



Hypothesis Testing of Edge Organizations – Part I

IC2RTS 2005 Edge Organizations Track

Dr. Mark E. Nissen

Naval Postgraduate School

Sponsored in part by OASD-NII, through its CCRP.

Research coordinated through the Center for Edge Power.

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE JUN 2005	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2005 to 00-00-2005			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Hypothesis Testing of Edge Organizations - Part I (Briefing Charts)		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Postgraduate School,1 University Circle,Monterey,CA,93943		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 9	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Motivation

- ✦ Edge organization is fresh OD approach
- ✦ Question comparative & contingent performance
- ✦ Research problems with methods & ambiguity
- ✦ Computational experimentation as bridge method
- ✦ Center for Edge Power: MY, MD, MU R program
- ✦ This study:
 - Phase 1 – model specification & exp design
 - Set up computational experimentation & field research


Archetypal Classification

Table 2 Classification* of Hierarchy & Edge Organizations


Design Factor	Hierarchy	Edge
Coordination	Work standards	Mutual adjustment (Adhocracy)
Specialization – H	High	Low (Simple Structure)
Specialization – V	High	Low (Professional Bureaucracy)
Training & indoc	High	High (Professional Bureaucracy)
Formalization	High	Low (Simple Structure, Professional Bureaucracy, Adhocracy)
Grouping	Function	Market & function (Adhocracy & Professional Bureaucracy)
Unit size	Large	Small (Adhocracy)
Planning & control	Action planning	Limited action planning (Adhocracy)
Liaison	Few	Many throughout (Adhocracy)
Decentralization	Centralized	Selective decentralization (Adhocracy)
Archetype	<i>Machine Bureaucracy</i>	<i>Professional Adhocracy</i>

* See Mintzberg (1979)

Hierarchy Model



Edge Model



Experimental Design

Table 4 Manipulations of Experimental Factors

Manipulation	Industrial Age	21 st Century
Mission & Environmental Context (P1, 5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Medium complexity - Med <i>requirement complexity</i> - Med <i>solution complexity</i> - Med <i>uncertainty</i> - Conventional tasks - Same <i>FEP</i> - Same <i>PEP</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - High complexity - High <i>requirement complexity</i> - High <i>solution complexity</i> - High <i>uncertainty</i> - Challenging tasks - Higher <i>FEP</i> - Higher <i>PEP</i>
Network Architecture (P2, 3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stovepiped - Hierarchy settings - Low bandwidth - <i>Noise</i> (0.3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Networked - Edge settings - High bandwidth - <i>Noise</i> (0.01)
Professional Competency (P2, 4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cumulative learning - Higher <i>application experience</i> - Personnel rotation - Lower <i>skill level</i> - Low <i>team experience</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Marginal learning - Lower <i>application experience</i> - Personnel rotation - Higher <i>skill level</i> - High <i>team experience</i>

Preliminary Results

Table 5 Preliminary Experimental Results*

Measure	Hierarchy Organization: Industrial Age (HOIA)	Edge Organization: Industrial Age (EOIA)	Hierarchy Organization: 21st Century (HOTC)	Edge Organization: 21st Century (EOTC)
Duration	227 days	223 days	314 days	235 days
Cost	\$12B	\$9B	\$16B	\$10B
Project Risk	0.36	0.78	0.36	0.78
Max Backlog	24 days (Commander)	14 days (Ground A)	27 days (Commander)	16 days (Ground A)
Work Volume	830K days	819K days	830K days	819K days
Rework Volume	131K days	113K days	422K days	166K days
Coordination Volume	15K days	186K days	40K days	227K days
Decision Wait Volume	62K days	0K days	184K days	0K days

* 1 x 2 x 2

Contributions

- ✦ Illustrate use & utility of comp exp in mil C2
- ✦ Root Edge org characteristics in Org Theory
- ✦ Characterize “new” Edge form theoretically
- ✦ Publish semi-formal model of Edge org
- ✦ ID fundamental tension: cost vs. risk
- ✦ Reveal comparative performance & contingency in C2
- ✦ Establish basis for hypothesis testing of Edge orgs

Limitations & Future Research

⚡ Limitations

- Bridge research method, interpretation & judgment
- C2 is relatively new domain for VDT

⚡ Future research

- Complete full-factorial & comprehensive field of experiments
- Fieldwork for model validation, calibration, extension
- Complementary studies ongoing & planned
- Center for Edge Power welcomes informed input