cells completely filled the lumen or distinct epithelial masses were found in the lumen of the acinus. "Invasive carcinoma" was scored when there was local invasion into and beyond the capsule of the acinus or there were distant metastases. The TRAMP mice with "adenomas" or "invasive carcinomas" also contained multiple foci of hyperplasia.

Using this scoring system, we found that development of invasive cancers was diminished in TRAMP/ $Hip1^{null/null}$  mice. At 6.5 months of age, most of the TRAMP mice with normal Hip1 had adenomas or invasive cancers (8 of 8 observed TRAMP/



Figure 1. HIP1 deficiency impairs tumorigenesis in the TRAMP model of prostate cancer. A, grossly evident tumors were scored during necropsy of TRAMP/Hip1<sup>+/+</sup> (n = 16) and TRAMP/Hip1<sup>null/null</sup> (n = 8) littermate mice. Observations were recorded as either no obvious tumor, gross tumor of ~ - 1 to 10 mm in diameter or large tumor measuring >10 mm. Most TRAMP/Hip1<sup>null/null</sup> mice had grossly normal prostates (6 of 8) compared to the TRAMP/Hip1+/+ mice (3 of 16, 75% versus 18.8%, respectively). There were no tumors >10 mm in the absence of HIP1 compared with 7 of 16 in the presence of HIP1. Numbers of mice in each observation are bracketed above the columns. B, histologic analysis of prostate tissue from a second cohort of TRAMP mice at 6.5 months of age. Six prostate samples were derived from Hip1 null prostates and eight prostates samples were derived from Hip1 wild-type littermates. Serial sections of the prostates were prepared for H&E staining. These slides were scored for the presence of hyperplasia, adenoma, or invasive carcinoma within the prostate (18). Eighty-three percent (five of six) of the Hip1<sup>null/null</sup> mice had only hyperplasia in the prostate tissue. All of the TRAMP/Hip1+/+ mice were found to have multiple foci of either adenoma (75%) or invasive carcinoma (25%), as expected.

 $Hip1^{+/+}$  [100%] versus 1 of 6 [17%] TRAMP/ $Hip1^{\text{null/null}}$ ; Fig. 1*B*). In contrast, most of the TRAMP/ $Hip1^{\text{null/null}}$  mice had only hyperplastic lesions (five of six, 84%). The differences in tumor incidence either by gross observation or by histology between control and TRAMP/ $Hip1^{\text{null/null}}$  mice was significant (P < 0.01and P < 0.025, Pearson's  $\chi^2$ , respectively). These data suggest that there is a delay in the ability of prostatic lesions from  $Hip1^{\text{null/null}}$  mice to progress from hyperplasia to adenomas and invasive carcinomas. Previously, we reported that 50% of TRAMP prostate tumors overexpressed HIP1 by Western blot analysis of tumors (12). In contrast, we find here that at least 75% of the TRAMP prostates required HIP1 expression for invasive tumor formation (Fig. 1*A*, *first column*). This suggests that the sensitivity to detect HIP1 overexpression by Western blot analysis of prostate tumors may be limited.

Autoantibodies to HIP1 in transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate mice. Because HIP1 was overexpressed in prostate tumors of both humans and mice (12), we attempted to measure HIP1 levels in mouse serum by Western blot analysis using anti-HIP1 polyclonal (UM323) and monoclonal (1B11) antibodies. Our goal was to determine if HIP1 antigen quantitation could be used as a novel serum biomarker of prostate cancer. As one might expect for a cytoplasmic protein, we were not able to detect the HIP1 antigen in sera (data not shown). Because of this limited sensitivity, we decided to test the hypothesis that a humoral immune response to overexpressed HIP1 marks prostate cancer presence. If such a response was detected, we hypothesized that it could be used as a potential blood test for prostate cancer detection and prognosis.

To begin to test this, recombinant HIP1 (19) was purified (Fig. 2A, left) and immunoblot with specific HIP1 monoclonal antibodies, 4B10 and 1B11, confirmed its identity (Fig. 2A, right). The lower of the two bands on the Western blot was variably seen in different preparations of the purified antigen and was likely the result of degradation during antigen preparation. In an initial pilot Western blot study of mouse sera and 3' HIP1 antigen, we found that there was immune reactivity to the HIP1 antigen in sera from prostate tumor-bearing TRAMP/Hip1+/+ mice but not control (T antigen negative) or TRAMP/Hip1<sup>null/null</sup> mice (data not shown). Serial serum samples from TRAMP mice and control mice were loaded in a miniblot apparatus (8) to determine the developmental time course and maintenance of autoantibodies to HIP1 in TRAMP mice (Fig. 2B). Remarkably, we found that there was an antibody response to HIP1 that varied in its time of onset (Fig. 2B) but was detected as early as 4 months of age in the TRAMP mice, all of which were expected to have developed prostatic lesions by 6.5 months of age. Twelve of the 22 (55%) TRAMP mice developed sustained immunity. In contrast, none of the 14 (0%) control (T antigen negative) littermates showed sustained presence of autoantibodies to HIP1.

Serum antibodies to HIP1 in human prostate cancer patients. In light of the presence of autoantibodies to HIP1 in prostate cancer-bearing TRAMP mice, we tested if there was an immune response to HIP1 in sera from human prostate cancer patients. Because one gel was only able to assay 25 distinct sera at a time and we had sera from 308 men available for testing, we used the same positive and negative HIP1 reactive sera on each blot as a reference point. This allowed us to quantitate and normalize signals between different blots. Results of one such screen using sera from prostate cancer patients and controls (n = 23 for each) are shown in Fig. 3A. Ultimately, the sera from



**Figure 2.** Humoral immune response to HIP1 in TRAMP mice. *A*, purification of recombinant 3' HIP1 protein was achieved in three steps as described in the methods. *Lane 1*, contains 40 µg of protein from an IPTG-induced bacterial extract bound to and eluted with glutathione from glutathione Sepharose. *Lane 2*, partially purified thrombin-treated extract. Released GST (*bottom arrow*) and the HIP1 portion of the recombinant protein (*top arrow*) were visualized with Coornassie blue stain. *Lane 3*, 5 µg of the final "purified" recombinant 3' HIP1 protein that was used for assays of a humoral response. This purified recombinant HIP1 was recognized by two different previously described (12) anti-HIP1 monoclonal antibodies (HIP1/4B10 and HIP1/1B11) as shown in the Western blot of 200 ng of antigen (*right*). *B*, antibodies to HIP1 in TRAMP mice. A group of 22 TRAMP and 14 T antigen negative control mice between the ages of 2 to 4 months, had blood samples drawn every 2 weeks and sera prepared to test for when and if a humoral response to HIP1 might develop. This representative blot shows a control, T antigen–negative mouse (*top*), and a TRAMP mouse (*bottom*). *Positive* and *Negative lanes*, reference positive (TRAMP/*Hip1*<sup>+/+</sup> mouse sera found to be positive in the original screen) and negative (*lip1*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse sera) controls allowing for comparisons of HIP1 reactivity between immunoblots, respectively. Over 16 weeks beginning at age 2.5 months (*lanes 1-8*) serial serum analysis demonstrated that by 3 months of age a sustained immune response to HIP1 in the TRAMP mice occurred and increased significantly by 5 months of age. It should be noted that sera from T antigen–negative control mice would at times test positive but did not display sustained positive tests.

97 prostate cancer and 211 age-matched male control sera were screened by Western blot. The blots were analyzed by measuring the grayscale values of the reactive bands (Fig. 3A, arrows) and quantitated as a percent of the reference positive control (Fig. 3B). A positive score was assigned to bands with a value of  $\geq$ 50% of the positive control, whereas those bands <50% of the positive control received a negative score. This cutoff was chosen because it yielded the highest values for specificity and sensitivity, as analyzed from ROC curves created from a randomly chosen subset of the prostate cancer and control subjects. All serum samples were validated for autoantibodies to HIP1 by this high-throughput immunoblot analysis. HIP1 antibodies were significantly more frequent in serum from prostate cancer patients compared with age-matched controls  $(P < 0.001 \chi^2$ , likelihood ratio). Forty-five of 97 (46%) prostate cancer patient sera received a positive score compared with 58 of 211 (27%) of the age-matched control sera (Fig. 3C).

For confirmation of our Western blot results and the development of an additional clinical assay, an ELISA to monitor HIP1 immune response was developed. ELISA plates were coated with purified recombinant 3' HIP1, and sera from patients with prostate cancer or age-matched controls were assayed. The measured absorbance was converted to values relative to negative reference controls and duplicate samples in each of two experiments (n = 4 replicates) were averaged. Figure 4A shows the average relative absorbencies for all of the prostate cancer patient sera and 81 of the control sera. A relative absorbance that was greater than the negative control (ELISA value, >1) was considered a positive score. The cutoff for this test was, like the high-throughput Western blot test, determined by using ROC curves on a subset of the patient sera and determining where the ELISA values yielded the highest specificity and sensitivity. All available serum samples were then tested for HIP1 antibodies by high-throughput ELISA. There were

significantly more prostate cancer sera with positive scores (46% of sera from prostate cancer patients versus 27% of sera from age-matched controls; Fig. 4B). The ELISA test alone results in similar values for specificity and sensitivity as the Western blot analysis (Table 2). If both tests are required to be reactive for a positive test, only 24% of the prostate cancers are positive versus 12% of the controls. Although there is diminished sensitivity using the increased stringency (both tests necessarily reactive), it does raise the specificity to 88%. The observed decreased sensitivity with the combination of Western blot and ELISA tests is expected because the chance that both tests, which have distinct antigen presentations on either nitrocellulose membranes or plastic plates, would have accessible antigenic epitopes simultaneously in each patient is less likely than if only one were necessary. Hence, if only one of the two HIP1 reactivity tests is required for positivity, 69% of the prostate cancers are positive versus 44% of the controls (Table 2). It should be noted at this point that the control group did not undergo prostate biopsies or have close follow-up. Because of this limitation, the possibility of missed prostate cancer in the control group must be considered when evaluating this initial data. In addition, some of the "background" could be contributed by other occult malignancies such as melanoma, colon, or lung cancers.

Previously, we have found that using immunohistochemical analysis of HIP1 antigen in tissue sections, overexpression of HIP1 in prostate cancers predicted a poor outcome (12). It follows that the autoantibodies to HIP1 in prostate cancer patients might also contain prognostic information. In the current group of 97 prostate cancer patients, there were no statistically significant associations between HIP1 immune responses and linked clinical variables including initial PSA level, PSA recurrence, Gleason grade, tumor size, or stage.

In addition to assessing the relationship between linked clinical data and HIP1 autoantibody formation, we compared the HIP1 test to other serum tests such as the PSA and AMACR tests. In the initial study of the AMACR humoral response, a specificity of 71.8% and sensitivity of 61.6% were found (8). The samples used for this current study of HIP1 humoral response were also tested for their humoral immune response to AMACR and similar values for AMACR specificity and sensitivity were found as previously reported (67% and 64%, respectively; Table 2). The ROC curves for HIP1 and AMACR yielded similar values for area under the curve (data not shown).

As well as comparison with the AMACR test, it follows that the HIP1 antibody test could complement the PSA test. However, the comparison of the HIP1 test to the PSA test in the group of patients (n = 90) and controls (n = 117) for which PSA data was available was problematic. This was due to the availability of only a limited supply of banked serum samples from control patients with PSA values of >4.0 ng/mL. This resulted in an expected but skewed specificity and sensitivity (75% and 77%, respectively) for the PSA test (positive, >4.0 ng/mL). The reported 45% specificity and 50% sensitivity for PSA in a previous group of sera that were tested for AMACR are closer to expected (8). Because of this limited supply, a subgroup of 68 prostate cancer sera and 29 age-matched control sera that had PSA values of >4 ng/mL was analyzed separately for HIP1 autoimmunity (Table 3). There was again a significant difference in the numbers of HIP1-positive samples from prostate cancer patients versus control individuals, as determined by ELISA or Western blot ( $P \le 0.025$  and  $P \le 0.01$ , respectively). The most significant difference was seen when a positive score by either ELISA or Western blot was required, giving a specificity of 64% and a sensitivity of 88% ( $P \le 0.001$ ) in a group that would all be considered positive by the PSA test. In addition, a combination of AMACR and HIP1 tests increased specificity *dramatically* (97%) suggesting that the combination of these two tests could lead to better predictions of cancer if added to the PSA test. Although further analysis of additional patient and control populations with prospective follow-up, serial sampling (as shown for the TRAMP mice in Fig. 2*B*) and from multiple different institutions is essential, these results suggest that the combination of the HIP1 test with PSA and AMACR tests results has the potential to yield a highly specific diagnostic test for prostate cancer.

#### Discussion

Prostate cancer morbidity and mortality are due to its progression within the prostate as well as its metastatic spread beyond the prostate. Because of this, an understanding of the mechanism by which localized hyperplastic lesions progress to invasive and metastatic carcinomas is very important. In addition, obtaining blood tests that can provide for the earliest detection of prostate cancer will have important prognostic and therapeutic implications.



**Figure 3.** Prostate cancer patients have a specific humoral response to HIP1 overexpression. *A*, representative immunoblot of 46 sera assayed for reactivity to recombinant HIP1. Twenty-three of the 97 biopsy-proven prostate cancer patients and 23 of the 211 control individuals. Equal aliquots of all of the 308 serum samples were analyzed by immunoblot in at least two independent experiments and contained reference positive and negative controls (*Positive* and *Negative lanes*, respectively). *B*, bands were scanned from the developed blots and converted to grayscale values using Adobe Photoshop. Normalized grayscale values were converted to percentage of the positive control (*Positive lane*). Samples with band intensity of  $\geq$ 50% of the positive control were given a positive score (*above the dotted line*). A negative score was given to samples <50% of positive control (*below the dotted line*). *C*, distribution of the values between prostate cancer and the control individuals was significantly different (*P* < 0.001, Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test). Specificity of the test was 73% and calculated as those control samples with a negative test (153/153 + 58) and sensitivity was 46% and calculated as the percent of patient samples with a positive test (45/45 + 52).



**Figure 4.** Detection of HIP1 humoral response in human prostate cancer patients by ELISA. *A*, average (four replicates) relative absorbances (ELISA values) and their standard deviations are shown for 81 prostate cancer patient and 186 control sera. A relative absorbance of >1.0 (*above the dotted line*) was considered positive. *B*, numbers of positive prostate cancer and age-matched control sera. The specificity of this test was 73% and the sensitivity was 46%. The difference between prostate cancer patients and controls was significant (*P* < 0.01, Pearson's  $\chi^2$ ).

Here we report *in vivo* genetic evidence for the necessity of the clathrin-binding protein, HIP1, in the prostatic hyperplasiato-carcinoma transition. These experiments were initiated based on the fact that HIP1 expression is frequently elevated in human prostate cancer, and this overexpression predicts the progression of the disease in humans. In addition, because TRAMP mice have HIP1 up-regulated in their tumors (12), it was considered a relevant tumor model. We show that although all  $Hip1^{null/null}$  mice developed prostatic hyperplastic lesions in response to expression of T antigen, the development of bona fide tumors was significantly diminished compared with TRAMP mice with normal levels of HIP1.

Although the absence of HIP1 leads to testicular degeneration, it should be noted that the prostate glands from Hip1 knockout mice are normal histologically and serum testosterone levels are within normal limits (15, 17). This makes it unlikely that the effect of HIP1 deficiency on tumor development in this model is merely secondary to differences in the levels of testosterone or abnormalities in adult prostate epithelial cell maintenance. It should also be noted that the use of SV40 T antigen to induce prostate cancer is, in many ways, artificial in that T antigen does not seem to have a role in human prostate cancer. However, because HIP1 is overexpressed in TRAMP tumors, as it is in

human tumors, and because T antigen does inhibit the human tumor suppressor gene products p53 and Rb, this model has significant validity for the purposes of initial studies of HIP1's *in vivo* role in cancer biology.

It will be important to better understand the mechanism of how HIP1 could participate in the development of prostate cancer in humans. Previous work has shown that the HIP1 family of proteins is involved in the modulation of a variety of receptors such as the glutamate receptor (21), the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), platelet-derived growth factor  $\beta$  receptor (PDGF $\beta$ R; ref. 22), and transferrin receptor (23). This modulation of receptors leads to an increased survival and transformation of cells when HIP1 is overexpressed (12, 13). Although a direct regulatory effect of HIP1 on clathrin trafficking in prostate cancer remains to be shown, HIP1 could modulate signals from the EGFR and PDGF  $\beta R$  in prostate cancer as these receptors are clearly regulated by the clathrin trafficking network and are altered in prostate cancer. Determination if HIP1 can modulate other types of receptors that are not regulated by clathrin-mediated endocytosis but are involved in prostate cancer, such as the steroid hormone receptors (e.g., androgen receptor), will be important future experiments.

In addition to testing for HIP1 necessity in prostatic carcinogenesis, the previous observation of HIP1 overexpression in tumors of TRAMP mice (12) prompted us to test if HIP1 could be detected in the serum of these mice. As expected for a cytoplasmic protein, we found that the circulating HIP1 antigen levels are low and therefore difficult to detect. However, we did find that TRAMP mice developed early and sustained levels of antibodies against HIP1 when measuring longitudinal samples. Interestingly, the T antigen–negative control mice also had samples of sera that tested positive randomly. However, sustained presence of anti-HIP1 antibodies were never observed in the control mice.

This led us to test if a humoral response to HIP1 could occur in humans with prostate cancer. The goal would be to find a novel blood test to substitute for or to complement the PSA test. Indeed, the test we describe herein for autoantibodies to HIP1 in prostate cancer has a relatively high specificity and improves the specificity of the PSA and AMACR tests, making it an attractive serum marker. Because we were able to show a sustained humoral response in TRAMP mice, we predict that future studies that are designed for prospective serial testing of humans for HIP1 antibodies will show an increase in the anti-HIP1 test's sensitivity and specificity. Because prostate cancer is such a common cancer, markers with a greater specificity rather than sensitivity are needed to reduce unnecessary prostate biopsies or other invasive tests. For example, misdiagnosis with the PSA test may account for >30% of positive tests in a screened male population over the age of 55 (24), making reliance on the PSA test alone problematic. Finally, it is unlikely that any single marker for prostate cancer will have the desired high specificity and sensitivity, making it important to develop a collection of markers, which in combination could lead to accurate prostate cancer detection and prognosis.

Table 2. Comparison of diagnostic tests and their combinations for all prostate cancer and control samples					
Test	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)			
HIP1 ELISA positive*	73	46			
HIP1 western positive <sup>†</sup>	73	46			
HIP1 ELISA + HIP1	88	24			
Western positive <sup>‡</sup>					
HIP1 ELISA or HIP1	56	69			
Western positive <sup>†</sup>					
AMACR positive <sup>†</sup>	67	64			
AMACR positive + HIP1	86	50			
ELISA or HIP1 Western positive <sup>†</sup>					
PSA positive $(\geq 4 \text{ ng/mL})^{\dagger}$	75	77			
PSA positive + HIP1 ELISA or HIP1	91	66			
Western $positive^{\dagger}$					

NOTE: There were a total of 97 prostate cancer and 211 control sera. Not all of these 308 sera, except for the HIP1 Western, were assayed for every test listed. HIP1 ELISA values were available from 81 of the prostate cancer patients and 186 controls. AMACR Western values were available for 77 prostate cancer patients and 126 controls. PSA values were available for 90 prostate cancer patients and 117 controls. The increased frequency of a positive test in prostate cancer patients compared with controls was statistically different in all cases.

 $*P \le 0.01.$ 

 $\label{eq:point_prod} \begin{array}{l} ^{\dagger}P \leq 0.001. \\ ^{\ddagger}P \leq 0.025. \end{array}$ 

Table 3. Comparison of diagnostic tests and their combinations for all prostate cancer and control samples with PSA values of  $\geq$ 4 ng/mL

Test	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)
HIP1 ELISA positive*	76	49
HIP1 Western positive <sup>†</sup>	82	54
HIP1 ELISA + HIP1	93	28
Western positive*		
HIP1 ELISA or HIP1 Western positive <sup>‡</sup>	64	88
AMACR positive <sup>‡</sup>	83	64
AMACR positive + HIP1 ELISA or HIP1	97	55
Western positive <sup>‡</sup>		

NOTE: There were 68 prostate cancer and 29 control sera that met the criterion of PSA of >4 ng/mL. Nine of the 68 prostate cancer patient sera were not available to test for HIP1 ELISA and AMACR Western. The increased frequency of a positive test in prostate cancer patients compared to controls was statistically different in all cases. \*P < 0.025.

 $^{\uparrow}P \leq 0.025.$ 

 $\ddagger P \le 0.001.$ 

The increase in frequency of antibodies to HIP1 in prostate cancer compared with age-matched controls, together with the fact that we had previously found that HIP1 is overexpressed in many different epithelial cancers (12), will prompt us to investigate the potential for a specific humoral response in other cancers. This could also be a source of error in reducing the specificity of the HIP1 blood test for prostate cancer in our current control group, as the men could have had other occult or nonoccult malignancies. In fact, a specific humoral response to the HIP1-related protein, the only known mammalian relative of HIP1, has been reported to occur in colon cancer (25).

In conclusion, we have explored the role of HIP1 in *in vivo* tumorigenesis using the prostate cancer prone TRAMP mice and *Hip1* knockout mice. Our data indicate that HIP1 may be necessary for tumorigenesis and that both mice and men with prostate cancer have autoantibodies to HIP1 in their serum. These data provide groundwork for further investigation into the functional involvement of HIP1 in other cancers and as a specific marker (especially in combination with AMACR) for other cancers. These data also pave the way for further prospective, longitudinal, and multi-institutional studies of how to best use the HIP1 Western blot and ELISA tests for improved care of patients with prostate cancer.

#### Acknowledgments

Received 1/3/2005; revised 2/11/2005; accepted 2/18/2005.

**Grant support:** Komen Foundation predoctoral fellowship (S.V. Bradley), La Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer postdoctoral fellowship (G. Bougeard), NIH-R01 CA82363-01A1 (T.S. Ross), NIH-R01 CA098730-02 (T.S. Ross), and NIH-R01 CA82419-01 (M.G. Sanda).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

We thank Teresa Hyun, Sean Morrison, Anj Dlugosz, Peter Lucas, Grant Rowe, and Mark Day for critically reading this article and Dan Normolle, Jason Harwood, Paul Nolan, June Escara-Wilke, Jenny Loveridge, and Melissa Rogers for their assistance during the course of this study.

#### References

- Catalona WJ, Smith DS, Ratliff TL, et al. Measurement of prostate-specific antigen in serum as a screening test for prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 1991;324:1156–61.
- Etzioni R, Legler JM, Feuer EJ, Merrill RM, Cronin KA, Hankey BF. Cancer surveillance series: interpreting trends in prostate cancer. Part III. Quantifying the link between population prostate-specific antigen testing and recent declines in prostate cancer mortality. J Natl Cancer Inst 1999;91:1033–9.
- Maattanen L, Auvinen A, Stenman UH, et al. European randomized study of prostate cancer screening: firstyear results of the Finnish trial. Br J Cancer 1999;79: 1210–4.
- 4. Schroder FH, van der Maas P, Beemsterboer P, et al. Evaluation of the digital rectal examination as a screening test for prostate cancer. Rotterdam section of the European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90:1817–23.
- 5. Thompson IM, Pauler DK, Goodman PJ, et al. Prevalence of prostate cancer among men with a prostate-specific antigen level < or = 4.0 ng per milliliter. N Engl J Med 2004;350:2239–46.
- Punglia RS, D'Amico AV, Catalona WJ, Roehl KA, Kuntz KM. Effect of verification bias on screening for prostate cancer by measurement of prostate-specific antigen. N Engl J Med 2003;349:335–42.
- 7. Catalona WJ. Management of cancer of the prostate. N Engl J Med 1994;331:996–1004.
- Sreekumar A, Laxman B, Rhodes DR, et al. Humoral immune response to α-methylacyl-CoA racemase and prostate cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004;96:834–43.

- **9.** McNeel DG, Nguyen LD, Storer BE, Vessella R, Lange PH, Disis ML. Antibody immunity to prostate cancer associated antigens can be detected in the serum of patients with prostate cancer. J Urol 2000; 164:1825–9.
- Mintz PJ, Kim J, Do KA, et al. Fingerprinting the circulating repertoire of antibodies from cancer patients. Nat Biotechnol 2003;21:57–63.
- Nilsson BO, Carlsson L, Larsson A, Ronquist G. Autoantibodies to prostasomes as new markers for prostate cancer. Ups J Med Sci 2001;106:43–9.
- Rao DS, Hyun TS, Kumar PD, et al. Huntingtininteracting protein 1 is overexpressed in prostate and colon cancer and is critical for cellular survival. J Clin Invest 2002;110:351–60.
- Rao DS, Bradley SV, Kumar PD, et al. Altered receptor trafficking in Huntingtin interacting protein 1-transformed cells. Cancer Cell 2003;3:471–82.
- 14. Greenberg NM, DeMayo F, Finegold MJ, et al. Prostate cancer in a transgenic mouse. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1995;92:3439–43.
- 15. Oravecz-Wilson KI, Kiel MJ, Li L, et al. Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1 mutations lead to abnormal hematopoiesis, spinal defects and cataracts. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13:851–67.
- 16. Shappell SB, Thomas GV, Roberts RL, et al. Prostate pathology of genetically engineered mice: definitions and classification. The consensus report from the Bar Harbor meeting of the Mouse Models of Human Cancer Consortium Prostate Pathology Committee. Cancer Res 2004;64:2270–305.
- 17. Rao DS, Chang JC, Kumar PD, et al. Huntingtin interacting protein 1 is a clathrin coat binding protein

required for differentiation of late spermatogenic progenitors. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:7796-806.

- Suttie A, Nyska A, Haseman JK, Moser GJ, Hackett TR, Goldsworthy TL. A grading scheme for the assessment of proliferative lesions of the mouse prostate in the TRAMP model. Toxicol Pathol 2003;31:31–8.
- 19. Saint-Dic D, Chang SC, Taylor GS, Provot MM, Ross TS. Regulation of the Src homology 2containing inositol 5-phosphatase SHIP1 in HIP1/ PDGF $\beta$  R-transformed cells. J Biol Chem 2001;276: 21192–8.
- **20.** Kricka LJ. Chemiluminescent and bioluminescent techniques. Clin Chem 1991;37:1472–81.
- Metzler M, Li B, Gan L, et al. Disruption of the endocytic protein HIP1 results in neurological deficits and decreased AMPA receptor trafficking. Embo J 2003;22:3254-66.
- 22. Hyun TS, Rao DS, Saint-Dic D, et al. HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize receptor tyrosine kinases and bind 3-phosphoinositides via epsin N-terminal homology domains. J Biol Chem 2004;279:14294–306.
- Engqvist-Goldstein AE, Drubin DG. Actin assembly and endocytosis: from yeast to mammals. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2003;19:287–332.
- 24. Draisma G, Boer R, Otto SJ, et al. Lead times and overdetection due to prostate-specific antigen screening: estimates from the European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:868–78.
- **25.** Scanlan MJ, Welt S, Gordon CM, et al. Cancer-related serological recognition of human colon cancer: identification of potential diagnostic and immunotherapeutic targets. Cancer Res 2002;62:4041–7.

# HIP1 and HIP1r Stabilize Receptor Tyrosine Kinases and Bind 3-Phosphoinositides via Epsin N-terminal Homology Domains\*<sup>S</sup>

Received for publication, November 19, 2003, and in revised form, December 31, 2003 Published, JBC Papers in Press, January 19, 2004, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M312645200

Teresa S. Hyun‡§, Dinesh S. Rao‡, Djenann Saint-Dic‡, L. Evan Michael§¶, Priti D. Kumar‡, Sarah V. Bradley‡§, Ikuko F. Mizukami‡, Katherine I. Oravecz-Wilson‡, and Theodora S. Ross‡§||

From the ‡Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology/Oncology, §Graduate Program in Cellular and Molecular Biology, and the ¶Department of Dermatology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Huntingtin-interacting protein 1-related (HIP1r) is the only known mammalian relative of huntingtin-interacting protein 1 (HIP1), a protein that transforms fibroblasts via undefined mechanisms. Here we demonstrate that both HIP1r and HIP1 bind inositol lipids via their epsin N-terminal homology (ENTH) domains. In contrast to other ENTH domain-containing proteins, lipid binding is preferential to the 3-phosphate-containing inositol lipids, phosphatidylinositol 3,4-bisphosphate and phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate. Furthermore, the HIP1r ENTH domain, like that of HIP1, is necessary for lipid binding, and expression of an ENTH domaindeletion mutant, HIP1r/AE, induces apoptosis. Consistent with the ability of HIP1r and HIP1 to affect cell survival, full-length HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize pools of growth factor receptors by prolonging their half-life following ligand-induced endocytosis. Although HIP1r and HIP1 display only a partially overlapping pattern of protein interactions, these data suggest that both proteins share a functional homology by binding 3-phosphorylated inositol lipids and stabilizing receptor tyrosine kinases in a fashion that may contribute to their ability to alter cell growth and survival.

Huntingtin-interacting protein 1-related (HIP1r)<sup>1</sup> is a clathrin-binding protein that is the only known mammalian relative of huntingtin-interacting protein 1 (HIP1) (1), a protein that transforms fibroblasts via undefined mechanisms (2). HIP1 is also part of a t(5;7) chromosomal translocation that results in expression of an oncogenic HIP1/PDGF $\beta$ R fusion protein that causes myelomonocytic leukemia (3). Furthermore, HIP1 is overexpressed in multiple primary epithelial tumors, and overexpression in prostate tumors predicts progression of prostate cancer (4). The transformation of fibroblasts by HIP1 is associated with altered levels of growth factor receptors (2).

The mechanism by which HIP1 overexpression alters growth factor receptor levels may be a result of its role in trafficking of growth factor receptors. HIP1 and HIP1r each contain a clathrin light chain-binding coiled-coil region (5), a leucine zipper, and a C-terminal TALIN homology domain. TALIN is a protein that binds actin and is involved in cell-substratum interactions (6). In addition, HIP1 and HIP1r contain epsin N-terminal homology (ENTH) domains. This domain in epsin and AP180 predominantly binds to phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>) and is important in regulating clathrin-mediated endocytosis (7, 8). HIP1 and HIP1r both have a punctate immunolocalization and co-localize partially with clathrin, AP-2, and endocytosed transferrin (9–13).

Thus, structural and functional data suggest that HIP1 and HIP1r are involved in vesicle trafficking either at the plasma membrane, during sorting of vesicles, or at the *trans*-Golgi network. Unlike HIP1, HIP1r has lower affinity for clathrin, does not bind  $\alpha$ -adaptin (5), does not bind huntingtin directly, and does bind actin via its TALIN homology domain (9). HIP1 homologues have been found in yeast (14) and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (15). Sla2p, the yeast homologue of HIP1 and HIP1r, is required for endocytosis, polarization of the cortical actin cytoskeleton, and growth (14).

We are interested in gaining a better understanding of what activities HIP1 and HIP1r might perform to affect tumorigenesis. Although the weight of evidence suggests that HIP proteins function in endocytosis, it is unclear how HIP1 and HIP1r might use clathrin-mediated trafficking to alter survival or growth of cells. One hypothesis suggested by our studies of HIP1 and transformation is that altered HIP1 expression may be a way to regulate growth factor receptor density and signaling and, as a result, affect cellular growth, death, and differentiation of cells (2). Along this line, one mechanism by which transformed cells escape the requirement for growth factors is to increase the activation of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling pathways (16, 17). Cells may compensate for decreased amounts of growth factors in the environment by elevating growth factor receptor levels. Another hypothesis derived from previous work studying ENTH domains (7, 8) is that the ENTH domains of HIP1 and HIP1r may be involved in regulating their roles in growth factor receptor endocytosis and thereby could be critical in their ability to promote cell growth or survival.

To test if there is a link between HIP expression, growth factor receptor signaling, and the role of the ENTH domains, we describe the generation of HIP1r constructs analogous to those generated previously for HIP1 (4). These mutants lack each of the HIP1/HIP1r shared domains, and their effects on

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported by University of Michigan Cancer Biology training grant (to T. S. H.), National Institutes of Health Grants RO1 CA82363-01A1 and RO1 CA 098730-02, and a Damon Runyon foundation grant (to T. S. R.). The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *"advertisement"* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

S The on-line version of this article (available at http://www.jbc.org) contains Figs. 1–4.

<sup>||</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 734-615-5509; E-mail: tsross@umich.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The abbreviations used are: HIP1r, huntingtin-interacting protein-1 related; HIP1, huntingtin-interacting protein-1; PDGFβR, platelet-derived growth factor-β receptor; ENTH, epsin N-terminal homology; PtdIns, phosphatidylinositol; EGF, epidermal growth factor; EGFR, EGF receptor; GFP, green fluorescent protein; GST, glutathione S-transferase; HA, hemagglutinin; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; DAPI, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; PIP, phosphatidylinositol phosphate; IRES, internal ribosome entry site.

cell survival and growth factor receptor signaling were tested. Full-length HIP1r had no transient effects on cell survival, but expression of a mutant lacking the ENTH domain, HIP1r/ $\Delta E$ , led to apoptosis when transfected into cells. In addition, the lipid binding characteristics mediated by the ENTH domains of both HIP1 and HIP1r were analyzed. To our surprise we found that, in contrast to other ENTH domain-containing proteins which bind PtdIns(4,5)P2, HIP1 and HIP1r bound preferentially to 3-phosphoinositides. We also found that overexpression of HIP1 and HIP1r inhibited the degradation of ligandstimulated growth factor receptors. Consistent with the results obtained using stable HIP1-transformed fibroblasts (2), transient transfection of either HIP1 or HIP1r into 293T cells stabilized pools of either EGFR or PDGFBR following ligandinduced endocytosis. In contrast, transfection of the HIP1 and HIP1r mutants lacking the ENTH domains did not stabilize growth factor receptors. By using a dynamin dominant negative mutant, rate-limiting activities of HIP1 and HIP1r were placed downstream of dynamin.

