	REPOR	T DOCUM	ENTATION	PAGE	AF	RL-SR-AR-TR-06-0487		
Public reporting by gathering and mai of information, incl 1215 Jefferson Da Paperwork Reduc PLEASE DO	urden for this collection intaining the data need luding suggestions for ivis Highway, Suite 120 tion Project (0704-0188 NOT RETURN Y	of information is estim ed, and completing and reducing this burden to 04, Arlington, VA 22202 8) Washington, DC 205 OUR FORM TO	ated to average 1 hour per in reviewing the collection of Washington Headquarters 4302, and to the Office of I 03.	response, including the tim information. Send commer Service, Directorate for Inf Management and Budget, ESS.	ne for revi nts regard formation			
1. REPORT D 11 N0 2006	DATE (DD-MM-Y 6	YYY) 2. RI Fina	EPORT TYPE al Report			3. DATES COVERED (From - To) March 1 2003- Sept 30, 2006		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE PBPK Model for JP-8			5a. CONTRACT NUMB F49620- Sector		1TRACT NUMBER 0-4000 03-1-0157			
					5b. GRA	NT NUMBER		
					5c. PRO	GRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S Jeff Fisher	5)				5d. PRO	JECT NUMBER		
					5e. TASI	K NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMI University o Sponsored 621 Boyd G Athens GA	NG ORGANIZAT of Georgia Res Programs SRC 30602	rion NAME(S) A search Founda	ND ADDRESS(ES) ation (Kimberley	Wright)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORI AFOSR 875 N F	NG/MONITORIN VNL RANDOLPH STI	g agency nam reet	E(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
SUITE ARLIN Dr.	325, RM 3112 GTON VA 2220 WAL LEV	13-1,768 KOZUNI	20			11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
12. DISTRIBUT	fion Availabil	Release: I	T Distribution	Unlimited				
13. SUPPLEM	ENTARY NOTES	;						
14. ABSTRAC The overarc better under health risks atmospheric atmosphere was curtaine differences in some evider aerosol/vapo	r hing goal of th stand human from exposures ar and body bur ed at end of th n exposure of nee for explain or mixtures. FERMS	his research p exposure to ir to JP-8.For t nd dosimetry f den data were is grant and re rats to individ ing the appar	roject was to dev haled JP-8. The he first time, indi or laboratory ani e collected using emains to be cor ual hydrocarbon ent discrepancie	velop a mathema e JP-8 dosimetr ividual hydrocar mals exposed to analytical meth npleted. Howev is occur when JI s reported for th	atical dos y model c bon data o vaporize ods devel ver, prelim P-8 is aer ne toxicolo	imetry model for aerosolized JP-8 to could then be used to determine human were collected to characterize the ed or aerosolized JP-8. Both chamber loped by our team. Our dosimetry work hinary findings suggest that important osolized vs vaporized. This provide ogy of JP-8 when exposed to vapor vs.		
	1	<u></u>						
16. SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	ON OF:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER 19 OF PAGES	19a. NAME O	Ja. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE		1	9b. TELEPO	NE NUMBER (Include area code)		

•

•

FINAL REPORT DEVELOPMENT OF A PBPK MODEL FOR JP-8

.

For Walt Kozumbo

Jeff Fisher University of Georgia

November 15, 2006



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PERSONNEL: This grant provided partial or full support for 3 MS students, 1 Ph.D. student and two post doctoral students. The MS theses in pdf format can be found at the University of Georgia web site:

<u>http://dbs.galib.uga.edu/cgi-bin/ultimate.cgi?dbs=getd&userid=galileo&action=search&_cc=1</u> Type in the name in the space provided and follow directions: Andrew Quin Smith, Ulrich Reiko Perleberg, Katherine Denise Dietzel. The dissertation for Shonetta Delaine Gregg should be available as a pdf by May 2007.

The people involved in the grant were:

Dr. Michael Bartlett, Co-PI (chemist), his Ph.D. student, Shonetta Gregg

Dr. Jeff Fisher, PI and his students, Andrew Smith, Reiko Perleberg, and Kathy Dietzel, Jerry Campbell and Satheesh Anand. All papers are cited in the summary of research below.

