

Integrated Erasure-based Coding for Reliable Multicast Retransmission

Joe Macker

Center for High Assurance Computer Systems,
NRL

macker@itd.nrl.navy.mil

Notes presented to the IRTF RM Meeting
Memphis, TN April 1997

Joe Macker March 1996


Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 1997		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-1997 to 00-00-1997	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Integrated Erasure-based Coding for Reliable Multicast Retransmission				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Research Laboratory, Center for High Assurance Computer Systems, 4555 Overlook Avenue, SW, Washington, DC, 20375				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Some Background




Integrated Retransmission

- Don't transmit any parity on 1st cycle
- Modify RM Nack Process
 - report only max lost among receiver group {block id, max lost}
 - can still do repair backoff
 - no parsing nacked sequence numbers, block bit maps, etc
- Scaled groups can show large % loss in total
 - single retransmitted parity can repair multiple lost packets
 - significant RM message reduction for uncorrelated loss cases
 - with an integrated retransmission approach you do not have preestimate the amount of parity needed

Performance Gain for Uncorrelated Loss

E.g., Transmit Block (1..20)



Total Lost Packets = 6
Max Lost Per Receiver = 3

- Single parity packet can repair multiple packets across receiver set
- We can integrate this into Nack processing to improve scaling when uncorrelated loss is anticipated
- Can use this for streaming but there is:
 - delay penalty
 - processing penalty
- Makes a lot of sense for bulk transfer to large groups in one-to-many environments

Simple Loss Model Example

1. uncorrelated packet loss
2. Homogeneous loss probability
3. N= packet block size, M= receiver group size

Expected Value of 2nd Cycle Repair Retransmissions?


$$\text{NoFEC}(n, m, p) := n \cdot [1 - (1 - p)^m]$$

With parity erasure-based repairing
here's the pdf of the max among nodes

$$\text{pdfFEC}(n, m, p, k) := \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{m!}{(j!) \cdot (m-j)!} \cdot \text{dbinom}(k, n, p)^j \cdot \text{pbinom}(k-1, n, p)^{m-j}$$

$$\text{FEC}(n, m, p) := \sum_{i=1}^n i \cdot \text{pdfFEC}(n, m, p, i)$$

Example Message Reduction



Block=20 packets, Group Sizes of 20,50,100