In light of these data, we propose that both HIP1r and HIP1 may stabilize growth factor receptor levels via altered intracellular trafficking. The finding that HIP proteins can function downstream of dynamin together with the fact that the inositol lipids that bind the HIP ENTH domains are concentrated on intracellular vesicular membranes suggest that the HIP proteins modulate the intracellular trafficking of receptors. This is in addition to a role described previously in clathrin vesicle formation at the plasma membrane. Our data suggest that the HIP proteins are either not rate-limiting in the kinetics of the internalization phase of endocytosis or under certain cellular conditions do not participate in the receptor uptake phase of the clathrin trafficking pathway.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

HIP1r Constructs-Full-length human HIP1r (NCBI accession number KIAA0655, amino acids 1-1069) was retrieved from a fetal cDNA library by PCR and cloned into the mammalian expression vectors pcDNA3 (pcDNA3-HIP1r) or pcDNA3.1-mycHis (pcDNA3.1-HIP1r) (Invitrogen). Deletion constructs were made with a combination of PCR and restriction digests using standard methods. For pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/ TH, the TALIN homology region of HIP1r was generated by PCR and cloned into pcDNA3.1-mycHis by PCR. Primers 5'-ACC AGC AGG GAA TTC GGA ACA TGG AGG CCA GCC-3' (underlined sequence denotes engineered EcoRI site) and 5'-GCT GGA CCC CTG GGG GAA GCT TTA GTT CAC GA-3' (underlined sequence denotes engineered HindIII site) were used. PCR was performed with full-length HIP1r as template using the Expand High Fidelity PCR system (Roche Applied Science). PCR products and the pcDNA3.1 vector were digested with EcoRI and HindIII, ligated together, and confirmed by automated sequencing. Human HIP1r contains a BamHI restriction site at base pair position 2513 (Fig. 1A). pcDNA3-HIP1r was digested with EcoRI and BamHI to release the 5' portion of HIP1r and inserted into EcoRI and BamHI digested pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/TH to generate pcDNA3.1-HIP1r. pcDNA3-HIP1r has an EcoRI site in the multicloning site and an internal BamHI in the HIP1r insert. The EcoRI- and BamHI-digested fragment was inserted into the EcoRI and BamHI sites in the pcDNA3.1 vector to generate pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/\DTH. To generate pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/\DE, pGEX-HIP1r/\DeltaE (see below) was digested with EcoRI and BamHI. This fragment was ligated into the EcoRI- and BamHI-digested portion of pcDNA3.1-HIP1r (containing the vector and the 3' end of HIP1r). To generate pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/ΔEΔT, the EcoRI-BamHI fragment from pGEX-HIP1r/\DeltaE was ligated into EcoRI- and BamHI-digested pcDNA3.1. Human HIP1r contains three internal KspI restriction sites (Fig. 1A). To generate pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/Δ153, pcDNA3.1-HIP1r was digested with KspI and the 5' and 3' ends ligated together to produce a construct whose product lacks amino acids 153-632 of HIP1r.

pGEX-HIP1r was prepared by ligation of an EcoRI-XhoI fragment from the pcDNA3-HIP1r construct, containing human FL-HIP1r, into EcoRI- + XhoI-digested pGEX-4T3 (Amersham Biosciences).

To create pGEX-HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E, pGEX-4T-3 was digested first with SmaI. A plasmid containing the HIP1r coding sequences (designated pKIAA0655 and kindly provided by the Kazusa DNA Research Insti-

tute) was then digested with HindIII and BamHI to release a 1.9-kb sequence that encoded nucleotides 610-2513. This fragment was blunted with Klenow and then ligated with the SmaI-digested pGEX-4T-3. The latter plasmid, pGEX/HIP1r/nt610-2513, was digested with XhoI, filled in with Klenow, and then digested with SaII. The vector sequences plus the 5' HIP1r sequences starting at bp 610 (up to the SaII site) were ligated with a 1.1-kb SaII-SspI fragment (HIP1r bp 2500-3541) also derived from pKIAA0655. The resultant construct pGEX/HIP1r/\DeltaE was confirmed at its junctions by automated sequencing.

To create pGEX-HIP1r/ENTH, a PCR product encompassing the first 450 bp of human HIP1r with engineered XhoI and NotI restriction sites at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively, was generated. The PCR product and the pGEX-4T3 vector were digested with XhoI and NotI and ligated together. Constructs were confirmed by automated sequencing. For construction of PGEX-HIP1r/LZ, a 323-bp PCR product encompassing bp 1437–1760 of human HIP1r was generated with engineered EcoRI and XhoI restriction sites at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. The digested PCR product was cloned into EcoRI- and XhoI-digested pGEX-4T3 and confirmed by sequencing. pGEX HIP1r constructs were transfected into BL21 bacteria, and proteins were isolated by bacterial GST fusion protein purification using a glutathione-Sepharose 4B column (Amersham Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following purification, the protein samples were dialyzed against phosphate-buffered saline and concentrated. When used to make antibodies, the GST portion was cleaved off with thrombin.

pcDNA3.1(-)/dynamin1-K44A-HA was the kind gift of Dr. Sandra Schmid (University of California, San Diego). pcDNA3.1(+)/IRES-GFP was the kind gift of Dr. Kathleen Collins (University of Michigan). Mutant dynamin1 was subcloned into the IRES-GFP vector utilizing BamHI sites flanking the entire insert. Full-length HIP1 and HIP1/ $\Delta$ E were subcloned into the pcDNA3.1(+)/IRES-GFP vector from the pcDNA3 constructs described previously (4).

HIP1r Antibodies—The polyclonal anti-HIP1r antibody UM 359 was generated using pGEX-HIP1r/ΔE as the antigen (Cocalico Biologicals, Inc., Reamstown, PA). pGEX-HIP1r/TH was used as the antigen for polyclonal antibody UM 374. The monoclonal antibodies 1E1, 1E5, and 1C5 were made by the University of Michigan Hybridoma Core Facility using pGEX-HIP1r/TH or pGEX-HIP1r/LZ as the antigen. All antibodies were screened by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, immunofluorescence, and Western blot.

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting-293T cells in 100-mm dishes were transfected with 2.5  $\mu$ g of the various HIP1 or HIP1r constructs using 90 µl of Superfect transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's directions. Cells were harvested 48 h later and resuspended in lysis buffer containing 1% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitors. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad). For immunoprecipitations, 2-3.5 mg of protein were mixed with 20 µl of the polyclonal HIP1r antibody UM359 and incubated at 4 °C overnight. Fifty microliters of protein G-Sepharose beads (50% slurry) were added, and the mixture was rotated at room temperature for 30 min. Beads were pelleted, washed 3-6 times in lysis buffer, and resuspended in SDS-PAGE loading buffer. One-tenth of the supernatants and the entire pellet from the immunoprecipitations were run on SDS-PAGE gels. Samples were run on 6-8% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to nitrocellulose. Membranes were blocked in 5% milk/ TBST and incubated at 4 °C overnight with anti-clathrin (TD.1, 1:200), anti-adaptin  $\gamma$  (BD Transduction Laboratories, clone 88, 1:1000), or anti-adaptin $\alpha$  (Sigma, clone 100/2, 1:500), and signals were detected using ECL. Membranes were then overblotted with the HIP1r antibodies 1E1 + 1E5 (1:20 dilution of tissue culture supernatant) or UM359 (1:2000 dilution of serum).

Lipid Binding-PIP arrays were obtained from Echelon. Lipid binding was done following the manufacturer's protocol by using 12.5  $\mu$ g of isolated protein in TBST at 4 °C overnight. HIP1r mutants were detected using a mixture of the monoclonal 1E1 and 1C5 antibodies at 1:5000 in TBST. Anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Amersham Biosciences) were used at 1:5000 in TBST, 3% fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin. Liposomes contained 40% phosphatidylethanolamine, 40% phosphatidylcholine, 10% phosphatidylserine, and 10% of one of the following: phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns), phosphatidic acid, PtdIns(3)P,  $PtdIns(4)P,\ PtdIns(5)P,\ PtdIns(3,4)P_2,\ PtdIns(3,5)P_2,\ PtdIns(4,5)P_2,\ or$  $PtdIns(3,4,5)P_3$ . The mixture was resuspended in 1:1 chloroform/methanol containing 0.1% HCl, dried under nitrogen, and resuspended to a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml in 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.2), 100 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM EDTA. Resuspended lipids were sonicated in a bath sonicator (45 °C) until a clear suspension was formed. Liposomes were collected by centrifugation at  $16,000 \times g$  for 10 min and resuspended in ice-cold cytosol buffer (0.2 M sucrose, 25 mM Hepes (pH 7.0), 125 mM potassium acetate, 2.5 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM dithiothreitol, protease inhibitors, and 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin) at 2 mg/ml of total lipid. 100  $\mu$ g of liposomes were mixed with isolated protein at 4 °C for 1 h and precipitated for 5 min at 16,000 × g. Pellets and one-fifth of the supernatants were run on 7% SDS-PAGE gels. Gels were transferred to nitrocellulose and analyzed by Western blotting for HIP1 or HIP1r.

Time Course of HIP1r Construct Expression—293T cells in 100-mm plates were transfected with 2.5  $\mu$ g of the various pcDNA3.1-HIP1r constructs using Superfect transfection reagent. Cells were collected into lysis buffer at 1, 2, 4, and 7 days post-transfection. Fifty  $\mu$ g of protein was run on 10 or 15% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to nitrocellulose. Membranes were blotted with anti-HIP1r polyclonal antibody (UM 359, 1:5000) and signals detected by ECL.

Apoptosis Assays—COS 7 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1-HIP1r, pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/ $\Delta E$ , or pcDNA3-HIP1/ $\Delta E$  and fixed with 3% formaldehyde at 24 and 48 h post-transfection. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 and blocked with 1% milk for 20 min, followed by staining with DAPI and anti-HIP1 monoclonal antibody 4B10 or anti-HIP1r monoclonal antibody 1C5. Bound antibodies were visualized with anti-mouse IgG-FITC (Vector Laboratories). Cells expressing the HIP constructs were scored for apoptosis by nuclear morphology. At least 100 cells were counted for each sample, and transfections were performed in triplicate.

Growth Factor Transfection and Stimulation-For the EGFR stabilization experiments, 293T cells were grown to 50-60% confluency in 100-mm dishes and transfected with 5  $\mu g$  of pRK5-EGFR (kindly provided by the molecular signaling group at the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research) and 5 µg of either the various pcDNA3.1-HIP1r constructs or pcDNA3-HIP1 using Superfect transfection reagent. One day later, cells were starved for 20–24 h, treated with cycloheximide (100  $\mu\text{g/ml})$  for 30 min, and stimulated with EGF (100 ng/ml) in the presence of cycloheximide. Samples were collected at 0, 1, 2, and 4 h after stimulation. Fifteen micrograms of protein were run on 6% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to nitrocellulose. Membranes were blotted with anti-phospho-EGFR (Cell Signaling, Tyr-845, 1:5000), anti-EGFR (Cell Signaling, 1:2000), anti-HIP1r (1C5 or UM374, 1:2000), or anti-HIP1 (4B10, 1:2000) and signals detected by SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce). Experiments with dominant negative caspase 9 also included 5  $\mu$ g of pcDNA3-DNC9 (gift of Dr. Gabriel Nunez) or vector in the transfection. Dominant negative caspase 9 was detected using anti-caspase 9 antibody (Cayman Chemical Co., 1:1000). For PDGF $\beta$ R experiments, 5 µg of SR $\alpha$ -PDGF $\beta$ R (18) were transfected with either vector, pcDNA3.1-HIP1r, pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E, pcDNA3-HIP1, or pcDNA3-HIP1/ $\Delta$ E. Cells were starved, stimulated, and harvested as described. PDGFBR expression was detected using polyclonal anti-PDGFBR antibody (BD Pharmingen, 1:1000).

Immunofluorescence—COS 7 cells were plated onto coverslips and transfected with pRK5-EGFR and either vector, pcDNA3.1-mycHis/HIP1, pcDNA3.1-HA/HIP1r, or pcDNA3.1-HA/DynaK44A using Superfect. The cells were starved the next day for 20 h, treated for 30 min with cycloheximide (Sigma; 100  $\mu$ g/ml) at 37 °C, and stimulated with EGF (100 ng/ml) for 0 or 30 min. For each time point, cells were fixed with 3% formaldehyde, permeabilized with Triton X-100, and blocked with 5% milk/PBST. The primary antibodies used were anti-EGFR polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling), anti-Myc monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling). FITC anti-rabbit and Texas Red anti-mouse (Vector Laboratories) were the secondary antibodies used. Images were obtained with a Zeiss confocal microscope.

For localization of HIP1 and HIP1r, COS 7 cells were plated onto coverslips, transfected with pcDNA3-HIP1 and pcDNA3.1-HIP1r, and fixed with 3% formaldehyde at 24 h post-transfection. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 and blocked with 1% milk for 20 min, followed by staining with anti-HIP1 monoclonal antibody 4B10 and anti-HIP1r polyclonal antibody UM359. Bound antibody 8 were visualized with anti-mouse IgG-FITC or anti-rabbit IgG-Texas Red (Vector Laboratories). Cells were analyzed with a Zeiss confocal microscope and the images processed using Adobe Photoshop software.

Flow Cytometry—For analysis of endocytic uptake of endogenous EGFR, HeLa cells were plated 2 days prior to transfection into 6-well dishes at an approximate density of  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/well. By the time of transfection, cells were 60-80% confluent. The constructs, pcDNA3.1(+)/IRES-GFP, pcDNA3.1(+)/IRES-GFP/HIP1, pcDNA3.1(+)/IRES-GFP/HIP1/\DeltaE, and pcDNA3.1(+)/IRES-GFP/dynamin1-K44A were transfected into HeLa cells (2 µg/well of a 6-well dish). Following transfection, cells were grown in medium containing 10% fetal bovine

serum for 24 h and then starved in serum-free medium for an addition 20 h. Cells were then stimulated with EGF for the times indicated, trypsinized, washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline containing 1% fetal bovine serum, and incubated with anti-EGFR antibody conjugated to phycoerythrin (Pharmingen) for 60 min with gentle rocking at 4 °C. Following staining, cells were washed three times with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline and were subjected to flow cytometric analysis on a BD Biosciences FACS Elite within 4 h after staining, with measurement of fluorescence intensity in the green and red wavelengths (for GFP positivity and phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-EGFR, respectively). Distinct populations of GFP-positive and negative cells were analyzed for mean fluorescence intensity. For graphical analysis, the fraction of fluorescence remaining after stimulation was plotted as a percentage of initial fluorescence against time. For analysis of transferrin uptake, 293T cells were transfected with the same constructs as above, and following starvation, cells were incubated with Alexa-Fluor-633-labeled transferrin as described previously (2).

#### RESULTS

HIP1r Mutants and Antibody Characterization-In order to define the relevance of the various protein domains in the activity of HIP1r, deletion mutants lacking these domains were generated (Fig. 1A). In addition, monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies were raised against HIP1r using various HIP1r-GST fusion proteins as antigens (see "Materials and Methods"). The monoclonal antibodies HIP1r/1C5 and HIP1r/1E1 resulted from use of the HIP1r TALIN homology region as the antigen, and both antibodies recognized all of the deletion mutants (Fig. 1A) except those that did not contain the TALIN homology region (HIP1r/ $\Delta$ T and HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E $\Delta$ T) (Fig. 1*B*). The polyclonal anti-HIP1r antibody UM359, which was generated against a  $\Delta$ E-HIP1r-GST fusion protein, immunoprecipitated all of the HIP1r mutants (see the Supplemental Material Fig. 1a, lower panel). The monoclonal antibody HIP1r/1E5 was generated from a region of HIP1r that contained the coiled-coil domain and, as expected, did recognize HIP1r/ $\Delta$ T. This is shown by the fact that all of the HIP1r mutants were recognized by Western blot using a mix of the monoclonal antibodies 1E1 and 1E5 (Supplemental Material Fig. 1a, bottom panel).

Prior to using the HIP1r constructs (Fig. 1A), they were tested for expression levels and for association with endocytic proteins that had been published previously (5, 9-13) to interact with HIP1 and HIP1r. 293T cells were transfected with the various HIP1 (4) and HIP1r constructs, immunoprecipitated with the polyclonal anti-HIP1 antibody UM323 or the polyclonal anti-HIP1r antibody UM359, and immunoblotted for various endogenous endocytic proteins. As has been reported previously, we found that HIP1r (Supplemental Material Fig. 1A, lane 2) and HIP1 (Supplemental Material Fig. 1b, lane 2) associated with clathrin. The HIP1 mutants HIP1/ $\Delta T$  and HIP1/ $\Delta E$  and the HIP1r mutants HIP1r/ $\Delta TH$ , HIP1r/ $\Delta E$ , and HIP1r/ $\Delta E\Delta T$  were able to associate with clathrin equally well compared with full-length HIP1 and HIP1r (Supplemental Material Fig. 1b, lanes 3 and 5; Fig. 1a, lanes 5-7). This provides evidence that the mutants we have created are folding properly in the cell. In addition, these data confirm the previous work showing that the LMD motif and coiled-coil regions of HIP1 are necessary for association with clathrin (Supplemental Material Fig. 1b, lanes 4 and 6) (11).

Next, we tested whether HIP1 and HIP1r associate with AP-1, an endocytic protein complex localized primarily to the *trans*-Golgi network and late endosomes. Immunoprecipitation and Western blotting for  $\gamma$ -adaptin, the large subunit of the AP-1 tetrameric complex, showed that neither HIP1 nor HIP1r associated with AP-1 (data not shown). We also confirmed that although HIP1 associates with  $\alpha$ -adaptin, the large subunit of the adaptor protein AP-2, HIP1r did not (data not shown) (5, 9–13). This is consistent with the differences in domain structure between HIP1, which contains a consensus binding se-



FIG. 1. **HIP1r mutants and antibody characterization.** *A*, the human HIP1r protein has an epsin N-terminal homology (*ENTH*) domain, a central coiled-coil domain containing a leucine zipper, and a C-terminal TALIN homology domain. These domains were deleted to produce the constructs pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/TH, pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/ $\Delta$ 153, pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/ $\Delta$ TH, pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E, and pcDNA3.1-HIP1r/ $\Delta$ EAT. Restriction sites for KspI (*K*) and BamHI (*B*) are marked. *B*, polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies were raised against purified HIP1r fragments. Western blots (*WB*) using monoclonal antibodies 1C5 and 1E1, which recognize the TALIN homology region, are shown. *Asterisks* denote the location of HIP1r mutants.

quence for AP-2 (the DPF motif), and HIP1r, which lacks this motif (Fig. 1A).

Most interesting, the HIP1/ $\Delta E$  mutant that lacks the putative inositol lipid-binding domain did not reproducibly associate with AP-2 (data not shown) but did associate with clathrin (Supplemental Material Fig. 1b, lane 3). We have found previously that expression of this mutant, but not the full-length HIP1, induces cell death in 293T (4) and BT549 breast cancer cells (2). One reason that HIP1/ $\Delta E$  may not have associated with AP-2 is that it was concentrated in a relatively AP-2deficient perinuclear area of the cell by confocal immunofluorescence (Supplemental Material Fig. 2b). In comparison, when full-length HIP1 was overexpressed in the same cells, it was more widely distributed in the cytoplasm in a punctate pattern (Supplemental Material Fig. 2a). The HIP1r/ $\Delta E$  mutant also showed a similar and more perinuclear subcellular localization compared with full-length HIP1r (compare Supplemental Material Fig. 2, c and d). Although HIP1r co-localized partially with HIP1, it consistently showed an additional localization to the more peripheral ruffled plasma membrane (Supplemental Material Fig. 2c, white arrow, and g). This HIP1r location to the actin containing membrane ruffles confirms the HIP1r subcellular localization described previously (15).

HIP1 and HIP1r Bind Preferentially to 3-Phosphate Containing Inositol Lipids via Their ENTH Domains—The ENTH domain is found in several proteins implicated in endocytosis including Epsin 1 and AP180/CALM (7, 8), CLINT ((19) also known as enthoprotin (20) or EpsinR (21)), as well as the HIP family. The Epsin 1 and AP180/CALM ENTH domains are documented lipid-binding motifs that have been shown to bind predominantly to PtdIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> and PtdIns(3,4,5)P<sub>3</sub> (7, 8). Recently, the ENTH domains from several proteins including those of the HIP family have also been found to bind tubulin (22). In contrast, the lipid binding specificity of the HIP family of ENTH domains has not been studied. It should be noted that Mishra *et al.* (13) did find that phosphoinositides were a necessary component of the liposomes for HIP1 binding. However, the preparation of inositol lipids was not purified, and therefore conclusions about lipid specificity were not made in that study.

One obvious hypothesis derived from the different subcellular localization of the  $\Delta E$  mutants (Supplemental Material Fig. 2, b and d) is that important protein or lipid binding activities of the ENTH domain are not present in the deletion mutants. These binding activities would anchor HIP proteins to the particular subcellular location of those proteins or lipids. In addition, it is well established that inositol lipids are used by the cell to generate diversity in the destinations of protein cargo during trafficking (23). To begin to explore if a lipid binding activity of the HIP family is important in their cellular function/location, we assayed the lipid binding specificity of the HIP1 and HIP1r ENTH domains by using a variety of techniques. First, myc-His-tagged HIP1 and HIP1/ $\Delta E$  were overex-



FIG. 2. Lipid binding characteristics of HIP1 and HIP1r. A, specificity and relative affinity of PtdIns lipid binding by HIP1. Purified HIP1-myc-His and HIP1/ $\Delta$ E-myc-His were bound to PIP arrays containing from 1.56 to 100 pmol of each lipid series. Proteins were detected using anti-Myc antibody. *B*, liposome binding assays with full-length HIP1 and HIP1/ $\Delta$ E performed with liposomes containing 10% of the indicated lipid series (see "Materials and Methods"). Pelleted liposomal-bound (*P*) and supernatant (*S*) fractions are shown. *C*, HIP1r-GST binds preferentially to PI3,5-P<sub>2</sub> and PI3,4-P<sub>2</sub> on PIP arrays. FL-HIP1r-GST and  $\Delta$ E-HIP1r-GST were used for binding to PIP arrays. Proteins were detected using a mix of monoclonal 1E1 and 1C5 HIP1r antibodies. Control blots using antibody alone showed no signal (not shown). *D*, liposome binding assays using full-length HIP1r performed with liposomes containing 10% of the indicated lipid series. *E*, the ENTH domain is critical for HIP1r lipid binding. Various HIP1r mutant proteins fused to GST were mixed with liposomes containing 10% of the indicated lipid species. Liposomes were pelleted, and the pellets and supernatants were run on 7% acrylamide gels. Proteins were detected by Western blot.

pressed and purified from 293T extracts by nickel column chromatography. PIP arrays were used to assess initially the binding of these purified proteins to PtdIns lipids. Most surprising, the highest affinity of binding for HIP1 was to PtdIns(3,4)P<sub>2</sub> and PtdIns(3,5)P<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2A, left panel). As expected, HIP1/ $\Delta$ E did not bind these lipids (Fig. 2A, right panel). We also looked at the lipid binding of <sup>35</sup>S-labeled proteins that were synthesized using reticulocyte lysates. The in vitro translated proteins were incubated with liposomes containing a variety of phosphatidylinositol lipids. Pelleted liposomes and supernatants were run on SDS-PAGE gels and analyzed by autoradiography. HIP1 bound to liposomes containing PtdIns (3)P, PtdIns(3,4)P<sub>2</sub>, and PtdIns(3,5)P<sub>2</sub> but did not bind to PtdIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2B, top panel). As predicted, the HIP1/ $\Delta E$ protein was unable to bind any of the inositol lipid-containing liposomes (Fig. 2B, bottom panel).

Because we unexpectedly found that the myc-His tag was not recognized by anti-His or anti-Myc antibodies or the nickel column when attached to the HIP1r C terminus (despite repeated sequence confirmation of the constructs), it was necessary to generate a series of HIP1r deletion mutants fused to GST to characterize the lipid binding properties of HIP1r (see "Materials and Methods"). First, purified FL-HIP1r-GST and  $\Delta$ E-HIP1r-GST fusion proteins were used to test binding to PIP arrays. Like HIP1, full-length HIP1r bound preferentially to  $PtdIns(3,4)P_2$  and  $PtdIns(3,5)P_2$  using the PIP arrays (Fig. 2C, *left panel*), and significant binding was abolished in the mutant lacking the ENTH domain (Fig. 2C, right panel). HIP1r-GST also exhibited some lower affinity binding to PtdIns(4,5)P2 and PtdIns(3,4,5)P<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 2C, left panel). HIP1r-GST fusion proteins were also subjected to liposome pelleting assays. Consistent with the PIP array results, full-length HIP1r bound preferentially to PtdIns(3,4)P<sub>2</sub> and PtdIns(3,5)P<sub>2</sub>-containing liposomes (Fig. 2D). We also observed less reproducible binding in some cases to other 3-phosphorylated inositol lipids, namely PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,4,5)P<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 2, D and E, and data not shown). The ENTH domain was necessary for binding, as the  $\Delta$ E-HIP1r and LZ-HIP1r mutants failed to bind any of the lipids tested (Fig. 2E). The HIP1r-GST mutant containing only the ENTH domain (ENTH-HIP1r) also pelleted with liposomes but was less specific and bound to PtdIns(3)P, PtdIns(4)P, PtdIns(3,4)P<sub>2</sub>, PtdIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>, and PtdIns(3,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>, as well as phosphatidic acid (Fig. 2E). The latter data suggest that sequences not present in the ENTH mutant are necessary for conferring preferential binding to 3-phosphorylated inositol lipids.

The differences in lipid binding reported here compared with previous mammalian ENTH domain-containing proteins suggest that HIP1 and HIP1r may participate in a distinct part of the pathway of clathrin trafficking different from the other ENTH domain-containing proteins. Another possibility is that the binding of the HIP proteins to inositol lipids has a completely different function that remains to be determined. However, we think this interaction is significant as several proteins know to co-localize with endosomal membranes also bind to 3-phosphate-containing bisphosphoinositides (24, 25). For example, the yeast protein Ent3p has been shown recently to have a PtdIns(3,5)P<sub>2</sub>-binding ENTH domain that mediates its role in intracellular multivesicular body protein sorting (26).

In addition, we have found that PtdIns 3-kinase was activated in cells that overexpressed HIP1 but that phospholipase  $C-\gamma$ , in contrast, was not activated (2). This together with our 3-phosphoinositide binding data suggested the hypothesis that HIP1 binding to 3-phosphorylated inositol lipids may contribute to Akt activation by these lipids. If this hypothesis were true, a dominant negative HIP1 that lacked lipid binding might lead to Akt inhibition and subsequent apoptosis as Akt activation is a cell survival signal. On the other hand, constitutively activated Akt would be expected to bypass this dominant negative HIP1-induced apoptosis as it would be expected to be downstream of HIP1. Indeed, we have found that constitutively active Akt (Myr-Akt), but not oncogenic Ras-V12, is able to rescue dominant negative HIP1/ΔE-mediated apoptosis (Supplemental Material Fig. 3). The latter data, albeit indirect, suggests that 3-phosphoinositides may indeed be important in the fundamental cellular functions of the HIP family.

The HIP1r Mutant Lacking the ENTH Domain Induces Cell *Death*—We have shown previously (4) that HIP1 is overexpressed in several cancers, especially prostate and colon cancer. In addition, it has been shown that specific antibodies against HIP1r are produced in patients with colon cancer, suggesting that HIP1r is also overexpressed in colon cancers (27). Furthermore, expression of the ENTH deletion mutant of HIP1, but not full-length HIP1, induces cell death in a dominant interfering manner (4). The discrepancies between our data that suggest HIP1 has a cellular survival function and the data of Hackam et al. (44) and Gervais et al. (30) that suggest HIP1 is primarily pro-apoptotic are not yet understood. We speculate that the cellular environment may influence whether or not the full-length HIP proteins have pro-apoptotic versus survival functions. By removal of the ENTH domain, potential pro-apoptotic domains (such as the pseudo-DED domain described by Gervais et al. (30)) may be unveiled.

To begin to test which domains of HIP1r were important to its function, we expressed the HIP1r deletion mutants in 293T cells. A time course of protein expression after transient transfection of HIP1r deletion mutants showed that HIP1r/ $\Delta E$  and HIP1r/ $\Delta E\Delta T$  were not as stable as other HIP1r mutants (Fig. 3A). This result parallels our previous finding that the HIP1/ $\Delta E$  mutant was not as stable as other HIP1 mutants and induced apoptosis. In contrast, expression of full-length HIP1r and most of the deletion mutants remained stable for at least 7 days post-transfection (Fig. 3A). We have also overexpressed the ENTH domain of HIP1 in cells, and it did not induce apoptosis (Ref. 4 and data not shown).

In contrast to full-length HIP1 and HIP1r (Supplemental Material Fig. 2, a and c), many cells transfected with HIP1r/ $\Delta E$  or HIP1/ $\Delta E$  and visualized by immunofluorescence 48 h post-transfection were condensed and blebbing, characteristic of apoptotic cells. In order to quantitate the apoptosis caused by the HIP1/ $\Delta E$  mutant and to compare this mutant with the HIP1/ $\Delta E$  mutant, cells were transfected in triplicate with





FIG. 3. Expression of an HIP1r mutant lacking the ENTH domain causes apoptosis. A, HIP1r mutants were transfected into 293T cells, and extracts were analyzed by Western blot at days 1, 2, 4, and 7 post-transfection. B, quantitation of apoptosis caused by HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E compared with HIP1/ $\Delta$ E. Transfected cells (stained with HIP1r/1C5 antibody or HIP1/4B10 antibody as described under "Materials and Methods") were scored at either 24 or 48 h post-transfection as apoptotic if DAPI-stained nuclear condensation or fragmentation was present. Experiments were performed three times. *Error bars* represent the mean  $\pm$  S.D.

HIP1r/ $\Delta E$ , full-length HIP1r, or HIP1/ $\Delta E$ , stained with anti-HIP1r or anti-HIP1 antibodies, and then assayed for apoptosis by scoring apoptotic nuclear morphology. DAPI-stained nuclei of transfected cells were scored as apoptotic if they possessed condensed or fragmented nuclei. Whereas full-length HIP1rtransfected cells had a minimal percentage of apoptosis, cells transfected with HIP1/ $\Delta E$  and HIP1r/ $\Delta E$  consistently exhibited increased incidence of cellular apoptosis 48 h post-transfection (Fig. 3B). Most interesting, compared with HIP1/ $\Delta E$ , HIP1r/ $\Delta E$ did not show significant apoptosis at 24 h post-transfection. This was also confirmed with terminal dUTP nick-end labeling analysis in an independent experiment (data not shown). These results, together with our previous data documenting a dominant interfering function of HIP1/ $\Delta E$  (4), imply that HIP1r, like HIP1, may have a role in cellular survival. The difference in time course also suggests that HIP1 and HIP1r may have functions in the cell that are distinct from each other in addition to those that are overlapping.

The HIP Family Stabilizes Growth Factor Receptors—The activities of HIP1 and HIP1r that might lead to the survival of cells and contribute to tumorigenesis remain to be defined. Recently, we have found that HIP1 is overexpressed in multiple epithelial tumors, and stable overexpression of HIP1 in NIH3T3 fibroblasts causes transformation. In HIP1-overexpressing cells, this process may be mediated in part by elevated levels of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and the resultant activation of mitogenic signaling pathways (2). In



FIG. 4. **HIP1 expression stabilizes receptor tyrosine kinases during post-stimulation endocytosis and degradation.** *A*, levels of EGFR are stabilized by the overexpression of HIP1 and the dynamin1-K44A mutant. 20  $\mu$ g of protein were loaded on SDS-PAGE gels and analyzed for EGFR expression following stimulation with 100 ng/ml EGF. Actin levels were used as a loading control. *B*, comparison of EGFR levels and EGFR phosphorylation after stimulation with various amounts of EGF for 60 min. Gels were loaded with 20  $\mu$ g of protein extracts from cells transfected with EGFR and the indicated construct. *C*, comparison of EGFR levels and EGFR phosphorylation in cells transfected with EGFR and full-length HIP1 or HIP1/ $\Delta$ E, starved for 48 h, and stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF for 0 or 2 h. 50  $\mu$ g of protein was loaded on SDS-PAGE gels and analyzed. *D*, the dynamin1-K44A mutant overcomes EGFR destabilization caused by HIP1/ $\Delta$ E. 293T cells were co-transfected with EGFR, dynamin1-K44A (*Dyna-K44A*), and HIP1/ $\Delta$ E as indicated and stimulated with EGF. 20  $\mu$ g of protein were loaded on SDS-PAGE gels and analyzed by Western blot.

order to determine whether the elevated levels of EGFR in the transformed cells was a direct result of HIP1 overexpression or a more secondary effect of transformation, we have begun to evaluate the effect of transient HIP1 and HIP1r overexpression on growth factor receptor stability after ligand stimulation. Briefly, to allow for sufficient sensitivity of detection and semiquantitation of growth factor receptor half-life, 293T cells were co-transfected with EGFR or PDGFBR and the various fulllength or mutant HIP constructs. The transfected cells were then stimulated with ligand and analyzed for the rate of receptor tyrosine kinase degradation. In order to validate our assay, we utilized the well characterized GTPase-deficient dynamin1 dominant negative mutant dynamin1-K44A (28). Dynamin is critical for release of invaginated clathrin-coated pits from the plasma membrane to form clathrin-coated vesicles (29). The dynamin1-K44A construct exerts a dominant interfering effect on endogenous dynamin, resulting in the loss of normal dynamin function. The net effect of expression of this mutant in cells is to prevent ligand-induced endocytosis and degradation of growth factor receptors and thereby increase surface levels of the receptor (28).

