



**Effect of Solventless Bore Cleaning Device (SBCD)  
on Surface Finish and Contamination Transport  
in the M256 Gun Barrel**

**by Mark Bundy, James Garner, Gerald Garcia, Robert Baylor,  
Terry Marrs, Julius Pitts, and Bob Vanina**

ARL-TR-3946

September 2006

## **NOTICES**

### **Disclaimers**

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

# **Army Research Laboratory**

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

---

---

**ARL-TR-3946**

**September 2006**

---

---

## **Effect of Solventless Bore Cleaning Device (SBCD) on Surface Finish and Contamination Transport in the M256 Gun Barrel**

**Mark Bundy and James Garner**  
**Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL**

**Gerald Garcia, Robert Baylor, Terry Marrs, and Julius Pitts**  
**U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center, APG**

**Bob Vanina**  
**ARDEC, Benet Laboratories, Watervliet, NY**

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE  |                             |                              | Form Approved<br>OMB No. 0704-0188                      |                                      |   |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.<br><b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>   |                             |                              |   |                                      |   |
| 1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)<br>September 2006  |                             | 2. REPORT TYPE<br>Final      |   | 3. DATES COVERED (From - To)<br>2006 |   |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br>Effect of Solventless Bore Cleaning Device (SBCD) on Surface Finish and Contamination Transport in the M256 Gun Barrel  |                             |                              | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER                                     |                                      |   |
|  |                             |                              | 5b. GRANT NUMBER  |                                      |   |
|  |                             |                              | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER                              |                                      |   |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)<br>Mark Bundy, James Garner, * Gerald Garcia, * Robert Baylor, * Terry Marrs, * Julius Pitts, * and Bob Vanina †  |                             |                              | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER<br>AH80                              |                                      |   |
|  |                             |                              | 5e. TASK NUMBER   |                                      |   |
|  |                             |                              | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER                                    |                                      |   |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br>U.S. Army Research Laboratory<br>ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-BC<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066   |                             |                              | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER<br>ARL-TR-3946 |                                      |   |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br>*U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5059<br>†ARDEC, Benet Laboratories, Watervliet, NY 12182   |                             |                              | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)                        |                                      |   |
|  |                             |                              | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)                  |                                      |   |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT<br>Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.   |                             |                              |   |                                      |   |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  |                             |                              |   |                                      |   |
| 14. ABSTRACT<br>A new solventless bore cleaning technique, marketed by GI Industries, is currently under review by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Benet Laboratory, and the Aberdeen Test Center. Previous studies have shown that this new cleaning system is efficient at removing propellant residue/glaze without producing any measurable bore wear. This report speaks to both the subtle question of whether or not this new method of cleaning roughens the surface finish; but more importantly, it addresses health and safety issues if the process is applied to barrels having fired depleted uranium rounds. As reported herein, the surface finish is unchanged by the cleaning process. Furthermore, a pre- and post-cleaned radiological survey of a contaminated barrel showed no transport of radioactivity to the cleaning device components, or within the evacuated cleaning dust. The broader implications of the later test are that depleted uranium contamination, when present, lies within the barrel metal, which is not removed in the cleaning process. |                             |                              |   |                                      |   |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS<br>gun, barrel, cleaning, DU radiation, contamination, surface finish  |                             |                              |   |                                      |   |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:  |                             |                              | 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT                              | 18. NUMBER OF PAGES                  | 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON                           |
| a. REPORT<br>UNCLASSIFIED  | b. ABSTRACT<br>UNCLASSIFIED | c. THIS PAGE<br>UNCLASSIFIED | UL  | 14                                   | Mark Bundy  |
|  |                             |                              |   |                                      | 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)<br>410-278-3815 |

