REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
maintaining the data needed, a including suggestions for reduce Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	and completing and reviewing th cing this burden to Department on NA 22202-4302. Respondent	is collection of information. Send of Defense, Washington Headqua	l comments regarding this burder inters Services, Directorate for Int anding any other provision of law	n estimate or any othe formation Operations , no person shall be s	rching existing data sources, gathering and r aspect of this collection of information, and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis ubject to any penalty for failing to comply with a RESS .		
1. REPORT DATE (DL 14-11-2005	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE			DATES COVERED (From - To)		
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT				5a	. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Structural Charact	erization of POSS S	iloxane Dimer and T	rimer (POSTPRINT	<u>.</u>) 5t	. GRANT NUMBER		
				50	. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)					. PROJECT NUMBER		
•	•	FRL/PRSP); Stan And			030521 . TASK NUMBER		
(westmont College)	; Joseph Schwab (Hy	brid Plastics); Mike B	owers (UCSB)	56	. TASK NOMBER		
					WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORG		AND ADDRESS(ES)		-	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
Air Force Research	Laboratory (AFMC)				FRL-PR-ED-JA-2005-452		
9 Antares Road					TRE-FR-ED-JA-2003-432		
Edwards AFB CA 93524-7401							
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10	. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S		
				A	CRONYM(S)		
Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC)							
AFRL/PRS					. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S NUMBER(S)		
5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				A	FRL-PR-ED-JA-2005-452		
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT							
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited (AFRL-ERS-PAS-2005-289)							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
©2006 American Chemical Society, published in Chemistry of Materials, 2006 , <i>18</i> , 1490-1497.							
14. ABSTRACT							
					properties of POSS (Polyhedral $n_{T} = 0.0$ Na ⁺ and the trimer ion		
Oligomeric Silsesquioxanes) siloxane oligomers. MALDI generated the sodiated dimers $(Cy_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$ and $(Cp_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$, and the trimer ion $[Cy_7T_8O-Cy_8Si_8O_{11}-OCy_7T_8] Na^+ (T = SiO_{1.5}, Cy = cyclohexyl, and Cp = cyclohexyl); their collision cross-sections were measured in helium$							
using ion mobility based methods. Experimental results are consistent with one observed conformer for each of the dimers, and the exo-exo							
isomer for the trimer (formed by retention of configuration at silicon during synthesis). For the cyclopentyl dimer, theory predicts two separate conformer families based on whether the substituents on the POSS cages are staggered ($\sigma_{calc} = 357 \text{ Å}^2$) or eclipsed ($\sigma_{calc} = 365 \text{ Å}^2$); experiment							
fits the staggered conformation best ($\sigma_{expt} = 355 \text{ Å}^2$). For the cyclohexyl dimer, on the other hand, folding of the Cy groups seems to be							
important: ($\sigma_{expt} = 402 \text{ Å}^2 \text{ vs}$ unfolded $\sigma_{calc} = 421 \text{ Å}^2 \text{ or folded } \sigma_{calc} = 407 \text{ Å}^2$). Similar to the Cy dimer, for the trimer theory indicates that a low energy exo-exo family of structures fits the experimental cross-section ($\sigma_{expt} = 557 \text{ Å}^2$) only if several Cy-groups are folded ($\sigma_{calc} = 557 \text{ Å}^2$) as							
compared to unfolded structures (avg. $\sigma_{calc} = 570$ Å ²). Modeling shows the non-bonded interactions of the capping Cp and Cy groups stabilize							
POSS cage packing leading to compact structures being lowest in energy. Modeling also suggests that two POSS cages bonded together by a							
single oxygen atom gives a benchmark 8.3 – 8.5 A cage-cage center distance which may be used to evaluate cage packing in other POSS oligomers.							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Dr. Joseph M. Mabry 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER		
			SAR	9	(include area code) N/A		
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified			11/1		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239.18

Structural Characterization of POSS Siloxane Dimer and Trimer

Stanley E. Anderson,[‡] Connie Mitchell,[†] Timothy S. Haddad,[§] Ashwani Vij,[⊥] Joseph J. Schwab,[#] and Michael T. Bowers^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106,

Department of Chemistry, Westmont College, Santa Barbara, California 93108, ERC Inc., Air Force

Research Laboratory, 10 East Saturn Boulevard, Building 8451, Edwards AFB, California 93524-7680, and Hybrid Plastics, Inc., 18237 Mt. Baldy Circle, Fountain Valley, California 92708

Received November 23, 2005. Revised Manuscript Received January 18, 2006

Ion mobility and molecular modeling methods were used to examine the gas-phase conformational properties of POSS (polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes) siloxane oligomers. MALDI generated the sodiated dimers $(Cy_7T_8)_2O$ ·Na⁺ and $(Cp_7T_8)_2O$ ·Na⁺ and the trimer ion $[Cy_7T_8O - Cy_8Si_8O_{11} - OCy_7T_8]$ Na^+ (T = SiO_{1.5}, Cy = cyclohexyl, and Cp = cyclopentyl); their collision cross sections were measured in helium using ion mobility based methods. Experimental results are consistent with one observed conformer for each of the dimers and the exo-exo isomer for the trimer (formed by retention of configuration at silicon during synthesis). For the cyclopentyl dimer, theory predicts two separate conformer families based on whether the substituents on the POSS cages are staggered ($\sigma_{calc} = 357 \text{ Å}^2$) or eclipsed $(\sigma_{\text{calc}} = 365 \text{ Å}^2)$; experiment fits the staggered conformation best $(\sigma_{\text{expt}} = 355 \text{ Å}^2)$. For the cyclohexyl dimer, on the other hand, folding of the Cy groups seems to be important: ($\sigma_{expt} = 402 \text{ Å}^2 \text{ vs}$ unfolded $\sigma_{\text{calc}} = 421 \text{ Å}^2$ or partially folded $\sigma_{\text{calc}} = 407 \text{ Å}^2$). Similar to the Cy dimer, for the trimer theory indicates that a low-energy exo-exo family of structures fits the experimental cross section ($\sigma_{expt} = 557 \text{ Å}^2$) only if several Cy groups are folded ($\sigma_{calc} = 557 \text{ Å}^2$) as compared to unfolded structures (average $\sigma_{calc} = 570 \text{ Å}^2$) $m \AA^2$). Modeling shows the nonbonded interactions of the capping Cp and Cy groups stabilize POSS cage packing leading to compact structures being lowest in energy. Modeling also suggests that two POSS cages bonded together by a single oxygen atom gives a benchmark 8.3–8.5 Å cage-cage center distance which may be used to evaluate cage packing in other POSS oligomers. X-ray crystal structures at 100 and 298 K for (Cy₇T₈)₂O were carried out which show that solid state cage-cage intermolecular distances are perfectly consistent with the modeled POSS-PMA dimer and trimer structures. At room temperature there is considerable unresolved disorder of the cyclohexyl R groups in the X-ray structure due to rapid boat-chair interconversion.

