AD_____

Award Number: W81XWH-04-1-0909

TITLE: Role of the ARF Tumor Suppressor in Prostate Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Leonard Maggi, Jr., Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Washington University St. Louis, MO 63130

REPORT DATE: October 2005

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Summary

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

CREPORT DECOMPENT AT UNIT PROSE CMM B/A CMM B/A COMB B/A Construction						Form Approved			
Data Standard Standard Analysis and Analysis and Standard Standard Analysis and Standar	R	EPORT DUC	UNENTATIO	NPAGE		OMB No. 0704-0188			
1. REPORT DATE (DD/MM YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE 3. DATES GOVERD (From - To) 0.1-10-2005 Annual Summary 1. OCT 2004 - 30 SEP 2005 4. TTLE AND SUBTITE 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER Role of the ARF Tumor Suppressor in Prostate Cancer 5b. GRANT NUMBER WSI SUWH-04-1.0909 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER Understand 5d. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER Washington University 5d. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER St. Louis, MO 63130 5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U. S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 10. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S ACENOYM(S) S. SPONSOR/MONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S ACENOYM(S) U. S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 11. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S ACENOYM(S) S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S REPORT Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human Cancer. We have found that ARF expression in NPM Invelorative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent 14. ABSTRACT Near previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM'S nuclear export and adenocarcine mas and this contributing to ARF	Public reporting burden for this data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of D 4302. Respondents should be valid OMB control number. PL	collection of information is estir and reviewing this collection of in befense, Washington Headquart aware that notwithstanding any EASE DO NOT RETURN YOU	nated to average 1 hour per resp formation. Send comments reg ers Services, Directorate for Info other provision of law, no perso R FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDE	onse, including the time for revie arding this burden estimate or an rmation Operations and Reports n shall be subject to any penalty the RESS.	wing instructions, searcy y other aspect of this co (0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe for failing to comply with	ching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the ollection of information, including suggestions for reducing erson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- n a collection of information if it does not display a currently			
3. THE ANS SUBTICE Initial Columns/ Sc. CONTRACT NUMBER Role of the ARF Tumor Suppressor in Prostate Cancer Sc. CONTRACT NUMBER Sc. AUTHOR(S) Sc. GRANT NUMBER Leonard Maggi, Jr., Ph.D. Sd. PROJECT NUMBER E-mail: Imaggi@im.wustl.edu Sc. TASK NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT Washington University St. Work UNIT NUMBER S. JONSOR/MONTOR'S ACENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) I. SPENSORING MONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command I. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S ACENOYM(S) For Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 II. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate addoncarcinomeas and this correlates with the increased eprosesion of the performance and and characterized an immortalized ARF-mul Prostate Epithelia cell inte. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have addined the area addinacter intervestion of the performance and the addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have adding the addition we have shown that ARF inhibits NPM'S nuclear export and addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels c	1. REPORT DATE (DL	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3. E 1 (DATES COVERED (From - To)			
Role of the ARF Tumor Suppressor in Prostate Cancer So. GRANT NUMBER W31XWH-04-1-0909 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER So. PROJECT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(5) Leonard Maggi, Jr., Ph.D. So. PROJECT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) So. PROSOR/MONTOR'S ACENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) Washington University St. Louis, MO 63130 So. PROSOR/MONTOR'S ACENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) U. S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 10. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S ACENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited 11. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S ACENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 11. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S REPORT NUMBER(5) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 4. ABSTRACT 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF- number and addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have defined thermatic affects on the androgen-dependent target of NPM the have created and characterized an immortalized ARF- Inhibits NPM'S nuclear export and cell cycle program-dependent toric of ARF in prostate cancer : namely Drotein synthesis. 15. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis. 18. NUMBER /	4. TITLE AND SUBTIT	LE			5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER			
B. GRAT WIMBER B. GRAT WIMBER B. AUTHOR(5) Sc. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER Leonard Maggi, Jr., Ph.D. Sc. TASK NUMBER E-mail: Imaggi@im.wusil.edu Sc. TASK NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) B. PEFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) Vashington University St. Louis. MOK 63130 9. SPONSORING / MONTORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) B. PEFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT VUS. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) FOID Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT VID Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT ARSTRACT Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Orginal contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT He increased expression of the D53-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epihelial calline. In addition we have shown that alterial to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large nibosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer eli IIIN, LNCAP, but PC3 ce	Role of the ARF T	umor Suppressor ir	Prostate Cancer						
6. AUTHOR(5) Leonard Maggi, Jr., Ph.D. 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER E-mail: Imaggi@im.wustl.edu 5. TASK NUMBER F.mail: Imaggi@im.wustl.edu 5. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER St. Louis. MO 63130 9. SPONSORING / MONTORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER S. SNAMY Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelia cell line. In addition we have shown that atterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCAP, but PC3 cells which are adrogen-independent. We have providely shown that ARF is hinbits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-indpendent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism by which this happens. Specifically, ARF is tumor suppressor function. We are beginning to turther define the role of ARF in prostate cell growth. These					5b. W8	GRANT NUMBER 31XWH-04-1-0909			
EAUTHOR(6) Leonard Maggi, Jr., Ph.D. 54. PROJECT NUMBER E-mail: Imaggi@im.wustl.edu 56. TASK NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Washington University St. Louis, MO 63130 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 9. SPONSORING MONTORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(5) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial cell line. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCAP, but PC3 cells which are adnotogen-independent. We have previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-independent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism behaves involutional to ARF's tumor suppressor function. We are beginning to further define the role of ARF in prostate cancer; namely protein synthesis. 15. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMENC 1					5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
Sector Sector <td>6. AUTHOR(S) Leonard Maggi, Jr</td> <td>., Ph.D.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5d.</td> <td>PROJECT NUMBER</td>	6. AUTHOR(S) Leonard Maggi, Jr	., Ph.D.			5d.	PROJECT NUMBER			
E-mail: imaggi@im.wustl.edu 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Washington University 8. ON SORIANCE (MONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT 14. ABSTRACT Abave found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomeas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial celline. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent. We have previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-independent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism by which this happens. Specifically, ARF binds to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large robosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis. 15. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis. 16. SECURITY CLASSIFI					5e.	e. TASK NUMBER			
Entrologies Bereforming organization name(s) and address(es) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(s) AND Address(es) Washington University St. Louis, MO 63130 9. PONSOR/MONTOR & AGENCY NAME(s) AND Address(es) 10. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S ACRONYM(s) S. PONSOR/MONTOR AGENCY NAME(s) AND Address(es) 11. SPONSOR/MONTOR'S ACRONYM(s) You be the transmitted of theresponsis the transmitted of the transmitted of the t	F-mail: Imaggi@in	n wustledu			5f. '	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
Washington University St. Louis, MO 63130 NUMBER 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial cell line. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent. We have percliculty, ARF binds to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large ribosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis and growth rates contributing to ARF's tumor suppressor function. We are beginning to further define the role of ARF in prostate cell growth. These studies are opening the door to new therapeutic targets in prostate cancer; namely protein synthesis. 19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC 16. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: USAMRMC </td <td>7. PERFORMING ORG</td> <td>GANIZATION NAME(S)</td> <td>AND ADDRESS(ES)</td> <td></td> <td>8. F</td> <td>PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT</td>	7. PERFORMING ORG	GANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. F	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT			
St. Louis, MO 63130 9. SPONSORING / MONTTORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent acancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgenerioned the adregore-dependent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism by which this happens. Specifically, ARF binds to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large ribosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in prostate cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis. 15. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER (include area code) 16. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC	Washington Unive	rsitv			N	IUMBER			
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army, Medical Research and Materiel Command 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p35-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial cell line. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent. We have previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-independent manner. Under this results in a decrease in protein synthesis and altarge ribosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis are opening to further define the role of ARF in prostate cell growth. These studies are opening to further define the role of ARF in prostate cancer; namely protein synthesis. 16. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER findude area fool 16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	St. Louis, MO 631	30							
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. BSTRACT 14. BASTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p33-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial cell line. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent. We have previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-independent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism by which this happens. Specifically, ARF binds to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large ribosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis and growth rates contributing to ARF's tumor suppressor function. We are beginning to further define the of ARF in prostate cancer; namely protein synthesis. 16. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMIRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include areal code)	9. SPONSORING / MC U.S. Army Medica	NITORING AGENCY N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS teriel Command	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent target of NPM. We have reated and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial cell line. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent. We have previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-indpendent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism by which this happens. Specifically, ARF binds to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large ribosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis and growth rates contributing to ARF's tumor suppressor function. We are beginning to further define the role of ARF in prostate cell growth. These studies are opening the door to new therapeutic targets in prostate cancer; namely protein synthesis. 15. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: U 17. LIMITATION U 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMR/MC a. REPORT U b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE U 19. U 19. U 19. Z	Fort Detrick, Mary	and 21702-5012							
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial cell line. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent. We have previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-indpendent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism by which this happens. Specifically, ARF binds to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large ribosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis and growth rates contributing to ARF's tumor suppressor function. We are beginning to further define the role of ARF in prostate cell growth. These studies are opening the door to new therapeutic targets in prostate cancer; namely protein synthesis. 16. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 18. NUMBER of Pages U 19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT U b. ABSTRACT U c. THIS PAGE U 17. LIMITATION of ABSTRACT U 18. NUMBER (include aree code)					11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white. 14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial cell line. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent. We have previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-indpendent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism by which this happens. Specifically, ARF binds to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large ribosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis and growth rates contributing to ARF's tumor suppressor function. We are beginning to further define the role of ARF in prostate call growth. These studies are opening the door to new therapeutic targets in prostate cancer; namely protein synthesis. 16. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC 19. ABSTRACT U 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for Publ	VAILABILITY STATEN ic Release; Distribu	IENT tion Unlimited						
14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF plays an important role in the tumor surveillance of human cancer. We have found that ARF expression is absence from highly proliferative prostate adenocarcinomas and this correlates with the increased expression of the p53-independent target of NPM. We have created and characterized an immortalized ARF-null Prostate Epithelial cell line. In addition we have shown that alterations in NPM levels can have dramatic affects on the androgen-dependent cancer cell line, LNCaP, but PC3 cells which are androgen-independent. We have previously shown that ARF inhibits NPM's nuclear export and cell cycle progression in a p53-independent manner. Under this proposal we have defined the mechanism by which this happens. Specifically, ARF binds to NPM preventing its ability to carry both small and large ribosomal subunits out of the nucleus. This results in a decrease in protein synthesis and growth rates contributing to ARF's tumor suppressor function. We are beginning to further define the role of ARF in prostate cancer; namely protein synthesis. 15. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION UNMBER U 18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area	13. SUPPLEMENTAR	Y NOTES color plates: All DTI	C reproductions will	be in black and whi	te.				
15. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE U UU 72 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	14. ABSTRACT The nucleolar tum cancer. We have f adenocarcinomas target of NPM. We Epithelial cell line. dramatic affects or androgen-indepen cell cycle progress mechanism by wh carry both small ar in protein synthesi are beginning to fu opening the door t	or suppressor ARF ound that ARF expl and this correlates have created and In addition we have the androgen-dep dent. We have prev- tion in a p53-indper ich this happens. Sind large ribosomal s and growth rates in ther define the role o new therapeutic t	plays an important ression is absence f with the increased e characterized an im e shown that alteration endent cancer cell l viously shown that A adent manner. Unde pecifically, ARF bind subunits out of the r contributing to ARF e of ARF in prostate argets in prostate ca	role in the tumor sur- rom highly proliferate expression of the p5 mortalized ARF-nul- tons in NPM levels of ine, LNCaP, but PC ARF inhibits NPM's re- this proposal we had to NPM prevention bucleus. This results 's tumor suppressor a cell growth. These ancer; namely prote	rveillance of hi tive prostate 3-independen I Prostate 3 cells which a nuclear export ave defined th g its ability to a in a decrease function. We studies are in synthesis.	uman t are and ne			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT U b. ABSTRACT U c. THIS PAGE U UU 72	15. SUBJECT TERMS ARF, Prostate Cancer, Tumor Suppression, Nucleophosmin, Protein Synthesis								
a. REPORT Ub. ABSTRACT Uc. THIS PAGE UUU19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	16. SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC			
	a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	UU	72	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)			

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Table of Contents

Cover	1
SF 298	2
Introduction	4
Body	4-8
Key Research Accomplishments	9
Reportable Outcomes	9
Conclusions	9-10
References	10
Appendices	10-72

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is the second leading cause of cancer related deaths in the US male population (1). With the development of prostate specific antigen (PSA) screening the number of prostate cancer cases identified has risen, and the number of deaths has begun to decrease. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the development and progression of prostate

	p53	p21	Mdm2	ARF	NPM	
-	60 (97%)	65 (100%)	32 (53%)	51 (96%)	35 (56%)	
+	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	28 (47%)	2 (4%)	28 (44%)	

Table 1. Protein Expression in Prostate Adenocarcinomas Human prostate tissue samples were analyzed by immunohistochemistry for the indicated proteins. Proteins were scored for their expression above (+) or at/below (-) wild type levels. The number of samples scored positive or negative is indicated with the percentage of the positive or negative in parentheses. cancer are still largely unknown. The most mutated gene associated with human cancer in general, the p53 tumor suppressor, has been reported to play a role in development the and progression of prostate cancer (2, 3). p53 is regulated by the ARF tumor suppressor which imparts its control over cell proliferation though

both p53-dependent and –independent mechanisms, with the former involving the nucleolar sequestration of the p53 negative regulator, Mdm2 and the latter only recently discovered by our laboratory (4, 5). While tumors of epithelial cell origin are rare in *Arf-* or *p53*-null mice, animals lacking both *Arf* and *p53* display numerous tumors of this type demonstrating a role for ARF in preventing epithelial cell tumorigenesis (6-9). In addition, introduction of ARF into *p53*^{-/-} MEFs results in cell cycle arrest (9). In numerous human cancers, the frequency of loss of the *Arf* tumor suppressor is second only to mutation of p53, providing critical evidence of *Arf*'s role in preventing tumorigenesis throughout the body, irregardless of cell type. However, the result of loss of ARF function in the prostate is unknown. The preliminary data for my orginal proposal indicated that prostate adenocarcinomas typically maintain wild type p53 (97%), but lose ARF (96%) expression. These data suggest ARF loss may play a role in the development and/or progression of prostate cancer. The goal of this study is to understand the role of the *Arf* tumor suppressor in prostate cell growth. We hypothesize that ARF utilizes p53-dependent and –independent mechanisms to regulate prostate cell proliferation.

BODY

Creation of an Arf^{/-} Prostate Epithelial Cell Line

To begin to address the role of ARF in PEpC growth, prostates were isolated from 8 week old $Arf^{+/+}$ and $Arf^{/-}$ mice. The organs were diced into pieces approximately 1mm³ in size and plated in defined media to select for prostate epithelial cell growth. As shown in Figure 1, cells cultures were grown from isolated prostates. Cells from the $Arf^{/-}$ prostates grew well, but the wild-type cells were unable to proliferate beyond the 2nd passage. The $Arf^{/-}$ prostate cells were then subjected to a 3T3 protocol in which $3x10^5$ cells were passaged every 3 days to demonstrate their proliferative capacity. As shown in Figure 2A, the $Arf^{/-}$ prostate cells were

able to be passaged 15 times without inhibition in growth indicating they are immortal. In fact the Arf-/- prostate cells have been passaged over 40 times without a decrease in growth (data not shown). In addition, an $Arf^{/-}$ prostate cell growth curve shows that the cells can grow to confluency in a 10 cm dish (Figure 2B). Finally, $Arf^{/-}$ prostate cells are not transformed as they cannot form colonies in soft agar (Figure 2C). Taken together these data indicate that the cell line created from $Arf^{/-}$ prostates are immortal but not transformed.

To verify that the cultured cells were in fact epithelial in origin, Arf^{-} prostate cells were seeded onto glass cover slips in defined media and incubated overnight. The cells were fixed and stained with antibodies against prostate epithelial (anti-CD38) and stromal (anti-CD54) markers (10). As shown in Figure 3, the Arf^{-} prostate cells stained strongly for CD-38, the prostate epithelial marker, but showed almost no CD-54 signal indicating the cells were epithelial in origin not stromal.

After passaging the $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC several times, we next determined if they maintained genomic integrity. $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC (passage 20) were fixed and DNA was stained with propidium iodide (PI) and DNA content was analyzed by flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 4A, the $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC contain 2N and 4N DNA with little to no >4N cells indicating these cells can maintain a proper DNA content. In addition, late passage $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC were subjected to a colcemid block and metaphase spread analysis to determine chromosome number. As shown in Figure 4B, $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC maintain normal chromosome number over several passages. Taken together these data demonstrate that $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC remain diploid.

Since Arf^{-} PEpC remain diploid as shown in Figure 4, this indicates that while the cells have lost Arf, p53 function remains intact. To verify this, Arf^{-} PEpC were treated with etoposide to induce DNA strand breaks which will activate a p53 response. As shown in Figure 5A, treatment with 50 mM etoposide for 18 h results in cell cycle arrest. To show this is a p53-dependent cell cycle arrest the levels of p21, a downstream target of p53, were determined by western blot. As shown in Figure 5B, p21 was induced in response to etoposide treatment indicating that Arf-/- PEpC have an intact p53 response. In addition to having an intact p53 response to DNA damage, ARF expression in Arf^{-} PEpC should also result in cell cycle arrest. As shown in Figure 6, overexpression of p19ARF in Arf^{-} PEpC results in the inhibition of cell cycle progression indicating that this cell line has an intact ARF response.

In addition to expression of prostate epithelial markers, PEpC require androgen signaling for survival (11). As shown in Figure 7E, the Arf-/- PEpC express the androgen receptor by western blot analysis. Using an androgen receptor antagonist, bicalutamide from AstraZeneca, I was able to demonstrate that $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC do not proliferate well (Figure 7A and B) as shown by a growth curve. In addition, treatment of $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC with bicalutamide for 48 h blocks BrdU incorporation into replicating DNA (Figure 7C). Taken together these data indicate that $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC express the androgen receptor and require androgen signaling for growth.

Previous studies have shown that Androgen Receptor signaling is required for phosphorylation of NPM, a p53-independent target of the ARF nucleolar tumor suppressor (12, 13). As shown in Figure 7D, inhibition of androgen receptor signaling in Arf^{-} PEpC with bicalutamide results for 96 h results in a loss in phosphorylation of NPM at Thr198 (top panel).

