AD_____

AWARD NUMBER: DAMD17-02-1-0662

TITLE: Dietary Genistein and Prostate Cancer Chemoprevention

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Coral A. Lamartiniere, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: The University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, Alabama 35292-0111

REPORT DATE: April 2006

TYPE OF REPORT: Final Addendum

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

R					Form Approved			
Public reporting burden for this	collection of information is esti			wing instructions searc	UMB INO. 0704-0188			
data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of D 4302. Respondents should be valid OMB control number. PL	ind reviewing this collection of i lefense, Washington Headquari aware that notwithstanding any EASE DO NOT RETURN YOU	nformation. Send comments rega iters Services, Directorate for Infor other provision of law, no persor R FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDR	arding this burden estimate or novie mation Operations and Reports n shall be subject to any penalty f RESS.	y other aspect of this co (0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe for failing to comply with	Institution of information, including suggestions for reducing rson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- a collection of information if it does not display a currently			
1. REPORT DATE (DD	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3. D	ATES COVERED (From - To)			
April 2006 4. TITLE AND SUBTIT	LE	Final Addendum		1 A 5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER			
Dietary Genistein and Prostate Cancer Chemoprevention			ו	5 b. DA	5b. GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-02-1-0662			
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER			
Coral A. Lamartini	ere, Ph.D.			5e. ⁻	5e. TASK NUMBER			
E-Mail: Coral@uab	.edu			5f. \	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORG	GANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. P N	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT			
The University of A Birmingham, Alaba	Alabama at Birming ama 35292-0111	ham						
9. SPONSORING / MO U.S. Army Medica	NITORING AGENCY N Research and Ma	IAME(S) AND ADDRESS teriel Command	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
Fort Detrick, Maryl	and 21702-5012			44				
				11.	NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION / A	VAILABILITY STATEN	IENT						
Approved for Publi	ic Release; Distribu	ition Unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	YNOTES							
14. ABSTRACT								
The goal of our rese genistein, and its me chemically-induced p exposure did not alter resulted in decrease that early exposure to postnatal developme DNA methylation me Phospho-Akt and Bo protein regulation co	arch was to determin echanism (s) of chem prostate cancer in rat er prostate bud devel d androgen receptor, o genistein can have ent did not alter andro echanism in the prost d-2 protein levels wer nsistent with increase	e if there is a developr oprevention. Life-time s than neonatallpreput opment. Dietary genist but not estrogen rece a programming effect ogen receptor mRNA ir ate of rats. On the othe e decreased and Bax ed apoptosis, a cellula	mental window for sup (starting at birth) expo pertal or adult only exp tein during the neonate ptors alpha and beta, on androgen receptor on the prostate, data the er hand, genistein in th was increased in pros r mechanism that can	pressing prosta posure to geniste posures to genis allprepubertal po- in dorsolateral p r expression. Ho at argues agains ne diet resulted states of rats fed suppress prosta	te cancer with the phytoestrogen, n was more effective in suppressing tein. Neonatallprepubertal genistein eriod as well as during adulthood prostates of 70 day old rats, suggesting wever, genistein at each period of st genistein causing gene silencing via n increased apoptosis in the prostate. genistein in tlle diet, ate cancer development.			
15. SUBJECT TERMS Genistein, Rat, Prostate, Cancer Chemoprevention								
16. SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC			
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	UU	11	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)			
		1		1	Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)			

Table of Contents

Cover	1
SF 298	2
Contents	3
Introduction	4
Body	4
Key Research Accomplishments	8
Reportable Outcomes	9
Conclusions	9
References	10
Appendices (Personnel)	11

Introduction

The disease of cancer is usually attacked at time of diagnosis, and even chemoprevention is not usually considered until adulthood. Our hypothesis is that windows of development hold the key for chemoprevention of prostate cancer. We have previously demonstrated that exposure to physiological concentrations of genistein starting at 5 weeks suppressed the development of chemically-induced prostate cancer and genistein is bioavailable to the prostate in rats. The purpose of our proposed research is to determine if there is a developmental window for this chemoprevention and the mechanism(s) of chemoprevention. The importance of this lies in the need to know, prior to initiation of human trials, if we need to expose infants and/or adults to get maximum chemoprevention.

Body

Aim 1. To determine if a specific window of development (neonatal/prepubertal only, adult only or life-time) is responsible for genistein chemoprevention of prostate cancer. This was carried out in the following groups of rats.

Group A) no genistein in the diet as positive controls.

