Award Number: DAMD17-02-1-0063

TITLE: The Role of  $\beta$ -Catenin in Androgen Receptor Signaling

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Neil A. Bhowmick, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Vanderbilt University Medical Center Nashville, Tennessee 37232-2103

REPORT DATE: October 2005

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

<b>.</b>			Form Approved				
Public roporting burden for this			OMB No. 0704-0188				
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing							
this burden to Department of E 4302. Respondents should be	Defense, Washington Headqua a aware that notwithstanding a	arters Services, Directorate for Info ny other provision of law, no perso	rmation Operations and Reports n shall be subject to any penalty	(0704-0188), 1215 Jeffer for failing to comply with	son Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- a collection of information if it does not display a currently		
valid OMB control number. PL		UR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADD	RESS.	2 D			
01-10-2005	)-IVIIVI-1111)	Annual		3. D. 15	1an 2004 - 30 Sen 2005		
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT	LE	/ IIIIddi		5a. 0	CONTRACT NUMBER		
The Role of β-C	atenin in Androger	Receptor Signaling		5b. (	GRANT NUMBER		
	stermine and ege	e ignamig		DAI	MD17-02-1-0063		
				5c. F	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. I	PROJECT NUMBER		
Neil A. Bhowmick	k, Ph.D.			5e. 1	TASK NUMBER		
E-Mail: <u>neil.bhow</u>	mick@vanderbilt.e	edu		5f. V			
7. PERFORMING ORC	GANIZATION NAME(S	i) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PI			
Vanderhilt Llnivers	ity Medical Center	r			UMBER		
Nashvilla Tennes	ap 37232-2103						
	366 37232-2103						
9 SPONSORING / MC		NAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(FS)	10.5	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
U.S. Army Medica	Research and M	ateriel Command	0(20)	10.0			
Fort Detrick, Marv	land 21702-5012						
				11.5	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT		
				1	NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION / A	VAILABILITY STATE	MENT					
Approved for Publ	ic Release; Distrib	ution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	Y NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT							
Dr. Truica previou	sly showed that th	e cell adhesion mole	cule β-catenin form	s a complex wit	th the androgen receptor (AR) and		
modulate its trans	cription. The cross	talk between β- cate	enin and AR signalir	ng can play an i	mportant role in AR transcriptional		
in prostate cancer	progression. Our	preliminary data seer	m indicate stromally	/ derived paraci	rine Wnt family members activate		
the epithelial frizzled receptor to enable prostate epithelial survival in an androgen deficient environment. We will continue to							
test the original hypothesis that there is a direct molecular interaction between ß-catenin and the Cterminus							
region of AR involved in the mechanism of prostate androgen responsiveness. However, we will examine the repercussions of							
the interaction in both LNCaP (originally proposed) and primary cultures of mouse prostatic stromal cells. The physiologic							
response to andro	gen ablation (cast	ration) differ significa	ntly between the pr	ostatic stroma a	and epithelia despite the common		
expression or is-catenin and AR, as evidence for the different transcriptional cofactor interactions found in prostatic epithelial							
and stromal cells. The future work will adhere to the previously approved tasks and those detailed in the body of							
this report with the additional examination of prostatic stromal cells.							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
no subject terms provided							
16. SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
			UF ABSIRACI	UF PAGES			
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area		
U	U	U	UU	10			
				1	Standard Form 208 (Poyr 9 09)		
					Stanuaru Porni 290 (Rev. 8-98)		

# Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Body	2
Key Research Accomplishments	5
Conclusions	5
References	6

## INTRODUCTION

The mortality due to prostate cancer primarily results from the failure of androgen-ablation therapy and metastasis to distant sites. Prostate cancer becomes androgen independent as a result of documented mutations in androgen receptor (AR, 50%) and unknown factors (50%) (1-3). New treatments are required that are more effective irrespective of the structure of the AR in the cancerous epithelial cells. Previously the oncogene  $\beta$ -catenin has been shown to be mutated in human prostate cancer (4, 5).  $\beta$ -Catenin has a role in cell-cell adhesion and is an essential signaling molecule in the Wnt signaling pathway where it acts as a transcriptional coactivator for the T cell factor (TCF) family of proteins (6, 7). The canonical Wnt signaling is associated with elevated proliferation and neoplastic transformation of various epithelial tissues (8). The cross talk between  $\beta$ -catenin and AR signaling can play a role in prostate cancer progression (9-12). The original objective of this proposal was to determine the mechanism by which  $\beta$ -catenin modulates AR signaling. However due to the recent intensity of research in this field and research delays from transferring the grant from Dr. Cristina Truica (original PI) to the current PI, the proposal outlook has been broadened.