This correlates with a decrease in total NPM levels in these cells (Figure 7B bottom panel). Interestingly, the androgen independent prostate tumor cell line PC3 has a detectable level of NPM (data not shown) indicating that androgen independence may result from the ability to stabilize NPM in the absence of androgen receptor activity.

Nucleophosmin (NPM/B23) is a p53-independent target of nucleolar ARF tumor suppression.

Our lab and others have previously shown that the nucleolar tumor suppressor, ARF, can bind to NPM (5). We have also shown that ARF inhibits NPM nuclear/cytoplasmic trafficking which correlates with an inhibition of cell cycle progression in a p53-indpendent manner (5). However, the underlying mechanism of how ARF-induced inhibition of NPM nuclear export regulates cell cycle progression remained undiscovered.

NPM is a key regulator in the regulation of a number of processes including centrosome duplication, maintenance of genomic integrity, and ribosome biogenesis. While the mechanisms underlying NPM function are largely uncharacterized, NPM loss results in severe dysregulation of developmental and growth-related events. We determined that NPM utilizes a conserved CRM1-dependent nuclear export sequence in its amino terminus to enable its shuttling between the nucleolus/nucleus and cytoplasm. In search of NPM trafficking targets, we biochemically purified NPM-bound protein complexes from HeLa cell lysates. Consistent with NPM's proposed role in ribosome biogenesis, we isolated ribosomal protein L5 (rpL5), a known chaperone for the 5S rRNA. Direct interaction of NPM with rpL5 mediated the colocalization of NPM with maturing nuclear 60S ribosomal subunits, as well as newly exported and assembled 80S ribosomes and polysomes. Inhibition of NPM shuttling or loss of *NPM* blocked the nuclear export of rpL5 and 5S rRNA, resulting in cell cycle arrest and demonstrating that NPM and its nuclear export provide a unique and necessary chaperoning activity to rpL5/5S (SEE ATTACHED PAPER #1 IN APPENDICIES, "Nucleophosmin is Essential for Ribosomal Protein L5 Nuclear Export").

NPM is a critical target of ARF tumor suppression while, in the absence of *ARF*, NPM is capable of transforming cells. In addition to our preliminary findings that ARF is under expressed in 96% of prostate adenocarcinomas, more detailed analysis of NPM levels show that over 82% of proliferating (Ki-67 positive) prostate adenocarcinomas overexpress NPM with 44% grossly overexpressing the gene. We have reported the mechanism for NPM's regulation of cell growth; NPM directs the nuclear export of both 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits. Transduction of NPM shuttling-defective mutants or loss of *Npm*, inhibited nuclear export of both the 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits, reduced the available pool of cytoplasmic polysomes, and diminished overall protein synthesis without affecting ribosomal RNA processing. Modest increases in NPM expression amplified the export of newly synthesized ribosomal RNAs, resulting in increased rates of protein synthesis and indicating that NPM is rate-limiting in this pathway. These results support the idea that NPM-regulated ribosome export is a fundamental process in growth and cellular transformation (SEE ATTACHED MANUSCRIPT #1 IN APPENDICIES, "Nucleophosmin Directs Ribosome Nuclear Export and Cell Growth").

We next examined the effects of inhibition of NPM shuttling on S phase entry of two human prostate cancer cell lines, androgen-dependent LNCaP and androgen independent PC3. As shown in Figure 8, overexpression of NPM or the NPM shuttling mutant NPMdL did not alter BrdU incorporation into replicating DNA. However, the androgen-dependent cell line, LNCaP, showed a high sensitivity to inhibition of NPM shuttling (>5 fold decrease, Figure 9B). Interestingly, the level of wild type NPM overexpression also affected BrdU incorporation. As shown in Figure 9A (arrows), high levels of NPM expression resulted in an inhibition of BrdU incorporation into replicating DNA (>5 fold Figure 9B). However, lower levels of expression showed a slight increase in the number of BrdU positive cells (Figure 9B). Taken together with the data from the $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC which show sensitivity of NPM levels to androgen signaling (figure 7D), and the data showing high NPM levels in prostate adenocarcinomas (SEE ATTACHED MANUSCRIPT #1 IN APPENDICIES, "Nucleophosmin Directs Ribosome Nuclear Export and Cell Growth") this data indicates that targeting protein synthesis could be a useful therapeutic target for the treatment of prostate cancer.

To examine the effects of Arf loss on PEpC protein synthesis rate, wild-type and $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC were labeled with ³⁵S-Methionine for the indicated times and total trichloroacetic acidprecipitable proteins were counted for label incorporation. As shown in figure 10, $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC produce 4-fold more protein over a 24 time course than an equal number of wild type PEpC. These data indicate that Arf is required to regulate protein synthesis in PEpC.

Our previous studies have shown that NPM can transform immortalized $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs. While loss of Arf results in an increase in protein synthesis rates of PEpC, overexpression of NPM cannot increase growth rates as determined by foci assay (Figure 11A), nor transform them as determined by colony formation in soft agar (Figure 11B). In addition, classical transforming genes such as a constitutively active *RasV12* and *Myc* alone and in combination cannot increase growth rates of (Figure 11A) or transform (Figure 11B) $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC. This is not unprecedented as bone marrow derived cells isolated from $Arf^{/-}$ mice could not be transformed with RasV12 or Myc alone (14). However, in combination RasV12 and Myc overexpression were able to transform $Arf^{/-}$ bone marrow derived cells. While these findings are discouraging, there are still several avenues to determining the requirements for transformation of $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC such as the system used by Hahn and colleagues (15) utilizing several factors to transform cells.

To further support these findings we have performed Electron Microscopy on Mouse Embryo Fibroblasts (MEF) isolated from $Npm^{+/+}$ and Npm hypomorphic ($Npm^{h/h}$) mice. As shown in Figure 12, loss of Npm results in a thickening of the nuclear membrane (arrows) indicating a buildup of ribosomal subunits that cannot exist the nucleus (upper panels). In the cytoplasm of Npmh/h MEF there is also a paucity of rough ER compared to wild-type (diamond headed arrows, upper panels). Finally, the morphology of the nucleolus in markedly altered with a large increase in vacant areas in $Npm^{h/h}$ nucleoli versus $Npm^{+/+}$ nucleoli (bottom panels).

Taken together these data provide evidence that ARF can regulate PEpC growth and proliferation through its interaction with NPM. The effects on the other ARF-interacting proteins will be explored, but as discussed below, a few minor difficulties will need to be dealt with first.

Difficulties and actions taken

Due to the difficulty in transforming $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC, I have not been able to assess the response of the ARF-interacting genes, Mdm2, Bop1, and Npm to true transforming signals in the prostate. I am in the process of assessing their mRNA and protein levels in response to RasV12, Myc and the combination of the two which are classical transforming signals in rodent cells. Assessing the response of these genes to classically transforming signals will offer insights into why $Arf^{/-}$ PEpC are not transformed. In addition, I have finally received a few samples from the Tissue Procurement Core at Washington University which I will use to assess the levels of ARF, NPM, Mdm2, and BOP1 at the mRNA level. These studies will also offer more insight into the role of these genes in prostate cancer.

I have also run into difficulty cloning the targeting vector for creation of the conditional *Arf* knockout mouse (see figure 13). I have been able to clone both homology arms individually

5'p1	Exor	Νεο	3'p	μ - Εxon 1α
5'p2	5'Probe 1	5'Probe 2	3'Probe	
1	Bgl II Digest	<u>Xba I Digest</u>	<u>Bgl ll Digest</u>	
Wild Type	9100	4900	9100	
Neo/1β	5400	2000	5400	
Δ Neo/1 β	3800	2000	5400	
Δ Neo/ Δ 1B	3000	4200	5400	
Neo/ $\Delta 1\beta$	4600	5800	5400	

into the targeting vector. However, when all attempts to get 2^{nd} the homology arm, weather it be the 5' or 3'homology arm have failed. The CpG island just 5' o Arf exon 1β causing S problems and

results in the deletion of a portion of the 5'HA around exon 1 β . Four members of the lab including me have attempted the cloning reaction from beginning to end. I have attempted using several different bacterial cell lines (DH5a, JM109, XL10 Gold, ABLE C, and SCS110) some of which are defective in recombination genes or are purported to tolerate "toxic" plasmids. I am in the midst of attempting to clone into two new targeting vectors as well as recreating the targeting strategy. While doing this, I have begun a study to look at the effects of ARF loss on a conditional knockout model of prostate cancer. Work performed by Dr Henry Sucov's lab (*16*, *17*) shows that selective deletion of the RXR α results in PIN lesions but does not result in metastatic prostate cancer. I have received these RXR α floxed mice from Dr Sucov as well as the Pb-Cre mice from the NCI. I am breeding the Pb-Cre mice onto the *Arf*^{/-} background and will then cross the Pb-Cre/*Arf*^{/-} mice to the RXR α floxed mice to determine the effects of *Arf* loss on the progression and extent of disease in the mice.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Isolated and established wild-type and $Arf^{/-}$ prostate epithelial cell lines.
- Wild-type prostate epithelial cells have limited proliferation in culture.
- Arf^{-} prostate epithelial cells are immortal in culture, but not transformed.
- $Arf^{/}$ prostate epithelial cells maintain ploidy as well as an intact p53 response in culture.
- *Arf*^{/-} prostate epithelial cells make 4-fold more protein over a 24 h time course than wild-type cells.
- NPM is a potent transforming oncogene in the absence of *Arf* in Mouse Embryo Fibroblasts but not in Prostate Epithelial Cells.
- NPM is overexpressed in 82% and highly overexpressed in 44% of proliferating prostate adenocarcinomas.
- "Nucleophosmin is Essential for Ribosomal Protein L5 Nuclear Export", Yue *et al. Molecular and Cellular Biology* (2006) **26**:3798-3809.
- "Nucleophosmin Directs Ribosome Nuclear Export and Cell Growth", Maggi *et al.* Submitted (2006).

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

- "Nucleophosmin is Essential for Ribosomal Protein L5 Nuclear Export", Yue *et al. Molecular and Cellular Biology* (2006) **26**:3798-2809.
- "Nucleophosmin Directs Ribosome Nuclear Export and Cell Growth", Maggi *et al.* Submitted (2006).
- "What is the role of the ARF tumor suppressor in Prostate Tumorigenesis?" Oral Presentation at the Washington University Signaling/Cell Cycle Group (December 2004).
- "Regulation of Growth and Transformation by Nucleophosmin-mediated Ribosome Export" Invited Oral Presentation at the 2nd Annual Washington University Postdoc Symposium (February 2006).
- "Nucleophosmin Directs Ribosome Nuclear Export and Cell Growth" Co-Winner of Best Poster at the 1st Annual Washington University Signaling/Cell Cycle Retreat (April 2006).
- Creation and characterization of an Arf^{-} prostate epithelial cell line.

CONCLUSIONS

This proposal was designed to investigate the role of the nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF in the development of prostate cancer. In the first 18 months of support I have generated a substantial amount of data which is laying the foundation for future studies. I have isolated and characterized an immortal prostate epithelial cell line. In addition, I have defined a novel and very important mechanism for ARF-induced cell cycle arrest that is independent of p53. The role of NPM and protein synthesis in prostate cancer is an exciting and emerging field of study which has also defined a pathway with promising therapeutic targets for prostate cancer

treatment. I have begun work on all three aims and am looking forward to a very productive 6 months to finish the work outlined in this proposal.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Jemal *et al.*, *CA Cancer J Clin* **54**, 8 (2004).
- 2. P. L. Fernandez, L. Hernandez, X. Farre, E. Campo, A. Cardesa, *Pathobiology* **70**, 1 (2002).
- 3. S. R. Downing, P. J. Russell, P. Jackson, *Can J Urol* **10**, 1924 (2003).
- 4. C. J. Sherr, J. D. Weber, *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **10**, 94 (2000).
- 5. S. N. Brady, Y. Yu, L. B. Maggi, Jr., J. D. Weber, *Mol Cell Biol* 24, 9327 (2004).
- 6. T. Kamijo, S. Bodner, E. van de Kamp, D. H. Randle, C. J. Sherr, *Cancer Res* **59**, 2217 (1999).
- 7. T. Jacks *et al.*, *Curr Biol* **4**, 1 (1994).
- 8. T. Kamijo *et al.*, *Cell* **91**, 649 (1997).
- 9. J. D. Weber et al., Genes Dev 14, 2358 (2000).
- 10. A. Y. Liu, L. D. True, Am J Pathol 160, 37 (2002).
- 11. B. J. Feldman, D. Feldman, *Nat Rev Cancer* **1**, 34 (2001).
- 12. S. Tawfic, M. O. Olson, K. Ahmed, J Biol Chem 270, 21009 (1995).
- 13. S. Tawfic, S. A. Goueli, M. O. Olson, K. Ahmed, Cell Mol Biol Res 39, 43 (1993).
- 14. D. H. Randle, F. Zindy, C. J. Sherr, M. F. Roussel, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**, 9654 (2001).
- 15. W. C. Hahn *et al.*, *Nature* **400**, 464 (1999).
- 16. J. Huang et al., Cancer Res 62, 4812 (2002).
- 17. X. Wu *et al.*, *Mech Dev* **101**, 61 (2001).

APPENDICIES

1. "Nucleophosmin is Essential for Ribosomal Protein L5 Nuclear Export", Yue *et al. Molecular and Cellular Biology* (2006) **26**:3798-2809.

2. "Nucleophosmin Directs Ribosome Nuclear Export and Cell Growth", Maggi et al. Submitted (2006).

3. Curriculum Vitae: Leonard B. Maggi, Jr., Ph.D.

SUPPORTING DATA

Figure 1. *Arf*⁻⁻ Prostate Cell Cultures.

Figure 2. *Arf*^{/-} Prostate Cells are Immortal not Transformed.

Figure 3. *Arf*^{/-} Prostate Cells are Epithelial Cells.

Figure 4. *Arf*^{/-} PEpC are Diploid.

Figure 5. *Arf^{/-}* PEpC Have an Intact p53 Response.

Figure 6. p19ARF Overexpression Inhibits Arf^{/-} PEpC Cell Cycle Progression.

Figure 7. Androgen Receptor Signaling is Required for *Arf^{-/-}* PEpC Growth.

Figure 8. PC3 Cell S Phase Progression Is Not Affected By NPM Shuttling.

Figure 9. LNCaP Cell S Phase Progression Is Affected By Inhibition of NPM Shuttling or High Expression of NPM.

Figure 10. Arf loss Increases Protein Synthesis Rates of PEpC.

Figure 11. *Arf*^{/-} PEpC Cannot Be Transformed.

Figure 12. Loss of *Npm* Inhibits Ribosome Nuclear Export and Alters Nucleolar Morphology.

Nucleophosmin Is Essential for Ribosomal Protein L5 Nuclear Export

Yue Yu,¹ Leonard B. Maggi, Jr.,¹ Suzanne N. Brady,¹ Anthony J. Apicelli,¹ Mu-Shui Dai,² Hua Lu,² and Jason D. Weber^{1*}

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Molecular Oncology, Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110,¹ and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Medicine, Oregon Health Science University, Portland, Oregon 97201²

Received 20 October 2005/Returned for modification 7 December 2005/Accepted 24 February 2006

Nucleophosmin (NPM/B23) is a key regulator in the regulation of a number of processes including centrosome duplication, maintenance of genomic integrity, and ribosome biogenesis. While the mechanisms underlying NPM function are largely uncharacterized, NPM loss results in severe dysregulation of developmental and growth-related events. We show that NPM utilizes a conserved CRM1-dependent nuclear export sequence in its amino terminus to enable its shuttling between the nucleolus/nucleus and cytoplasm. In search of NPM trafficking targets, we biochemically purified NPM-bound protein complexes from HeLa cell lysates. Consistent with NPM's proposed role in ribosome biogenesis, we isolated ribosomal protein L5 (rpL5), a known chaperone for the 5S rRNA. Direct interaction of NPM with rpL5 mediated the colocalization of NPM with maturing nuclear 60S ribosomal subunits, as well as newly exported and assembled 80S ribosomes and polysomes. Inhibition of NPM shuttling or loss of *NPM* blocked the nuclear export of rpL5 and 5S rRNA, resulting in cell cycle arrest and demonstrating that NPM and its nuclear export provide a unique and necessary chaperoning activity to rpL5/5S.

As the most prominent of subnuclear structures, the nucleolus has long been recognized as the site of active transcription of rRNA and ribosome assembly (8). Various nucleolar proteins, RNAs, and other factors have been implicated in the complex process of ribosome production and maturation (18). Recently, several groups reported the successful isolation and mapping of the mammalian nucleolar proteome (1, 2, 44). While these studies clearly identified proteins and ribonucleoproteins with purported roles in ribosome biogenesis, a surprising number of proteins within the nucleolar proteome (>100) have no known function. In previous decades, it was assumed that all nucleolar proteins must somehow contribute to static ribosome biogenesis simply by virtue of their localization. However, more-recent findings have demonstrated that the nucleolus is a dynamic subnuclear organelle which regulates numerous cellular processes, prompting a broadened view of the potential functions of nucleolar proteins (28).

Nucleophosmin (NPM/B23) is an abundant phosphoprotein that resides within the granular regions of the nucleolus (46). Proliferating cells express NPM at high levels (9, 13), and NPM has been associated with a variety of cellular events, including ribosomal biogenesis, protein chaperoning, and centrosome duplication (13, 23, 35, 36). Structurally, NPM is present in both monomeric and multimeric states, although NPM multimers appear predominant in the nucleolus and may be crucial for the assembly of maturing ribosomes (33, 34, 53). Furthermore, NPM, along with other nucleolar proteins, is believed (or has been shown) to actively mobilize into distinct subcellular pools, supporting the notion that NPM trafficking may be

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Medicine, Division of Molecular Oncology, Washington University School of Medicine, Campus Box 8069, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110. Phone: (314) 747-3896. Fax: (314) 747-2797. E-mail: jweber @im.wustl.edu. essential for its (proper) function (6). Indeed, NPM's transit from the nucleolus/nucleus is an essential event in S phase progression; when NPM export was inhibited by the nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF, cells arrested in G_1 (7). Moreover, loss of NPM expression results in severe attenuation of cellular proliferation and increased apoptosis (5, 7, 16, 19), underscoring NPM's indispensable role within the cell.