RESEARCH: For the first time, individual hydrocarbon data were collected to characterize the atmospheric exposures and dosimetry for laboratory animals exposed to vaporized or aerosolized JP-8. Both chamber atmosphere and body burden data were collected using analytical methods developed by our team. Our dosimetry work was curtained at end of this grant and remains to be completed. However, preliminary findings suggest that important differences in exposure of rats to individual hydrocarbons occur when JP-8 is aerosolized vs vaporized. This provides some evidence for explaining the apparent discrepancies reported for the toxicology of JP-8 when exposed to vapor vs. aerosol/vapor mixtures. The *overarching goal* of this research project was to develop a mathematical dosimetry model for aerosolized JP-8 to better understand human exposure to inhaled JP-8. The JP-8 dosimetry model could then be used to determine human health risks from exposure to JP-8. Dosimetry models for laboratory animals are required because toxicology studies were conducted using laboratory animals. These laboratory animal dosimetry models will be used to extrapolate to humans. The overarching goal of the research was not realized by the end of this grant. However, several important steps were achieved toward this goal.

BACKGROUND

The *overarching goal* of this research project was to develop a mathematical dosimetry model for aerosolized JP-8 to better understand human exposure to inhaled JP-8. The JP-8 dosimetry model could then be used to determine human health risks from exposure to JP-8. JP-8 is the primary fuel used for aircraft in the US military and NATO countries. Many active duty and civilians are exposed the JP-8 while performing their duties. Antidotal health complaints from the employees working with JP-8 over the last decade and laboratory animal toxicology studies conducted in the 1990s raised concerns about the safety of JP-8. To assist in assessing adequate protection of the workforce, this project was devoted to the development of a mathematical model referred to as a physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model. PBPK models have gained acceptance in the US and European regulatory communities as a scientifically sound computational tool to estimate health heath risks from exposures to chemicals. JP-8 is a challenging material to work with because JP-8 is a mixture of hundreds of hydrocarbons, significantly complicating the task of PBPK dosimetry model development. In addition, for inhalation, the primary route of exposure to JP-8, both liquid droplets (aerosols) and vapor phases of JP-8 need to be considered. This final report summarizes the accomplishments, to date, towards achieving the overarching goal of this project.

EXPOSURE TO HYDROCARBONS

The first technical hurtle of this project was to better understand 'what' the laboratory animals are exposed to when aerosolized JP-8 exposures are conducted, since the fuel consists of hundreds of hydrocarbons. Jet fuel inhalation toxicology studies conducted over the last 3 decades characterized the chamber atmosphere, in which the animals were exposed, simply as the mass of total hydrocarbons (fuel) divided by volume of chamber air (mg of total hydrocarbons/ volume of air, meter³). With advances in analytical chemistry instrumentation, individual hydrocarbons that comprise significant portions of the aerosol droplets and the vapor phase could be discerned. To this end, we purchased an Agilent 6890N GC with a 5973N Quadruopole MSD, 7683 liquid autosampler, a Tekmar HT7000 headspace sampler, and a Gerstel CIS 4 (cooled injection system) with Gerstel TDS 3 (thermal desorption system). These instruments coupled with the use of a 150 M gas chromatography column allowed for adequate separation of major hydrocarbons found in aerosolized JP-8 atmospheres and their subsequent identification and quantification. The first task was to identify and quantify individual hydrocarbons found in an inhalation chamber with aerosolized JP-8. This was undertaken at the University of Arizona where most of the recent inhalation toxicology studies have occurred. Several important findings resulted from this project:

- 1) About 85 to 95% of the aerosolized fuel exposure was vapor phase fuel and the remainder aerosol droplets.
- 2) At least 40% of the aerosol droplets consisted of n-alkanes (C11-C17), with C14 and C15 n-alkanes representing the large percent of identified hydrocarbons.
- 3) The method of calculation of the JP-8 chamber concentration (mg/m³) at the University of Arizona was in error, thus many published toxicology studies from this group have under reported the aerosolized JP-8 concentrations up to 6-fold for low concentrations (50-100 mg/m³) and perhaps 2-fold for high concentrations (1500-2500 mg/m³).