Following transfection of the constructs and starvation in serum-free medium, transfected cells were stimulated with EGF for various lengths of time. It is noteworthy that we found it necessary to include cycloheximide in the assay to inhibit the confounding rapid translation of new EGFR. If cycloheximide was not included, there were no reproducible differences detected in EGFR half-life in any of the cells transfected with EGFR and vector, full-length or mutant HIP constructs. This indicates that the HIP-mediated EGFR stabilization described below was via post-translational mechanisms (data not shown). As expected, in the presence of cycloheximide we were able to show that the EGFR was degraded in vector-transfected cells following EGF stimulation with an approximate half-life of 30



FIG. 5. **HIP1r-transfected cells have increased levels of growth factor receptors.** *A*, HIP1r stabilizes total and Tyr-845-phosphorylated EGFR, whereas HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E reduces levels of activated EGFR. 293T cells were transfected with EGF and either vector (*lanes 1–3*), HIP1r (*lanes 4–6*), HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E (*lanes 7–9*), or HIP1 (*lanes 10–12*). Samples were taken 0, 1, and 2 h after starvation and stimulation with human EGF and subject to Western blot analysis. *B*, comparison of PDGF $\beta$ R levels following stimulation with PDGF- $\beta\beta$ . 293T cells were transfected with vector, HIP1r or HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E, along with 5  $\mu$ g of pSR $\alpha$ -PDGF $\beta$ R. Cells were harvested 0, 1, and 3–4 h after starvation and stimulation with PDGF- $\beta\beta$  and subjected to Western blot analysis. *C*, same experiment as *B* except that HIP1 and HIP1/ $\Delta$ E were assayed. *D*, down-regulation of EGFR by HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E can be partially overcome by dynamin1-K44A. Cells were co-transfected with EGFR, dynamin1-K44A (*Dyna K44A*), and either HIP1r, HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E, or HIP1 and stimulated with EGF. 15  $\mu$ g of protein were loaded on SDS-PAGE gels and analyzed by Western blot.

min (Fig. 4A, *lanes 1–4*). In contrast, there was a dramatic prolongation of the EGFR half-life to greater than 2 h in HIP1-transfected cells following stimulation. This is shown by steady levels of the EGFR at all time points in the presence of HIP1 (Fig. 4A, *lanes 5–8*). A similar effect where the EGFR half-life was prolonged beyond the last time point in our assay was seen in dynamin1-K44A-transfected cells (Fig. 4A, *lanes 9–12*), whereas transfection of a wild type dynamin construct caused higher levels of EGFR degradation (data not shown).

It should also be noted that the Western blots presented are a portion of those actually analyzed. It was necessary for us to evaluate different exposures or to run varying amounts of each of our extracts to achieve blots where the semi-quantitation of EGFR in the extracts from the various transfection conditions were in a range of ECL signal that was linear. This allowed us to conclude that the half-life of the EGFR was prolonged in the HIP and dynamin1-K44A-transfected cells. In addition, this effect was apparent across different concentrations of EGF, with HIP1- or dynamin1-K44A-expressing cells consistently showing not only higher levels of EGFR at 60 min post-stimulation but also greater EGFR tyrosine phosphorylation (Fig. 4B). Lower doses of EGF (25-50 ng/ml) in this assay did not effectively stimulate degradation of the EGFR in response to ligand in the vector-transfected cells and, as a result, were not used.

It should also be noted that the levels of the HIPs and the dynamin mutant did not vary during the time course of each experiment. This allowed us to quantitate the growth factor half-lives without having to quantitate ratios of growth factor levels and modifier proteins. It also allowed us to conclude that the half-lives of the HIPs and dynamin mutant were much longer than 4 h, as the presence of cycloheximide did not lead to detectable changes in their levels in the presence or absence of growth factor receptor stimulation.

Co-expression of full-length HIP1r produced analogous results, with stabilization of the EGFR to a half-life of greater than 2 h and higher levels of EGFR phosphorylation after stimulation compared with vector-transfected controls (Fig. 5A, compare *lanes* 4-6 to *lanes* 1-3). It is also significant that the starting levels of EGFR prior to EGF stimulation were frequently increased in the HIP or dynamin dominant negative transfected cells. This is likely due to a continual effect of HIPs and the dynamin1-K44A mutant on the turnover of EGFR. As expected, this difference in starting amounts of EGFR was not observed in the absence of cycloheximide.

To determine whether HIP1r and HIP1 were able to alter the stability of other receptors whose endocytosis is mediated by clathrin and its cofactors, we assayed the effect of HIP1r and HIP1 on the degradation of the PDGF $\beta$ R after stimulation with its ligand, PDGF- $\beta\beta$ . Following stimulation, overexpression of HIP1r or HIP1 led to diminished degradation of the PDGF $\beta$ R, albeit to a lesser extent than that seen for the EGFR (Fig. 5*B*, compare *lanes* 4–6 to *lanes* 1–3; Fig. 5*C*, compare *lanes* 4–6 to *lanes* 1–3). The approximate half-life of the PDGF $\beta$ R was pro-

longed from 1 to 3 h in the presence of full-length HIPs. The similar but distinct effects seen with the EGFR and PDGF $\beta$ R when either HIP1 or HIP1r was overexpressed indicate not only a general role for the HIP1 family in post-stimulation regulation of receptor tyrosine degradation but also a possible selectivity of the HIP family in its activity of receptor stabilization.

Mutants Lacking the ENTH Domain Do Not Stabilize EGFRs—We and others (4, 10, 30) have previously gathered data that indicate HIP1 has an effect on cellular survival. It is possible that altered HIP1r expression also affects the survival of cells. We hypothesize that the pro-survival functions of HIP1 and HIP1r may be dependent upon their ability to stabilize and thereby up-regulate growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases through their role in clathrin trafficking. Conversely, the withdrawal of growth factor receptor stimulation has been noted to be sufficient to cause apoptosis (31). These facts led to the hypothesis that the pro-apoptotic effects of interfering with HIP1 or HIP1r function might result from the disruption of receptor tyrosine kinase trafficking.

To address this hypothesis, we co-transfected the HIP1/ $\Delta E$  construct along with EGFR and analyzed its effect on EGFR half-life. We have provided evidence previously (4) that the HIP1/ $\Delta E$  protein functions as a dominant interfering mutant. HIP1/ $\Delta E$  did not have the same stabilizing effect as full-length HIP1; indeed, EGFR degradation following stimulation appeared to be accelerated in cells expressing HIP1/ $\Delta E$  (Fig. 4D, lanes 4–6 compared with lanes 1–3). Phosphorylation of the EGFR was also diminished after ligand stimulation compared with vector-transfected control (Fig. 4C, lanes 5 and 6 compared with lanes 1 and 2).

Similar but distinct results were observed upon transfection of HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E. Western blots of total EGFR show at least two species of EGFR of slightly different molecular weights. Levels of the upper phosphorylated or monoubiquitinated EGFR band were diminished at every time point in the HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E-transfected samples compared with vector-transfected cells (Fig. 5A, compare lanes 7–9 to lanes 1–3). This activated EGFR isoform was not stable in the HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E-expressing cells (Fig. 5A, lanes 7–9) but was in the HIP1r-transfected cells (Fig. 5A, lanes 4–6). Immunoblot analysis for phosphorylated EGFR confirmed that activated EGFR levels were, like in the HIP1/ $\Delta$ E-expressing cells, reduced in the HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E-expressing cells (Fig. 5A, lanes 7–9 compared with lanes 1–3).

Next, we analyzed the effects of the other HIP1r mutants on EGFR expression and ligand-stimulated activation. Like fulllength HIP1r, the HIP1r/ATH mutant stabilized total EGFR levels and also showed increased tyrosine phosphorylation of the EGFR compared with vector-transfected cells (data not shown). Like HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E, HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E $\Delta$ T-transfected cells lacked the higher molecular weight EGFR species seen in vector- or HIP1r-transfected cells and showed reduced tyrosine phosphorylation of the receptor (data not shown). These results suggest that the ENTH domain is necessary for effective maintenance of EGF signal duration, likely via stabilization of the receptor in early pH neutral endocytic vesicles. It is interesting to note again that the HIP1/ $\Delta$ E-containing cells contain predominantly the slower migrating, phosphorylated EGFR band (Fig. 4D, lanes 4-6), whereas the HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E-containing cells contain more of the faster migrating EGFR band (Fig. 5A, lanes 7-9). This suggests that the different patterns of EGFR banding, as seen in the HIP1/ $\Delta E$  compared with the HIP1r/ $\Delta E$ -transfected cells, may reflect subtle differences in the ways HIP1 and HIP1r affect total levels of EGFR versus total levels of tyrosinephosphorylated EGFR.

Previously, we have shown that HIP1/ $\Delta E$ -mediated apopto-

sis could be rescued by a dominant negative caspase 9 but not a dominant negative caspase 8, suggesting that HIP1/ $\Delta E$  induced caspase 9-dependent apoptosis (4). To determine whether the same effect could be seen in terms of receptor stabilization, EGF stimulation assays were performed with co-transfection of EGFR, HIP1/ $\Delta$ E, and either vector or dominant negative caspase 9. Dominant negative caspase 9 did not alter the effect of HIP1/ $\Delta E$  on EGFR, although this construct does correct the cell death induced by HIP1/ $\Delta E$  (data not shown and see Ref. 4). These results indicate that the effect of HIP1/ $\Delta E$  or HIP1r/ $\Delta E$  on EGFR levels in our assays is not simply due to increased apoptosis of cells that express transfected EGFR and the  $\Delta E$  mutants. Moreover, it suggests that caspase 9-dependent apoptosis mediated by the  $\Delta E$  mutants may be a downstream effect of receptor down-regulation and that the apoptosis can be corrected without a correction of the receptor abnormality.

Because previous studies have indicated that HIP1 and HIP1r have activities at the plasma membrane, it is possible that they are rate-limiting in these functions. Overexpression of HIP1 or HIP1r could inhibit the initial stages of clathrincoated vesicle formation, similar to the dynamin1-K44A mutant (29). This mechanism would favor retention of growth factor receptors at the plasma membrane and allow continued activation of signaling pathways. To begin to test at which step of endocytosis the  $\Delta E$  mutants might act predominantly, cells were transfected with EGFR and the  $\Delta E$  mutants with or without dynamin1-K44A. Dynamin1-K44A was able to overcome the diminished levels of EGFR in cells expressing HIP1/ $\Delta E$  and exhibited EGFR levels comparable with transfection with dynamin1-K44A alone (Fig. 4D, compare lanes 7-9 to lanes 10-12). In contrast, the ability of dynamin1-K44A to overcome the effects of HIP1r/ $\Delta E$  was not nearly as robust. Co-transfection of dynamin1-K44A with HIP1r/ $\Delta E$  did cause some increase in EGFR levels, but only in the EGFR species of lower molecular weight and not to the extent seen with HIP1/ $\Delta E$  (Fig. 5D, compare lanes 16-18 with lanes 13-15). Based on this finding, HIP1 and HIP1r may have different but partially overlapping mechanisms of growth factor stabilization. These results suggest HIP1 acts in a rate-limiting way downstream of the initial stages of clathrin-vesicle formation to promote receptor tyrosine kinase stabilization. HIP1r may also act in the endocytic pathway after clathrin-vesicle formation, as the dynamin1-K44A was able to partially overcome HIP1r/  $\Delta E$ -mediated down-regulation of EGFR. However, the data suggest that HIP1r may have some rate-limiting functions that are upstream of HIP1. This would be consistent with its localization to peripheral membrane ruffles (15).

Finally, we tested whether the  $\Delta E$  mutants of HIP1 and HIP1r caused a more widespread down-regulation of receptor tyrosine kinase levels by assaying their effects on PDGF $\beta$ R after starvation and stimulation with PDGF- $\beta\beta$ . Similar to EGFR, cells expressing HIP1r/ $\Delta E$  and HIP1/ $\Delta E$  exhibited diminished levels of PDGF $\beta$ R at each time point after ligand stimulation compared with vector-transfected cells (Fig. 5*B*, compare lanes 7–9 to lanes 1–3; Fig. 5*C*, compare lanes 7–9 to lanes 1–3). However, the extent of receptor down-regulation was less pronounced in cells expressing HIP1/ $\Delta E$  compared with HIP1r/ $\Delta E$ -expressing cells.

Inhibition of Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Degradation by the HIP Family Occurs Post-endocytosis—Ligand-mediated degradation of receptor tyrosine kinases occurs via endocytosis of clathrin-coated pits to progressively form clathrin-coated vesicles, endosomes, and lysosomes via vesicle trafficking (32). Thus, there are numerous steps of trafficking at which HIP1 and HIP1r might act to inhibit the degradation of receptor

tyrosine kinases. In order to begin to understand at which stages of endocytosis HIP1 and HIP1r might act, immunofluorescent analysis of EGFR-containing vesicles in cells transfected with EGFR and either vector, HIP1, HIP1r, or dynamin1-K44A was performed. This assay was derived from a recently published assay of transforming growth factor- $\beta$  receptor endocytosis (33). Vesicles containing EGFR were visualized after ligand stimulation by staining with anti-EGFR antibody. Prior to stimulation, EGFR was localized to the plasma membrane as denoted by the absence of vesicular structures in the cytoplasm (Fig. 6A, 1st three left-hand panels). After 30 min of stimulation, cells expressing EGFR alone demonstrated the formation of vesicular structures containing EGFR (Fig. 6A, three right-hand panels, top row). Similarly, HIP1- or HIP1r-overexpressing cells also had EGFR-positive vesicular structures after ligand stimulation, indicating endocytic uptake in the HIP-transfected cells (Fig. 6A, three righthand panels, 2nd and 3rd rows). In cells transfected with EGFR and either vector or HIP1, EGFR-containing vesicular structures also co-localized with the early endosomal antigen EEA-1 as expected (Fig. 6B, top and middle rows; columns 3 and 6). In contrast, in cells transfected with the positive control dynamin1-K44A and EGFR, EGFR-containing vesicular structures did not form, and EGFR remained on the surface rather than being internalized (Fig. 6A, three right-hand panels, bottom row). There was also no co-localization of EGFR with EEA-1 (Fig. 6B, bottom row, column 6). This is consistent with prior results that indicated the dynamin1-K44A dominant negative mutant functions to inhibit clathrin-coated vesicle release from the plasma membrane (29). If HIPs had functioned in a rate-limiting fashion during clathrin vesicle formation, we would have expected the HIP-transfected cells to not form EGFR-positive vesicles in a manner similar to that observed with the dynamin1-K44A-positive control.

We also attempted to assay the effects of the  $\Delta E$  mutants of HIP1 and HIP1r on EGFR endocytosis by using this assay. However, due to the enhanced degradation of the EGFR in the presence of the  $\Delta E$  mutants, the sensitivity of this assay for the EGFR was not high enough to make solid observations. Because of this, we devised a more sensitive flow cytometry assay that quantitated the surface EGFR in transfected cells. In this assay we quantitated by flow cytometry the relative amounts of cell-surface EGFR following EGF stimulation in HIP1- or HIP1/ $\Delta$ E-transfected HeLa cells, which contain significant quantities of endogenous EGFR (28). In this assay HeLa cells were transfected with pcDNA3-IRES-GFP constructs containing nothing (i.e. vector alone), HIP1, dynamin1-K44A or HIP1/AE cDNAs cloned in front of the IRES-GFP cassette. These constructs express GFP in addition to the protein of interest, allowing us to restrict flow cytometric analysis of EGFR to only those cells that were GFP-positive (transfected cells). Following stimulation with EGF, live, non-permeabilized cells were stained with an anti-EGFR antibody conjugated to phycoerythrin, and relative fluorescence of GFP-positive cells was measured by flow cytometry. Similar to the effect seen by the immunofluorescence assay of EGFR uptake, there was no effect of HIP1 or HIP1/ $\Delta E$  on endocytic clearance of surface EGFR (Fig. 6C). On the other hand, dynamin1-K44A promoted retention of the EGFR on the cell surface at all time points indicated. In addition, even though HIP1/ $\Delta E$  failed to have an effect on surface levels of the EGFR post-EGF stimulation, it did cause apoptosis in HeLa cells (Supplemental Material Fig. 4). Thus, this provides further evidence that a main effect of HIP1 and HIP1/ $\Delta E$  on EGFR degradation likely occurs subsequent to the uptake phase of ligand-stimulated receptor tyrosine kinase endocytosis.

Because endocytosis of EGFR results primarily in degradation, whereas the endocytosis of transferrin receptor results primarily in recycling of the receptor, we examined the effect of HIP1 or HIP1/ $\Delta E$  on uptake of fluorescently labeled transferrin. It has been shown previously that full-length HIPs do not affect the uptake of transferrin (12, 15). The effect of the HIP1/ $\Delta E$  mutant has not yet been tested in transferrin accumulation. To test this, the same IRES-GFP constructs were used as those used in the assay of endogenous EGFR uptake in HeLa cells. Similar to the effects seen for the EGFR, transferrin uptake was unaffected in HIP1 or HIP1/ΔE-transfected cells but was inhibited in dynamin1-K44A (positive control)transfected cells (Fig. 6D). Thus, there was no effect of transient overexpression of HIP1 or its ENTH deletion mutant on the uptake phase of either degradative or recycling endocytic pathways.

These results with the dynamin dominant negative, together with the lack of growth factor receptor stabilization in cells co-transfected with the ENTH domain deletion mutants, suggest that the HIP family does not function to inhibit growth factor receptor degradation, in a rate-limiting fashion, by inhibiting the internalization phase of endocytosis. Because the HIP-stabilized EGFR is also activated, we suggest that, in addition to a non-rate-limiting function at the cell surface, the HIP family also inhibits the conversion of the early endocytic vesicles to later inactivating acidic endosomes or lysosomes (Fig. 7). This is consistent with the fact that HIP1 and HIP1r localization in the cell is not only at the plasma membrane but also found in intracellular vesicles (10). The more membranous localization of HIP1r is consistent with the inability of dynamin1-K44A to fully overcome HIP1r/AE-mediated EGFR down-regulation. Finally, the 3-phosphorylated bisphosphoinositide binding properties of HIP1 and HIP1r (Fig. 2) are also consistent with HIP1 and HIP1r playing a role in intracellular trafficking as these lipids are concentrated in intracellular membranes (34).

#### DISCUSSION

Our findings demonstrate a unique binding preference of HIP1 and HIP1r via their ENTH domains for 3-phosphorylated bisphosphoinositides. This binding is likely necessary for proper functioning of HIP proteins. This is suggested by our observation that mutants lacking the ENTH domains are mislocalized in the cell and induce apoptosis. We reported previously that full-length HIP1 promotes growth and transformation of fibroblasts. A potential direct mechanism for this transformation is described here, where we show by using cycloheximide that both HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize activated receptor tyrosine kinases by inhibiting protein degradative pathways post-ligand stimulation. Consistent with this, the pro-apoptotic mutants, HIP1/ $\Delta$ E and HIP1r/ $\Delta$ E, do not stabilize growth factor receptors.

These results are of interest in light of data demonstrating a causative role for HIP1 in oncogenesis as well as the recent finding that HIP1 deficiency alters the levels of intracellular AMPA receptors in cultured central nervous system neurons (35). In addition, the HIP1 portion of the HIP1/PDGF $\beta$ R fusion protein, independent of its dimerizing activity, is necessary for its transforming activity (18). We suggest that HIP1 and HIP1r act in a rate-limiting fashion downstream of receptor uptake to inhibit trafficking of receptor tyrosine kinases to the lysosome for subsequent degradation.

It is interesting to speculate that HIP1 and HIP1r, by binding inositol lipid determinants of clathrin-coated vesicles, early endosomes, and recycling endosomes via their ENTH domains, may cause the endocytic machinery to favor continued signaling either by stabilizing the early endosome or by stimulating growth


FIG. 6. **HIP1 and HIP1r and the HIP1/\DeltaE mutant do not alter EGFR uptake.** *A*, COS 7 cells were transfected with EGFR and either vector (*Vec*), HIP1, HIP1r, or dynamin1-K44A. Cells were starved and stimulated with EGF for 30 min before fixation for confocal microscopic analysis. Staining for EGFR (*green*) shows the presence of EGFR in vesicles at 30 min after stimulation in vector-, HIP1-, or HIP1r-transfected cells (*1st*, 2nd, and 3rd row), but not in dynamin1-K44A-transfected cells (*bottom row*). *B*, the EGFR vesicles (*green*) seen at 30 min in vector- or HIP1-transfected cells co-localize (*Coloc*) with the endosomal marker, EEA1 (*red*). *C*, internalization of endogenous EGFR following stimulation ng/ml EGF. Shown are the relative amounts of surface EGFR (*i.e.* EGFR fluorescence at indicated time/EGFR fluorescence prior to stimulation), expressed as a percentage, as measured by mean phycoerythrin fluorescence in 10<sup>4</sup> GFP-positive cells by flow cytometry. Mean EGFR fluorescence



FIG. 7. A proposed model of HIP function in trafficking and signal transduction. Binding of ligand to the growth factor receptor leads to intracellular signal transduction events and concurrent recruitment of clathrin and co-factors to the bound complex. Uptake of ligand-bound growth factor receptors occurs via a clathrin and dynamin-dependent mechanism. Once pinching off the plasma membrane generates clathrincoated vesicles (*CCV*), bound ligand-receptor complexes continue to signal. HIPs may stabilize this vesicle or the uncoated early endosome (*EEn*) by inhibiting the conversion to the more acidic late endosome (*LEn*) and favoring sorting to the recycling endosome (*REn*). In this report we provide evidence that suggests HIPs inhibit the conversion of early signaling neutral pH vesicles to later non-signaling acidic compartments (*dotted line*). We also show that HIP1 is not rate-limiting for the uptake phase of endocytosis.

factor receptor recycling to the cell surface (Fig. 7). This would be in lieu of growth factor receptor degradation by trafficking to the lysosome. We also speculate that this depends on the lipid components of the various intracellular compartments. Thus, HIP location could be regulated in part by the inositol lipid phosphorylation state, and may act in more than one step of trafficking to decrease the degradation of growth factor receptors and increase signal duration following receptor-ligand uptake. This in turn could lead to dysregulated growth of cells, with suppression of various cell cycle checkpoints, accumulation of mutations, and oncogenic transformation.

These results are also important because HIP1 and HIP1r are the first mammalian ENTH domain-containing proteins that bind preferentially to 3,4- and 3,5-phosphorylated inositol lipids. Previously identified ENTH domains, including those of AP180 and Epsin 1, have been shown to bind primarily PtdIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> and PtdIns(3,4,5)P<sub>3</sub> (7, 8). Both of the latter lipid moieties are enriched at the plasma membrane, whereas it has been suggested that PtdIns(3,4)P<sub>2</sub> and PtdIns(3,5)P<sub>2</sub> are localized to intracellular vesicles, predominantly those in the perinuclear sorting area (36). Localization of HIP1 and HIP1r via their ENTH lipid binding domains to intracellular vesicles would be consistent with their having an additional role in EGFR degradation post-internalization. Recent evidence points toward different functions for the ENTH domain of Epsin 1 *versus* that of AP180. The epsin ENTH domain has been shown to promote tubulation of lipid micelles, implying that the structure of the domain causes curvature of membranes (37). Thus, its function is consistent with a role in the internalization phase of endocytosis. The ENTH domain of AP180, also referred to as an ANTH domain, does not promote the curvature of the membrane. HIP1 and HIP1r ENTH domains appear to be more similar by sequence comparison to the AP180 ANTH domain than to the ENTH domain of epsin.

It is also worth noting at this point that the precise activities of HIP1 and HIP1r in endocytosis and trafficking remain to be elucidated. Although HIP1r and HIP1 have similar domain structures, their interacting partners and subcellular locales are somewhat different. Most important, they do have similar lipid binding specificities and similar inhibitory effects on ligand-stimulated receptor tyrosine kinase degradation, showing that there is overlap in their functions.

Another example of a putative endocytic protein that may have similar functions to HIP1 and HIP1r is Eps15 and its relative Eps15R. Eps15 co-localizes with HIP1 (10), and its overexpression alters growth of NIH3T3 cells by enhancing their ability to grow at low density (38). Eps15 is monoubiquitinated in response to EGF and is a major substrate for the EGFR tyrosine kinase (39). However, like HIP1 and HIP1r, its activity in trafficking is not well defined. Testing whether Eps15 or mutants of Eps15 have activity in the EGFR stabilization assay described here will be of use.

in untransfected cells (*i.e.* GFP-negative cells in each well containing the different transfectants) was identical to the vector-transfected cells. This experiment was repeated twice with identical results. *D*, internalization of Alexa-fluor-633-transferrin in 293T cells transfected with HIP1, HIP1/ $\Delta$ E, and dynamin1-K44A. Shown are the mean fluorescence intensities for 10<sup>4</sup> GFP-positive cells measured by flow cytometry, following the indicated times of transferrin incubation with the cells. Mean fluorescence intensity was not significantly different in untransfected cells *versus* vector-transfected cells.

There is growing evidence that intracellular sorting of endocytic vesicles plays a major role in regulating receptor stability. Other proteins that have an effect on growth factor receptor trafficking following the internalization phase of endocytosis include Hrs, Rab5, and Cbl (40-43). The regulation of these proteins and their potential dysregulation in states of increased growth such as cancer warrants further study.

In summary, the results in this report provide evidence for a link between HIP1 or HIP1r expression, lipid binding, cellular survival, and growth factor receptor stability and signaling. The activities of the HIP family members are mediated in part by their ENTH domains. The evidence provided here that interfering with HIP1 or HIP1r function down-regulates growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase levels should be of great interest to those studying endocytosis, signaling, and cancer. Finally, the inhibition of HIP1 or HIP1r activity in tumors could concomitantly interfere with the function of multiple growth factor receptors, providing a multipronged approach to therapy.

Acknowledgments-We thank Sandra Schmid for the generous supply of dynamin and dynamin mutant constructs. We also thank members of the Ross laboratory, Sean Morrison, Andrzej Dlugosz, Steve Weiss, and Greg Taylor, for critical review of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Seki, N., Muramatsu, M., Sugano, S., Suzuki, Y., Nakagawara, A., Ohhira, M., Hayashi, A., Hori, T., and Saito, T. (1998) J. Hum. Genet. 43, 268–271 2. Rao, D. S., Bradley, S. V., Kumar, P. D., Hyun, T. S., Saint-Dic, D., Oravecz-
- Wilson, K., Kleer, C. G., and Ross, T. S. (2003) Cancer Cell 3, 471–482
- 3. Ross, T. S., Bernard, O. A., Berger, R., and Gilliland, D. G. (1998) Blood 91, 4419 - 4426
- 4. Rao, D. S., Hyun, T. S., Kumar, P. D., Mizukami, I. F., Rubin, M. A., Lucas, P. C., Sanda, M. G., and Ross, T. S. (2002) J. Clin. Investig. 110, 351–360
   5. Legendre-Guillemin, V., Metzler, M., Charbonneau, M., Gan, L., Chopra, V.,
- Philie, J., Hayden, M. R., and McPherson, P. S. (2002) J. Biol. Chem. 277, 19897-19904
- 6. Rees, D. J., Ades, S. E., Singer, S. J., and Hynes, R. O. (1990) Nature 347, 685 - 689
- 7. Itoh, T., Koshiba, S., Kigawa, T., Kikuchi, A., Yokoyama, S., and Takenawa, T. (2001) Science 291, 1047-1051
- 8. Ford, M. G., Pearse, B. M., Higgins, M. K., Vallis, Y., Owen, D. J., Gibson, A. Hopkins, C. R., Evans, P. R., and McMahon, H. T. (2001) Science 291, 1051 - 1055
- 9. Engqvist-Goldstein, A. E., Warren, R. A., Kessels, M. M., Keen, J. H., Heuser, J., and Drubin, D. G. (2001) J. Cell Biol. 154, 1209–1223
- 10. Rao, D. S., Chang, J. C., Kumar, P. D., Mizukami, I., Smithson, G. M., Bradley, S. V., Parlow, A. F., and Ross, T. S. (2001) Mol. Cell. Biol. 21, 7796-7806
- 11. Waelter, S., Scherzinger, E., Hasenbank, R., Nordhoff, E., Lurz, R., Goehler, H., Gauss, C., Sathasivam, K., Bates, G. P., Lehrach, H., and Wanker, E. E. (2001) Hum. Mol. Genet. 10, 1807-1817
- Metzler, M., Legendre-Guillemin, V., Gan, L., Chopra, V., Kwok, A., McPherson, P. S., and Hayden, M. R. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 39271-39276
- 13. Mishra, S. K., Agostinelli, N. R., Brett, T. J., Mizukami, I., Ross, T. S., and

Traub, L. M. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 46230-46236

- Holtzman, D. A., Yang, S., and Drubin, D. G. (1993) J. Cell Biol. 122, 635–644
  Engqvist-Goldstein, A. E., Kessels, M. M., Chopra, V. S., Hayden, M. R., and Drubin, D. G. (1999) J. Cell Biol. 147, 1503-1518
- 16. Di Fiore, P. P., Pierce, J. H., Kraus, M. H., Segatto, O., King, C. R., and Aaronson, S. A. (1987) Science 237, 178–182
- 17. Di Fiore, P. P., Pierce, J. H., Fleming, T. P., Hazan, R., Ullrich, A., King, C. R.,
- Schlessinger, J., and Aaronson, S. A. (1987) *Cell* **51**, 1063–1070 18. Ross, T. S., and Gilliland, D. G. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 22328–22336 19. Kalthoff, C., Groos, S., Kohl, R., Mahrhold, S., and Ungewickell, E. J. (2002)
- Mol. Biol. Cell 13, 4060-4073 20. Wasiak, S., Legendre-Guillemin, V., Puertollano, R., Blondeau, F., Girard, M.,
- de Heuvel, E., Boismenu, D., Bell, A. W., Bonifacino, J. S., and McPherson, P. S. (2002) J. Cell Biol. 158, 855-862
- 21. Hirst, J., Motley, A., Harasaki, K., Peak Chew, S. Y., and Robinson, M. S. (2003) Mol. Biol. Cell 14, 625-641
- 22. Hussain, N. K., Yamabhai, M., Bhakar, A. L., Metzler, M., Ferguson, S. S., Hayden, M. R., McPherson, P. S., and Kay, B. K. (2003) J. Biol. Chem. 278, 28823-28830
- 23. Sato, T. K., Overduin, M., and Emr, S. D. (2001) Science 294, 1881-1885
- 24. Gillooly, D. J., Morrow, I. C., Lindsay, M., Gould, R., Bryant, N. J., Gaullier, J. M., Parton, R. G., and Stenmark, H. (2000) EMBO J. 19, 4577-4588
- 25. Corvera, S. (2001) Traffic 2, 859-866
- 26. Friant, S., Pecheur, E. I., Eugster, A., Michel, F., Lefkir, Y., Nourrisson, D., and Letourneur, F. (2003) *Dev. Cell* 5, 499-511 27. Scanlan, M. J., Welt, S., Gordon, C. M., Chen, Y. T., Gure, A. O., Stockert, E.,
- Jungbluth, A. A., Ritter, G., Jager, D., Jager, E., Knuth, A., and Old, L. J. (2002) *Cancer Res.* **62**, 4041–4047
- 28. Vieira, A. V., Lamaze, C., and Schmid, S. L. (1996) Science 274, 2086-2089 29. Damke, H., Baba, T., Warnock, D. E., and Schmid, S. L. (1994) J. Cell Biol. **127,** 915–934
- 30. Gervais, F. G., Singaraja, R., Xanthoudakis, S., Gutekunst, C. A., Leavitt, B. R., Metzler, M., Hackam, A. S., Tam, J., Vaillancourt, J. P., Houtzager V., Rasper, D. M., Roy, S., Hayden, M. R., and Nicholson, D. W. (2002) Nat. Cell Biol. 4. 95-105
- 31. Kari, C., Chan, T. O., Rocha deq Uadros, M., and Rodeck, U. (2003) Cancer Res. **63.** 1–5
- 32. Conner, S. D., and Schmid, S. L. (2003) Nature 422, 37-44
- 33. Hayes, S., Chawla, A., and Corvera, S. (2002) J. Cell Biol. 158, 1239-1249
- 34. Itoh, T., and Takenawa, T. (2002) Cell. Signal. 14, 733-743 Metzler, M., Li, B., Gan, L., Georgiou, J., Gutekunst, C. A., Wang, Y., Torre, E., Devon, R. S., Oh, R., Legendre-Guillemin, V., Rich, M., Alvarez, C.,
- Gertsenstein, M., McPherson, P. S., Nagy, A., Wang, Y. T., Roder, J. C., Raymond, L. A., and Hayden, M. R. (2003) *EMBO J.* **22**, 3254–3266 36. Lemmon, M. A. (2003) Traffic 4, 201-213
- 37. Ford, M. G., Mills, I. G., Peter, B. J., Vallis, Y., Praefcke, G. J., Evans, P. R., and McMahon, H. T. (2002) Nature 419, 361-366
- 38. Fazioli, F., Minichiello, L., Matoskova, B., Wong, W. T., and Di Fiore, P. P. (1993) Mol. Cell. Biol. 13, 5814–5828
- 39. Klapisz, E., Sorokina, I., Lemeer, S., Pijnenburg, M., Verkleij, A. J., and van Bergen en Henegouwen, P. M. (2002) J. Biol. Chem. 277, 30746-30753
- Raiborg, C., Bache, K. G., Gillooly, D. J., Madshus, I. H., Stang, E., and Stenmark, H. (2002) Nat. Cell Biol. 4, 394–398
- Raiborg, C., Bache, K. G., Mehlum, A., Stang, E., and Stenmark, H. (2001) EMBO J. 20, 5008–5021
- 42. Levkowitz, G., Waterman, H., Zamir, E., Kam, Z., Oved, S., Langdon, W. Y., Beguinot, L., Geiger, B., and Yarden, Y. (1998) Genes Dev. 12, 3663-3674
- 43. Chen, X., and Wang, Z. (2001) EMBO Rep. 2, 842-849 Hackam, A. S., Yassa, A. S., Singaraja, R., Metzler, M., Gutekunst, C. A., Gan, L., Warby, S., Wellington, C. L., Vaillancourt, J., Chen, N., Gervais, F. G.,
- Raymond, L., Nicholson, D. W., and Hayden, M. R. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275, 41299-41308

Review

Full text provided by www.sciencedirect.com

# HIP1: trafficking roles and regulation of tumorigenesis

Teresa S. Hyun and Theodora S. Ross

Department of Internal Medicine and Graduate Program in Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

During recent years, alterations in proteins of the endocytic pathway have been associated with tumors. Disrupted regulation of the endocytic pathway is a relatively unstudied mechanism of tumorigenesis, which can concomitantly disrupt several different signaling pathways to affect growth, differentiation and survival. Several endocytic proteins have been identified, either as part of tumor-associated translocations or to have the ability to transform cells. Here, we summarize the information known about huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1), an endocytic protein with transforming properties that is involved in a cancercausing translocation and which is overexpressed in a variety of human cancers. We describe the known normal functions of HIP1 in endocytosis and receptor trafficking, the evidence for its role as an oncoprotein and how HIP1 might be altered to promote tumorigenesis.

Cells become cancerous owing to abnormalities in the normal mechanisms that exist to control their growth, differentiation and lifespan. Pathways that regulate cell division, DNA repair, apoptosis, aging and migration are obvious targets for tumorigenic mutations, and oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes have been found to participate in these processes. In recent years, alterations in proteins involved in the endocytic pathway have been found to be associated with tumors. This has lead to the hypothesis that altered regulation of endocytosis is one way to disrupt several different signaling pathways, leading to the initiation of, and progression to, cancer [1]. Here, we review the functions of huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) in endocytosis and receptor trafficking, and how alterations to this role might lead to tumorigenesis.

