AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416

AUTOMATED SLICING FOR A MULTI-AXIS METAL DEPOSITION SYSTEM (PREPRINT)



Jianzhong Ruan, Todd E. Sparks, Ajay Panackal, Kunnayut Eiamsa-ard, F.W. Liou, Kevin Slattery, Hsin-Nan Chou, and Mary Kinsella

MARCH 2006

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

STINFO COPY

This work, resulting in whole or in part from Department of the Air Force contract FA8650-04-C-5704, has been submitted to the American Society of Mechanical Engineers. If this work is published, the publisher may assert copyright. The United States has for itself and others acting on its behalf an unlimited, paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to use, modify, reproduce, release, perform, display, or disclose the work by or on behalf of the Government. All other rights are reserved by the copyright owner.

MATERIALS AND MANUFACTURING DIRECTORATE AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433-7750

NOTICE AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This report was cleared for public release by the Air Force Research Laboratory Wright Site (AFRL/WS) Public Affairs Office and is available to the general public, including foreign nationals. Copies may be obtained from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (http://www.dtic.mil).

AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT.

//Signature//

MARY E. KINSELLA Processing Section Metals Branch //Signature//

JEFFREY R. CALCATERRA, Section Chief Processing Section Metals Branch

//Signature//

GERALD J. PETRAK, Asst. Chief Metals, Ceramics & NDE Division Materials and Manufacturing Directorate

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange, and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

*Disseminated copies will show "//Signature//" stamped or typed above the signature blocks.