Publications

Dietzel, K.D., J.L. Campbell, M.G. Bartlett, M.L. Witten, and J.W. Fisher. 2005. Validation of a gas chromatography/mass spectrometry method for the quantification of aerosolized Jet Propellant 8. Journal of Chromatography A, 1083, 11-20.

Shonetta Gregg, Jeffrey W. Fisher and Michael G. Bartlett. 2006. A review of analytical methods for the identification and quantification of hydrocarbons found in jet propellant 8 and related petroleum based fuels. Biomedical Chromatography, 20, 492-507.

PBPK MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The proposed approach for the development of a PBPK model for aerosolized JP-8 was to select specific hydrocarbons that represent different fractions of the hydrocarbon mixture. Taken together, the JP-8 PBPK model will consist of 4-6 hydrocarbon sub-models. The most studied hydrocarbon fraction of JP-8 is the light end of hydrocarbons with high vapor pressure, which consists of aromatics, such as toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and benzene. Several PBPK models have been developed for the aromatics and will be used as sub-model(s) in the PBPK model for JP-8. Other fractions, representing less volatile fractions, such as n-alkanes, have not been well studied. Therefore, laboratory experiments were focused on understanding some of the important properties of semi-volatile n-alkanes which would allow us to develop PBPK models for this fraction of the fuel. These properties include solubility in tissues or partition coefficients and metabolism rates. Key findings from these studies were:

- 1) The measured tissue:air partition coefficient values for n-alkanes C8 to C12 increased as a function of carbon length for muscle, fat, liver, blood. The measured n-alkane brain solubility values were peculiar and still require further study because of irregular experimental findings.
- 2) Tissue:air partition coefficients values for n-alkanes C13-C17 were estimated using regression techniques with the data sets collected for C8-C12 and octanol:water partition coefficient values for the entire data set.
- 3) *In vitro* derived maximum metabolic rates, using rat hepatic microsomes, decreased as a function of carbon length (C9, C10 and C14). The calculated Km value or affinity constant for C9 (nonane) and C10 (decane) were similar.

Alkanes are very lipophilic and their kinetic behavior is complex. We conducted kinetic laboratory experiments with rats by exposing rats to decane vapors and collecting time course data for blood and several tissues. A PBPK model for decane was developed. Key findings are:

- 1) The kinetics of uptake and clearance of decane were described as diffusion limited for brain, bone marrow, fat, skin, and spleen. The measured partition coefficient values were abandoned in attempts to fit the tissue and blood time course data.
- 2) New PBPK modeling approaches are needed for describing the kinetics of nalkanes C9 and greater. One recommendation to consider is: The compartments

are not well-stirred compartments, but consistent of a 'shallow pool' which exchanges readily with blood and a 'deep pool' that does not exchange with the blood.

A PBPK model was developed for two aromatics (m-xylene and ethylbenzene) and its metabolic interactions evaluated as a binary mixture and then as components in the vapor phase of vaporized JP-8 (not aerosolized JP-8). We estimated that about 20% of the hydrocarbons identified in the vapor phase of JP-8 were metabolized by a common isoform of the P450 system, namely CYP2E1. With a DoD instrumentation grant we purchased and TDS A (autosampler) and Agilent 6890N GC with micro-ECD and FID detectors, 7683 liquid autosampler and a Varian CP-3800 GC with a Saturn 2200 Ion Trap MSD and a CombiPAL autosampler (liquid, headspace, and SPME injection capability. Key findings from this study were:

- 1) A sensitive method for the measurement of hydrocarbons in tissues was developed using SPME and the ion trap mass spectrometer. This allowed for the measurement of prominent individual hydrocarbons in tissues which represent only 1-6% of the total fuel concentration in the chamber atmosphere.
- 2) Competitive inhibition in metabolism (caused by multiple hydrocarbons being metabolized through the same metabolic pathway at the same time) was evaluated using m-xylene and ethylbenzene as aromatic biomarkers. In summary, for vaporized fuel concentrations that were at or above 1100 mg/m³, a modest competitive inhibition in metabolism occurred for ethylbenzene and m-xylene. For concentrations 400 mg/m³ and below the kinetics and metabolism of m-xylene and ethylbenzene appeared to be similar to its behavior in the absence of vaporized JP-8 or no observable competitive inhibition in metabolism.