HIP1 was first cloned in 1997 owing to its interaction with huntingtin (htt), the protein whose gene is mutated in Huntington's disease [2,3]. The mutated htt has an expanded N-terminal polyglutamine tract and a decreased affinity for HIP1, suggesting that this diminished interaction might play a role in the pathophysiology of Huntington's disease [3]. The yeast homologue of HIP1, Sla2p, was discovered in 1993 as a protein necessary for normal cell growth, morphology and cytoskeletal properties [4]. Sla2p also has confirmed roles in endocytosis and actin dynamics [5]. The only other mammalian member of the HIP1 family, huntingtin interacting protein 1-related (HIP1r), was cloned in 1998 from its sequence similarity to HIP1 [6]. The first clue that HIP1 might have a role in tumorigenesis came in 1998, when a mutant fusion protein of HIP1 and the PDGF $\beta$  receptor was discovered as the cause of a chronic myelomonocytic leukemia [7]. Subsequently, HIP1 overexpression was found in several primary epithelial tumors including breast, ovarian, prostate, lung and colon, and its expression negatively correlated with survival in men with prostate cancers [8]. Further studies demonstrated that HIP1 can transform fibroblasts and alter signaling via growth factor receptors [9].

#### The HIP1 family in endocytosis

Current evidence points to a role for HIP1, HIP1r and Sla2p in clathrin-mediated vesicular trafficking. However, their precise roles are unknown. HIP1 is a 120-kDa protein that partially co-localizes, co-sediments and co-purifies with clathrin-coated vesicles [10-12]. The HIP1 family of proteins contains several conserved domains that could be important for their functions; these include the AP180 N-terminal homology domain (ANTH), a central coiled-coil region and a C-terminal talin homology domain. The coiled-coil domain of HIP1 contains a leucine-zipper motif and mediates heterodimerization with HIP1r [13]. HIP1r. but not HIP1, co-localizes with actin through its talin homology domain, and binds to F-actin in vitro [14,15]. Although HIP1 has a talin homology domain, it does not bind to F-actin under the same conditions [13]. This lack of actin binding explains their differential localization: HIP1r is concentrated at membrane ruffles and HIP1 is not. Both HIP1 and HIP1r have a punctate cytoplasmic distribution. In addition, immunofluorescence shows intense staining in the peri-nuclear region [16]. HIP1, but not HIP1r, contains consensus binding sites for the endocytic adaptor protein AP2 (DPF motif) and the clathrin heavy chain (LMDMD clathrin-box motif), and associates directly with these proteins [10-12,17]. In mice with deleted *Hip1*, recruitment of HIP1-binding endocytic proteins, including HIP1r and AP2, to liposomes from brain lysates is diminished [18]. In addition, the central helical domains of both HIP1 and HIP1r are able to bind directly to the clathrin light chain and stimulate clathrin assembly in vitro [13,15].

These data demonstrate that the HIP1 family has a role in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. The interaction of HIP1

Corresponding author: Theodora S. Ross (tsross@umich.edu).

www.sciencedirect.com 1471-4914/\$ - see front matter © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2004.02.003

with AP2 suggests that it is involved in receptor uptake at the plasma membrane. The interaction of HIP1r with actin suggests that it links cytoskeletal and endocytic processes. HIP1 and HIP1r might play a role similar to Sla2p, which has recently been shown to be necessary for the productive interaction of the actin cytoskeleton with the endocytic machinery, to allow membrane invagination [19].

In addition to the interaction with clathrin and induction of clathrin lattices, the HIP1 family contains an ANTH domain that implicates them in endocytosis. The ENTH/ANTH (epsin N-terminal homology) domain family has been found in several proteins, all with roles in clathrin-mediated vesicle trafficking [20]. These proteins include epsin, enthoprotin/Clint/epsin-related protein [21–23], AP180 and CALM (clathrin assembly lymphoid myeloid leukemia), as well as the HIP1 family of proteins. The ENTH/ANTH domains are phosphoinositide binding domains with varied specificity. In AP180 and epsin, the ENTH/ANTH domains bind phosphatidylinositol-4,5bisphosphate [PtdIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>] and phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate [PtdIns(3,4,5)P<sub>2</sub>] [24,25]. The lipidbinding activity of epsin is required for its role in the endocytosis of epidermal growth factor (EGF). Expression of an epsin-deletion mutant lacking the ENTH domain, or a point mutant abolishing the binding of epsin to  $PtdIns(4,5)P_2$ , inhibits EGF internalization [24]. The ANTH domain group of proteins, which includes AP180, as well as HIP1, HIP1r and Sla2p, were only recently classified separately from proteins containing the closely related ENTH domain [20,24-26]. Although both ENTHand ANTH-containing proteins bind lipids, only ENTH domains have the ability to induce curvature of the plasma membrane [26,27].

It was recently discovered that HIP1 and HIP1r have different lipid specificities for epsin and AP180 than was previously described. The HIP1 proteins bind preferentially to  $PtdIns(3,4)P_2$  and  $PtdIns(3,5)P_2$  as opposed to  $PtdIns(4,5)P_2$  [16].  $PtdIns(3,4)P_2$  and  $PtdIns(3,5)P_2$  are enriched in early endosomes, whereas  $PtdIns(4,5)P_2$  is predominantly a plasma membrane inositol lipid [28]. This lipid specificity suggests that HIP1 and HIP1r have additional endocytic roles downstream of the clathrinmediated invagination step of receptor endocytosis (Figure 1). Consistent with the hypothesis that this lipid-binding specificity of the HIP1 family implicates it in intracellular membrane trafficking, the yeast protein Ent3p has also been shown to have a  $PtdIns(3,5)P_2$ binding ENTH domain that mediates its role in protein sorting from the intracellular mutivesicular body [29]. Although Ent3p has an ENTH domain (and the HIP1 family an ANTH domain), their related lipid specificity suggests a similar subcellular localization. Further work is required to understand how HIP1 binding to PtdIns(3,4)P<sub>2</sub> and PtdIns(3,5)P<sub>2</sub>, clathrin, HIP1r, AP2 and htt are coordinated to mediate the different locations and functions of HIP1 in the many phases of clathrin-mediated trafficking.

## HIP1 and HIP1r in cellular survival

There are conflicting data in the literature concerning the influence of HIP1 on cellular survival. Originally, it was

reported that HIP1 functions as a pro-apoptotic protein, because overexpression of HIP1 induced apoptosis in transfected NT2 and HEK 293T cells. The mechanism of cell death was unclear, but both the caspase 8-independent intrinsic and the caspase 8-dependent extrinsic deathreceptor final pathways have been implicated [30,31]. The latter has been shown to be mediated by a HIP1 protein-binding partner, designated HIPPI (HIP1 protein interactor) [31]. By contrast, Rao et al. reported that overexpression of full-length HIP1 or HIP1r does not induce apoptosis, whereas transfection of mutants lacking the ANTH domain leads to induction of apoptosis in multiple cell types, including HEK 293T cells [8,9]. Apoptosis mediated by the ANTH deletion mutant of HIP1 was inhibited by a dominant-negative caspase-9, suggesting that the final apoptotic pathway targeted was the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway [8]. Alternative splicing of the *HIP1* gene yielding two splice variants that have differing 5' sequences has been reported [32] and could explain the discrepancy in the reported pro- and anti-apoptotic effects of HIP1. Mutation of murine Hip1 in vivo leads to testicular degeneration due to apoptosis of postmeiotic spermatids (where HIP1 is normally expressed [17]), suggesting a primary role for full-length HIP1 in the survival of some cell types. Finally, because HIP1 can transform fibroblasts and is overexpressed in multiple cancers, there are many neoplastic cell types where the putative pro-apoptotic activity of HIP1 is not realized [8,9].

#### Regulation of receptors by HIP1 and HIP1r

In spite of an accumulated body of work implicating the HIP1 family in endocytosis, a detailed understanding of HIP1 and HIP1r activities in these processes is lacking. Several studies have elucidated the importance of receptor sorting by endocytic mechanisms for signaling through membrane receptors [33,34]. Recent data suggest that HIP1 can influence levels of various growth factor receptors following ligand stimulation. In addition, confocal microscopy and glutathione S-transferase (GST) pulldown experiments have shown that HIP1 exists in complex with the AMPA (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4isoxazolepropionic acid) receptor [35]. Primary neurons from mice with targeted mutation of *Hip1* have altered surface:intracellular ratios of the AMPA receptor following ligand stimulation, suggesting a blocking of internalization, but have normal transferrin receptor trafficking [35]. In other studies, overexpression of HIP1 in cell culture stabilized levels of the EGF receptor after EGF stimulation [9,16], and resulted in increased phosphorylation of downstream effectors [9]. In this case, receptor stabilization was not due to a block in internalization, because HIP1-overexpressing cells showed normal movement of EGF receptor to early endosomes, post-stimulation [16]. Increased levels of other receptors have also been observed, including fibroblast growth factor (FGF) receptor and the platelet derived growth factor (PDGF)-β receptor, in cells overexpressing HIP1 [9,16]. HIP1r has some similar effects to HIP1, stabilizing EGF receptor and PDGFβ receptor and increasing EGF receptor phosphorylation following ligand stimulation. One possibility for



**Figure 1**. Putative roles of huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) in receptor trafficking and tumorigenesis. (a) Functions of HIP1 in a normal cell. Binding of growth factor (green) to its receptor results in endocytosis of the ligand–receptor complex. Accessory endocytic proteins, including HIP1, mediate the formation of clathrin-coated pits from the plasma membrane that has phosphatidylinositol (Ptdlns)-4,5-P<sub>2</sub> and Ptdlns-3,4,5-P<sub>3</sub> as its main inositol lipids. These pits then convert to clathrin-coated vesicles and early endosomes (yellow) that have Ptdlns-3,4-P<sub>2</sub> and Ptdlns-3,5-P<sub>2</sub> enriched in their membranes owing to activities of Ptdlns polyphosphate 5- and 4-phosphatases, respectively. Receptor-mediated signal transduction occurs from the plasma membrane, the clathrin-coated pit and the early endosome owing to sustained ligand binding at neutral pH. HIP1 might also be involved in the conversion of the early endosome (purple) or for recycling back to the plasma membrane. (b) HIP1 in cancer. Overexpression of HIP1 in tumors could increase one or several of its putative rate-limiting functions in endocytosis and vesicle trafficking, leading to an amplification of signal transduction from growth factor receptors, rather than termination via receptor degradation. Alternatively, HIP1 overexpression might mediate tumorigenesis by altering the activities of currently undefined fundamental cellular pathways. Abbreviations: PM, plasma membrane; +, possible positive regulation by HIP1; –, possible negative regulation by HIP1.

these variable results is that HIP1 and HIP1r act differentially on a broad range of receptors to affect their internalization, recycling, degradation or signaling following ligand stimulation (Figure 1).

## Altered regulation of HIP1 and HIP1r in cancers

The first clue suggesting that altered regulation of HIP1 family members could be associated with cancers was the discovery of a translocation between the genes encoding HIP1 and PDGF $\beta$  receptor, resulting in the expression of a HIP1–PDGFβ receptor fusion protein in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia [7]. Furthermore, stable expression of this fusion protein in the IL-3-dependent murine Ba/F3 hematopoietic cell line resulted in a transformation to IL-3-independent growth [7]. HIP1 sequences, independent of those that dimerize the fusion protein, were necessary for this transforming ability. This was shown by the fact that a deletion mutant of the HIP1-PDGF $\beta$ receptor fusion protein containing only the talin homology region of HIP1 failed to cause IL-3-independent growth in Ba/F3 cells, despite retaining its properties of constitutive oligomerization and tyrosine phosphorylation [36].

Further studies showed that the expression of HIP1 itself is altered in several types of cancer. Analysis, by western blot, of 60 cancer cell lines showed that 50 of the 53 lines that were derived from solid tumors (breast, colon, kidney, lung, melanoma, ovarian and prostate) had high levels of HIP1 protein. This pattern was confirmed by analysis of HIP1 expression using immunohistochemical staining of primary human cancer tissue microarrays, which demonstrated moderate-high staining of HIP1 in most of these types of cancer [8]. Because HIP1 was undetected in normal colon and prostate epithelium, cancers showed that 48% of well differentiated tumors had moderate or high HIP1 staining, whereas adjacent normal epithelium did not. Similarly, staining of prostate tissue microarrays, containing tissue samples ranging from normal prostate epithelium to metastatic prostate cancer from 128 patients, demonstrated the absence of HIP1 staining in normal epithelium and an increasing percentage of HIP1-positive samples as the severity of the neoplasia increased from PIN (prostatic intra-epithelial neoplasia) to hormone-refractory metastatic prostate cancer [8]. These findings suggested that expression of HIP1 is a late event in prostate cancer progression. Strikingly, analysis of linked clinical data for 114 patients with prostate-confined cancer revealed that those with HIP1-negative tumors did not relapse after radical prostatectomy, whereas 28% with HIP1-positive tumors did [8]. For breast tumors, analysis of a primary human breast tumor tissue microarray showed elevated levels of HIP1 expression in atypical ductal hyperplasia, ductal carcinoma in situ and invasive breast cancer compared with low expression in normal or fibrocystic breast tissue [9]. In contrast to the results with prostate cancer prognosis and HIP1 expression, clinical outcomes independent of the estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and Her2 status of breast tumors were not predicted by HIP1 staining.

whereas the corresponding tumors had high levels, these

tumors were studied in more detail. Staining of 25 colon

Another study suggests that HIP1r is also altered in cancers. Screening of sera from 74 patients with colon cancer and 75 unaffected donors for reactivity to immunogenic tumor antigens produced five serum samples from patients with colon cancer that had antibody reactivity to

196

Review

HIP1r [37]. There was no immunoreactivity to HIP1r in any of the unaffected donors. It remains possible that these antibodies, reported to be specific against HIP1r, were cross-reactive with HIP1r (i.e. the primary tumor antigen was HIP1).

Further analysis of the effects of HIP1 overexpression on cells led to the surprising discovery that HIP1 can transform fibroblasts [9]. Stable overexpression of HIP1 under the control of a long terminal repeat (LTR) or cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter produced cell lines that had higher growth rates and saturation densities compared with control cell lines. They also had the ability to proliferate in 0.1% serum; this reduction in the requirement for growth factors was hypothesized to be related to receptor tyrosine kinase signaling pathway activation, because the HIP1-overexpressing cell lines displayed elevated levels of both total and phosphorylated EGF receptor, as well as activation of the downstream effector phosphatidlyinositide 3-kinase and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways. Furthermore, HIP1overexpressing cell lines formed colonies in a soft agar assay, foci when plated at low density and tumors in nude mice, confirming that HIP1 has oncogenic activity in fibroblasts. HIP1 might cause transformation of cells by prolonging activation and signaling of various growth factor receptors. It is possible that the effect of HIP1 on receptors occurs after the internalization step of endocytosis, because no defect in this step was observed when HIP1 was transiently overexpressed [16]. Whether this mechanism in cancer pathogenesis is an amplification of one of the normal functions of HIP1 in vesicle trafficking remains to be determined.

#### **Unknown roles of HIP1**

In spite of our knowledge of the structural, biochemical and cell biological properties of the HIP1 family, their roles in vivo remain unclear. Various mutant alleles of Hip1 in mice result in complex phenotypes that are not easily explained by our current knowledge of HIP1 function. Although HIP1 is normally expressed in the brain, the *Hip1* mutant mice do not have discernable central nervous system (CNS) abnormalities [17,18,38], but do have testicular degeneration [17]. Hip1 mutant mice also demonstrate kypholordosis, which results in tremor and an abnormal gait. No abnormalities have yet been found in analysis of the skeleton, peripheral nerves, and muscle function, but reduced binding of HIP1-interacting endocytic proteins, including AP-2, clathrin, Htt and HIP1r, to liposomal membranes from *Hip1*-knockout mice was observed [18]. How this might cause the kypholordosis and tremor is still unclear, but Metzler et al. have suggested that, by default, this hunchback phenotype is secondary to CNS defects in AMPA receptor signaling in vivo [18]. A new Hip1 mutant mouse also exhibits kypholordosis and, in addition, has abnormalities in the frequency of some hematopoietic progenitors, microophthalmia and cataracts [38]. These complex phenotypic characteristics suggest that HIP1 is important for the normal development or maintenance of several tissues, but further studies are required to determine which activities of HIP1 are important for these *in vivo* processes.

#### Other endocytic proteins involved in tumorigenesis

In addition to HIP1, other endocytic proteins have been implicated in cancer, suggesting a general mechanism in which perturbation of endocytosis and/or vesicle trafficking can affect survival, proliferation and migration of cells [1]. The best example is disabled-2 (*Dab2*), which was originally identified as a tumor suppressor gene in ovarian carcinomas [39,40]. Subsequent studies found that Dab2 binds the LDL-receptor family, AP2, clathrin and phosphoinositides [41], and acts to negatively regulate the canonical Wnt signaling pathway [42]. Dab2 is particularly interesting, as it is the only other known endocytic protein that, like HIP1, has altered levels in human tumors.

Other endocytic proteins that transform cells and have a putative, but undocumented, role in human cancers include intersectin, Eps15 and Hrs. Intersectin is an endocytic accessory protein that can bind Eps15, epsin and dynamin. Overexpression of intersectin regulates mitogenic signaling pathways, activating the Elk-1 transcription factor and causing transformation of rodent fibroblasts [43]. The endocytic protein Eps15 can directly alter mitogenic signaling pathways, and its overexpression in NIH/3T3 cells also results in transformation [44]. The hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate Hrs interacts with the neurofibromatosis-2 tumor suppressor [45], and functions to sort ubiquitinated membrane receptors in the multivesicular body to the degradative pathway, and prevent recycling to the cell surface [46]. A remaining question for all of these examples is whether their altered regulation is crucial to the pathophysiology of human cancer.

Finally, similar to HIP1, other genes encoding endocytic proteins are involved in genetic translocations that cause leukemias, making them candidates for the formation of other cancers. For example, the PDGF $\beta$  receptor is also part of a translocation with Rabaptin-5, a regulatory component of early endosomes; the resulting fusion protein, similar to HIP1-PDGFB receptor, causes chronic myelomonocytic leukemia and can transform Ba/F3 cells to IL-3-independent growth [47]. In addition, CALM, an ANTH-containing endocytic protein homologous to AP180, was originally identified from a translocation common in acute lymphoblastic leukemia [48]. An MLL-CALM (mixed-lineage leukemia) translocation was also recently identified in an infant patient with aggressive acute myelogenous leukemia, suggesting that altered regulation of CALM functions contributes to leukemogenesis [49].

#### **Concluding remarks**

Current evidence assigns a role for HIP1 in the endocytic pathway, by virtue of its ANTH domain, interaction with other endocytic proteins and subcellular localization. The specific nature of the role of HIP1 in endocytosis and vesicle trafficking is less clear, but data suggest that HIP1 functions in the early steps of clathrin-coated pit formation and, possibly, progression from early to late endosomes (Figure 1a). HIP1 might function at other steps of vesicle 198

trafficking, such as the sorting of late endosomes to either degradative lysosomes or recycling endosomes. Alteration of HIP1 functions, by overexpression of the normal protein or translocations involving the HIP1 gene, might lead to the amplification of signal transduction cascades mediated by growth factor receptors that are normally regulated by endocytosis (Figure 1b). Several questions remain to be answered in this model concerning HIP1 function in both normal and cancer cells. The regulation of HIP1 expression and the mechanism by which it is increased in tumors is largely unknown at present. The specific role of other accessory endocytic proteins in tumorigenesis also needs to be clarified. Finally, the role of HIP1 interaction with Htt, HIPPI or other yet to be discovered HIP1 partners in tumorigenesis is unknown. The interaction with Htt might prove to be important in cancer pathophysiology. An intriguing epidemiological report shows that patients with Huntington's disease have a lower incidence of cancer compared to their healthy relatives [50].

Concurrent amplification of many signaling cascades through the modification of endocytic pathways could affect several processes important in the progression to cancer, including survival, proliferation and migration. Therefore, manipulation of receptor-mediated signaling pathways via alterations in endocytic proteins, such as HIP1, is a novel mechanism for tumorigenesis that involves a fundamental cellular pathway. A better understanding of this mechanism might lead to novel drug targets and treatments.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Katherine I. Oravecz-Wilson, Gaelle Bougeard-Denoyelle, Dinesh S. Rao, Lina Li, Ikuko Mizukami, Sarah V. Bradley, Paul Nolan, Hanshi Sun and Anthony Munaco for critical review of this article. This work was supported by a University of Michigan Cancer Biology training grant (T.S.H.), the NIH/NCI and Damon Runyon foundation (T.S.R.).

#### References

- 1 Floyd, S. and De Camilli, P. (1998) Endocytosis proteins and cancer: a potential link? Trends Cell Biol. 8, 299–301
- 2 Wanker, E.E. et al. (1997) HIP-I: a huntingtin interacting protein isolated by the yeast two-hybrid system. Hum. Mol. Genet. 6, 487-495
- 3 Kalchman, M.A. et al. (1997) HIP1, a human homologue of S. cerevisiae Sla2p, interacts with membrane-associated huntingtin in the brain. Nat. Genet. 16, 44–53
- 4 Holtzman, D.A. et al. (1993) Synthetic-lethal interactions identify two novel genes, SLA1 and SLA2, that control membrane cytoskeleton assembly in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell Biol. 122, 635–644
- 5 Wesp, A. et al. (1997) End4p/Sla2p interacts with actin-associated proteins for endocytosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Biol. Cell 8, 2291–2306
- 6 Seki, N. et al. (1998) Cloning, expression analysis, and chromosomal localization of HIP1R, an isolog of huntingtin interacting protein (HIP1). J. Hum. Genet. 43, 268-271
- 7 Ross, T.S. et al. (1998) Fusion of Huntingtin interacting protein 1 to platelet-derived growth factor  $\beta$  receptor (PDGF $\beta R)$  in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia with t(5;7)(q33;q11.2). Blood 91, 4419–4426
- 8 Rao, D.S. et al. (2002) Huntingtin-interacting protein 1 is overexpressed in prostate and colon cancer and is critical for cellular survival. J. Clin. Invest. 110, 351-360
- 9 Rao, D.S. et al. (2003) Altered receptor trafficking in Huntingtin interacting protein 1-transformed cells. Cancer Cell 3, 471-482
- 10 Mishra, S.K. et al. (2001) Clathrin- and AP-2-binding sites in HIP1 uncover a general assembly role for endocytic accessory proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 46230-46236

- 11 Metzler, M. et al. (2001) HIP1 functions in clathrin-mediated endocytosis through binding to clathrin and adaptor protein 2. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 39271–39276
- 12 Waelter, S. et al. (2001) The huntingtin interacting protein HIP1 is a clathrin and  $\alpha$ -adaptin-binding protein involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis. Hum. Mol. Genet. 10, 1807–1817
- 13 Legendre-Guillemin, V. et al. (2002) HIP1 and HIP12 display differential binding to F-actin, AP2, and clathrin. Identification of a novel interaction with clathrin light chain. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 19897–19904
- 14 Engqvist-Goldstein, A.E. *et al.* (1999) An actin-binding protein of the Sla2/Huntingtin interacting protein 1 family is a novel component of clathrin-coated pits and vesicles. *J. Cell Biol.* 147, 1503-1518
- 15 Engqvist-Goldstein, A.E. *et al.* (2001) The actin-binding protein Hip1R associates with clathrin during early stages of endocytosis and promotes clathrin assembly in vitro. *J. Cell Biol.* 154, 1209–1223
- 16 Hyun, T.S. et al. (2004) HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize receptor tyrosine kinases and bind 3-phosphoinositides via ENTH domains. J. Biol. Chem. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M312645200 (www.jbc.org)
- 17 Rao, D.S. *et al.* (2001) Huntingtin interacting protein 1 is a clathrin coat binding protein required for differentiation of late spermatogenic progenitors. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21, 7796–7806
- 18 Metzler, M. *et al.* (2003) Disruption of the endocytic protein HIP1 results in neurological deficits and decreased AMPA receptor trafficking. *EMBO J.* 22, 3254–3266
- 19 Kaksonen, M.  $et\ al.\ (2003)$  A pathway for association of receptors, adaptors, and actin during endocytic internalization. Cell 115, 475-487
- 20 Legendre-Guillemin, V. et al. (2004) ENTH/ANTH proteins and clathrin-mediated membrane budding. J. Cell Sci. 117, 9-18
- 21 Kalthoff, C. et al. (2002) Clint: a novel clathrin-binding ENTH-domain protein at the Golgi. Mol. Biol. Cell 13, 4060–4073
- 22 Wasiak, S. *et al.* (2002) Enthoprotin: a novel clathrin-associated protein identified through subcellular proteomics. *J. Cell Biol.* 158, 855–862
- 23 Hirst, J. et al. (2003) EpsinR: an ENTH domain-containing protein that interacts with AP-1. Mol. Biol. Cell 14, 625–641
- 24 Itoh, T. et al. (2001) Role of the ENTH domain in phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate binding and endocytosis. *Science* 291, 1047–1051
- 25 Ford, M.G. *et al.* (2001) Simultaneous binding of PtdIns(4,5)P2 and clathrin by AP180 in the nucleation of clathrin lattices on membranes. *Science* 291, 1051-1055
- 26 Ford, M.G. et al. (2002) Curvature of clathrin-coated pits driven by epsin. Nature 419, 361–366
- 27 Stahelin, R.V. et al. (2003) Contrasting membrane interaction mechanisms of AP180 N-terminal homology (ANTH) and epsin N-terminal homology (ENTH) domains. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 28993-28999
- 28 Lemmon, M.A. (2003) Phosphoinositide recognition domains. Traffic 4, 201-213
- 29 Friant, S. et al. (2003) Ent3p is a PtdIns(3,5)P2 effector required for protein sorting to the multivesicular body. Dev. Cell 5, 499–511
- 30 Hackam, A.S. et al. (2000) Huntingtin interacting protein 1 induces apoptosis via a novel caspase-dependent death effector domain. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 41299–41308
- 31 Gervais, F.G. et al. (2002) Recruitment and activation of caspase-8 by the Huntingtin-interacting protein Hip-1 and a novel partner Hippi. Nat. Cell Biol. 4, 95–105
- 32 Chopra, V.S. *et al.* (2000) HIP12 is a non-proapoptotic member of a gene family including HIP1, an interacting protein with huntingtin. *Mamm. Genome* 11, 1006–1015
- 33 Di Fiore, P.P. and De Camilli, P. (2001) Endocytosis and signaling. an inseparable partnership. Cell 106,  $1\!-\!4$
- 34 Clague, M.J. and Urbe, S. (2001) The interface of receptor trafficking and signalling. J. Cell Sci. 114, 3075–3081
- 35 Metzler, M. *et al.* (2003) Disruption of the endocytic protein HIP1 results in neurological deficits and decreased AMPA receptor trafficking. *EMBO J.* 22, 3254–3266
- 36 Ross, T.S. and Gilliland, D.G. (1999) Transforming properties of the Huntingtin interacting protein 1/platelet-derived growth factor  $\beta$  receptor fusion protein. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 22328–22336

Review

- 37 Scanlan, M.J. et al. (2002) Cancer-related serological recognition of human colon cancer: identification of potential diagnostic and immunotherapeutic targets. Cancer Res. 62, 4041-4047
- 38 Oravecz-Wilson K.I. et al. (2004) Huntingtin interacting protein 1 mutations lead to abnormal hematopoiesis, spinal defects and cataracts. Hum. Mol. Gen. (in press)
- 39 Mok, S.C. *et al.* (1998) DOC-2, a candidate tumor suppressor gene in human epithelial ovarian cancer. *Oncogene* 16, 2381–2387
- 40 Mok, S.C. et al. (1994) Molecular cloning of differentially expressed genes in human epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 52, 247–252
- 41 Mishra, S.K. et al. (2002) Disabled-2 exhibits the properties of a cargoselective endocytic clathrin adaptor. EMBO J. 21, 4915–4926
- 42 Hocevar, B.A. *et al.* (2001) The adaptor molecule disabled-2 links the transforming growth factor  $\beta$  receptors to the Smad pathway. *EMBO J.* 20, 2789–2801
- 43 Adams, A. et al. (2000) Intersectin, an adaptor protein involved in clathrin-mediated endocytosis, activates mitogenic signaling pathways. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 27414-27420
- 44 Fazioli, F. et al. (1993) eps15, a novel tyrosine kinase substrate, exhibits transforming activity. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13, 5814-5828

- 45 Scoles, D.R. et al. (2000) The neurofibromatosis 2 tumor suppressor protein interacts with hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 9, 1567-1574
- 46 Raiborg, C. et al. (2002) Hrs sorts ubiquitinated proteins into clathrin-coated microdomains of early endosomes. Nat. Cell Biol. 4, 394-398
- 47 Magnusson, M.K. et al. (2001) Rabaptin-5 is a novel fusion partner to platelet-derived growth factor  $\beta$  receptor in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. Blood 98, 2518–2525
- 48 Dreyling, M.H. et al. (1996) The t(10;11)(p13;q14) in the U937 cell line results in the fusion of the AF10 gene and CALM, encoding a new member of the AP-3 clathrin assembly protein family. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 93, 4804–4809
- 49 Wechsler, D.S. et al. (2003) A novel chromosomal inversion at 11q23 in infant acute myeloid leukemia fuses MLL to CALM, a gene that encodes a clathrin assembly protein. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 36, 26-36
- 50 Sorensen, S.A. *et al.* (1999) Significantly lower incidence of cancer among patients with Huntington disease: an apoptotic effect of an expanded polyglutamine tract? *Cancer* 86, 1342–1346

## Do you want to reproduce material from a Trends journal?

This publication and the individual contributions within it are protected by the copyright of Elsevier. Except as outlined in the terms and conditions (see p. ii), no part of any *Trends* journal can be reproduced, either in print or electronic form, without written permission from Elsevier. Please address any permission requests to:

Rights and Permissions, Elsevier Ltd, PO Box 800, Oxford, UK OX5 1DX.

# U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Animal Use Report

Facility Name:	University of Michi	gan	Princinal Investigator:	Thr	
Address:	3003 S. State Stree	t	* morpur ****	(Sign	ature)
	Wolverine Tower, Rm	1058	Principal Investigator:	Theodora Ross	
_	Ann Arbor, MI 48109	-1274		(Турс	d/Printed Name)
			E-mail Address:tsr	oss@umich.edu	
Contract Numbe	r:		Phone Number: 734	-615-5509	
This Report is for	Fiscal Year (01 October	- 30 September):	Fax Number:73	4-615-3947	
AAALAC* Accr Initial date of Ac	editation Status (circle or creditation (MM/YYYY)	ne): Full Prov	visional Not Accredite Date of Most Recent Site Vi	d sit (MM/YYYY) _	
Date of Last USD	A Inspection:		USDA Registration Num	ber:	
Definitions of Co	olumn Headings on Back	of Form			- i
A. Animal	B. Number of animals purchased, bred, or housed but <b>not</b> yet used	C. Number of animals used involving no pain or distress	D. Number of animals used in which appropriate anesthetic, analgesic, or tranquilizing drugs were used to alleviate pain	E. Number of animals used in which pain or distress was not alleviated	F. Total Number of Animals (Columns C+D+E)
Dogs					
Cats	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Guinea Pigs					
Hamsters					
Rabbits					
Non-human					
Primates Sheen	······································				
Pigs			-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1155	<u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Goats					
Horses			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Mice		100			100
Rats				-	
Fish					
List Others:					
·					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

\*AAALAC - Association for the Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International

# Use of a Cryptic Splice Site for the Expression of Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1 in Select Normal and Neoplastic Tissues

Chiron W. Graves, Steven T. Philips, Sarah V. Bradley, Katherine I. Oravecz-Wilson, Lina Li, Alice Gauvin, and Theodora S. Ross

Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan

#### Abstract

Huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) is a 116-kDa endocytic protein, which is necessary for the maintenance of several tissues in vivo as its deficiency leads to degenerative adult phenotypes. HIP1 deficiency also inhibits prostate tumor progression in mice. To better understand how deficiency of HIP1 leads to such phenotypes, we analyzed tumorigenic potential in mice homozygous for a *Hip1* mutant allele, designated Hip1 $^{\Delta 3-5}$ , which is predicted to result in a frameshifted, nonsense mutation in the NH<sub>2</sub> terminus of HIP1. In contrast to our previous studies using the Hip1 null allele, an inhibition of tumorigenesis was not observed as a result of the homozygosity of the nonsense  $\Delta$ 3-5 allele. To further examine the contrasting results from the prior Hip1 mutant mice, we cultured tumor cells from homozygous  $\Delta$ 3-5 allele-bearing mice and discovered the presence of a 110-kDa form of HIP1 in tumor cells. Upon sequencing of *Hip1* DNA and message from these tumors, we determined that this 110-kDa form of HIP1 is the product of splicing of a cryptic U12-type AT-AC intron. This event results in the insertion of an AG dinucleotide between exons 2 and 6 and restoration of the reading frame. Remarkably, this mutant protein retains its capacity to bind lipids, clathrin, AP2, and epidermal growth factor receptor providing a possible explanation for why tumorigenesis was not altered after this knockout mutation. Our data show how knowledge of the transcript that is produced by a knockout allele can lead to discovery of novel types of molecular compensation at the level of splicing. [Cancer Res 2008;68(4):1064-73]

#### Introduction

Huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) was first identified by its ability to interact with huntingtin, the protein encoded by the gene that is mutated in Huntington's disease (1, 2). HIP1 and its only known mammalian relative, HIP1-related (HIP1r), were subsequently shown to specifically interact with clathrin, AP2 (3–10), and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; ref. 11). Thus, the HIP1 family is widely thought to be involved in the regulation of growth factor receptor endocytosis and signaling. Additionally, HIP1 and HIP1r contain AP180 N-terminal homology (ANTH) inositol lipid-binding domains, which are specific to endocytic proteins (12, 13). Finally, deficiency of HIP1 leads to spinal defects,

©2008 American Association for Cancer Research.

testicular degeneration, cataracts, adult weight loss, and early death (14–16). These *in vivo* phenotypes indicate that HIP1 is necessary for fundamental cellular and organismal homeostasis. Despite their clear necessity in the maintenance of adult cells and tissues, the precise cellular and biochemical function(s) of this protein family are yet to be determined. In fact, several attempts at understanding the effects of HIP1/HIP1r deficiency on receptor endocytosis either in cultured cells or *in vivo* have not been successful (14, 16, 17).