Given that nuclear export of NPM promotes cell growth, we aimed to further elucidate the crucial roles of NPM's trafficking. While numerous proteins, such as Mdm2, cdc14p, and telomerase reverse transcriptase, are topologically restrained in the nucleolus following receipt of defined cellular cues, newly synthesized ribosomal subunits must be exported from the nucleolus to promote proper protein translation in the cytosol (45). Recent work with Xenopus laevis and Saccharomyces cerevisiae has shown that nuclear export of ribosomes utilizes the CRM1-RanGTP export receptor pathway (20) as well as the conserved nuclear adaptor protein NMD3 (51). While investigating the critical nature of NPM trafficking, we noted that NPM's exit from the nucleus also involved the classical CRM1-dependent nuclear export pathway. In search of proteins that are targeted for NPM-mediated nuclear export, we observed that nuclear and cytosolic NPM proteins directly bound to the ribosomal L5 protein (rpL5), a 60S subunit protein that chaperones the 5S rRNA into the nucleolus and out into the cytosol (31). Here we report that NPM mediates rpL5/5S nuclear export through a CRM1-dependent mechanism, allowing NPM to directly access the maturing ribosome and potentially regulate the protein translational machinery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture. HeLa and NIH 3T3 cells and wild-type (WT) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (ArtisOptimus, Carlsbad, CA) were maintained in Dulbecco's

modified Eagle's medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, and 100 U penicillin and streptomycin.

Plasmid constructs. Vectors encoding full-length His-tagged murine NPM are described elsewhere (7). The His epitope-tagged NPM coding sequence was subcloned into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) and pEGFP (Clontech) vectors. His-NPM_{Δ42-61}, His-NPM_{Δ62-83}, or His-NPMdL mutants were generated using the primers 5'-GAAAATGAGCACCAGGCAGAAGCAATGAAC-3' (sense) and 5'-GTTCATTGCTTCTGCCTGGTGCTCATTTC-3' (antisense), 5'-GTTAC ACATCGTAGAGCAACCAACAGTTTCC-3' (sense) and 5'-GGTAGCAGCAGGAAGCAACGAATGAGCACCAGGGGTGAGCAACGATCGTT GGTTGCTCTACGATGTGTGAAC-3' (antisense), or 5'-GAAAATGAGCACC AGGCGTCAGCAAGAACGGTC-3' (sense) and 5'-CTAAACTGACCGTTCTT GCTGACGCAGGAGCAACGATCTC-3' (antisense), respectively, by QuikChange mutagenesis (Stratagene). A myc-tagged NPC-M9 (40) in pcDNA3 and a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged rpL5 plasmid (41) were generous gifts from Alan Diehl (University of Pennsylvania) and Joachim Hauber (Universita Erlangen-Nurnberg).

Heterokaryon assay. HeLa cells (2×10^5) were seeded onto glass coverslips and transfected with plasmids. NIH 3T3 cells (6×10^5) were seeded onto the HeLa cells 24 h posttransfection. Cocultures were then incubated for 30 min with cycloheximide (100 µg/ml), followed by incubation with 50% polyethylene glycol in phosphate-buffered saline for 105 s. Cocultures were incubated with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing cycloheximide (100 µg/ml) for an additional 4 h. Heterokaryons were fixed and stained with a rabbit anti-His antibody (Santa Cruz) or mouse anti-myc antibody (Zymed), followed by either fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated or rhodamine X-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Pierce) as described previously (7). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst (Sigma). Fluorescent signals were detected using a Nikon epifluorescence compound microscope (×100) fitted with a Nikon FDX-35 camera.

Immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis. Cells were transduced as recommended by the manufacturer (Amaxa) with vectors encoding His-NPM, His-NPMdL, and GFP-rpL5 and lysed in binding buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40) 48 h after the Nucleofector process. Primary antibody to the NPM N terminus (custom rabbit; Sigma Genosys), GFP (Santa Cruz), His (Santa Cruz), rpL5 (12), or nonimmune rabbit serum (NRS) was added to the binding reaction mixtures. Immune complexes were precipitated with protein A-Sepharose (Amersham). The precipitated proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. NPM, His-tagged proteins, and GFP-tagged proteins were visualized by direct immunoblotting with NPM (Zymed), His (Santa Cruz), and rpL5 and GFP (Santa Cruz) antibodies, respectively.

Fluid phase liquid chromatography. For affinity chromatography, a rabbit polyclonal antibody recognizing the N terminus of NPM (Sigma) was coupled to N-hydroxysuccinimide-activated Sepharose (Amersham). HeLa cells were lysed in 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, and 0.1% Tween 20 and sonicated. Lysates (600 μ g) were injected onto the NPM affinity column, washed with 20 mM Tris, and eluted with an increasing NaCl gradient (0.1 to 1 M) using BioLogic fluid phase liquid affinity chromatography and HR software (Bio-Rad). Fractions were precipitated with trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Proteins were resuspended in 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), separated by SDS-PAGE, and visualized with Coomassie blue stain (Sigma).

Proteomic analysis. Proteins from fluid phase liquid affinity chromatography fractions were precipitated with TCA and resuspended in Laemmli buffer. SDS-PAGE-separated proteins were stained with SYPRO-Ruby (Bio-Rad). Bands of interest were excised and processed for trypsin digestion. Tryptic peptides were calibrated with Sequazyme peptide mass standard kit (PE Biosystem) and analyzed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (Voyager DE Pro; Applied Biosystems). Identification of proteins was performed using MS-Fit software (http://prospector.ucsf.edu/ucsfhtml4.0/msfit.htm). MALDI-TOF spectra and sequences were verified using a 4700 Proteomics tandem mass spectrometry system (Applied Biosystems). Identified proteins were additionally verified by direct Western blot analysis.

Bacterial protein purification. BL21 cells were transformed with pET28a vectors encoding NPM, NPMdL, rpL5, and p27kip1 proteins. Protein production was induced for 3 h with 1 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-p-thiogalactopyranoside). Harvested cells were lysed in phosphate-buffered saline containing protease inhibitors and 1% Triton X-100 with sonication. Cleared lysates were subjected to affinity purification using Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid columns as described by the manufacturer (Sigma). Purified proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized for purity using Coomassie blue stain.

Subcellular fractionation. HeLa cells were subjected to the Nucleofector process with scrambled or small interfering NPM RNAs or control vector, His-NPM, and His-NPMdL and harvested. Pellets containing equal cell numbers were resuspended in HEPES buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, with 4 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 10 µg/ml leupeptin, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, 1 µg/ml pepstatin) and lysed with a syringe. Lysates were pelleted, and the supernatant was saved as the cytoplasmic fraction. The pellet was resuspended in fractionation buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 4 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM PMSF, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, 1 µg/ml pepstatin), subjected to Dounce homogenization, layered over a cushion of sucrose (45%, wt/vol, in fractionation buffer), and centrifuged. The pellet was washed and resuspended in EBC buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 120 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM PMSF, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 10 μg/ml aprotinin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin, 1 mM NaF, 10 mM NaVO4, β-glycerophosphate). Nuclear or cytoplasmic protein was subjected to SDS-PAGE. Superoxide dismutase (SOD; Cu/Zn-specific form), lamin A/C, and rpL5 proteins were visualized by direct immunoblotting with anti-SOD (Calbiochem), anti-lamin A/C (Santa Cruz), and anti-rpL5 antibodies (12), respectively. Similarly, total RNA was isolated from the fractions obtained above and separated on formaldehyde-agarose gels. Separated RNA from each nuclear and cytoplasmic fraction was analyzed by Northern blotting using a probe specific for the 5S rRNA. The 5S rRNA probe was obtained by PCR using HeLa cell genomic DNA as the template and the following primers: sense, 5'-CCTTCAGCGTCTACGGCCATACC-3'; antisense, 5'-GCCAAGAAA AAGCCTACAGCAGG-3'. The PCR product was cloned and confirmed by sequencing.

RNA FISH. HeLa cells were subjected to the Nucleofector process with pcDNA3.1 His, His-tagged NPM, or His-NPMdL and plated on coverslips. Cells were subjected to RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) as described previously (3) using a tetramethyl rhodamine isocyanate (TRITC)-labeled 5S rRNA probe (Genedetect). DNA was counterstained with DAPI (4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole).

Ribosome fractionation. Cells were subjected to cytosolic and nuclear ribosome fractionation, and lysates were separated on sucrose gradients as previously described (48). RNA was continuously monitored over the gradient by measuring UV absorbance at 254 nm. Fractions were collected, and proteins were precipitated with TCA. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing NPM (Zymed) and rpL5.

RESULTS

NPM nuclear export requires a CRM1-dependent nuclear export signal involving leucines 42 and 44. NPM is a ubiquitously expressed nucleolar phosphoprotein capable of regulated nuclear import (6). When NPM is transiently expressed in mammalian cells, it localizes predominantly to the nucleolus. Moreover, using in vivo heterokaryon shuttling assays (50), we have previously shown that NPM readily shuttles between the nucleolus/nucleus and cytoplasm (7). NPC-M9, a nuclear hnRNP protein that readily mobilizes to the cytoplasm, serves as a shuttling control (40). To distinguish between human donor and murine acceptor nuclei, chromosomal DNA was stained with Hoechst, clearly demarcating greater heterochromatin foci of NIH 3T3 mouse cells (speckled pattern, Fig. 1, Hoechst). As shown in Fig. 1A, NPM readily shuttles out of the human nucleolus, into the fused cytoplasm, and back into the mouse acceptor nucleus/nucleolus.

Given that a wide range of shuttling proteins utilize the CRM1 transport protein for their nuclear export, we further investigated the underlying export mechanism of NPM both in the presence and absence of leptomycin B (LMB), a potent inhibitor of CRM1-mediated nuclear export (24). In the absence of LMB, NPM readily migrated from human nucleoli to mouse nucleoli (Fig. 1A). However, in the presence of LMB, NPM failed to shuttle and was restricted to human nucleoli within heterokaryons (92% inhibition; Fig. 1B). The addition of LMB did not hinder the nucleocytoplasmic trafficking of Myc-NPC-M9, an hnRNP that readily shuttles in a CRM1-independent nuclear export pathway (38).

A sequence alignment of NPM residues with known CRM1dependent shuttling proteins revealed two motifs containing



+His-NPM

FIG. 1. Nuclear export of NPM is CRM1 dependent. NIH 3T3 cells were seeded onto HeLa cells that had been transfected with His-NPM in combination with Myc-NPC-M9 (shuttling control) in the (A) absence or (B) presence of LMB. Heterokaryons were incubated in media containing cycloheximide for an additional 4 h before fixation. Heterokaryon formation was verified by phase-contrast microscopy, while His-NPM and Myc-NPC-M9 proteins were visualized with antibodies against His (red) and Myc (green), respectively. DNA was stained with Hoechst. Mouse nuclei are demarcated with dotted circles. Human and mouse nuclei are labeled h and m, respectively. These data are representative of at least five independent heterokaryons formed for each transfection condition in three independent experiments. The percentages of His-NPM shuttling in heterokaryons are given. DIC, differential interference contrast; α , anti. (C) Sequence alignment of putative NPM NESs with known NESs of CRM1-dependent nuclear export proteins (p53, protein kinase inhibitor [PKI], rev, and Mdm2). Critical hydrophobic residues are indicated in yellow.

short leucine-rich hydrophobic stretches of amino acids characteristic of CRM1-dependent nuclear export sequences (NESs) (Fig. 1C) (14, 15). In order to identify which region(s) of NPM contains its NES, we generated deletion mutants of NPM lacking either of the two potential NESs (NPM_{$\Delta42-61$} and NPM_{$\Delta62-83$}). Using these NPM constructs, we again conducted interspecies heterokaryon assays. As shown in Fig. 2A, deletion of amino acids 42 to 61 of NPM (His-NPM_{$\Delta42-61$}) prevented its shuttling (100% inhibition) to mouse nucleoli. Importantly, a myc-tagged NPC-M9 shuttling control readily shuttled in the same human-mouse heterokaryon, indicating that these heterokaryons were not impaired for nucleocytoplasmic shuttling in general. In contrast, deletion of amino acids 62 to 83 of NPM (His-NPM_{$\Delta 62-83$}) did not prevent NPM from shuttling between human and mouse nucleoli (6% inhibition; Fig. 2B), revealing that the putative NES resides within amino acids 42 to 61 of the NPM protein.

Since the type of NES recognized and bound by the CRM1 export receptor is dependent on closely spaced hydrophobic amino acids (particularly leucines) (14, 15), we introduced point mutations into the corresponding leucine residues within the NES of NPM (Leu-42 and Leu-44 to Ala-42 and Ala-44). First, we tested this NPM mutant (designated NPMdL for *d*ouble-*l*eucine mutant) with Myc-NPC-M9 as a shuttling control. As expected, NPMdL was unable to transit from a human nucleus to the cytoplasm and into a murine nucleus (100%)



D

		-	β1	β2	A1	33
Homo sapiens	(1)	-MED SMDMDMS PLR PONY	FGCELKADK	DYHFKV	DNDENE	QLSLRTVS
Mus musculus	(1)	-MED SMDMDMS PLR PQNY I	FGCELKADK-	-dyh <mark>f</mark> kv	DNDENE <mark>H</mark>	QLSLRTVS
Rattus norvegicus	5 (1)	-MED SMDMDMS PLR PQNY I	FGCELKADK-	-DYH <mark>F</mark> KV	DNDENE <mark>H</mark>	QLSLRTVS
Bos taurus	(1)	-MED SMDMDMS PLR PQNY I	FGCELKADR	-DYH <mark>F</mark> KV	DNDENE <mark>H</mark>	QLSLRTVS
Ovis aries	(1)	-MED SMDMDMS PLR PQNY I	FGCELKADR	-DYH <mark>F</mark> KV	DNDENE <mark>H</mark>	QLSLRTVS
Canis familiaris	(1)	-MED SMDMDMS PLR PQNY I	FGCELKADK-	-DYH <mark>F</mark> KV	DNDENE <mark>H</mark>	QLSLRTVS
Sus domesticus	(1)	-MED SMDMDMS PLR PONY I	FGCELKADK-	-DYH <mark>F</mark> KV	DNDENE	QLSLR TVS
Gallus gallus	(1)	MDMESMGPLRPQTFI	FG <mark>CELKAE</mark> K-	-EYQFKV	DD <mark>E</mark> ENE <mark>H</mark>	QLSLRTVT
Xenopus laevis	(1)	-MED SMDMD IAPLR PONFI	FG <mark>CELK</mark> ADKI	KEYSFKV	EDDENE <mark>H</mark>	QLSLRTVS
Salmo gairdneri	(1)	<mark>MD</mark> EEQMG <mark>PQ</mark> TFI	YG <mark>CELK</mark> SGK-	-DVVFNP	EEDDFEH	QLDLRMVC
Danio rerio	(1)	<mark>MDL</mark> EQMG <mark>PQ</mark> TFI	YG <mark>CELK</mark> AGK-	- <mark>DIT</mark> FNP	EDDDYD <mark>H</mark>	QL <mark>SLR</mark> MAC
Consensus	(1)	MED SMDMDMS PLR <mark>PQ</mark> NY <mark>I</mark>	FG <mark>CELK</mark> ADK	DYH <mark>F</mark> KV	DNDENE <mark>H</mark>	QLSLRTVS
						NES

04

...

00

FIG. 2. Leucine 42 and leucine 44 are identified as critical nuclear export residues. NIH 3T3 cells were seeded onto HeLa cells that had been transfected with (A) His-NPM_{$\Delta42-61$}, (B) His-NPM_{$\Delta62-83$}, or (C) NPMdL in combination with Myc-NPC-M9. Ectopic NPM proteins and Myc-NPC-M9 proteins were visualized with antibodies against His (red) and Myc (green), respectively. DNA was stained with Hoechst. Mouse nuclei are demarcated with dotted circles. Human and mouse nuclei are labeled h and m, respectively. These data are representative of at least five independent heterokaryons formed for each transfection condition in three independent experiments. The percentages of His-NPM shuttling in heterokaryons are given. DIC, differential interference contrast; α , anti. (D) Sequence alignment of NPM homologues throughout evolution. Identical residues in all species are identified above the sequences. The consensus NPM sequence for all 11 identified homologues is given, with conserved nuclear export leucines 42 and 44 marked with arrows (NES).

Α



GFP-NPM Shuttling

FIG. 3. NPM shuttling mutants act as dominant negative inhibitors of NPM nuclear export. (A, left) HeLa cells transduced with His-NPMdL and GFP-NPM were lysed, and the whole-cell lysate was subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with NRS or antibodies recognizing His and GFP epitopes. Precipitated protein complexes were separated by SDS-PAGE, and ectopic NPM proteins were visualized with antibodies against GFP and His epitopes. (A, right) HeLa cells transfected with His-NPMdL were lysed, and the whole-cell lysate was subjected to immunoprecipitation with NRS or antibodies recognizing His epitopes. Precipitated protein complexes were separated by SDS- inhibition), indicating that these two leucine residues are critical for nuclear export of the NPM protein (Fig. 2C). Sequence alignment of numerous nucleophosmin homologues underscores the evolutionary importance of this amino-terminal export motif as it is nearly identical from zebra fish to humans (Fig. 2D).

Heterogeneous complexes containing NPM NES mutants and wild-type NPM fail to shuttle. Because NPM readily selfoligomerizes (32, 33, 34, 53), we considered the possibility that mutant NPM molecules could form hetero-oligomers with wild-type NPM proteins. To test this hypothesis, HeLa cells were transduced with His-tagged NPMdL expression vectors. Immunoprecipitation of His-NPMdL proteins revealed the coprecipitation of wild-type endogenous NPM proteins, demonstrating the formation of mutant-wild-type hetero-oligomers in cells (Fig. 3A, right). Additionally, cells transduced with His-NPMdL and GFP-NPM displayed formation of hetero-oligomers, as observed by coprecipitation of both proteins using antibodies directed at either epitope tag (His or GFP; Fig. 3A, left). Given our finding that mutant NPM forms oligomers with wild-type NPM, we next examined whether the NPM shuttling mutant NPMdL could also block wild-type NPM from shuttling. In the absence of the shuttling mutant, GFP-tagged NPM readily shuttled from human to mouse nucleoli (Fig. 3C). However, in the presence of His-tagged NPMdL, GFP-NPM was retained in human nuclei (Fig. 3D; 96% inhibition). Although we were unable to determine the exact stoichiometry between mutant proteins and wild-type proteins in the NPM oligomer, it is clear that overexpression of NPMdL severely impaired the shuttling activity of nearly all NPM oligomers.