---

## **Contents**

---

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>List of Figures</b>  | <b>iv</b> |
| <b>List if Tables</b>   | <b>iv</b> |
| <b>1. Introduction and Background Studies</b>                               | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2. Post-Cleaned SBCD Surface Finish</b>                                  | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>3. Radiological Assessment of an SBCD-Cleaned DU-Contaminated Barrel</b> | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>4. Conclusions</b>   | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>Distribution List</b>  | <b>6</b>  |

---

## List of Figures

---

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Figure 1. GI industries bore cleaning (a) ball brush, (b) swab brush, (c) flexible steel drive shaft, (d) foot-peddle-controlled electric drive motor, (e) in operation..... | 1 |
| Figure 2. Vacuum fixture designed to remove abraded brush and bore surface residue during cleaning.....  | 2 |
| Figure 3. Surface finish appearance after SBCD cleaning. ....  | 3 |

---

## List of Tables

---

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Table 1. Surface finish measurements. .... | 3 |
| Table 2. Radiation measurements. ....      | 5 |

---

## 1. Introduction and Background Studies

---

The U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) and Aberdeen Test Center (ATC) have designed and conducted bore cleaning studies<sup>1</sup> on a commercially sold (GI Industries Inc., Monroe, CT) solventless barrel cleaning device (SBCD) (figure 1). Bore cleaning takes place by manually guiding a spinning brush on the end of a flexible drive shaft up and down the bore for 15–20 min. The brush itself is made from ceramic (silicon carbide) impregnated organic resin matrix spheres or strands which abrade away, along with the bore residues, during the course of cleaning. The combined brush and bore residue can be collected using a vacuum attachment at the muzzle, as illustrated in figure 2.




Figure 1. GI industries bore cleaning (a) ball brush, (b) swab brush, (c) flexible steel drive shaft, (d) foot-peddle-controlled electric drive motor, (e) in operation.

Dimensional inspection of barrels cleaned with the SBCD showed no wear of the chrome or exposed steel substrate.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, an elemental material analysis (using scanning electron microscopy with dispersive x-ray spectroscopy SEM/EDS) of the evacuated cleaning dust<sup>2</sup> did not reveal any chrome or substrate gun steel (from existing chrome chip sites) that could be attributed to brush-induced bore wear.

Although wear tests failed to show any measurable level of bore erosion, two additional wear-related tests were conducted, and the results are reported in the following sections.

---

<sup>1</sup>Bundy, M.; Pitts, J.; Baylor, R.; Doss, J.; Karschner, C. *Solventless Bore Cleaning System for M256 Tank Cannon*; ARL-TR-3650; U.S. Army Research Laboratory: Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, September 2005.

<sup>2</sup>Vanina, B. M256 Cleaning Residue. Memorandum from AMSRD-AAR-AEW-PC, Benet Laboratories: Watervliet, NY, May 2006.



Figure 2. Vacuum fixture designed to remove abraded brush and bore surface residue during cleaning.

---

## 2. Post-Cleaned SBCD Surface Finish

---

Though the surface finish may appear (figure 3) roughened by the SBCD cleaning process, a surface finish measurement (using a Handysurf E-21A surface texture analyzer, manufactured by Sheffield Measurement) indicates (table 1) the smoothness is on par with that of a new barrel.\* Hence the SBCD device does not measurably change the barrel's surface finish.

---

## 3. Radiological Assessment of an SBCD-Cleaned DU-Contaminated Barrel

---

Because of its high mass density, depleted uranium (DU) is often used as a component in tactical long-rod penetrator designs, such as the M829 family of 120-mm kinetic energy (KE) rounds. On rare occasions, it is possible for trace amounts of DU particles to be left behind in the gun

---

\*The M256 barrel drawing allows a 1.6- $\mu\text{m}$  finish on the chrome bore.





Figure 3. Surface finish appearance after SBCD cleaning.