Group B) genistein in the diet from birth until 35 days of age only.

Group C) genistein in the diet from 90 to 330 days.

Group D) genistein via the diet from birth throughout life to demonstrate that postnatal lifetime exposure only protects against prostate cancer.

Table 1. Prostate Cancer Incidence in Lobund-Wistar Rats* Fed Genistein in the Diet

		Perce	ent of R	ats with	n Patho	logical S	score:
Treatment	<u>#/Group</u>	1	2	3	4	5	6
A) Controls	23	22	4	17	4	9	43
B) Genistein (1-35 Days)	27	37	4	15	7	7	30
C) Genistein (3-11 Months)	28	32	25	14	0	0	29 ^a
D) Genistein (1-11 Months)	30	43	23	0	3	10	20 ^{a,b}

*Lobund-Wistar male rats were gavaged with 33 mg flutamide/kg BW on days 50-66 postpartum to cause chemical castration. On days 67, 68 and 69 they were injected with 25 mg testosterone/kg BW to stimulate mitosis. On day 70, they were injected with 42 mg NMU/kg BW into the dorsal prostate to initiate cancer. One week after NMU administration, silastic implants of 25 mg testosterone were administered (and replaced every 12 weeks) to simulate mitosis and promote tumor growth. Rats were necropsied when 11 months old or when moribund. Key to Pathology report: 1 – Normal tissue; 2 – Low-grade PIN; 3 – High-grade PIN; 4 – Well-differentiated lesion; 5 – Moderately differentiated lesion; 6 – Poorly differentiated lesion. ^a < 0.05 compared to controls based on the exact Cochran-Armitage trend test. ^b < 0.05 compared to Controls only using the chi-square test.

From a 4 x 6 contingency table analysis based on the exact likelihood ratio chi-square test, there was significant association between treatments (Controls, Genistein for 1-35 Days, Genistein for 3-11 Months, and Genistein for 1-11 Months) and tumor grade (1-6), p-value = 0.022. However, when comparing each of the treatment groups to the controls, only Genistein for 1-11 months was significantly different than controls (p-value = 0.023). Note that while 43.5% of the Control animals had grade-6 tumors, only 20% of the Genistein for 1-11 months and while only 21.7% of the Control animals had no tumors (grade-1), 43.3% of the Genistein for 0-11 months had no

tumors (grade-1). Genistein for 1-35 days and Genistein for 3-11 months were not significantly different than controls (p-values = 0.887 and 0.072, respectively). In addition, there were no significant differences between Genistein for 1-11 months and Genistein for 1-35 days (p-value=0.075). No other comparisons were significant.

Based on the exact Cochran-Armitage trend test, the Genistein 1-11 month and the Genistein 3-11 month groups had statistically significant downward trends in tumor grade as compared to controls (p-value =0.016, 0.041, respectively). There was no significant trend for Genistein 1-35 days as compared to controls (p-value=0.134). There were no significant differences in trend between any of the Genistein treatment groups.

Aim 2. To investigate prostate gland morphology in the dorsal- and lateral-lobes of the prostates of 21 and 35 day old rats exposed \pm genistein in the diet, starting at birth.

	Prostate Bud Per	imeter (mm) in 21 Day	Old Male Rats*
	<u>DP</u>	<u>LP1</u>	<u>LP2</u>
Control	0.65 ± 0.01	0.67 ± 0.02	0.61 ± 0.02
Genistein	0.66 ± 0.02	0.61 ± 0.02	0.60 ± 0.02
	Prostate Bud Per	imeter (mm) in 35 Day	Old Male Rats*
	<u>DP</u>	<u>LP1</u>	<u>LP2</u>
Control	1.13 ± 0.03	1.15 ± 0.04	1.05 ± 0.03
Genistein	1.14 ± 0.03	1.15 ± 0.03	0.97 ± 0.02

*These 21 and 35 day old rats were exposed to 250 mg genistein/kg AIN-76A diet, starting at birth. DP: dorsal prostate; LP1: lateral prostate lobe 1; LP2: lateral prostate lobe 2. No statistical significance was detected for prostate bud perimeter from genistein compared to control treated rats using ANOVA.

These data demonstrate that neonatal/prepubertal genistein in the diet did not alter prostate gland development and is not the cellular mechanism of chemoprevention.

Aim 3. To investigate the potential of genistein to regulate sex steroid receptor expression as mechanism of prostate cancer prevention. This was carried out in 70 day old rats, time of carcinogen (NMU) exposure.