Introduction

The ability to enhance properties of materials for increased performance and environmental robustness is the focus of much current research. One approach to developing better materials is to create inorganic-organic composite materials in which inorganic building blocks are incorporated into organic polymers. Polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes (POSS) are one type of hybrid inorganic-organic material of the form $(RSiO_{3/2})_n$, or R_nT_n , where organic substituents are attached to a silicon-oxygen cage.1 The most common POSS cage is the T_8 (a molecule with a cubic array of silicon atoms with bridging oxygen atoms and with eight R groups bonded to the silicon atoms at the vertices of the cube). Other cages with well-defined geometries include n = 6, 10, 12,14, 16, and 18.^{2,3} By incorporating these Si-O cages into organic polymers, properties superior to the organic material

alone are realized, offering exciting possibilities for the development of new materials.4-9

How the cage structures affect the polymer to which they are attached depends on both the polymer type and the POSS used. Numerous papers suggest that POSS groups can undergo self-assembly/association to form POSS-rich domains that strongly affect polymer properties.¹⁰⁻²¹ This

- (5) Lichtenhan, J. D. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1995, 17, 115-130.
- Lichtenhan, J. D. In Polymeric Materials Encyclopedia; Salamore, J. C., Ed.; CRC Press: New York, 1996; pp 7769-7778.
- (7) (a) Li, G. Z.; Wang, L. C.; Ni, H. L.; Pittman, C. U. J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. 2001, 11, 123-154. (b) Li, G. Z.; Pittman, C. U. In Macromolecules Containing Metals and Metal-like Elements; Abd El Aziz, A. S., Carraher, C. E., Pittman, C. U., Zeldin, M., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2005; Vol. 5 Group IVA Polymers, Chapter 5, pp 79-131.
- (8) Phillips, S. H.; Haddad, T. S.; Tomczak, S. J. Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. 2004, 8, 21-29
- Kannan, R. Y.; Salacinski, H. J.; Butler, P. E.; Seifalian, A. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 879-884.
- (10) (a) Lichtenhan, J. D.; Otonari, Y. A.; Carr, M. J. Macromolecules 1995, 28, 8435-8437. (b) Lichtenhan, J. D.; Vu, N. Q.; Carter, J. A.; Gilman, J. W.; Feher, F. J. Macromolecules 1993, 26, 2141-2142.
- (11) (a) Jeon, H. G.; Mather, P. T.; Haddad, T. S. Polym. Int. 2000, 49, 453-457. (b) Haddad, T. S.; Viers, B. D.; Phillips, S. H. J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. 2002, 11, 155-164. (c) Fu, B. X.; Lee, A.; Haddad, T. S. Macromolecules 2004, 37, 5211-5218. (d) Kopesky, E. T.; Haddad, T. S.; Cohen, R. E.; McKinley, G. H. Macromolecules 2004, 37, 8992-9004.

^{*} Corresponding author. Phone: 805-893-2893. E-mail: bowers@ chem.ucsb.edu.

University of California.

[‡]Westmont College. § ERC, Inc., c/o AFRL, Edwards AFB.

¹ AFRL, Edwards AFB.

[#] Hybrid Plastics, Inc.

⁽¹⁾ Voronkov, M. G.; Lavrentyev, V. I. Top. Curr. Chem. 1982, 102, 199-236

⁽²⁾ Agaskar, P. A. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 2707-2708.

⁽³⁾ Agaskar, P. A.; Klemperer, W. G. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1995, 229, 355-364.

⁽⁴⁾ Baney, R. H.; Itoh, M.; Sakakibara, A.; Suzuki, T. Chem. Rev. 1995, 92, 1409-1430.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of POSS Dimers



association has been observed both by X-ray scattering and transmission electron microscopy. A recent paper terms this a "bottom-up" approach to nanocomposite formation, where the POSS aggregate together to form rafts and sheets within a polymer matrix.^{13b}

In recent work, it was suggested that cage aggregation effects in POSS methacrylate oligomers appear to be important in determining the structure of these species.²² The POSS cages seem to interact via nonbonded interactions, and they are not merely space-filling entities. The tethered cages do not maximize their distances from each other but rather tend to show a clustering effect. To further investigate this phenomenon we decided to investigate the siloxane oligomers shown in Schemes 1 and 2 in which POSS cages were held together covalently by bridging oxygen linkages. The cagecage center-to-center distance should be minimal in the siloxane system and provide a distance benchmark for cage separation in systems such as the PMA oligomers where close approach of POSS cages must arise from cage interactions involving van der Waals nonbonded interactions of the R groups.

There are a few papers on POSS siloxanes demonstrating that such materials can be synthesized and showing their thermal and mechanical characteristics.^{23–25} A primary observation is that the POSS group acts something like a hard segment to reinforce the rubbery siloxane material and

- (12) Byoung-Suhk, K.; Mather, P. T. *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 8378-8384.
- (13) (a) Constable, G. S.; Lesser, A. J.; Coughlin, E. B. *Macromolecules* 2004, *37*, 1276–1282. (b) Zheng, L.; Hong, S.; Cardoen, G.; Burgaz, E.; Gido, S. P.; Coughlin, E. B. *Macromolecules* 2004, *37*, 8606–8611.
- (14) Pittman, C. U.; Li, G.; Ni, H. Macromol. Symp. 2003, 196, 301-325.
- (15) Huang, J.; He, C.; Xiao, Y.; Mya, K. Y.; Dai, J.; Siow, Y. P. Polymer 2003, 44, 4491–4499.
- (16) Capaldi, F. M.; Rutledge, G. C.; Boyce, M. C. *Macromolecules* 2005, 38, 6700–6709.
- (17) Lee, Y.-J.; Huang, J.-M.; Kuo, S.-W.; Chang, F.-C. Polymer 2005, 46, 10056-10065.
- (18) Oaten, M.; Choudhury, N. R. Macromolecules 2005, 38, 6392-6401.
- (19) Brick, C. M.; Tamaki, R.; Kim, S.-G.; Asuncion, M. Z.; Roll, M.; Nemoto, T.; Ouchi, Y.; Chujo, Y.; Laine, R. M. *Macromolecules* 2005, 38, 4655–4660.
- (20) Xiao, S.; Nguyen, M.; Gong, X.; Cao, Y.; Wu, H.; Moses, D.; Heeger, A. J. Adv. Funct. Mater. **2003**, *13*, 25–29.
- (21) Maitra, P.; Wunder, S. L. Chem. Mater. 2002, 14, 4494-4497.
- (22) Anderson, S. E.; Mitchell, C.; Bowers, M. T.; Haddad, T. S. Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 2537–2545.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the Cyclohexyl POSS Trimer



