## The Radiation Environment Impacting DSX Orbit Options

**Gregory P. Ginet** 

6 Dec 2005

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited



AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY Space Vehicles Directorate 29 Randolph Rd AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010

#### AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document are used for any purpose other than Government procurement, this does not in any way obligate the U.S, Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

/ signed / Gregory P. Ginet, DR-IV Space Weather Center of Excellence / signed / Joel B. Mozer, Chief Space Weather Center of Excellence

/ signed / Robert A. Morris, Chief Battlespace Environment Division Space Vehicles Directorate

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

This report has been reviewed by the ESC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC). All other requestors should apply to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

If your address has changed, if you with to be removed from the mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify AFRL/VSIM, 29 Randolph Rd., Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document require that it be returned.

Gregory P. Ginet       1010         5r. TASK NUMBER RR       5r. WORK UNIT NUMBER A1         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION A1         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX 29 Randolph Road Hansom AFB, MA 01731-3010       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER A1         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACCOVYM(S) AFRL/VSBX         11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLP) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the indiffe magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle and plasma environment in the indiffe magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX bit on and and carry out experiments in the slot recomplish at filtericle environment of the inner helt noibit energetic particle and plasma environmenting the inner helt noibit of the optimum orbit to map the L. B100 region relevant to the inner helt noibit energetic particle and plasma environmenting the inner helt noibit of the optimum orbit to map the L. B100 region relevant to the inner helt noibit energetic particle environment of the inner helt noibit of the optimum orbit to map the L. B100 region relevant on exery of inclination. The energitic electrons	REPO		MENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-01-0188
	gathering and maintaining the data needed of information, including suggestions for (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highw subject to any penalty for failing to comply	I, and completing a reducing the bur ay, Suite 1204, Ar with a collection of	nd reviewing the collection of in den to Department of Defens lington VA 22202-4302 Respo information if it does not displa-	formation. Send con e, Washington Hea indents should be a	nments regar idquarters Se ware that no	ding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection ervices Directorate for Information Operations and Reports stwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE         The Radiation Environment Impacting DSX Orbit Options         5a. CONTRACT NUMBER         5b. GRANT NUMBER         6. AUTHORS         Gregory P. Ginet         5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER         6. AUTHORS         Gregory P. Ginet         5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER         1010         5c. ASK NUMBER         1010         5c. ASK NUMBER         1010         5c. TASK NUMBER         1010         5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER         1010         5c. TASK NUMBER         1010         5c. PROGRAM CORANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX         29 Randolph Road         11 Inscom AFRIN NAM 01731-3010         9. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         11. SPONSORIMONTOR'S REPORT         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a andium Each orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle ind plasma environment in the modified in accomplias utilificent inter-belt mapping without compremising the prayabity of DSX						3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
The Radiation Environment Impacting DSX Orbit Options       56. GRANT NUMBER         56. GRANT NUMBER       56. GRANT NUMBER         6. AUTHORS       56. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER         Gregory P. Ginet       56. PROCECT NUMBER         1010       56. TASK NUMBER         1011       56. TASK NUMBER         1011       56. TASK NUMBER         1011       56. TASK NUMBER         111       50. SPONSORINONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         112. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <tr< td=""><td></td><td>Scienti</td><td>fic, Interim</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>		Scienti	fic, Interim			
5b. GRANT NUMBER         5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER         62.0017         6. AUTHORS         Gregory P. Ginet         5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER         6. AUTHORS         Gregory P. Ginet         5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX         29 Randolph Road         Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         11. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         14. ABSTRACT         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         16. Section in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energistic particle motion to the insoft the magnetophere, the construction of the inter beli modellic magnetophere, the constructin the inter beli modellic magnetophere, the sor					5a. CON	TRACT NUMBER
Sc. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER         6. AUTHORS         Gregory P. Ginet         5. WORK UNIT NUMBER         1010         5. ADD ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX         29 Randolph Road         Hansconn AFB, MA 01731-3010         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         11. SPONSORING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         The Arif Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Prequency (VLP) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment of the middle maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the basis for energetic particle and plasma environment of the imiddle maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the basis of basis in a basis than have the populations in the immer magnetosphere. Doess for the recorrelevent to the immer bed inside the MEO regime. Thas orbit inter chameterized by existing dat	The Radiation Environmen	t Impacting	DSX Orbit Options			
6.AUTHORS       62601F         6.AUTHORS       5d. PROJECT NUMBER         Gregory P. Ginel       5d. PROJECT NUMBER         7.PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       5r. TASK NUMBER         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. ABSTRACT       1. BUT Gregoring in the Very Low Frequency (VLP) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma derivorment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the iniand DSX planning, interest has saffacted in mapping the comparison with the middle magnetosphere. This sponse of the outer belt with the middle magnetosphere. This sponse is a 540 A 12000 km onthi 28 degrees or less of inclination the comparison in the low of the outer belt with the middle magnetosphere. This sponse is a 540 A 12000 km onthi 28 degrees or less of inclination has been much belt work in the divide the anterpresent in the soft regrees is a saffact to acossified. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5b. GRA</td><td>NT NUMBER</td></t<>					5b. GRA	NT NUMBER
Gregory P. Ginet       1010         See TASK NUMBER RR       1010         See TASK NUMBER RR       St. WORK NUTT NUMBER A1         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER A1         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX 29 Randolph Rod       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177         Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010       10. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACCONYM(S) AFRL/VSBX         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLP) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the inidile magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle and plasma environment in the inidile magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX bit on and and carry out experiments in the Sol region is 34.540.1200 km orbit with 28 degrees or Access of inclination. The energicit electrons in the horns of the ouder bell will be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without comproxising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum obti to map the L, B/D0 region relevant to the inner belt inside the MEO regime.         15. SEQUENT V CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF Respreation and Science Experiment (DSX)						