Publications

Smith, A.Q., J.L. Campbell, D.A. Keys, and J.W. Fisher. 2005. Rat tissue and blood partition coefficients for n-alkanes (C_8 - C_{12}). International Journal of Toxicology, 24, 31-41.

Perleberg, U.R., D.A. Keys and J.W. Fisher. 2004. Development of a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for decane, a constituent of jet propellant-8. <u>Inhalation Toxicology, 16</u>, 771-783.

Campbell, J. L. and J. W. Fisher. 200x Physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for mxylene and ethylbenzene inhalation in JP-8 vapor. <u>Inhalation Toxicology, in press.</u>

Anand, Sathanandam S., Jerry L. Campbell and Jeffrey W. Fisher. RAT HEPATIC METABOLISM OF N-ALKANES, NONANE, DECANE AND TETRADECANE, IN VITRO. *Submitted* to Drug Metabolism and Disposition.

OTHER STUDIES NOT PUBLISHED

Preliminary dosimetry studies were carried out at the University of Arizona with aerosolized JP-8 and rats to determine lung and blood hydrocarbon burdens during and after exposure to aerosolized JP-8. Tables 1 and 2 below depict the corresponding concentrations of 23 hydrocarbons in lung and blood of rats at the end exposure using a whole body vaporized sample of JP-8 (Table 1) or a nose only aerosolized JP-8 exposure system (Table 2). The chamber concentrations of individual hydrocarbons are also reported. A direct comparison of the lung and tissue dosimetry can not be carried out because of differences in the exposure system, length of exposure and total hydrocarbon chamber concentration. However, qualitatively the two systems can be compared. There is a pronounced increase in heavier hydrocarbons (eg., pentadecane, tetradecane, tridecane, dodecane) in the vapor phase in the aerosolized JP-8 chamber atmosphere corresponding with the aerosol droplet composition. Consequently there are in increased concentrations of these hydrocarbons in the blood and lung of aerosol/vapor exposed rats. These findings may eventually help explain the differences noted between the toxicology findings with JP-8 at UA with aerosolized JP-8 and the earlier toxicity tests conducted by whole body exposures to JP-8 vapor only at WPAFB, OH.

Compound	Chamber Concentration (mg/m ³)	Tissue Con End of I (n	Tissue Concentration at End of Exposure (ng/g)		
	Vapor	Lung	<u>Blood</u>		
Heptane	67.0	<loq< td=""><td>25.7 ± 7.7</td></loq<>	25.7 ± 7.7		
Methylcyclohexane	76.0	<loq< td=""><td>47.3 ± 10.6</td></loq<>	47.3 ± 10.6		
Toluene	45.3	9.0 ± 1.6	59.8 ± 12.5		
2-Methylheptane	46.3	<loq< td=""><td>22.5 ± 5.5</td></loq<>	22.5 ± 5.5		
Octane	77.5	<loq< td=""><td>47.8 ± 10.2</td></loq<>	47.8 ± 10.2		
Ethylbenzene	13.6	3.8 ± 0.2	36.8 ± 6.6		
m-Xylene	25.2	7.4 ± 1.7	100.8 ± 18.3		
p-Xylene	7.7	<loq< td=""><td>30.0 ± 3.3</td></loq<>	30.0 ± 3.3		
o-Xylene	11.0	7.0 ± 0.3	58.4 ± 7.7		
Nonane	67.7	<loq< td=""><td>46.8 ± 9.0</td></loq<>	46.8 ± 9.0		
Propylcyclohexane	16.0	<loq< td=""><td>50.3 ± 9.6</td></loq<>	50.3 ± 9.6		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	4.8	<loq< td=""><td>18.0 ± 1.3</td></loq<>	18.0 ± 1.3		
o-Ethyltoluene	6.1	3.7 ± 0.4	31.4 ± 2.1		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	10.6	5.6 ± 0.4	73.0 ± 4.0		
Decane	37.1	5.3 ± 1.8	74.8 ± 10.0		
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	7.5	2.7 ± 0.4	21.6 ± 0.7		
Butylcyclohexane	4.0	2.2 ± 0.2	16.4 ± 3.0		
Undecane	16.6	5.8 ± 1.5	57.0 ± 6.4		
Naphthalene	0.2	2.6 ± 0.7	5.3 ± 1.0		
Dodecane	4.8	6.4 ± 1.8	<loq< td=""></loq<>		
Tridecane	0.7	4.8 ± 1.9	<loq< td=""></loq<>		
Tetradecane	0.1	3.2 ± 1.4	<loq< td=""></loq<>		
Pentadecane	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>		