| REPO | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS . | | | | | | | |
| 1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YY) | | 2. REPORT TYPE | | 3. DATE | S COVERED (From - To) | | |
| March 2006 | | Journal Article | Preprint | | | | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | | | | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER | | |
| AUTOMATED SLICIN | FA8650-04-C-5704 | | | | | | |
| (PREPRINT) | 5b. GRANT NUMBER | | | | | | |
| | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 78011F | | | | | | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER | | | | | | |
| Jianzhong Ruan, Todd E | | ay Panackal, Kunn | ayut Eiamsa-ar | d, F.W. Liou | 2510 | | |
| (University of Missouri – Rolla) | | | | | 5e. TASK NUMBER | | |
| Kevin Slattery and Hsin | 00 | | | | | | |
| Mary Kinsella (AFRL/N | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 00 | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO | , | | Branch (AEDI /N | AT T MD) | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER | | |
| Department of Mechanical and | Iniversity of Missouri – Rolla Processing Section, Metals Branch (AFRL/MLLMP) Metals, Ceramics & NDE Division | | | | | | |
| Aerospace Engineering | | als and Manufacturir | | | | | |
| Rolla, MO | | rce Research Laborat | | | ıd | | |
| The Boeing Company | Wright | -Patterson Air Force | Base, OH 45433 | 3-7750 | | | |
| Phantom Works | | | | | | | |
| St. Louis, MO | | | | | | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | | | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING | | |
| | · | | • | | AGENCY ACRONYM(S) | | |
| Materials and Manufact | • | orate | | | AGENCY ACRONYM(S) AFRL-ML-WP | | |
| Air Force Research Lab | oratory | orate | | | AFRL-ML-WP | | |
| Air Force Research Lab Air Force Materiel Com | oratory nand | | | | | | |
| Air Force Research Lab | oratory nand | | | | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING | | |
| Air Force Research Lab Air Force Materiel Com | oratory nand DH 45433-7 (STATEMEN | 750 T | | | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) | | |
| Air Force Research Lab Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT | oratory nand DH 45433-7 (STATEMEN | 750 T | | | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) | | |
| Air Force Research Lab Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relation 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | oratory mand DH 45433-7 / STATEMEN ase; distribu | 750 r ition is unlimited. | Air Force contract | | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the | | |
| Air Force Research Laborative Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relation 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of the second se | oratory nand DH 45433-7 (STATEMEN ase; distribut r in part from al Engineers. | 750 r ution is unlimited. Department of the A If this work is public | Air Force contract | | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the | | |
| Air Force Research Lab Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relations 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic | oratory nand DH 45433-7 (STATEMEN ase; distribut r in part from al Engineers. | 750 r ution is unlimited. Department of the A If this work is public | Air Force contract | | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the | | |
| Air Force Research Lab. Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relet 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT | oratory nand DH 45433-7 Y STATEMEN ase; distribut r in part from al Engineers. 06-0636, 8 M | 750 r ation is unlimited. In Department of the A If this work is publis Mar 2006. | Air Force contract shed, the publishe | er may assert co | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the byright. | | |
| Air Force Research Lab. Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relet 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a | oratory nand DH 45433-7 / STATEMEN ase; distribut r in part from al Engineers. 06-0636, 8 N gorithm for r | 750 r Ition is unlimited. In Department of the A If this work is publis Mar 2006. nulti-axis layered ma | Air Force contract shed, the publishe | er may assert co | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the | | |
| Air Force Research Lab. Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relet 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a without support structures is pribut also in slicing/building diret | oratory nand DH 45433-7 (STATEMEN ase; distribut r in part from al Engineers. 06-0636, 8 N gorithm for r esented in this | 750 r ntion is unlimited. If bis work is publis Mar 2006. nulti-axis layered ma s paper. Different fro of potential problem | Air Force contract shed, the published unufacturing whic om current adapti is of previous rese | er may assert co h can generate ove slicing, this t earch on slicing | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. pptimal slices to achieve deposition echnique varies not only layer thickness the work in this paper focuses on | | |
| Air Force Research Lab. Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relation 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a without support structures is pr but also in slicing/building direction | r in part from al Engineers. 06-0636, 8 M gorithm for r esented in this ction. Aware and analysis | 750 r ntion is unlimited. n Department of the <i>A</i> If this work is publis Mar 2006. nulti-axis layered ma s paper. Different fro of potential problem tool-centroidal axis. | Air Force contract shed, the published om current adapti s of previous reso Similar to medial | er may assert co h can generate o ve slicing, this t earch on slicing l axis, it contain | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the coprimal slices to achieve deposition echnique varies not only layer thickness the work in this paper focuses on s geometry and topological information | | |
| Air Force Research Labarie Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 6 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relations 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a without support structures is pr but also in slicing/building direction innovative geometry reasoning but is significantly computation | r in part from al Engineers. 06-0636, 8 M gorithm for r esented in this ction. Aware and analysis ally cheaper. | 750 r ution is unlimited. The Department of the A If this work is public Mar 2006. nulti-axis layered ma s paper. Different from of potential problem tool-centroidal axis. Using a centroidal a | Air Force contract shed, the published om current adapti s of previous rese Similar to medial ixis as a guide, th | er may assert co h can generate o ve slicing, this t earch on slicing l axis, it contain e multi-axis slic | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. | | |
| Air Force Research Labarie Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 6 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relations 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a without support structures is pr but also in slicing/building direction innovative geometry reasoning but is significantly computation | oratory mand DH 45433-7 X STATEMEN ase; distribut ase; distribut | 750 T ation is unlimited. The Department of the A If this work is public Mar 2006. Inulti-axis layered ma s paper. Different from of potential problem tool-centroidal axis. Using a centroidal a ed automatically to b | Air Force contract shed, the published om current adapti s of previous rese Similar to medial ixis as a guide, th uild the part with | h can generate ve slicing, this t earch on slicing axis, it contain e multi-axis slic better surface o | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been subm | | |
| Air Force Research Lab. Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relet 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a without support structures is pr but also in slicing/building diret innovative geometry reasoning but is significantly computation D" layer or change slicing diret | oratory mand DH 45433-7 X STATEMEN ase; distribut ase; distribut | 750 T ation is unlimited. The Department of the A If this work is public Mar 2006. Inulti-axis layered ma s paper. Different from of potential problem tool-centroidal axis. Using a centroidal a ed automatically to b | Air Force contract shed, the published om current adapti s of previous rese Similar to medial ixis as a guide, th uild the part with | h can generate ve slicing, this t earch on slicing axis, it contain e multi-axis slic better surface o | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been subm | | |
| Air Force Research Laba Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 6 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relation 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a without support structures is pribut also in slicing/building direction innovative geometry reasoning but is significantly computation D" layer or change slicing direction | oratory nand DH 45433-7 / STATEMEN ase; distribut r in part from al Engineers. 06-0636, 8 N gorithm for r esented in this ction. Aware and analysis ally cheaper tion as needed asibility and a | 750 T Ition is unlimited. The Department of the A If this work is public Mar 2006. Inulti-axis layered man s paper. Different from of potential problem tool-centroidal axis. Using a centroidal a ed automatically to b advantages of centro | Air Force contract shed, the published om current adapti s of previous rese Similar to medial ixis as a guide, th uild the part with idal axis and its u | h can generate ve slicing, this t earch on slicing axis, it contain e multi-axis slic better surface o | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been subm | | |
| Air Force Research Laba Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public relations 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a without support structures is pr but also in slicing/building dire innovative geometry reasoning but is significantly computation D" layer or change slicing dire examples to demonstrate the fee 15. SUBJECT TERMS | ratory nand DH 45433-7 STATEMEN ase; distribut r in part from al Engineers. 06-0636, 8 M gorithm for r isented in this ction. Aware and analysis ally cheaper ition as needed asibility and a uring, Multi- | 750 r Ition is unlimited. The Department of the <i>A</i> If this work is publised Mar 2006. Inulti-axis layered mar s paper. Different from of potential problem tool-centroidal axis. Using a centroidal axis. The transformation of the transformation of transformation of the transformation of the transformation o | Air Force contract shed, the published om current adapti so f previous rese Similar to medial ixis as a guide, th uild the part with idal axis and its u | er may assert co h can generate of ve slicing, this t earch on slicing l axis, it contain e multi-axis slic better surface of sage in the multi- | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been subm | | |
| Air Force Research Lab Air Force Materiel Com Wright-Patterson AFB, 4 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public rela 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work, resulting in whole of American Society of Mechanic PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 14. ABSTRACT A multi-axis adaptive slicing a without support structures is pr but also in slicing/building dire innovative geometry reasoning but is significantly computation D" layer or change slicing dire examples to demonstrate the fe 15. SUBJECT TERMS CAD/CAM, Layered manufact 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT | ratory nand DH 45433-7 STATEMEN ase; distribut r in part from al Engineers. 06-0636, 8 M gorithm for r isented in this ction. Aware and analysis ally cheaper ition as needed asibility and a uring, Multi- | 750 r Ition is unlimited. The Department of the <i>A</i> If this work is publised Mar 2006. Inulti-axis layered mar s paper. Different from of potential problem tool-centroidal axis. Using a centroidal axis. The distribution of the <i>A</i> advantages of centro | Air Force contract shed, the published om current adaption s of previous rese Similar to media ixis as a guide, th uild the part with idal axis and its u | er may assert co h can generate of ve slicing, this t earch on slicing l axis, it contain e multi-axis slic better surface of sage in the multi- 19a. NAME OF Mary E. F | AFRL-ML-WP 11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2006-416 5704, has been submitted to the byright. 5704, has been submitted to the pyright. 5704, has been submitte | | |

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18

AUTOMATED SLICING FOR A MULTI-AXIS METAL DEPOSITION SYSTEM

Jianzhong Ruan, Todd E. Sparks, Ajay Panackal, Kunnayut Eiamsa-ard, and F. W. Liou^{*} Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering University of Missouri – Rolla

> Kevin Slattery and Hsin-Nan Chou Phantom Works The Boeing Company, St. Louis

Mary Kinsella Air Force Research Laboratory Wright-Patterson AFB

ABSTRACT

A multi-axis adaptive slicing algorithm for multi-axis layered manufacturing which can generate optimal slices to achieve deposition without support structures is presented in this paper. Different from current adaptive slicing, this technique varies not only layer thickness but also in slicing/building direction. Aware of potential problems of previous research on slicing, the work in this paper focuses on innovative geometry reasoning and analysis tool-centroidal axis. Similar to medial axis, it contains geometry and topological information but is significantly computationally cheaper. Using a centroidal axis as a guide, the multi-axis slicing procedure is able to generate a "3-D" layer or change slicing direction as needed automatically to build the part with better surface quality. This paper presents various examples to demonstrate the feasibility and advantages of centroidal axis and its usage in the multi-axis slicing process.

