AD					

Award Number: DAMD17-01-1-0565

TITLE: Decision Making of Women with Recurrent Breast Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Penny F. Pierce Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

REPORT DATE: October 2005

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

R	EPORT DOC	UMENTATIO	N PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188				
data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of D 4302. Respondents should be	and reviewing this collection of in refense, Washington Headquart aware that notwithstanding any	nformation. Send comments regarders Services, Directorate for Information	arding this burden estimate or an mation Operations and Reports on shall be subject to any penalty f	y other aspect of this col (0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe	ning existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the llection of information, including suggestions for reducing rson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-a collection of information if it does not display a currently				
1. REPORT DATE (DE 01-10-2005	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE Final			ATES COVERED (From - To) Sep 2001 - 30 Sep 2005				
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT		urrent Breast Cance	r		CONTRACT NUMBER				
					GRANT NUMBER				
					MD17-01-1-0565 PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER				
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER				
Penny F. Pierce P	h.D.			5e	TASK NUMBER				
E-Mail: pfpierce@	umich.edu			5f. V	VORK UNIT NUMBER				
7. PERFORMING ORG	SANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. P	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT				
University of Michi Ann Arbor, Michiga				N	UMBER				
	Research and Ma	IAME(S) AND ADDRESS teriel Command	S(ES)		SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)				
					SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)				
	VAILABILITY STATEN ic Release; Distribu								
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	YNOTES								
experiences and c provide a robust de and values instrum treatment), (3) des lastly, (4) describe quality decision ma structured interview was administered of the psychology Looking Back capt appears to preserv	hallenges women feescription of decision the selection of the selection of the selection of the appraisals of decision of the appraisals of decision of the selection of the sel	ace when breast can making processe on of treatment option which previous treatment option which processes a women recently dialection making experte of pre-decision be therapeutic decision experience were of experience were of pre-decision experience were of pre-decision experience were of pre-decision experience were of pre-decision experience were on the pre-decision experience were on the pre-decision experience were on the process of the pre-decision experience were on the process of the pre-decision experience were on the process of t	ncer recurs. The sp s of women confron ons (e.g., clinical tria atment decision mal and outcomes to idea gnosed with recurre eriences. The Michi ehavior. <u>Major Find</u> ns for recurrent dise	pecific aims of the ting recurrent of the ting recurrent of the ting experience of ting	garding the decision-making this qualitative study are to: (1) disease, (2) describe preferences therapies, adjuvant therapies, or no es are, or are not influential, and ors that contribute to, or impede, re recruited to participate in a seminant of Decision Style (Pierce, 1995) ata reveal an emerging description nomenon of Looking Forward: nistic and hindsight bias that				
15. SUBJECT TERMS Recurrent Breast (aking, Psychologica	al Stress, Decision C	Outcomes					
16. SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC				
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	UU	22	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)				

UU

22

Table of Contents

Cover
SF 2982
Table of Contents3
Introduction4
Body4
Key Research Accomplishments7
Reportable Outcomes8
Conclusions9
References10
Appendices11

INTRODUCTION: The purpose of this study is to close the gaps in our regarding the decision making experiences, challenges, and frustrations of women when breast cancer recurs. It is important to discover how women make decisions in this stressful and uncertain context to determine what they find troublesome and difficult, how they can best convey their values and preferences in the choices they make, and to identify ways in which their prior decision making experience with the initial diagnosis affects their current decision behavior. Therefore, the specific aims of this project are to: (1) provide a robust description of decision making processes of women faced with recurrent disease to generate hypotheses for future testing, and ultimately, for the design of prescriptive decision support interventions, (2) describe preferences and values instrumental in the selection of treatment options (e.g., clinical trials, alternative therapies, adjuvant therapies or no treatment), (3) describe the manner in which previous treatment decision making experiences are, or are not influential, and lastly, (4) describe the appraisals of decision processes and outcomes to identify those factors that to, or impede, quality decision making. The theoretically challenging task is to find an explanation that accounts for the relative ease by which some women make a complicated and serious medical decision, and the overwhelming, difficult, and stressful experience of others (Pierce, 1996). From a clinical perspective, it is important to understand the processes which lead women to select unnecessarily aggressive therapies or decline therapy altogether from a sense of despair rather than reasoned deliberation. ultimate objective of this preliminary descriptive work is to support the design of decision support interventions to enhance quality decision making in this vulnerable population.