raise its characteristic glass transition. Once again, the aggregation of the POSS appears to be responsible for the property changes.^{23–25} There are recent theoretical papers^{26,27} that demonstrate POSS aggregation when tethered or blended into poly(dimethylsiloxane).

Attempts to model siloxanes^{28–30} are not new. Sun and Rigby³¹ were the first to develop a comprehensive force field that was applied to simulations of siloxane molecular crystals, liquids, and isolated molecules. Reasonable results were obtained for poly(dimethylsiloxane) and poly(diphenylsiloxane) in the solid state, reproducing experimental data such as density and unit cell parameters and modeling gas-phase geometries. DFT molecular orbital calculations on POSS systems are also well-known and have been used to study the geometry and electronic structure of T₈ systems with simple R groups such as H, methyl, and phenyl.^{32–35}

Experimental Section

Synthesis and Isolation of POSS Siloxanes. THF was dried over sodium benzophenone and vacuum transferred prior to use.

- (23) (a) Lichtenhan, J. D.; Vu, N. Q.; Carter, J. A.; Gilman, J. W.; Feher, F. J. *Macromolecules* **1993**, *26*, 2141–2142. (b) Mantz, R. A.; Jones, P. F.; Chaffee, K. P.; Lichtenhan, J. D.; Gilman, J. W.; Ismail, I. M. K.; Burmeister, M. J. *Chem. Mater.* **1996**, *8*, 1250–1259. (c) Shockey, E. G.; Bolf, A. G.; Jones, P. F.; Schwab, J. J.; Chaffee, K. P.; Haddad, T. S.; Lichtenhan, J. D. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *13*, 311–327
- (24) Pan, G.; Mark, J. E.; Schaefer, D. W. J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys. 2003, 41, 3314–3323.
- (25) Isayeva, I. S.; Kennedy, J. P. Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2003, 89, 645– 646.
- (26) Chan, E. R.; Zhang, X.; Lee, C.-Y.; Neurock, M.; Glotzer, S. C. Macromolecules 2005, 38, 6168–6180.
- (27) Striolo, A.; McCabe, C.; Cummings, P. T. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 14300-14307.
- (28) (a) Bahar, I.; Zuniga, I.; Dodge, R.; Mattice, W. L. *Macromolecules* 1991, 24, 2986–2992. (b) Bahar, I.; Zuniga, I.; Dodge, R.; Mattice, W. L.; *Macromolecules* 1991, 24, 2983–2998. (c) Neuberger, N.; Bahar, I.; Mattice, W. L. *Macromolecules* 1992, 25, 2447–2454.
- (29) Bahar, I.; Neuberger, N.; Mattice, W. L. Macromolecules 1992, 25, 4619–4625.
- (30) Patel, R. D.; Mark, J. E. Comput. Theor. Polym. Sci. 2000, 10, 189– 195.
- (31) Sun, H.; Rigby, D. Spectrochim. Acta 1997, A53, 1301-1323.

SiCl₄ (Geleste) was degassed and vacuum transferred prior to use. Butyllithium (Aldrich) was used as received as were the cyclohexyl and cyclopentyl POSS trisilanols, $R_7[Si_7O_9](OH)_3$, and monosilanols, $R_7[Si_7O_{12}](OH)$ (Hybrid Plastics). Cy₈Si₈O₁₁(OH₂)₂ was synthesized by the literature procedure.³⁶ All NMR spectra were collected on either a Bruker 300 or 400 MHz instrument and obtained from CDCl₃ solutions. ¹H, ¹³C, and ²⁹Si NMR spectra (reported in ppm using the δ scale) were referenced to residual CHCl₃ at 7.26 ppm, to CDCl₃ at 77.0 ppm, and to external *Si*Me₄ at 0 ppm, respectively. HPLC separations were obtained on an HP 1090 liquid chromatograph by injecting 10 μ L of a 5 ppt sample onto a poly(vinyl alcohol)—silica gel column and eluting at 1 mL/ min using a 5 vol % THF/95 vol % cyclohexane mobile phase and a Varex MKIII evaporative light scattering detector.

Synthesis of Cyclohexyl POSS Chloride [(c-C₆H₁₁)₇Si₈O₁₂]-(Cl). Under a dry nitrogen atmosphere, a 20 mL THF solution of SiCl₄ (1.673 g, 9.85 mmol) was slowly added to a 50 mL THF solution of Cy₇[Si₇O₉](OH)₃ (9.130, 9.37 mmol) and NEt₃ (2.942 g, 29.07 mmol). After stirring overnight, the reaction was filtered to remove HNEt₃Cl (3.71 g, 26.95 mmol was isolated), and the solution was evaporated to dryness. The resulting solid was extracted with THF, refiltered, and the solution was evacuated until crystals started to precipitate from solution. This was then added to an equivalent volume of dry CH₃CN to fully precipitate the product. After stirring for 30 min, a fine white precipitate was collected and dried (under nitrogen) to give a 98% isolated yield (9.54 g, 9.22 mmol). ¹H NMR (ppm): 1.74 (br, mult, 35 H), 1.24 (br, mult, 35 H), 0.78 (br, mult, 7 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (ppm): 27.45, 27.36, 26.86, 26.76, 26.59, 26.40 (CH₂); 23.13, 23.06, 22.80 (CH). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (ppm): -67.89 (s, 3 Si), -68.47(s, 3 Si), -68.53 (s, 1 Si), -89.28 (s, 1 Si-Cl). HPLC showed a single peak.