Reported observations of the PI show that a mouse model lacking transforming growth factor beta (TGF-ß) signaling in fibroblasts that spontaneously develop pre-neoplastic lesions in the prostate (13). More recent unpublished data suggest that the prostate tissue the same mouse model is insensitive to androgen ablation and has altered ß-catenin expression compared to normal mice. Thus the cross-talk among the ß-catenin, androgen signaling, and TGF-ß signaling pathways have a potentially important role as a whole in prostate androgen responsiveness. Further, the mouse model suggests, the prostatic stromal fibroblasts are critical to epithelial androgen signaling. Dr. Truica had found that the ß-catenin, with a complex of other proteins that she has identified, could interact with the androgen receptor and effect DNA binding. She has also began to examine the role of androgen ablation on these protein-DNA interactions. The tools that she has developed in the past two years of funding can be used to answer important questions in the molecular interactions involved in the mechanism androgen responsiveness of the prostate. We will continue to test the original hypothesis that there is a direct molecular interaction between b-catenin and the C-terminus region of AR involved in the mechanism of prostate androgen responsiveness. However, we will examine the repercussions of the interaction in both LNCaP (originally proposed) and primary cultures of mouse prostatic stromal cells. The physiologic response to androgen ablation (castration) differ significantly between the prostatic stroma and epithelia despite the common expression of B-catenin and AR, as evidence for the different transcriptional cofactor interactions found in prostatic epithelial and stromal cells.

### BODY

The approved statement of work comprised of three main tasks:

- Task 1. Investigate the effects of loss-of-function of b-catenin on the LNCaP prostate epithelial cells
- Task 2. Mechanisms involved in the development of androgen independent prostate cancer.
- Task 3. Determine if E-cadherin and AR compete to binding the same domain of ß-Catenin.

We have made progress toward these goals in the past seven months of undertaking the grant with an additional emphasis on prostatic stromal cells. As reasoning for the added examination of the prostatic stromal cells as stated above, we have done castration experiments in transgenic mice deficient in TGFB signaling in stromal cells of fibroblast origin (Figure 1). To conditionally knockout the TGFB type II receptor in the fibroblastic compartment, mice having loxP sites at introns 1 and 2 of Tgfbr2 were crossed with mice expressing Cre recombinase under the control of the FSP1 (fibroblast specific protein-1; S100A4) promoter. FSP1 is selectively expressed in fibroblasts (14, 15) during embryologic development after E8.5 (16). The FBKO male mice demonstrated a consistent fibroblastic hyperplasia in the seminal vesicles and the dorso-lateral, ventral, and anterior prostate lobes. The stromal phenotype was accompanied by epithelial hyperplasia having hyperchromatic nuclei with atypia similar to human PIN in each of the prostate lobes in 100% of the male mice examined (13). These observations highlight the importance of TGFB signaling in stromal fibroblasts and how TBRII ablation in the stroma can mediate epithelial transformation in trans. For the purposes of this project the role stromal TGF-B signaling has on prostatic epithelial androgen responsiveness is pertinent. Specific experiments are being done to examine the TGF-ß signaling pathway in prostatic stromal cells regulating stromal differentiation and prostate cancer progression with support from another DOD grant W81XWH-04-01-0046. The studies in this grant will specifically focus on the ß-catenin and androgen receptor pathway in the prostatic epithelia and stromal cells.