 $\underline{\text{BODY}}$: This section of the report shall describe the research accomplishments associated with each task outlined in the approved Statement of Work. The original and revised Statement of Work appears in Appendix A.

- This study addressed four of the major gaps in the literature concerning the psychology of choice when facing recurrent breast cancer. We currently know very little about the following: (1) the unaided decision making in naturalistic real-world settings, (2) how the decision experience for early stage breast cancer influences decision making for recurrent disease, (3) the psychological experience of decision making when cancer recurs, and (4) how women reconcile expectations with reality to maintain psychological well being.
- Women's recognition of the acute threat to life that occurs with recurrent disease prompts decisions regarding many aspects of their lives at the time of diagnosis. This unique cohort of women reveals a potentially untapped reservoir of resources that are activated by the recognition they have an opportunity to make life-changing choices. These women appear to be coping in more effective ways; they are engaged in their treatment; and selfreport an unexpected enthusiasm about taking control of their lives. Although a small group of women experienced very negative responses to the diagnosis, many other women expressed extraordinary resilience and optimism (e.g., Charles, Redko, Whelan, Gafni & Reyno, 1998). It is not clear why some women were able to mobilize their resources to confront cancer again and others were regrettably overwhelmed. Taken together, there is a need to focus greater attention on these two responses to identify the most salient contributing factors that enable or deter women from participating in these decisions and appraising them in positive ways. Within both groups, we need to gather additional information regarding the psychological mechanism of both optimism as well as pessimism in decision behavior to be able to tailor decision support interventions that will help them mobilize decisional coping in a way we have not heretofore recognized.

- Striking differences were identified between young women (38-55) and older women (56-80) in their responses to having to deal with cancer a second (third or fourth) time, and the unique ways in which age and life experiences influence their decision making processes as well as their satisfaction with their initial treatment. Older women (these ages are approximations) appear to have a unique resilience and positive approach to recurrent disease, in contrast to younger women who experience and express much greater distress disappointment and fear. Further exploration of the experiences of the elder group of women (over 65 years of age) could explore their positive coping strategies as a way of learning more about why they appear to be able to successfully deal with a recurrence.
- Contrary to the predictions in the literature (Janis & Mann, 1977; Landman, 1993; Loomes & Sugden, 1982; Zeelenberg, 1999) that a certain proportion of women would experience decisional regret at the time of recurrence, these data reveals something quite different. In contrast, these subjects reveal an interesting psychological construct that merits further development with respect to coping with recurrent and metastatic disease. That is, a majority of women, despite experiencing a recurrence do not believe they would change their initial decisions for the treatment of early stage breast cancer. This psychological process allows women to acknowledge that their initial decision was the best possible option at the time. Yet despite having cancer again, they are aware that any self-recrimination or doubt at this point about what they might have done differently would not be in their best interest. These data promise to increase our understanding of psychological resilience in the face of setbacks as well as the ways in which postdecision appraisal influences psychological well being. manuscript in preparation is addressing this finding.
- The theme describing the concept of Looking Ahead vs. Looking Back is salient in this sample because they are uneasily positioned between the past with the memories and emotions of dealing with cancer while facing the decision again only this time with additional uncertainty and complexity (see Figure 1). Conceptually, this is an ideal sample within which to elaborate our understanding of these unique decision processes and the ways in which they influence psychological well being. A manuscript describing this theoretical formulation is in preparation.