Table 1.	Chamber and t	issue concentrati	ons of individ	lual componer	its in samples
collecte	ed at UGA after	r vapor exposure	(1086 mg/m^3)) to JP-8 for 4	hour.

.

•

Tissue Concentration at						
	End of E	End of Exposure				
Compound	(mg/	m²)	(กรู	(ng/g)		
	Vapor	Aerosol	Lung	Blood		
Total Conc.	<u>(1586 mg/m³)</u>	<u>(165 mg/m³)</u>				
Heptane	2.72	ND	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>		
Methylcyclohexane	4.72	ND	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>		
Toluene	2.16	ND	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>		
2-Methylheptane	4.30	ND	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>		
Octane	12.1	ND	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>		
Ethylbenzene	4.21	ND	6.1 ± 0.4	4.8 ± 0.8		
m-Xylene	6.07	ND	12.5 ± 0.4	8.3 ± 1.5		
p-Xylene	1.35	ND	<loq< td=""><td>3.4 ± 0.6</td></loq<>	3.4 ± 0.6		
o-Xylene	2.82	ND	11.6 ± 0.4	5.6 ± 1.1		
Nonane	35.3	ND	<loq< td=""><td>11.4 ± 2.7</td></loq<>	11.4 ± 2.7		
Propylcyclohexane	8.62	ND	<loq< td=""><td>14.9 ± 3.1</td></loq<>	14.9 ± 3.1		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	4.46	ND	15.8 ± 0.4	10.0 ± 1.6		
o-Ethyltoluene	3.71	ND	15.7 ± 0.5	12.9 ± 2.1		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	10.6	<loq< td=""><td>53.1 ± 2.6</td><td>38.3 ± 6.8</td></loq<>	53.1 ± 2.6	38.3 ± 6.8		
Decane	80.6	0.37	17.2 ± 6.8	30.6 ± 6.1		
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	4.12	<loq< td=""><td>35.7 ± 1.4</td><td>21.8 ± 3.9</td></loq<>	35.7 ± 1.4	21.8 ± 3.9		
Butylcyclohexane	11.0	ND	6.5 ± 2.4	17.3 ± 2.1		
Undecane	80.0	2.03	102.2 ± 21.2	67.9 ± 5.2		
Naphthalene	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>31.6 ± 7.7</td><td>28.0 ± 7.6</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>31.6 ± 7.7</td><td>28.0 ± 7.6</td></loq<>	31.6 ± 7.7	28.0 ± 7.6		
Dodecane	34.8	4.16	407.2 ± 25.9	106.9 ± 11.9		
Tridecane	12.14	5.59	896.5 ± 68.1	143.4 ± 33.7		
Tetradecane	4.03	6.20	1134 ± 98	127.2 ± 46.3		
Pentadecane	<u>1.21</u>	<u>6.05</u>	<u>1196 ± 134</u>	<u>57.2 ± 25.6</u>		

Table 2. Chamber concentrations of individual components in samples collected at UA after aerosolization of JP-8 (1751 mg/m³) for 1 h.

ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT

A significant effort was undertaken during this grant to develop and validate analytical methods for the identification and quantification of individual hydrocarbons found in the chamber atmosphere and in biological tissues from laboratory animals exposed to JP-8. Below are a citation for one paper in press and another in preparation by a Ph.D. student who will graduate this year.

S.D. Gregg, J.L Campbell, J.W. Fisher and M.G. Bartlett, "Analytical Methods for the Characterization of Generated Jet Fuel: Vapor and Aerosol Samples." In Press, Biomedical Chromatography

S.D. Gregg, S. Muralidhara, J.L. Fisher and M.G. Bartlett, "Determination of Twelve Major Components of Jet Propellent-8 from Rat Blood and Liver by Solid Phase Microextraction Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry." In Prep, Analytical Chemistry.

Below is acslXtreme code written by Dr. Jerry Campbell to study the analysis of metabolic interactions of two aromatic hydrocarbons (M-xylene and ethylbenzene) in the rat exposed to vaporized JP-8 fuel.

CODE FOR JP-8 INTERACTION MODEL (Campbell et al., in press) PROGRAM JP8int !Created by Jerry Campbel on 09/29/2005 !Modified last: 08/03/2006

INITIAL

CONSTANT QPC = 15.0!Alveolar ventilation rate (L/hr/kg) CONSTANT QCC = 15.0!Cardiac output (L/hr) CONSTANT BW = 0.265 !Body weight (kg) Blood Flows (Fraction of QC, Delp 1998 for F344 rats) CONSTANT QLC = 0.25!Liver CONSTANT OFC = 0.09!Fat CONSTANT OBC = 0.027!Brain CONSTANT QLUC = 0.015!Lung (Brown et al, 1997) !Tissue Volumes (Fraction of BW, Schoeffner et al., 1999) CONSTANT VLC = 0.04 !Liver tissue Schoeffner et al, 1999 CONSTANT VBC = 0.0076!Brain tissue Schoeffner et al, 1999 CONSTANT VFC = 0.07 !Fat Partition Coefficients (m-Xylene, Tardif et al, 1997) CONSTANT PWBmx = 46.0!Blood:Air CONSTANT PLmx = 1.98 !Liver:Blood CONSTANT PFmx = 40.4 !Fat:Blood CONSTANT PBmx = 1.98 Brain:Blood (set to PR) CONSTANT PSmx = 0.91 !Slowly Perfused:Blood CONSTANT PRmx = 1.98!Rapidly Perfused:Blood Partition Coefficients (ethylbenzene, Tardif et al, 1997) CONSTANT PWBebz = 42.7!Blood:Air CONSTANT PLebz = 1.96!Liver:Blood CONSTANT PFebz = 36.4 !Fat:Blood CONSTANT PBebz = 1.41!Brain:Blood (set to PR) CONSTANT PSebz = 0.61 !Slowly Perfused:Blood CONSTANT PRebz = 1.41!Rapidly Perfused:Blood

Partition Coefficients (Lumped Aromate component of Fuel vapor) !Used Average Partition for m-Xylene and Ethylbenzene CONSTANT PWBlp = 44.4!Blood:Air CONSTANT PLlp = 1.97 !Liver:Blood CONSTANT PFlp = 38.4 !Fat:Blood CONSTANT PBlp = 1.8!Brain:Blood (set to PR) CONSTANT PSlp = 0.76 !Slowly Perfused:Blood CONSTANT PRlp = 1.8!Rapidly Perfused:Blood !Metabolic Parameters (Vmaxc=mg/kg-h and Km=mg/kg) !m-Xylene CONSTANT Vmaxmxc = 8.75 CONSTANT Kmmx = 0.87 !Ethylbenzene CONSTANT Vmaxebzc = 6.01 CONSTANT Kmebz = 0.67!Lumped vapor fraction constant vmaxlpc = 10.22constant Kmlp = 1.61**!Inhalation Dosing Parameters** CONSTANT TCHNG = 4.0 !Length of inhalation exposure (hrs) CONSTANT WDAYS=5. CONSTANT WEDAYS=2. CONSTANT DAYS=7. CONSTANT PDAYS=0. CONSTANT EXPTIM = 240!length of simulation (hr) **!Scaled** parameters **!Scaled Blood Flows** QC = QCC*BW**0.75QP = QPC*BW**0.75QL = QLC * QCOF = OFC*OCQB = QBC*QCQR = 0.78 * QC - QL - QBOS = 0.22*OC-OF**!Scaled Tissue Volumes** VL = VLC*BWVF = VFC*BWVB = VBC*BW