Table 1. Surface finish measurements.

| <b>M256 Barrel Condition and Serial No.</b> | <b>Surface Finish<sup>a</sup><br/>(<math>\mu\text{m}</math>)</b> |
|---|--|
| SBCD-cleaned 4247                           | 0.31   |
| New 11508                                   | 1.17   |
| New 11512                                   | 1.60   |
| New 11514                                   | 0.64   |
| New 11515                                   | 0.31   |
| New 11521                                   | 0.57   |

<sup>a</sup>Higher surface finish numbers are synonymous with a rougher texture.

bore (though a discussion of how this transpires is outside the scope of this report). Precisely where the DU contamination resides is not universally agreed upon—is it located in the surface “glaze,” which would be removed in SBDC cleaning, or, is it located within the subsurface metal, and therefore unlikely to be removed by the SBCD cleaning process? To shed some light on this issue and determine the level of hazard that may exist, if any, a known-to-be radioactive barrel (serial no. 10799) was cleaned with the SBCD and the dust collected in a High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filtered vacuum system.

The survey of the gun tube and cleaning system consisted of a radiation level meter survey and swipe sampling of the gun tube before and after the cleaning procedure, as well as swipe sampling performed on the cleaning head, vacuum, and dust collection bag after the cleaning procedure was completed.

The field radiation detection system was a calibrated Ludlum Model 3 field portable beta/gamma survey meter (serial no. 18383).<sup>\*</sup> The Ludlum 3 is widely used in the radiation protection field to search for the presence of beta- and gamma-emitting radioactive contamination. DU emits both beta and gamma radiation, but is considered primarily a beta emitter. As such, the Ludlum 3 is well suited to field searches for the presence of DU contamination.

For all field surveys, ATC considers a radiation level of 0.05 millirem/hour (mR/hr) the threshold level to indicate a positive result for radioactive contamination of any item. A measured radiation level of 0.05 mR/hr represents twice the natural background radiation level which is typically in the range of 0.02–0.03 mR/hr. The twice-background level is a rule of thumb criteria commonly applied in environmental monitoring and analysis to indicate a true detection of a substance above a natural-background level.

Radiation can be fixed to, or removed from, an item. Removable contamination is considered potentially mobile in the environment, such as a dust that is coating an item that could be picked up by/transferred to anything that comes into contact with the item, including equipment/tools or personnel, thereby causing cross contamination. Fixed contamination means exactly that—the contamination is fixed or bonded to the source; it is a fundamental part of the item and is not available to be transferred to or cross contaminate another item.

To help determine if any contamination that may be present is fixed or removable, standard smear analysis was performed on the gun tube and cleaning equipment. In the swipe method, a cotton cloth smear is wiped over the surface of an item using moderate pressure. The swipe should come in contact with a total of 100 cm<sup>2</sup> surface area of an item. The swipe then undergoes laboratory analysis to look for the presence of radioactive contamination.

The lab instrumentation used for swipe analysis was a Tennelec, low-background, alpha/beta counting system. The Tennelec system is widely used in the radiation protection field to detect low levels of radioactive contamination. The Tennelec system undergoes weekly and daily quality control checks to assure the reliability of the results of all analyses.

Field surveys of the gun tube with the Ludlum 3 showed similar maximum radioactive contamination levels of 0.2 mR/hr at the muzzle end both before and after cleaning. Thus, the cleaning process produced no detectable change in the radiation levels measured within the tube. This lends evidence to the assertion that DU contamination is not in the glaze, as that was removed from the bore surface by the cleaning process, but rather, in the substrate bore metal that is not removed by the cleaning process.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Source checks/functionality tests are performed every day a field survey instrument is used. All field instruments are maintained yearly in a certified calibration program. Calibration records are maintained on file for several years after the expiration of the year-long period.

Radiation levels from the swipe analysis of the tube, cleaning head, vacuum, and dust collection bin are listed in table 2. Laboratory analysis of the dry swipes is indicative of the raw number of disintegrations detected in the swipes, expressed as disintegrations per minute (dpm, the fundamental scientific unit of measure that defines the radioactivity of an item or source). For reference, the swipe results values in table 2 can be compared against ATC's (internal) evaluation criteria of 30.0 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup> alpha, and 100 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup> beta for the presence of removable contamination. This criteria is very conservative and was originally found in a standard issued by the former DARCOM. For comparison, the Department of Transportation criteria for the presence of removable contamination is 220 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup> alpha, and 2000 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup> beta.