Synthesis of Cyclopentyl POSS Chloride $[(c-C_5H_9)_7Si_8O_{12}]$ -(Cl). The same method used for the synthesis of the cyclohexyl POSS chloride was followed, and product was isolated in a 98% yield. ¹H NMR (ppm): 1.77 (br, mult, 14 H), 1.54 (br, mult, 42 H), 1.04 (br, mult, 7 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (ppm): 27.29, 27.23, 27.03, 26.94; 22.22, 22.16, 21.95 (CH). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (ppm): -65.74 (s, 3 Si), -66.32(s, 3 Si), -66.36 (s, 1 Si), -89.53 (s, 1 Si-Cl). HPLC showed a single peak.

Synthesis of Cyclohexyl POSS Dimer [(c-C₆H₁₁)₇Si₈O₁₂]₂O. In a heavy walled glass reaction vessel under a dry nitrogen atmosphere, 0.41 mL of n-BuLi (2.5 M, 1.03 mmol) was added to a wellstirred dry 10 mL THF solution of Cy₇T₈(OH) (1.000 g, 0.984 mmol). After stirring for 1 h, a 10 mL THF solution of Cy7T8(Cl) (1.018 g, 0.984 mmol) was added, the reaction vessel was sealed, and then heated to 60 °C overnight. The following day, the THF was removed under vacuum, and the resulting white solid was extracted with ether (20 mL), filtered to remove LiCl, and the filtrate precipitated into 100 mL of methanol. The product was collected by filtration and further purified by redissolving in ether and precipitating slowly via the slow addition of methanol. This product was isolated in 67% yield (1.33 g, 0. 66 mmol). ¹H NMR (ppm): 1.74 (br, mult, 5 H), 1.24 (br, mult, 5 H), 0.76 (br, mult, 1 H). ¹³C-{¹H} NMR (ppm): 27.50, 27.46, 26.90, 26.85, 26.62, 26.43 (CH₂); 23.15, 23.12, 22.82 (CH). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (ppm): -67.67 (s, 3 Si), -68.46 (s, 3 Si), -68.49 (s, 1 Si), -109.77 (s, 1 Si). Elemental analysis found (theoretical): %C 50.12 (50.11); %H 7.88 (7.71).

111, 1741-1748.

(35) Franz, R.; Kandalam, A. K.; Pandey, R.; Pernisz, U. C. J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 1709–1713.
(36) Feher, F. J.; Newman, D. A.; Walzer, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989,

7 H). ${}^{13}C{}^{1H}$ NMR (ppm): 27.31, 27.04, 26.99 (CH₂); 22.25, 22.20, 22.09 (CH). ${}^{29}Si{}^{1H}$ NMR (ppm): -65.71 (s, 3 Si), -66.47 (s, 4 Si), -110.00 (s, 1 Si). Elemental analysis found (theoretical): %C 46.28 (46.27); %H 7.06 (6.99). HPLC showed a single peak.

Synthesis of Cyclopentyl POSS Dimer [(c-C₅H₉)₇Si₈O₁₂]₂O.

The same method used for the synthesis of the cyclohexyl dimer

was followed, and product was isolated in a 65% yield. ¹H NMR

HPLC showed a single peak.

Synthesis of Cyclohexyl POSS Trimer [Cy7Si8O12]2[exo,exo-Cy₈Si₈O₁₃]. In a heavy walled glass reaction vessel under a nitrogen atmosphere, 0.75 mL of n-BuLi (2.5 M, 1.88 mmol) was added to a well-stirred 10 mL THF solution of exo, exo-Cy₈Si₈O₁₁(OH)₂ (1.000 g, 0.909 mmol). After stirring for 1 h, a 10 mL THF solution of Cy₇T₈(Cl) (1.880 g, 1.818 mmol) was added, the reaction vessel was sealed, and then heated to 60 °C overnight. The following day, the THF was removed under vacuum, and the resulting white solid was extracted with ether (20 mL), filtered to remove LiCl, and the filtrate precipitated into 100 mL of methanol. The product was collected by filtration and further purified by redissolving in ether and extracting with water, dilute HCl, water, and brine solution. After drying the Et₂O solution over MgSO₄, the product was precipitated by slow addition to methanol. This product was isolated in 73% yield (2.060 g, 0.665 mmol). ¹H NMR (ppm): 1.73 (br, mult, 5 H), 1.24 (br, mult, 5 H), 0.76 (br, mult, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (ppm): 27.59, 27.49, 26.89, 26.86, 26.60, 26.54, 26.46 (CH₂); 24.06, 23.64, 23.38, 23.14, 23.08, 22.98 (CH). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (ppm): -65.67 (s, 1 Si), -67.57 (s, 1 Si), -67.81 (s, 3 Si), -67.88 (s, 1 Si), -68.37 (s, 3 Si), -68.40 (s, 1 Si), -68.88 (s, 1 Si), -110.09 (s, 1 Si). Elemental analysis found (theoretical): %C 51.37 (51.22); %H 7.96 (7.88). HPLC showed a single peak.

Crystal Structure Analysis.^{37–42} Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker three-circle platform diffractometer equipped with a SMART CCD (charge-coupled device) detector with the χ -axis fixed at 54.74° and using Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) from a fine-focus tube. This diffractometer was equipped with an Oxford Cryostream 700 series for low-temperature data collection with an error limit of ± 0.01 °C using controlled liquid nitrogen boil off. The goniometer head, equipped with a Nylon cryoloop with a magnetic base, was then used to mount the crystals using PFPE (perfluoropolyether) oil and mounted on the magnetic goniometer. Cell constants were determined from 90 frames at 100 K. A complete hemisphere of data was scanned on omega (0.3°) with a run time of 30 s per frame at a detector resolution of 512×512 pixels using the SMART software. A total of 2400 frames were collected in three sets, and final sets of 50 frames, identical to the first 50 frames, were also collected to determine any crystal decay. The frames were then processed on a PC running on Windows NT software by using the SAINT software to give the *hkl* file corrected for Lp/decay. The absorption correction was performed using the SADABS program. The structures were solved by the direct method using the SHELX-90 program and refined by the least-squares method on F^2 , SHELXL-97 incorporated in SHELXTL Suite 6.14 for Windows XP. All non-hydrogen

- (41) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structure; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- (42) SHELXTL 6.14 for Windows; Program library for Structure Solution and Molecular Graphics; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2003.

⁽³²⁾ Xiang, K. H.; Pandey, R.; Pernisz, U. C.; Freeman, C. J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102, 8704–8711.