.

VS = 0.82*BW-VF VR = 0.09*BW-VL-VB

Metabolic Parameters

Vmaxmx = Vmaxmxc*BW**0.75 Vmaxebz = Vmaxebzc*BW**0.75 Vmaxlp = Vmaxlpc*BW**0.75

! Dosing Parameters

!constant inhalation parameters CONSTANT concmx2=0 CONSTANT concebz2=0 CONSTANT conclp2=0

CONSTANT MWmx = 106.16 CONSTANT MWebz = 106.16 CONSTANT MWlp = 115.3 !m-xylene Molecular weight (g/mol)
!ethylbenzene Molecular weight (g/mol)
!Lump fuel Molecular weight (g/mol)

CONSTANT TSTOP = 6.0CONSTANT sflag = 1.0

END !Initial

DYNAMIC

ALGORITHM IALG = 2 CINTERVAL CINT = 0.01

DERIVATIVE

!Inhalation Timing command (Start and length of exposure) pflag =pulse(0.,exptim,tchng)*pulse(0.,(wdays+wedays)*24.,wdays*24.)

!Concentration Inhaled (ppm) CImx = (concmx2*pflag*MWmx/24450) CIebz = (concebz2*pflag*MWebz/24450) CIlp = conclp2*pflag !Entered as mg/L in air instead of ppm

!Model Code

!CA = Concentration in venous blood supply perfusing lung at site of !gas exchange (mg/l)

CAmx = (QC*CVmx+QP*CImx)/(QC+(QP/PWBmx))

AUCWBmx = INTEG(CAmx,0.)

CAlp = (QC*CVlp+QP*CIlp)/(QC+(QP/PWBlp)) AUCWBlp = INTEG(CAlp,0.)

CAebz = (QC*CVebz+QP*CIebz)/(QC+(QP/PWBebz)) AUCWBebz = INTEG(CAebz,0.)

!CV = Mixed Venous Blood Concentration

CVmx = (QF*CVFmx+QL*CVLmx+QS*CVSmx+QR*CVRmx+QB*CVBmx)/QC CVAUCmx= integ(cvmx,0.)

CVlp = (QF*CVFlp+QL*CVLlp+QS*CVSlp+QR*CVRlp+QB*CVBlp)/QC CVAUClp= integ(cvlp,0.)

CVebz = (QF*CVFebz+QL*CVLebz+QS*CVSebz+QR*CVRebz+QB*CVBebz)/QC CVAUCebz= integ(cvebz,0.)

!AX = Amount Exhaled

CXmx = CAmx/PWBmx RAXmx = QP*CXmx AXmx = INTEG(RAXmx,0.) RAImx = QP*CImx AImx = integ(RAImx,0.) doseinhmx= aimx-axmx

CXlp = CAlp/PWBlp RAXlp = QP*CXlp AXlp = INTEG(RAXlp, 0.) RAIlp = QP*CIlp AIlp = integ(RAIlp,0.) doseinhlp= ailp-axlp

CXebz = CAebz/PWBebz RAXebz = QP*CXebz AXebz = INTEG(RAXebz, 0.) RAIebz = QP*CIebz AIebz =integ(RAIebz,0.) doseinhebz= aiebz-axebz

!AS = Amount in Slowly Perfused RASmx = QS*(CAmx-CVSmx) ASmx = INTEG(RASmx,0.) CVSmx = ASmx/(VS*PSmx) CSmx = ASmx/VS

.