Se. TASK NUMBER RR         5. TASK NUMBER RR         5. WORK UNIT NUMBER A1         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/VSBX         11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.       NUMBER(S)         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)         14. ABSTRACT       The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the indife magnetosphere. Tisee the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle environment of the initial de the MEO regime; S 450 X to map and carry out experiments in the Sol region is a 540 X to 2000 km orbit with 28 degrees or Ress of inclination. The energetic electrons in the horms of the outer belt will be matised, however, this population is the populations. It is concluded that the optimum orbit in map the L, B/100 region relevant to the inner helt orbit solite internation. The energetic electrons in the horms of the outer belt will be missed, however, this population is the populations. It is incomplated by the outer belt will be missed, however, this population is the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doss for the recom	6. AUTHORS		3. 		5d. PRO.	JECT NUMBER
RR         5!. WORK UNIT NUMBER         AIT         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         29. Randolph Road       AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177         39. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         NUMBER (S)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLP) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planing, interest has averaged on the prisming the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planing, interest has averaged on the low REGION is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of infinition. The energetic electrons in the horm and cols out of the missed, however, this population is may and carry out experiments in the isolar poing is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of infinition. The energetic electrons in the horm and betar much belt orbit mapping without compromising the primang DSX science missions. It is concluded tha	Gregory P. Ginet				1	010
RR         5!. WORK UNIT NUMBER         AIT         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         29. Randolph Road       AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177         39. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         NUMBER (S)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLP) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planing, interest has averaged on the prisming the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planing, interest has averaged on the low REGION is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of infinition. The energetic electrons in the horm and cols out of the missed, however, this population is may and carry out experiments in the isolar poing is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of infinition. The energetic electrons in the horm and betar much belt orbit mapping without compromising the primang DSX science missions. It is concluded tha					50 TASK	
A1         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX         29 Randolph Road         Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX         12. DISTRIBUTION/AWAILABILITY STATEMENT         Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle any tonoment of the inmer belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inter-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science misson. It is concluded that the polymour of the uniter belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inter-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science misson. It is concluded that the polymour of the uniter belt wild to missel, however, this polymotion has been much belter characterized by existing data set than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doese for the recommended orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energies defined and acris out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inaclination belts with eut nomis of the outer bely with orbit spopulation has b						
A1         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX         29 Randolph Road         Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010         9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX         12. DISTRIBUTION/AWAILABILITY STATEMENT         Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle any tonoment of the inmer belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inter-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science misson. It is concluded that the polymour of the uniter belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inter-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science misson. It is concluded that the polymour of the uniter belt wild to missel, however, this polymotion has been much belter characterized by existing data set than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doese for the recommended orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energies defined and acris out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inaclination belts with eut nomis of the outer bely with orbit spopulation has b					EL MOR	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX       29 Randolph Road         Hanscom AFB, MA. 01731-3010       10. SPONSOR/ING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)         9. SPONSOR/ING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/ING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177       11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         41. SPONSOR/ING/MONITOR'S AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         42. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT       Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-paricle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic paricle and plana environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic paricle and plana environment of the inner belt inside the MEO regime. This concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/80 region relevant to the inner belt inside the MEO regime. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the inter-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/80 region relevant to the inner belt inside the magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit swhile maintaining the capability of DSX to map and earry out experiments in the sloter of app					on he had been	
Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX       REPORT NUMBER         29 Randolph Road       AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177         Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010       II. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       II. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177       AFRL-VSBX       II. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX       III. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX       IIII. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)         AFRL/VSBX       IIIIIIIIII					<i>F</i>	
Air Force Research Laboratory /VSBX 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/VSBX 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbits while magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt considered. 15. SUBJECT TERMS Radiation belts Radiation belts Radiation belts Radiation belts Medium-earth orbit Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX) 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177 AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1177 AFRL/VSBX AFRL/VSBX 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/VSBX 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/VSBX 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/VSBX 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/VSBX 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT AFRL/VSBX 16. NUMER ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT AFRL/VSBX 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT D. ABSTRACT D. ABST	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	I NAME(S) AN	D ADDRESS(ES)			AND THE REPORT OF AN ADDRESS OF A DEPOSITION OF A DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPO
29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010       Interversitive Construction of the constene consthenelike of the construction of the constructio	Air Force Research Laborator	v /VSBX				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/VSBX  11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)  12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.  13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  14. ABSTRACT The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energitic electrons in the horms of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner. Doess for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.  15. SUBJECT TERMS Radiation belts Medium-earth orbit Space environment Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)  16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT C. THIS PAGE VNCL UNCL UNCL UNCL UNCL UNCL UNCL UNCL UNCL UNCL						AFRL-VS-HA-1R-2003-11/7
AFRL/VSBX         11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT         NUMBER(S)         12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle environment of the inner belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science emissions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the 1, B/80 region relevant to the inner belt orbit swhile maintaining the capability of DSX to map and earry out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energetic electrons in the horns of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.         15. SUBJECT TERMS       Medium-earth orbit         Space environment       Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT       18. NMBME R OF Gregory_P. Gine	Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3	010				
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle medified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is a S40 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or <i>Less</i> of inclination. The energetic clettorons in the homs of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.         15. SUBJECT TERMS         Radiation belts       Medium-earth orbit         Space environment       Demonstration of ABSTRACT         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT         w. HEB UNCL       UNL	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING A	GENCY NAME	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	)		
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans.to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle environment of the inner belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energetic electrons in the horns of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.         15. SUBJECT TERMS         Radiation belts       Medium-earth orbit         Space environment       Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       C. THIS PAGE         UNCL       UNCL       UNL						contra mente prese accessente accessente de la presente des concesses des a la
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle antironment of the inner belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or <i>less</i> of inclination. The energetic electrons in the horns of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.         15. SUBJECT TERMS         Radiation belts       Medium-earth orbit         Space environment       Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       C. THIS PAGE         UNCL       UNCL       UNL       18. NUMBER         19. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)       (1781) 377-3974	12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT				
14. ABSTRACT         The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle environment of the inner belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energetic electrons in the horns of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.         15. SUBJECT TERMS         Radiation belts       Medium-earth orbit         Space environment       Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT         UNCL       UNCL         UNCL       UNCL	Approved for public rel	ease; distribu	tion unlimited.			
The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle environment of the inner belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energetic electrons in the horns of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.         15. SUBJECT TERMS       Medium-earth orbit         Radiation belts       Medium-earth orbit         Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT         c. THIS PAGE       OF         UNCL       UNCL         UNCL       UNCL	13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					2
The Air Force Research Laboratory plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to study wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle environment of the inner belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energetic electrons in the horns of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.         15. SUBJECT TERMS       Medium-earth orbit         Radiation belts       Medium-earth orbit         Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT         c. THIS PAGE       OF         UNCL       UNCL         UNCL       UNCL						
wave-particle interaction in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime and (b) map the energetic particle and plasma environment in the middle magnetosphere. Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle environment of the inner belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is concluded that the optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is a 540 x 12000 km orbit with 28 degrees or less of inclination. The energetic electrons in the horns of the outer belt will be missed; however, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for the recommended orbit are approximately an order of magnitude higher than for the nominal DSX orbit, but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered.         15. SUBJECT TERMS         Radiation belts       Medium-earth orbit         Space environment       Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT         vNCL       UNCL         UNCL       UNCL	14. ABSTRACT					
Radiation belts       Medium-earth orbit         Space environment       Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT       18. NUMBER OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       c. THIS PAGE       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT       18. NUMBER OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         UNCL       UNCL       UNCL       UNL       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	wave-particle interaction in the V magnetosphere. Since the initial I MEO regime. The question arise the primary DSX science mission maintaining the capability of DS2 inclination. The energetic electro existing data sets than have the p magnitude higher than for the nor	Yery Low Freque DSX planning, s whether the I is. It is conclude X to map and c ns in the horns opulations in the	tency (VLF) regime and interest has surfaced in to DSX orbit could be modi ded that the optimum orb arry out experiments in to of the outer belt will be the inner magnetosphere.	(b) map the end mapping the end ified to accomploit to map the L the slot region is missed; howeve Doses for the re	ergetic par ergetic par lish suffici , B/B0 reg s a 540 x 1 er, this pop ecommend	ticle and plasma environment in the middle ticle environment of the inner belt inside the ient inner-belt mapping without compromising ion relevant to the inner belt orbits while 2000 km orbit with 28 degrees <i>or less</i> of pulation has been much better characterized by led orbit are approximately an order of
Space environment       Demonstration and Science Experiment (DSX)         16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT       18. NUMBER OF PAGES       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         a. REPORT       b. ABSTRACT       c. THIS PAGE       17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT       18. NUMBER OF PAGES       19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON         UNCL       UNCL       UNCL       UNL       19B. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (781) 377-3974	15. SUBJECT TERMS					
a. REPORT     b. ABSTRACT     c. THIS PAGE     ABSTRACT     OF PAGES     Gregory P. Ginet       UNCL     UNCL     UNL     19B. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (781) 377-3974				ment (DSX)		
UNCL	16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	OF:			19a. NAI	ME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
UNCL     UNCL     UNCL     UNL     19B. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)       UNCL     UNCL     UNL     (781) 377-3974	a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT	. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT	0.000		
		UNION			19B. TEL	
	UNCL UNCL	UNCL	UNL		1	(781) 377-3974 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39 18

