Intelligence Community Public Key Infrastructure (IC PKI)

<u>Ó 2002 The MITRE Corporation</u> This technical data was produced for the U.S. Government under contract 99-G000109-000, and is subject to the Rights in Data-General Clause 52.227-14 (JUNE 1987).



Report Documentation Page					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.							
1. REPORT DATE 2002		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2002 to 00-00-2002			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Intelligence Community Public Key Infrastructure (IC PKI)					5b. GRANT NUMBER		
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
					5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) MITRE Corporation,202 Burlington Road,Bedford,MA,01730-1420					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The original document contains color images.							
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	CATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT	0F PAGES 19	RESPONSIBLE PERSON		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

Outline

- The US Intelligence Community
- Why is PKI needed on CLASSIFIED networks?
- What is in an IC PKI Certificate?
- Current IC PKI Status
- Notional IC PKI Topology
- MITRE IC PKI/FSD Laboratory
- Certificate Validation
- IC PKI Requirements and Issues
- Conclusion



The US Intelligence Community





Why is PKI Needed on CLASSIFIED Networks?

- The ability to establish more secure areas on CLASSIFIED networks is essential to wider release and dissemination of data to the end users
 - Data dissemination that needs to be tracked and controlled
 - Data restricted to those with a "need to know"
 - Compartmented data (beyond the level of the network)
 - Originator-controlled data
 - Data restricted to those on a "by name" access control list

Why is PKI Needed on CLASSIFIED Networks? (cont)

- PKI-enabled applications can include:
 - Secure messaging applications
 - •Who sent me that message?
 - Secure Web access and Communities of Interest (COIs)
 - How do I keep other people from viewing this data?
 - Release authorities and disclosure procedures
 - How do I know I can release this information?
 - Mobile Code and object signing
 - Who authored this applet and can it be trusted?
 - Virtual Private Networks (VPN)
 - How can I have a (more) secure connection?
 - Collaborative toolkits
 - Can we establish a (more) secure VTC?

Why is PKI Needed on CLASSIFIED Networks? (cont)

- In addition, agencies are allowed to use the IC PKI certificate for internal purposes
 - Approval documents
 - Electronic workflow applications
 - Restricted access directories and documents
 - Financial forms



IC Communities of Interest

Network Access Control Level	Description	Access Control Mechanism	Server Management	Certificate	Technical Requirements
1	General Access	<u>None</u> Information available to all network users			
2	Controlled Access (Simple I & A)	Access may be controlled by non- certificate based controls			
3	Authenticated (Certificate based I&A)	Valid Community certificate required		Community	SSL
4	Restricted Membership - Distributed Control	COI access decision is managed according to rules approved by data owners and the decision process may be centralized or decentralized	Per data owner's consent	Community	SSL
5	Restricted Membership - Data Owner Controlled	COI access decision is managed by the data owner	Data Owner	Community	SSL
6	Restricted Membership - Self-Protecting Data	COI access decision is managed by the data owner	Data Owner	Data Owner designates Certificate Authority (Community or other)	Self-Protecting Data Data are encrypted in transit and at-rest and are only accessible by authorized user

IC PKI

What is in an IC PKI Certificate?

Signature Certificate (required elements) **Basic Certificate** Version V3(2) Identified which version of X.509 standard is being used Serial Number Identifies certificate Unique integer Issuer Signature Algorithm sha1WithRSAEncryption Specified signature algorithm for CA kev **Issuer Distinguished Name** Country Code С US Country of certificate issuance U.S. Government Per federal PKI guidelines Organization 0 Organizational Unit 1 OU1 DCI Cabinet-level organization Organizational Unit 2 OU2 CIA Agency CIA-IC-PKI Name of agency certificate authority Common Name CN Validity Period 012400ZMAY00-012400ZMAY03 User certificates are valid for up to three years Subject Distinguished Name US Country Code C Country of certificate issuance Organization O **U.S.Government** Per federal PKI guidelines Organizational Unit 1 OU1 DCI Cabinet-level organization Organizational Unit 2 CIA OU2 Agency MacGarrigle.Ellen.F.1234UYTF Common Name Unique name within an agency (at CN agency discretion) Subject Public Key Information 1024 RSA key modulus, Information needed to process **RSA** encryption user's public key **Issuer's Signature** sha1WithRSAEncryption Actual issuer key signature

M TRE

What is in an IC PKI Certificate (cont)?