Looking Forward
"Optimistic Bias"

Looking Back
"Hindsight Bias"

Psychological Well-being

- o Looking Forward and Looking Back are conceptually different psychological processes and are subject to different biases when making a decision or appraising the outcome of the decision once it becomes reality.
- o The Looking Forward phenomenon of women with recurrent disease is distinct from that of women with early stage breast cancer and it surprisingly optimistic despite the realities incumbent with a recurrence. It involves one's expectations and desire for a good outcome despite the current circumstances. The psychological concept of "optimistic bias" serves to preserve psychological well being and protects one from overwhelming threat (e.g., Klein & Helweg-Larsen, 2002; Kos & Clarke, 2001). In Looking Forward women expressed confidence regarding their current treatment decisions and expressed a surprising level of optimism about the future. This has a protective psychological effect and appeared to have a ripple effect on a multitude of life choices (e.g., work, family life, etc.) Exploration of this phenomenon is worthy of further study as it highlights the role of optimism in the face of a profound setback and disappointment and enhances our understanding of decision appraisals and their influence on the coping of women with recurrent disease as well as other life-threatening cancers (e.g., Steginga & Occhipinti, 2006).
- o The Looking Back phenomenon was captured from narratives regarding how women look back on their decision making processes for early stage cancer. About half the sample thought about, or was told, about the possibility of recurrent disease when making their initial therapy decisions. A majority of women were optimistic about the success of their initial treatment and did not expect to experience a recurrence. About half the sample was "surprised" to be facing cancer again and when cancer did recur, younger women experienced more distress than older women (Ofir & Mazursky, 1997). psychological processes of post-decision appraisals (looking back on the initial diagnosis) seek to preserve self-esteem and emotional well-being. Very few admitted a "mistake" in the selection of an initial treatment although many would now either choose differently or go about the process differently (e.g., collect more information). Interestingly, women did not express regret or remorse at their earlier decision but rather reported that they did the best they could with the information that was available at the time. psychological phenomenon of "hindsight bias" is one of overconfidence and despite the outcome, women tended to reframe the outcome in a way that preserved their emotional well being (e.g., Christensen-Szalanski, 1991; Fischhoff, 2003). The "hindsight bias" was particularly salient in this sample. Psychologically, this bias represents a revision of memory to fit new information; it is a reconstruction bias in which self-serving tendencies can influence the reconstruction selectively for favorable and unfavorable outcomes. A person's tendency, after learning about the actual outcome of a situation is to distort a previous judgment in the direction of this new information and this is particularly robust in this group.
- These data reveal an emerging psychological description of recurrent disease which includes the following concepts: (1) the experience of recurrent disease diminishes the belief in a cancer free life and revises expectations of the future, (2) it brings personal values into sharp focus and serves to define new life goals and stimulate numerous other decisions about work, family, lifestyle that focus on quality of life, and (3) it encourages positive reflections regarding her participation and self-determination in making important treatment decisions. In future studies,

these concepts could be measured to provide a metric of well-being over the course of extended illness and/or following interventions designed to support women's decision making in ways that enhance psychological well being with recurrent disease.

• Results of the study identified a vulnerable cohort of younger women who appeared to experience more distress and decisional conflict regarding treatment, as well as disappointment and fear regarding recurrent cancer. Younger women appear to need more instrumental decision support to achieve confidence in the decisions they are now facing.

Negative and Positive Findings

- No difficulty with recruitment of subjects once the clinical site was opened and clinicians became familiar with the project; women were eager to discuss the topic and many reported a benefit from their participation. Clinicians were extremely helpful in recommending suitable women and supported the project because they recognized this is a particularly vulnerable group of women who require decision support.
- Overall, this study had numerous positive findings that are discussed in this document. In addition, issues of recruiting and interviewing (using the think aloud technique) women in this stressful situation have provided valuable methodological information about conducting research with vulnerable samples.

Problems Accomplishing Tasks

- Prolonged IRB approval process (3 review committees required)
- The study was delayed due to the absence of the PI's military service at the beginning of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom.