⁽³³⁾ Wichman, D.; Jug, K. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 10087-10091.

⁽³⁴⁾ Wichman, D.; Jug, K. J. Comput. Chem. 2000, 21, 1549-1553.

⁽³⁷⁾ SMART V 5.631 Software for the CCD Detector System; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2003.

⁽³⁸⁾ SAINT PLUS V 6.45 Software for the CCD Detector System; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2003.

⁽³⁹⁾ SADABS, Program for absorption correction for area detectors, version 2.01; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2002.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-90, Program for the Solution of Crystal Structure; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1990.

atoms were refined anisotropically. For the anisotropic displacement parameters, the U(eq) is defined as one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor. The residual electron density around the cyclohexyl group on one of the POSS cores (cage 1, Si1–Si8) show a disorder. Both these disordered six-membered rings exhibit a chair conformation, which are located on silicon atom (see Figure 1). Partial occupancy refinement of the two cyclohexyl rings show a site occupancy refinement of 71% and 29%, respectively. Whereas the cyclohexyl rings on cage 2 (Si9–Si16) are almost coplanar, the ones on core 1 are oriented in a more orthogonal fashion. Following this partial site occupancy refinement, no extra electron density residuals were observed.

Ion Mobility/Mass Spectrometry. All experimental work on the POSS siloxanes was carried out on a home-built MALDI-TOF instrument, the details of which have previously been published.^{22,43-46} To briefly summarize, sodiated $[Cp_7T_8]_2O\cdot Na^+$ ions were formed in the ion source using MALDI; 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) was used as the matrix and tetrahydrofuran (THF) as the solvent. Typically, 40 µL of DHB (100 mg/mL), 60 µL of the POSS siloxane sample (1 mg/mL), and 8 μ L of NaI (saturated in THF) were applied to the sample target and dried. A nitrogen laser ($\lambda = 337$ nm, 12 mW power) was used to generate ions in a two-section (Wiley-McLaren) ion source. The ions were accelerated to 9 kV for travel down a 1-m flight tube and detected in the reflectron mode yielding a high-resolution mass spectrum. To obtain the ion mobility, the reflectron was turned off and a linear mass gate was used for mass selection. The mass-selected ions were decelerated and injected into a 20-cm long drift cell filled with \sim 1.5 torr of helium gas. The ions traverse the drift cell under the influence of a weak electric field. The ion mobility cell temperature can be varied from 80 to 500 K. Upon exiting the cell, the ions are again mass selected and detected as a function of time, generating an arrival time distribution (ATD), where the constant K is termed the mobility at standard temperature T and pressure p. The arrival time can be related to the mobility via eq 1

$$t_{\rm A} = \frac{l^2}{K_{\rm o}} \frac{1}{760} \frac{273}{T} \left(\frac{p}{V} + t_{\rm o}\right) \tag{1}$$

where *l* is the cell length, *p* the pressure, *V* is the voltage across the cell, K_0 is the reduced mobility, and t_0 is the time spent after exiting the cell until reaching the detector. Plotting the arrival time (t_A) versus p/V gives a straight line with intercept t_0 and a slope proportional to $1/K_0$. The cross section is then calculated from K_0 using kinetic theory⁴⁷

$$\sigma = \frac{3q}{16NK_{\rm o}} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\mu k_{\rm b}T}\right)^{1/2} \tag{2}$$

where *q* is the ion charge, *N* is the gas density in the cell, μ is the ion-He reduced mass, and k_b is the Boltzmann's constant.

Theoretical Modeling. We carry out molecular mechanics/ molecular dynamics (MM/MD)^{48,49} calculations using the AMBER 7 and 8 suites of programs to obtain low-energy structures and an annealing protocol that utilizes repeated cycles of high-temperature

- (43) Baker, E. S.; Gidden, J.; Fee, D. P.; Kemper, P. R.; Anderson, S. E.; Bowers, M. T. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2003, 227, 205–216.
- (44) Bowers, M. T.; Kemper, P. R.; von Helden, G.; van Koppen, P. A. M. Science **1993**, 260, 1446–1451.
- (45) Bowers, M. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1994, 27, 324-332.
- (46) (a) von Helden, G.; Batka, J. T.; Carlot, D.; Bowers, M. T. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 1997, 8, 275–282. (b) Wyttenbach, T.; Witt, M.; Bowers, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3458–3464.
- (47) McDaniel, E. W.; Mason, E. A. The Mobility and Diffusion of Ions in Gases; Wiley: New York, 1973.
- (48) Kollman, P. A.; et al. *AMBER6*; University of California: San Francisco, 1999.

heating, cooling, and energy minimization. The annealing protocol typically heats structures to 1400 K for 10 ps and then cools exponentially for 30 ps to 50 K before energy minimization. Cations are restrained using a built-in AMBER distance restraint so that they do not "dissociate" at high temperature. At least 100 candidate structures are employed to generate a diagnostic graph of calculated cross sections versus relative energy. To model POSS materials, we developed AMBER parameters for Si from the ab initio calculations of Sun and Rigby^{31,50} that were originally designed to provide force field parameters for polysiloxanes. Our parameter database has been updated using recent crystal structure data^{51,52} which give more accurate Si-O and Si-C distances and which reproduce experimental cross sections.^{22,43,53,54} We use Hyperchem⁵⁵ to build starting structures for AMBER and to visually inspect the calculated minimum energy structures. Charges were calculated by the standard RESP method.

A modified projection model^{47,56} is used to calculate accurate cross sections for systems with masses below about 1500 Da. For systems above about 1500 Da this method underestimates the true cross section, due to the occurrence of multiple ion—He encounters during collisions. Between 1500 and 5000 Da a trajectory model is utilized that incorporates a Lennard-Jones interaction portenial.⁵⁷ It is our experience that this model can, at times, overestimate the cross section but usually gives more reliable values than the projection model in this size range. For larger systems the Lennard-Jones potential is replaced by a hard sphere potential that generally gives reliable results.^{58,59} Since the siloxanes studies here have masses between 1800 and 3200 Da, they fall in the uncertain borderline region, and hence care must be taken to ensure that consistent results are obtained.