Keyword: CAD/CAM, Layered manufacturing, Multi-axis slicing, Geometry reasoning

1. INTRODUCTION

Since its appearance in the mid 80s, Layered Manufacturing (LM) technology has attempted to provide an efficient approach to build parts directly from a CAD model [e.g., 1-5]. Most of the current RP systems are built on a 2.5-D platform. Among them, the laser-based deposition process is a potential technique that can produce fully functional parts directly from a CAD system and eliminate the need for an intermediate step.

^{*} Address all correspondence to this author: liou@umr.edu; 573-341-4603

However, such a process is currently limited by the need for supporting structures – a technology commonly used in all the current RP systems. Support structures are not desirable for high strength and high temperature materials such as metals and ceramics since these support structures are very difficult to move. As a result, the current laser deposition process, such as LENS (Laser Engineering Net Shaping [6]) from Optomec Inc., can only build fully dense metal with relatively simple geometry [7,8]. Therefore, building parts with complicated shapes becomes a hurdle for the process due to limited motion capability.

In order to expand the applications of metal deposition processes, multi-axis capability is greatly needed. A multi-axis rapid manufacturing system can be created/constructed by adding extra degrees of mobility to a deposition system or by mounting a laser deposition device on a robot arm. The configuration could also be a hybrid system in which a metal deposition system is mounted on a four or more axes CNC machine. With the addition of extra rotations, the support structures may not be necessary for the deposition process in order to build a complicated shape. Figure 2 illustrates the process to build an overhang structure on a 2.5D and multi-axis deposition system. Due to the nature of the deposition process, it is driven by a so-called "slicing" procedure, which uses a set of parallel planes to cut the object to obtain a series of slicing layers. Most of the research is focused on 2.5D slicing in which the building/slicing direction is kept unchanged (usually Z+ direction) and lacks the capability of changing directions to fully explore the capability of multiple degrees of freedom. Few researchers have addressed issues on multi-axis slicing. However, each algorithm has its own drawbacks which will be discussed in the next section.

A solution to this problem is to change the slicing/building direction as needed, which could eliminate or significantly decrease the usage of a support structure to build overhangs or complicated shapes. This paper introduces a new slicing algorithm based on centroidal axis computation. The method not only presents a computationally cheap geometry extractor but also overcomes the problems experienced by other multi-axis slicing procedures. This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, 2.5D slicing methods and some research on multi-axis slicing are summarized; then the research problem for this paper is defined and analyzed. The computation of centroidal axis of an object is

discussed in section 3. The multi-axis slicing procedures are presented in the next section. Some examples are shown and a discussion is presented in section 5. The paper is concluded in section 6.

2. Related Work

2.1 Literature review

In LM processes, slicing is the process that is represented as a set of layers formed by "slicing" a CAD model with a set of horizontal planes [9]. The distance between planes is called "layer thickness". Differences in quality can be achieved by controlling the layer thickness. Research on 2.5-D slicing procedures and deposition toolpath for layered manufacturing processes has been widely conducted. Dolenc and Makela [10] introduced cusp height to control the tolerance. Since then, various efficient and reliable processes for 2.5-D slicing procedures have been studied based on controlling cusp height and meeting the critical surfaces [11-14]. However, the geometric error may occur when the angle between surface normal and the slicing direction is close to 90° . To solve this problem, some researchers presented a slicing method using volume difference between adjacent slicing layers [15, 16]. Rather than computing the cusp height, this method determines layer thickness by comparing the area difference between two neighboring layers after conducting Boolean operations. To some extent, these methods help to improve the efficiency and quality for the deposition system; however, not all of these methods adopt multi-axis into the slicing algorithm; thus, they lack the ability to handle a more complicated multi-axis layered manufacturing process.

Recently, some research has been focused on multi-axis slicing to drive a multiaxis deposition system in order to deliver a more efficient manufacturing system. The projection method is reported to be used to find the new building direction for overhang structure [17]. In this work, the part is decomposed according to the projected information. The building direction is determined from a building map constructed for a decomposed component. However, in some cases, the building direction does not match the surface normal, which leads to a greater staircase effect. Furthermore, a collision may occur which is difficult to avoid. Figure 3 shows an example to illustrate this situation.

A thin/transition wall can be used to build overhang structures on the platform of the multi-axis deposition process. In this method, the building/slicing direction of one slice is determined by the previous layer. To build an overhang structure, the machine is turned 90° to start depositing a transition, named thin wall. After the wall is finished, the part is flipped back to its original direction to continue the deposition process. In this method, 3-D slicing is used to generate non-uniform thickness layers to slice the curve (freeform) surface. However, transition/thin wall usage is limited by physical capability and its results cannot always be realized in the deposition system [18, 19]. In some cases, the rotated deposition is impossible to implement, as shown in Figure 4. The slicing methods and their drawbacks are summarized in Table 1.

The projection and thin wall method complement each other very well. It will benefit the multi-axis deposition process significantly to integrate projection and 3-D slicing together. The obstacle is an automatic determination on how to apply different slicing methods; in other words, the challenge is to understand geometry and use the information to automatically apply the different slicing strategies. Therefore, finding a suitable geometry extractor/recognizing tool is very critical for a multi-axis slicing method.

Medial axis, also referred to as skeleton, has been introduced to study biological shapes for a long time [20]. Medial axis represents 3-D shapes with a series of curves/points, like the skeleton of the human body. This concept has been widely used in pattern recognition, shape analysis, and mesh generation [21-24]. Various methods have been studied to find 3-D medial axes [25, 26]. Figure 5 shows the medial axes of a bunny, and it provides us with an easy tool to understand the geometric structure of complex shapes. The benefit of the medial axis is that it provides global and local topological information of a 3-D shape with a simple structure. Since medial axis brings sufficient information (topological and geometric), it is prudent to use medial axis for process planning in order to find optimal results. However, finding medial axis is very computationally expensive. To compute skeletons of geometry shown in Figure 5, more than 200 seconds (in 2000 PC Windows environment) are needed. A more efficient geometry information extraction method is urgently needed in order to lead to an intelligent multi-axis slicing method.