Recommended Changes or Future Work to Better Address the Research Topic

• This qualitative study is a necessary first step toward understanding the decision making processes of women facing recurrent breast cancer. With the identification of relevant concepts and a tentative theoretical framework, future studies can select appropriate measures of these concepts (e.g., hindsight and optimistic bias, resilience, coping) and explore their linkages with decisional appraisal, behavior and outcomes. Ultimately, future work will design tailored decision interventions (preferable with the vulnerable younger women) that will accomplish the following: (1) support decisions that are based on the best available and personally-relevant information (e.g., values and preferences), (2) help women avoid predictable decision hazards of uncertain, stressful, and emotional-laden health care choices, (3) target vulnerable women in greatest need of decision support, (4) address pre- and post-decision biases that may negatively impact decision quality, and (5) bolster the naturalistic decision behavior that serves to preserve their psychological integrity.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- Negotiated a clinical site for recruitment of potential subjects.
- Development of an interview schedule that was successful in obtaining quality narratives of women's decision making processes. The interview schedule and the Michigan Assessment of Decision Style (Pierce, 1995) are being included in a pilot project focusing on the decision making

experience of older women (over 65) in Israel (interview and instrument has been translated into Hebrew).

- Interviewed 50 women between the age of 31 and 82 in their homes or the clinic, whichever she preferred.
 - o Traveled distances up to 200 miles roundtrip to accomplish the interview $\,$
 - o Transcribed and analyzed transcripts of interviews using the constant comparative method
- Tested the "think aloud" technique in a naturalistic setting to capture the cognitive processes of actual decision behavior (Biggs, Rosman & Sergenian, 1993; Huber, Wider, & Huber, 1997; Williamson & Ranyard, 2000).
- Identified themes from the qualitative data (discussed previously)
- Identified areas where women require tailored decision support.
 - o Identified vulnerable women who would benefit from tailored decision support (e.g. younger women and those with high decisional conflict or uncertainty).
- Identified ways in which bias and optimistic bias serve as psychological coping mechanisms to deal with decisional regret and disappointment regarding treatment decisions for breast cancer (e.g., Bell, 1982; Zeelenberg, 1999).

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES:

- Peer-reviewed Oral Presentations
 - a. Looking Ahead Looking Back: Decision Making of Women with Early Stage and Recurrent Breast Cancer. International Symposium on Breast Cancer, April 2005, Tianjin, China.
 - b. Decision Making of Women With Recurrent Breast Cancer. 23rd International Congress of Nursing, May 2005, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Invited Presentations
 - a. Decision Making of Women With Recurrent Breast Cancer. Washtenaw Chapter of the Oncology Nursing Society, November, 2005.
- Peer-reviewed Poster Presentations
 - 1. Decision Making of Women with Recurrent Breast Cancer. Era of Hope Meeting, Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program Meeting, June, 2005, Philadelphia, PA.
 - 2. Naturalistic Decision Processes among Women Facing Recurrent Breast Cancer. 27th Annual Meeting of the Society for Medical Decision Making, October 2005, San Francisco, CA.
- Proposed Work
 - 1. Manuscripts in preparation
 - Looking Ahead Looking Back: The Psychology of Decision Making When Cancer Recurs

• Providing Decision Support for Women With Recurrent Breast Cancer

2. Proposal Preparation

• Findings of this study will be used to support the submission of a project to study women with recurrent and metastatic breast cancer to explore linkages between decision making behavior and quality of life.

CONCLUSIONS: This project focused on the decision experiences of women who find they are confronting breast cancer once again when a recurrence is detected and additional treatment decisions must be considered. We do not currently appreciate how the disappointment, fear, and perhaps even regret influence women's decisions regarding treatment in this highly threatening and emotional context. Robust descriptions of naturalistic decision processes (Pierce & Hicks, 2001) lead to the construction of testable theoretical models representing decision processes of this vulnerable group of women. A descriptive empirical model derived form these qualitative data will provide a structure that allows health professionals to evaluate the ways women make decisions in such contexts, and, (a) induces us to recognize the rules or strategies that patients use, allowing us to help patients avoid potential source of error or bias; (b) helps us make better assessments about when to intervene in the decision-making process and when not to intervene; and (c) allows us to access the relationship between the way a woman made an initial treatment decision and how she currently appraises those choices with all the advantages of hindsight. A unique approach to the study of complex real-world decisions is called for to better understand the constraints on human logic and rationality in life-threatening health care circumstances. From a clinical perspective, the results of this study will inform patients and clinicians alike regarding the continuum of decision-making processes from initial treatment in early stage to recurrent disease where the complexity is increased and the emotional resources are compromised more than ever before. Future studies can build on these findings to prescribe relevant, appropriate, and timely decision support to reduce the psychological, physical, and cognitive burden on patients and their families. Such deliberative and tailored decision support is intended to help women select appropriate preference-based treatment, enhance the likelihood of positive post-decision outcomes and impact quality of life in a meaningful way.