DFT Calculations. DFT calculations⁶⁰ were carried out using the Gaussian 03 package⁶¹ of programs, using the B3LYP hybrid functional.^{62,63} For all of the calculations reported here, the atoms silicon, oxygen, carbon, and hydrogen employed the standard 6-31G* basis set.⁶⁴

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of POSS Siloxane Dimers and Trimer. Scheme 1 shows the synthesis of the POSS dimers. POSS trisilanols are easily converted into a fully condensed R₇T₈-

- (49) Case, D. A.; Darden, T. A.; Cheatham, T. E., III; Simmerling, C. L.; Wang, J.; Duke, R. E.; Luo, R.; Merz, K. M.; Wang, B.; Pearlman, D. A.; Crowley, M.; Brozell, S.; Tsui, V.; Gohlke, H.; Mongan, J.; Hornak, V.; Cui, G.; Beroza, P.; Schafmeister, C.; Caldwell, J. W.; Ross, W. S.; Kollman, P. A. AMBER 8; University of California: San Francisco, 2004.
- (50) Sun, H. Macromolecules 1995, 28, 701-712.
- (51) Bassindale, A. R.; Pourny, M.; Taylor, P. G.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Light, M. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3488–3490.
- (52) Itami, Y.; Marciniec, B.; Kubicki, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 1239– 1248.
- (53) Gidden, J.; Kemper, P. R.; Shammel, E.; Fee, D. P.; Anderson, S.; Bowers, M. T. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2003, 222, 69–83.
- (54) Baker, E. S.; Gidden, J.; Anderson, S. E.; Haddad, T. S.; Bowers, M. T. Nano Lett. 2004, 4, 779–785.
- (55) HyperChem Professional 7.5; Hypercube, Inc.: Gainesville, FL, 2005. (56) von Helden, G.; Wyttenbach, T.; Bowers, M. T. Int. J. Mass Spectrom.
- *Ion Processes* **1995**, *146/147*, 349–364. (57) Melsch, M. F.; Hunter, J. M.; Svartsburg, A. A.; Schatz, G. C.; Jarrold,
- M. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 16082–16086.
 (58) Shvartsburg, A. A.; Jarrold, M. F. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 261, 86–91.
- (59) See, for example: Bernstein, S. L.; Wyttenbach, T.; Baumketner, A.; Shea, J.-E.; Betan, G.; Teplow, D. B.; Bowers, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2075–2084.
- (60) (a) Hohenberg, P.; Kohn, W. Phys. Rev. B 1964, 136, 864. (b) Kohn,
 W.; Sham, L. J. Phys. Rev. 1965, 140, 1133.
- (61) Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Chabalowski, C. F.; Frisch, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 11623.



Figure 1. Dimer X-ray structure at 100 K. Si atoms are blue, O atoms are red, and cyclohexyl carbon atoms are blue. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at a 50% probability level.

Cl with a single Si–Cl bond in virtually quantitative yield. These materials are slightly hydroscopic and are readily transformed into R_7T_8OH monosilanols via acidic hydrolysis in THF solution.⁶⁵ The monosilanols are deprotonated in situ with *n*-butyllithium and then reacted with another equiv of R_7T_8Cl to generate the dimers in moderate yield. The deprotonation step is rapid, as evidenced by a small 2.5 ppm shift in the ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy as the Si–OH is converted to Si–OLi. It is worth noting that similar reactions using NEt₃ as the base to deprotonate the monosilanol and form the dimers was extremely slow even when heated in a

sealed vessel to 170 °C for 2 days (<50% conversion). Better results were obtained using NaH as a base, but yields of products were low.⁶⁶

Scheme 2 shows the related synthesis of the POSS siloxane trimer. The cyclohexyl POSS disilanol is first deprotonated with *n*-butyllithium and then heated in THF with two equiv of cyclohexyl POSS chloride to generate the trimer in good yield.

The structure of the diol is such that if the substitution chemistry at the silanols were to proceed via inversion of stereochemisty an alternative isomer could be generated. However, this is not to be expected,^{67,68} and both the NMR spectra and the MALDI data are consistent with the single isomer shown in Scheme 2.

 $(Cp_7T_8)_2O$ ·Na⁺. A mass spectrum and ATD for the cyclopentyl dimer is given in Figure 2. A single peak ATD is observed (see inset figure) with a width consistent with that of a single conformer. The scatter plot of cross section versus relative energy for 100 structures obtained from our simulated annealing protocol is given in Figure 3. Two families of structures are obtained. The lower-energy, more compact family we label as staggered since the Cp groups on adjacent POSS cages are "staggered" with respect to each other due to a rotation of about 30° about the Si-O-Si bond connecting the two POSS cages. The higher-energy "eclipsed" form does not have this rotation, causing capping group repulsion and a small but measurable displacement of one cage relative to the other. Space-filling models of the two families of structures are given in Figure 4 along

^{(62) (}a) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648. (b) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098.

⁽⁶³⁾ Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Baronek, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, revision B.04; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003

^{(64) (}a) Francl, M. M.; Pietro, W. J.; Hehre, W. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Gordon, M. S.; Defrees, D. J.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. **1982**, 77, 3654. (b) Clark, T.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Spitznagel, G. W.; Schleyer, P. V. J. Comput. Chem. **1983**, 4, 294. (c) Krishnan, R.; Binkley, J. S.; Seeger, R.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. **1980**, 72, 650. (d) Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Pople, J. A.; Frisch, M. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1992**, 197, 499.

⁽⁶⁵⁾ Duchateau, R.; Cremer, U.; Harmsen, R. J.; Mohamud, S. I.; Abbenhuis, H. C. L.; van Santen, R. A.; Meetsma, A.; Thiele, S. K.-H.; van Tol, M. F. H.; Kranemburg, M. Organometallics **1999**, *18*, 5447–5459.

⁽⁶⁶⁾ Schwab, J. Unpublished results, 1997.

⁽⁶⁷⁾ Feher, F. J.; Soulivong, D.; Eklund, A. G. Chem. Commun. 1998, 399– 400.

⁽⁶⁸⁾ Feher, F. J.; Soulivong, D.; Nguyen, F. Chem. Commun. **1998**, 1279–1280.



Figure 2. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of $(Cp_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$ oligomers. The inset shows arrival time distributions (ATD) of $(Cp_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$ at a drift cell temperature of 300 K.



Figure 3. $(Cp_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$ dimer scatter plot. Two families of structures are shown. The cyclopentyl C atoms are white, the interacting Cp carbon atoms are blue, Si atoms are green, and O atoms are red (H atoms have been omitted for clarity).