2.2 Problem definition

As mentioned above, the research reported in this paper is to find an intelligent, robust slicing algorithm for the multi-axis deposition system. The problem is defined below

Given (Geometry G)

Output Collision-free slicing for a multi-axis deposition system.

The algorithm is able to automatically determine the slicing strategy with extracted geometric information. Thus, developing a geometry information extraction tool is very important in the slicing process. Finding medial axis is very time consuming and the result cannot be used to determine the slicing process directly. Furthermore, redundant information may be misleading and is difficult to process. However, the skeleton-like information is still very useful in the slicing process. Therefore, the first objective of this research is to develop a method which is computationally efficient and provides "skeleton" information of an object. Instead of searching points with equal distance to the surrounding surfaces to form medial axis, the centroids of the part on different locations are obtained to form a centroidal axis.

Once the centroidal axis is extracted, the geometry information, including topological information of the part can be obtained. This information will be analyzed to guide the multi-axis slicing process in order to make it more efficient. The key task in this research is defined below:

- 1. Centroidal axis computation and formation
- 2. Collision-free multi-axis slicing procedure based on centrodial axis

3. Centroidal Axis Computation

The computation of the centroidal axis including the definition of the centroidal axis, the computation analysis and the algorithm to extract centroidal axis is discussed in this section.

3.1 Definition

Usually, for a 2-D shape, the medial axis is composed of points with equal distance to the neighboring edges (boundary). The entire search is a trial and error process which is very time consuming. The computation to find the medial axis for a 3-D shape costs more time. To obtain an efficient geometry analysis tool, the centrodial axis is defined in this research to extract the topological and geometrical information in the

multi-axis slicing process. Similar to medial axis, centroidal axis is also composed of a series of points which are centroids of cross sections at different locations. A cross section is the intersecting result of a planar surface and an object. A planar surface can be defined by a position and a normal direction. At a particular position, there are infinite directions. Therefore, there are infinite cross sections, which may yield infinite centroidal axes for the object. Figure 6 illustrates this situation by showing three different centroidal axes along different directions for a simple block. To simplify the situation, three major directions in the Cartesian coordinate system are selected as candidate directions to compute centroids. Therefore, the centroidal axis is no more than an aggregation of nodes which is composed of a geometric position and links connecting the node to other nodes. It can be expressed as

$$A = \{P_{1}, E_{11} \dots E_{1k} \mid \dots \mid P_{i}, E_{i1} \dots E_{ik} \mid \dots \mid P_{n}, E_{n1} \dots E_{nk}\} \quad i = 1 \dots n$$

$$E_{il} = \langle P_{i}, P_{l} \rangle \qquad i \neq l$$
(1)

where P_i is the centroid of a cross section and E_{il} is the link connecting P_i and P_l . It should be noted that each outer loop in a cross section defines an independent centroid. The centroidal axis along {0,0,1} of the block shown in Figure 6 can be expressed as below

$$A = \{P_1(0, 0, 0.01), \phi \mid \dots \mid P_7(0, 0, 1), E_7 < P_6 P_7 \}$$

According to this definition, the position of the centroid can be obtained by computing the geometry center of a cross section; the linking edge can also be determined by the relationship between two centroids, which is defined by two associated cross sections. Thus, the topological relationship between two centroids is actually formed by the corresponding cross sections. In this definition, the centroidal axis not only contains the geometric information by locating the various center positions of different cross sections but also directly gives the topological information by forming the directed link between centroids. Furthermore, computation of the geometric center for a cross section takes much less time than to find a point along the medial axis in a 3-D space. Therefore, the centroidal axis is a very efficient tool to define geometry and topology of an object and it can be used to guide the multi-axis slicing process.

3.2 Computation analysis

To compute the centroidal axis is to find the centroid of different cross sections. Due to the nature of the deposition process, the initial building direction is always upward (Z up direction {0,0,1}); therefore it is reasonable to use this direction as the initial normal direction for the planar surface to obtain the first cross section. However, without changing the direction, the yielded centroid may not be desirable. Figure 7a shows an "L" shaped block. If the normal direction of the intersecting plane is kept as "Z" up, all centroids generated are shown in Figure 7b. Connecting the adjacent centroids yields an axis. It is obvious that this centroidal axis does not contain the "desirable" centroids as the top portion of the "L" in Figure 7 (a) will need to be built with overhang. It may be more desirable if the top centroids are along vector \vec{L} in Figure 7(c) as this suggests that the machine will turn 90 degrees to build the top portion of the "L" block. <u>3.2.1Determination of normal direction of intersecting plane</u>

In order to obtain a proper centroidal axis, the normal direction of the intersecting plane may be changed as needed; in other words, the objective is to select a cross section among the possible candidates to extract the geometric center at a particular location. Only considering the external boundary of a cross section, the relationship between two adjacent cross sections can be defined by applying the Boolean operation after projecting the upper slice to the lower slice. Let A_{tower} and A_{upper} denote the area of external contour of two adjacent cross sections (lower cross section and upper cross section, respectively). After projecting the upper slice to the lower slice to the lower slice, the conducting operation $A_{upper} - (A_{tower} \cap A_{upper})$ yields the result listed below and Eq. 2.

- If the result is A_{upper} , it indicates that upper cross section does not intersect with the lower cross section. Assuming the distance between two cross sections is small, this implies that the geometry is broken and the current centroidal axis search ends (Fig. 8a).
- If the result is φ, it indicates that upper cross section is smaller than the lower cross section, which indicates that the geometry continuity is maintained and centrodial axis searching is continued with the same normal direction for the intersecting plane (Fig. 8b).