The interactions between AR and ßcatenin promote AR-transcriptional activity in LNCaP cells (17). Previously described experiments (last progress report) AR and B-catenin bind the PSA promoter at a similar time scale upon dihydro-testosterone (DHT) treatment. Further anti-androgen, bicalutamide, treatment inhibits AR and ß-catenin loading of the PSA enhancer element, but has little effect on the promoter, ARE1 (androgen response element 1) based on ChIP analysis. These studies were successfully repeated in the PI's laboratory upon taking over the grant. The additional question remained, whether AR and ß-catenin interact at the site of transcription in vivo. This could only be answered through the technique of sequential ChIP analysis. This was done by first immunoprecipitating AR and performing PCR to verify ARE3 interaction. Then the primary antibody was disassociated, and the immunoprecipitate was re-immunoprecipitated



**Figure 1.** Prostates were examined four days following castration. The prostates were stained for (B, C) cleaved-caspase3, a early marker for appoptosis., or (D, E) Ki-67 expression, for proliferation. Accordingly, there is elevated apoptosis and decreased proliferation in control mice compared to Tgfbr $2^{fspko}$  after castration.

with a second antibody, for  $\beta$ -catenin (Figure 2). Transcriptional regulation of  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF response element by AR was then tested using luciferase assay. Examination of  $\beta$ -catenin transcriptional activity on the TCF binding site show that AR has little effect alone, but both DHT and bicalutamide could inhibit TCF transcriptional activity (Figure 3). Independent of AR-specific transcriptional activities the regulation of  $\beta$ -catenin signaling through by

	ARE3	Figure 2. ChIP and	the AR-DHT or AR-bicalutamide complex suggest another mechanism
DHT	0 1h 2h	re-ChIP analysis of	by which AR-independent prostate cancer differ AR-dependent
ChIP: AR		the PSA enhancer	prostate tissue. As evidence, the mutation of the C-terminal AR
	element. AR loads	position, V716R, was unable to suppress TCF transcriptional activity	
		on ARE3 within 1h	through ß-catenin binding (Figure 3). Further studies will be done in
		of DHT incubation in	both LNCaP and prostatic stromal cells for ß-catenin mutations S33Y
Re-ChIP: β-catenin	LNCaP cells. Re-ChIP	(constitutively active) and deletion of armadillo repeat 6 (disables AR	
	analysis shows that ß-	binding) [Task1]. The role of these mutations on E-cadherin and AR	
	catenin binds to the AR	interactions will be determined to test whether E-cadherin and AR	
	immunoprecipitated	compete for ß-catenin binding [Task 3]. The implications are not only	
		cell lysate.	important to our understanding androgen responsiveness of metastatic

prostate cancer often deficient in E-cadherin expression, but also the differences between epithelial and stromal androgen responsiveness since stromal cells do not express E-cadherin.

In response to castration or androgen ablation, the prostatic stromal regression is one third of the epithelial response, although both express  $\beta$ -catenin and AR (18). Primary prostatic stromal fibroblasts were grown from 8 week old mouse prostates by previously reported methods (19). Interestingly, the cultured cells maintained



**Figure 3.** Luciferase reporter assay for TCF transcriptional activity. AR suppresses β-catenin-mediated actiavation of the reporter in the presence of DHT or bicalutamide. However, AR mutant Z716R has little effect on β-cantenin trans activation.

smooth muscle differentiation as in the intact mice, as determined by positive co-immunofluorescence staining for  $\alpha$ -smooth muscle actin ( $\alpha$ -SMA) and desmin (Figure 4A). No vimentin expression was observed. Further staining for AR in the cultured stromal cells treated with DHT (1 h) indicated continued AR expression (Figure 4B); this was important since many cultured prostatic epithelial cells lose AR expression (20-22). Further experiments showed that  $\beta$ -catenin and AR co-immunoprecipitate upon DHT treatment (1 h) but do not associate in the absence of DHT (Figure 4C)

In an effort to understand the role of prostatic epithelial androgen responsiveness [Task 2], we examined the expression of various secreted proteins by stromal cells. Based on the lack of androgen responsiveness in the stromal conditional Tgfrb2 knockout and the importance of the  $\beta$ -catenin pathway on AR transcriptional regulation. We focused on the expression of the Wnt family of genes



**Figure 4.** Mouse prostatic stromal cells exhibit similar interaction of AR and  $\beta$ -catenin in the presence of DHT. (A) Coimmuno-fluorescence for  $\alpha$ -smooth muscle actin ( $\alpha$ -SMA, green) and desmin (red) displays an yellow fluorescence, suggestive of smooth muscle differentiation. The lack of vimentin expression (red) support the differentiation assessment. (B) The stromal cells express AR in the nucleus 1 h following DHT treatment. (C)  $\beta$ -catenin immunoprecipitate with AR in the presence of DHT (1 h) as determined by Western blotting.