NPM associates with cytoplasmic and nuclear rpL5 ribosome complexes. Previous studies have indicated that NPM might function as an integral component of ribosome maturation through its RNA binding activities (36). However, most hypotheses in this regard are largely based on the fact that NPM is nucleolar and, thus, most likely to be involved in the major process in the nucleolus: ribosome biogenesis. To formally test the nucleolar function of NPM, we examined the composition of in vivo NPM protein complexes in HeLa cell lysates. We generated a custom NPM polyclonal antibody affinity column and used a control nonimmune immunoglobulin column to preclear protein lysates. NPM complexes were eluted with increasing salt concentrations and visualized following SDS-PAGE and SYPRO-Ruby staining. As seen in Fig. 4A, we observed very little protein bound to our non-

PAGE, and ectopic mutant and endogenous wild-type NPM proteins were visualized with antibodies against NPM. Untransfected HeLa whole-cell lysate was loaded as a marker for endogenous NPM expression (lane 1). IB, immunoblot; α , anti; DIC, differential interference contrast. NIH 3T3 cells were seeded onto HeLa cells that had been transfected with GFP-NPM (B) alone or (C) in combination with His-NPMdL. Heterokaryon assays were performed, and His-NPMdL and GFP-NPM proteins were visualized with antibodies against His (red) or naturally emitting GFP spectra (green). DNA was stained with Hoechst. Mouse nuclei are demarcated with dotted circles. Human and mouse nuclei are labeled h and m, respectively. These data are representative of at least five independent heterokaryons formed for each transfection condition in three independent experiments. The percentages of GFP-NPM shuttling in heterokaryons are given.

Α



FIG. 4. Isolation of endogenous NPM protein complexes. (A) HeLa cell lysates (600 μ g) transduced with control (–) or NPM-directed RNA interference (RNAi) constructs (+) were injected onto either an NRS column or custom NPM polyclonal antibody affinity columns and eluted with an increasing NaCl gradient (0.1 to 1.0 M). Eluted proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized with Coomassie blue stain. Identified bands are labeled. (B) Representative MALDI-TOF spectra of labeled protein bands from panel A are shown with labeled matching peptide masses.

immune rabbit serum column (lane 1). However, some proteins (\sim 18) were specifically eluted from the NPM antibody column (lane 3), including NPM and the previously known binding protein nucleolin. To determine whether the eluted proteins were in fact bound to the column through their interaction with NPM, we depleted NPM from HeLa cells using NPM-targeted RNA interference. Knockdown of NPM resulted in a loss of specific proteins bound and eluted from the NPM antibody column, demonstrating that our identified NPM protein complex is specific for NPM (lane 2). Protein bands were excised and identified using MALDI-TOF and tandem mass spectrometry analyses. Among those proteins bound to NPM, a cluster of proteins associated with ribosome biogenesis, including rpL5 and nucleolin, as well as the nuclear pore complex proteins Nup50 and Nup62, were identified (Fig. 4A and B), with nucleolin (C23) being the only known NPM binding protein (26, 27). Western blot analysis of NPM protein complexes verified the presence of these proteins in salt-eluted fractions (data not shown).

Given the novelty and potentially significant ribosome biology of finding rpL5 in the NPM complex, we focused on verifying the NPM-rpL5 interaction. Purified recombinant NPM, NPMdL, and rpL5 proteins (Fig. 5A, left panels) mixed overnight were coprecipitated (Fig. 5A, middle panels), demonstrating that the NPM-rpL5 interaction is direct and independent of the NPM nuclear export signal. To show that the interaction of recombinant proteins was specific, NPM and rpL5 were mixed overnight with recombinant p27kip1 proteins (equally charged proteins not bound to the NPM antibody column). Precipitated proteins exhibited no complex formation between NPM and p27kip1 or rpL5 and p27kip1 (Fig. 5A, right panels). Both NPM and rpL5 readily interact with RNAs through conserved nucleic acid binding domains. To determine whether RNA binding is required for the NPM-rpL5 interaction, HeLa lysates were subjected to RNase A treatment prior to coprecipitation of NPM-rpL5 complexes. Even in the presence of RNase A, NPM and rpL5 visibly formed in vivo protein complexes (Fig. 5B) indistinguishable from those from untreated cells and consistent with our earlier finding that the interaction can be recapitulated with purified recombinant proteins (Fig. 5A). While NPM and rpL5 formed complexes in vivo, serial immunoprecipitation of NPM proteins from HeLa lysates showed that NPM and rpL5 are not exclusive partners. We failed to detect rpL5 in some NPM complexes (Fig. 5C, 3° and 4°), and we also noted that there was a significant amount of rpL5 free from NPM complexes in the remaining supernatant (Fig. 5C, Sup), indicating that both NPM and rpL5 can exist in complexes independent of one another.

Having identified a critical member of the 60S ribosomal subunit, namely, rpL5, in NPM complexes, we wanted to evaluate the colocalization of NPM with ribosomes in vivo. In order to follow the spatial control of NPM-rpL5 complexes in vivo, we utilized the UV absorbance of the ribosome. Ribosomal protein L5 is known to supply the maturing 60S ribosomal subunit with 5S rRNA prior to nucleolar/nuclear export of the 60S subunit (47), providing NPM an ideal time to form nucleolar complexes with rpL5. Cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts of HeLa cells were subjected to sucrose gradient centrifugation, and the gradients were fractionated with continuous UV monitoring. As shown in Fig. 6, NPM associates with the 40S, 60S, 80S, and polysome fractions in the cytoplasm while nuclear pools of NPM associate with the 40S/pre-60S and 60S fractions in the nucleus. Consistent with previous reports (30), we found rpL5 associated with the 60S, 80S, and polysome fractions in the cytoplasm and the 40S/pre-60S and 60S fractions in the nucleus (Fig. 6). These data demonstrate that NPM and rpL5 are localized with the maturing 60S ribosomal subunits in the nucleus and are maintained in the mature ribo-



FIG. 5. NPM interacts directly with rpL5. (A, left) Recombinant NPM, NPMdL, and rpL5 were purified from bacterial lysates using Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography. Purified proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and detected with Coomassie blue stain. (A, middle) Purified NPM or NPMdL proteins were incubated overnight with rpL5 and immunoprecipitated (IP) with NRS or antibodies recognizing NPM or rpL5. Precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoplotted with NPM and rpL5 antibodies. (A, left) Purified NPM or rpL5 proteins were incubated overnight with recombinant p27 and immunoprecipitated with NRS or antibodies recognizing NPM, rpL5, or p27. Precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoprecipitated with NRS or antibodies. Precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoblotted with NRS, rpL5 antibodies. Precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoblotted with NRS, rpL5 antibodies. Precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoblotted with NPM and rpL5 antibodies. Alternatively, HeLa lysates were pretreated for 1 h with RNase A prior to immunoprecipitation as described above. (C) HeLa lysates were subjected to serial immunoprecipitation with NPM antibodies (lanes 1° to 4°). Precipitated proteins in the final supernatant (unbound) were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and proteins in the final supernatant (unbound) were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing NPM and rpL5.

some once it reaches the cytosol. They also indicate that NPM also associates with the 40S subunit, which is devoid of rpL5 (Fig. 6) (30).

Transduction of HeLa cells with His-NPMdL resulted in a dramatic redistribution of rpL5 in cytosolic ribosomes; rpL5 was maintained in the 60S subunits but severely reduced in 80S ribosomes (Fig. 6, middle left panels). Given these findings, we cannot rule out the possibility that rpL5 proteins are still capable of some NPM-independent shuttling. However, it is more likely that rpL5 association with cytosolic ribosomes in the presence of NPMdL is a result of preexisting, stable cytosolic rpL5 complexes. This notion is further substantiated by treatment of HeLa cells with LMB. LMB treatment yielded results that were consistent with NPMdL overexpression (Fig. 6, lower panel). Both NPM and rpL5 proteins were found in the cytosol of LMB-treated cells (at reduced levels), even though the nuclear export of both proteins is LMB sensitive. This finding suggests that some preexisting cytosolic NPM and rpL5 ribosome complexes are fairly stable (~24 h) and that, if either protein utilizes CRM1-independent export, it is minimal.

NPM is required for rpL5 nuclear export. Having demonstrated a reduction of rpL5 associated with cytosolic ribosome subunits in the absence of NPM nuclear export signals, we next



FIG. 6. NPM and rpL5 colocalize with nuclear and cytosolic ribosome subunits. HeLa cells transduced with vector (top panels) or His-NPMdL (middle panels) or treated with LMB (bottom panels) were divided into cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions and subjected to sucrose gradient centrifugation. Absorbance was monitored at 254 nm, and fractions containing 40S, 60S, 80S, and polysome units were collected. Proteins from each fraction were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing NPM, the His epitope, and rpL5. α , anti.



FIG. 7. NPM nuclear export signals are required for the efficient export of GFP-rpL5. (A) HeLa cells either untransfected or transfected with GFP-tagged L5 for 48 h were harvested and lysed. Proteins were immunoprecipitated (IP) with NRS or a rabbit GFP antibody. Precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoblotted with GFP and NPM antibodies. α , anti; DIC, differential interference contrast. Loading inputs are indicated. (B to E) NIH 3T3 cells were seeded onto HeLa cells that had been transfected with GFP-rpL5 in combination with (B and C) His-NPM, (D) His-NPM_{Δ42-61}, and (E) His-NPMdL. Additionally, HeLa cells in panel C were treated with LMB for 18 h prior to fusion. Heterokaryon assays were performed with NPM and GFP-rpL5 proteins being visualized with antibodies against His (red) and naturally emitting GFP spectra (green), respectively. DNA was stained with Hoechst. Mouse nuclei are demarcated with dotted circles. Human and mouse nuclei are labeled h and m, respectively. These data are representative of at least five independent heterokaryons formed in three independent experiments. The percentages of heterokaryons exhibiting GFP-rpL5 shuttling are given.



C

Ε

В





D 5S rRNA +NPM +NPMdL +Vector +LMB CN N С a-Lamin WB -SOD a-rpL5 158 42 || 83 17 1 :Ratio % +NPM +NPMdL NB 5S rRNA 60 401182 18 :Ratio % F WT MEFs +Vector +ARF BrdU Incorporation 100 C 80 5S rRNA 60 40 :Ratio % 39 61 25 75 20 % 0 *Vector *NPMAR267 *NOM *NPMal

FIG. 8. NPM is essential for rpL5 nuclear export. (A) HeLa cells (-) or cells transduced with siRNAs encoding either scrambled control or NPM-specific sequences were harvested 72 h posttransduction for Western blot analysis. Proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were transferred to PVDF membranes and immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing NPM and γ -tubulin. α , anti. (B) HeLa cells (-) or cells transduced with siRNAs encoding either scrambled control or NPM-specific sequences were harvested 72 h posttransduction for cellular fractionation. Proteins from nuclear (N) and cytosolic (C) fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing rpL5, SOD (cytoplasm control), and lamin A/C (nuclear control). (C) HeLa cells were transfected with His-NPM or His-NPMdL, and 24 h later equal numbers of cells were subjected to fractionation into cytoplasmic (C) and nuclear (N) extracts. L5 protein was detected by Western blot analysis (top panels; WB). Lamin A/C and SOD are shown as nuclear and cytoplasmic fractionation controls, respectively (top panels; WB). 5S rRNA was detected by Northern blot analysis of total RNA extracted from the nuclear and cytosolic fractions (bottom panel; NB). The ratios of nuclear to cytoplasmic

examined the direct influence of NPM shuttling mutants on rpL5 nuclear export using a previously characterized GFPtagged rpL5 protein (41). To confirm that GFP-rpL5 retained the NPM-binding properties of the endogenous rpL5 protein, we transiently overexpressed GFP-rpL5 in HeLa cells and performed Western blot analysis of GFP-immunoprecipitated complexes. As shown in Fig. 7A, precipitated GFP-rpL5 complexes contained endogenous NPM, confirming that the GFP moiety does not adversely affect the formation of NPM-rpL5 complexes in vivo. GFP-rpL5 and His-NPM readily migrated from human nucleoli to mouse nucleoli, as visualized in interspecies heterokaryons (Fig. 7B). However, in the presence of LMB, both GFP-rpL5 and His-NPM failed to shuttle (95% inhibition; Fig. 7C). Introduction of NPM shuttling mutant $NPM_{\Delta 42-61}$ or NPMdL inhibited GFP-rpL5 shuttling into mouse nucleoli, restricting its expression to human nuclei (Fig. 7D and E; 96% and 100% inhibition, respectively), establishing that NPM nuclear export is required for the export of rpL5. To more definitively show that NPM is required for rpL5 nuclear export, we knocked down NPM expression in HeLa cells (Fig. 8A). Cells lacking NPM protein expression failed to accumulate rpL5 in the cytoplasm, while cells transduced with scrambled small interfering RNA (siRNA) as a control exhibited an equal distribution of rpL5 between the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 8B). These data underscore the necessity of NPM proteins for the efficient transport of rpL5 out of the nucleus and into the cytoplasm.

Ribosomal protein L5 is known to bind specifically to the mature 5S rRNA and aid in its nucleocytoplasmic transport (31, 37, 47). We hypothesized that NPM export, through its influence on rpL5, is the critical determinant for 5S rRNA nuclear export. To test this hypothesis, we performed Northern blot analysis of similar cellular fractions in the presence and absence of NPM shuttling. Indeed, in the presence of dominant negative NPM shuttling mutants, 5S rRNA failed to accumulate in the cytosol and instead was retained in the nucleus in a ratio similar to that for rpL5 (Fig. 8C). In addition to our fractionation studies, we performed RNA FISH to visualize the localization of steady-state levels of 5S rRNA. As shown in Fig. 8D, 5S rRNA was distributed throughout the nucleoli/ nuclei and cytoplasm of HeLa cells transduced with empty vector as well as with wild-type NPM. Consistent with our fractionation data, inhibition of NPM and rpL5 nuclear export with LMB or NPMdL resulted in a severe attenuation of 5S rRNA export to the cytosol (Fig. 8D).

To further expand on this theme, we transduced wild-type MEFs with ARF, a known inhibitor of NPM nuclear export (7). Again, in the presence of the ARF tumor suppressor, 5S

rRNA failed to transit to the cytosol and instead was retained the nucleoplasm (Fig. 8E). These data imply that ARF and NPM mutants defective in shuttling act similarly to prevent rpL5-5S rRNA nuclear export. To determine whether NPM shuttling mutants also prevent cell cycle progression, HeLa cells transduced with NPM expression constructs were labeled with 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) to measure active DNA synthesis. Similar to ARF's known cell cycle arrest properties (7), cells expressing NPMdL or NPM_{$\Delta 42-61$} failed to enter S phase (Fig. 8F). Thus, NPM shuttling activity is not only required for the nuclear export of the rpL5-5S rRNA complex but also necessary for continued cell proliferation.

DISCUSSION

The nucleolus, a highly specialized and structured organelle, has been described as the cell's control center for ribosomal synthesis, maturation, and assembly, with a host of proteins, RNAs, and other factors being implicated in these processes (8). Recently, numerous proteins (cdc14, NPM, cyclin E, Mybbp1a, telomerase reverse transcriptase, and others) have been shown to continuously shuttle from the nucleolus to various subcellular compartments in a regulated manner, providing evidence that the nucleolus is a dynamic site of multiple cellular events (4, 7, 21, 22, 52).

One such protein, NPM/B23, has been linked to a variety of important cellular processes, both in and out of the nucleolus, including ribosome processing, molecular chaperoning, maintenance of genomic integrity, centrosome duplication, and transcriptional regulation (9, 10, 13, 16, 23, 35). Initially, NPM which was imported into the nucleolus from the cytoplasm was presumed to move about the various compartments of the nucleus (6), a feature shared by many critical cell cycle regulators. This shuttling of proteins between the nucleus and cytoplasm is now recognized as a key mechanism for ensuring proper cell cycle progression (39, 43). In previous reports, we and others identified NPM as a novel p53-independent target of the ARF tumor suppressor protein (5, 7, 19). We have since shown that, in response to hyperproliferative signals, nucleolar ARF directly binds NPM, effectively inhibiting NPM's nucleocytoplasmic shuttling. Here, we have further explored the mechanism and significance of NPM intracellular trafficking. First, we have described the CRM1-dependent nuclear export of NPM, identifying the two leucine residues (42 and 44) that are critical to this process. In addition, we have shown that alteration of the NPM NES resulted in the failure of wild-type NPM to be exported out of the nucleolus, providing evidence that these mutations function in a dominant-negative fashion,

accumulation of rpL5 and 5S rRNA are given as percentages of totals (*, P > 0.001). (D) HeLa cells were transfected with vector, His-NPM, or His-NPMdL, and cells were plated on glass coverslips. Twenty-four hours later, cells were subjected to RNA FISH with a TRITC-labeled 5S rRNA probe. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Untransfected HeLa cells were treated with LMB for 18 h prior to RNA FISH analysis. Results of 5S rRNA localization are each representative of three independent experiments. (E) Wild-type (WT) MEFs were infected with control retroviruses or those encoding ARF, and 48 h later equal numbers of cells were subjected to fractionation into cytoplasmic (C) and nuclear (N) extracts. 5S rRNA was detected by Northern blot analysis of total RNA extracted from the nuclear and cytosolic fractions (*, P > 0.005). (F) HeLa cells were transfected with vector, His-NPM, His-NPMdL, or His-NPM_{Δ42-61} and plated on glass coverslips. Cells were incubated with BrdU 72 h posttransfection and fixed 20 h later. Fixed cells were stained with antibodies recognizing BrdU and His epitopes and visualized by immunofluorescence using fluorescein isothiocyanate- and TRITC-labeled secondary antibodies, respectively. Cells (100) were counted for each condition in triplicate. Standard deviations are reported as error bars (*, P > 0.005).

through the formation of NPM-NPMdL heteromultimers. Thus, NPMdL mimics the effects of ARF induction by directly impeding the nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of NPM through direct interaction, further demonstrating that NPM must exit the nucleolus/nucleus to maintain and promote cell growth.

We have previously proposed that targets of nucleolar sequestration might in fact "ride the ribosome" from the nucleolus to the cytoplasm to engage in growth-promoting events (45). In agreement with this hypothesis, our findings reveal a direct interaction between NPM and rpL5, providing the first physical link between NPM and ribosomal subunits. Much of the field's focus has been on the putative role of rpL5 in delivering 5S rRNA to the nucleolus, following the initial transcription of 5S rRNA by RNA polymerase III in the nucleoplasm (31, 37, 47). However, it is also possible that rpL5 is a critical player in the export of the large ribosomal subunit (60S), containing 5S rRNA, from the nucleolus/nucleus to the cytoplasm after its assembly. Clearly, the latter events would render themselves sensitive to NPM regulation, given that NPM provides the necessary export signals and chaperoning capabilities (via rpL5) required to transport components of the ribosome to the cytosol. Indeed, inhibition of NPM nuclear export via deletion or mutation of its NES prevented the trafficking of rpL5, an integral component of the 60S ribosomal subunit. Moreover, reduction of NPM expression through RNA interference completely abolished the cytosolic stores of rpL5, underscoring the absolute requirement for NPM in rpL5 nuclear export. Thus, our initial hypothesis of "riding the ribosome" should be revised to "taking the ribosome for a ride."