Figure 4. Staggered and eclipsed conformers of the cyclopentyl dimer, $(Cp_7T_8)_2O$ ·Na⁺. Cp = cyclopentyl groups; those shown in blue show which Cp groups are interacting. Si atoms are green, O atoms are red (H atoms have been omitted for clarity).

with the experimental and average cross sections of the two model structures. Experiment is in excellent agreement with the staggered family cross section (0.5%) but is 3.1% smaller than the eclipsed family average cross section. For simple



Figure 5. Conformers of the cyclohexyl dimer, $(Cy_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$. Cy = cyclohexyl groups; those shown in white are equatorial with respect to the cage; those shown in light blue are axial (folded). Si atoms are green, O atoms are red, Na⁺ ions are yellow (H atoms have been omitted for clarity).

structures such as these, agreement between experiment and theory is consistently smaller than 2%. Hence, the staggered family of structures seems preferred, but we cannot distinguish a cross section which would be the average of the two families. Dynamics calculations at 300 K indicate these two conformations do interconvert. The fact the experimental cross section is in better agreement with the staggered conformation and the fact this conformation is 6-8 kcal lower in energy (according to AMBER) indicates the staggered conformation is either exclusively observed or is the strongly dominant conformer.

Of importance is the separation of cage centers of 8.3-8.5 Å shown in Figure 4. This is very near the minimum possible cage separation since the two cages are held together by a single oxygen atom and have rotated to best accommodate the capping groups. This distance will be used as a benchmark to characterize cage-cage interactions in oligomeric systems with large, more flexible backbones.

 $(Cy_7T_8)_2$ **O·Na⁺.** The cyclohexyl siloxane dimer, a closely related system, shows some marked differences from the cyclopentyl dimer due to the structural features introduced by the increased isomer and conformer possibilities of the cyclohexyl group. Figure 5 shows two conformers of $(Cy_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$. The structure on the left shows all of the Cy groups bonded to the cage silicons in equatorial chair conformation, while in the structure on the right some chair conformations of the cyclohexyl group are folded with respect to the POSS cage. This R group folding results in modeled structures which are more compact and whose cross sections agree well with the experimental value of 402 $Å^2$. ATDs were measured at low temperature to see if multiple structures could be resolved. Only a single feature consistent with a single structure (or multiple structures nearly identical in size) was observed.

A careful analysis of cyclohexyl folding raises several interesting points. If one tabulates the 100 structures formed via simulated annealing, a statistical distribution of structures with 2-4 folds that very closely match the experimental cross section is discovered. Structures with less than two folds are too large. Scatter plots of cross section versus relative energy show the smaller structures (with 2-4 folds) aggregate in the minimum energy corner of the plots. We also carried

out dynamics calculations for 1 ns at 300 K. The folded and unfolded structures interconvert at this temperature, implying that the barrier for this process is relatively small. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that we are actually observing a rapid equilibrium of structures such that the average structure contains 2-4 axial folds which is consistent with the observed cross section.

Conventional wisdom is that bulky substituents such as tert-butyl groups (and POSS cages) should occupy equatorial positions when attached to a cyclohexyl ring. A DFT calculation was carried out to optimize the geometry of a POSS system with one Cy group in the equatorial position and then repeated using an axial Cy group. The two optimized structures were then used as inputs to calculate the transition state energy for cyclohexyl axial-equatorial folding via a twisted chair conformation. The optimized structures differ in energy by less than 2 kcal/mol, and the barrier to interconversion (i.e., folding) of equatorial to axial is <7.0 kcal/mol. There are also precedents for axial R groups. For example, in cyclohexyl-HgCl, the -HgCl substituent prefers the axial position.⁶⁹ Since both Hg and Si have low-lying empty atomic orbitals, it may be that backbonding of ring H atom electron density stabilizes the axial conformation. It is also possible the longer Hg-C and Si-C bonds (compared to the shorter C-C bond length) facilitates folding for steric reasons.

Even in the gas phase, intramolecular packing of Cy groups stabilizes the folded ring conformations; the cyclohexyl groups on adjacent cages are less crowded if folded in the region between the cages because of the constraint imposed by the covalent oxygen bridge in forcing the cages closer together.

To further investigate possible ring conformers, we have obtained the crystal structure of this cyclohexyl dimer at both low temperature (see Figure 1) and room temperature. The structure obtained at room temperature has very complicated unresolved disorder for the cyclohexyl groups due to chair boat interconversions. However, at low temperature this disorder is completely resolved; all 14 cyclohexyl groups are in the equatorial chair conformation, and only one of these chairs has two orientations (modeled with a 71:29 partial site occupancy). The distance between the cage centroids at room temperature (8.224 Å) and low temperature (8.297 Å) differs by less than 0.1 Å. Other features from the structure are typical for POSS cages and are summarized in Table 1.

The cross section calculated using the low-temperature X-ray coordinates with all Cy groups equatorial in the chair conformation is 410 Å² which is slightly larger than experiment (402 Å²). The disorder evident in the room-temperature X-ray structure strongly supports our conclusion that the barrier to folding is relatively small and that an average cross section is observed which corresponds to the presence of several folds.

A very low barrier to cyclohexyl chair-boat interconversion in the solid state, presumably due to crystal packing

Table 1. Summary of Metrical Parameters from the X-ray Crystal Structure of $(Cy_7T_8)_2O$ Obtained at $-173~^\circ C$

X-ray crystal structure parameter	average value	range of values
Si-O bond length in cage	1.620 Å	1.606–1.636 Å
Si-O bond length in siloxane bridge	1.602 Å	1.602–1.602 Å
Si-C bond length in cage	1.848 Å	1.837–1.854 Å
O-Si-O bond angle in cage	109.04°	107.48-111.04°
O-Si-O bond angle in siloxane bridge	108.89°	107.53-110.89°
Si-O-Si bond angle in cage	148.75°	137.94-168.12°
Si–O–Si bond angle in siloxane bridge	152.39°	152.39-152.39°