REFERENCES

- Bell, D.E. (1982). Regret in decision making under uncertainty. *Operations Research*, 30, 961-981.
- Biggs, S.F., Rosman, A.J., & Sergenian, G.K. (1993). Methodological issues in judgment and decision making research: Concurrent verbal protocol validity and simultaneous traces of process. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 6, 187-206.
- Charles, C., Redko, C., Whelan, T., Gafni, A., & Reyno, L. Doing nothing is no choice: Lay constructions of treatment decision-making among women with early-stage breast cancer. Sociology of Health & Illness, 20(1), 71-95.
- Christensen-Szalanski, J.J.J. (1991). The hindsight bias: A meta-analysis.

 Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 48, 147-168.
- Fischhoff, B. (2003). Hindsight = foresight: the effect of outcome knowledge on judgment under uncertainty. Qual Saf Health Care, 12, 304-312.
- Huber, O., Wider, R., & Huber, O.W (1997). Active information search and complete information presentation in naturalistic risky decision tasks. Acta Psychologica, 95, 15-29.
- Janis, I.L., & Mann, L. Decision Making. New York: The Free Press, 1977.
- Klein, C.T.F., & Helweg-Larsen, M. (2002). Perceived control and the optimistic bias: A meta-analytic Review. Psychology and Health, 17(4), 437-446.
- Kos, J.M., & Clarke, V.A. (2001). Is optimistic bias influenced by control or delay? Health Education Research, 16(5), 533-540.
- Landman, J. Regret: The persistence of the possible, New York: Oxford University Press, 1993.
- Loomes, G., & Sugden, R. (1982). Regret Theory: An alternative theory of national choice under uncertainty. *Economic Journal*, 92, 805-824.
- Ofir, C., & Mazursky, D. (1997). Does a surprising outcome reinforce or reverse the hindsight bias? Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 69 (1), 50-57.
- Pierce, P.F. (1995). The Michigan Assessment of Decision Style (MADS). Technical Report. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.
- Pierce, P.F. (1996). When the patient chooses: Describing unaided decisions in health care. *Human Factors*, 38(2), 278-287.
- Pierce, P.F. & Hicks, F.D. (2001). Patient decision making: An emerging paradigm for nursing. *Nursing Research*, 50(5), 267-270.
- Steginga, S.K., & Occhipinti, S. (2006). Dispositional optimism as a predictor of men's decision-related distress after localized prostate cancer. *Health Psychology*, 25 (2), 135-143.
- Williamson, J., & Ranyard, R. (2000). A Conversation-based process tracing method for use with naturalistic decisions: An evaluation study. British Journal of Psychology, 91, 203-221.
- Zellenberg, M. (1999). Anticipated regret, expected feedback and behavioral decision making. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 12, 93-106.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Statement of Work (original and revised)

APPENDIX B: Study Questionnaire

APPENDIX C: Interview Schedule

APPENDIX A

SCHEDULE OF WORK (ORIGINAL AND REVISED)

STATEMENT OF WORK (Original)