RASlp = QS*(CAlp-CVSlp) ASlp = INTEG(RASlp,0.) CVSlp = ASlp/(VS*PSlp) CSlp = ASlp/VS

RASebz = QS*(CAebz-CVSebz) ASebz = INTEG(RASebz,0.) CVSebz = ASebz/(VS*PSebz) CSebz = ASebz/VS

!AR = Amount in Rapidly Perfused RARmx = QR*(CAmx-CVRmx) ARmx = INTEG(RARmx,0.) CVRmx = ARmx/(VR*PRmx) CRmx = ARmx/VR

RARlp = QR*(CAlp-CVRlp) ARlp = INTEG(RARlp,0.) CVRlp = ARlp/(VR*PRlp) CRlp = ARlp/VR

RARebz = QR*(CAebz-CVRebz) ARebz = INTEG(RARebz,0.) CVRebz = ARebz/(VR*PRebz) CRebz = ARebz/VR

!AF = Amount in Fat RAFmx = QF*(CAmx-CVFmx) AFmx=INTEG(RAFmx,0.) CVFmx = AFmx/(PFmx*VF) CFmx=AFmx/VF

> RAFlp=QF*(CAlp-CVFlp) AFlp=INTEG(RAFlp,0.) CVFlp=AFlp/(PFlp*VF) CFlp=AFlp/VF AUCFlp=INTEG(CFlp,0.)

RAFebz = QF*(CAebz-CVFebz) AFebz=INTEG(RAFebz,0.) CVFebz=AFebz/(PFebz*VF) CFebz=AFebz/VF

!AB = Amount in Brain

RABmx = QB*(CAmx-CVBmx) ABmx = INTEG(RABmx,0.) CVBmx = ABmx/(VB*PBmx) CBmx = ABmx/VB

RABebz = QB*(CAebz-CVBebz) ABebz = INTEG(RABebz,0.) CVBebz = ABebz/(VB*PBebz) CBebz = ABebz/VB

```
RABlp = QB*(CAlp-CVBlp)
ABlp = INTEG(RABlp,0.)
CVBlp = ABlp/(VB*PBlp)
CBlp = ABlp/VB
```

!AL = Amount in Liver

RALmx = QL*(CAmx-CVLmx)-RAMmx ALmx=INTEG(RALmx,0.) CVLmx=ALmx/(PLmx*VL) CLmx=ALmx/VL

RALebz = QL*(CAebz-CVLebz)-RAMebz ALebz=INTEG(RALebz,0.) CVLebz=ALebz/(PLebz*VL) CLebz=ALebz/VL

RALlp = QL*(CAlp-CVLlp)-RAMlp ALlp=INTEG(RALlp,0.) CVLlp=ALlp/(PLlp*VL) CLlp=ALlp/VL

!AM = Amount metabolized (includes metabolic interaction) RAMmx=(Vmaxmx*CVLmx)/(Kmmx*chKmmxi+CVLmx) AMmx=INTEG(RAMmx,0.)

> RAMebz=(Vmaxebz*CVLebz)/(Kmebz*chKmebzi+CVLebz) AMebz=INTEG(RAMebz,0.)

RAMlp=(Vmaxlp*CVLlp)/(Kmlp*chKmlpi+CVLlp) AMlp=INTEG(RAMlp,0.)

 !Mass Balance

TotalCmx = AFmx+ALmx+ASmx+ARmx+ABmx Balmx=aimx-(TotalCmx+ammx+axmx)

TotalCebz = AFebz+ALebz+ASebz+ARebz+ABebz Balebz=aiebz-(TotalCebz+amebz+axebz)

TotalClp = AFlp+ALlp+ASlp+ARlp+ABlp+axlp Ballp=ailp-(TotalClp+amlp+axlp)

END ! DERIVATIVE

TERMT (T .GE. TSTOP, 'checked on communication interval: REACHED TSTOP')

TERMINAL

END ! DYNAMIC END ! TERMINAL END ! PROGRAM