AFRL-VA-WP-TP-2007-310

OPTIMAL UAV TASK ASSIGNMENT AND SCHEDULING (PREPRINT)

Amanda Weinstein and Corey Schumacher



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

STINFO COPY

This is a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States.

AIR VEHICLES DIRECTORATE AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433-7542



NOTICE AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This report was cleared for public release by the Air Force Research Laboratory Wright Site (AFRL/WS) Public Affairs Office and is available to the general public, including foreign nationals. Copies may be obtained from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (http://www.dtic.mil).

AFRL-VA-WP-TP-2007-310 HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT.

*//Signature//

Corey J. Schumacher Senior Aerospace Engineer Control Design and Analysis Branch Air Force Research Laboratory Air Vehicles Directorate //Signature//

Deborah S. Grismer Chief Control Design and Analysis Branch Air Force Research Laboratory Air Vehicles Directorate

//Signature//

JEFFREY C. TROMP Senior Technical Advisor Control Sciences Division Air Vehicles Directorate

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange, and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

*Disseminated copies will show "//Signature//" stamped or typed above the signature blocks.

	R		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188							
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS .										
1. REPORT DA	TE (DD-MM-YY))	2. REPORT TYPE		3. DAT	ES COVERED (From - To)				
January 2	2007		Conference Paper	Preprint	06/	5/01/2006 - 01/18/2007				
4. TITLE AND	SUBTITLE					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER				
OPTIMA	AL UAV TAS	K ASSIGNM	ENT AND SCHEDU	LING (PREPRINT))	In-house				
						5b. GRANT NUMBER				
						5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 62201F				
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER							
Amanda	Weinstein and	d Corey Schu	macher			A03D				
						5e. TASK NUMBER				
						5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER				
7. PERFORMIN		ON NAME(S) AN	D ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION				
Control	Design and A	nalysis Branch	n (AFRL/VACA)			REPORT NUMBER				
Control	Sciences Divis	sion	· /			AFRL-VA-WP-TP-2007-310				
Air Vehi	cles Directora	ite								
Air Forc	e Materiel Co	mmand, Air F	orce Research Labora	atory						
Wright-I	Patterson Air I	Force Base, O	H 45433-7542							
9. SPONSORIN			E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY ACRONYM(S)				
Air Veni	cles Directora	lte			_	AFRL-VA-WP				
Air Forc	e Materiel Co	mmand								
Wright-I	Patterson Air I	Force Base, Ol	H 45433-7542			AFRL-VA-WP-TP-2007-310				
12. DISTRIBUT	ION/AVAILABIL		r							
Approve	d for public re	elease; distribu	ition unlimited.							
13. SUPPLEME	ENTARY NOTES									
Conferen	nce presentatio	on presented a	t the Applied Vehicle	Technology Panel ((AVT) Symp	osium.				
This is a	work of the U	J.S. Governme	ent and is not subject	to copyright protecti	ion in the Un	ited States. PAO Case Number:				
AFRL/W	/S 07-0431 (c	leared Februar	ry 28, 2007). Presenta	tion contains color,	video clips,	and audio.				
14. ABSTRACT	Г									
This pap	er addresses tl	he issue of tas	k assignment and sch	eduling for teams of	cooperative	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles				
(UAVs)	operating in a	semi-autonon	nous manner with a si	ingle operator contro	olling the mu	ltiple-vehicle team. Mixed-				
Integer I	Inear Program	nming (MILP)) is a highly effective	technique for expres	ssing this typ	e of complex optimization				
problem	because it allo	ows for binary	decision variables, c	ontinuous timing va	riables, and a	an extensive, flexible constraint				
be incom	porated Possil	ble task coupli	ing constraints includ	e precedence constru	aints time w	indows simultaneous tasks				
ioint task	s and more	A variety of so	cenarios with heteros	eneous vehicles and	d a wide rang	be of mission constraints can be				
addressed.										
15. SUBJECT	TERMS									
16. SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N OF:	17. LIMITATION	18. NUMBER OF	19a. NAME OF	RESPONSIBLE PERSON (Monitor)				
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	OF ABSTRACT:	PAGES	Corey S	chumacher				
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	SAR	26	19b. TELEPHO	ONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)				
					N/A					

Standard	Form	298	(Re	v. 8-98)	
Prescribe	hγ Δ	NSI	ht2	730-18	

Optimal UAV Task Assignment and Scheduling

ORCE RESEARCH LABORATO





Overview

Contraction of the second

- Introduction
 - Coupled Task Assignment
 - Scenario Description
- Task Assignment and Scheduling
 - > MILP Formulation
 - > Task Planning Examples
 - Computational Requirements
 - > Alternate Solution Strategy
- Conclusions
 - Flight Test Application
 - Long Term Challenges