• If the result is composed of various areas (Fig. 8c), the geometry center position of each area should be checked to identify the geometry continuity break. It usually occurs when the distance between a geometry center and the lower cross section is much greater than the distance between the upper and lower cross section. It indicates a change of normal direction for the next intersecting plane.

$$A_{upper} - (A_{upper} \cap A_{lower}) = \begin{cases} A_{upper} \\ \phi \\ \Delta A_1, \Delta A_2, ..., \Delta A_n; \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta A_k < A_{upper} \end{cases}$$
(2)

The new normal direction is dependent on the relative position of the geometric center (G_i) of each identified area and the centroid (C_i) of the lower cross section. Since the normal direction only follows one of the three axes, the new direction is selected based on the minimum angle criteria described below:

Let $\overrightarrow{P_i}$ denote the vector formed by G_i and C_i , then the next normal direction is one of the candidate directions (both directions along each major axis X, Y, Z) which forms a minimum angle with $\overrightarrow{P_i}$, as illustrated in Figure 7c.

3.3.2 Determination of intersecting location

Let S_{low} , $\overrightarrow{P_{low}}$ denote the intersecting location and normal direction of the current cross section. The tentative intersecting location can be expressed as

$$S_{next} = S_{low} + P_{low} \bullet inc \tag{3}$$

where *inc* is the increment resolution, a predefined value.

In this research, *inc* is defined as the minimum distance between two non intersecting surfaces of an object. Once the new cross section (the upper one) is obtained, apply Eq. 2 on the lower and upper cross sections. If no change in normal direction is found, the S_{upper} can be used in the next step to search for the centroidal axis. If the normal direction needs to be changed, the lower cross section is used to find the new direction. Let *G* denote the geometric center of the area identified by using Eq. 2. Let $\partial Lower$, C_{lower} denote the external boundary and the centroid of the lower cross section respectively.

Let \vec{P} denote the new normal direction. Projecting $\partial Lower$ along \vec{P} yields a maximum value *T*, and the new intersecting S_{next} location can be expressed as

$$S_{next} = C_{lower} + (T - (\overrightarrow{C_{lower} - O}) \bullet \overrightarrow{P} + \varepsilon) \bullet \overrightarrow{P})$$
(4)

while O is the origin point and ε is variable to avoid floating error. In this research, $\varepsilon = 0.001$

3.2.3 Topology identification

In most cases, connecting the centroids of the upper cross section and lower cross section forms the linking edge. When the normal direction is changed, the link edge cannot be obtained by directly connecting the centroids since the topological relationship is lost for two cross sections. Figure 8a illustrates this case. During the searching process, each normal direction change can be regarded as a new "branch" which is generated. By tracking the searching status, the "branch" can be connected to its corresponding centroid. Figure 8b demonstrates this process.

All links to connect centroids form vectors. If the direction given by the vectors does change significantly, it indicates a C^{I} break. By checking the angle between two adjacent links, all these "break" links can be found. The "break" links are marked in blue in Figure 9b. In addition, the connecting links store the topological relationship among all centroids. In this relationship, if a centroid *E* has another centroid *Ex* connected to it, *E* is called *Ex*'s father and *Ex* is *E*'s child; all other centroids connected to *E* are *Ex*'s brothers. The same concept is applied on the link as well.

<u>3.2.4 Algorithm summary</u>

The algorithm to extract the centroidal axis is summarized below. Assuming that the part is placed on the XOY plane, the initial intersecting point is $P(0,0,0+\varepsilon)$ and the initial normal direction is \vec{L} {0,0,1}. Given Part *B*, increment step *t*, distance threshold *d*, small amount variable ε , the procedure stops when no more cross sections are obtained. The algorithm is listed in Figure 9.

The entire searching process is a recursive one. When the normal direction change is identified, a new searching process is triggered. The steps $Bs \leftarrow GetSweepBody$ and B = B-Bs are used to allow the geometry to be checked only once. In the process of command *GetSweepBody*, different cross sections of the extracted centroidal axis are used to form a sweeping body to represent the portion of geometry in which centroidal axes are already found. Since the axis searching process is a branch-first one, operation of B = B-Bs eliminates the geometry which has been checked. *FindConnectingLink* is to connect the "child branch" centroidal axis back to the main "branch" by the distance from the first centroid in the "child branch". The function of *CrossSectionAnalysis* is to analyze the cross section and make a decision based on the discussion. The entire searching process stops until all branch/local search processes are finished.

3.2.4 Summary

The centroidal axis is much simpler than the medial axis searching process. Since most of the computation is conducted on a 2-D domain (a planar cross section), it does not require the complicated trial and error tracking techniques used in a 3-D medial axis searching process. Furthermore, it provides geometry information as well as topological information which are very helpful for the multi-axis slicing process. Its characters are summarized below.

- The simplicity of the centroidal axis makes the geometry analysis process easier.
- The centroidal axis provides a global perspective on the geometry to be deposited, which allows the slicing procedure to be conducted on an optimal sequence.

Figures 10 and 11 show two examples respectively. In Figure 10, the centroidal axis of a pen rack is shown. It reflects the geometry change by following the inclined rack shape. The centroidal axis illustrated in Figure 11 demonstrates the topological information contained in it. The two curved branches are associated with the two separate centroidal axes which are connected to a common centroid. The entire centroidal axis is put in an adjacent graph which is used later to determine the slicing sequence.

4. Multi-Axis Slicing

In this section, the algorithm of multi-axis slicing based on the centroidal axis is discussed. The multi-axis slicing process starts with analyzing the topological information from the centroidal axis; then the decomposition operation is conducted according to the adjacent graph. For each subcomponent obtained from decomposition, the multi-axis slicing is performed. Finally, the collision free slicing sequence is generated. In addition, the cutting plane to generate the slicing layer is not parallel to each other, which may result in a non-uniform layer [18] or "3-D" layer. Recently, a nonuniform layer was achieved by using the hybrid manufacturing system [27]. Usually, for metal cladding/deposition system, energy level (laser power density), powder feeding rate, scanning speed, and gas pressures are major parameters to decide the final result, like layer height/thickness. By varying parameters during the cladding process, the thickness can be changed [28]. Another way to build a "3-D" layer is by using several very "thin" layers of a different profile to form a relatively "thick" "3-D" layer. The "3-D" layer technique is adopted in this research to keep the geometry continuity and obtain a better deposition quality. Figure 12 illustrates the difference between a "3-D" layer and a regular slicing layer.