### Contents

1.	INT	RODUCTION	1
2.	ANA	ALYSIS	1
	2.1	Protons	5
	2.2	Electrons	6
	2.3	Radiation Dose	7
	2.4	Coverage of L, $B/B_0$	14
3.	REC	COMMENDATIONS	20
REF	EREN	CES	21

## Illustrations

-

-

1a.	Orbits 1-4 With Orbit Plane (28 degree) Slices of the Trapped 1.6 MeV Electron and 36.3 MeV Proton Fluxes From the CRESSELE (MAX) and CRESSPRO (Active) Models, Respectively	3
1b.	Orbits 5-10 With Orbit-Plane (63 degree) Slices of the Trapped 1.6 MeV Electron and 36.3 MeV Proton Fluxes From the CRESSELE (MAX) and CRESSPRO (Active) Models, Respectively	4
2.	Proton Fluences at (a) 1.5 MeV, (b) 36.3 MeV, (c) 81.3 MeV, and $(d) > 10$ MeV as Determined by the NASAPRO and CRRESPRO Models for Orbits 1-10.	8
3.	Electron Fluences at (a) 0.65 MeV, (b) 1.6 MeV, (c) 5.75 MeV, and $(d) > 1.25$ MeV as Determined by the NASAELE and CRRESELE Models for Orbits 1-10.	11
4.	Radiation Doses Behind 2.10 mm, 5.91 mm, 11.6 mm, and 22.5 mm Aluminum as Determined for Orbits 1-10 by the CRRESRAD Quiet (a) and Active (b) Models. Note the change in y-axis scale between (a) and (b)	.12
5.	Dose Behind 457.5 mils (11.6 mm) as Determined by the CRRESRAD Active Models Shown in (L, B/B0) Coordinates	13
6.	(a) Two Days of Orbit 1 Path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) Coordinates Overlayed on the Relative Flux Intensity Distribution of 1.6 MeV Electrons and 36.3 MeV Protons as Determined by the CRRES Models. (b) Dwell time in (L, B/B0) for a Year's Orbit Path	15
7.	<ul> <li>(a) Two Days of Orbit 4 Path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) Coordinates</li> <li>Overlayed on the Relative Flux Intensity Distribution of 1.6 MeV Electrons and 36.3 MeV Protons as Determined by the CRRES Models.</li> <li>(b) Dwell Time in (L, B/B0) for a Year's Orbit Path.</li> </ul>	16
8.	(a) Two days of orbit 5 path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) coordinates overlayed on the relative flux intensity distribution of 1.6 MeV electrons and 36.3 MeV protons as determined by the CRRES models. (b) Dwell time in (L, B/B0) for a year's orbit path	17
9.	(a) Two days of orbit 9 path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) coordinates overlayed on the relative flux intensity distribution of 1.6 MeV electrons and 36.3 MeV protons as determined by the CRRES models. (b) Dwell time in (L, B/B0) for a year's orbit path	18

### **Illustrations (Cont.)**

10. (a) Two days of orbit 10 path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) coordinates overlayed on the relative flux intensity distribution of 1.6 MeV electrons and 36.3 MeV protons as determined by the CRRES models. (b) Dwell time in (L, B/B0) for a year's orbit path.

### Tables

19

1.	Orbital Parameters Specified as Inclination, Perigee, Apogee, Local Time of Apogee and Local Time of Maximum Latitude	2
2.	Proton Fluences for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the CRRESPRO Active and Quiet Models.	6
3.	Proton Fluences for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the NASAPRO AP8MAX and AP8MIN Models	7
4.	Electron Fluences for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the CRRESELE Average and Maximum Models	9
5.	Electron Fluences for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the NASAELE AE8MAX and AE8MIN Models	10
6.	Radiation Dose Behind Several Aluminum Shielding Thicknesses for Orbits 1- 10 Computed by the CRRESRAD Quiet and Active Models	14

# The Radiation Environment Impacting DSX Orbit Options

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) plans to fly the Demonstrations and Science Experiment (DSX) satellite in a medium Earth orbit (MEO) to (a) study wave-particle interactions in the Very Low Frequency (VLF) regime relevant for radiation belt dynamics and (b) map the energetic particle and space plasma environment in the largely unexplored middle magnetosphere. To achieve the mission objectives the DSX orbit was nominally chosen to be 6,000 km x 12,000 km with 28 degree inclination.

Since the initial DSX planning, interest has surfaced in mapping the energetic particle environment of the inner-belt inside the MEO regime. The question arises as to whether the DSX orbit could be modified to accomplish sufficient inner-belt mapping without compromising the primary DSX science missions. It is the purpose of this report to provide the environmental analysis needed to answer such a question (Sec 2) and offer recommendations (Sec 3).

#### 2. ANALYSIS

A set of orbits has been selected for analysis (Table 1) with inclinations of 28 degrees and 63 degrees to include the nominal DSX orbit (1) and variations that only sample the inner belt (orbits 9 and 10). With the exception of orbit 10, all orbits will be initialized with the local time of maximum latitude (LTML) equal to 18:00 and the local time of apogee (LTA) equal to 12:00.

Variations of the proton fluence for different values of LTA and LTML were examined for the DSX (1) and inner belt (9) orbits. Little difference was discovered for the DSX orbit (<10%) while differences greater than an order of magnitude were uncovered for the inner belt orbits. For this reason two different sets of LTML and LTA were considered for the inner belt orbit spanning the range from the approximate minimum (9) to maximum (10) of inner belt radiation exposure. Figures 1a and 1b illustrate the orbit paths in the context of the active time inner proton belt and average outer zone electron belts as determined from the CRRESPRO and CRRESELE models, respectively. The passage of orbit 10 through the heart of the inner belt (always existing) while the passage of orbit 9 only through the middle belt (only existing in active times) and largely missing the inner belt due to the different orientation of the orbit plane and location of perigee, is apparent.