Extensions								
Key Usage	email signing certificate: digitalSignature set non-repudiation set keyEncipherment not set	Permits use for authentication and non-repudiation only when used with newer S/MIME clients						
Certificate policies	id-US-level3 ::={id-certificate- policy 7}	Alphanumeric code identifying governing Level 3/Level 4 PKI policy						
Subject Alternative Name	macgari@cia	User's ICEmail address						
Subject Directory Attributes	Nationality=US	Citizenship of user						
	EmployeeType=Contractor	Employment status of user						

Signature Certificate (required elements)

"Many legacy S/MIME clients do not enforce functional separation so both the digitalSignature and keyEncipherment flags may be set in some certificates. Since newer S/MIME clients that enforce functional separation are beginning to become available, the IC PKI shall require one S/MIME certificate with the digital signature and non-repudiation bits set and a second certificate with the key encipherment bit set for those clients." (IC PKI Certificate Policy)

Note: fields in red italics mean required but "non-critical" fields

Notional IC CA PKI Topology



M TRE

PKI/FSD Lab Configuration



MITRE

Current IC PKI Status

- Overarching Policy signed October 1999
- Certificate Policy signed February 2000
- IC standup effort currently underway
 - Root: Interim Authority to Operate (IATO) on 24Jul00, final ATO issued 08Aug00
 - NSA: Interim Approval to Test (IATT) Aug00, IATO Sep00
 - CIA: IATT Apr01, ATO Jun01
 - Common Services (IMO) (incl NIMA): IATT Jun01, IATO Sep01, ATO Dec01
 - DIA: IATT August 2001, IATO Oct0ber 2001, planned ATO Feb02
 - NRO: Planned IATT Mar02(?), planned ATO May02(?)

Certificate Validation (cont)

• To ensure certificate validity, certificates must be verified

- Applications may check expiration dates but other checks are not automatic
- Certificates may be revoked for the following reasons:
 - identifying information or attributes in the end entity's certificate changes before the certificate expires;
 - the certificate subject can be shown to have violated the CP or the CPS of the CA who issued the certificate;
 - •fraudulent use or suspected compromise; or
 - the user or other authorized party (as defined in the CA's CPS) asks for his/her certificate to be revoked
- Two approaches are supported today:
 - Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs)
 - Online Certificate Status Processing (OCSP)

Certificate Validation (cont)

Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs)

- A list of revoked certificates issued by an IC PKI CA
- Each CA issues their own CRL
- CRLs are periodically issued to reflect revoked certificates
 - CRLs work on a "push/pull" basis (an issuing CA periodically "pushes" the information out; other CAs periodically "pull" this information in)
 - •IC PKI CP mandates a new CRL every 28 days Nonroutine revocations are issued within six hours
- CRL retrieval is based on organizational need/processes
 - Community applications that understand CRLs must retrieve a CRL at least every 72 hours
- CRLs need a central distribution point or points

Certificate Validation (cont)

Online Certificate Status Processing (OCSP)

- OCSP means that a CA automatically attempts to validate a certificate each time the certificate is used
- Each CA must maintain an OCSP lookup point wherein the relevant information is located
- OCSP works in real time but must as a minimum meet the same mandated deadlines as CRLs (28 days/6 hours)
- OCSP options
 - A CA may "push" the CRL to the OCSP responder
 - A CA may "push" the CRL to the FSD and the responder "pulls" it from there
 - Some CAs have built-in responders that automatically "pull" the needed data from the issuing CA
- Few applications currently use OCSP

IC PKI Requirements and Issues

- Lack of common IC directory
 - Extensive installed base precludes single common directory
 - Federated approaches make directory-based functionality more complex and may impose more processing overhead
 - Directory is not yet operational even though IC PKI has reached IOC
- Desire to avoid separate operations and maintenance infrastructure
 - Most O&M costs for PKI are labor-related (registration and revocation are manpower-intensive)
 - IC PKI structure mirrors DoD structures as much as possible to allow reuse of already-planned support organizations and procedures

IC PKI Requirements and Issues (cont)

- Absolute need for key escrow
 - Required for counterintelligence purposes
- Auditing and Malicious Code Detection Policies
 - Should an encrypted message be logged and scanned at the gateway?
- Foreign (allied) national access
 - US users of foreign allied networks have a need to access US resources
- PKI deployment and training issues
 - We need good user training materials



IC PKI Requirements and Issues (cont)

- We have a real requirement for "group" certificates with individual audit capability
 - Ease of operations makes it imperative that some messages be sent and received from common addresses and accounts
 - A virus warning would be "signed and sent" from an agency CIRT desk to prove its authenticity; a user would not have to identify John Doe as being the watch officer
 - A watch officer comes on duty to relieve another watch officer and wants to be able to read all emails sent and received from the position during that duty day
 - A question arises about a warning sent by a duty officer position six months ago; who was the individual who sent that official message?

Conclusion

 IC PKI is on schedule to complete infrastructure deployment this year

- In 2002 IC PKI is moving toward
 - PKI enablement of applications
 - Updating original hardware and software configurations
 - User training and education
 - Interim directory deployment
 - Vendor interoperability issues