4.1 Decomposition using centroidal axis

This task is to decompose the part into sub-components according to the centroidal axis. Since the slicing process follows the extracted centroidal axis, usually each "break" link indicates a C^{l} discontinuity or rapid change in geometry. Decomposing the part finds the splitting surface which can be used to separate the geometry. In a word, the appearance of a splitting surface implies a C^{l} discontinuity or rapid change in geometry. In a word, there are no surfaces which exist for splitting.

Let \vec{L} and $\overrightarrow{L_{pre}}$ denote the unit vector formed by a "break" link and its "father" link. From observation, for a planar splitting surface, the angle between its normal direction and $\overrightarrow{L_{pre}}$ should be very small; in this research, the value is set to 10°; since the centroidal axis changes direction significantly, splitting surfaces have concave edges on their boundary. The \vec{L} may intersect with the splitting planar surface. However, in most cases, \vec{L} is inside the body. Therefore, the splitting planar surface does not always intersect with \vec{L} . In this situation, the projection checking method is conducted. For each planar surface, projecting its external boundary along \vec{L} yields a maximum and a minimum value (denoted as *Proj_{max}* and *Proj_{min}*). If the planar surface is a splitting surface for the "break" link \vec{L} , it should meet the criteria below:

 $(\overline{Pos_{start}} - O) \bullet \vec{L} \le Proj_{max} \le (\overline{Pos_{end}} - O) \bullet \vec{L}$ or

$$(\overline{Pos_{start}} - O) \bullet \vec{L} \le Proj_{min} \le (\overline{Pos_{end}} - O) \bullet \vec{L}$$
(5)

where Pos_{start} , Pos_{end} is the starting and ending position of centroids defining the vector \vec{L} and O is the origin of coordinate system.

Therefore, the three criteria to identify the planar splitting surface are listed below:

1. Angle between unit vector formed by "break" link and normal is less than 10°.

2. The boundary of the surface contains a concave edge.

3. The projection requirement is met.

Once a splitting surface is identified, the given object can be decomposed as two bodies. The body containing Pos_{end} is the children of the other, which still follows the topological relationship defined in the centroidal axis. In the deposition procedure, if a splitting planar surface cannot be identified, the silhouette edges method reported in [17] is applied here to decompose the part. The result of the deposition is a topological tree in which each node represents a sub-component and the edge defines the "father-child" relationship.

4.2 Slicing procedure

In a regular 2.5 deposition system, the building direction is always kept as Z up without change. The only variable in the slicing procedure is the layer thickness or slicing step. However, in the multi-axis slicing procedure, not only the layer thickness can be changed but also the slicing/building direction can be changed. Thus, the task in this procedure is to find the slicing location and direction within the given error limit. With the change of slicing direction, the support structure required in the 2.5D layer deposition system to build overhang structures can be saved.

4.2.1 Initial slicing position and direction

For each sub-component *B* obtained from the decomposition, the initial slicing direction is always reverse to the normal direction of the base surface. Let $\overrightarrow{D_{slicing}}$ and P_{in} denote the slicing direction and any point on the boundary of base surface, then the initial slicing position can be expressed as:

$$P_{slicing} = P_{in} + \overline{D_{slicing}} \bullet \Delta \tag{6}$$

where Δ is the small value

The initial slicing plane formed by this slicing position and direction intersects with the body to yield the first slice. In addition, it intersects with the centroidal axis to obtain the initial slicing position on the centroidal axis.

4.2.2 Slicing direction and position selection

As a deposition process, the essential is to be able to deposit an object with the fastest speed and best quality. Controlling the quality reduces the "staircase" effect in the deposition process. Since limiting the volumetric difference between layers results in a better performance than the cusp height controlling method [Yang03], the concept is adopted in this research to improve the slicing quality. As mentioned before, the slicing strategy used in this research may yield a "3-D" layer, in which the points on the upper slice have a different distance to the lower slice; therefore computing a method to find the volumetric difference needs to be redefined [29].

There are physical limitations on the maximum layer thickness that can be formed by a deposition machine, denoted as ΔL_{max} ; let *VolDiff* denote as the volumetric difference. In a deposition layer, the maximum deposited thickness cannot go beyond this limit. Furthermore, there is also a limit on volumetric difference; thus, two constraints are obtained for the slicing procedure

$$\Delta L_{slicing} \leq \Delta L_{max}$$

$$VolDiff \leq VolDiff_{pre}$$

$$\Delta L_{slicing} \geq \Delta L_{min}$$
(9)

where $VolDiff_{pre}$ is a predefined value for maximum volumetric difference and ΔL_{max} is the maximum layer thickness for a slicing layer, ΔL_{min} is the minimum layer thickness for a slicing layer,

With given slicing step Δ , found slicing direction $\overrightarrow{D_{lower}}$ for previous layer and slicing position P_{lower} on the centroidal axis, the optimal objective is to find a slicing position and direction for the next slice to meet the criteria defined in Eq. 9. The tentative slicing position for the next slice can be found by a point P_{upper} on the centroidal axis with a distance Δ to P_{lower} , then the tentative slicing direction $\overrightarrow{D_{upper}}$ will be found by checking the associated link in the centroidal axis; thus with P_{upper} and $\overrightarrow{D_{upper}}$, a guided slice is obtained.

If Eq 9 is satisfied, then the slicing procedure continues until no slice is found; otherwise, the following steps are conducted.

- 1. $\overrightarrow{D_{upper}} = (\overrightarrow{D_{upper}} + \overrightarrow{D_{lower}})/2$
- 2. Getting a slice at P_{upper} , step 1 is repeated until Eq.(9) is satisfied or the angle between $\overrightarrow{D_{upper}}$ and $\overrightarrow{D_{lower}}$ is less than a small value ε then go to step 3.
- 3. $\Delta_{new} = \Delta \Delta/4$ then find a new tentative slicing position and direction repeating step 1, 2 until Eq. 9 is satisfied.