Table 2. Radiation measurements.

| Item                 | Alpha (dpm)  | Beta (dpm)   |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cleaning head stones | 1.31 ± 1.39  | 4.29 ± 3.02  |
| Vacuum dust bag      | 0.02 ± 0.03  | -0.91 ± 2.03 |
| Tube before cleaning | 1.3 ± 1.39   | 5.2 ± 3.15   |
| Tube after cleaning  | 15.15 ± 4.61 | 4.52 ± 3.49  |

In no instance was the removable contamination found on the swiped items at, or even near, ATC's conservative evaluation criteria. This is consistent with the Ludlum 3 results, which showed the source of DU contamination was still in the gun tube.

Hence, both the field survey and the laboratory radiation testing support the conclusion that the DU contamination is affixed/bonded to the bore metal and not removed by the SBCD. Equivalently, the contamination does not appear to be associated with the combustion products that are removed during the cleaning process, and the cleaning process is not aggressive enough to remove metal from the bore surface. Thus, these results suggest that there is little hazard from a radiation perspective to personnel operating the SBCD.

## 4. Conclusions

An SBCD, previously shown to provide effective cleaning with no detectable wear on the M256 smoothbore tank cannon, was further examined here for its effect on surface finish and for its potential to remove DU from a contaminated barrel. Test results showed the surface finish of an SBCD-cleaned barrel was comparable to a new barrel. In addition, a radiological survey showed the radiation levels of the SBCD components and evacuated cleaning dust were not above normal after cleaning a DU-contaminated barrel. Conversely, the well-above background radiation levels in the contaminated barrel were unchanged by the SBCD cleaning, indicating the DU contamination is fixed within the bore metal.

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL  
(PDF INFORMATION CTR  
ONLY) DTIC OCA  
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD  
STE 0944  
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 US ARMY RSRCH DEV &  
ENGRG CMD  
SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS  
INTEGRATION  
AMSRD SS T  
6000 6TH ST STE 100  
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-5608

1 DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB  
IMNE ALC IMS  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

3 DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB  
AMSRD ARL CI OK TL  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

1 DIR USARL  
AMSRD ARL CI OK TP (BLDG 4600)

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

3 DIR  
BENET LAB  
AMSTA AR CCB EA  
R COOLEY  
AMSTA AR CCB EC  
R VANINA  
AMSTA AR CCB QAC  
M MIZENKO  
WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050

2 COMMANDER  
WATERVLIET ARSENAL  
SMAWV CA  
R FLISZAR  
S DIAGOSTINO  
WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050

1 ABRAMS TANK SYS  
PEO ARMORED SYS  
MODERNIZATION  
SFAE GCS AB SW  
A HAVRILLA  
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 OPM MAS  
SFAE AMO MAS LC  
BLDG 354  
C CORDING  
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806

1 DIRECTORATE OF TRAINING  
DOCTRINE AND COMBAT DEV  
ATZK TDD ORSA  
POMEY  
RM 219 WING A  
BLDG 1467 RD AVE  
FORT KNOX KY 40121-5000

2 COMANDER  
TACOM – ROCK ISLAND  
AMSTA LC CAW  
G BIERI  
K CROOKS  
BLDG 104 E WING 2ND FL  
ROCK ISLAND IL 61299-5000

1 GI INDUSTRIES INC  
B GOOD  
525 FAN HILL RD  
MONROE CT 06468

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

17 DIR USARL  
AMSRD ARL WM BC  
M BUNDY (8 CPS)  
J GARNER  
B GUIDOS  
P PLOSTINS  
J NEWILL  
D LYON  
B BURNS  
E SCHMIDT  
R COATES  
S D WILKERSON

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.