Dietary genistein resulted in decreased androgen receptor (Fig. 1), but not estrogen receptors alpha and beta (data not shown), in dorsolateral prostates of 70 day old rats. The novel finding is that neonatal/prepubertal short term genistein treatment (days 1-35) was able to down-regulate the androgen receptor as well as did adult only (days 56-70) and life-time (days 1-70) genistein treatments. Since short term early exposure to genistein is as effective as short term late and lifetime exposure to genistein, this suggest that early exposure to genistein can have a programming or imprinting effect on the androgen receptor. This is consistent with neonatal/prepubertal genistein treatment causing permanent effect on gene and protein expression via DNA methylation or on other effects that indirectly regulate androgen receptor expression.



Androgen receptor protein was determined via Western blot analysis. Each group contained 8 rats. ${}^{a}P < 0.01$ and ${}^{b}P < 0.001$ compared to controls.

Aim 4. To investigate DNA methylation of androgen receptor as imprinting mechanism of action.

Based on the data of Aim 3, it is plausible that androgen receptor, but not estrogen receptors alpha and beta, could be regulated via DNA methylation mechanism. Hence, we attempted to measure DNA methylation via methylation specific PCR (MSP). However, rat androgen receptor MSP primers have not been previously designed. While we designed two sets of methylated and unmethylated primers based on the rat androgen receptor promoter region sequence, we were not able to demonstrate DNA methylation (data not shown).

As a final attempt to determine if gene silencing occurred, we used real-time PCR to measure gene expression levels of the rat androgen receptor. The Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time (RT) PCR System was utilized to measure gene expression levels of the rat androgen receptor (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Briefly, total RNA was extracted from prostate tissue *via* Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). We used One-Step TaqMan RT-PCR chemistry which relies on the 5'-nuclease activity of DNA polymerase and the Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) of a reporter and quencher dye labeled with FAM-MGB to generate the fluorescence signal. Universal master mix, multiscribe reverse transcriptase and RNase inhibitors, the primer and probe mix, and template RNA were loaded onto a 96 well plate. Primer and probe combinations for the rat androgen receptor (Assay ID: Rn00560747_m1) were purchased from Applied Biosystem's Assay on Demand (Applied Biosystems). Rat S9 (Assay ID: Rn01530912_g1), a mitochondrial ribosomal protein, was used as an endogenous control to normalize mRNA concentrations. Rat S9 is an ideal endogenous

control since it has a constant RNA transcription level under different experimental settings and is expressed consistently across different tissues. Non-template controls (devoid of mRNA) were implemented to monitor pipette carryover. Each sample was run in triplicate for the target gene and the endogenous control. A minimum of eight samples per group was analyzed. The relative quantification (RQ) assay, a real-time quantitative PCR application, did not reveal gene expression changes in the treated samples relative to calibrator (control) samples (data not shown).

As seen in Figure 2, androgen receptor mRNA levels from prostates of rats exposed 1) neonatally/prepubertally only (days 1-35), 2) for two weeks postpubertally (days 56-70) or 3) from days 1-70 were not significantly different from controls (exposed to AIN-76A diet only). Hence, we conclude that genistein did not effect gene silencing via DNA methylation mechanism in the prostate of rats.



Androgen receptor mRNA was determined *via* real-time PCR. Each group contained 6 rats. Using ANOVA, no significantly difference was found compared to controls.

<u>Additional work.</u> We have also investigated the potential of genistein in the diet from days 1-70 to alter apoptosis. Figure 3 demonstrates that there is significantly increased cell death in prostates of rats fed genistein. One protein that plays a role in cell survival is AKT (Protein Kinase B). The phosphorylated product subsequently recruits Akt family members to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane and stimulates their protein kinase activity. Measurement of phospho-Akt protein by Western blot analysis revealed significantly reduced levels in prostates of rats fed genistein supporting the fact that apoptosis was increased (Fig. 4). Two other proteins that factor in cell survival are Bcl-2 and Bax. The two proteins have highly similar amino acid sequences but are functionally opposed: Bcl-2 acts to inhibit apoptosis, whereas Bax counteracts this effect. The antagonism appears to depend upon dimerization between Bcl-2 and Bax, but its mechanism is otherwise unknown. Overexpressed Bax accelerates apoptotic death. The ratio of bcl-2 to Bax determines survival or death after an apoptotic stimulus. In rats fed genistein we found that Bcl-2 was down regulated and Bax was up-regulated (Figures 5 and 6). This is



consistent with genistein increasing apoptosis and suppressing prostate cancer development in the rat model.