	2002			2003									
		OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEP
	SEP												
TASK													
Build Research Team													
Recruit Research Interviewers													
Provide Interviewer Training													
Develop Recruitment Protocol													
Create Recruiting Brochure													
Develop Interview Protocol													
Develop Protocol													
Train Interviewers on Protocol													
Revise Protocol as Needed													
Obtain IRB Approvals													
• University of Michigan Hospital													
St. Joseph's Mercy, Pontiac													
• DoD													
Develop Recruitment Sites													
• University of Michigan, AA													
Protocol Review Committee U M													
St. Joseph's Mercy, Pontiac													
Data Collection													
Interviews from Ann Arbor													
Interviews from St. Joseph's													
Transcribe Interviews													
Qualitative Data Analysis													
Train on Coding & Analysis													
Develop Coding Scheme													
Data Analysis of Transcripts													
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,													
Publications													
Theoretical Paper		1											
Data-based Paper		<u> </u>											
Clinically-relevant Paper													
Submit Final Report													

STATEMENT OF WORK (Revised)

		2004			2005						
		OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY
	SEP										
TASK											
Data Collection											
Interviews from Ann Arbor site											
Transcribe Interviews for Analysis											
Qualitative Data Analysis											
Train on Coding & Analysis											
Develop Coding Scheme											
Data Analysis of Transcripts											
D. I. C.											
Publications											
Theoretical Paper- Decision Making											
Data-based Paper- Decision Making											
Clinically-relevant Paper											
Submit Final Report			-								

APPENDIX B

SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

Defining Decision Support for Women With Recurrent Breast Cancer

Penny F. Pierce PhD, RN
Principal Investigator
The University of Michigan School of Nursing
400 North Ingalls
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
(734) 615-2997
pfpierce@umich.edu

DEMOGRAPHIC AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1.	Please write down <i>today's</i> date	/_	/_	
	·	MONTH		
2.	What is your date of birth?	/_	/_	
		MONTH	DAY	YEAF

3. What is the <u>highest</u> grade of school or year of college you have completed?

Circle the appropriate number

Grades of School

College/Yrs. of School

01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12

13 14 15 16 17+

Circle the appropriate number

01 . \$4,999 OR LESS	09. \$40,000 - 44,999	17. \$80,000 - 84,999
02 . \$5,000 - 9,999	10. \$45,000 - 49,999	18. \$85,000 - 89,999
03. \$10,000 - 14,999	11. \$50,000 - 54,999	19. \$90,000 - 94,999
04 . \$15,000 - 19,999	12. \$55,000 - 59,999	20. \$95,000 - 99,999
05 . \$20,000 - 24,999	13. \$60,000 - 64,999	19. \$100,000 - 124,999
06 . \$25,000 - 29,999	14. \$65,000 - 69,999	20. \$125,000 - 149,999
07 . \$30,000 - 34,999	15. \$70,000 - 74,999	21. \$150,000 OR MORE
08 . \$35,000 - 39,999	16. \$75,000 - 79,999	

5. Which of the following possibilities best describes your **present** marital status?

Circle only one answer

- 1. Never Married
- 2. Living with a partner
- 3. Married
- 4. Geographically separated due to conflicting military assignments
- 5. Separated (Breakdown of marriage)
- 6. Divorced (Due to conflicting military commitments)
- 7. Divorced (Breakdown of marriage)
- 8. Widowed

^{4.}Taking into consideration <u>all</u> sources of income including wages, pensions, unemployment compensation, and other sources, what was the <u>total</u> income of your <u>family household</u> before taxes last year?

		1. Yes 5. No
The	follow	ing are questions about your ethnic or racial background:
7.	Hov	v would you describe your ethnic or racial background?
		ase circle <u>all</u> that apply
	1.	White
	2.	Black/African American
	3.	American Indian, Eskimo or Aleut
	4.	Asian or Pacific Islander
	5.	Other, specify
8.	Are yo	ou of Hispanic descent?
		1. Yes 5. No
9.	What	is your current employment?
1.		Full time
2.		Part time
3.		Unemployed
4.	;	Student
The	follow	ing are questions about your history of breast cancer
10.	When	were you first diagnosed with breast cancer?
11.	What	was the type of breast cancer that was diagnosed at that time?
12.	What	treatment did you select at that time?

Are you currently living with your husband or with a partner?

6.

MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT OF DECISION STYLE (Pierce, 1995)

Following are a few statements that describe typical decision making behavior of people considering medical treatments. Thinking of the decision you are about to make, circle the number on the scale that most closely resembles the way you are thinking about the decision.

	1 No, definitely not	2	3 Neither yes or no	4	5 Yes, definitely
1. I would make a quick decision once I was told what my options were.	1	2	3	4	5
2. I would follow the recommendations of my physician	1	2	3	4	5
3. I would agree to the option that seemed the most reasonable to me at the time.	1	2	3	4	5
4. I would develop a plan for gathering further information	1	2	3	4	5
5. I would read magazines and articles about different treatments.	1	2	3	4	5
6. I would read scientific articles about the treatments that were being offered to me.	1	2	3	4	5
7. I would spend as much time as I could gathering information.	1	2	3	4	5
8. I would prefer to seek advice from specialists.	1	2	3	4	5
9. I would ask about the risks involved with each treatment alternative.	1	2	3	4	5
10. I would carefully consider the risks of each option as I was making a choice.	1	2	3	4	5
11. I would want to know the possible outcomes of each alternative that was being offered to me.	1	2	3	4	5
12. I would ask a lot of questions concerning the treatment options.	1	2	3	4	5
13. I would want someone else to make the decision for me.	1	2	3	4	5
14. I prefer, in situations like this, that someone else tells me what to do.	1	2	3	4	5
15. I prefer not knowing the possibility that unexpected things could happen to me.	1	2	3	4	5
16. I believe that what will happen, will happen and there is little I can do to change things.	1	2	3	4	5

APPENDIX D

INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

Defining Decision Support for Women with Recurrent Breast Cancer

INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

Introductio	n
is intended to lead the diagnosis a choices, in who communicated	and I am from the University of Michigan School of Nursing. We are conducting a research project that help us better understand how women like yourself make decisions for breast cancer when they are faced with a second time. In this study, we are trying to learn more about how women's experiences of making these at ways it might be different or similar to the first diagnosis, and how their values and preferences get to those who care for them. If you would be interested in participating in the study, I would be happy to ormed consent procedure with you at this time.
If no →	Thank the patient for her time
If yes →	Review the Informed Consent document, obtain a signature, and provide a copy to the subject
	Set a date and time for the interview if the current setting is not appropriate
diagnosed wi	to ask you to tell me about how you made the decision regarding treatment the first time you were the breast cancer and how you are going about making a decision regarding treatment at this time. We eak when you wish or end the interview whenever you want to. Just let me know, at any time, if you stop. If I ask a question you prefer not to answer, that's fine. You can just say "pass," and we'll
Do you have	any questions for me at this time?
OK then, we	can begin if you are ready.
Can you tell decision.	me about the first time you were diagnosed; when that was and how you remember making the
_	e: allow the subject to complete her story in her own words at her own pace. Use the following if she has not addressed the issue]

Probe → Do you remember the kinds of things that were important to you at the time you were making that decision?

Probe → Did you find that making the decision was difficult? If so, what made it difficult for you?

Probe → Who or what helped you make the decision?

As time has passed, what do you think now about the decision you made?

Probe → What are you most pleased/satisfied with about that decision?

Probe > Is there anything about how you went about making that decision that, on reflection, you would like to change now?

Probe What "words of wisdom" would you pass along to other women who may find themselves in the same situation?

Probe → Was there anything that health professionals did to help you make your decision at that time?

Let's move forward to where we are today...

What is your reaction to facing this decision once more?

Probe → In what ways was this decision different from the first time?

Probe Did you find that your experience from the first time helped or hindered you in making this decision? In what ways?

Probe → What did you learn about making decisions at that time that is helpful to you now?

Probe What suggestions do you have for health professionals that might be helpful to them as they counsel and support patients in making treatment decisions such as the one you are facing?

Is there any part of your experience that we did not talk about that you would like to share at this time?

Do you have any last questions for me?

Thank you for taking the time to share your experience with me.

[Give the subject the envelope containing the gift certificate of her choice]