Orbit #	Incl (deg)	Perigee(km)	Apogee (km)	LT of Apogee	LT of Max Lat
1	28.0	6000		12:00	
1			12000		18:00
2	28.0	4000	12000	12:00	18:00
3	28.0	2000	12000	12:00	18:00
4	28.0	500	12000	12:00	18:00
5	63.0	6000	12000	12:00	18:00
6	63.0	4000	12000	12:00	18:00
7	63.0	2000	12000	12:00	18:00
8	63.0	500	12000	12:00	18:00
9	63.0	540	7840	12:00	18:00
10	63.0	540	7840	12:00	12:00

Table 1. Orbital Parameters Specified as Inclination, Perigee, Apogee, Local Time of	
Apogee and Local Time of Maximum Latitude.	

To estimate the relative severity of the space particle environment, each orbit will be run for approximately one year (12:00 UT 01 Jan 2002 – 12:00 UT 31 Dec 2002) through a series of space environment models to include the standard NASA AP8 /AE8, the Air Force CRRES suite, and the JPL 1991 model for solar particle events. The spread in values predicted by the model suite for a given quantity (e.g. 36.3 MeV proton fluence in Figure 2b) can be viewed as error bars representing variations due to space weather as well as uncertainties in the models themselves.

The degree to which an appropriately instrumented satellite can map the inner radiation belt and MEO regimes will be assessed by examining orbit coverage in the (L, B/B0) magnetic coordinate space. This is a natural space for mapping the belts because trapped energetic particles spiral along magnetic field lines, bouncing between mirror points of equal magnetic magnitude in the southern and northern hemispheres while at the same time drifting around the planet on an azimuthal shell of field lines with identical properties. Shells are labeled by the distance from the center of the Earth to a given magnetic field line along the magnetic equator (L). Locations along a magnetic field line are denoted by the ratio of the strength of the local magnetic field (B) to the strength of the magnetic field at the magnetic equator (B0). Energetic particle populations in a given L-shell can also be quantified by their distributions in equatorial pitch-angle, defined as the angle between the velocity parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field when the particle crosses the magnetic equator (0 degrees equals entirely parallel velocity). Particles with smaller pitch-angles will travel further down the field lines before mirroring and thus reach a higher value of B/B0 since the field strength is weakest at the magnetic equator and increases along the field line as the Earth is approached. Distributions in the (L, B/B0) coordinates are tagged to the highest value of B/B0 a particle reaches.



Figure 1a. Orbits 1-4 With Orbit-Plane (28 degree) Slices of the Trapped 1.6 MeV Electron and 36.3 MeV Proton Fluxes From the CRRESELE (MAX) and CRRESPRO (Active) Models, Respectively. The coloring indicates the intensity of the differential flux.



Figure 1b. Orbits 5-10 With Orbit-Plane (63 degree) Slices of the Trapped 1.6 MeV Electron and 36.3 MeV Proton Fluxes From the CRRESELE (MAX) and CRRESPRO (Active) Models, Respectively. Only the CRRESPRO Active is shown for the plane of orbit 10. Coloring indicates the intensity of the differential flux. An important consequence of the trapped particle motion is that if the entire pitch angle distribution is measured near the magnetic equator then the entire space represented by a magnetic field line can be mapped, thus turning an *in-situ* spacecraft measurement into an estimate of a global distribution. This can be accomplished, for example, by a low inclination satellite carrying a magnetometer and particle detectors with sufficient local angle of arrival resolution and range. Inside  $L \sim 4.0$ , models of the global magnetic field are sufficiently accurate to perform the mapping given a magnetic field measurement on the spacecraft. Detectors in higher inclination orbits will never be able to sample the distribution mirroring below them where often the bulk of the particles lie waiting to be scattered to higher B/B0 values by magnetospheric processes.

#### 2.1. Protons

To estimate exposure to trapped energetic protons the orbits were run through the AP8 (Vette, 1991) as implemented in the NASAPRO modules of AF-GEOSpace Version 2.0 (Hilmer 2001) and CRRESPRO (Gussenhoven, et al., 1993; Meffert and Gussenhoven, 1994; Hilmer, 2001) models. Differential energy fluences/year [#/(cm2-MeV-yr)] were calculated for protons of 1.5 MeV, 36.3 MeV and 81.3 MeV. Integral energy fluence [#/(cm2 – yr)] was computed for > 10 MeV protons. The NASA models represent both solar maximum (AP8MAX) and solar minimum (AP8MIN) conditions while CRRESPRO has representations of "quiet" and "active" conditions. CRRESPRO was constructed from CRRES satellite data (Jul 1990 – Oct 1991) and the quiet/active models represent that state of the radiation belts before/after a new, long-lived radiation belt between the inner-belt and the outer zone was created during the great magnetic storm of March 1991. Fluence values from all four models for the four energy regimes discussed are presented in Table 2 (CRRESPRO), Table 3 (NASAPRO) and Figures 2a-d. Both models have reasonable coverage of the orbit space as is apparent from Figure 1.

Proton fluence due to the transient solar energetic proton (SEP) events can be estimated using the JPL 1991 Interplanetary Fluence Model (Feynman, et al., 1993). At the 95% and 70% confidence levels the fluence values for a one-year mission at solar maximum are 2.64e+11 and 5.0e+10 [#/(cm2 – yr)], respectively. These can be compared directly to the trapped particle fluence values shown in Table 2 and Figure 2d. The JPL model values are overestimates because they are calculated for (a) interplanetary space, not the Earth-bound orbit regime of DSX shielded from SEPs to a non-trivial degree by the geomagnetic field, and (b) a year period around solar maximum, not a year period during the rising phase of the solar cycle in which DSX will be launched (2007-2009 timeframe).