While many components of the ribosome, including rpL5, encode their own NESs, it is clear that a single NES forms a relatively weak interaction with CRM1 (25), suggesting a requirement for additional NESs in the efficient export of complexes. Consequently, proteins like NPM and NMD3 may have evolved to serve this purpose. Additionally, NPM and rpL5 were found, in reduced amounts, in cytosolic 40S and 60S complexes, respectively, after LMB treatment, implying either that these particular protein-ribosome complexes are fairly stable or that minor fractions of NPM and rpL5 utilize CRM1independent modes of transport from the nucleus. Considering that the predominant function of rpL5 is to bind and mobilize 5S rRNA molecules, it was not surprising that 5S transport was also NPM sensitive, and thus NPM contributes to the efficient nuclear export of rpL5-5S rRNA complexes. However, NPM was present in 40S, 60S, 80S, and polysomes in the cytoplasm, implying that NPM, free (within the 40S subunit) or bound to rpL5, remains associated with the mature ribosome as it assembles and forms actively translating polysomes in the cytosol. Taken together, these findings open up the possibility that NPM might transmit additional cues (beyond nuclear export) to cytosolic ribosomes during translation, consistent with nucleolus's proposed role in dictating translation rates (28).

While it has been appreciated for several decades that changes in nucleolar structure are reliable markers of cellular transformation, experiments that provide a direct link between nucleolar dysfunction and tumorigenesis remain to be conducted. In fact, the nucleolus has largely been dismissed as a static organelle, having little to no impact on the overall wellbeing of the cell. However, this "nucleolar stigma" recently has been challenged with the discovery that tumor suppressors, such as p53 and ARF, play a direct role in regulating nucleolar processes (5, 7, 42, 49). Interestingly, rpL5 is also a binding partner of Mdm2 and p53 (12, 17, 29), suggesting that rpL5 may provide an intriguing mechanistic link between ARF and ARF-binding partners. Clearly, through its interaction with NPM, ARF is capable of inhibiting nuclear export of rpL5-5S rRNA complexes. Inhibition of NPM-directed rpL5-5S nuclear export by ARF or NPM mutants defective in shuttling results in cell cycle arrest, demonstrating the importance of rpL5-5S export in maintaining cell proliferation. Moreover, NPM itself is a unique player in both the p53 and ARF responses (10, 11), providing us with a glimpse of how this network of protein interactions may inevitably become sensitive to oncogenic and tumor-suppressive signals in determining tumorigenic cell fates.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. Alan Diehl and Joachim Hauber for plasmid constructs as well as Loryn Rikimaru, Yijun Yi, and Myla Ashfaq for their excellent technical assistance. We are extremely grateful to Alan Diehl, Chuck Sherr, Greg Longmore, Helen Piwnica-Worms, David Gutmann, and Joseph Baldassare for insightful discussions.

Y.Y. and A.J.A. are recipients of a grant-in-aid from the Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (BC030793). L.B.M. is supported by the Department of Defense Prostate Cancer Research Program under award number PC040009. H.L. is supported by a grant from the National Cancer Institute (CA-095441). J.D.W. thanks the Pew Charitable Trusts and is a recipient of grant-in-aid from the National Institutes of Health (GM-066032).

Views and opinions of, and endorsements by, the author(s) do not reflect those of the U.S. Army or the Department of Defense.

REFERENCES

- Andersen, J. S., Y. W. Lam, A. K. Leung, S. E. Ong, C. E. Lyon, A. I. Lamond, and M. Mann. 2005. Nucleolar proteome dynamics. Nature 433:77–83.
- Andersen, J. S., C. E. Lyon, A. H. Fox, A. K. Leung, Y. W. Lam, H. Steen, M. Mann, and A. I. Lamond. 2002. Directed proteomic analysis of the human nucleolus. Curr. Biol. 12:1–11.
- Ashe, H. L., J. Monks, M. Wijgerde, P. Fraser, and N. J. Proudfoot. 1997. Intergenic transcription and transinduction of the human beta-globin locus. Genes Dev. 11:2494–2509.
- Azzam, R., S. L. Chen, W. Shou, A. S. Mah, G. Alexandru, K. Nasmyth, R. S. Annan, S. A. Carr, and R. J. Deshaies. 2004. Phosphorylation by cyclin B-Cdk underlies release of mitotic exit activator Cdc14 from the nucleolus. Science 305:516–519.
- Bertwistle, D., M. Sugimoto, and C. J. Sherr. 2004. Physical and functional interactions of the Arf tumor suppressor protein with nucleophosmin/B23. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24:985–996.
- Borer, R. A., C. F. Lehner, H. M. Eppenberger, and E. A. Nigg. 1989. Major nucleolar proteins shuttle between nucleus and cytoplasm. Cell 56:379–390.
- Brady, S. N., Y. Yu, L. B. Maggi, Jr., and J. D. Weber. 2004. ARF impedes NPM/B23 shuttling in an Mdm2-sensitive tumor suppressor pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24:9327–9338.
- 8. Busch, H., and K. Smetana. 1970. The nucleolus. Academic Press, New York, N.Y.
- Chan, W. Y., Q. R. Liu, J. Borjigin, H. Busch, O. M. Rennert, L. A. Tease, and P. K. Chan. 1989. Characterization of the cDNA encoding human nucleophosmin and studies of its role in normal and abnormal growth. Biochemistry 28:1033–1039.
- Colombo, E., P. Bonetti, E. Lazzerini Denchi, P. Martinelli, R. Zamponi, J. C. Marine, K. Helin, B. Falini, and P. G. Pelicci. 2005. Nucleophosmin is required for DNA integrity and p19Arf protein stability. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25:8874–8886.
- Colombo, E., J. C. Marine, D. Danovi, B. Falini, and P. G. Pelicci. 2002. Nucleophosmin regulates the stability and transcriptional activity of p53. Nat. Cell Biol. 4:529–533.
- Dai, M. S., and H. Lu. 2004. Inhibition of MDM2-mediated p53 ubiquitination and degradation by ribosomal protein L5. J. Biol. Chem. 279:44475– 44482.
- Feuerstein, N., S. Spiegel, and J. J. Mond. 1988. The nuclear matrix protein, numatrin (B23), is associated with growth factor-induced mitogenesis in Swiss 3T3 fibroblasts and with T lymphocyte proliferation stimulated by lectins and anti-T cell antigen receptor antibody. J. Cell Biol. 107:1629–1642.
- Fornerod, M., M. Ohno, M. Yoshida, and I. W. Mattaj. 1997. CRM1 is an export receptor for leucine-rich nuclear export signals. Cell 90:1051–1060.

- Fukuda, M., S. Asano, T. Nakamura, M. Adachi, M. Yoshida, M. Yanagida, and E. Nishida. 1997. CRM1 is responsible for intracellular transport mediated by the nuclear export signal. Nature 390:308–311.
- Grisendi, S., R. Bernardi, M. Rossi, K. Cheng, L. Khandker, K. Manova, and P. P. Pandolfi. 2005. Role of nucleophosmin in embryonic development and tumorigenesis. Nature 437:147–153.
- 17. Guerra, B., and O. G. Issinger. 1998. p53 and the ribosomal protein L5 participate in high molecular mass complex formation with protein kinase CK2 in murine teratocarcinoma cell line F9 after serum stimulation and cisplatin treatment. FEBS Lett. **434**:115–120.
- Hadjiolov, A. A. 1984. The nucleolus and ribosome biogenesis. Springer-Verlag, New York, N.Y.
- Itahana, K., K. P. Bhat, A. Jin, Y. Itahana, D. Hawke, R. Kobayashi, and Y. Zhang. 2003. Tumor suppressor ARF degrades B23, a nucleolar protein involved in ribosome biogenesis and cell proliferation. Mol. Cell 12:1151– 1164.
- Johnson, A. W., E. Lund, and J. Dahlberg. 2002. Nuclear export of ribosomal subunits. Trends Biochem. Sci. 27:580–585.
- Juan, G., and C. Cordon-Cardo. 2001. Intranuclear compartmentalization of cyclin E during the cell cycle: disruption of the nucleoplasm-nucleolar shuttling of cyclin E in bladder cancer. Cancer Res. 61:1220–1226.
- 22. Keough, R. A., E. M. Macmillan, J. K. Lutwyche, J. M. Gardner, F. J. Tavner, D. A. Jans, B. R. Henderson, and T. J. Gonda. 2003. Myb-binding protein 1a is a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein that utilizes CRM1-dependent and independent nuclear export pathways. Exp. Cell Res. 289: 108–123.
- Kondo, T., N. Minamino, T. Nagamura-Inoue, M. Matsumoto, T. Taniguchi, and N. Tanaka. 1997. Identification and characterization of nucleophosmin/ B23/numatrin which binds the anti-oncogenic transcription factor IRF-1 and manifests oncogenic activity. Oncogene 15:1275–1281.
- Kudo, N., B. Wolff, T. Sekimoto, E. P. Schreiner, Y. Yoneda, M. Yanagida, S. Horinouchi, and M. Yoshida. 1998. Leptomycin B inhibition of signal-mediated nuclear export by direct binding to CRM1. Exp. Cell Res. 242:540– 547.
- Kutay, U., and S. Guttinger. 2005. Leucine-rich nuclear-export signals: born to be weak. Trends Cell Biol. 15:121–124.
- Li, Y. P., R. K. Busch, B. C. Valdez, and H. Busch. 1996. C23 interacts with B23, a putative nucleolar-localization-signal-binding protein. Eur. J. Biochem. 237:153–158.
- Liu, H. T., and B. Y. Yung. 1999. In vivo interaction of nucleophosmin/B23 and protein C23 during cell cycle progression in HeLa cells. Cancer Lett. 144:45–54.
- Maggi, L. B., Jr., and J. D. Weber. 2005. Nucleolar adaptation in human cancer. Cancer Investig. 23:599–608.
- Marechal, V., B. Elenbaas, J. Piette, J. C. Nicolas, and A. J. Levine. 1994. The ribosomal L5 protein is associated with mdm-2 and mdm-2-p53 complexes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 14:7414–7420.
- Marion, M. J., and C. Marion. 1987. Localization of ribosomal proteins on the surface of mammalian 60S ribosomal subunits by means of immobilized enzymes. Correlation with chemical cross-linking data. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 149:1077–1083.
- Michael, W. M., and G. Dreyfuss. 1996. Distinct domains in ribosomal protein L5 mediate 5 S rRNA binding and nucleolar localization. J. Biol. Chem. 271:11571–11574.
- Namboodiri, V. M., I. V. Akey, M. S. Schmidt-Zachmann, J. F. Head, and C. W. Akey. 2004. The structure and function of *Xenopus* NO38-core, a histone chaperone in the nucleolus. Structure 12:2149–2160.
- 33. Namboodiri, V. M., S. Dutta, I. V. Akey, J. F. Head, and C. W. Akey. 2003.

The crystal structure of *Drosophila* NLP-core provides insight into pentamer formation and histone binding. Structure (Cambridge) **11:**175–186.

- Namboodiri, V. M., M. S. Schmidt-Zachmann, J. F. Head, and C. W. Akey. 2004. Purification, crystallization and preliminary X-ray analysis of the Nterminal domain of NO38, a nucleolar protein from *Xenopus laevis*. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 60:2325–2327.
- 35. Okuda, M., H. F. Horn, P. Tarapore, Y. Tokuyama, A. G. Smulian, P. K. Chan, E. S. Knudsen, I. A. Hofmann, J. D. Snyder, K. E. Bove, and K. Fukasawa. 2000. Nucleophosmin/B23 is a target of CDK2/cyclin E in centrosome duplication. Cell 103:127–140.
- Okuwaki, M., K. Matsumoto, M. Tsujimoto, and K. Nagata. 2001. Function of nucleophosmin/B23, a nucleolar acidic protein, as a histone chaperone. FEBS Lett. 506:272–276.
- Pieler, T., and F. Rudt. 1997. Nucleocytoplasmic transport of 5S ribosomal RNA. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 8:79–82.
- Pinol-Roma, S. 1997. HnRNP proteins and the nuclear export of mRNA. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 8:57–63.
- 39. Piwnica-Worms, H. 1999. Cell cycle. Fools rush in. Nature 401:535, 537.
- Pollard, V. W., W. M. Michael, S. Nakielny, M. C. Siomi, F. Wang, and G. Dreyfuss. 1996. A novel receptor-mediated nuclear protein import pathway. Cell 86:985–994.
- Rosorius, O., B. Fries, R. H. Stauber, N. Hirschmann, D. Bevec, and J. Hauber. 2000. Human ribosomal protein L5 contains defined nuclear localization and export signals. J. Biol. Chem. 275:12061–12068.
- Rubbi, C. P., and J. Milner. 2003. Disruption of the nucleolus mediates stabilization of p53 in response to DNA damage and other stresses. EMBO J. 22:6068–6077.
- Ryan, K. M., A. C. Phillips, and K. H. Vousden. 2001. Regulation and function of the p53 tumor suppressor protein. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 13:332– 337.
- Scherl, A., Y. Coute, C. Deon, A. Calle, K. Kindbeiter, J. C. Sanchez, A. Greco, D. Hochstrasser, and J. J. Diaz. 2002. Functional proteomic analysis of human nucleolus. Mol. Biol. Cell 13:4100–4109.
- Sherr, C. J., and J. D. Weber. 2000. The ARF/p53 pathway. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 10:94–99.
- Spector, D. L., R. L. Ochs, and H. Busch. 1984. Silver staining, immunofluorescence, and immunoelectron microscopic localization of nucleolar phosphoproteins B23 and C23. Chromosoma 90:139–148.
- Steitz, J. A., C. Berg, J. P. Hendrick, H. La Branche-Chabot, A. Metspalu, J. Rinke, and T. Yario. 1988. A 5S rRNA/L5 complex is a precursor to ribosome assembly in mammalian cells. J. Cell Biol. 106:545–556.
- Strezoska, Z., D. G. Pestov, and L. F. Lau. 2000. Bop1 is a mouse WD40 repeat nucleolar protein involved in 28S and 5.8S rRNA processing and 60S ribosome biogenesis. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20:5516–5528.
- Sugimoto, M., M. L. Kuo, M. F. Roussel, and C. J. Sherr. 2003. Nucleolar Arf tumor suppressor inhibits ribosomal RNA processing. Mol. Cell 11:415– 424.
- Tao, W., and A. J. Levine. 1999. Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of oncoprotein Hdm2 is required for Hdm2-mediated degradation of p53. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96:3077–3080.
- Trotta, C. R., E. Lund, L. Kahan, A. W. Johnson, and J. E. Dahlberg. 2003. Coordinated nuclear export of 60S ribosomal subunits and NMD3 in vertebrates. EMBO J. 22:2841–2851.
- Wong, J. M., L. Kusdra, and K. Collins. 2002. Subnuclear shuttling of human telomerase induced by transformation and DNA damage. Nat. Cell Biol. 4:731–736.
- Yung, B. Y., and P. K. Chan. 1987. Identification and characterization of a hexameric form of nucleolar phosphoprotein B23. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 925:74–82.

Nucleophosmin directs ribosome nuclear export and cell growth

Leonard B. Maggi, Jr.¹, Yue Yu¹, Silvia Grisendi², Wanghai Zhang¹, R. Reid Townsend³, Howard L. McLeod¹, Pier Paolo Pandolfi², and Jason D. Weber^{1*}

¹Department of Medicine, Division of Molecular Oncology, Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

²Cancer Biology and Genetics Program, Department of Pathology, Sloan-Kettering Institute, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10021, USA

³Department of Medicine, Division of Metabolism and Proteomics Center, Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

Running Title: NPM directs ribosome export

Key Words: Nucleolus/Ribosome/Nucleophosmin/Export/Transformation

* Please address correspondence to: Jason D. Weber, Ph.D.
Department of Medicine
Division of Molecular Oncology
Washington University School of Medicine
Campus Box 8069
660 South Euclid Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63110, USA
Tel: (314) 747-3896
Fax: (314) 747-2797
jweber@im.wustl.edu

Abstract

Nucleophosmin (NPM/B23) is an essential protein in mouse development and cell growth. NPM is a critical target of ARF tumor suppression while, in the absence of *ARF*, NPM is capable of transforming cells. Here, we present the first reported mechanism for NPM's regulation of cell growth; NPM directs the nuclear export of both 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits. Transduction of NPM shuttling-defective mutants or loss of *Npm*, inhibited nuclear export of both the 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits, reduced the available pool of cytoplasmic polysomes, and diminished overall protein synthesis without affecting ribosomal RNA processing. Modest increases in NPM expression amplified the export of newly synthesized ribosomal RNAs, resulting in increased rates of protein synthesis and indicating that NPM is rate-limiting in this pathway. These results support the idea that NPM-regulated ribosome export is a fundamental process in growth and cellular transformation.

Introduction

The nucleolus is a dynamic subnuclear organelle that contains proteins not only involved in ribosome production but also oncogenesis and tumor suppression (Maggi and Weber 2005). As the site for ribosome biogenesis, a cell's nucleoli are centered around ribosomal DNA (rDNA) genes which are transcribed to produce ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) (Warner 1990). A host of proteins are involved in the intricate processing and assembly steps required to produce the small, 40S, and large, 60S, ribosomal subunits (Warner 1990; Eichler and Craig 1994; Moss and Stefanovsky 2002). Once exported from the nucleus, the 40S and 60S subunits are combined in the cytoplasm to form functional 80S subunits (Johnson et al. 2002; Moss and Stefanovsky 2002). While the steps involved in the transcription and processing of rRNAs within the nucleolus are beginning to be understood, little is known about how ribosomal subunits are exported to the cytosol in mammalian cells. Studies from Saccharomyces cerevisiae and *Xenopus laevis* oocytes have shown that the process is dependent on the CRM1-RanGTP export receptor pathway (Johnson et al. 2002). Additionally, NMD3, a highly conserved adapter protein, assists in the nuclear export of the 60S subunit in yeast (Ho and Johnson 1999). However, experiments in mammalian cells demonstrated that nuclear export of rRNAs was not completely dependent on NMD3, with some rRNAs not affected at all (Trotta et al. 2003). Thus other nucleolar proteins must be involved in the nuclear export of ribosomes.