The TdT-FragELTM DNA Fragmentation Detection Kit (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) was used to measure apoptosis following the manufacturer's instructions. Phospho-Akt, Bcl-2 and Bax proteins were determined via Western blot analysis. Each group contained 8 rats. $^*P < 0.05$ compared to controls.

Key Research Accomplishments

- There was significant association between treatments (Controls, Genistein for 1-35 Days, Genistein for 3-11 Months, and Genistein for 1-11 Months) and tumor grade (1-6), p-value = 0.022.
- Based on the exact Cochran-Armitage trend test, the 1-11 month Genistein and the 3-11 month groups Genistein had statistically significant downward trends in tumor grade as compared to controls (p-value =0.016, 0.041, respectively).
- Compared to Controls, only Genistein for 1-11 months was significantly different in tumor grade (p-value = 0.023).

- Neonatal/prepubertal genistein in the diet did not alter prostate bud perimeter in 21 and 35 day old rats. This demonstrates that genistein is not capable of altering rat prostate morphology.
- Dietary genistein during the neonatal/prepubertal period as well as during adulthood resulted in decreased androgen receptor, but not estrogen receptors alpha and beta, in dorsolateral prostates of 70 day old rats, suggesting that early exposure to genistein can have a programming or imprinting effect on androgen receptor expression.
- Genistein in the diet at each period of postnatal development did not alter androgen receptor mRNA in the prostate, data that argues against genistein causing gene silencing via DNA methylation mechanism in the prostate of rats.
- Genistein in the diet resulted in increased apoptosis in the prostate.
- Phospho-Akt and Bcl-2 protein levels were decreased and Bax was increased in prostates of rats fed genistein in the diet, protein regulation consistent with increased apoptosis.

Reportable Outcomes

<u>Molecular and Cellular Pathology Seminar:</u> Dietary Polyphenols Protect Against Mammary and Prostate Cancers. University of Alabama at Birmingham Department of Pathology. September. 2005.

<u>University of Gottingen</u>, Germany Seminar: Dietary Polyphenols Protect Against Mammary and Prostate Cancers. February, 2006.

Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany Seminar: Dietary Polyphenols Protect Against Mammary and Prostate Cancers. February, 2006.

<u>Technische Universitat</u>, Dresden, Germany Seminar: Dietary Polyphenols Protect Against Mammary and Prostate Cancers. February, 2006.

University of Alabama at Birmingham <u>Hematology and Oncology</u> Seminar: Dietary Polyphenols Protect Against Mammary and Prostate Cancers. March, 2006.

Wang, J., Eltoum, I.A. and Lamartiniere, C.A. Genistein suppresses prostate cancer in chemically-induced Lobund-Wistar rat model. In preparation for International Journal of Cancer.

Conclusion

Genistein in the diet suppressed chemically-induced prostate cancer in rats. Life-time (starting at birth) exposure to genistein is more effective in conferring protection against prostate cancer than neonatal/prepubertal or adult only exposures to genistein, suggesting that developmental and/or programming effects plus maintenance regulation plays a role in protecting against prostate cancer. Neonatal/prepubertal genistein exposure does not alter prostate bud development. Dietary genistein during the neonatal/prepubertal period as well as during adulthood resulted in decreased androgen receptor, but not estrogen receptors alpha and beta, in

dorsolateral prostates of 70 day old rats, suggesting that early exposure to genistein can have a programming or imprinting effect on androgen receptor expression. However, genistein at each period of postnatal development did not alter androgen receptor mRNA in the prostate, data that argues against genistein causing gene silencing via DNA methylation mechanism in the prostate of rats. On the other hand, genistein in the diet resulted in increased apoptosis in the prostate. Phospho-Akt and Bcl-2 protein levels were decreased and Bax was increased in prostates of rats fed genistein in the diet, protein regulation consistent with increased apoptosis, a cellular mechanism that can suppress prostate cancer development.

References

None

Appendices

List of personnel receiving pay from the research effort

Appendix

Į

List of personnel receiving pay from the research effort

Coral A. Lamartiniere, Ph.D., P.I. Isam Eltoum, MD, Pathologist Dr. Najadia Lopatina, Ph.D., Res. Instructor Dr. Jun Wang, M.D, Res. Instructor Natalie Durr, BS, Technician Glen Puckett, BS, Technician Hongming Liu, BS, Technician