For the lower energy protons, as exemplified by the 1.5 MeV fluence shown in Figure 2a, the nominal DSX orbit is the more severe environment because the radial peak of the lower energy proton belt is in the L shell = 2-3 range. As the energy increases the radial peak moves inward and the maximum fluence is experienced by those orbits spending the most time in the L shell = 1.5 - 1.8 near the magnetic equator, i.e. orbits 2, 3 and 10 (Figures 2b-d). The wide variation in fluence experienced by the inner belt orbit with different choices of LTML can be seen by comparing orbits 9 and 10.

		Fluence/yr [# / (cm^2 - MeV - yr)]							
	1.5	1.5 MeV 36.3 MeV 81.3 MeV				> 10 MeV (2)			
Orbit #	Quiet	Active	Quiet	Active	Quiet	Active	Quiet	Active	
1	8.27E+13	8.66E+13	1.86E+08	1.55E+09	4.40E+07	5.10E+07	5.47E+10	2.67E+11	
2	6.29E+13	7.04E+13	3.92E+09	4.37E+09	5.67E+08	5.11E+08	5.63E+11	6.16E+11	
3	6.35E+13	6.33E+13	5.10E+09	5.56E+09	1.48E+09	1.38E+09	5.79E+11	6.37E+11	
4	6.34E+13	6.25E+13	3.44E+09	3.97E+09	9.31E+08	8.68E+08	4.08E+11	4.70E+11	
5	3.10E+13	3.23E+13	1.58E+08	2.46E+08	2.93E+07	2.35E+07	3.97E+10	1.33E+11	
6	3.22E+13	2.95E+13	3.19E+09	2.76E+09	4.75E+08	4.27E+08	4.15E+11	3.42E+11	
7	3.93E+13	3.49E+13	1.15E+09	1.10E+09	6.07E+08	5.80E+08	8.87E+10	8.52E+10	
8	4.73E+13	4.14E+13	1.65E+08	1.71E+08	5.57E+07	5.24E+07	1.81E+10	2.04E+10	
9	3.30E+13	4.94E+13	2.90E+08	2.34E+09	9.51E+07	1.22E+08	5.03E+10	2.33E+11	
10	2.53E+12	3.92E+12	7.58E+09	7.12E+09	2.24E+09	2.09E+09	7.94E+11	7. <u>3</u> 8E+11	

Table 2. Proton Fluences for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the CRRESPRO Active and Quiet Models.

#### 2.2. Electrons

Energetic electron environments were estimated using the AE8 (Vette, 1991) as implemented in the NASAELE module of AF-GEOSpace Version 2.0 (Hilmer, 2001) and CRRESELE (Brautigam, et al., 1992; Brautigam and Bell, 1995; Hilmer, 2001) models. Differential energy fluences/year were calculated for electrons of 0.65 MeV, 1.6 MeV and 5.75 MeV. Integral energy fluence [#/(cm2 - yr)] was computed for > 1.25 MeV. The NASA models represent both solar maximum (AE8MAX) and solar minimum (AE8MIN) conditions. CRRESELE comprises a sequence of 6 models corresponding to six different ranges of the 15 day average of the geomagnetic Ap index (Ap-15). In addition there are two models capturing the maximum and average values measured at each location during the CRRES mission regardless of the Ap-15 value. These latter CRRESELE models are used in this study. Fluence values from all four models for the four energy regimes discussed are presented in Table 4 (CRRESELE), Table 5, (NASAELE) and Figures 3a-3d. Both models have reasonable coverage of the orbit space as is apparent from Figure 1. For orbits 8 and 10 perigees of 540 km and 600 km were used, respectively, to avoid orbit-integrator convergence problems in the CRRESELE code. The difference in integrated fluences is negligible.

		Fluence/yr [# / (cm^2 - MeV - yr)] [# / (cm^						
	1.5	MeV	36.3	MeV	81.3	MeV	> 10 MeV (2)	
Orbit #	AP8MIN	AP8MAX	AP8MIN	AP8MAX	AP8MIN	AP8MAX	AP8MIN	AP8MAX
1	2.47E+14	2.47E+14	6.42E+08	6.42E+08	2.65E+07	2.65E+07	6.07E+11	6.07E+11
2	2.12E+14	2.12E+14	1.63E+09	1.63E+09	1.64E+08	1.64E+08	1.07E+12	1.06E+12
3	1.95E+14	1.95E+14	2.24E+09	2.23E+09	4.71E+08	4.63E+08	9.70E+11	9.67E+11
4	1.96E+14	1.96E+14	1.59E+09	1.57E+09	3.26E+08	3.12E+08	7.51E+11	7.48E+11
5	1.22E+14	1.22E+14	3.90E+08	3.89E+08	2.06E+07	2.06E+07	3.27E+11	3.27E+11
6	1.31E+14	1.31E+14	8.53E+08	8.52E+08	1.21E+08	1.21E+08	4.37E+11	4.37E+1
7	1.55E+14	1.55 <u>E+</u> 14	5.85E+08	5.73E+08	2.28E+08	2.17E+08	1.04E+11	1.01E+11
8	1.80E+14	1.80E+14	8.23E+07	7.64E+07	2.69E+07	2.27E+07	4.08E+10	4.01E+10
9	7.14E+13	7.14E+13	4.81E+08	4.72E+08	4.62E+07	4.01E+07	4.27E+11	4.26E+1
10	8.12E+12	8.12E+12	2.92E+09	2.90E+09	6.97E+08	6.85E+08	9.42E+11	9.38E+11

Table 3. Proton Fluences for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the NASAPRO AP8MAX and AP8MIN Models.