effects, was also recently demonstrated in a paper containing X-ray crystal structure determinations of three different cyclohexyl₈T₈ crystal types.^{70,71} These crystal structures clearly exhibit stabilization of a variety of cyclohexyl group conformations for cyclohexyl₈T₈, depending on the conditions of crystal formation, implying that packing effects are subtle. One structure shows three adjacent molecules in the same unit cell with different ring conformations. One molecule has a POSS cage with all cyclohexyl groups equatorial in the chair conformation. Another shows two of the eight cyclohexyls adjacent to one another on an edge of a POSS cage in a twisted boat conformation. The third cage has two of the cyclohexyls in perfect boat conformations situated on the cube cage diagonal. This coexistence of conformations within the same crystal structure is strong evidence that the energy barriers between these structures are very low and that slight differences in crystal packing can produce these slight differences in cyclohexyl ring conformation. Cyclohexyl groups folded axially with respect to the POSS cage are, however, not observed in these crystal structures. However, in our dimers where cages are covalently bonded together, a much stronger intramolecular packing effect is expected. This interpretation is consistent with minimum cage-cage center distances in the crystal structures reported by Bassindale and co-workers^{70,71} in the range of 10.2–11.2 Å. The same distance in the constrained bonded structure obtained from modeling that gives a cross section in agreement with experiment is significantly smaller (8.1-8.4 Å). "Folding" also appears in our modeling of the cyclopentyl dimer, but folded structures (one or two Cp groups) are distributed more or less randomly in our scatter plot. This folding has much less impact on the cross section because the Cp groups are smaller. It is perhaps worth noting that in the X-ray structure of n-octyl₈T₈, the shortest distance between POSS cage centroids is 8.5 Å. In this near "cylindrical" molecule, the n-octyl groups are aligned on opposite sides of the cube and stretched out nearly parallel to each other, which allows the close packing of the POSS cages.71

 $[Cy_7T_8O-Cy_8Si_8O_{11}-OCy_7T_8]\cdot Na^+$. The siloxane trimer is structurally distinct from the dimer because the central POSS unit has an open-cage *exo*,*exo*-Cy_8Si_8O_{11}(OH)_2 structure (Scheme 2). During the synthesis, when the silanol hydrogens are replaced with T₈ cages to form the trimer $[Cy_7T_8O-Cy_8Si_8O_{11}-OCy_7T_8]$, only a single isomer is

⁽⁶⁹⁾ Smith, M. B.; March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry, 5th ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York; 2001, pp 172–175.

⁽⁷⁰⁾ Bassindale, A. R.; Liu, Z.; MacKinnon, I. A.; Taylor, P. G.; Yang, Y.; Light, M. E.; Horton, P. N.; Hursthouse, M. B. *Dalton Trans.* 2003, 2945–2949.

 ⁽⁷¹⁾ Bassindale, A. R.; Chen, H.; Liu, Z.; MacKinnon, I. A.; Parker, D. J.; Taylor, P. G.; Yang, Y.; Light, M. E.; Horton, P. N.; Hursthouse, M. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 3287–3300.



Figure 6. Mass spectrum and ATD (inset) for the $[Cy_7T_8O-Cy_8Si_8O_{11}-OCy_7T_8]\cdot Na^+$ trimer.

observed by NMR spectroscopy. The most reasonable structure is the exo, exo trimer formed by retention of stereochemistry at the silanol silicon. The mass spectrum and ATD of the sodiated trimer are shown in Figure 6. The ATD has only a single peak with a width consistent with a single family of structures or unresolved multiple families since several isomers are possible. Scatter plots were calculated after modeling the three possible isomers, all of which have cross sections within 1% of \sim 570 Å² for the lowest-energy conformations. Our interpretation is similar to that for the cyclohexyl dimer above. More compact higher-energy structures are obtained (average $\sigma_{calc} = 557 \text{ Å}^2$) for each isomer if several of the cyclohexyl groups are allowed to fold into an axial position as in the dimer. These structures arise naturally in the annealing procedure where the heating is at 1400 K, and subsequent cooling freezes out folded Cy groups. Average calculated cross sections are in excellent agreement with experiment ($\sigma_{expt} = 557 \text{ Å}^2$). The exo-exo isomer is shown in Figure 7 where all cyclohexyl groups except those on the silicon defining the "endo" or "exo" stereochemistry have been deleted for clarity; the Cy groups shown in this figure also illustrate the unfolded equatorial (on right) and the folded axial (on left, pointing down) orientations. The stereochemistry of the diol precursor in the synthesis of the trimer predicts the exo-exo isomer, but we cannot prove this structure is obtained in our experiment since all the isomers are virtually identical in size. Molecular dynamics calculations indicate that while exo-exo cyclohexyl folded structures do not interconvert at 300 K (they do at 800 K), since they overlap in cross section, we are most likely observing an equilibrium mixture and consequently a weighted average of these exo-exo folded structures in the ATD.



Figure 7. Exo,exo-isomer of the $[Cy_7T_8O-Cy_8Si_8O_{11}-OCy_7T_8]\cdot Na^+$ trimer, showing folded and unfolded. Cy = cyclohexyl groups in blue. H atoms and most of the Cy groups are omitted for clarity.

In summary, we have used ion mobility mass spectrometry to measure the cross sections of the sodiated dimers $(Cy_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$ and $(Cp_7T_8)_2O\cdot Na^+$ and the trimer ion $[Cy_7T_8O-Cy_8Si_8O_{11}-OCy_7T_8]$ Na⁺. Structures obtained by molecular modeling agree with experiment within $\sim 2\%$. The cyclopentyl dimer shows a low-energy staggered structure determined primarily by nonbonded interactions of the cyclopentyl capping groups. The cyclohexyl dimer structure is consistent with several cyclohexyl groups interconverting rapidly between axial and equatorial conformations. A lowtemperature crystal structure shows all cyclohexyl groups frozen out in equatorial conformations. The capping cyclohexyl groups show disorder due to thermal motion. The trimer structure is consistent with the exo-exo isomer, exclusively produced by retention of stereochemistry from the exo-Cy₈T₈(OH)₂ starting material. The cross section agrees with experiment if the same sort of rapid interconversion of folded and unfolded cyclohexyl groups occurs as in the corresponding dimer.

Larger siloxane oligomers and siloxane copolymers are being synthesized by the group at Edwards AFB. We are confident we will be able to analyze them and distinguish between linear and branched structures. Siloxanes from the trimer are readily cationized by Na⁺ due to the open nature of the central unit, allowing multiple coordination of the cationized metal ion, but with minimum influence on oligomer cross section.

Acknowledgment. The Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant F49620-03-1-0046 is gratefully acknowledged for support of this work. We also thank the NAS/NRC Senior Associateship Program for fellowship support of S.E.A.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray structural information for the cyclohexyl₈Si₈O₁₂ siloxane dimer at 100 K (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

CM052589C