In addition to its function in normal tissue maintenance, HIP1 has been widely implicated in tumorigenesis. HIP1 was discovered to be an amino terminal partner of platelet-derived growth factor  $\beta$  receptor (PDGF $\beta$ R) in the leukemogenic fusion resulting from a t(5;7) chromosomal translocation (18). HIP1 protein has also been found to be up-regulated in multiple human tumor types, including prostate, colon (19), breast (20), brain (11), and lymphoid (21) cancers. Additionally, HIP1 overexpression is prognostic in prostate cancer (19). Furthermore, heterologous human HIP1 overexpression can transform mouse fibroblasts (20), an effect that we propose to be due to altered growth factor receptor endocytosis and subsequent signal transduction cascades.

To examine the role of HIP1 in prostate cancer, we have previously used mutant mice that are deficient in HIP1 due to a spontaneous targeting event (*Hip1<sup>null</sup>*). When these HIP1-deficient mice were crossed with the transgenic adenocarcinoma of mouse prostate (TRAMP) mice (22), the HIP1-deficient progeny mice contained fewer and less aggressive prostate tumors than their littermate TRAMP control mice (23). We have expanded these studies by using a different tumor model system (MMTV-MYC model of breast cancer) and a third generation Hip1 knockout mouse allele (*Hip1*<sup> $\Delta$ 3-5</sup>) that does not provide the confounding issues associated with the above-described spontaneous null allele (16), such as possible altered expression of HIP1-neighboring genes caused by the neomycin cassette. In the present study, we report the surprising result that  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5/\Delta 3-5}$  is equally, if not more, prone to the development of prostate and breast tumors than Hip1 wildtype mice. Furthermore, we found that the tumor cells from the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5/\Delta 3-5}$  genetic background express a truncated form of the Hip1 protein that is the result of a novel cryptic splicing event. These results have important implications not only for the role of HIP1 and its interacting proteins in normal and neoplastic cell biology but also for the development and analysis of mouse model systems with specific targeting events. Our data emphasize the value of how sequencing the transcript that is actually produced by an engineered knockout allele can reveal novel types of molecular compensation at the level of splicing.

#### Materials and Methods

**Mice.** Mx1-Cre [B6.Cg-Tg(Mx1-Cre)1Cgn/J, The Jackson Laboratory],  $Hip1^{null}$ ,  $Hip1^{loxp}$ ,  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5}$  (16), TRAMP (C57BL/6, The Jackson Laboratory;

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

C.W. Graves and S.T. Philips contributed equally to this work.

Requests for reprints: Theodora S. Ross, University of Michigan 6322 CCGC, 1500 East Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-0942. Phone: 734-615-5509; Fax: 734-647-9271; E-mail: tsross@umich.edu.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5892

ref. 22) and MMTV-myc (MammJ/FVB, gift from Lewis Chodosh; ref. 24) allele-containing mice were maintained and bred under specific pathogenfree conditions as per University Committee on Use and Care of Animals guidelines at University of Michigan. The TRAMP and MMTV-myc allelecontaining mice were maintained on pure genetic backgrounds. Because the other alleles were maintained on a mixed C57BL/6;129svj background, all experiments were performed using the appropriate littermate controls.

**Tumor analysis in MMTV-myc mice.** MMTV-myc transgenic mice were mated onto  $Hip1^{\text{null/null}}$  and  $Hip1^{\Delta_3 \cdot 5/\Delta_3 \cdot 5}$  backgrounds and were palpated for breast tumors weekly. Tumor size was measured with calipers. The mice were sacrificed at 1 year of age or if a tumor impeded movement or ulcerated.

**Tumor cell culture.** Fresh tumor samples from MMTV-myc and TRAMP mice were cut into 1-mm sections, added to DMEM containing 2.2 mg/mL collagenase (Sigma), and incubated for 1 h at 37°C with agitation every 10 min. The digested samples were filtered through a 100-µm nylon cell strainer (Falcon) then centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was removed, and the remaining cells were suspended in DMEM/10% fetal bovine serum and plated onto 10-mm dishes. Cells were passaged every 3 days into fresh media.

**Genomic DNA sequencing.** High-fidelity PCR amplification of the 3-kb genomic region between exons 2 and 6 of *Hip1* from a MMTV-myc; *Hip1*<sup> $\Delta$ 3-5/ $\Delta$ 3-5</sup> tumor was performed. The resulting products were cloned, and single-run complete sequencing was performed on two independent clones.

**Sequence analysis.** The alignment of sequences from wild-type *Hip1* cDNA or MMTV-myc;*Hip1* $^{\Delta_3-5/\Delta_3-5}$  tumor genomic DNA was analyzed using a combination of Sequencer version 4.5 (GeneCodes) and National Center for Biotechnology Information BLAST program.

**Reverse transcriptase–PCR.** Reverse transcription–PCR (RT-PCR) was performed on total RNA using the SuperScript One-Step RT-PCR system (Invitrogen) and primers specific to *Hip1* exon 1 (5'-ATGAAGCAGGTATC-CAACCCGCTGCCC-3', forward primer) and the exon 14/15 junction (5'-ATTAGCCTGGGCCTTTCTTTCTATCTC-3', reverse primer) of murine *Hip1* cDNA. Resulting products were separated on 0.8% agarose gels. Products were extracted from the gel and amplified by nested PCR using primers specific to the exon 1/2 junction (5'-TTCGAGCGGACTCAGACGGT-CAGCGTC-3', forward primer) and the exon 13/14 junction (5'-ATGGCCC-GCTGGCTCTCAATCTTCATG-3', reverse primer) of murine *Hip1* cDNA. Products were again run on 0.8% agarose gels, extracted, and directly sequenced using the PCR primers that were used for the amplification. PCR products that did not yield readable sequence were cloned using the TOPO TA Cloning Kit for Sequencing (Invitrogen). Multiple clones were selected, and plasmid DNA was isolated and sequenced.

Polyinosinic-polycytidylic treatment of Mx1-Cre mice. Mice were injected i.p. with 250  $\mu$ g per mouse of polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (pIpC; Sigma) every other day for 7 or 14 days as previously described (25, 26).

**Bone marrow culture.** Mouse bone marrow cell monocytic culture was carried out as previously described (14), except that RPMI 1640 and M-CSF (1 ng/mL, Sigma) was used and femur bone marrow was plated onto 100-mm dishes. One week later, cells were directly lysed in the dishes and collected for protein analysis.

**Generation of HIP1 expression constructs.** Generation of the pcDNA3/FL HIP1 expression construct was reported previously (19). PCR mutagenesis using pcDNA3/FLHIP1 as a template was used to generate the insert for the pcDNA3/hHIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5/insAG expression construct. Two initial PCR products were generated using two separate pairs of primers. The first primer pair consisted of a forward primer specific to exon 1 (5'-AGGGAGACCCAAGCTTGGTA-3'including a *Kpn*I restriction site) and an engineered reverse primer (5'-GGGATTCTTTCTGGCGTGTTTTTCCTT-3') consisting of sequence from exon 2, an AG dinucleotide, and sequence from the 5' portion of exon 6. The second primer pair consisted of an engineered forward primer (5'-AACACGCCAGAAAGAATCCCAGGTTCC-3') consisting of sequence from the 3' portion of exon 2, an AG dinucleotide, and sequence from exon 6 and a reverse primer specific to exon 14 (5'-TTCTATCTCAGACAGGCTCC-3'; just 3' of the *Eco*RI restriction site at position 1,290 of the coding sequence). The resulting PCR products were

used as templates in a second PCR reaction to generate the hHIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5/ insAG insert. The hHIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5/insAG insert was cloned into pcDNA3/FL HIP1 using the *KpnI* and *Eco*RI restriction sites.

Generation and purification of glutathione S-transferase fusion proteins. The 5' portions of pcDNA3/FLHIP1 and pcDNA3/hHIP1Δ3-5/ insAG were cloned into pGEX4T.1 to generate glutathione S-transferase (GST)-HIP1wt (amino acids 1-430) and GST-HIP1∆3-5 (amino acids 1-337) expression constructs, respectively. The HIP1wt and HIP1∆3-5 inserts cloned into the pGEX4T.1 vector were generated by PCR amplification using the forward primer 5'-CCGGAATTCATGGATCGGATGGCCAGC-3' and the reverse primer 5'-CCGCTCGAGACAGTCGTCGGCCGCCTGC-3' and pcDNA3/FLHIP1 and pcDNA3/hHIP1Δ3-5/insAG as templates. Constructs were verified by sequencing. The GST-HIP1wt and GST-HIP1∆3-5 fusion proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli strain BL21 after induction with 0.1 mmol/L isopropyl-L-thio-B-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) for 2 h at 37°C. Bacteria were pelleted and resuspended in PBS containing protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics). Resuspended cells were lysed by sonication, and Triton X-100 was added to a final concentration of 2%. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 $\times g$  for 10 min. After centrifugation, the supernatant was added to glutathione sepharose 4 beads (50% slurry) and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The beads were washed thrice with PBS and elution buffer [50 mmol/L Tris-HCL, 10 mmol/L reduced glutathione([pH 8.0)] to elute the fusion proteins. Eluted proteins were dialyzed in PBS at 4°C for 2 h and again overnight. Dialyzed proteins were concentrated using Aquacide (Calbiochem), and protein concentrations were determined by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining. Proteins were further analyzed by Western blot analysis using an anti-GST antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies) and an anti-HIP1 antibody (UM354).

**Lipid-binding assay.** Lipid-binding assays using PIP strips and PIP arrays (Echelon) were performed according to manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, either PIP strips or PIP arrays were incubated overnight at  $4^{\circ}$ C with 12.5 µg of purified protein in TBST with 1% milk. Binding was detected using anti-GST antibody (1:5,000) or UM354 (1:2,000) in TBST with 1% milk. Antirabbit secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase were used at 1:5,000 (for anti-GST) or 1:2,000 (for UM354) in TBST with 1% milk.

## Results

Germ line deletion of *Hip1* exons 3 to 5 leads to a phenotype similar to that of *Hip1<sup>null</sup>* mice. The *Hip1* gene has a complex structure consisting of 32 exons spread over 220 kb. To examine the role Hip1 plays in development and disease, we have generated a series of Hip1 (16) and Hip1r (17) mutant alleles. The original Hip1<sup>null</sup> allele was serendipitously generated in an attempt to knock the human HIP1/PDGFBR fusion cDNA into the mouse Hip1 genomic locus (16). Using this null allele, we previously reported that HIP1 deficiency leads to a complex degenerative mouse phenotype (16) and impaired tumor progression in TRAMP mice (23). Because of the complex structure of this original allele and the resultant multitissue phenotype, we generated a conditional Hip1 mutant allele (*Hip1<sup>loxp</sup>*). To do this, we generated a targeting vector to introduce loxP sites flanking Hip1 exons 3 to 5, which encode a significant portion (80%) of the ANTH domain. As predicted, Cremediated recombination of these loxP sites resulted in the deletion of exons 3 to 5, as well as the neomycin selection cassette (16). The resulting allele ( $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5}$ ) contains not only a deletion of most of the ANTH domain sequences but also a frame-shifted, nonsense mutation that fuses exon 2 to exon 6. Protein expressed from this mutant allele is predicted to be a truncated amino terminal 10-kDa protein lacking the ANTH, clathrin-binding, AP2-binding, coiled coil, and Talin homology domains (domains that span the remaining 90% of the coding sequence; Fig. 1).

Previously, mice homozygous for the  $\Delta 3$ -5 allele were found to exhibit degenerative phenotypes similar to the  $Hip1^{null/null}$  mice

(e.g., kypholordosis and testicular degeneration/infertility). However, there were two differences in the phenotypes associated with these mice. First, the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5/\Delta 3-5}$  mice did not have cataracts. Second, the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5/\Delta 3-5}$  mice did not display perinatal lethality (16). These differences suggested that either the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5}$  allele is not a complete null allele or that the serendipitous  $Hip1^{null}$  allele affects neighboring genes and that those effected genes (rather than Hip1) are necessary for embryogenesis and lens homeostasis.

Breast and prostate tumorigenesis is not inhibited in Hip1<sup> $\Delta_{3-5/\Delta_{3-5}}$ </sup> mice. Because HIP1 is known to transform mouse fibroblasts (20) and is overexpressed in multiple human cancers (19), it has been hypothesized to play a role in tumorigenesis. We have previously shown that HIP1 deficiency inhibits prostate tumor progression in TRAMP mice (23). To examine further the involvement of HIP1 in tumor development, we analyzed the effect of HIP1 deficiency on breast tumorigenesis using the MMTV-myc mammary tumor model (24). Because of its less complex structure but similar phenotype to the *Hip1*<sup>null</sup> allele, we have used the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5}$  allele in our subsequent studies of the role of HIP1 in tumorigenesis. MMTV-myc and TRAMP transgenic mice were generated in both the *Hip1* null and  $\Delta$ 3-5 genetic backgrounds. The MMTV-myc mice were sacrificed before or at 12 months of age and analyzed for the appearance and progression of breast tumors (Supplementary Fig. S1). Consistent with our previous results using the TRAMP mice, the HIP1 null background inhibited tumorigenesis induced by the MMTV-myc transgene (23), such that none of the six  $Hip^{null/null}$ :MMTV-myc mice (0%) analyzed developed breast tumors. In contrast, 3 of 16 Hip<sup>+/null</sup>;MMTV-myc mice (18.8 %) analyzed developed breast tumors. This trend supports the hypothesis that HIP1 deficiency inhibits breast tumorigenesis (Fig. 2A).

The use of the  $\Delta 3$ -5 allele (compared with the null allele) to generate a HIP1-deficient background resulted in a different effect on tumorigenesis. For the MMTV-myc mice, 8 of 18  $Hip1^{\Delta 3\cdot 5/\Delta 3\cdot 5}$  mice (44%) and 19 of 37  $Hip1^{+/\Delta 3\cdot 5}$  mice (51%) developed palpable breast tumors (Fig. 2*A*). This level is significantly higher than the 19% breast tumor incidence in MMTV-myc mice with the  $Hip1^{+/null}$  backgrounds and the 0% incidence in the  $Hip1^{null/null}$  background

(Fig. 2*A*). Additionally, we only observed breast tumor metastasis in mice that contained the  $\Delta$ 3-5 allele (Fig. 2*B*). Both multiple synchronous primary breast tumors (Fig. 2*C*) and multiple metastatic foci (Fig. 2*D*) were observed in *Hip1*<sup>+/ $\Delta$ 3-5</sup> and *Hip1*<sup> $\Delta$ 3-5/ $\Delta$ 3-5</sup> mice.

The TRAMP;  $Hip1^{\Delta 3.5}$  mice produced similar results with a smaller cohort of mice available for tumor analysis (Supplementary Fig. S2). We initially planned to compare larger groups of 6-monthold heterozygous and homozygous TRAMP; $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5}$  mice. This plan was based on the results of three independent prior TRAMP experiments, which showed that  $Hip1^{\text{null}/+}$  and  $Hip1^{+/+}$  mice developed prostate cancer at a similar frequency and to a similar extent in the TRAMP background and survived to at least 6 months of age. As expected, when we performed this fourth TRAMP experiment, we observed that 84% (15 of 18) and 93% (14 of 15) of the TRAMP mice that were heterozygous and homozygous for the null allele, respectively, survived 6 months of age (Supplementary Fig. S3A). Unexpectedly, *Hip1*<sup> $\Delta$ 3-5</sup>;TRAMP mice (both heterozygotes and homozygotes) began to die spontaneously by 4 months of age, and only 35% (5 of 14) of the homozygotes and 69% (9 of 13) of the heterozygotes survived to the 6-month point (Supplementary Fig. S3A). This reduction in survival associated with the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5}$ allele was not due to the effects of the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5/\Delta 3-5}$  degenerative phenotype (e.g., kypholordosis, dwarfism, etc.), as it was observed in both the heterozygotes and the homozygotes. In the TRAMP mice that were available for tumor analysis, we found that four of the five surviving homozygous  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5}$  allele-bearing TRAMP mice had gross prostate tumors (80%) and three of those four tumor-bearing mice displayed gross evidence for multiple synchronous primary tumors in different lobes of the prostate (Supplementary Fig. S3B). In comparison, there was no evidence for multiple primary tumors in the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5/+}$  [seven of these nine (75%) mice had prostate cancer],  $Hip I^{null/+}$  [6 of the 18 (33%) mice had prostate cancer], or *Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup> [5 of the 15 (33%) had prostate cancer] TRAMP mice.

A novel cryptic splicing event allows for expression of a large mutant HIP1 protein. The difference in tumor incidence in the  $Hip1^{\text{null/null}}$  mice and the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5/\Delta 3-5}$  mice suggests either



Figure 1. Amino acid sequence of the HIP1 ANTH domain and schematic diagram of the HIP1 domain structure. The majority of the mouse ANTH domain is encoded by exons 3 to 5. The amino acid sequence of the mouse ANTH domain (italicized; refs. 12, 13) and a few additional carboxyl amino acids are shown in the box above the schematic diagram of the full-length protein. A line is drawn through the amino acids that are encoded by exons 3 to 5. Residues (in bold; K, K, KH, K) share homology with AP180 and epsin and are considered to be critical inositol lipid-binding residues. The predicted protein product encoded by the  $\it Hip1^{\Delta3-5}$  allele is 10 kDa and is shown schematically below the full-length HIP1 diagram. The predicted protein product from the Hip1∆3-5insAG cDNA is 106 kDa and is also shown schematically



**Figure 2.** Breast tumorigenesis in the presence of the  $Hip1^{\Delta3-5}$  allele. *A*, MMTV-myc transgenic mice on different  $Hip1^{null}$  and  $Hip1^{\Delta3-5}$  genetic backgrounds were necropsied at 1 y of age or earlier if a tumor ulcerated. Forty-four percent of the Myc+; $Hip1^{\Delta3-5/\Delta3-5}$  mice developed breast tumors compared with none of the Myc+; $Hip1^{null/null}$  mice. Fifty-one percent of the Myc+; $Hip1^{+/\Delta3-5}$  mice developed breast tumors compared with 19% of Myc+; $Hip1^{+/null}$  mice. *B*, none of the Myc+; $Hip1^{+/null}$  mice with tumors (n = 3) had metastatic tumors. Twenty-five percent of Myc+; $Hip1^{\Delta3-5/\Delta3-5}$  mice with tumors (n = 8) and 26% of Myc+; $Hip1^{+/\Delta3-5}$  mice with tumors (n = 19) displayed metastasis of their breast tumors to organs, including the lungs, liver, spleen, and salivary gland. *C*, example of multiple primary breast tumors and lung metastasis in a Myc+; $Hip1^{+/\Delta3-5}$  30-week-old mouse. *D*, example of lung metastasis of a breast tumor in a Myc+; $Hip1^{+/\Delta3-5}$ 

that (a) the original null allele alters the expression of neighboring genes and that it is those genes that influence tumorigenesis or (b)the  $\Delta$ 3-5 allele is not a completely null allele. To investigate these two possibilities, we examined normal and tumor tissues from TRAMP and MMTV-myc mice with the  $\Delta$ 3-5 allele for the expression of polypeptides that react with HIP1-specific antibodies. Extracts from these tissues were analyzed by Western blot for the presence of either full-length or truncated HIP1 protein using a polyclonal rabbit antibody (UM354) specific for the amino-terminal end of the HIP1 protein (Fig. 3A, lanes 5-10). Additionally, tumor tissue was dissociated and cultured so that tumor cells from these mice could be analyzed. Results of this analysis indicated that a truncated protein ~10 kDa smaller than the wild-type HIP1 protein was expressed in the  $Hip1^{\Delta 3-5/\Delta 3-5}$  tumor-derived cultured cells (Fig. 3A, lanes 1 and 2 versus lanes 3 and 4). Extracts derived from the bulk of the tumor, as well as other selected tissues from these mice, showed the expected lack of expression (Fig. 3A, lanes 5-10).

In the construction of the  $\Delta 3$ -5 allele, exons 3 to 5 were deleted not only to disrupt the ANTH domain but also to ensure that the splicing of exon 2 with exon 6 or any of the other exons downstream of exon 6 would result in a frame shift that would lead to premature truncation at the amino-terminal end of the protein. Therefore, the presence of a truncated HIP1 protein in these tumor cells, which is only 10 kDa smaller than the wild-type protein, was quite surprising (Fig. 3*A*, *lanes 3* and *4*). To identify the mRNA that encodes for this truncated HIP1 protein, we performed RT-PCR using total RNA derived from TRAMP and MMTV-myc tumorderived cultured cells. Primers specific to the exon 1 and the exon 14/15 junctions of the HIP1 cDNA sequence (NCBL BLAST accession no. NT\_039314) were used in the initial RT-PCR reaction. We detected a PCR product of the expected size (1.6 kb) in tumorderived cells generated from wild-type mice and from mice heterozygous for the  $\Delta$ 3-5 allele (Fig. 3B, lanes 2, 4, and 6-8, WT arrow). An additional band  $\sim 300$  bp smaller (i.e., 1.3 kb) than expected was observed in both heterozygous and homozygous mice. These bands were excised from the gel and PCR amplified using nested primers, and the products were sequenced. The cDNA sequence for the nested 1.6-kb product was identical to that of the wild-type *Hip1* cDNA. Interestingly, the sequence of the nested 1.3-kb product contained exon 2 fused to exon 6 with a dinucleotide AG insertion in the junction. This dinucleotide insertion put the exon 2/6 fusion in frame (Supplementary Fig. S4) such that it encodes for an only slightly truncated HIP1 protein (Fig. 3C, observed sequence).

To examine further the above-described HIP1 mutant protein, we sequenced the *Hip1* gene from genomic DNA derived from cultured tumor cells. Surprisingly, we did not detect a mutation. However, careful analysis of the genomic sequence revealed two unique findings to explain the aberrant protein and transcript expression. First, we found that intron 2 uses a rare AT-AC U12dependent splicing mechanism (Fig. 3*C*). This type of intron, which represents ~1% of the introns in the mammalian genome (27), consists of 5'AT and 3'AC splice sites. Second, we found that the intron 5 splice acceptor (a typical AG) is immediately preceded by an AC. This ACAG sequence allows for the incorporation of an AG dinucleotide into the coding sequence of the mRNA transcript and serendipitous maintenance of the original reading frame. The predicted size of this mutant protein is 106 kDa (Fig. 1 schematic), which is very similar to the size of the observed protein product (Fig. 2*A*, *lanes 3* and *4*).

Mutant HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG protein expression in embryonic and adult lung and brain tissues. The presence of this AG dinucleotide insertion that placed exons 2 and 6 in frame in both  $\Delta$ 3-5 heterozygous and  $\Delta$ 3-5 homozygous cells led us to reevaluate whether or not Hip1 protein is expressed in normal tissues. We isolated selected normal cells and tissues from wild-type,  $\Delta 3-5$ heterozygous, and  $\Delta$ 3-5 homozygous mice and analyzed them for the presence of the Hip1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG protein product. Using Northern blot analysis of embryonic fibroblast RNA (Fig. 4A), we found that the truncated message was evident in homozygous mice (lane 2) when analyzed beside wild-type RNA (lane 1). Using RT-PCR, we also found that tissue from the brains, lungs, and kidneys of  $\Delta$ 3-5 heterozygous and homozygous mice contained the HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG mRNA (Fig. 4*B*). No PCR product representing the Hip1 $\Delta$ 3-5 mRNA was observed in wild-type mice (Fig. 4B, lanes 3, 6, and 9). We also found that extracts from cultured, early

passage embryonic fibroblasts and embryonic brain contained easily detectable truncated HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG protein product (Supplementary Fig. S5). In contrast, nearly all adult tissues, with the exceptions of the lung and brain (Fig. 4C, lanes 6 and 8), displayed very low levels of HIP1 polypeptide expression (Fig. 4C, lanes 2, 4, and 10; use of an amino-terminal polyclonal antibody UM354). Either the diminished expression or the lack of function of the HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG product could explain why these mice display a degenerative phenotype similar to that of the original homozygous null mice. In addition to the altered expression levels of the HIP1 peptides in various tissues, we noted that the migration of the HIP1 protein in the embryonic brain was quite different from that in the adult brain. Analysis of the Hip1 protein product in embryonic, newborn, preweaned, and adult mice indicated that this differential expression correlates with developmental stage, with the product in the adult brain migrating distinctly slower or comigrating with the wild-type form of HIP1 (Fig. 4D, lanes 2, 4, and 6). The molecular explanation of this striking developmental correlation remains to be determined.

Next, using the original  $Hip1^{\text{loxp/loxp}}$  mice (16), we generated a tissue-specific  $\Delta 3$ -5 recombinant allele. By crossing the  $Hip1^{\text{loxp}}$  mice with mice carrying an IFN inducible Mx1-Cre transgene, we generated mice that expressed the  $\Delta 3$ -5 allele only in cells of the



**Figure 3.** Expression of HIP1 sequences in HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5 mice. *A*, tumors from mice with breast (MMTV-myc) and prostate (TRAMP) cancer were grown in culture and analyzed by Western blot for the presence of HIP1 polypeptides. Results from an MMTV-myc breast tumor sample. *P*, passage number. Even at zero passages (*P0*), the cultured cells from  $\Delta$ 3-5/ $\Delta$ 3-5 tumors expressed a slightly truncated form of HIP1 (*lane 4*). This product was not detected in the bulk tumor tissue, in the normal tissues tested of these mice, or in any cell types derived from mice heterozygous for the  $\Delta$ 3-5 allele (*lanes 5–10*). *B*, RT-PCR of total RNA extracted from  $\Delta$ 3-5 allele–containing tumor-derived cultured cells resulted in the generation of wild-type (*WT*) and mutant ( $\Delta$ 3-5) bands of expected sizes. *C*, partial Hip1 $\Delta$ 3-5 cDNA sequence alignment with wild-type mouse Hip1 cDNA showed an AG dinucleotide insertion between exons 2 and 6 of the Hip1 $\Delta$ 3-5 cDNA sequence. This insertion maintains the open reading frame of the transcript. Tumor cell line genomic DNA contains a recombined AT-AC intron. Genomic DNA isolated from a Myc breast tumor-derived cell line was sequenced in the region where the recombination occurred (*box*). The sequence was compared with wild-type *Hip1* genomic DNA, and no additional mutations were observed. Note the recombinant intron flanked by exons 2 and 6 has a U12-dependent consensus branch point sequence (*italicized*) and a 3'AC dinucleotide (*italicized*) that serves as the cryptic splice site acceptor. This splicing event results in an AG insertion in the transcript. The region sequences in cluding the single loxP site.



**Figure 4.** Expression patterns of the  $\Delta 3$ -5insAG mRNA and its putative protein product. *A*, Northern blot analysis using a probe specific for the 5' end of the mouse Hip1 mRNA (nucleotides 1–1260) showed the presence of a significant amount of a slightly truncated product in the RNA of mouse embryonic fibroblast (*lane 2* versus *lane 1*). *B*, primers specific to the exon 1/2 junction (forward) and the exon 13/14 junction (reverse primer) of murine *Hip1* cDNA were used to amplify the cDNA. The resulting products were separated on a 1.0% agarose gel. Water was used as the negative control (*lane 1*). RNA from a TRAMP prostate cancer cell line generated from the prostate tumor tissue of a mouse that was heterozygous for the *Hip1*<sup> $\Delta 3-5</sup>$  allele was used as a positive control for both the wild-type *Hip1* and *Hip1*<sup> $\Delta 3-5/insAG</sup> mRNA transcripts. ($ *lane 2*). A 1.6-kb band indicates the presence of wild-type*Hip1*mRNA transcripts, whereas a 1.3-kb band indicates the presence of the mutant*Hip1* $<sup><math>\Delta 3-5/insAG</sup> mRNA transcripts. Train, lung, and kidney tissues from wild-type$ *Hip1*mice (+/+) produced the 1.6-kb band, but not a 1.3-kb band (*lanes 3* $, 6, and 9). Similar tissues from heterozygous <math>\Delta 3$ -5 mice ( $\Delta / \lambda$ ; *lanes 5*, 8, and 11). *C*, expression of the truncated product was most prominent in lung tissue, although lesser amounts are detected in all other tissues tested. Interestingly, brain tissue from embryonic brains (described in *D*). Actin blotting was performed using an anti-actin monoclonal antibody (Sigma) as a control. *D*, postnatal day 0 brains (isolated immediately after birth) have HIP1-banding patterns similar to those of embryos. Preweaning stage brains have two distinct HIP1 bands, and the adult brains have a HIP1 band that comigrates with the wild-type band.</sup></sup></sup>

adult hematopoietic system, liver, and kidney after pIpC-mediated induction of Cre expression (26, 28). With these mice, we examined whether the gross phenotype of the germ line  $\Delta$ 3-5 mouse could be recapitulated only in the isolated adult tissues. Interestingly, Western blot analysis of extracts from the spleen, liver, kidney (Fig. 5A), and bone marrow (Fig. 5B) of Mx1-Cre-induced mice showed that the expression of the truncated HIP1 protein was most prominent in the spleen (Fig. 5A, lanes 9-11) and bone marrow (Fig. 5B, lanes 3, 5, 7, and 8) and loss of HIP1 expression altogether was frequently observed in the normal liver (lane 4-6, panel 1) and kidney (Fig. 5A, lanes 9-11, panel 4), but as expected not in brain, heart, eye (Fig. 5A), uterus, ovary, or the gastrointestinal tract (data not shown). It is of interest that the two tissues, liver and kidney, where we observed HIP1 protein deficiency due to a complete recombination event did not display the degenerative phenotype observed in the germ line recombined  $\Delta$ 3-5 mice. We, therefore, conclude that tissue-specific HIP1 deficiency in the liver and kidney does not induce the knockout phenotype. Unfortunately, we could not make this conclusion in the hematopoietic system, as despite repeated attempts to induce IFN with pIpC, the complete recombination of the *Hip1*<sup>loxp</sup> allele in the Mx1-Cre mice was not achieved in hematopoietic tissues (Fig. 5B, lane 7) and, therefore, a significant amount of wild-type Hip1 expression remained in the homozygotes.

These findings also suggest the possibility that the truncated HIP1 protein may be preferentially expressed in dividing cells, including tumorigenic cells. This prediction is supported by the finding that  $\Delta$ 3-5insAG protein was present in the fibroblasts and brains of  $\Delta$ 3-5 mouse embryos at E17.0 day (Fig. 4*C*, *lanes 5*, *6*, *11*, and *12*). Furthermore, we discovered a gross liver tumor in an Mx1-Cre;*Hip1*<sup>loxp/+</sup> mouse (Fig. 5*C*). The tumor from this mouse expressed a truncated  $\Delta$ 3-5 protein product, whereas the surrounding normal liver did not. Additionally, we identified a trend in which the spleen sizes of the *Hip1*-floxed, Mx1-Cre, pIpCtreated mice increased, although frank hematopoietic malignancies were not observed (data not shown).

The HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG protein retains its ability to bind lipids, clathrin, AP2, and EGFR. We have observed some slight phenotypic differences between the  $Hip1^{\Delta_3-5/\Delta_3-5}$  mice and the  $Hip1^{\text{null/null}}$  mice (16). These differences included absence of cataracts or perinatal death in the  $Hip1^{\Delta_3-5/\Delta_3-5}$  mice, increased mortality from tumors in the TRAMP; $Hip1^{\Delta_3-5}$  mice relative to the TRAMP; $Hip1^{\text{null/null}}$  mice (Supplementary Fig. S3*A*), no decrease in breast or prostate tumorigenesis in  $Hip1^{\Delta_3-5}$  allele–bearing backgrounds (although there was a trend toward more tumors; Fig. 2*A*), and a liver tumor in a young, conditional  $Hip1^{\Delta_3-5}$  heterozygous mouse (Fig. 5*C*). Given these differences, we examined whether the Hip1 $\Delta_3$ -5insAG protein product possesses all or a subset of its cellular activities that promote normal and neoplastic cell proliferation/survival by assessing its ability to bind to lipids, endocytic factors, and EGFR.

Because this truncated protein retains its clathrin-binding, AP2-binding, and EGFR-binding regions, we predicted that the

Hip1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG protein product would have the ability to bind these proteins. To test this hypothesis, we expressed the *HIP1* $\Delta$ <sup>3-5/insAG</sup> cDNA in 293T cells, immunoprecipitated the mutant protein with an anti-HIP1 polyclonal antibody (UM323, bleed 8/2002), and immunoblotted the precipitates to determine the presence or absence of the endocytic proteins and EGFR. As expected, the truncated mutant protein-bound EGFR, clathrin, and AP2 (the  $\alpha$  subunit; Fig. 6*A*, *lanes 11* and *15*). In contrast, expression of a human HIP1 mutant cDNA construct that lacks the AP2-binding and clathrin-binding domains did not bind these endocytic proteins (Fig. 6*A*, *lanes 12* and *16*). Also, it should be noted that the interaction between HIP1 and EGFR was apparently enhanced by the deletion of either the sequences encoded for by exons 3 to 5 (Fig. 6*A*, *lanes 11* and *15* compared with *lanes 10* and *14*) or the domains that bind to endocytic proteins (Fig. 6*A*, *lanes 12* and *16*), suggesting that the interaction of HIP1 with EGFR is not indirectly mediated by its binding with clathrin and/ or AP2.