Introduction



Coupled Task Assignment and Scheduling Problems

Examples:

- Laser designation and attack
- Cooperative Tracking
- Serial tasks, e.g. Classify => Attack => Verify
- Highly coupled mission planning problems are computationally difficult
 - Small problem sizes allow optimal solution in "real time"
 - Suboptimal but effective solutions computable faster
- Combat ISR UAV Example





Introduction



- Scenario
 - Multiple unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) in an urban environment
 - Target locations known
 - Each target requires the assignment of 1-2 UAVs
 - Urban terrain (rectilinear distance appropriate)
 - Supervised by a single operator
 - Operator has the ability to impose additional timing constraints



Urban Combat ISR Scenario Setup

- Potential Target locations 1-7
- All MAVs launch from node 8
- MAVS can land at node 8 or 9
- Path distances calculated, in the examples, using a "Manhattan Grid" path down the streets, plus loiter
 - Could be Euclidean, flyable paths, etc...
- Each Target requires two tasks: "attack" and "verify"
 - > t = 0.1 delay required between tasks
- Three UAV types:
 - Type 1: can attack (Task 1)
 - Type 2: can verify (Task 2)
 - Type 3: can attack or verify
 - # Attacks per vehicle limited
 - Different task execution times for each vehicle type, target, task







MILP Formulation - Variables



• Binary Decision Variables:

> $x_{ij}^{kl} = 1$ if UAV k is assigned to travel from node i to node j and perform task l on target j, = 0 otherwise

- Continuous timing variables:
 - > t_i^l is a continuous variable which indicates the arrival time of a UAV at target *i* to perform task
 - > t_{lk} is also a continuous variable, but indicates when each UAV will land at each landing site





- Three cost functions examined:
- Minimum total path length:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_{ij} x_{ij}^{kl}$$

• Minimum makespan (shortest time to complete all tasks):

min max (t_{jk})

• Minimum total task execution time for all vehicles:

$$\sum_{i=N+L+1}^{N+L+C} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{jk}$$

 Cost Functions 2 and 3 include task execution and loiter times, Cost Function 1 (total path length) does not





Each target requires both tasks be performed:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N} x_{ij}^{kl} = 1 \quad \forall i \in [1, N], l \in [1, 2]$$

Every vehicle that enters a target must also exit (flow balance):

$$\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1, i\neq h}^{N} x_{ih}^{kl} - \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1, j\neq h}^{N} x_{hj}^{kl} = 0 \quad \forall h \in [1, N], k \in [1, K]$$

Each target must have two arrival times (one for each task)

$$t_{i}^{l} + t_{ij}^{kl} + s_{i}^{l} - M(1 - x_{ij}^{kl}) \le t_{j}^{l} \quad \forall i \in [1, N + L], j \in [1, N], k \in [1, K], l \in [1, 2], i \neq j$$





- Human Operator of UAV team must be able to control UAV actions at desired levels – "as autonomous as needed, as interactive as desired"
 - In response to urgent mission needs, commander instructions
 - Planning algorithms should incorporate operator input, optimize around those requirements
 - Implemented in MILP as additional constraints, e.g.

Targets *a*, *b*, *c* residing in the same cluster must be simultaneously attacked:

$$t_a^1 = t_b^1 = t_c^1$$
 such that $a, b, c \in [1, N]$

Target *a* must be verified destroyed before target *b* can be attacked:

$$t_a^2 \le t_b^1$$
 such that $a, b \in [1, N]$

> More complex constraints, e.g. time windows, also allowable



Task Planning Example



Four Vehicles:

- V1, V2 Attack only
- V3 Image only
- V4 Attack (3 times), Image
- All start at origin, end at origin or alternate end point

Cost function: min total path length

Vehicles 2, 3 "team up" on Targets 5,4,6

Vehicle 4 teams up with Vehicle 1 on Target 1, then prosecutes Targets 2,3,7

V4 limited to being able to attack
 3 times only.