from the stromal cells of the Tgfbr2 conditional knock out and control mice. The cells were incubated with bicalutamide for 24 –96h or ethanol (vehicle). Due to the lack of availability of good quality antibodies for many of proteins in this family, the RNA expression was measured through realtime RT-PCR. Interestingly, we found that expression levels of Wnt2 to be elevated in the Tgfbr2 knockout stromal cells with Wnt9a and Wnt11 suppressed compared to wild type control (Figure 5). The expression level of Wnt4, Wnt5a, and Wnt5b were similar in both Tgfbr2 knockout and control stromal cells. All realtime RT-PCR reactions were done in triplicate with corresponding standard curve for quantitation of each gene and GAPDH for loading control. The differential expression of Wnt genes in an androgen non-responsive prostate mouse model lends support to our studies of the β-catenin/AR interactions in the prostate epithelia. Wnt2 activates the canonical β-catenin/TCF signaling pathway associated with promotion of proliferation, whereas Wnt9a and Wnt11 stimulate the non-canonical downstream signaling associated with growth suppression, morphogenesis, and apoptosis (23-25). The fact that these Wnt family members are differentially regulated also support a complex a relationship between the stroma and epithelia as well as the novel identification of androgen dependent Wnt signaling activation.



Figure 5. Expression of Wnt genes are differentially androgen regulated in prostatic stromal cells. Stromal cells from control (wt) and Tgfbr2 knockout (ko) cells were incubated with bicalutamide for 0-96 h. the RNA was extracted and RT-PCR performed for Wnt 2, Wnt 4, Wnt9a, and Wnt 11. There was an observable increase in Wnt 2 expression in the ko cells with androgen depletion, whereas Wnt4 expression was similar in both cell types. Wnt 9a was suppressed by bicalutamide treatment in the ko cells relative to wt, but Wnt 11 was elevated in the wt cells over basal levels under similar conditions.

### KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHEMENTS

- Demonstrate AR can suppress β-catenin signaling in the presence of DHT.
- Showed that the dynamic loading of β-catenin and AR through re-ChIP experiments on the PSA enhancer and promoter regions.
- Prostatic stromal cells exhibit AR and β-catenin interactions similar to prostatic epithelial cells.
- Prostatic stromal cells from androgen-nonresponsive prostate tissue have differential expression of Wnt genes compared to control prostatic stromal cells.
- We identified that Wnt11 and Wnt 9a are androgen-regulated genes.

### CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The data accumulated thus far from Dr. Truica's work and those by the PI's laboratory is sufficiently mature that is being put together for manuscript submission currently. The future work will adhere to the previously approved tasks and those detailed in the body of this report with the additional examination of prostatic stromal cells. Thus further support is being requested to do complete the studies on the role of androgen and β-catenin signaling involved in androgen responsiveness of the prostatic epithelia.

## REFERNCES

1. Koivisto P, Kononen J, Palmberg C, Tammela T, Hyytinen E, Isola J, et al. Androgen receptor gene amplification: a possible molecular mechanism for androgen deprivation therapy failure in prostate cancer. Cancer Res 1997;57:314-9.

2. Taplin ME, Rajeshkumar B, Halabi S, Werner CP, Woda BA, Picus J, et al. Androgen receptor mutations in androgen-independent prostate cancer: Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study 9663. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:2673-8.

3. Nupponen N, Visakorpi T. Molecular biology of progression of prostate cancer. Eur Urol 1999;35:351-4.

4. Voeller HJ, Truica CI, Gelmann EP. Beta-catenin mutations in human prostate cancer. Cancer Res 1998;58:2520-3.

5. Visakorpi T, Hyytinen E, Koivisto P, Tanner M, Keinanen R, Palmberg C, et al. In vivo amplification of the androgen receptor gene and progression of human prostate cancer. Nat Genet 1995;9:401-6.

6. Miller JR, Hocking AM, Brown JD, Moon RT. Mechanism and function of signal transduction by the Wnt/beta-catenin and Wnt/Ca2+ pathways. Oncogene 1999;18:7860-72.