The difficulty in assessing nucleolar protein function stems from early assumptions that all nucleolar proteins must be involved, in some way, with rDNA transcription or rRNA processing by virtue of their unique subnuclear localization. However, a more contemporary view of the nucleolus as a dynamic nuclear organelle capable of regulating numerous cellular processes, apart from rDNA transcription and rRNA processing, has led to a re-evaluation of nucleolar protein function(s). Nucleophosmin (NPM) is an abundant nucleolar phospho-protein that is highly expressed in proliferating cells (Feuerstein et al. 1988). Our lab and others have shown that NPM is a target of the nucleolar tumor suppressor, p19ARF (Itahana et al. 2003; Bertwistle et al. 2004; Brady et al. 2004). We have shown that NPM can shuttle from the nucleolus/nucleus to the cytoplasm, but upregulation of ARF can block its nucleolar/cytoplasmic trafficking (Brady et al. 2004). NPM shuttling requires a conserved CRM1 binding site and mutation of two critical leucine residues blocks NPM's ability to leave the nucleus (Yu et al. 2006). Additionally, NPM is an essential nucleolar protein with acute loss of expression resulting in severe attenuation of cellular proliferation and increased apoptosis (Itahana et al. 2003; Bertwistle et al. 2004; Brady et al. 2004). In agreement with these in vitro findings, two recent reports have shown that Npm is required for embryonic development and that fibroblasts derived from Npm-deficient embryos have a reduced capacity to proliferate (Colombo et al. 2005; Grisendi et al. 2005). Given NPM's reported involvement in numerous cellular processes as diverse as ribosome biogenesis (Okuwaki et al. 2002), centrosome duplication (Okuda et al. 2000), chaperoning (Okuwaki et al. 2001), and transcriptional control (Colombo et al. 2002), the underlying mechanism behind NPM's requirement for cellular proliferation has remained elusive.

In an effort to uncover this mechanism, we observed a consistent increase in cell size and proliferative capacity of fibroblasts overexpressing NPM that was dependent on the nuclear export activities of NPM. Furthermore, NPM trafficking led to amplified protein synthesis rates, underscoring the ability of NPM to drive growth and proliferation. Here, we describe the mechanism behind this observation, revealing a prominent role for NPM in driving ribosome nuclear export and thereby controlling cell growth.

Results

NPM is a Potent Oncogene in the Absence of ARF

NPM is induced upon mitogenic stimulation and is a direct transcriptional target of the Myc oncogene, providing the first evidence that NPM might be involved in cell cycle progression (Guo et al. 2000; Zeller et al. 2001; Menssen and Hermeking 2002; Watson et al. 2002). In order to further assess the role of NPM in promoting cell proliferation and transformation, we examined the effects of NPM overexpression on cell growth, S phase entry, and cellular transformation in immortalized $ARF^{-/-}$ mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Kamijo et al. 1997). As shown in Figure 1A, NPM overexpression induced a significant increase in cell size as measured by flow cytometry forward and side scatter, to a slightly greater extent than oncogenic Ras^{V12}. Arf^{-} MEFs transduced with either vector control or wild-type NPM readily enter S phase, as evidenced by incorporation of BrdU into replicating DNA (Figure 1B). In contrast, an NPM shuttling mutant, NPMdL (Yu et al. 2006), significantly attenuated S phase entry (Figure 1B), implying that NPM nuclear export is required for S phase entry. We next assessed the ability of NPM to transform immortalized Arf^{-} MEFs in colony formation assays. Overexpression of NPM resulted in increased colony size in soft agar over control (Figure 1D, upper panels) although not as large as Ras^{V12} colonies, as well as an increase in foci number (lower panels). Again, expression of the NPM shuttling mutant blocked colony growth in soft agar as well as foci formation, supporting the role of NPM nuclear export in these processes.

In order to further assess the role of NPM in promoting cell proliferation and transformation, we analyzed 60 carcinoma samples from breast, prostate and colon cancers. Approximately 10-18 % of the actively proliferating tumor samples (Ki-67 positive) stained negative for NPM (Figure 1B, left panels), indicating that not all highly proliferative tumor cells

engage in NPM overexpression as a readout of active cell cycle progression. The remainder of the samples stained positive for NPM (82-90%) with nearly 50% of the NPM-positive tumor samples, irregardless of tumor type, exhibiting particularly strong nuclear/nucleolar NPM staining (Figure 1D, right panels).

 Arf^{-} MEFs, although immortal, remain diploid (Kamijo et al. 1997) and retain normal centrosome numbers throughout cellular passaging *in vitro* (see below). Given previous findings that NPM plays a key role in centrosome duplication, we next sought to determine whether introduction of exogenous NPM altered the ploidy of $Arf^{-/-}$ cells through centrosome amplification. Additionally, Grisendi and colleagues recently showed that *Npm* loss results in multiple centrosome formation accompanied by genome instability, suggesting that NPM is a key regulator in maintaining proper centrosome duplication (Grisendi et al. 2005). As shown in Figure 1E, NPM overexpression did not alter centrosome number compared to control cells nor did it alter the overall chromosome number in $Arf^{-/-}$ MEFs (Figure 1F). Taken together these data demonstrate that the transforming properties of NPM are not coupled to its ability to regulate or dysregulate centrosome numbers and DNA ploidy.

Endogenous NPM Protein Complexes Contain Ribosomal Proteins

In an effort to conduct an unbiased assessment of NPM function in promoting growth and proliferation, we examined the composition of *in vivo* NPM protein complexes isolated from HeLa cells. Gel filtration and anion exchange chromatography of HeLa cell lysates revealed numerous distinct endogenous NPM complexes (Figure 3A and data not shown). Using native gel analysis of the sizing column fractions, we were able to determine that oligomeric NPM was present in protein complexes greater than 440 kDa (Figure 3A and data not shown). In an attempt to identify the protein components of the NPM complex, we generated an NPM polyclonal antibody affinity column. Pooled NPM complexes eluted from the sizing column were cleared over an IgG column prior to passage over the NPM affinity column and eluted with increasing salt concentrations. Further separation of NPM protein complexes by twodimensional electrophoresis aided in the positive identification of various NPM-associated proteins via mass spectrometry analysis (Figure 3B). Among those proteins bound to NPM, a cluster of proteins associated with ribosome biogenesis, as well as the nuclear pore complex proteins, Nup50 and Nup62, were identified (Figure 3B and Table 1). A complete list of NPM complex proteins is shown in Table 1. Notably, rpL5, nucleolin and Brca1 proteins identified from our isolated NPM complexes have previously been shown to interact with NPM, demonstrating the effectiveness of our approach to identifying NPM components (Liu and Yung 1999; Sato et al. 2004; Yu et al. 2006). Of the fifteen known proteins identified in our NPM complex, nine constitute integral components of the 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits. Additionally, six of the remaining nine NPM binding proteins are involved in translational regulation. Although we could not determine the exact stoichiometry of these complexes to one another, it was apparent that NPM protein complexes involved in some facet of ribosome biogenesis predominated.

NPM Nuclear Export is Required for Protein Synthesis

Testing the hypothesis that NPM-ribosomal protein complexes play a role in promoting cell growth, we next investigated the potential function of NPM in protein synthesis. HeLa cells transduced with empty vector, wild-type NPM or NPMdL, were labeled with ³⁵S-Methionine for the indicated times and total trichloroacetic acid-precipitable proteins were counted for label

incorporation. Addition of the shuttling defective mutant, NPMdL, significantly attenuated the rate of total protein synthesis. Interestingly, slight overexpression of wild-type NPM (Figure 4A inset) resulted in a significant increase in the rate of protein synthesis. This was not a result of simple acceleration of cell cycle progression as HeLa cells expressing exogenous NPM proliferated at a rate similar to control cells (data not shown).

We next examined NPM's ability to influence cytosolic ribosome profiles. Cytosolic ribosomes were isolated via sucrose gradient centrifugation from equal numbers of HeLa cells transduced with empty vector, wild-type NPM or NPMdL. Continuous evaluation of the gradient by ultraviolet absorbance for the presence of rRNAs indicated that in the absence of NPM shuttling (NPMdL), formation of cytosolic 40S and 60S ribosome subunits was dramatically impaired (Figure 4B, green line) while mild overexpression of NPM (Figure 4B, inset) resulted in enhanced formation of cytosolic 40S and 60S subunits (Figure 4B, blue line). Notably, NPM overexpression amplified (~30%) the amount of cytosolic ribosomes engaged in active mRNA translation (Figure 4B, blue line) in a mechanism that was dependent on the nuclear export activities of NPM molecules (Figure 4B, green line).

NPM is the Rate-limiting Factor for rRNA Nuclear Export and Cytosolic Ribosome Accumulation

We have presented data here that NPM nuclear export is required for the enhanced accumulation of cytosolic ribosomes and polysomes (Figure 4). To understand the mechanism behind this observed increase in cytosolic ribosomes, we examined the effects of NPM on the nuclear export of newly synthesized rRNAs. HeLa cells transduced with control vector, wild-type NPM, or the shuttling mutant, NPMdL, were pulsed with [methyl-³H] methionine to label

newly synthesized and processed rRNAs (Sugimoto et al. 2003). Importantly, ectopic expression of wild-type NPM or NPMdL shuttling mutants had no affect on HeLa cell proliferation at the 24h time point used for these experiments (data not shown), allowing us to separate cell cycle progression from ribosomal biogenesis.

Consistent with our earlier findings for protein synthesis and ribosome profiles, NPMdL significantly attenuated (>12-fold) the cytoplasmic accumulation of all rRNAs to nearly undetectable levels (Figure 5A). In contrast, cells overexpressing wild-type NPM exhibited a significant increase (>7-fold) in the cytoplasmic accumulation of rRNAs (Figure 5A). We observed similar effects of NPM overexpression in $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs (Figure 5B), indicating that these effects of NPM overexpression are not cell type specific and corroborate our initial growth and transformation findings in $Arf^{/-}$ cells. Importantly, overexpression of NPM did not alter rRNA processing of newly transcribed 47S rRNA into 28S, 18S, and 5.8S rRNA species (Figure 5C), implying that increased accumulation of rRNAs in the cytosol was not simply due to enhanced rRNA processing in the nucleolus.

To determine the distribution of newly exported rRNAs into cytosolic ribosomes and polysomes, we pulse-labeled cells with [methyl-³H] methionine and measured the amount of incorporated label in each sucrose gradient fraction containing 40S, 60S, 80S and polysomes. While NPM overexpression appeared to affect the steady-state levels of polysome production (~30%, Figure 4B), transduction of cells with wild-type NPM greatly increased (~3-fold) the amounts of newly exported cytosolic ribosomal subunits, including the 40S, 60S, 80S and polysomes available for protein synthesis (Figure 5D), underscoring the ability of NPM to not only enhance the export of ribosomal subunits, but also to provide more ribosomes for polysome-directed protein synthesis.

Loss of NPM Blocks rRNA Export in vitro and in vivo

Inhibition of NPM shuttling activity using the dominant negative mutant, NPMdL, indicates that NPM nuclear export is required for rRNA export to the cytoplasm. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the NPMdL mutant prevents ribosome export in an indirect and passive manner. To confirm that NPM is required for the nuclear export of rRNAs, we examined the effects of loss of NPM on export of newly synthesized rRNAs. HeLa cells transduced with scrambled control or NPM-specific short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) (Yu et al. 2006) were pulsed with [methyl-³H] methionine to label newly synthesized and processed rRNAs. Specific knockdown of endogenous NPM was confirmed (Figure 6A, bottom panel). Dramatic reduction of NPM protein expression significantly attenuated the cytoplasmic accumulation of all rRNAs to nearly undetectable levels (Figure 6A, top panel). In addition, transduction of HeLa cells with NPM siRNAs significantly decreased the steady state levels of all cytosolic ribosomal subunits (40S, 60S, 80S and polysomes) compared to scramble control (Figure 6B), providing strong evidence that NPM is an essential component in the nuclear export of ribosomal subunits and maintenance of sufficient pools of cytosolic polysomes. Similarly, MEFs carrying hypomorphic NPM alleles (Npm^{hy/hy}), which express nearly undetectable levels of NPM ((Grisendi et al. 2005) and Figure 6C, bottom panel), show a striking decrease in nuclear export of 28S and 18S rRNA compared to littermate matched wild-type MEFs (Figure 6C).

Embryos nullizygous for *Npm* fail to develop properly and die *in utero* between days 11.5 and 16.5 (Grisendi et al. 2005). To determine whether a defect in rRNA nuclear export might be responsible for the growth retardation observed in these *Npm*-null embryos, we examined *in vivo* 5S rRNA export. Utilizing a biotinylated 5S rRNA probe, we performed RNA *in situ* hybridization on day E10.5 wild-type and *Npm*^{-/-} embryos. Littermate matched wild-type embryos readily exported 5S rRNA (purple stain) out of the nucleus (pink stain) and into the cytoplasm in numerous embryonic cell compartments (Figure 7A-D). In contrast *Npm*^{-/-} embryos did not export 5S rRNA in any of the embryonic cells as evidenced by the accumulation of dark nuclear 5S RNA stain (purple) and lack of cytoplasmic stain (Figure 7E-H). Taken together these data indicate that NPM is absolutely required for export of rRNAs *in vivo*.

Discussion

The nucleolus is a dynamic subnuclear organelle tasked with producing the core of the protein translation machinery of a cell: the ribosome (Warner 1990; Moss and Stefanovsky 2002). Historic models of nucleolar function have depicted ribosome biogenesis as a passive, reactionary process in the cell where growth signals are relayed to the nucleolus to increase ribosomal RNA (rRNA) synthesis, resulting in higher rates of ribosome production to meet the demands of increased proliferation rates. However, a more current view of the nucleolus as a sensor of both stress and growth has emerged. In challenge of this "static ribosome factory" model, numerous proteins originally thought to solely reside in the nucleolus have been recently shown to continuously shuttle from the nucleolus to various subcellular compartments in a regulated manner, providing evidence that the nucleolus is a dynamic site of numerous cellular events (Juan and Cordon-Cardo 2001; Keough et al. 2003; Azzam et al. 2004; Brady et al. 2004; Yu et al. 2006). One of these nucleolar proteins, NPM, has been suggested to be involved in a variety of important cellular processes in and out of the nucleolus including ribosome processing, molecular chaperoning, centrosome duplication, and transcriptional regulation (Feuerstein et al. 1988; Chan et al. 1989; Kondo et al. 1997; Okuda et al. 2000; Okuwaki et al. 2001; Okuwaki et al. 2002). Our current study offers data which integrates many of these seemingly disconnected functions of NPM.

One of the conundrums surrounding NPM is its apparent ability to perform functions that are in stark contrast to one another. Specifically, NPM has been reported to interact with all three components of the ARF-p53-Mdm2 pathway to both activate and suppress this cascade (Szebeni and Olson 1999; Colombo et al. 2002; Itahana et al. 2003; Bertwistle et al. 2004; Brady et al. 2004; Kuo et al. 2004; Kurki et al. 2004; Li et al. 2004). However, the overarching theme of this pathway is the regulation of growth and proliferation. In this regards, we have shown that NPM can transform immortalized $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs through increased protein synthesis rates, indicating, at the very least, that NPM is a growth promoter. Taken as single observations, numerous conflicting views of NPM function can be readily made. However, when placed together with our current findings, a more unified outlook on NPM function emerges as we will discuss below.

The mechanism of NPM's growth promoting properties is thought to be mandated through alterations in rRNA processing (Savkur and Olson 1998). We have clearly shown that NPM does not alter processing. In fact, we have presented evidence that NPM serves as a nuclear export chaperone for the ribosome, drawing similarities to earlier studies that showed an *in vitro* propensity for NPM to serve as a protein chaperone (Szebeni and Olson 1999; Okuwaki et al. 2001). Even modest increases in NPM protein expression amplified ribosome export resulting in increased rates of protein synthesis and ultimately cell growth, demonstrating that NPM is rate-limiting in its capacity to shuttle ribosomes to the cytosol. Under this model, NPM would serve as an adaptor, analogous to NMD3, bridging the ribosome with CRM1 prior to export through the nuclear pore (Trotta et al. 2003). In fact, we were able to co-purify nuclear pore proteins Nup50 and Nup62 with NPM demonstrating that these export complexes exist *in vivo*.

The similarities between NPM and NMD3 are intriguing, but there are enough measurable differences to suggest that they do not perform identical functions and rather most likely, complement one another in their ability to act as nuclear export chaperones. Unlike NMD3, we found NPM in complexes with ribosomal proteins from both the small and large ribosome subunits. Additionally, mutation of the NMD3 NES results in only an attenuation of
the 28S rRNA (Trotta et al. 2003), whereas NPMdL readily inhibits the nuclear export of all newly synthesized 28S, 18S and 5S rRNAs, suggesting that NPM may reside upstream of NMD3 in regulating the nuclear export of all ribosomal subunits. Moreover, overexpression of NPM was capable of dramatically increasing ribosome nuclear export, a finding that has not been produced for NMD3. The dramatic sequestration of the entire ribosome in the nucleus by mutant NPM molecules is underscored further by the rapid depletion of newly assembled polysomes in cells unable to shuttle NPM protein complexes. Thus, we propose that NPM serves as a "growth thermostat" in the nucleolus, ready to respond to changes in the cell that might require more or less ribosome output for protein synthesis. Loss of *Npm* confirms this hypothesis, with *Npm*^{hy/hy} and *Npm*^{-/-} cells displaying severe attenuation of all rRNA export.