4.2.3 Slicing sequence

Using the topological relationship after deposition, a general slicing sequence can be found. Similar to the sequence presented in [Singh01], a "child" sub-component cannot be built until its father has been deposited. However, when a child has "brothers", the collision is considered in determining the building/slicing sequence. Usually, a deposition nozzle includes a tip and body which are defined as a cone and cylinder respectively. If the deposited part is B_{dep} , then Eq 10 is satisfied if no collision occurs

$$(B_{dep} \cap B_{tip} \cap B_{body}) = \phi \tag{10}$$

where B_{tip} is the representation of the tip and B_{body} is the body of the nozzle.

The deposited body can be obtained by sweeping the slicing layer to form the geometry. When a collision occurs, the slicing procedure switches between a sub-component and its brothers. In other words, several sub-components are built simultaneously. In order to shorten the traveling time of the deposition nozzle between different sub-components, the deposition of each sub-component is discontinued just before a collision happens.

4.3 Algorithm summary

The entire multi-axis algorithm is developed based on the centroidal axis extraction. The trial and error method has been adopted to determine the slicing location and direction to meet the volumetric difference requirement. The final result is a slicing sequence defined as a slicing contour queue. Given Part *B*, maximum layer thickness ΔL_{max} , minimum layer thickness ΔL_{min} , allowed volumetric different *v*, the algorithm is listed in Figure 13.

5. Example and Discussion

The presented algorithm has been implemented in VC++ using an ACIS geometry kernel. Figure 14 shows the slicing result of the examples shown in Figure 11. In Figure 14, the example demonstrates the "3-D" layer in the C^{l} continuous non-planar branch slicing procedure. The slicing direction is kept changed to reflect the direction change in the centroidal axis. This matches the geometry shape in two curved branches. Furthermore, using the centroidal axis, the "critical plane" [16] in the deposition process is detected easily with a "break" link in the centroidal axis.

Another hinge example and its deposition result are shown in Figure 15. The part is decomposed into five subcomponents (1,2,3,4,5) as shown in Figure 15(c). The slicing result is shown in Figure 15(d). The deposition starts from building the subcomponent 1 then the subcomponent 2, 3 are built after rotating the part 90° around X axis. The subcomponents 4, 5 are finished after rotating the part 180° around Y axis.

All examples have illustrated the usage of the centroidal axis and the "3-D" layer in multi-axis. The computation time of the centroidal axis for three examples is about 2, 3, 3 seconds respectively on a P4 (2.8 GHz) PC; therefore, it can be safely concluded that the centroidal axis has more of an advantage than the medial axis in terms of the usage in the multi-axis slicing process. It contains geometric and topological information which can be used to guide the entire slicing procedure.

Although the deposition follows the process planning very well, some very small features may be missed due to the physical limitation like minimum track width. The powder used in the deposition experiment is 4140. The author also experimented with different materials like H13 tool steel to build the part. Following the same slicing and scanning toolpath result, there is a geometric difference between the deposition results due to different properties of the material. The knowledge of material could be integrated into process planning.

6. Conclusion

The multi-axis deposition system can potentially make solid freeform fabrication very attractive to industry. This paper presents the slicing of CAD models based on the centroidal axis for such machines. The usage of the centroidal axis in multi-axis slicing provides the following advantages:

1. The centroidal axis is very computationally cheap.

2.Combined precise geometry and topological information in a very simple format.

3.It can be used directly to guide the multi-axis slicing procedure.

An algorithm to extract the centroidal axis is discussed in this paper. By using the centroidal axis, the multi-axis slicing process integrates the concepts of the "3-D" layer and decomposition of an object to make the slicing result accurate. The entire process is automatically driven by the centroidal axis without human interference. The algorithm is implemented on a geometry kernel, therefore it is very easy to extend its application on any geometry format including STL.

On the other hand, the implemented method still has its own limitations. Illustrated in Figure 16, the centroidal axis of the shape does not indicate the change of the geometry and the deposition will fail without support structure. Rapid geometric changes can be detected by comparing the adjacent slicing layers. This problem could be solved by decomposing the upper part into several sub-components. In addition, as discussed before, the material should be considered and processed in the process planning. Future work on this topic will be focused on these challenges.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation Grant Number DMI-

9871185, U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory contract # FA8650-04-C-5704, and UMR

Intelligent Systems Center. Their support is greatly appreciated.

Reference:

1] Das, Suman; Harlan, Nicole; Beaman, Joseph and David Bourell, "Selective Laser Sintering of High Performance High Temperature Metals", *Proceedings of Solid Freeform Fabrication*, Austin, TX, 1996, pp 89-96.

[2] Fodran, Eric; Koch, Martin and Menon, Unny, "Mechanical and Dimensional Characteristics of Fused Deposition Modeling Build Styles", Solid Freeform Fabrication Proceedings, 1996, pp 419-442.

[3] Jacobs, P. F.," Stereolithography and Other RP&M Technologies: From Rapid Prototyping to Rapid Tooling" *Society of Manufacturing Engineers*, 1995.

[4] McAlea, Kevin and Hejmadi, Uday," Selective Laser Sintering of Metal Molds: The RapidTool (TM) Process", Solid Freeform Fabrication Proceedings, 1996, pp 97-104.

[5] Pope, Matthew J.; Patterson, Mark C.L. Patterson; Zimbeck, Walter and Fehrenbacher, Mark, "Laminated Object Manufacturing of Si3N4 with Enhanced Properties", Solid Freeform Fabrication Proceedings, 1997, p 529-536.

[6] Hofmeister, W., M. Griffith, M. Ensz, J. Smugeresky, Solidification in Direct Metal Deposition by LENS Processing, JOM-Journal Of The Minerals Metals & Materials Society, v. 53(#9) pp. 30-34 Sep 2001.

[7] Laeng, J.; Stewart, J.G.; and Liou, F.W., "Laser Metal Forming Processes And The Application In Rapid Prototyping of Metallic Parts", Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Advanced Manufacturing Technology, Johor Bahru, Malaysia, August 2000a.