7. Morin PJ, Sparks AB, Korinek V, Barker N, Clevers H, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Activation of beta-catenin-Tcf signaling in colon cancer by mutations in beta-catenin or APC [see comments]. Science 1997;275:1787-90.

8. Ilyas M. Wnt signalling and the mechanistic basis of tumour development. J Pathol 2005;205:130-44.

9. Linja MJ, Porkka KP, Kang Z, Savinainen KJ, Janne OA, Tammela TL, et al. Expression of androgen receptor coregulators in prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:1032-40.

10. Chesire DR, Isaacs WB. Beta-catenin signaling in prostate cancer: an early perspective. Endocr Relat Cancer 2003;10:537-60.

11. Chen G, Shukeir N, Potti A, Sircar K, Aprikian A, Goltzman D, Rabbani SA. Up-regulation of Wnt-1 and beta-catenin production in patients with advanced metastatic prostate carcinoma: potential pathogenetic and prognostic implications. Cancer 2004;101:1345-56.

12. Mulholland DJ, Read JT, Rennie PS, Cox ME, Nelson CC. Functional localization and competition between the androgen receptor and T-cell factor for nuclear beta-catenin: a means for inhibition of the Tcf signaling axis. Oncogene 2003;22:5602-13.

13. Bhowmick NA, Neilson EG, Moses HL. Stromal fibroblasts in cancer initiation and progression. Nature 2004;432:332-7.

14. Iwano M, Plieth D, Danoff TM, Xue C, Okada H, Neilson EG. Evidence that fibroblasts derive from epithelium during tissue fibrosis. J Clin Invest 2002;110:341-50.

15. Iwano M, Fischer A, Okada H, Plieth D, Xue C, Danoff TM, Neilson EG. Conditional abatement of tissue fibrosis using nucleoside analogs to selectively corrupt DNA replication in transgenic fibroblasts. Mol Ther 2001;3:149-59.

16. Strutz F, Okada H, Lo CW, Danoff T, Carone RL, Tomaszewski JE, Neilson EG. Identification and characterization of a fibroblast marker: FSP1. J Cell Biol 1995;130:393-405.

17. Truica CI, Byers S, Gelmann EP. Beta-catenin affects androgen receptor transcriptional activity and ligand specificity. Cancer Res 2000;60:4709-13.

18. Beckman WC, Jr., Jacokes AL, Camps JL, Jr., Cook RL, Siegal GP. Analysis of changes in rat prostate carcinoma following hormone deprivation. Am J Pathol 1987;128:566-72.

19. Tuxhorn JA, Ayala GE, Smith MJ, Smith VC, Dang TD, Rowley DR. Reactive stroma in human prostate cancer: induction of myofibroblast phenotype and extracellular matrix remodeling. Clin Cancer Res 2002;8:2912-23.

20. Grant ES, Batchelor KW, Habib FK. Androgen independence of primary epithelial cultures of the prostate is associated with a down-regulation of androgen receptor gene expression. Prostate 1996;29:339-49.

21. Rundlett SE, Gordon DA, Miesfeld RL. Characterization of a panel of rat ventral prostate epithelial cell lines immortalized in the presence or absence of androgens. Exp Cell Res 1992;203:214-21.

22. Lee YG, Korenchuk S, Lehr J, Whitney S, Vessela R, Pienta KJ. Establishment and characterization of a new human prostatic cancer cell line: DuCaP. In Vivo 2001;15:157-62.

23. Widelitz R. Wnt signaling through canonical and non-canonical pathways: recent progress. Growth Factors 2005;23:111-6.

24. Matsui T, Raya A, Kawakami Y, Callol-Massot C, Capdevila J, Rodriguez-Esteban C, Izpisua Belmonte JC. Noncanonical Wnt signaling regulates midline convergence of organ primordia during zebrafish development. Genes Dev 2005;19:164-75.

25. Koyanagi M, Haendeler J, Badorff C, Brandes RP, Hoffmann J, Pandur P, et al. Non-canonical Wnt signaling enhances differentiation of human circulating progenitor cells to cardiomyogenic cells. J Biol Chem 2005;280:16838-42.