Given NPM's role in the nucleolar growth promoting pathway through regulating ribosome export, it is not surprising that several groups including our own have shown NPM to be a p53-independent target of the nucleolar tumor suppressor ARF (Itahana et al. 2003; Bertwistle et al. 2004; Brady et al. 2004). The fact that NPM promotes ribosome export in *Arf*-null cells leading to increased protein synthesis and cellular transformation, suggests that ARF itself is not the critical target of NPM as has been recently postulated (Korgaonkar et al. 2005). Instead, in order to maintain proper nucleolar function, ARF must be sensitive to abrupt changes in ribosome output, constantly monitoring the rate of ribosome synthesis and export by NPM. We tend to think of ARF as a sensor of hyperproliferative signals, such as those emanating from Myc and Ras (Palmero et al. 1998; Zindy et al. 1998; Groth et al. 2000), but both of these oncoproteins are quite capable of eliciting hyper-growth signals as well. Indeed, recent evidence has demonstrated that oncogenic myc translocates to the nucleolus in order to greatly increase ribosomal DNA synthesis (Arabi et al. 2005; Grandori et al. 2005), and under some settings,

ARF can act to antagonize nucleolar myc oncoproteins (Qi et al. 2004; Gregory et al. 2005). In order to maintain nucleolar integrity in the face of myc-induced increases in ribosomal RNAs, the cell would also require NPM to enhance the rate of ribosome nuclear export. In support of this notion, Npm is a direct transcriptional target of myc (Guo et al. 2000; Zeller et al. 2001; Menssen and Hermeking 2002; Watson et al. 2002). Accordingly, nucleolar ARF has evolved to monitor this process, and as such, is also a target of myc induction (Zindy et al. 1998). In human cancers, it then becomes advantageous to disrupt the normal regulation of this process. Under normal conditions, NPM is devoted to ribosome nuclear export and protein synthesis is maintained at a constant rate. We would predict that this nucleolar homeostasis is interrupted by two different signals. First, stress signals that alter nucleolar structures (Rubbi and Milner 2003) and de-localize NPM to the nucleoplasm where it binds to p53 or Mdm2 (Colombo et al. 2002; Kurki et al. 2004) would serve to not only activate the p53 pathway but also to divert NPM from its normal role in rRNA export. Dramatic decreases in protein synthesis rates are hallmarks of cellular stress outcomes (Pyronnet and Sonenberg 2001). Second, oncogenic growth signals that up-regulate NPM protein expression would also trigger the ARF checkpoint with accumulated nucleolar ARF proteins preventing the nuclear export of NPM-ribosome complexes. Thus, in the absence of an intact ARF checkpoint, NPM proteins are capable of amplifying the rate of rRNA export, resulting in dramatic unopposed increases in protein translation and eventual cell transformation recorded in this report.

Materials and methods

Cell Culture, Virus Production, and Nucleofection

HeLa cells and $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, and 100 U penicillin and streptomycin. $Npm^{+/+}$ and $Npm^{hy/hy}$ litter matched MEFs were maintained in identical media with gentimycin. Virus production and infection of MEFs were carried out using retroviral helper and vector plasmids provided by Charles Sawyers (UCLA). HeLa cells $(2x10^6)$ were nucleofected with 2 µg of the indicated plasmids according to manufacturer's instructions (Amaxa Inc.).

Plasmid Constructs

Retroviral vectors encoding full-length His-tagged murine NPM, NPMdL, and H-Ras^{V12} are described elsewhere (Groth et al. 2000; Brady et al. 2004; Yu et al. 2006). His-epitope tagged NPM and His-NPMdL in pcDNA3.1 expression vectors have been previously described (Yu et al. 2006). Short-interfering RNAs against NPM and a scrambled control siRNA have been previously described (Yu et al. 2006).

FACS Analysis

Arf^{/-} MEFS infected with control, His-NPM, His-NPMdL, or Ras^{V12} retroviruses were harvested 72 h post-infection. Cells were fixed in 95% ethanol, washed and resuspended in 1X PBS/1% FBS, and filtered prior to cell size analysis using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickson).

Western Blot Analysis

Cells were lysed by sonication in EBC buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40) at the indicated times. NPM, Lamin A/C, SOD, and His-tagged proteins were visualized by direct immunoblotting with NPM (Zymed), Lamin A/C (Santa Cruz), SOD (Santa Cruz), and His (Santa Cruz) antibodies, respectively. As a loading control, blots were probed with γ -tubulin antibody (Santa Cruz) as indicated.

Foci formation assay

 $Arf^{/-}$ MEFS were infected with control, His-NPM, His-NPMdL, or Ras^{V12} retroviruses and seeded (2 x 10³) onto 100 mm dishes. Cells were grown for 14 days in complete medium, fixed in 100% MeOH and stained for 30 min with 50% Giemsa (vol:vol in H₂O).

Soft Agar Colony formation assay

 $Arf^{/-}$ MEFS were infected with control, His-NPM, His-NPMdL, or Ras^{V12} retroviruses and seeded (1 x 10³) in quadruplicate wells of a 24 well plate. Colonies were allowed to grow for 14 days in complete media supplemented with FBS and Noble Agar.

Common Cancer Tissue Array

The TARP4 tissue array was purchased from NCI Tissue Array Research Project. The tissues used to construct arrays were obtained from the Cooperative Human Tissue Network (CHTN). Tissue array slides were mounted on siliconized glass slides and purchased ready for use in immunohistochemistry.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed using the SABC method on a BioGenex $i6000^{TM}$ automated staining system (BioGenex, San Ramon, CA). Briefly, de-paraffinized tissue sections were first treated with 3% H₂O₂ for 30 min to inhibit endogenous peroxidase followed by antigen retrieval by microwave heating in citra plus solution (BioGenex) for 15 min. After subjecting to avidin block, biotin block and power block for 15 min, respectively, the sections were incubated with mouse anti-NPM (Zymed) primary antibodies as described above for 1 h at room temperature with an antibody dilution of 1:50. After further incubation with biotinylated multilink antibody for 45 min and peroxidase-labeled strepavadin for 30 min, the staining was developed by reaction with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride substrate-chromogen solution for 3 min followed by counterstaining with hematoxylin for 10 sec.

Semi-quantitative assessment of NPM expression in human tumors

NPM signal was localized to the nucleolus and nucleus. The final staining scores were according to the extent of positive cells. 0-5% was scored as negative (-), 6-25% (+), 26-50% (++), 51-75% (+++). Cells exhibiting NPM staining (-) or (+++) are shown in the representative figure panel.

Fluid Phase Liquid Chromatography

HeLa cells were lysed in Tween-20 lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 0.1% Tween-20; 1 μ M NaVO₄; 10 μ M NaF; 1 mM PMSF; 1 μ g/ml Aprotinin) by sonication. Lysates (600 μ g) were injected on to a HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-300 Column (Amersham). Proteins were eluted with 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaH₂PO₄ pH 7.2 using BioLogic fluid phase liquid chromatography (FPLC) and HR software (Bio-Rad). Fractions were precipitated with

trichloroacetic acid (TCA), re-suspended in 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes and immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing NPM (Zymed). For affinity chromatography, a rabbit polyclonal antibody recognizing the N-terminus of NPM (Sigma) was bound to NHS-activated Sepharose (Amersham). HeLa cells were lysed in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 0.1% Tween-20 and sonicated. Lysates (600 µg) were injected first over the sizing column and subsequent NPM pools were loaded onto the NPM affinity column, washed with 20 mM Tris and eluted with an increasing NaCl gradient (0.1-1M). Collected fractions were pooled, precipitated, and subjected to proteomic analysis as described below.

Proteomic Analysis

Proteins from FPLC fractions were precipitated with TCA and resuspended in re-hydration buffer (BioRad). 500 µg of proteins and 12.5 µL of 200 mM tributylphosphine (BioRad) were mixed and loaded by passive in-gel re-hydration. IPG strips (BioRad, pH 3-10) were focused according to standard protocols. Separated proteins were stained with SYPRO-Ruby (BioRad). Tryptic peptides were calibrated with Sequazyme peptide mass standards kit (PE Biosystem) and analyzed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Voyager DE Pro, Applied Biosystems). Identification of proteins was performed using MS-Fit software (http://prospector.ucsf.edu/ucsfhtml4.0/msfit.htm) and verified using tandem MS/MS techniques.

³⁵S-Methionine labeling of newly synthesized proteins

HeLa cells were nucleofected with the indicated plasmids and 24 h later were labeled with 150 μ Ci ³⁵S-Methionine in 5 ml methionine-free media for the indicated times. Cells were harvested,

counted and equal numbers of cells were lysed. Total protein was precipitated with TCA, and ³⁵S-CPM was measured for each time point.

rRNA [methyl-³H] Methionine and [³H]Uridine Labeling

HeLa cells $(2x10^6)$ were nucleofected with pcDNA3.1-His, His-tagged NPM or His-NPMdL. Cells were methionine starved for 15 min and labeled with 50 µCi/ml L-[methyl-³H] methionine (Amersham) for 30 min followed by a 2 h ten-fold excess cold methionine chase. Cells were harvested, counted, and equal numbers were fractionated into cytoplasm and nuclei as described (Yu et al., 2006). Total RNA was isolated from the cytosolic and nuclear fractions with TRIZOL (Invitrogen), and fractionated by gel electrophoresis. $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding control, His-NPM, or Ras^{V12} were labeled with 50 µCi/ml [³H]Uridine (Amersham) for 45 min followed by a 1 h chase in cold media. Total RNA was isolated from equal numbers of cells (1.5x10⁶) with TRIZOL (Invitrogen), and fractionated by gel electrophoresis. RNA was transferred to Hybond-NX Membrane (Amersham), cross-linked, sprayed with EN³HANCE (Perkin Elmer), and subjected to autoradiography.

Ribosome Fractionation

HeLa cells $(2x10^6)$ were nucleofected with pcDNA3.1-His, His-tagged NPM or His-NPMdL. Cells were treated with 50 µg/ml cycloheximide prior to harvesting and counting. Cells $(3x10^6)$ were subjected to cytoplasmic or nuclear ribosome fractionation as previously described (Strezoska et al. 2000). For radiolabeling of newly synthesized rRNAs, cells were labeled and fractionated as above. Fractions were collected, RNA extracted with TRIZOL, and ³H-CPM was measured for each fraction and graphed for the 40S, 60S, 80S, and polysome peaks.

5S rRNA in situ hybridization

Sections from day E10.5 wild-type and *Npm*^{-/-} embryos were mounted on glass slides as previously described (Grisendi et al. 2005). *In situ* RNA FISH analysis was performed using a biotin-labeled probe recognizing the 5S rRNA (GeneDetect.com) according to manufacturer's instructions. Biotin was detected using an in situ hybridization biotin detection kit from DakoCytomation (purple). Nuclear Fast Red (DakoCytomation) counterstaining was used to demarcate nuclei (pink). Whole embryos were visualized at 2X (WT) and 4X (*Npm*^{-/-}), respectively and cell images were observed at 60X magnification using a Nikon DIC compound microscope fitted with a Nikon FDX-35 charge-coupled device camera.

Densitometry and Image Analysis

Autoradiograms were scanned using a FlourChem8900 (Alpha Innotech), and densities were determined using NIH ImageJ version 1.33 software.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Loryn Rikimaru and Myla Ashfaq for excellent technical assistance and Alan Diehl, Joe Baldassare, Helen Piwnica-Worms, John Cleveland, Sheila Stewart and other members of the J.D.W. lab for discussion, critical reading of the manuscript and support. This work was funded by grants from the National Institutes of Health to P.P.P. and J.D.W and the Pew Scholars Program in Biomedical Sciences to J.D.W. Y.Y. is a recipient of grant-in-aid from the Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (BC030793). L.B.M. is supported by the Department of Defense Prostate Cancer Research Program (PC040009). Views and opinions of, and endorsements by the author(s) do not reflect those of the US Army or the Department of Defense.

References

- Arabi, A., S. Wu, K. Ridderstrale, H. Bierhoff, C. Shiue, K. Fatyol, S. Fahlen, P. Hydbring, O. Soderberg, I. Grummt, L.G. Larsson, and A.P. Wright. 2005. c-Myc associates with ribosomal DNA and activates RNA polymerase I transcription. *Nat Cell Biol* 7: 303-10.
- Azzam, R., S.L. Chen, W. Shou, A.S. Mah, G. Alexandru, K. Nasmyth, R.S. Annan, S.A. Carr, and R.J. Deshaies. 2004. Phosphorylation by cyclin B-Cdk underlies release of mitotic exit activator Cdc14 from the nucleolus. *Science* **305**: 516-9.
- Bertwistle, D., M. Sugimoto, and C.J. Sherr. 2004. Physical and functional interactions of the Arf tumor suppressor protein with nucleophosmin/B23. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 985-96.
- Brady, S.N., Y. Yu, L.B. Maggi, Jr., and J.D. Weber. 2004. ARF impedes NPM/B23 shuttling in an Mdm2-sensitive tumor suppressor pathway. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 9327-38.
- Chan, W.Y., Q.R. Liu, J. Borjigin, H. Busch, O.M. Rennert, L.A. Tease, and P.K. Chan. 1989. Characterization of the cDNA encoding human nucleophosmin and studies of its role in normal and abnormal growth. *Biochemistry* 28: 1033-9.
- Colombo, E., P. Bonetti, E. Lazzerini Denchi, P. Martinelli, R. Zamponi, J.C. Marine, K. Helin, B. Falini, and P.G. Pelicci. 2005. Nucleophosmin Is Required for DNA Integrity and p19Arf Protein Stability. *Mol Cell Biol* 25: 8874-86.
- Colombo, E., J.C. Marine, D. Danovi, B. Falini, and P.G. Pelicci. 2002. Nucleophosmin regulates the stability and transcriptional activity of p53. *Nat Cell Biol* **4**: 529-33.
- Eichler, D.C. and N. Craig. 1994. Processing of eukaryotic ribosomal RNA. *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* **49**: 197-239.
- Feuerstein, N., S. Spiegel, and J.J. Mond. 1988. The nuclear matrix protein, numatrin (B23), is associated with growth factor-induced mitogenesis in Swiss 3T3 fibroblasts and with T lymphocyte proliferation stimulated by lectins and anti-T cell antigen receptor antibody. J Cell Biol 107: 1629-42.
- Grandori, C., N. Gomez-Roman, Z.A. Felton-Edkins, C. Ngouenet, D.A. Galloway, R.N. Eisenman, and R.J. White. 2005. c-Myc binds to human ribosomal DNA and stimulates transcription of rRNA genes by RNA polymerase I. *Nat Cell Biol* **7**: 311-8.
- Gregory, M.A., Y. Qi, and S.R. Hann. 2005. The ARF tumor suppressor: keeping Myc on a leash. *Cell Cycle* **4**: 249-52.
- Grisendi, S., R. Bernardi, M. Rossi, K. Cheng, L. Khandker, K. Manova, and P.P. Pandolfi. 2005. Role of nucleophosmin in embryonic development and tumorigenesis. *Nature* 437: 147-53.
- Groth, A., J.D. Weber, B.M. Willumsen, C.J. Sherr, and M.F. Roussel. 2000. Oncogenic Ras induces p19ARF and growth arrest in mouse embryo fibroblasts lacking p21Cip1 and p27Kip1 without activating cyclin D-dependent kinases. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 27473-80.
- Guo, Q.M., R.L. Malek, S. Kim, C. Chiao, M. He, M. Ruffy, K. Sanka, N.H. Lee, C.V. Dang, and E.T. Liu. 2000. Identification of c-myc responsive genes using rat cDNA microarray. *Cancer Res* **60**: 5922-8.
- Ho, J.H. and A.W. Johnson. 1999. NMD3 encodes an essential cytoplasmic protein required for stable 60S ribosomal subunits in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**: 2389-99.
- Itahana, K., K.P. Bhat, A. Jin, Y. Itahana, D. Hawke, R. Kobayashi, and Y. Zhang. 2003. Tumor suppressor ARF degrades B23, a nucleolar protein involved in ribosome biogenesis and cell proliferation. *Mol Cell* **12**: 1151-64.

- Johnson, A.W., E. Lund, and J. Dahlberg. 2002. Nuclear export of ribosomal subunits. *Trends Biochem Sci* 27: 580-5.
- Juan, G. and C. Cordon-Cardo. 2001. Intranuclear compartmentalization of cyclin E during the cell cycle: disruption of the nucleoplasm-nucleolar shuttling of cyclin E in bladder cancer. *Cancer Res* **61**: 1220-6.
- Kamijo, T., F. Zindy, M.F. Roussel, D.E. Quelle, J.R. Downing, R.A. Ashmun, G. Grosveld, and C.J. Sherr. 1997. Tumor suppression at the mouse INK4a locus mediated by the alternative reading frame product p19ARF. *Cell* **91**: 649-59.
- Keough, R.A., E.M. Macmillan, J.K. Lutwyche, J.M. Gardner, F.J. Tavner, D.A. Jans, B.R. Henderson, and T.J. Gonda. 2003. Myb-binding protein 1a is a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein that utilizes CRM1-dependent and independent nuclear export pathways. *Exp Cell Res* 289: 108-23.
- Kondo, T., N. Minamino, T. Nagamura-Inoue, M. Matsumoto, T. Taniguchi, and N. Tanaka. 1997. Identification and characterization of nucleophosmin/B23/numatrin which binds the anti-oncogenic transcription factor IRF-1 and manifests oncogenic activity. *Oncogene* 15: 1275-81.
- Korgaonkar, C., J. Hagen, V. Tompkins, A.A. Frazier, C. Allamargot, F.W. Quelle, and D.E. Quelle. 2005. Nucleophosmin (B23) targets ARF to nucleoli and inhibits its function. *Mol Cell Biol* 25: 1258-71.
- Kuo, M.L., W. den Besten, D. Bertwistle, M.F. Roussel, and C.J. Sherr. 2004. N-terminal polyubiquitination and degradation of the Arf tumor suppressor. *Genes Dev* 18: 1862-74.
- Kurki, S., K. Peltonen, L. Latonen, T.M. Kiviharju, P.M. Ojala, D. Meek, and M. Laiho. 2004. Nucleolar protein NPM interacts with HDM2 and protects tumor suppressor protein p53 from HDM2-mediated degradation. *Cancer Cell* 5: 465-75.
- Li, J., X. Zhang, D.P. Sejas, G.C. Bagby, and Q. Pang. 2004. Hypoxia-induced nucleophosmin protects cell death through inhibition of p53. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 41275-9.
- Liu, H.T. and B.Y. Yung. 1999. In vivo interaction of nucleophosmin/B23 and protein C23 during cell cycle progression in HeLa cells. *Cancer Lett* **144**: 45-54.
- Maggi, L.B., Jr. and J.D. Weber. 2005. Nucleolar adaptation in human cancer. *Cancer Investigation* 23: 599-608.
- Menssen, A. and H. Hermeking. 2002. Characterization of the c-MYC-regulated transcriptome by SAGE: identification and analysis of c-MYC target genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**: 6274-9.
- Moss, T. and V.Y. Stefanovsky. 2002. At the center of eukaryotic life. Cell 109: 545-8.
- Okuda, M., H.F. Horn, P. Tarapore, Y. Tokuyama, A.G. Smulian, P.K. Chan, E.S. Knudsen, I.A. Hofmann, J.D. Snyder, K.E. Bove, and K. Fukasawa. 2000. Nucleophosmin/B23 is a target of CDK2/cyclin E in centrosome duplication. *Cell* **103**: 127-40.
- Okuwaki, M., K. Matsumoto, M. Tsujimoto, and K. Nagata. 2001. Function of nucleophosmin/B23, a nucleolar acidic protein, as a histone chaperone. *FEBS Lett* **506**: 272-6.
- Okuwaki, M., M. Tsujimoto, and K. Nagata. 2002. The RNA binding activity of a ribosome biogenesis factor, nucleophosmin/B23, is modulated by phosphorylation with a cell cycle-dependent kinase and by association with its subtype. *Mol Biol Cell* **13**: 2016-30.
- Palmero, I., C. Pantoja, and M. Serrano. 1998. p19ARF links the tumour suppressor p53 to Ras. *Nature* **395**: 125-6.