[8] Laeng, J.; Stewart J.G.; and Liou, F.W., "Laser Metal Forming Processes for Rapid Prototyping – A Review," International Journal of Production Research, Vol.38, No.16, pp.3973, 2000b.

[9] Pandey, Pulak Mohan; Reddy, N. Venkata and Dhande, Sanjay G., "Slicing procedures in layered manufacturing: a review", *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, Vol. 9 No. 5 2003, pp.274-288.

[10] Dolenc, A and Mäkelä, I, "Slicing procedures for layered manufacturing techniques", *CAD*, Vol. 26, Feb. 1994, pp.119-126.

[11] Kulkarni, Prashant and Dutta, Debasish, "An accurate slicing procedure for layered manufacturing", *CAD*, Vol.28, No.9, 1999, pp.683-397.

[12] Kumar, Madhup and Choudhury, A. Roy, "Adaptive slicing with cubic path approximation", *Rapid Prototyping*, Vol. 8. No. 4, 2002, pp.224-232.

[13] Ma, Weiyin and He, Peiren, "An adaptive slicing and selective hatching strategy for layered manufacturing", *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, 1999, pp.191-197.

[14] Tata, Kamesh; Fadel, Georges; Bagchi, Amit and Aziz, Nadim, "Efficient slicing for layered manufacturing", *Rapid Prototyping*, Vol. 4. No. 4, 1998, pp.151-167.

[15] Luo, Ren C.; Chang, Yi Cheng and Tzou, Jyh Hwa, "The development of a new adaptive slicing algorithm for layered manufacturing system", *Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation*, Seoul, Korea, May 21-26, 2001.

[16] Yang, Y.; Fuh, J.Y.H.; Loh, H. T. and Wong, Y. S., "A volumetric difference-based adaptive slicing and deposition method for layered manufacturing", *Journal of Manufacturing and Science*, Vol.125, Aug. 2003, pp.586-594.

[17] Singh, Prabhjot; Dutta, Debasish, "Multi-Direction Slicing for Layered Manufacturing", *Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering*, June, 2001, Vol. 1, pp.129-142.

[18] Zhang, J.; Ruan, J.; and Liou, F.W. "Process Planning for a Five-Axis Hybrid Rapid Manufacturing Process", *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium*, Austin, TX, pp. 243., August 2000.

[19] Zhang, Jun and Liou, F.W. "Adaptive Slicing for a Five-Axis Laser Aided Manufacturing Process," *Proceedings of the 2001 ASME Design Automation Conference*, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, September 9-12, 2001.

[20] O'Rourke, Joseph, "Computational geometry in C", 2nd Ed., Cambridge Press, 1998.

[21] Ma, Wan-Chn; Wu, Fu-Che and Ouhyoung Ming, "Skeleton extraction of 3D objects with radial basis function", *Proceedings of the Shape Modeling International* 2003.

[22] Naf, M.; Szekely, G.; Kikinis, R.; Shenton, M.; Kubler, O., "3D Voronoi Skeletons and Their Usage for the Characterization and Recognition of 3D Organ Shape", *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 66, no. 2 (1997): 147.

[23] Sampl, P., "Medial Axis Construction in Three Dimensions and its Application to Mesh Generation", *Engineering with Computers*, 2001, 17, pp.234-248.

[24] Troulis, M.; Everett, P.; Seldin, E.; Kikinis, R.; Kaban, L." Development of a threedimensional treatment planning system based on computed tomographic data", *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 31, no. 4 (2002): 349-357.

[25] Culver, Tim; Keyser, John and Manocha, Dinesh, "Exact computation of the medial axis of a polyhedron", *Computer Aided Geometric Design*, 21, 2004, pp.65-98.

[26] Dey, Tamal K. and Zhao, Wulue, "Approximate medial axis as a Voroni subcomplex", *Computer-Aided Design*, 36, 2004, pp.195-202.

[27] Ruan, Jianzhong; Eiamsa-ard, Kunnayut; Zhang, Jun and Liou, F.W., "Automatic Process Planning of A Multi-Axis Hybrid Manufacturing System", *Proceedings of DETC'02*, September 29—October 2, 2002, Montreal, CANADA.

[28] Picasso M. *et al*, "A simple but realistic model of laser cladding", *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions*, Volume 25B, April 1994, pp. 281-291.

[29] Ruan, Jianzhong; Eiamsa-ard, Kunnayut; Zhang, Jun and Liou, F.W., "Automatic Multi-axis Slicing Based on Centroidal Axis Computation", *Proceedings of DETC'05*, 2005, Long Beach, CA, U.S.A.



Figure 1. (a) build part with support structure; (b) with multi-axis capability, after building the column, the table can be rotated; (c) After rotation, continue to build the component from another direction



Figure 2. A case study to demonstrate limitation of projection-based method



Figure 3. Using transition wall fails to build cylinder overhang



Figure 4. Skeleton of a bunny.



Figure 5. Different centroidal axes



Figure 6. Improper centroidal axis and direction selection



Figure 7. The case of $A_{upper} - (A_{lower} \cap A_{upper})$





Figure 9. Centroidal Axis Searching Algorithm Chart Flow



Figure 10. Example of centroidal axis extraction



(b) Centroidal axis (c) Centroidal axis with solid model Figure 11. Example of centroidal axis extraction

(a) Solid model



Figure 12. Uniform and Non-uniform Layer



Figure 13. Slicing Algorithm Flow Chart



(a) Decomposition result (b) Slicing result Figure 14. Slicing result of example in Fig. 11



(a) Solid model



(b) Centroidal axis



(c) Decomposition result







(e) Deposition result after clean out

Figure 15. Hinge example



Figure 16. Centroidal axis fails to detect the geometric change

| Slicing method | Degree | Control Parameter | Limitation |
|--|------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Cusp height/volumetric difference[10,16] | 2.5D | Cusp height/volumetri difference | c Only suitable for regular 2.5D system |
| Projection [17] | Multi-axis | Cusp height | Collision and geometry error |
| Transition Wall [18] | Multi-axis | Cusp height | Hard to implement on physical machine |

Table 1. Slicing Method Summary