Because 80% of the sequence of the lipid-binding ANTH domain is deleted from the HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG protein (Fig. 1), we predicted that this mutant would not likely retain its lipid-binding activity. Interestingly, most of the key residues that are important for the direct binding of the ANTH domain–containing proteins to PtdIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> were retained in the  $\Delta$ 3-5 protein product (key residues are bold in Fig. 1; refs. 12, 13). However, one key residue (homologous to K76 of epsin) that has been shown to be important in binding to the Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub> head group (13) is deleted in the truncated  $\Delta$ 3-5 protein. To determine whether the  $\Delta$ 3-5 protein product retained its lipid-binding capacity, we examined lipid binding using recombinant GST-HIP1<sup>wt</sup> and GST-HIP1 $\Delta$ <sup>3-5/insAG</sup> fusion proteins. We generated and glutathione-Sepharose purified GST fusion proteins that contained the first 430 and 370 amino



Figure 5. Conditional Cre-mediated recombination of the floxed Hip1 allele leads to either HIP1 deficiency or expression of the truncated product depending on tissue analyzed. A, Mx1-Cre transgenic mice carrying none (+/+; lanes 2 and 7), one (-/+; lanes 3 and 8), or two (-/-; lanes 4-6 and 9-11) of the floxed Hip1 alleles were treated with three injections of plpC at 8 to 12 wk of age and then were retreated at 20 wk of age. Their organs were harvested at 28 to 32 wk of age. Organ extracts were separated on 6% SDS-PAGE and analyzed for HIP1 expression by Western blotting [using the UM354 (1:5,000) antibody]. An extract of a wild-type brain (lane 1) was included as a positive control of HIP1 expression. Near-complete recombination (resulting in the deficiency of HIP1 protein) was observed in liver and kidney, but not in thymus and spleen where residual wild-type HIP1 and truncated HIP1 (putative Δ3-5insAG product) was expressed. As expected, eye, brain, and heart tissue showed no evidence for recombination because Mx1-Cre is not induced in these tissues (26). A small amount of putative  $\Delta$ 3-5insAG truncated product was observed in lung tissue and may be a result of recombination in tissue macrophages, which are abundant in the lung tissue. B, mice were untreated (lanes 1, 2, 9, and 10) or treated at 6 wk of age with plpC (six doses i.p. every other day; lanes 3-6 and 11-14). A small group was treated with plpC again at 20 wk of age (three additional doses every other day; lanes 7, 8, 15, and 16). The mice were sacrificed at 6 mo of age, and their tissues were analyzed for HIP1 expression by Western blot (UM354). Complete deficiency of HIP1 was never observed in bone marrow despite continued plpC injections, whereas complete deficiency of HIP1 was achieved and maintained in the liver without repeated recombination. Interestingly, in the mouse without complete recombination in the liver (lane 14), there was no truncated product in its cultured bone marrow (lane 6). A small amount of the truncated product was observed in cultured bone marrow from some untreated mice (e.g., lane 1), a finding consistent with the possibility that the endogenous production of IFN (i.e., in response to viral infections) can activate Mx1-Cre expression at low levels in mice in the absence of plpC treatment. As a loading control, actin blots were performed on 10% SDS-PAGE using a monoclonal anti-actin antibody (Sigma). C, mice were treated with plpC in two stages: first at 21 wk of age (with six every-other-day doses) and second at 55 wk of age (with six every-other-day doses). Six treated mice (three heterozygous and three homozygous) and five untreated mice were necropsied. At necropsy, a previously undetected liver tumor was discovered in a treated heterozygous mouse, and it was harvested for histologic and protein expression analysis. Increased amounts of truncated HIP1 expression were observed in the tumor tissue compared with surrounding normal liver tissue. Because this was a heterozygous mouse, expression from the wild-type allele was also detected.



with EGFR and/or various HIP1 constructs. Cells were lysed 24 h posttransfection, and polyclonal anti-HIP1 (UM323) and anti-EGFR antibodies were used for immunoprecipitation. The immunoprecipitates were separated on 6% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to nitrocellulose for Western blot analysis using the indicated antibodies. Lanes 1-4, whole-cell lysates; lanes 5-8, preimmune immunoprecipitates; lanes 9-12, anti-HIP1 immunoprecipitates; lanes 13-16, anti-EGFR immunoprecipitates. Note the association of AP2, CHC, and EGFR with HIP1 is preserved despite deletion of sequences encoded by exons 3 to 5. Interestingly, the anti-EGFR antibody did not coprecipitate wild-type HIP1 (top; lane 14) but did coprecipitate the HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5 and HIP1ALD mutant proteins (top; lanes 15 and 16). B, schematic diagrams of GST-5'HIP1 and GST-5'HIP1/A3-5 fusion proteins. Expression of these fusion proteins in *E. coli* BL21 was induced by treatment with 0.1 mmol/L IPTG. The cells were pelleted and lysed, and the fusion proteins were purified from the resulting extracts using glutathione-Sepharose 4 beads. Ten microliters of a 1:10 dilution of the purified GST-5'HIP1 and GST-5'HIP1/  $\Delta$ 3-5 fusion proteins were run on a 10% polyacrylamide gel and immunoblotted with an anti-GST antibody (1:5,000) or an anti-HIP1 antibody (UM354; 1:2,000) to ensure reaction of the proteins by the respective antibodies and to confirm their purity. Similar amounts were run on a separate 10% polyacrylamide gel along with various known concentrations of bovine serum albumin, and the gel was Coomassie stained to estimate the concentrations of the purified fusion proteins. C, protein solutions containing 10 µg of either purified GST-5'HIP1 or GST-5'HIP1/Δ3-5 protein in TBST with 1% milk were incubated with PIP arrays (Echelon) containing various concentrations of different phosphoinositides. As with the PIP strips, both GST-5'HIP1 and GST-5'HIP1/Δ3-5 proteins bound preferentially to PtdIns(3)P. Lipid-protein interactions were detected using a polyclonal anti-GST antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies). No signal was detected using antibody alone.

Figure 6. HIP1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG association with clathrin, AP2, EGFR, and

lipids. A, HEK 293T cells were transfected

acids of the HIP1<sup>wt</sup> and HIP1 $\Delta^{3-5/\text{insAG}}$  proteins, respectively (Fig. 6*B*). The purified proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie stained to quantitate the amount of recombinant protein. The purified recombinant proteins were also analyzed by Western blot to ensure interaction with anti-GST and anti-HIP1

antibodies (Fig. 6*B*). Next, the purified proteins were incubated with Echelon-generated PIP strips to examine whether the fusion proteins were capable of binding lipids. Both the wild-type and the HIP1 $\Delta^{3-5/\text{insAG}}$  mutant fusion proteins bound PtdIns(3)P predominantly (Supplementary Fig. S6). These results were confirmed

using PIP arrays (Echelon), which were used to further examine the differential abilities of these proteins to bind to lipids. Interestingly, these truncated fusion proteins displayed a higher specific binding to PtdIns(3)P than to polyphosphorylated inositol lipids. On the other hand, our previous studies using the full-length HIP1 expressed in 293T cells indicated that its lipid-binding preference was polyphosphorylated 3-phosphoinositides (29). Our contradictory results may be due to either the absence of the carboxyl terminal end of the protein, differences in proteins expressed in bacteria versus mammalian cells, or differences in the materials used in the various assays. Additional biochemical analyses are necessary to clarify this issue. Nevertheless, the specific inositol lipid-binding capacity of the ANTH domain is retained in the Hip1 $\Delta$ 3-5insAG protein.

#### Discussion

We have previously shown that the HIP1 family of proteins are biologically important because both single Hip1 (15, 16) and double Hip1/Hip1r (14) knockout mice have adult degenerative phenotypes and HIP1 protein expression is altered in multiple cancers (19). However, the exact function of this protein family remains unclear, and more detailed cellular and biochemical analyses are needed to validate predicted functions and identify novel ones. In the current study, we describe a novel form of HIP1 that is expressed *in vivo* as the result of a novel cryptic splice event between a 5' AT-AC intron (intron 2) and a 3' GT-AG intron (intron 5). These results are important for both technical and functional reasons. First, it illustrates an unpredicted cryptic splicing event in a previously predicted loss of function gene targeting event, and, second, this type of cellular natural selection may provide clues to the function of HIP1 in normal and neoplastic cells.

The technical aspects of these findings have provided us a very important lesson. The targeting event that we initially designed led to a mutation in the AT-AC intron 2. The rare splicing event that we observed reflects preferential splicing between splice sites of the same class. The identification of rare U12-dependent intron splicing sites or AT-AC introns will be important for all proteins with similar genetic structures (27). This finding has also raised an intriguing possibility that such splicing events might occur in normal cells leading to the expression of unexpected polypeptides. Interestingly, the rare AT-AC intron 2 is conserved in both the human and mouse *Hip1* genes. This observation is consistent with

previous reports that U12-type introns are usually conserved phylogenetically (30). It has been reported previously that genes with nonredundant, crucial cellular activities may have strong selective evolutionary pressure against the conversion of U12 to U2 type introns and, therefore, have retained them. HIP1 supports this assertion as HIP1 clearly has nonredundant, crucial activities as evidenced by the fact that its deletion in mice leads to dramatic adult phenotypes (14–16).

In terms of HIP1 function, it is evident that the expression of the truncated mutant protein in adult lung and brain tissue does not prevent the adult degenerative phenotypes, such as kypholordosis or testicular degeneration. The discovery here of concomitant expression of HIP1 sequences in the Hip1 $\Delta$ 3-5 homozygous mice and the degenerative phenotype suggests that this mutant protein may not be completely functional in normal cells. In contrast, the presence of the truncated mutant protein in tumor cells may explain why tumorigenesis is not inhibited by the homozygosity of this allele. This proposal is supported by the finding that this mutant protein retains some of its functions (i.e., the ability to bind to lipids, clathrin, AP2, and EGFR). These findings illustrate a prototypical example that provides insights into how mouse modeling may lead to insertion mutations and unpredicted active protein products.

The maintenance of AP2-binding, CHC-binding, EGFR-binding, and lipid-binding functions in the truncated mutant HIP1 protein suggests that another HIP1 cellular function must contribute to the gross phenotype of the mutant mice. This unknown function likely explains why we were unable to identify endocytic defects in either of the mutant mouse systems. Future studies are required to understand the molecular modifications and sequences of the various forms of HIP1 that are expressed in different tissues at different times during mammalian development and how these different forms affect HIP1 functions.

## Acknowledgments

Received 10/16/2007; revised 12/4/2007; accepted 12/14/2007.

Grant support: Department of Defense Idea BC031604, National Cancer Institute grants R01 CA82363-03 and R01 CA098730-01, and Susan B. Komen Foundation predoctoral award (S.V. Bradley).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

T.S. Ross is a Leukemia and Lymphoma Society Scholar.

We thank Dr. Miriam Meisler and the Ross laboratory members for their constructive, intellectual input and for the critical review of this manuscript.

#### References

- Kalchman MA, Koide HB, McCutcheon K, et al. HIP1, a human homologue of *S. cerevisiae* Sla2p, interacts with membrane-associated huntingtin in the brain. Nat Genet 1997;16:44–53.
- Wanker EE, Rovira C, Scherzinger E, et al. HIP-I: a huntingtin interacting protein isolated by the yeast twohybrid system. Hum Mol Genet 1997;6:487–95.
- **3.** Engqvist-Goldstein AE, Kessels MM, Chopra VS, Hayden MR, Drubin DG. An actin-binding protein of the Sla2/Huntingtin interacting protein 1 family is a novel component of clathrin-coated pits and vesicles. J Cell Biol 1999;147:1503–18.
- Engqvist-Goldstein AE, Warren RA, Kessels MM, Keen JH, Heuser J, Drubin DG. The actin-binding protein Hip1R associates with clathrin during early stages of endocytosis and promotes clathrin assembly *in vitro*. I Cell Biol 2001:154:1209–23.
- 5. Hackam AS, Yassa AS, Singaraja R, et al. Huntingtin

interacting protein 1 induces apoptosis via a novel caspase-dependent death effector domain. J Biol Chem 2000;275:41299–308.

- Legendre-Guillemin V, Metzler M, Charbonneau M, et al. HIP1 and HIP12 display differential binding to F-actin, AP2. and clathrin: identification of a novel interaction
- A. J. and Chaim. I Biol Chem 2002;277:19897-004.
  Metzler M, Legendre-Guillemin V, Gan L, et al. HIP1 functions in clathrin-mediated endocytosis through binding to clathrin and adaptor protein 2. J Biol Chem 2001;276:39271-6.
- Mishra SK, Agostinelli NR, Brett TJ, Mizukami I, Ross TS, Traub LM. Clathrin- and AP-2-binding sites in HIP1 uncover a general assembly role for endocytic accessory proteins. J Biol Chem 2001;276:46230–6.
- **9.** Rao DS, Chang JC, Kumar PD, et al. Huntingtin interacting protein 1 Is a clathrin coat binding protein required for differentiation of late spermatogenic progenitors. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:7796–806.

10. Waelter S, Scherzinger E, Hasenbank R, et al. The

huntingtin interacting protein HIP1 is a clathrin and  $\alpha$ adaptin-binding protein involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis. Hum Mol Genet 2001;10:1807–17.

- **11.** Bradley SV, Holland EC, Liu GY, Thomas D, Hyun TS, Ross TS. Huntingtin interacting protein 1 is a novel brain tumor marker that associates with epidermal growth factor receptor. Cancer Res 2007;67:3609–15.
- Ford MG, Pearse BM, Higgins MK, et al. Simultaneous binding of PtdIns(4, 5)P2 and clathrin by AP180 in the nucleation of clathrin lattices on membranes. Science 2001;291:1051–5.
- 13. Itoh T, Koshiba S, Kigawa T, Kikuchi A, Yokoyama S, Takenawa T. Role of the ENTH domain in phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate binding and endocytosis. Science 2001;291:1047–51.
- 14. Bradley SV, Hyun TS, Oravecz-Wilson KI, et al. Degenerative phenotypes caused by the combined deficiency of murine HIP1 and HIP1r are rescued by human HIP1. Hum Mol Genet 2007;16:1279–92.
- 15. Metzler M, Li B, Gan L, et al. Disruption of the

endocytic protein HIP1 results in neurological deficits and decreased AMPA receptor trafficking. EMBO J 2003; 22:3254–66.

- 16. Oravecz-Wilson KI, Kiel MJ, Li L, et al. Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1 mutations lead to abnormal hematopoiesis, spinal defects and cataracts. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13:851–67.
- 17. Hyun TS, Li L, Oravecz-Wilson KI, et al. Hip1related mutant mice grow and develop normally but have accelerated spinal abnormalities and dwarfism in the absence of HIP1. Mol Cell Biol 2004;24: 4329–40.
- 18. Ross TS, Bernard OA, Berger R, Gilliland DG. Fusion of Huntingtin interacting protein 1 to platelet-derived growth factor β receptor (PDGFβR) in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia with t(5;7)(q33;q11.2). Blood 1998; 91:4419–26.
- **19.** Rao DS, Hyun TS, Kumar PD, et al. Huntingtininteracting protein 1 is overexpressed in prostate and

colon cancer and is critical for cellular survival. J Clin Invest 2002;110:351–60.

- **20.** Rao DS, Bradley SV, Kumar PD, et al. Altered receptor trafficking in Huntingtin interacting protein 1-transformed cells. Cancer Cell 2003;3:471–82.
- **21.** Bradley SV, Smith MR, Hyun TS, et al. Aberrant HIP1 in Lymphoid Malignancies. Cancer Res 2007;67:8923–31.
- **22.** Greenberg NM, DeMayo F, Finegold MJ, et al. Prostate cancer in a transgenic mouse. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1995;92:3439–43.
- **23.** Bradley SV, Oravecz-Wilson KI, Bougeard G, et al. Serum antibodies to huntingtin interacting protein-1: a new blood test for prostate cancer. Cancer Res 2005;65: 4126–33.
- 24. Leder A, Pattengale PK, Kuo A, Stewart TA, Leder P. Consequences of widespread deregulation of the c-myc gene in transgenic mice: multiple neoplasms and normal development. Cell 1986;45:485–95.
- 25. Higuchi M, O'Brien D, Kumaravelu P, Lenny N, Yeoh

EJ, Downing JR. Expression of a conditional AML1-ETO oncogene bypasses embryonic lethality and establishes a murine model of human t(8;21) acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Cell 2002;1:63–74.

- **26.** Kuhn R, Schwenk F, Aguet M, Rajewsky K. Inducible gene targeting in mice. Science 1995;269:1427–9.
- Patel AA, Steitz JA. Splicing double: insights from the second spliceosome. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2003;4: 960–70.
- 28. Gu H, Marth JD, Orban PC, Mossmann H, Rajewsky K. Deletion of a DNA polymerase  $\beta$  gene segment in T cells using cell type-specific gene targeting. Science 1994;265:103–6.
- 29. Hyun TS, Rao DS, Saint-Dic D, et al. HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize receptor tyrosine kinases and bind 3-phosphoinositides via epsin N-terminal homology domains. J Biol Chem 2004;279:14294–306.
- **30.** Burge CB, Padgett RA, Sharp PA. Evolutionary fates and origins of U12-type introns. Mol Cell 1998;2:773–85.

# Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1 Is a Novel Brain Tumor Marker that Associates with Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor

Sarah V. Bradley,<sup>1</sup> Eric C. Holland,<sup>2</sup> Grace Y. Liu,<sup>1</sup> Dafydd Thomas,<sup>1</sup> Teresa S. Hyun,<sup>1</sup> and Theodora S. Ross<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan and <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery (Neurosurgery), Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York

# Abstract

Huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) is a multidomain oncoprotein whose expression correlates with increased epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) levels in certain tumors. For example, HIP1-transformed fibroblasts and HIP1positive breast cancers have elevated EGFR protein levels. The combined association of HIP1 with huntingtin, the protein that is mutated in Huntington's disease, and the known overexpression of EGFR in glial brain tumors prompted us to explore HIP1 expression in a group of patients with different types of brain cancer. We report here that HIP1 is overexpressed with high frequency in brain cancers and that this overexpression correlates with EGFR and platelet-derived growth factor  $\beta$  receptor expression. Furthermore, serum samples from patients with brain cancer contained anti-HIP1 antibodies more frequently than age-matched brain cancerfree controls. Finally, we report that HIP1 physically associates with EGFR and that this association is independent of the lipid, clathrin, and actin interacting domains of HIP1. These findings suggest that HIP1 may up-regulate or maintain EGFR overexpression in primary brain tumors by directly interacting with the receptor. This novel HIP1-EGFR interaction may work with or independent of HIP1 modulation of EGFR degradation via clathrin-mediated membrane trafficking pathways. Further investigation of HIP1 function in brain cancer biology and validation of its use as a prognostic or predictive brain tumor marker are now warranted. [Cancer Res 2007;67(8):3609-15]

#### Introduction

Gliomas are the most common primary tumor of the central nervous system (1) and one of the most common molecular defects in these tumors is overexpression/mutation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; refs. 2–5). In fact, because of the high frequency of EGFR abnormalities in glioblastoma multiforme, EGFR small-molecule inhibitors (gefitinib/Iressa and erlotinib/

©2007 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4803

Tarceva) are currently undergoing clinical trials in humans (6–9). A clear understanding of the novel pathways that specifically modulate EGFR signaling in brain tumors will be critical when evaluating or devising better brain tumor therapies.

Huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) and its only known mammalian relative HIP1-related (HIP1r) are clathrin- and inositol lipid-binding proteins that may be involved in neurodegeneration based on the finding that HIP1 interacts with huntingtin, the protein mutated in Huntington's disease (10, 11). HIP1 was first associated with cancer when it was identified as part of the oncogenic HIP1/platelet-derived growth factor B receptor (PDGF $\beta$ R) fusion protein that resulted from a t(5;7) chromosomal translocation in a patient with chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (12). Subsequent studies have strengthened the role of HIP1 in tumorigenesis by showing HIP1 overexpression in multiple human epithelial tumors including prostate, colon, and breast cancers (13, 14) and that anti-HIP1 antibodies predict the presence of prostate cancer (15). In addition, HIP1 overexpression transforms fibroblasts, and this transformation is associated with altered receptor trafficking and elevated EGFR levels (14). HIP1 is the first clathrin-binding protein directly implicated in human cancer biology, and the dysregulation of growth factor receptor endocytosis provides a novel pathway to pursue for potential cancer therapy targeting (16).

Although HIP1 is thought to function in clathrin-mediated membrane trafficking and its overexpression alters the degradation of growth factor receptors (17) such as EGFR, the precise role(s) of HIP1 in endocytic, actin, lipid, protein degradation, and other undiscovered pathways remain to be defined. We do know that HIP1 family members associate with proteins that are required for endocytosis (e.g., clathrin and AP2; refs. 18-23) and that HIP1 knockdown in HeLa cells inhibits the uptake of transferrin (24). In contrast, the deletion of mouse HIP1 and HIP1r in vivo does not completely disrupt clathrin-mediated endocytosis despite the fact that the HIP1/HIP1r-deficient mice display severe degenerative defects and premature death in early adulthood.<sup>3</sup> This surprising in vivo data indicates either that HIP1 has additional roles in the cell or that other proteins may partially compensate for HIP1/ HIP1r function. Indeed, HIP1 has been shown to interact with the androgen receptor (25) as well as with the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor (26). HIP1 and HIP1r also prolong the half-life of both PDGFBR and EGFR on ligand stimulation (17). These diverse effects on distinct types of receptors suggest that HIP1 may affect pathways that are distinct from clathrin-mediated trafficking.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

S.V. Bradley participated in the design, execution, and interpretation of all of the experiments. E.C. Holland provided sera from brain tumor patients and interpreted the results from the serum experiments. G.Y. Liu obtained data that documented endogenous EGFR association with HIP1. D. Thomas generated and stained the brain tissue microarrays. T.S. Hyun scored the brain tumor arrays for HIP1, PDGF $\beta$ R, and EGFR expression. T.S. Ross wrote the manuscript with S.V. Bradley and participated in the design and interpretation of all described experiments.

Requests for reprints: Theodora S. Ross, University of Michigan, 6322 CCGC, 1500 East Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-0942. Phone: 734-615-5509; E-mail: tsross@umich.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S.V. Bradley, T.S. Hyun, K.I. Oravecz-Wilson, et al. Degenerative phenotypes caused by the combined deficiency of murine HIP1 and HIP1r are rescued by human HIP1, submitted for publication.



Figure 1. HIP1 expression in brain tumor tissue. Tissue microarrays constructed from normal and neoplastic brain tissues were stained for HIP1 using the human monoclonal antibody HIP1/4B10. Multiple spots from each tumor were scored as follows: 0 (no staining), 1+ (low staining), 2+ (intermediate staining), and 3+ (high staining) by at least two independent observers. An overall positive score was assigned when the average score between reads was  $\geq$ 2. A, glioblastoma multiforme (Glioblastoma) with all tumor cells 3+ for HIP1 staining; glioblastoma multiforme with 50% of the tumor cells 3+ for HIP1 staining; pilocytic astrocytoma 3+ for HIP1 staining; and oligodendroglioma with 1+ low staining for HIP1 except for the endothelial cells of blood vessels. B, EGFR-positive and HIP1 3+ high-grade glioblastoma multiforme. C, EGFR-negative. HIP1-negative glioblastoma multiforme. D PDGEBB-positive HIP1-positive EGFR-positive, and HER3-negative glioblastoma multiforme.

The interaction of HIP1 with huntingtin (10, 11), the protein mutated in Huntington's disease, together with its interaction with the AMPA receptor (26), suggests that HIP1 may play a role in neurobiology. Furthermore, HIP1 expression is enriched in the periventricular germinal zones of the developing mouse brain, as well as in neurospheres, both of which are composed of neural progenitor cells (27). This expression in normal progenitors, together with the fact that HIP1 was previously shown to be expressed in brain tumors from a small group of brain cancer patients (13), supports the idea that brain tumor cells may use mechanisms that normal progenitors use for survival and proliferation. In addition, because HIP1 overexpression is associated with EGFR overexpression and EGFR and PDGF $\beta$ R are overexpressed in brain cancers (28, 29), it was logical to characterize HIP1 protein levels in brain tumor tissue. We examined the expression of HIP1 in neoplastic brain tissues from a large cohort of patients (n = 78), as well as in normal brain tissues from control individuals (n = 75; warm autopsies). We also examined the expression of PDGFBR and EGFR in neoplastic brain tissues from a group of glioblastoma patients (n = 28) and compared it with levels of HIP1 expression. In addition, we show for the first time that HIP1 and HIP1r physically interact with EGFR and discovered that these interactions do not depend on the binding of HIP1 family members to lipid, clathrin, or actin.

# Materials and Methods

**Coimmunoprecipitation of EGFR with the HIP1 family.** Full-length and mutant EGFR, HIP1, and HIP1r cDNA constructs in pcDNA3 have previously been described (13, 17). A 10-cm dish of 70% confluent 293T cells was transfected with 20 µg of total DNA. Thirteen hours after transfection, the cells were lysed using an all-purpose lysis buffer [50 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1.5 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mmol/L EGTA, 10% glycerol, Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets (Roche), 30 mmol/L sodium pyrophosphate, 50 mmol/L sodium fluoride, and 100 µmol/L sodium orthovandate]. One milligram of protein was incubated with preimmune serum, polyclonal anti-HIP1 specific serum, UM323 (8/7/2000 bleed), or anti-HIP1r specific serum, UM374 (2/18/2002 bleed) overnight at 4°C. One hundred microliters of a 50:50 slurry of protein G-sepharose beads and lysis buffer were then incubated with the lysate-antibody mixture for 30 min, with rotation, at room temperature. The protein G pellets were washed four times with 1 mL of lysis buffer. The entire pellet was dissolved in 20- $\mu$ L SDS sample buffer, boiled for 5 min, separated on 7% SDS-PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose. Antibodies used for Western blot analysis were the HIP1/4B10 antibody (monoclonal, human anti-HIP1 immunoglobulin G, 400 ng/mL) and an anti-EGFR antibody (sheep polyclonal antibody, Upstate Biotechnology, Charlottesville, VA; 1:100).

**Patient sera samples.** Sera from "normal" age-matched controls and patients with brain, skin (melanoma), ovarian, and colon cancers were obtained from the following institutions: Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (brain), The University of Michigan (controls, melanoma, colon), and the Mayo Clinic (ovarian). The overall ages and gender distribution of the individuals from whom sera were derived are shown in Table 2.

**Brain tissue samples.** Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue blocks of high-grade brain tumors (WHO grades 3 and 4) were obtained from the files of the Department of Pathology, University of Michigan Medical Center (Ann Arbor, MI). Institutional Review Board approval was obtained and the diagnosis was confirmed by morphology. The diagnosis of high-grade glial tumors (anaplastic astrocytoma or oligodendroglioma and glioblastoma multiforme) was defined according to the recently published WHO criteria (2005). After pathologic review, a "high-grade brain tumor" tissue microarray was constructed from glioblastoma multiforme patients using the methods of Nocito et al. (30). Other brain tumor tissues, including oligodendroglioma, pilocytic astrocytoma, ependyoma, gliosis, glioma, medulloblastoma, and peripheral nerve sheath tumors, were screened using a previously generated brain cancer array (referred to as TMA132).

Normal brain samples were derived from men that were diagnosed with prostate cancer and underwent warm autopsy as part of the University of Michigan prostate cancer Specialized Program of Research Excellence program (n = 44) from the Research Genetics normal human array (n = 21), from the TMA132 brain cancer array (n = 7), or from the high-grade brain tumor array (n = 3).

Immunohistochemical staining for HIP1. Immunohistochemical staining was done on DAKO Autostainer (DAKO, Carpinteria, CA) using DAKO LSAB+ and diaminobenzidine as the chromogen. Deparaffinized sections of formalin-fixed tissue at 5-µm thickness were stained for HIP1 (mouse monoclonal antibody, 4B10; 1:10,000, ascites), EGFR, PDGF $\beta$ R, and HER2/neu levels (DAKO) after microwave citric acid epitope retrieval. Appropriate negative (no primary antibody) and positive controls (prostate carcinoma) were stained in parallel with each set of tumors studied.

Table 1. HIP1 expression in normal and neoplastic tissue samples				
Tissue sample category	Positive	Negative	Frequency	PLR*
Normal brain tissue $(n = 75)$	21	54	0.28	
All brain tumors <sup>†</sup> ( $n = 78$ total, 54 glial)	49	29	0.63 <sup>+</sup>	2.2
Glioma <sup>†</sup> $(n = 7)$	7	0	1.00 <sup>+</sup>	3.6
Oligodendroglioma <sup><math>\ddagger</math></sup> ( $n = 9$ )	7	2	0.78 <sup>‡</sup>	2.8
$\text{GBM}^{\dagger}$ $(n = 38)$	27	11	0.71 <sup>+</sup>	2.5
EGFR-positive GBM $(n = 14)$	9	3	0.79	2.8
PDGF $\beta$ R-positive GBM ( $n = 6$ )	6	0	1.00	3.6
EGFR- or PDGF $\beta$ R-positive GBM <sup>§</sup> ( $n = 17$ )	14	3	$0.82^{8}$	2.9
EGFR- and PDGF $\beta$ R-negative GBM ( $n = 11$ )	5	6	0.45	1.6

Abbreviation: GBM, glioblastoma multiforme.

\* PLR (positive likelihood ratio) = sensitivity / (1 - specificity).

 $^\dagger P \leq 0.001,$  compared with HIP1 staining in normal brain tissue.

 $\ddagger P \leq 0.01,$  compared with HIP1 staining in normal brain tissue.

 $^{\S}P \leq 0.05,$  compared with EGFR- and PDGF βR-negative glioblastoma multiforme.

# Results

HIP1 is overexpressed in primary brain tumors. Tissue microarrays with 75 normal cortical brain tissue samples and 78 brain cancer tissue samples were stained for HIP1 and spots were scored as positive or negative (score <2) for HIP1 expression. For example, Fig. 1A displays a glioblastoma multiforme with 3+ positive HIP1 stain; a glioblastoma multiforme with a 2+ positive HIP1 stain; a pilocytic astrocytoma with a 3+ positive HIP1 stain; and an oligodendroglioma with a 1+ negative stain limited to the tumor vasculature. Overall, the frequency of HIP1 expression was significantly higher in primary brain tumor tissue than in normal cortical brain tissue (Table 1; 63% versus 28%; P < 0.001, Pearson  $\chi^2$ ). Common glial tumors (low- to high-grade gliomas, oligodendrogliomas, and glioblastoma multiformes) tended to express HIP1 more frequently than less common brain tumor types such as ependymoma, medulloblastoma, pilocytic astrocytoma, and peripheral nerve sheath tumors (Supplementary Table S1). Of note, the staining pattern for the high-grade brain tumors differed from normal tissue in that it was not concentrated in the tumor blood vessel endothelium but rather stained the actual tumor cells. This staining was quite different from previous staining patterns in

normal brain tissue, indicating that the high level of HIP1 in the nonneoplastic brain was mainly due to its high level in the central nervous system blood vessel endothelium (13).

Increased frequency of anti-HIP1 antibodies in sera from brain cancer patients. Anti-HIP1 antibodies were present at a higher frequency in prostate cancer patients compared with agematched male controls, presumably as a result of HIP1 overexpression in patient prostate cancer tissues (15). Because glial brain tumors express higher levels of HIP1 than normal brain tissue, sera from a group of 40 patients with glioblastomas (n = 30) or oligodendrogliomas (n = 10) were analyzed for the presence of anti-HIP1 antibodies (Table 2; Fig. 2). Ninety-three percent of the serum samples from this cohort of glioma patients were positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies compared with 38% of normal individuals (P <0.001). Twenty-seven of 30 (90%) of the glioblastoma multiforme serum samples were positive for HIP1 antibodies (P < 0.001, significant difference compared with controls; Table 2), and all 10 (100%) of the oligodendroglioma serum samples were positive for HIP1 antibodies (P < 0.001; Table 2). Additionally, glioblastoma multiforme and oligodendroglioma sera displayed similar actual antibody titers (Fig. 2). In comparison, the frequencies of HIP1

Sera category	Positive	Negative	Frequency	PLR*	Age ( $\pm$ SD), y	Male (%
Normal controls	23	37	0.38		$55 \pm 14$	44
Brain cancer <sup>†</sup>	37	3	0.93 <sup>+</sup>	2.4	$51 \pm 13$	43
Glioblastoma <sup>†</sup>	27	3	0.90 <sup>+</sup>	2.3	$54 \pm 12$	44
Oligodendroglioma <sup>†</sup>	10	0	$1.0$ $^{\dagger}$	2.6	$42 \pm 9$	40
Melanoma	32	43	0.43	1.1	$54 \pm 15$	56
Ovarian cancer	7	18	0.28	0.7	$59 \pm 13$	0
Colon cancer	9	15	0.38	1.0	$68 \pm 10$	58

<sup>†</sup>  $P \leq 0.001$ , compared with normal control sera.



Figure 2. Increased frequency of anti-HIP1 antibodies in sera from human brain cancer patients. Significantly more patients with brain cancer were positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies in their sera, compared with the sera of normal healthy control patients (P < 0.001), as measured by ELISA (15). An ELISA value >1, compared with the negative control, was considered positive. Sixty age-matched control sera samples were compared with sera from 30 glioblastoma multiforme and 10 oligodendroglioma patients. Sera from patients with melanoma, ovarian cancer, or colon cancer were not significantly more frequently positive than sera from control individuals without a cancer diagnosis. Horizontal line, median value for each group.

antibody positive tests were similar in sera from patients with melanoma, ovarian cancer, colon cancer, and control individuals (Table 2; Fig. 2). Other than in the ovarian cancer group, males and females were equally distributed in the different cancer groups and the presence of HIP1 antibodies did not differ between genders. These data suggest that increased anti-HIP1 antibodies is specific to only a subset of cancers with HIP1 overexpression, such as brain (this study) and prostate cancer (15) patients.

HIP1 overexpression in brain tumors correlates with growth factor receptor expression in brain cancer. EGFR, PDGFBR, and HER3 are established brain tumor markers (28, 29). Taken together with the fact that HIP1 increases levels of both EGFR and PDGF  $\beta R$ in cultured cells (17), these observations suggest that HIP1 expression may correlate with growth factor receptor overexpression in the brain tumors. To test this, glioblastomas were stained for HIP1, EGFR, PDGFBR, and HER3 expression (Table 1). HIP1 staining frequently correlated with EGFR staining (Fig. 1B-D). Overall, 14 (79%), 6 (21%), and 3 (11%) glioblastomas from this high-grade brain tumor microarray expressed EGFR, PDGFBR, and/or HER3, respectively (Fig. 1D). HIP1 overexpression was also observed in 11 of the 14 (79%) EGFR positive glioblastomas (Table 1, row 6). This was significantly different from normal tissue in which only 28% expressed HIP1 (Table 1, row 1). All (100%) of the PDGF $\beta$ R positive glioblastomas expressed HIP1 (Table 1, row 7). In contrast, only one of three HER3-positive glioblastomas showed concomitant HIP1 expression (data not shown). The frequency of HIP1 expression was significantly higher (82%) in tumors that were either EGFR or PDGF $\beta$ R positive (Table 1, row 8) than in EGFR- and PDGF $\beta$ Rnegative tumors (45%; Table 1, row 8 versus row 9; P < 0.05). These data indicated that EGFR and PDGFBR expression in glioblastomas correlates with HIP1 overexpression. The concomitant overexpression of HIP1 and growth factor receptors in primary neoplastic tissue samples could be the result of altered receptor trafficking or degradation mediated by HIP1. Another possibility is that HIP1 interacts directly with receptors and that a posttranslational interaction increases the overall levels, activation, sensitivity, or localization of the growth factor receptors. Therefore, we tested whether HIP1 directly interacts with growth factor receptors, such as EGFR.