- Pyronnet, S. and N. Sonenberg. 2001. Cell-cycle-dependent translational control. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **11**: 13-8.
- Qi, Y., M.A. Gregory, Z. Li, J.P. Brousal, K. West, and S.R. Hann. 2004. p19ARF directly and differentially controls the functions of c-Myc independently of p53. *Nature* **431**: 712-7.
- Rubbi, C.P. and J. Milner. 2003. Disruption of the nucleolus mediates stabilization of p53 in response to DNA damage and other stresses. *Embo J* 22: 6068-77.
- Sato, K., R. Hayami, W. Wu, T. Nishikawa, H. Nishikawa, Y. Okuda, H. Ogata, M. Fukuda, and T. Ohta. 2004. Nucleophosmin/B23 is a candidate substrate for the BRCA1-BARD1 ubiquitin ligase. *J Biol Chem* 279: 30919-22.
- Savkur, R.S. and M.O. Olson. 1998. Preferential cleavage in pre-ribosomal RNA byprotein B23 endoribonuclease. *Nucleic Acids Res* 26: 4508-15.
- Strezoska, Z., D.G. Pestov, and L.F. Lau. 2000. Bop1 is a mouse WD40 repeat nucleolar protein involved in 28S and 5. 8S RRNA processing and 60S ribosome biogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol* 20: 5516-28.
- Sugimoto, M., M.L. Kuo, M.F. Roussel, and C.J. Sherr. 2003. Nucleolar Arf tumor suppressor inhibits ribosomal RNA processing. *Mol Cell* **11**: 415-24.
- Szebeni, A. and M.O. Olson. 1999. Nucleolar protein B23 has molecular chaperone activities. *Protein Sci* **8**: 905-12.
- Trotta, C.R., E. Lund, L. Kahan, A.W. Johnson, and J.E. Dahlberg. 2003. Coordinated nuclear export of 60S ribosomal subunits and NMD3 in vertebrates. *Embo J* 22: 2841-51.
- Warner, J.R. 1990. The nucleolus and ribosome formation. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2: 521-7.
- Watson, J.D., S.K. Oster, M. Shago, F. Khosravi, and L.Z. Penn. 2002. Identifying genes regulated in a Myc-dependent manner. J Biol Chem 277: 36921-30.
- Yu, Y., L.B. Maggi, S.N. Brady, A.J. Apicelli, M. Dai, H. Lu, and J.D. Weber. 2006. Nucleophosmin is essential for ribosomal protein L5 nuclear export. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **In press**.
- Zeller, K.I., T.J. Haggerty, J.F. Barrett, Q. Guo, D.R. Wonsey, and C.V. Dang. 2001. Characterization of nucleophosmin (B23) as a Myc target by scanning chromatin immunoprecipitation. *J Biol Chem* 276: 48285-91.
- Zindy, F., C.M. Eischen, D.H. Randle, T. Kamijo, J.L. Cleveland, C.J. Sherr, and M.F. Roussel. 1998. Myc signaling via the ARF tumor suppressor regulates p53-dependent apoptosis and immortalization. *Genes Dev* 12: 2424-33.

Protein	Function
rpL4	60S Ribosome subunit
rpL5⁵	Molecular chaperone for 5S rRNA (Steitz et al., 1998)
rpL13a	60S Ribosome subunit
rpL22	60S Ribosome subunit
rpL27	60S Ribosome subunit
rpS3a	40S Ribosome subunit
rpS5	40S Ribosome subunit
rpS6	40S Ribosome subunit
rpS7	40S Ribosome subunit
Bop1	Required for rRNA processing (Strezoska et al., 2000)
CPSF6	mRNA processing (Dettwiler et al., 2004)
hnRNP A1	mRNA processing and transport (Dreyfuss et al., 1993)
hnRNP H2	mRNA processing (Dreyfuss et al., 1993)
EF-2	Translational regulation (Carlberg et al., 1990)
Nup50	Nuclear pore complex (Guan et al., 2000)
Nup62	Nuclear pore complex (Radu et al., 1993)
Brca1 ^b	E3 ubiquitin ligase for NPM (Sato et al, 2004)

Table 1. Components of the Nucleophosmin Protein Complex ^a

^a Isolated by nucleophosmin affinity chromatography and identified by MALDI-TOF or tandem mass spectrometry.
^b Known NPM binding proteins

Figure Legends

Figure 1. NPM is a potent oncogene in the absence of ARF

A Arf^{-} MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding His-tagged NPM or H-Ras^{V12} were fixed and subjected to forward and side scatter analysis by flow cytometry. The upper right quadrant represents the cell population with increased size.

B Arf^{/-} MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding His-tagged NPM or NPMdL were labeled with BrdU for 24 hours prior to fixation and staining with antibodies recognizing BrdU incorporated into replicating DNA. DAPI was used to demarcate cell nuclei. n=3 with the reported standard deviations (*, P>0.01, **, P>0.005).

C $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding Ras^{V12}, His-tagged NPM or NPMdL were seeded (3x10³ cells) in media containing soft agar and assayed colony formation fourteen days later. Additionally, $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding His-tagged NPM or NPMdL were seeded in media containing G418 and assayed for foci formation twelve days later.

D Primary human breast, prostate, and colon carcinoma tissue microarrays were obtained and stained for NPM protein expression, with representative cancerous tissues staining negative for NPM shown on the left panels, and those staining extremely positive for NPM shown on the right panels. Statistics of NPM protein expression in all three carcinomas are indicated in the insets (% of total slides exhibiting the pattern of staining).

E $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding His-tagged NPM were fixed and stained with antibodies recognizing γ -tubulin. Nuclei were demarcated with DAPI. Centrosomes from over 200 cells were counted for each condition and plotted as a representative experiment (n=3).

 \mathbf{F} Arf^{/-} MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding His-tagged NPM were treated with colcemid and fixed. Chromosomes from each condition were prepared and visualized with DAPI.

Expression of His-tagged NPM protein was confirmed by western blot analysis using antibodies recognizing the His moiety.

Figure 2. Isolation of endogenous NPM protein complexes

A HeLa cell lysates (600 μ g) were injected onto a Sephacryl 300 gel filtration column and fractions were collected as indicated. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies recognizing NPM. Gel filtration sizes are indicated above the fractions and the presence of NPM oligomers and monomers is indicated below.

B Pooled sizing column fractions (2-16) containing NPM (600 μ g) were injected onto an NPM polyclonal antibody affinity column and eluted with an increasing NaCl gradient (0.1-1.0M). Eluted proteins were separated by two-dimensional electrophoresis and visualized with SYPRO-Ruby dye (2D). Spots were excised and digested with trypsin. Tryptic peptides were analyzed by mass spectrometry. Representative MALDI-TOF spectra of labeled spots from above are presented with corresponding identified peptide sequences.

Figure 3. NPM nuclear export is required for Protein Synthesis

A HeLa cells were transduced with pcDNA3.1-His (red line), His-NPM (blue line), or His-NPMdL (green line) and incubated for 24 hours. Cells were labeled with 35 S-Methionine for the indicated times. Equal numbers of cells ($3x10^6$) were lysed, and TCA-precipitated counts were measured. His-tagged NPM protein expression was measured by anti-His immunoblot to confirm transduction (inset).

B HeLa cells were transduced with pcDNA3.1-His (red line), His-NPM (blue line), or His-NPMdL (green line) and incubated for 24 hours. Equal numbers of transduced cells $(3x10^6)$

were lysed and separated on sucrose gradients with constant UV (254 nm) monitoring for ribosome and polysome detection. His-tagged NPM protein expression was measured by anti-His immunoblot to confirm transduction (inset).

Figure 4. NPM is the rating-limiting factor for rRNA export

A HeLa cells were transduced with pcDNA3.1-His (CTL), His-NPM, or His-NPMdL. Cells were labeled with [methyl- 3 H] methionine and chased. Equal numbers of labeled cells (2x10⁶) were subjected to fractionation into cytoplasmic (C) and nuclear (N) extracts. Total RNA extracted from each fraction was separated, transferred to membranes and subjected to autoradiography. Newly synthesized and labeled 28S, 18S and 5.8/5S rRNAs are indicated by arrows. The bottom 5.8S/5S panel is a longer exposure of the same autoradiograph as shown above.

B Arf^{-} MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding His-NPM were labeled with [methyl-³H] methionine and chased. Equal numbers of labeled cells ($3x10^{6}$) were subjected to fractionation into cytoplasmic (C) and nuclear (N) extracts. Total RNA extracted from each fraction was separated, transferred to membranes and subjected to autoradiography. Newly synthesized and labeled 28S, 18S and 5.8/5S rRNAs are indicated by arrows.

C $Arf^{/-}$ MEFs infected with retroviruses encoding His-NPM or Ras^{V12} were labeled with [³H] uridine and chased. Total RNA extracted from equal numbers of labeled cells (3x10⁶) was separated, transferred to membranes and subjected to autoradiography. Newly synthesized and labeled 28S, 18S and 5.8/5S rRNAs are indicated by arrows.

D HeLa cells were transduced with pcDNA3.1-His (Control) or His-NPM and incubated for 24 hours. Cells were labeled with [methyl- 3 H] methionine and $3x10^{6}$ cells were subjected to polysome fractionation. Fractions from the sucrose gradient were isolated and counted for

[methyl-³H] methionine incorporation into newly synthesized rRNAs and assembled cytosolic ribosomes. ³H-counts were normalized for the efficiency of label incorporation into total RNA for each condition (*, P>0.005).

Figure 5. NPM is an essential mediator of ribosome nuclear export

A HeLa cells were nucleofected with scrambled or NPM siRNAs and incubated for 72 hours prior to labeling with [methyl-³H] methionine. Equal numbers of cells $(2x10^6)$ were subjected to fractionation into cytoplasmic (C) and nuclear (N) extracts. Total RNA extracted from each fraction was separated, transferred to membranes and subjected to autoradiography. Newly synthesized and labeled 28S, 18S and 5.8/5S rRNAs are indicated by arrows. Western blot analysis using antibodies recognizing NPM was used to visualize efficient knockdown.

B HeLa cells were nucleofected with scrambled (solid line) or NPM (dashed line) siRNAs and incubated for 72 hours. Equal numbers of cells $(3x10^6)$ were separated on sucrose gradients with constant UV (254 nm) monitoring for ribosome and polysome detection.

C Wild-type (WT) and $Npm^{hy/hy}$ MEFs were labeled with [methyl-³H] methionine and equal numbers of cells (3x10⁶) were subjected to fractionation into cytoplasmic (C) and nuclear (N) extracts. Total RNA extracted from each fraction was separated, transferred to membranes and subjected to autoradiography. Newly synthesized and labeled 28S, 18S and 5.8/5S rRNAs are indicated by arrows. Lack of NPM protein expression in $Npm^{hy/hy}$ cells was monitored via western blot analysis using antibodies recognizing NPM.

Figure 6. Loss of Npm prevents 5S rRNA export in vivo

In situ RNA FISH analysis was performed on wild-type (**A-D**) and *Npm^{-/-}* (**E-H**) day E10.5 embryos using a probe recognizing the 5S rRNA (purple). Nuclear Fast Red counterstaining was used to demarcate nuclei (pink). Whole embryos were visualized at 2X (WT) and 4X (*Npm^{-/-}*), respectively. Cell images (**A-D** and **E-H**) were observed at 60X magnification.























[Sucrose]















C.



Figure 6- Maggi





Figure 1. *Arf^{-/-}* **Prostate Cell Cultures.** Prostates isolated from $Arf^{+/+}$ and $Arf^{+/-}$ mice were chopped in to ~1mm³ pieces and grown in 35mm wells of a 6 well plate. When confluent the cells were passaged to larger plates as indicated. These data are representative of 2 independent isolations.



Figure 2. *Arf^{/-}* **Prostate Cells are Immortal not Transformed. A** $Arf^{/-}$ prostate (3x10⁵ cells) were seeded on 35 mm dishes and 3x10⁵ cells were passaged every 3 days. **B** $Arf^{/-}$ prostate cells (3x10⁵ cells) were seeded on 100 mm dishes and cell number was determined every 24 h. **C** $Arf^{/-}$ prostate cells (1000 cells) were seeded in media containing soft agar and colony formation was assessed fourteen days later. 3T3 protocol (A) and growth curve (**B**) are representative of 2 independent experiments performed in triplicate. Colony formation in soft agar (**C**) is representative of 3 independent experiments performed in quadruplicate.



Figure 3. *Arf^{-/-}* **Prostate Cells are Epithelial Cells.** *Arf^{-/-}* prostate cells were stained with antibodies against CD38 (Epithelial Prostate marker) or CD54 (Stromal Prostate marker). Nuclei are demarcated with DAPI. These data are representative of two independent isolations.







2N=40

Figure 4. *Arf^{-/-}* **PEpC are Diploid.** A *Arf^{-/-}* PEpC were fixed and stained with PI prior to DNA content analysis by flow cytometry. **B** *Arf^{-/-}* PEpC were treated with colcemid and fixed. Chromosomes were prepared and visualized with DAPI. Results from flow cytometry (**A**) are representative of 3 independent experiments. Results from Chromosome analysis (**B**) are representative of 5 metaphase spreads.



Figure 5. *Arf^{-/-}* **PEpC Have an Intact p53 Response.** A *Arf^{-/-}* PEpC ($1x10^6$) were treated for 18 h with DMSO or 50 μ M Etoposide prior to being fixed and stained with PI. DNA content was analyzed by flow cytometry. **B** A portion of the cells from **A** were used in western blot analysis to assess p21 levels. These data are representative of 3 independent experiments.



Figure 6. p19ARF Overexpression Inhibits *Arf^{/-}* PEpC Cell Cycle Progression

Figure 6. p19ARF Overexpression Inhibits *Arf^{-/-}* **PEpC Cell Cycle Progression.** *Arf^{-/-}* PEpC were infected with HA-p19ARF expressing retroviruses. DNA content was analyzed 48 h later by flow cytometry. This data is representative of 2 independent experiments.



Figure 7. Effects of Androgen Receptor Inhibition on Arf⁻ PEpC Cell Growth

Figure 7. Androgen Receptor Signaling is Required for Arf^{+} **PEpC Growth.** A Arf^{+} PEpC (1x10⁶) were treated with MeOH or 10 µg/ml bicalutamide as indicated and cell denisty assesses at the indicated times. **B** Arf^{+} PEpC (3x10⁵) were seeded onto 100 mm dishes in the presence or absence of MeOH or 10 µg/ml bicalutamide as indicated and cell number determined every 24 h. **C** Arf^{+} PEpC (7.5x10⁴) were seeded onto glass cover slips in the presence or absence of MeOH or 10 µg/ml bicalutamide for 48 h. Cells were labeled with 10 µM BrdU for 18 h prior to fixation and staining with antibodies recognizing BrdU incorporated into replicating DNA. DAPI was used to demarcate cell nuclei. **D** Arf^{+} PEpC treated with MeOH or 10 µg/ml bicalutamide for 96 h were analyzed by western blot with antibodies against phosphorylated Thr198 NPM or NPM as indicated. **E** Cell lysates (10 µg) from Arf^{+} PEpC, LNCaP, and PC3 cells were analyzed for androgen receptor expression by western blot. These data are representative of three independent experiments.



Figure 8. PC3 Cell S Phase Progression Is Not Affected By NPM Shuttling. PC3 cells nucleofected with empty vector or GFP-tagged NPM or NPMdL were labeled with BrdU for 18 h prior to fixation and staining with antibodies against BrdU incorporated in to replicating DNA. DAPI was used to demarcate nuclei. These data are representative three independent experiments performed in triplicate \pm SEM.



Figure 9. LNCaP Cell S Phase Progression Is Affected By Inhibition of NPM Shuttling or High Expression of NPM. A LNCaP cells nucleofected with empty vector or GFP-tagged NPM or NPMdL were labeled with BrdU for 18 h prior to fixation and staining with antibodies against BrdU incorporated in to replicating DNA. DAPI was used to demarcate nuclei. **B** Quantification of BrdU postive cells shown in A. These data are representative two independent experiments performed in triplicate \pm SD.



Figure 11. Arf Loss Increases Protein Synthesis Rates of PEpC

Figure 10. Arf loss Increases Protein Synthesis Rates of PEpC. $Arf^{+/+}$ or $Arf^{+/-}$ PEpC were labeled with 35S-methionine in methionine-free media for the indicated times. Equal numbers of cells (2.5x10⁴) were lysed and TCA-precipitable counts were measured. This data is representative of two experiments performed in triplicate \pm SD.



Α



Figure 11. *Arf^{-/-}* **PEpC Cannot Be Transformed.** $Arf^{e/-}$ PEpC were infected with the indicated retroviruses. **A** Forty-eight hours post infection $3x10^5$ cells were seeded in media containing 800 µg/ml G418 and foci formation was assessed fourteen days later. **B** Forty-eight hours post infection $1x10^4$ cells were seeded in media containing soft agar and 800 µg/ml G418. Colony formation was assessed fourteen days later. These data are representative of two independent experiments.



Figure 12. Loss of *Npm* Inhibits Ribosome Nuclear Export and Alters Nucleolar Morphology. $Npm^{+/+}$ or $Npm^{h/h}$ Mouse Embryo Fibroblasts were fixed and subjected to electron microscopic analysis. **Top panels** Arrows \rightarrow point to nuclear membrane with increased ribosomes in the $Npm^{h/h}$ cells. Diamond headed arrows \rightarrow point to cytoplasmic rough ER which is dramatically decreased in $Npm^{h/h}$ cells. **Bottom panels** Increased magnification focused on nucleoli of $Npm^{+/+}$ or $Npm^{h/h}$ cells.