The DSX orbits 1-8 have approximately equivalent fluences, which are significantly greater than those estimated for the inner belt orbits 9-10. This is due to the 12000 km apogee which puts the DSX orbits deeper into the heart of the outer zone electron belt (Figure 1).

#### 2.3. Radiation Dose

To compare radiation doses for the study orbit the CRRESRAD model was used (Gussenhoven, et al., 1992; Kerns and Gussenhoven, 1992; Hilmer, 2001). CRRESRAD was constructed from dosimeter measurements made aboard the CRRES satellite (Jul 1990 – Oct 1991) and, similar to the CRRESPRO model, is divided into quiet/active models representing data taken before/after the great geomagnetic storm of March 1991 which formed a new radiation belt within the slot region. Doses were measured behind four hemispheres of Aluminum with shielding thicknesses of 2.1 mm, 5.91 mm, 11.6 mm, and 22.5 mm. Table 5 and Figure 4 present the quiet and active time dose estimates in units of Rads/silicon per year. Also given is the percentage of time that the orbit, run for a full year, lies outside the orbit domain. Unlike measurements of energetic protons and electrons which can be globally mapped (as discussed at the beginning of this section) dose measurements are purely local and the coverage provided by the CRRESRAD model is limited to the space sampled by the CRRES satellite. Figure 5



Figure 2. Proton Fluences at (a) 1.5 MeV, (b) 36.3 MeV, (c) 81.3 MeV, and (d) > 10 MeV as Determined by the NASAPRO and CRRESPRO Models for Orbits 1-10.

Table 4. Electron Fluences for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the CRRESELE Average and Maximum Models. Orbits 8 and 10 were computed using 540 km and 600 km for perigee, respectively, instead of the nominal values.

		Fluence/yr [# / (cm^2 - keV - yr)]							
	0.65	MeV	1.6	MeV	5.75	MeV	> 1.25	MeV (2)	
Orbit #	Ave	Max	Ave	Max	Ave	Max	Ave	Max	
1	2.40E+11	1.96E+12	1.65E+10	2.21E+11	3.69E+06	1.42E+08	9.63E+12	1.29E+14	
2	2.11E+11	1.70E+12	1.46E+10	1.94E+11	3.19E+06	1.23E+08	8.53E+12	1.14E+14	
3	1.95E+11	1.57E+12	1.36E+10	1.81E+11	2.97E+06	1.15E+08	7.93E+12	1.06E+14	
4	1.90E+11	1.54E+12	1.33E+10	1.77E+11	2.97E+06	1.15E+08	7.78E+12	1.04E+14	
5	1.82E+11	1.19E+12	1.42E+10	1.88E+11	1.78E+06	7.31E+07	8.17E+12	1.09E+14	
6	1.91E+11	1.28E+12	1.51E+10	2.02E+11	2.01E+06	8.29E+07	8.71E+12	1.17E+14	
7	2.07E+11	1.45E+12	1.63E+10	2.18E+11	2.43E+06	1.00E+08	9.41E+12	1.27E+14	
8	2.20E+11	1.63E+12	1.68E+10	2.29E+11	2.89E+06	1.18E+08	9.77E+12	1.33E+14	
9	1.01E+11	7.07E+11	5.24E+09	6.80E+10	6.80E+05	2.44E+07	2.94E+12	3.88E+13	
10	6.92E+10	4.12E+11	3.72E+09	4.95E+10	2.47E+05	9.47E+06	2.07E+12	2.80E+13	

displays the active time dose behind 11.6 mm of Al in L, B/B0 coordinates illustrating the range covered by the CRRESRAD model. Two days of trajectory for orbits 1, 5 and 10 are overlayed in Figures 5a, 5b, and 5c. Certainly CRRESRAD provides an underestimate for the dosage received but by a factor much less than that computed by correcting for the relative time spent out of the model range (Table 6) assuming a uniform dose distribution given by the Table 5 values.

Dose estimates computed with the quiet time model fall into two groups: high (orbits 2-4, 6,7 and 9) low (orbits 1, 5, 8 and 10). The low dose orbits all have low exposure to the inner zone protons by either having perigee above (orbits 1 and 5) or missing the belt by 'threading the donut', i.e. crossing the belt altitudes at relatively high latitudes devoid of trapped particles. High dose orbits all hit the inner belt region with the highest dose accumulated in the inner belt orbit (10) going through the center twice per revolution. A factor of > 20 exists between the dose estimated for the inner belt orbits 9 and 10 behind 11.6 mil Al illustrating the large effect of choosing different values of LTML.

Dose estimates computed with the quiet time model fall into two groups: high (orbits 2-4, 6,7 and 9) low (orbits 1, 5, 8 and 10). The low dose orbits all have low exposure to the

Table 5. Electron Fluences for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the NASAELE AE8MAX and AE8MIN Models. Orbits 8 and 10 were computed using 540 km and 600 km for perigee, respectively, instead of the nominal values.