**HIP1 associates with EGFR.** Because HIP1 overexpression in glioblastoma correlated with EGFR overexpression and because HIP1 previously was shown to bind to clathrin and AP2, which are fundamental components of growth factor receptor endocytosis, we tested if there was a physical association of HIP1 with EGFR. We also evaluated whether this association depended on binding to lipids, clathrin, or actin. First, the association of HIP1 with endogenous EGFR in the liver of a human HIP1 transgenic mouse<sup>3</sup> was tested (Fig. 3*A*). A small reproducible fraction of the total endogenous EGFR indeed was coimmunoprecipitated with HIP1 (*lane 2*). Although this indicated that EGFR is found in a complex with HIP1, it is possible that this interaction is indirect, mediated via the known interaction of HIP1 with clathrin (20–23).

HIP1 family association with EGFR is independent of lipid-, clathrin-, and actin-binding domains. To determine whether the physical association of HIP1 with EGFR required the clathrin-, lipid-, or actin-binding activity of HIP1, human 293T cells, which express low endogenous levels of endogenous EGFR and HIP1/ HIP1r, were cotransfected with various HIP1 or HIP1r wild-type or mutant cDNAs. These mutants were differentially capable of lipid, clathrin, or actin interactions (13, 17) together with the fulllength EGFR cDNA. Transfected cells were lysed and extracts were immunoprecipitated with polyclonal antibodies against either human HIP1 or human HIP1r, and then blotted for EGFR. Because the levels of transiently transfected proteins are orders of magnitude higher than any endogenous HIP1, HIP1r, or EGFR, we reasoned that this would serve as a pseudo-in vitro assay for association of various mutants with EGFR. As expected from the in vivo data in Fig. 3A, both full-length HIP1 (Fig. 3B, lane 3) and full-length HIP1r (Fig. 3D, lanes 4 and 5) reproducibly associated with EGFR protein.

Using this *in vitro* assay, several HIP1 and HIP1r mutants then were tested for their ability to associate with EGFR. For example, as we expected, the  $\Delta$ ANTH lipid-binding domain deletion mutants of HIP1 and HIP1r still associated with EGFR [Fig. 3B (*lane 4*) and D (*lanes 7* and 8)]. Less expected was the fact that the HIP1  $\Delta$ LD and HIP1r " $\Delta$ 153-632" deletion mutants that do not bind clathrin via the classic LMD (13) or coiled-coil domains

(17), respectively, retained the ability to interact with EGFR [Fig. 3C (lane 9) and D (lane 6)]. Finally, the HIP1 and HIP1r talin homology domain deletion mutants,  $\Delta TH$ , also retained the capacity to bind EGFR [Fig. 3C (lane 10) and D (lanes 7 and 8)]. These data indicated that lipid, clathrin, and actin binding are not required for members of the HIP1 family to associate with EGFR. These data also indicated that the region of HIP1 required for interaction with EGFR lies between amino acids 381 and 814 of human HIP1 (Fig. 4A), and that the region of HIP1r required for interaction with EGFR lies between amino acids 633 and 822 of human HIP1r (Fig. 4B). This region of HIP1r does not include the coiled-coil or leucine zipper and, although very homologous (70%) with HIP1, does not encode known consensus sequences. Interestingly, previous data indicated that amino acids 690 to 752 of HIP1 were necessary for the transforming activity of the leukemogenic HIP1/PDGFBR fusion protein (Fig. 4A, horizontal striped zone) and that these amino acids do not include the HIP1 coiled-coil or leucine zipper (31). Thus, the interaction of this HIP1 sequence with growth factor receptors may contribute to the mechanisms of transformation by both overexpressed HIP1 as well as the HIP1/PDGF $\beta$ R fusion protein. Finally, the ability of HIP1 and HIP1r to associate with EGFR independent of their AP2-, clathrin-, and actin-binding domains suggests that HIP1 and HIP1r

directly interact with EGFR. Thus, activities of HIP1, in addition to its role in endocytosis, may contribute to tumorigenesis.

# Discussion

Many different molecular changes have been identified and used in human and mouse glial brain tumors, including EGFR overexpression, *Tp53* mutation, *INK4a/b* gene deletion, and *PTEN* mutation (32). Interestingly, although EGFR overexpression has not been clearly prognostic in high-grade brain tumor-bearing patients, it has been predictive in some studies. For example, EGFR-positive anaplastic astrocytoma patients more frequently display a clinical course similar to that of glioblastoma multiforme patients (33). Therefore, EGFR overexpression may be a surrogate for other more direct changes. Additional markers of prognosis, prediction, and dissection of glial cancer biology are sorely needed.

Several candidate brain tumor genes can modulate EGFR and should be investigated as both targets and markers. HIP1 is included in this group for the following reasons. HIP1 is overexpressed in a variety of solid tumors (13); HIP1 overexpression transforms fibroblasts and this transformation is associated with EGFR up-regulation (14); HIP1 posttranslationally stabilizes EGFR (17); HIP1 binds to endocytic factors and thus could define a novel



**Figure 3.** Association of HIP1 family members with EGFR independent of their lipid, clathrin, AP2, or actin interacting domains. *A*, endogenous EGFR associates with HIP1. Liver extracts from HIP1 transgenic mice were analyzed for an endogenous association between HIP1 and EGFR because the levels of EGFR in murine liver were higher than in other tissues, and human HIP1 was expressed at high enough levels to detect the endogenous interaction. Liver extract (4.9 mg) derived from a transgenic mouse that expressed human HIP1 in the liver was immunoprecipitated with either preimmune sera (*lane 1*) or UM323, a polyclonal antibody specific to the COOH-terminal end of HIP1 (*lane 2*), separated by 6% SDS-PAGE and blotted for HIP1 (monoclonal HIP1/4B10) and EGFR (sheep polyclonal, Upstate Biotechnology). *B*, association of HIP1 and the lipid-binding deletion mutant of HIP1 (HIP1/ΔANTH) with EGFR *in vitro*. Ten-centimeter dishes of 70% confluent 293T cells were transfected with 20 µg of total empty vector, EGFR, and HIP1 and SQR, UM323, or a preimmune bleed from the same rabbit as a negative control. Immunoprecipitates then were blotted for EGFR independent of clathrin or actin binding. Immunoprecipitation of transfected 293T cells, as described in (*B*), indicated that association of HIP1 with EGFR was dependent on transfected HIP1 (*lane 6*) but independent of clathrin/AP2 (ΔLD, *lane 9*) and actin (ΔTH, *lane 10*) interacting domains, as well as the lipid interacting ANTH domain. *D*, HIP1r associates with EGFR independent of fipid, clathrin, or actin binding. Immunoprecipitation of the HIP1 relation (Δ153, *lane 6*), lipid, or actin binding (ΔΔΔT, *lanes 7* and 8) domains.



Figure 4. EGFR interacts with the HIP1 family via overlapping regions. *A*, region for EGFR association with HIP1 spans HIP1 amino acids 381 to 814. Overlapping with this EGFR association region is a region (*horizontal striped zone*) that was previously shown to be necessary for HIP1/ PDGF<sub>B</sub>R hematopoietic cell transformation (31). *B*, region for EGFR association with HIP1r spans amino acids 633 to 822. *ANTH*, AP180 NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal homology; *CC*, coiled-coil; *LZ*, leucine zipper; *TH*, talin homology.

cellular pathway to target in growth factor overexpressing tumors (20–23); and, because HIP1 interacts with huntingtin, the protein mutated in Huntington's disease (10, 11), abnormal HIP1 expression might alter the biology of brain cells leading to transformation. Interestingly, alteration of the chromosomal 7 region that contains the human *HIP1* locus at 7q11.2 and of the 7p21 region that contains the *EGFR* locus was recently implicated in a glioblastoma multiforme derived from an unusually young man (22 years old; ref. 34). Finally, trisomic chromosome 7 has been described as a frequent abnormality in glioblastoma multiforme tissue (35).

Here we report the expression of HIP1 in brain cancer tissue and identify for the first time its physical interaction with EGFR. We described how HIP1 is overexpressed in a variety glial tumors and how this overexpression is reflected by an increased frequency of anti-HIP1 antibodies in the sera of patients with glioblastoma multiforme and oligodendroglioma. We also showed that HIP1 overexpression correlates with EGFR and PDGF $\beta$ R overexpression in glioblastomas. These data suggest that the detection of HIP1 in brain tumor tissue and anti-HIP1 antibodies in the blood could aid in the surveillance for different types of brain tumors. Further analysis of these tissue and blood tests in even larger cohorts of brain cancer patients and controls will allow for validation of the correlations of the test results with clinical outcomes.

We are particularly interested in determining whether HIP1 overexpression can be used to identify subsets of patients who could benefit from novel therapeutic strategies that inhibit endocytosis or other pathways modulated by overexpression of the HIP1 family. It also will be important to determine, using larger groups of patients or mouse models of brain cancer, whether HIP1 is involved in the transition of lower-grade astrocytomas to highgrade glial tumors that include the most deadly glioblastoma multiformes.

Because HIP1 expression correlated with elevated growth factor receptor expression, such as EGFR in brain tumors, we asked whether HIP1 could directly associate with EGFR. Indeed, a specific association of HIP1 with EGFR was detected. Because HIP1 was previously shown to interact with lipids, clathrin, and actin, we predicted that one of these interactions (most likely clathrin) may indirectly mediate the observed interaction between HIP1 and EGFR. Remarkably, we found that both HIP1 and HIP1r directly associate with EGFR independent of lipid, clathrin, AP2, and actin binding sites. This observation is consistent with our recent *in vivo* studies of double-deficient HIP1/HIP1r mutant mice. In these mice, we found that endocytosis and EGFR degradation are not necessarily disrupted despite the profound effects of HIP1 loss-of-function on normal physiology.<sup>3</sup>

The ability of HIP1 family members to associate with EGFR suggests that the HIP1 family may directly extend the half-life and expression level of EGFR independent of their role in receptormediated endocytosis and degradation by trafficking to the lysosome. We previously showed that the lipid-binding domain of HIP1 is necessary to stabilize EGFR activity, indicating that the physical interaction and stabilization activities may be distinct, rather than linked, HIP1 activities. *In vitro* analysis using smaller deletion mutants of HIP1 and HIP1r, better definition of the region of EGFR that associates with HIP1 and HIP1r sequences, and interactions with other growth factor receptors (e.g., PDGF $\beta$ R) should be pursued.

In summary, this is the first description of HIP1 expression in a large group of human brain tumors and the first demonstration of the direct physical association of the HIP1 family with EGFR. Future studies will include prospective analysis of tissue and blood from larger patient cohorts to identify clinical correlations. In addition, more detailed *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies of the contributing role(s) of HIP1 in brain tumorigenesis will be pursued. Such studies will determine if HIP1 overexpression is sufficient and/or necessary for glial tumor formation using genetically modified mice; if there are genetic alterations (mutation or amplification) of the human HIP1 locus; and if anti-HIP1 antibodies predict clinical outcomes in humans. Finally, the data herein support a search for molecules that target HIP1 therapeutically.

#### Acknowledgments

Received 12/29/2006; revised 2/2/2007; accepted 2/9/2007.

Grant support: Department of Defense Innovative Developmental and Exploratory Award grant BC031604, National Cancer Institute grants R01 CA82363-03 and R01 CA098730-01, and Leukemia and Lymphoma Society Scholarship (T.S. Ross).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

We thank Steven Gruber from the University of Michigan and Kimberly Kalli from the Mayo Clinic who provided serum samples from melanoma (S.G.), colon (S.G.), and ovarian cancer (K.K.) patients, and Ross lab members for their constructive intellectual input, technical assistance, and critical review of this manuscript.

#### References

- Schwartzbaum J, Fisher J, Aldape K, Wrensch M. Epidemiology and molecular pathology of glioma. Nat Clin Pract Neurol 2006;2:494–503.
- Libermann T, Nusbaum H, Razon N, et al. Amplification, enhanced expression and possible rearrangement of EGF receptor gene in primary human brain tumours of glial origin. Nature 1985;313:144–7.
- Libermann T, Nusbaum H, Razon N, et al. Amplification and overexpression of the EGF receptor gene in primary human glioblastomas. J Cell Sci Suppl 1985;3: 161–72.
- 4. Libermann T, Razon N, Bartal A, et al. Expression of epidermal growth factor receptors in human brain tumors. Cancer Res 1984;44:753–60.
- Ding H, Shannon P, Lau N, et al. Oligodendrogliomas result from the expression of an activated mutant epidermal growth factor receptor in a RAS transgenic mouse astrocytoma model. Cancer Res 2003;63:1106–13.
- Doherty L, Gigas D, Kesari S, et al. Pilot study of the combination of EGFR and mTOR inhibitors in recurrent malignant gliomas. Neurology 2006;67:156–8.
- Modjtahedi H, Moscatello D, Box G, et al. Targeting of cells expressing wild-type EGFR and type-III mutant EGFR (EGFRvIII) by anti-EGFR MAb ICR62: a twopronged attack for tumour therapy. Int J Cancer 2003; 105:273–80.
- 8. Mellinghoff I, Wang M, Vivanco I, et al. Molecular determinants of the response of glioblastomas to EGFR kinase inhibitors. N Engl J Med 2005;353:2012–24.
- **9.** Wang M, Lu K, Zhu S, et al. Mammalian target of rapamycin inhibition promotes response to epidermal growth factor receptor kinase inhibitors in PTEN-deficient and PTEN-intact glioblastoma cells. Cancer Res 2006;66:7864–9.
- 10. Kalchman M, Koide H, McCutcheon K, et al. HIP1, a human homologue of S. cerevisiae Sla2p, interacts with membrane-associated huntingtin in the brain. Nat Genet 1997;16:44–53.
- **11.** Wanker E, Rovira C, Scherzinger E, et al. HIP-I: a huntingtin interacting protein isolated by the yeast two-hybrid system. Hum Mol Genet 1997;6:487–95.
- 12. Ross T, Bernard O, Berger R, Gilliland D. Fusion of Huntingtin interacting protein 1 to platelet-derived growth factor  $\beta$  receptor (PDGF $\beta$ R) in chronic myelo-

monocytic leukemia with t(5;7)(q33;q11.2). Blood 1998; 91:4419–26.

- Rao D, Hyun T, Kumar P, et al. Huntingtin-interacting protein 1 is overexpressed in prostate and colon cancer and is critical for cellular survival. J Clin Invest 2002;110: 351–60.
- 14. Rao D, Bradley S, Kumar P, et al. Altered Receptor Trafficking in Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1-Transformed Cells. Cancer Cell 2003;3:471–82.
- 15. Bradley S, Oravecz-Wilson K, Bougeard G, et al. Serum antibodies to huntingtin interacting protein-1: a new blood test for prostate cancer. Cancer Res 2005;65: 4126–33.
- **16.** Hyun T, Ross T. HIP1: trafficking roles and regulation of tumorigenesis. Trends Mol Med 2004;10:194–9.
- Hyun T, Rao D, Saint-Dic D, et al. HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize receptor tyrosine kinases and bind 3-phosphoinositides via epsin N-terminal homology domains. J Biol Chem 2004;279:14294–306.
- 18. Engqvist-Goldstein A, Kessels M, Chopra V, Hayden M, Drubin D. An actin-binding protein of the Sla2/ Huntingtin interacting protein 1 family is a novel component of clathrin-coated pits and vesicles. J Cell Biol 1999;147:1503–18.
- 19. Engqvist-Goldstein A, Warren R, Kessels M, et al. The actin-binding protein Hip1R associates with clathrin during early stages of endocytosis and promotes clathrin assembly *in vitro*. J Cell Biol 2001;154:1209–23.
- Metzler M, Legendre-Guillemin V, Gan L, et al. HIP1 functions in clathrin-mediated endocytosis through binding to clathrin and adaptor protein 2. J Biol Chem 2001;276:39271–6.
- **21.** Mishra S, Agostinelli N, Brett T, et al. Clathrin- and AP-2-binding sites in HIP1 uncover a general assembly role for endocytic accessory proteins. J Biol Chem 2001; 276:46230–6.
- 22. Rao D, Chang J, Kumar P, et al. Huntingtin interacting protein 1 Is a clathrin coat binding protein required for differentiation of late spermatogenic progenitors. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:7796–806.
- 23. Waelter S, Scherzinger E, Hasenbank R, et al. The huntingtin interacting protein HIP1 is a clathrin and  $\alpha$ -adaptin-binding protein involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis. Hum Mol Genet 2001;10:1807–17.
- 24. Engqvist-Goldstein A, Zhang C, Carreno S, et al. RNAi-mediated Hip1R silencing results in stable asso-

ciation between the endocytic machinery and the actin assembly machinery. Mol Biol Cell 2004;15:1666–79.

- 25. Mills I, Gaughan L, Robson C, et al. Huntingtin interacting protein 1 modulates the transcriptional activity of nuclear hormone receptors. J Cell Biol 2005; 170:191–200.
- **26.** Metzler M, Li B, Gan L, et al. Disruption of the endocytic protein HIP1 results in neurological deficits and decreased AMPA receptor trafficking. EMBO J 2003; 22:3254–66.
- **27.** Easterday M, Dougherty J, Jackson R, et al. Neural progenitor genes. Germinal zone expression and analysis of genetic overlap in stem cell populations. Dev Biol 2003;264:309–22.
- **28.** Andersson U, Guo D, Malmer B, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor family (EGFR, ErbB2-4) in gliomas and meningiomas. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 2004;108:135–42.
- **29.** Haberler C, Gelpi E, Marosi C, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of platelet-derived growth factor receptor- $\alpha$ , - $\beta$ , c-kit, c-abl, and arg proteins in glioblastoma: possible implications for patient selection for imatinib mesylate therapy. J Neurooncol 2006;76: 105–9.
- **30.** Nocito A, Kononen J, Kallioniemi O, Sauter G. Tissue microarrays (TMAs) for high-throughput molecular pathology research. Int J Cancer 2001;94:1–5.
- 31. Ross T, Gilliland D. Transforming properties of the Huntingtin interacting protein 1/platelet-derived growth factor β receptor fusion protein. J Biol Chem 1999;274:22328-36.
- **32.** Homma T, Fukushima T, Vaccarella S, et al. Correlation among pathology, genotype, and patient outcomes in glioblastoma. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2006;65:846–54.
- **33.** Aldape K, Ballman K, Furth A, et al. Immunohistochemical detection of EGFRvIII in high malignancy grade astrocytomas and evaluation of prognostic significance. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2004;63:700–7.
- **34.** Lopez-Gines C, Cerda-Nicolas M, Gil-Benso R, et al. Primary glioblastoma with EGFR amplification and a ring chromosome 7 in a young patient. Clin Neuropathol 2006;25:193–9.
- 35. Lopez-Gines C, Cerda-Nicolas M, Gil-Benso R, et al. Association of chromosome 7, chromosome 10 and EGFR gene amplification in glioblastoma multiforme. Clin Neuropathol 2005;24:209–18.

# Aberrant Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1 in Lymphoid Malignancies

Sarah V. Bradley,<sup>1</sup> Mitchell R. Smith,<sup>3</sup> Teresa S. Hyun,<sup>1</sup> Peter C. Lucas,<sup>2</sup> Lina Li,<sup>1</sup> Danielle Antonuk,<sup>1</sup> Indira Joshi,<sup>3</sup> Fang Jin,<sup>3</sup> and Theodora S. Ross<sup>1</sup>

Departments of 'Internal Medicine and 'Pathology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan and <sup>3</sup>Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

# Abstract

Huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) is an inositol lipid, clathrin, and actin binding protein that is overexpressed in a variety of epithelial malignancies. Here, we report for the first time that HIP1 is elevated in non-Hodgkin's and Hodgkin's lymphomas and that patients with lymphoid malignancies frequently had anti-HIP1 antibodies in their serum. Moreover, p53-deficient mice with B-cell lymphomas were 13 times more likely to have anti-HIP1 antibodies in their serum than control mice. Furthermore, transgenic overexpression of HIP1 was associated with the development of lymphoid neoplasms. The HIP1 protein was induced by activation of the nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B pathway, which is frequently activated in lymphoid malignancies. These data identify HIP1 as a new marker of lymphoid malignancies that contributes to the transformation of lymphoid cells *in vivo*. [Cancer Res 2007;67(18):8923–31]

#### Introduction

Huntingtin interacting protein 1 (HIP1) is a clathrin, actin, and inositol lipid binding protein that may be involved in neurodegeneration by virtue of its interaction with huntingtin, the protein mutated in Huntington's disease (1, 2). The original association of HIP1 with hematopoietic malignancies occurred when the oncogenic HIP1/platelet-derived growth factor  $\beta$ receptor fusion protein was identified from a t(5;7) chromosomal translocation in a patient with chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML; ref. 3). Expression of the HIP1 protein has since been found to be elevated in multiple epithelial cancers (4), its overexpression transforms fibroblasts (5), and its deficiency inhibits the progression of murine prostate cancer (6). Although the HIP1 family contains interacting domains for inositol phosphate lipids (7), endocytic molecules (8-11), and actin (12), the activities of these proteins in normal and neoplastic cell biology need much experimental clarification.

©2007 American Association for Cancer Research. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-2153 However, our pursuit of HIP1 as a cancer marker has progressed over the last few years. In fact, presence of anti-HIP1 antibodies in blood, presumably a surrogate marker for HIP1 overexpression or mutation in cancer cells, has shown use in detecting prostate cancer in mice and humans (6). More recently, we found that patients with glial brain tumors tested positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies more frequently than normal, age-matched controls (13). Further testing of larger cohorts of different types of cancer patients and controls from different backgrounds and institutions will be required to confirm the usefulness of this test. To our knowledge, with the exception of our original discovery of HIP1 as part of the t(5;7) translocation in CMML, patients with hematopoietic malignancies, such as Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphomas, remain to be thoroughly evaluated for HIP1 abnormalities.

In 2004, 7,880 new cases of Hodgkin's disease and 54,370 cases of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma were diagnosed in the United States (14). Hodgkin's disease originates in the lymph nodes and may involve the spleen, liver, and bone marrow. Hodgkin's disease is characterized by the Reed-Sternberg cell, which is considered the neoplastic cell and is derived from a B-cell progenitor (15, 16). Currently, no mouse models of Hodgkin's disease are available because the molecular cause of this neoplasm is not well defined. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma is composed of many subtypes, which can be divided into two main categories of B-cell or T-cell origin (14). There are several mouse models for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, two of which were used in this study. The first is the aged, pristane-treated Eµ-cyclin D1 transgenic mouse that develops a B-cell lymphoma reflective of the aggressive mantle cell lymphoma (17); the second is the p53 knockout mouse that develops both B-cell and T-cell lymphomas (18).

Mantle cell lymphomas are a particularly interesting type of non–Hodgkin's lymphoma because they are molecularly diagnosed by the presence of a t(11;14) translocation involving the *BCL-1* (cyclin D1) gene, leading to abnormally high levels of cyclin D1 protein in tumor cells (19). Because cyclin D1 stimulates cell cycle progression, it might promote tumorigenesis. However, the over-expression of cyclin D1 in murine models alone is not sufficient to induce mantle cell lymphoma but requires both aging and treatment with a mitogen, such as the isoprenoid alkane pristane (17).

Here, we report the identification of HIP1 abnormalities as an additional oncogenic event that can occur in cyclin D1 transgenic and p53 knockout mice. Humans and mice with different types of lymphomas were systematically evaluated for HIP1 abnormalities. These studies showed that HIP1 abnormalities are common in a wide variety of lymphomas, suggesting the possibility that the HIP1 pathway represents a new area for diagnostic testing and therapeutic target development.

#### Materials and Methods

Animals. Bcl-1 (Eµ-cyclin D1) transgenic mice were obtained from Dr. Alan Harris (Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia)

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

S.V. Bradley participated in the design, execution, and interpretation of the majority of the experiments. In brief, she tested all human tissues and sera for HIP1 abnormalities. She also maintained and analyzed the p53-mutant mice, hHIP1 transgenic mice, and the aged mice. M.R. Smith participated in the design, execution, and interpretation of the cyclin D1 transgenic experiments and provided sera from the human patients with lymphoid malignancies. T.S. Hyun designed, executed, and interpreted the osteoclast experiments. P.C. Lucas did the pathologic analysis of hHIP1 transgenic mice. L. Li analyzed tumors from p53-deficient mice for genetic alterations at the Hip1 locus. D. Antonuk participated in the HIP1 expression analysis in aged mice. I. Joshi and F. Jin carried out and assisted in the analysis of the cyclin D1 transgenic mouse experiments. T.S. Ross wrote the manuscript with S.V. Bradley and participated in the design and interpretation of all of the described experiments

Requests for reprints: Theodora S. Ross, University of Michigan, 6322 CCGC, 1500 East Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-0942. Phone: 734-615-5509; Fax: 734-647-9271; E-mail: tsross@umich.edu.

and bred and housed in the Fox Chase Laboratory Animal Facility, and lymphomas were induced with pristane as described previously (17). Pure C57BL/6 p53-null mice (18) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory and mated on to a mixed *Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup> background to generate the following genotypes:  $p53^{+/-}$ ;*Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup>,  $p53^{-/-}$ ;*Hip1*<sup>+/+</sup>, and  $p53^{-/-}$ ;*Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup>. An additional cohort of 1.5- to 2-year-old aged mice that developed spontaneous lymphomas and their non–lymphoma-bearing littermates was on a mixed 129Svj;C57BL/6 background. p53, *Hip1* mutant, and aged mice were housed at the University of Michigan Animal Facility.

**Genotyping.** Mouse tail DNA was genotyped for the cyclin D1 transgene as described (17) and for the p53 alleles [wild-type (WT) or mutant] by PCR using the following primers: Neo (5'-CGCCTTCTATCGCCTTCTTGAC-GAG-3'), ex5F (5'-CGGAGGTCGTGAGACGCTGC-3'), and in6R (5'-GGCC-TGGGGGAAGACACAGG-3'). The p53 WT DNA was represented by a 647-bp product using primers ex5F and in6R. The p53-mutant DNA was represented by a 546-bp product using Neo and in6R primers.

**Identification of lymphoma in p53-deficient mice.** p53-null mice were examined for tumor development at 5 months of age. Spleens and thymi were weighed and fixed in 10% (v/v) buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, serially sectioned, and stained with H&E. Splenomegaly in these 5-month-old mice was defined as a spleen weighing >0.15 g. Splenomegaly frequently accompanies peripheral B-cell lymphoma in p53-deficient mice as described previously (20).

Acquisition of serum samples. Mice were bled monthly from the saphenous vein of the hind leg or at necropsy as described previously (6). Serum samples from human patients with lymphoid malignancies were obtained from Fox Chase Cancer Center lymphoma clinic under an Institutional Review Board–approved protocol. Normal control sera were obtained from non–cancer-bearing individuals that were seen in the urology clinics at the University of Michigan. All sera were aliquoted and immediately stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Serum was assayed for anti-HIP1 antibodies using the immunoblot method as described previously (6).

**Tissue arrays.** The lymphoma tissue samples were from the following arrays from Cybrdi: CS20-00-002, CS20-00-003, and CS20-01-003.

Quantitation of HIP1 family member protein levels in tissues from young and old mice. WT (C57BL/6) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and sacrificed at 3 months (n = 4) and 17 months (n = 4). Western blot analysis of the tissues was done using the following antibodies: HIP1 (UM354, 1:5,000), HIP1r (UM374, 1:5,000), and actin (1:2,000; Sigma).

Bone marrow differentiation with macrophage colony-stimulating factor and receptor activator of nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B ligand. Mouse bone marrow cells were flushed from dissected femurs with complete RPMI 1640 using a 3 mL syringe and 27-gauge needle. Cells from each femur were divided into three wells of a six-well plate and treated with macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF; 1 ng/mL) for 1 week to generate macrophages. Fresh medium containing M-CSF (30 ng/mL; PeproTech, Inc.) and soluble receptor activator of nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B (NF- $\kappa$ B) ligand (RANKL; 300 ng/mL; PeproTech) was added on day 7 and cells were cultured an additional 7 days to generate osteoclasts (21). The protocol for fixation and TRAP stain of osteoclasts was obtained from the BD BioCoat Osteologic Bone Cell Culture System (BD Biosciences).

**RAW-OC differentiation.** The mouse macrophage RAW 264.7 cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and maintained according to their directions. Generation of osteoclasts (RAW-OC) from RAW cells was described previously (22).

**Quantitative PCR.** Total RNA was isolated from osteoclast cultures collected at various time points and stored in 200 to 300  $\mu$ L Trizol (Invitrogen). Extraction of mRNA and quantitative PCR for HIP1 mRNA levels were done as reported previously (23).

#### Results

**HIP1 is overexpressed in tumors derived from a murine model of mantle cell lymphoma.** Mantle cell lymphoma is a particularly aggressive B-cell non–Hodgkin's lymphoma defined by a t(11;14) translocation that places the cyclin D1 coding region from chromosome 11 downstream of the immunoglobulin heavy chain promoter on chromosome 14 (19, 24, 25). Although mantle cell lymphomas uniformly aberrantly express cyclin D1 as a result of the t(11;14) translocation, overexpression of cyclin D1 alone is not sufficient for lymphomagenesis in mice (26). Eµ-cyclin D1 transgenic mice overexpress cyclin D1 in the B-cell lineage, are healthy, and do not develop spontaneous tumors. Three monthly i.p. injections of pristane into 1-year-old Eµ-cyclin D1 transgenic mice on a C57BL/6 genetic background, however, results in generalized adenopathy, splenomegaly, and ascites in all of the mice within 1 month of the third pristane injection. Histologic and immunohistochemical analysis of the lymphomatous tissue from these mice indicates that these tumors are very similar to mantle cell lymphomas in humans (17).

Although it is clear from these prior results that both aging and mitogenic stimulation are required to cooperate with cyclin D1 overexpression for lymphoma induction, the actual molecular defects that result from the aging/pristane processes have not been defined. To address this, Seegene GeneFishing Technology was used to identify genes that are expressed differentially in neoplastic pristine-treated mouse spleens compared with spleens derived from non-pristane-treated littermates. We sequenced the most prominent band with altered expression levels in the neoplastic compared with the normal spleens and this was identified to be HIP1 (data not shown). The differential elevation of HIP1 expression was confirmed by Western blot analysis of an independent group of tumors, lymph nodes, and/or spleens from pristane-injected or non-pristane-injected (vehicle) cyclin D1 transgenic mice (Fig. 1A). Because up-regulation of HIP1 required pristane treatment and aging, we also can conclude that cyclin D1 overexpression on its own does not lead to increased HIP1 levels.

HIP1 is overexpressed in human Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Based on the observation that HIP1 overexpression in cyclin D1 transgenic mice occurred in the resultant B-cell lymphomas, we asked whether HIP1 protein was also overexpressed in human lymphomas. Therefore, tissue microarrays that contained specimens from 151 different lymphoma patients were stained for human HIP1 using the HIP1/4B10 monoclonal antibody (Fig. 1B). Overall, HIP1 was overexpressed in 72% of lymphomas (n = 151; Table 1; Supplementary Table S2). Interestingly, HIP1 protein was found most frequently in Hodgkin's lymphomas (examples shown in Fig. 1B, top and middle top), where 86% of Hodgkin's lymphoma tissue tested positive (total n = 35; Table 1) compared with 68% of non–Hodgkin's lymphoma tissue (total n = 116; P < 0.05; Table 1). Normal lymph nodes did not significantly stain for HIP1 protein (Fig. 1B, bottom). Importantly, the pathognomonic Reed-Sternberg giant cells of Hodgkin's disease tissue contained high levels of HIP1 (Fig. 1B, middle top, arrow). The Reed-Sternberg cell is currently thought to be a B-cell-derived neoplastic cell (15, 16). The fact that high levels of HIP1 are found in the Reed-Sternberg cell and because HIP1, when overexpressed, can transform cells, these data support the assertion that the Reed-Sternberg cell is the tumorigenic cell in Hodgkin's disease. This observation, together with the fact that the majority of the remaining spots on the arrays were derived from B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas, indicated that human B-cell neoplasms frequently express elevated levels of HIP1 compared with nonneoplastic lymph nodes.

**Increased frequency of anti-HIP1 antibodies in sera from non-Hodgkin's lymphoma patients.** Because HIP1 was overexpressed in both mouse and human lymphomas and we previously found that serum from prostate cancer patients more frequently



**Figure 1.** Expression of HIP1 in lymphoma and increased anti-HIP1 antibodies in sera from human non–Hodgkin's lymphoma patients. *A*, increased HIP1 in mouse B-cell lymphoma model. Pristane injection of aged cyclin D1 transgenic mice induces the development of mantle cell lymphoma (17). *Lanes 1, 2, 3, 4*, and *9*, extracts from lymphomas from cyclin D1 transgenic mice injected with pristane; *lanes 5* to *8*, extracts from lymph nodes and spleens from cyclin D1 transgenic mice without pristane (3) #414 lymph node, (4) #421 tumor, (5) #427 abdominal lymph node, (6) #427 spleen, (7) #430 abdominal lymph node, (8) #430 spleen, and (9) #433 spleen, *B*, increased HIP1 expression in human lymphomas. Examples from HIP1/4B10-stained tissue microarrays that contained specimens from 151 different lymphoma patients (Cybril): HIP1-positive lymphocyte-depleted Hodgkin's lymphoma in spleen (magnification, ×10), Reed-Sternberg cell from a HIP1-positive lymphocyte-depleted Hodgkin's lymphoma in a cervical lymph node (*LN*; magnification, ×10), and HIP1-negative normal lymph node (magnification, ×10), *L*, ant-HIP1 antibodies in different human sera were measured by Western blot analysis as described previously (6). Normal control sera from non–cancer-bearing individuals that were seen in the urology clinics here at the University of Michigan were used as normal controls. Three negative control sera were run on each blot. These negative control sera were samples previously found to test negative for HIP1 antibodies (6). *Asterisk*, designates serum from a patient in remission from T-cell ALL whose cancerous bone marrow previously may as shown to contain a patient is samples with values >3 were considered HIP1 antibody positive. Twenty-three of 39 (59%) lymphoma serum samples were positive. Patients with a "high" itter tested higher than a value of 10.

tested positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies than serum from agematched controls (6), serum samples from human patients with different types of lymphoid malignancies were tested for the presence of anti-HIP1 antibodies (Fig. 1*C* and *D*). Fifty-nine percent

<b>Table 1.</b> Frequency or lymphoma	f HIP1	expression	in human
Diagnosis	Positive	Negative	% positive
All lymphomas	109	42	72
Hodgkin's lymphoma	30	5	86*
Non-Hodgkin's lymphomas	79	37	68*
*Significant difference betw Hodgkin's lymphoma (P < 0.0	een Hodg 5, $\chi^2$ analy	kin's lymphon sis).	na and non-

(n = 39) of lymphoma patient serum samples tested positive for HIP1 antibodies, which was a significant increase compared with the 31% (n = 49) in normal, healthy controls (P < 0.01,  $\chi^2$  analysis; Table 2; Supplementary Table S3). Only one patient serum sample in Fig. 1C was not from a B-cell malignancy-bearing patient but instead was drawn from a patient with a T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) in remission. The sample from this patient had extremely high levels of anti-HIP1 antibodies (Fig. 1C, asteriskmarked lane). Before treatment, karyotypic analysis of this patient's leukemic bone marrow showed a deletion of chromosome 5 and trisomy of the area of chromosome 7q marked by D7s522 (Genzyme Genetics). This area is a region of chromosome 7 that includes the HIP1 gene. There is no remaining neoplastic sample available to test this further. As a result of this observation, we will analyze neoplasms for genetic abnormalities in the HIP1 gene whenever future patients with extremely high levels of anti-HIP1 antibodies are identified. In addition, because of the extremely high levels of antibody to HIP1, we considered the possibility that

Patient category	Positive	Negative	% positive
Normal controls	15	34	31
All lymphoma patients	23	16	59*
Disease present (new/recurrent)	13	12	52
Newly diagnosed disease	9	5	64 <sup>†</sup>
Recurrent disease (relapse)	4	7	37
Disease-free (remission)	10	4	71*

 $^{\dagger}$  Significant difference compared with normal controls (P < 0.025,  $\chi^2$  analysis).

antibody frequency might predict whether a patient remained in remission or not. Indeed, as shown in Table 2 in this small cohort of patients, the presence of an anti-HIP1 antibody-positive test correlated with patients with lymphoid malignancies in remission but not relapse. As one might expect, patients in this cohort with splenectomy tested negative for HIP1 antibodies (n = 2; data not shown). We also found that patients with a low titer of HIP1 antibodies (defined in Fig. 1D) and a diagnosis of a lymphoid malignancy invariably were relapsed patients (Fig. 1D; Supplementary Table S1). These data not only raise the possibility that the presence of antibodies to HIP1 is indicative of the presence of cancer but also suggest that high titers may be reflective of the host's defense against cancer, although other explanations, such as immunosuppression from prior therapy, could account for this observation. Nonetheless, immunization with HIP1 antigen might therefore be of therapeutic benefit and should be tested in the future.