Vehicle Task Assignment





Example with Additional Operator-Specified Constraints



Additional Constraints:

- Targets 1, 2 attacked simultaneously
- Targets 4, 6 attacked simultaneously
- Target 2 verified destroyed before Target 3 attacked

Assignment changed substantially:

- V1 attacks T5 (t=0.08), T4 (t=1.5)
- V2 attacks T2 (t=0.16), and T7 (t=1.18)
- V3 images T5 (t=0.18)
- V4 has a complex mission plan:
 - Attack T1 (t=0.16)
 - Image T1 (t=0.26)
 - Image T2 (t=0.40)
 - Attack T3 (t=0.58), Image (t=0.68)
 - Image T7 (t=0.1.28)
 - > Attack and Image T6 (t=1.5, 1.6)
 - Image T4 (t = 1.74)

Task Assignment with Operator-Specified Constraints









Task Assignment with Operator-Specified Constraints



- Substantial changes in task assignment schedule based on operatorspecified constraints
- Illustrates flexibility of the planning methodology



Computation Times – "Total Distance" Objective Function



N	K1	K2	K3	L	С	Decision Variables	Constraints	Computation Time(s) Distance	Min	Мах
2	1	1	1	1	1	27	59	0.051	0.043	0.499
3	2	2	0	2	1	70	89	0.066	0.061	0.080
3	2	2	1	1	1	74	137	0.062	0.053	0.097
4	1	1	0	2	1	58	72	0.058	0.047	0.092
4	0	0	2	1	2	68	210	0.140	0.057	0.778
4	1	1	2	1	1	100	254	0.446	0.091	3.804
5	1	1	1	2	2	141	273	1.213	0.096	11.702
5	1	0	2	1	1	113	328	1.051	0.141	8.840
6	1	1	1	1	2	168	325	8.309	0.201	115.00

Table 1: Computation Times for the Total Distance Objective

- K1 = # Task 1 Vehicles
- K2 = # Task 2 Vehicles
- K3 = # Task 1 or 2 Vehicles

- N = # Targets
- L = # Launch sites
- C = # Landing sites



R	TRANKIN MAN

N	K1	K2	K3	L	С	Decision Variables	Constraints	Computation Time(s) Makespan	Min	Мах
2	1	1	1	1	1	27	59	0.062	0.054	0.074
3	2	2	0	2	1	70	89	0.217	0.106	0.336
3	2	2	1	1	1	74	137	0.235	0.109	0.345
4	1	1	0	2	1	58	72	0.573	0.191	0.895
4	0	0	2	1	2	68	210	73.606	52.215	104.207
4	1	1	2	1	1	100	254	108.894	57.448	162.366
5	1	1	1	2	2	141	273	15,352*	12,099	18,606

Makespan Objective

N	K 1	K2	K3	L	С	Decision Variables	Constraints	Computation Time(s) Total Time	Min	Мах
2	1	1	1	1	1	27	59	0.062	0.054	0.081
3	2	2	0	2	1	70	89	0.303	0.177	0.374
3	2	2	1	1	1	74	137	0.364	0.304	0.413
4	1	1	0	2	1	58	72	0.841	0.340	1.850
4	0	0	2	1	2	68	210	166.10	96.59	273.34
4	1	1	2	1	1	100	254	538.71	275.9	703.7

Total Time Objective

Dramatically longer computation times just by varying cost function

Ongoing Work: Primal-Dual Approaches to Assignment of Highly Coupled Tasks

- Basic Strategy: Extension of dual formulation approaches for TSP to provide:
 - Bounds on optimal cost
 - Near-optimal solutions
 - Within 1-2% for TSP
- Difficulties:
 - Multiple Vehicles lead to MDMTSP (Multiple Depot Multiple Traveling Salesman Problem)
 - No direct transformation to TSP
 - Complex connectivity constraints
 - > Task Coupling Constraints
 - Timing, Precedence, etc...
- Goal: Computationally efficient guaranteed near optimal solutions



- Example Solution to MTSP
 - Branch and bound with Lagrangean relaxation
 - Optimal solution uses 2 of 5 vehicles
 - Minimum total path length traveled, not minimum prosecution time





Urban ISR Application



Autonomous control
Multiple heterogeneous UAVs
Supervised by a single operator

Real time ISR delivery to war fighter



Flight Test Algorithm Solution













- Mixed Integer Linear Programming is a good planning strategy
 - Limited to small teams by computational requirements
 - Fits many realistic team sizes
 - Usually multiple people controlling one UAV, not the reverse.
 - Suboptimal" implementation can somewhat improve computational burden
 - Quality of suboptimal solutions is unclear
- Pursuing dual formulation strategy that may yield good suboptimal solutions with bounded performance



Long Term Challenges in UAV Cooperation



Human Interaction

- Multiple operators for one UAV
 - Much work being done to improve the ratio
- Information abstraction & presentation
- Manned Systems

Adversary Interaction & Uncertainty

- Static planning algorithms don't react well to a dynamic environment
 - Learning new parameters is too slow
 - ESPECIALLY poor for "Out of the box" events

Ad Hoc Collaboration / Dynamic Teaming

- Cooperative Team concepts are generally homogeneous, purpose-built
- Goal: maximize utility of resource-constrained assets in an ad hoc manner
 - System of systems environment
 - Dynamic team formation





Flight Test Micro UAVs