Increased anti-HIP1 antibodies in sera from mice with lymphoma. Sera from p53-mutant mice (18) with different types of lymphomas were screened for the presence of anti-HIP1 antibodies. The p53 knockout mice (18) are extremely useful for controlled studies because the mice develop tumors by 6 months of age, the majority of which are malignant B-cell or T-cell lymphomas (27). The thymic lymphomas are always of T-cell origin (20). The B-cell lymphomas in these mice usually are accompanied by splenomegaly because they arise from either the spleen or major lymph node groups with a proclivity to spread to the spleen (20). Controlled screening/analysis in mouse models is important in the evaluation of the use of tests, such as the HIP1 antibody test. In addition, the availability of mouse anti-HIP1 antibodies, such as 1B11, allows for the generation of standard curves to rigorously quantitate the amount of mouse anti-HIP1 antibodies in test sera (Fig. 2A) unlike the human situation where standard curves can only be derived from previously positive human sera with unlimited and unknown quantities of specific human anti-HIP1 antibodies.

As expected from previous results with p53 knockout mice, by the age of 5 months, 50% (total n = 37) of our p53-null cohort of mice developed either B-cell lymphomas characterized by splenomegaly and/or T-cell lymphomas with enlargement of the thymus. Sixty-eight percent (total n = 19) of the p53-deficient mice with splenomegaly were positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies compared with only 5% (total n = 18) of the p53-null mice with normal size spleens (13-fold difference; P < 0.001,  $\chi^2$  analysis; Fig. 2*B*). We also tested a cohort of normal, aged mice (n = 63; age span, 1.5–2 years), some of which developed spontaneous follicular lymphomas common to aged mice, and found that 60% of the mice with spontaneous lymphomas (n = 29) tested positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies compared with only 20% of their unaffected littermates (n = 34; 3-fold difference; P < 0.01,  $\chi^2$  analysis; Fig. 2*C*).

It is noteworthy to point out that the 1.5- to 2-year-old control mice in the spontaneous lymphoma experiment had a trend toward increased percentage of positive tests compared with the 5-month-old control mice in the p53 experiment (P < 0.2,  $\chi^2$  analysis). This slight difference might indicate that levels of HIP1 or its only known mammalian relative, HIP1r, increase with age in the organs of mice. However, we found no variation in HIP1 and HIP1r levels with age in organs from young (3 months) and old (17 months) WT mice (Supplementary Fig. S1). This result indicate that the HIP1 family of proteins is under tight control under normal physiologic conditions and that underexpression or over-expression could be deleterious to the life of the cell.

To begin to determine the necessity of HIP1 expression in lymphomagenesis, HIP1-deficient mice were crossed with p53mutant mice to test for susceptibility to p53 deficiency-mediated tumorigenesis. In this small cohort, a comparison of Hip1 "null/ null" mice (23) and Hip1 WT mice both in the p53-mutant background showed no significant differences in the tumor frequency or distribution (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Because of this, we screened the resultant tumor tissues from p53:Hip1 double-mutant mice (n = 10 tumors) for large mutations in the Hip1 locus using Southern blot analysis. Remarkably, an obvious mutation/amplification was indeed found in DNA derived from a widespread lymphoma found in a *Hip1*<sup>null/null</sup>;p53<sup>+/-</sup> mouse (labeled "mutation" in Fig. 2D). Multiple copies of the Hip1 mutation allele compared with the "null" allele in the cheek, arm, and spine tumors (lanes 2-4) became very apparent when comparing these "homogeneous" tumors with the infiltrated liver (lane 1), which displayed only a 1:1 ratio of mutation allele and null allele compared with a 30:1 ratio in the tumor tissue. These altered ratios make sense as the cheek, arm, and spine tumors consisted mainly of neoplastic tissue, whereas the infiltrated liver tissue consisted of both tumor and normal liver tissue (Supplementary Fig. S2B, top left).

In vivo overexpression of human HIP1 leads to plasma cell neoplasms. Because we have observed that HIP1 is overexpressed in a variety of human tumors, transgenic mice with "ubiquitous" overexpression of human HIP1 (hHIP1<sup>hi</sup>) were generated to further study the role of HIP1 in tumorigenesis (28). These mice ubiquitously express human HIP1 driven from a chimeric cytomegalovirus β-actin promoter. The transgenic vector had loxP sites surrounding an enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) transgene upstream of the human HIP1 sequences. Therefore, expression of the human HIP1 cDNA was expected only if EGFP was excised by the presence of Cre recombinase. The hHIP1hi transgenic mice were generated from a fluorescent green founder mouse (mouse #395) that was crossed with an EIIa-cre transgenic mouse (28). A cohort of these hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> mice and age-matched nontransgenic mice was sacrificed between 8 months and 1 year of age and necropsied. As shown in Supplementary Table S4, hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> mice frequently displayed splenomegaly  $[50\% \text{ hHIP1}^{\text{hi}} (n = 12)$ versus 18% WT (n = 34); P < 0.01]. On histologic analysis, a neoplastic proliferation of dysplastic HIP1-positive plasmacytoid cells replacing lymph nodes from three hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> mice <1 year of age

was found (Fig. 3; Supplementary Table S4). In these mice, multiple lymph nodes had nearly complete effacement of nodal architecture by sheets of atypical plasma cells (Fig. 3, #583, #717, and #200). In the spleen of one of these hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> mice (mouse #200), both the red and white pulp were extensively replaced by expansive sheets of plasma cells, many with atypical nuclear features. Germinal centers and mature lymphoid aggregates were markedly reduced in the white pulp, having been displaced by plasma cells (Fig. 3). Furthermore, these neoplasms, which stained for human HIP1 expression (Fig. 3, #200), were likely clonal in nature as they stained for  $\kappa$  light chains but not  $\lambda$  light chains (Supplementary Fig. S3). On careful examination of lymph nodes from the group of the hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> and control mice, an additional hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> mouse and three WT mice were found to have subtle noneffacing plasmacytoid infiltrates in portions of their lymph nodes. However, these mice did not display a clearly abnormal proliferation of atypical plasmacytoid cells similar to that seen in the original three hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> mice (Supplementary Table S4).

Interestingly, the green founder mouse (mouse #395) was necropsied at 2 years of age, and an atypical plasmacytic infiltrate was also found infiltrating the liver, spleen, and lymph nodes (Supplementary Fig. S4). This was the only non-hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> mouse found with an overt plasma cell neoplasm (atypical cells with striking nuclear pleomorphism and effacement of lymph nodes). The plasma cell neoplasm was negative for EGFP expression both visually and by immunohistochemistry (data not shown), and more importantly, the tumor cells stained positive for human HIP1 (Supplementary Fig. S4), suggesting that in the tumor recombination had "spontaneously" occurred over 2 years. Alternatively, because plasma cell neoplasms do rarely occur spontaneously in aged C57BL/6 mice, it is possible that the tumor in this aged mouse was spontaneous and not secondary to the HIP1 overexpression in tumorigenic cells.

**Regulation of HIP1 levels.** We next inspected the sequence of the human HIP1 promoter to determine if HIP1 RNA expression might be regulated directly by activation of the cyclin D1 pathway or by other pathways known to be abnormal in lymphoma, such as the NF- $\kappa$ B or p53 pathway. In the dysregulated cyclin D1 pathway, Rb is phosphorylated and does not bind E2F transcription factors, allowing the unbound E2F to induce cell cycle progression (Fig. 4*D*). Therefore, we analyzed the sequence of the 5' flanking region of the *hHIP1* gene (nucleotides -958 to -1).



**Figure 2.** Increased frequency of anti-HIP1 antibodies in sera from mice with lymphoma. *A*, quantitation of murine anti-HIP1 antibodies. A standard curve was generated as an internal control for immunoblot quantitation of the concentration of HIP1 antibody in mouse sera. The mouse monoclonal antibody 1B11 at known concentrations of 400, 200, 100, and 50 ng/mL was used for this purpose. *B*, mice with p53 deficiency and B-cell lymphomas test positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies. p53-null mice were sacrificed and necropsied at 5 mo of age, and their sera were analyzed for anti-HIP1 antibody levels. A HIP1 antibody level >30 ng/mL was considered positive. Spleens from these 5-months-old mice were classified as abnormal if weights were >0.15 g or the spleen showed grossly visible lymphoma. Thirteen of 19 (68%) p53-null mice with splenomegaly tested positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies. Sera from 1.5- to 2-year-old mice with spontaneous follicular lymphomas common in aged mice were examined for the presence of HIP1 antibodies. Seventeen of 29 (59%) of the mice with spontaneous lymphomas were positive for anti-HIP1 antibodies compared with normal site or anti-HIP1 antibodies compared with 7 of 34 (21%) of their normal littermates (*P* < 0.01,  $\chi^2$ ). *D*, Southern blot of tissue and tail DNA from a *p53<sup>+/-</sup>;Hip1<sup>null/null</sup>* mouse with a stage IV lymphoma that infiltrated liver and spleen and a *Hip1<sup>+/null</sup>* control, respectively. *Hip1<sup>+/null</sup>* control tail DNA showed the WT and originally targeted *Hip1-null* and both a deletion and amplification in the tumor tissue. For the p53<sup>+/-</sup>;*Hip1<sup>null/null</sup>*-mutant mouse, indicating that the *Hip1* locus had both a deletion and amplification in the tumor tissue. The mouse from the p53<sup>+/-</sup>;*Hip1<sup>null/null</sup>* tumors were put through this gross Southern blot screening. Additional less obvious mutations have therefore not been ruled out.



Figure 3. Development of plasma cell neoplasms in young hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> transgenic mice. Plasma cell neoplasms in hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> transgenic mice at <1 y of age. Lymph nodes from mouse #200, mouse #583, and mouse #717 and the spleen from mouse #200 were stained with H&E. Magnifications, ×40 and ×100. Pictures of human HIP1 staining and negative control anti-rabbit IgG staining are also shown for the lymph node and spleen from mouse #200. Magnification, ×100. The lymph nodes from mouse #583 and mouse #717 displayed similar human HIP1 staining.

This region was rich in GC residues and included a CAAT box but lacked a typical TATA box or obvious E2F binding sites (Supplementary Fig. S5). The lack of E2F binding sites in the HIP1 promoter, and the fact it takes up to a year and a half for lymphomas to develop in the cyclin D1 transgenic mice, together with the lack of elevated HIP1 levels in the nonneoplastic spleens of cyclin D1 transgenic mice (Fig. 1*A*) led us to conclude that abnormal HIP1 expression likely contributes to lymphomagenesis in a cyclin D1-independent pathway (Fig. 4*D*).

Analysis of the HIP1 promoter sequence also showed the presence of one NF- $\kappa$ B binding site located in the promoter that was conserved between mouse and human sequences (Supplementary Fig. S5). This observation is relevant due to the increase in NF- $\kappa$ B activity in most lymphomas (29), including Hodgkin's disease and B-cell non–Hodgkin's lymphomas (30, 31). As an example, the Reed-Sternberg cell has constitutive activation of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway due to coexpression of both RANK and its ligand (RANKL; refs. 32, 33). This is thought to induce autocrine stimulation resulting in a positive feedback loop that promotes tumorigenesis.

HIP1 protein levels are increased in response to NF- $\kappa$ B pathway activation. To begin to determine if elevated transcript levels of HIP1 up-regulate HIP1 protein in response to NF- $\kappa$ B activation in normal and neoplastic tissue, we analyzed HIP1

protein and mRNA levels after NF-KB activation in two welldefined cell types, primary macrophages and the RAW cell line, both of which respond to NF-KB pathway activation via RANKL stimulation of RANK to differentiate into osteoclasts. First, we collected primary bone marrow cells from dissected femurs, subjected them to osteoclast culture conditions (Fig. 4A), and collected them for Western blot analysis. The results of this experiment showed induction of a second, higher molecular weight HIP1 species as the cells differentiated in response to RANKL treatment (Fig. 4B, day 14). Although not relevant to this discussion, we also observed that HIP1 levels increased in response to M-CSF treatment of bone marrow cells (Fig. 4B, day 4 versus day 7). To test whether HIP1 was transcriptionally regulated under these conditions, RNA was also isolated from primary osteoclast cultures and subjected to quantitative reverse transcription-PCR for HIP1. HIP1 mRNA levels were relatively constant throughout differentiation, with at most an inconsistent 2-fold increase in HIP1 mRNA levels at day 14 of culture (data not shown). This result indicated that alteration of HIP1 in these cells was due mainly to posttranscriptional effects. Cultures at day 14 were stained for TRAP, a marker of osteoclast differentiation and biological response to RANKL. TRAP staining confirmed that the cells did differentiate into multinucleated osteoclasts (Fig. 4B).

A complicating issue associated with assessing the response of HIP1 to NF-KB activation in primary bone marrow cells is that their treatment requires both the presence of M-CSF for cellular survival as well as RANKL for differentiation from monocytic precursors to multinucleated osteoclasts. To further test the role of RANKL stimulation alone on HIP1 protein levels, we tested the RAW cell line for HIP1 response to NF-KB activation. RAW cells are a murine macrophage line that does not require M-CSF for survival in culture (22) and can be differentiated into osteoclasts (RAW-OC) after 5 to 6 days in culture with the addition of RANKL (35 ng/mL). Analysis of HIP1 levels at days 0, 1, 3, and 6 of differentiation showed that RAW cells had a HIP1 expression pattern similar to the primary osteoclast cultures. Day 0 of RAW-OC culture corresponds to day 7 or primary osteoclast culture and days 5 to 6 of RAW-OC culture correspond to day 14 of primary osteoclast culture. As in primary osteoclast cultures, the higher molecular weight HIP1 band also appeared at later time points during osteoclast differentiation. TRAP staining of RAW-OC cultures at day 6 also showed formation of multinucleated osteoclasts much like those formed in primary cultures (Fig. 4C).

## Discussion

The morbidity and mortality of malignant lymphomas are due to the spread of a clonal growth of neoplastic lymphoid progenitor cells that invade multiple hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic organs. Although Hodgkin's and non–Hodgkin's lymphomas are distinct in several ways, such as their organ specificity, histology, subtype classification, and epidemiology, they share their origins with a lymphoid progenitor cell that ultimately gives rise to different B-, T-, or null-lymphoid neoplasms. Although the last 2 decades have seen a revolution in the molecular diagnosis, classification, and treatment of lymphomas with bone marrow transplant and targeted agents (immunologic and small molecule), significant challenges including relapse, drug sensitivity, and resistance remain. Obtaining additional tissue and blood tests that can provide the earliest detection of lymphoma will have important prognostic and therapeutic implications.

To our knowledge, the present study is the first study to evaluate the role of HIP1 in lymphoma diagnosis and management. Our data show that HIP1 expression is increased consistently in B-cell lymphomas that arise in cyclin D1 transgenic mice that have been aged and treated with pristane. In addition, human Hodgkin's lymphomas and non–Hodgkin's lymphomas contain high levels of HIP1 protein compared with nonneoplastic lymph nodes. Interestingly, the pathognomonic Hodgkin's lymphoma giant cell, the Reed-Sternberg cell, is positive for HIP1 expression. This cell is clonal and of B-cell origin (15, 16). The characterization of this cell in Hodgkin's lymphoma biology has been the "holy grail" of the Hodgkin's disease field because under the current theory it is the neoplastic cell that needs to be therapeutically targeted in the midst of inflammatory cells that make up the bulk of the tumor.



**Figure 4.** Modulation of HIP1 levels by NF-κB pathway activation. *A*, schematic of how NF-κB activation is reflected (and scored) by osteoclast differentiation from bone marrow precursors. Bone marrow cells were isolated from four 5-months-old mice and treated with M-CSF for 1 wk to induce macrophage differentiation. Cells were then treated with M-CSF and RANKL for the 2nd week to induce osteoclast formation. Cells were harvested at days 4, 7, 10, and 14. *B*, HIP1 levels during osteoclast differentiation. Twenty micrograms of protein from each time point were run on SDS-polyacrylamide gels and blotted for HIP1. Bone marrow precursors were harvested from four mice, two of which were deficient for HIP1r. Actin was used as a loading control. *Right*, TRAP stain of day 14 bone marrow cultures showing the presence of multinucleated osteoclasts. This confirms successful NF-κB pathway activation. *C*, HIP1 protein levels increase in RANKL-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The murine macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 was treated with RANKL to induce osteoclast differentiation (RAW-OC). Day 0 of RAW-OC culture is considered similar to day 14 of primary osteoclast cultures. Twenty micrograms of protein from each time point were separated on SDS-PAGE and Western blotted for HIP1. *Right*, TRAP stain from day 6 of RAW-OC culture. *D*, schematic of the proposed position of HIP1 in the cyclin D and NF-κB pathways as they relate to lymphomagenesis. Based on the data herein, HIP1 was placed downstream of NF-κB and parallel to cyclin D1.

We also present the finding that increased antibodies against HIP1 are present more frequently in the sera of mice and humans with a variety of B-cell lymphoid neoplasms. There is a precedent for the expression of autoantibodies with lymphoma development. Anti-p53 antibodies were found in the sera of 21% of children with B-cell lymphoma (34) and in the sera of 7% of a variety of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma patients (35). Anti-ssDNA antibodies were detected in the serum of 16 of 55 patients (29%) with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (36). These studies, as well as the current study showing the high frequency of HIP1 "autoantibodies" in individuals with lymphoma, suggest that lymphoma may be associated with immune dysfunction in general. In favor of this is the fact that patients with organ transplants who are chronically immunosuppressed have a strikingly high risk of subsequent non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. However, it is not known if it is a lack of immune surveillance or aberrant immune stimulation that is the cause of immunosuppressant-associated cancers.

Interestingly, in the cohort of human patients with lymphoid cancers studied here, HIP1 antibodies were more frequent and of higher titer in patients who have undergone remission than in relapsed patients (Table 2; Supplementary Table S1). This raises the question of whether induction of these anti-HIP1 antibodies with immunization might represent a novel method for generating a specific and therapeutic immune response to tumors. The fact that generalized autoimmunity does not correlate with cancer prevalence in humans (37) further supports the specificity and potential therapeutic efficacy of the HIP1 antibody. In addition, p53-deficient mice have lower levels of autoantibodies against dsDNA, chromatin, and rheumatoid factor (38). These observations in humans and in p53-deficient mice indicated that increased levels of HIP1 antibodies were likely not due to a generic increase in autoimmunity concurrent with lymphoma and/or p53 deficiency.

Whether the HIP1 antibodies are only a marker of the lymphoma or have some role in lymphomagenesis or lymphoma prevention remains to be experimentally determined. To begin to test this, we did analyze a cohort of mice that were mutant for both *Hip1* and p53. Although we found that tumors still developed in *Hip1*-null mice, we found that the *Hip1* locus was altered in tumor tissue from the double-mutant mice. This result indicates that *in vivo* analysis using mutant alleles may not answer the apparently simple question of tumorigenic necessity, especially in the case of relatively nonredundant oncogenes. In fact, based on our data, "negative" results in such experiments might ultimately reveal how important a protein is in tumorigenesis by selecting for cells where novel mutations in the gene of interest are discovered.

In parallel to carrying out the above tests for HIP1 necessity in tumorigenesis, we have generated a cohort of hHIP1 transgenic mice (28), which were observed for tumor formation to address the question of *in vivo* sufficiency. Remarkably, 25% of hHIP1<sup>hi</sup> mice were afflicted with plasmacytomas at <1 year of age. These are reminiscent of the tumors that develop in cyclin D1 transgenic mice and suggest that the HIP1 protein promotes tumorigenesis in the intact organism. Because these neoplasms only developed in a fraction of the mice and took time to develop, it is likely that additional oncogenic mutations are cooperating with the over-expression of HIP1 to result in cancer.

To begin to understand how HIP1 expression might be dysregulated in lymphomas and other cancers, we analyzed the HIP1 promoter sequence. Because E2F is well known to operate downstream of cyclin D1 activation, we expected that the promoter would have several E2F binding sites. The HIP1 promoter was found to have a typical CAAT box and was noteworthy for the absence of E2F binding sites. There was one NF- $\kappa$ B site in the promoter that was conserved between mice and humans (Supplementary Fig. S5), suggesting that NF- $\kappa$ B may induce HIP1 mRNA expression in some lymphoid neoplasms. Further analysis of the promoter and determination of whether inhibitors of NF- $\kappa$ B activation can down-regulate the promoter activity or the levels of HIP1 in lymphomas will be important future steps.

Because the HIP1 promoter does not contain E2F binding sites, cyclin D1 overexpression in the cyclin D1 transgenic mice does not likely up-regulate HIP1 transcription directly. In addition, how pristane or the aging process independently or together contributed to the elevated HIP1 expression was not delineated. However, because the NF-KB pathway is the most activated pathway in both Hodgkin's disease and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, we evaluated the effect of the NF-KB pathway on HIP1 protein levels by determining whether activation of the NF-KB pathway in bone marrow cells via the RANK receptor altered HIP1 levels during differentiation to osteoclasts. This system is relevant because the differentiated osteoclast cells are multinucleated like Reed-Sternberg cells, osteoclasts are of hematopoietic origin, and the biological outcome of NF-KB activation in these cells is easy to score. We found that up-regulation of an isoform of the HIP1 protein occurs on RANKL stimulation. It is interesting to note that the higher molecular weight species of HIP1 appear when mononuclear progenitors are fusing to form multinucleated osteoclasts in response to RANKL. Like endocytosis, cell fusion requires reorganization of the cell membrane. Because we have found HIP1 alterations in both multinucleated Reed-Sternberg cells and osteoclast cells and have shown that HIP1 interacts with lipids, clathrin, and actin, we propose the HIP1 family may play a role in the process of cell fusion. Increased levels of the HIP1 protein in primary bone marrow cells occurred without concomitant alterations in total HIP1 RNA levels. Although it remains formally possible that different splice forms of HIP1 encode for different HIP1 isoforms that are differentially regulated by NF-KB, it is likely that identifying mechanisms for the regulation of HIP1 via post-translational modification and/or RNA stability will prove fruitful.

Although aging per se does not alter steady-state levels of HIP1 or its only known mammalian relative HIP1r (Supplementary Fig. S1), it remains to be determined if mutations in the HIP1 gene occur with aging. Interestingly, HIP1 levels have been found upregulated in fibroblasts from progeria patients (39) and doubledeficient HIP1/HIP1r mice display a subset of the characteristics of premature aging, such as spinal curvatures and cataracts, as early as 2 weeks of age (28). In fact, we have found that embryonic fibroblasts from HIP1/HIP1r-deficient mice either senesce or survive 3T3 immortalization by invariably losing expression of the INK4 family member, p15INK4b.<sup>4</sup> These data in aggregate have led us to speculate that the cyclins and their inhibitors function in parallel to the HIP1 pathway in the cell. Rather than significant pathway overlaps or cross-talks, we propose that HIP1 tumorigenic pathways collaborate in parallel with the cyclin D1 pathway (Fig. 4D).

In summary, we present the first study of abnormal HIP1 expression associated with mouse and human lymphomas and suggest that testing for anti-HIP1 antibodies in the sera of the

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 4}$  L. Li and T.S. Ross, unpublished observations.

Grant support: Department of Defense Idea BC031604, National Cancer Institute

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page

We thank Mark Kiel, Alan Burgess, Omar Usman, Leslie Deckter, and Grace Liu for

charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance

their technical assistance and the Ross lab members for their constructive intellectual

grants R01 CA82363-03 and R01 CA098730-01, Leukemia and Lymphoma Society of America Translation Research, and Lymphoma Foundation. T.S. Ross is a Leukemia

lymphoma patients could allow for improved clinical management of patients with lymphoma. In addition, we show for the first time that the overexpression of HIP1 *in vivo* leads to the promotion of lymphoid malignancies in human HIP1 transgenic mice. We also show that NF- $\kappa$ B pathway activation is associated with altered levels of HIP1 protein in both primary bone marrow macrophages and the corresponding RAW cell line. Further studies of the role of HIP1 and anti-HIP1 antibodies in lymphoma diagnosis and therapy using larger cohorts of humans will be an exciting next step.

#### References

- 1. Wanker EE, Rovira C, Scherzinger E, et al. HIP-I: a huntingtin interacting protein isolated by the yeast two-hybrid system. Hum Mol Genet 1997;6:487–95.
- Kalchman MA, Koide HB, McCutcheon K, et al. HIP1, a human homologue of *S. cerevisiae Sla2p*, interacts with membrane-associated huntingtin in the brain. Nat Genet 1997;16:44–53.
- Ross TS, Bernard OA, Berger R, Gilliland DG. Fusion of huntingtin interacting protein 1 to platelet-derived growth factor β receptor (PDGFβR) in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia with t(5;7)(q33;q11.2). Blood 1998; 91:4419–26.
- Rao DS, Hyun TS, Kumar PD, et al. Huntingtininteracting protein 1 is overexpressed in prostate and colon cancer and is critical for cellular survival. J Clin Invest 2002;110:351–60.
- 5. Rao DS, Bradley SV, Kumar PD, et al. Altered receptor trafficking in huntingtin interacting protein 1-transformed cells. Cancer Cell 2003;3:471–82.
- Bradley SV, Oravecz-Wilson KI, Bougeard G, et al. Serum antibodies to huntingtin interacting protein-1: a new blood test for prostate cancer. Cancer Res 2005;65: 4126–33.
- Hyun TS, Rao DS, Saint-Dic D, et al. HIP1 and HIP1r stabilize receptor tyrosine kinases and bind 3-phosphoinositides via epsin N-terminal homology domains. J Biol Chem 2004;279:14294–306.
- Metzler M, Legendre-Guillemin V, Gan L, et al. HIP1 functions in clathrin-mediated endocytosis through binding to clathrin and adaptor protein 2. J Biol Chem 2001;276:39271–6.
- Mishra SK, Agostinelli NR, Brett TJ, Mizukami I, Ross TS, Traub LM. Clathrin- and AP-2-binding sites in HIP1 uncover a general assembly role for endocytic accessory proteins. J Biol Chem 2001;276:46230–6.
- 10. Rao DS, Chang JC, Kumar PD, et al. Huntingtin interacting protein 1 is a clathrin coat binding protein required for differentiation of late spermatogenic progenitors. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:7796–806.
- 11. Waelter S, Scherzinger E, Hasenbank R, et al. The huntingtin interacting protein HIP1 is a clathrin and  $\alpha$ -adaptin-binding protein involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis. Hum Mol Genet 2001;10:1807–17.
- 12. Rees DJ, Ades SE, Singer SJ, Hynes RO. Sequence and domain structure of talin. Nature 1990;347:685–9.
- 13. Bradley SV, Holland EC, Liu GY, Thomas D, Hyun TS,

# Ross TS. HIP1 is a novel brain tumor marker that associates with the EGFR. Cancer Res 2007;67:3609–15. **14.** Lu P. Staging and classification of lymphoma. Semin Nucl Med 2005;35:160–4.

Acknowledgments

and Lymphoma Society Scholar.

Beceived 6/11/2007: accepted 6/29/2007.

with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

input and for critical review of this manuscript.

- 15. Kanzler H, Kuppers R, Hansmann ML, Rajewsky K. Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg cells in Hodgkin's disease represent the outgrowth of a dominant tumor clone derived from (crippled) germinal center B cells. J Exp Med 1996;184:1495–505.
- Kuppers R, Schwering I, Brauninger A, Rajewsky K, Hansmann ML. Biology of Hodgkin's lymphoma. Ann Oncol 2002;13 Suppl 1:11–8.
- 17. Smith MR, Joshi I, Jin F, Al-Saleem T. Murine model for mantle cell lymphoma. Leukemia 2006;20:891–3.
- Jacks T, Remington L, Williams BO, et al. Tumor spectrum analysis in p53-mutant mice. Curr Biol 1994;4:1–7.
- Rosenberg CL, Wong E, Petty EM, et al. PRAD1, a candidate BCL1 oncogene: mapping and expression in centrocytic lymphoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991; 88:9638–42.
- 20. Dube N, Bourdeau A, Heinonen KM, Cheng A, Loy AL, Tremblay ML. Genetic ablation of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B accelerates lymphomagenesis of p53-null mice through the regulation of B-cell development. Cancer Res 2005;65:10088–95.
- Wang MW, Wei S, Faccio R, et al. The HIV protease inhibitor ritonavir blocks osteoclastogenesis and function by impairing RANKL-induced signaling. J Clin Invest 2004;114:206–13.
- **22.** Collin-Osdoby P, Yu X, Zheng H, Osdoby P. RANKLmediated osteoclast formation from murine RAW 264.7 cells. Methods Mol Med 2003;80:153–66.
- 23. Oravecz-Wilson KI, Kiel MJ, Li L, et al. Huntingtin interacting protein 1 mutations lead to abnormal hematopoiesis, spinal defects and cataracts. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13:851–67.
- **24.** Withers DA, Harvey RC, Faust JB, Melnyk O, Carey K, Meeker TC. Characterization of a candidate bcl-1 gene. Mol Cell Biol 1991;11:4846–53.
- **25.** Motokura T, Bloom T, Kim HG, et al. A novel cyclin encoded by a bcl1-linked candidate oncogene. Nature 1991;350:512–5.
- 26. Bodrug SE, Warner BJ, Bath ML, Lindeman GJ, Harris AW, Adams JM. Cyclin D1 transgene impedes lymphocyte maturation and collaborates in lymphomagenesis with the myc gene. EMBO J 1994;13:2124–30.
- 27. Donehower LA, Harvey M, Slagle BL, et al. Mice deficient for p53 are developmentally normal but

susceptible to spontaneous tumours. Nature 1992;356: 215–21.

- **28.** Bradley SV, Hyun TS, Oravecz-Wilson KI, et al. Degenerative phenotypes caused by the combined deficiency of murine HIP1 and HIP1r are rescued by human HIP1. Hum Mol Genet 2007;16:1279–92.
- **29.** Jost PJ, Ruland J. Aberrant NF-κB signaling in lymphoma: mechanisms, consequences and therapeutic implications. Blood 2007;109:2700–7.
- 30. Horie R, Watanabe T, Morishita Y, et al. Ligandindependent signaling by overexpressed CD30 drives NF-κB activation in Hodgkin-Reed-Sternberg cells. Oncogene 2002;21:2493–503.
- Krappmann D, Emmerich F, Kordes U, Scharschmidt E, Dorken B, Scheidereit C. Molecular mechanisms of constitutive NF-κB/Rel activation in Hodgkin/Reed-Sternberg cells. Oncogene 1999;18:943–53.
- 32. Darnay BG, Haridas V, Ni J, Moore PA, Aggarwal BB. Characterization of the intracellular domain of receptor activator of NF-κB (RANK). Interaction with tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factors and activation of NF-κB and c-Jun N-terminal kinase. J Biol Chem 1998;273:20551–5.
- 33. Fiumara P, Snell V, Li Y, et al. Functional expression of receptor activator of nuclear factor  $\kappa B$  in Hodgkin disease cell lines. Blood 2001;98:2784–90.
- 34. Caron de Fromentel C, May-Levin F, Mouriesse H, Lemerle J, Chandrasekaran K, May P. Presence of circulating antibodies against cellular protein p53 in a notable proportion of children with B-cell lymphoma. Int J Cancer 1987;39:185–9.
- 35. Jezersek B, Rudolf Z, Novakovic S. The circulating auto-antibodies to p53 protein in the follow-up of lymphoma patients. Oncol Rep 2001;8:77–81.
- 36. Świssa M, Cohen Y, Shoenfeld Y. Autoantibodies in the sera of patients with lymphoma. Leuk Lymphoma 1992;7:117–22.
- Swissa M, Amital-Teplizki H, Haim N, Cohen Y, Shoenfeld Y. Autoantibodies in neoplasia. An unresolved enigma. Cancer 1990:65:2554–8.
- Kuan AP, Cohen PL. p53 is required for spontaneous autoantibody production in B6/lpr lupus mice. Eur J Immunol 2005;35:1653–60.
- **39.** Chigira S, Sugita K, Kita K, et al. Increased expression of the huntingtin interacting protein-1 gene in cells from Hutchinson Gilford syndrome (progeria) patients and aged donors. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2003;58: 873–8.