		[# / (cm^2 - yr)]								
	0.65	MeV	1.6	MeV	5.75	MeV	> 1.25	> 1.25 MeV (2)		
Orbit #	AE8MIN	AE8MAX	AE8MIN	AE8MAX	AE8MIN	AE8MAX	AE8MIN	AE8MAX		
1	2.90E+10	1.09E+11	5.16E+09	1.52E+10	9.51E+06	1.08E+07	4.52E+12	1.36E+13		
2	2.57E+10	9.62E+10	4.63E+09	1.36E+10	8.42E+06	9.54E+06	4.07E+12	1.22E+13		
3	2.43E+10	9.03E+10	4.37E+09	1.28E+10	7.89E+06	8.95E+06	3.84E+12	1.15E+13		
4	2.35E+10	8.86E+10	4.25E+09	1.26E+10	7.78E+06	8.84E+06	8.74E+12	1.13E+13		
5	3.10E+10	8.32E+10	5.22E+09	1.31E+10	7.66E+06	8.47E+06	4.50E+12	1.16E+13		
6	3.02E+10	8.50E+10	5.19E+09	1.36E+10	8.43E+06	9.37E+06	4.50E+12	1.21E+13		
7	2.90E+10	8.82E+10	5.09E+09	1.42E+10	9.29E+06	1.04E+07	4.45E+12	1.27E+13		
8	2.76E+10	9.01E+10	4.87E+09	1.43E+10	9.64E+06	1.10E+07	4.27E+12	1.28E+13		
9	6.81E+09	2.08E+10	1.09E+09	2.47E+09	1.03E+06	1.14E+06	9.11E+11	2.16E+12		
10	7.97E+09	1.55E+10	1.16E+09	2.13E+09	6.53E+05	6.98E+05	9.47E+11	1.80E+12		

inner zone protons by either having perigee above (orbits 1 and 5) or missing the belt by 'threading the donut', i.e. crossing the belt altitudes at relatively high latitudes devoid of trapped particles. High dose orbits all hit the inner belt region with the highest dose accumulated in the inner belt orbit (10) going through the center twice per revolution. A factor of > 20 exists between the dose estimated for the inner belt orbits 9 and 10 behind 11.6 mil A1 illustrating the large effect of choosing different values of LTML.

In active times the doses jump for all orbits due to the presence of the middle belt. The lowest doses are accumulated by the higher inclination

Medium Earth orbits (5-8) which intersect the middle belt at higher latitudes and, with a more distant perigee, spend less time in the inner and outer belt regions than do the inner belt orbits. Long-lived middle belts are a relatively rare occurrence, estimated to happen roughly once per solar cycle (Bell, et al., 1997). However, given the huge increase in dose observed by some MEO orbits (e.g. > 40 for the inner belt #9 orbit behind 11.6 mil Al) the effects could be devastating to systems designed for quiet times. Furthermore, middle belts of lesser magnitude and lifetime than that formed by the March 1991 storm occur much more frequently during a solar cycle and cause substantial dose deviations from those predicted by the CRRES quiet time or dose estimates based on the NASA AP models.



Figure 3. Electron Fluences at (a) 0.65 MeV, (b) 1.6 MeV, (c) 5.75 MeV, and (d) > 1.25 MeV as Determined by the NASAELE and CRRESELE Models for Orbits 1-10.



Figure 4. Radiation Doses Behind 2.10 mm, 5.91 mm, 11.6 mm, and 22.5 mm Aluminum as Determined for Orbits 1-10 by the CRRESRAD Quiet (a) and Active (b) Models. Note the change in y-axis scale between (a) and (b).



Figure 5. Dose Behind 457.5 mils (11.6 mm) as Determined by the CRRESRAD Active Models Shown in (L, B/B0) Coordinates. Two days of orbit path is shown for orbits (a) 1, (b) 5 and (c) 10. The thick solid line represents the surface of the Earth.

Table 6. Radiation Dose Behind Several Aluminum Shielding Thicknesses for Orbits 1-10 Computed by the CRRESRAD Quiet and Active Models. Percentage of time that the study orbit is out of the model domain is also given.

	Total Dose [rads silicon/yr]								
	2.10 mm Al		5.91 mm Al		11.6 r	mm Al	22.5 r		
Orbit #	Quiet	Active	Quiet	Active	Quiet	Active	Quiet	Active	% Out
1	2.10E+04	2.70E+05	1.29E+03	3.62E+04	3.29E+02	1.79E+04	1.20E+02	8.05E+03	2.3
2	5.09E+04	2.70E+05	6.97E+03	3.51E+04	2.92E+03	1.62E+04	1.27E+03	7.50E+03	2.2
3	5.40E+04	2.60E+05	1.05E+04	3.58E+04	6.28E+03	1.71E+04	3.60E+03	8.53E+03	2.0
4	4.20E+04	2.50E+05	7.14E+03	3.16E+04	3.99E+03	1.41E+04	2.23E+03	6.69E+03	2.0
5	1.70E+04	1.90E+05	9.78E+02	1.62E+04	2.06E+02	6.30E+03	7.96E+01	3.31E+03	48.0
6	4.30E+04	2.30E+05	5.80E+03	1.83E+04	2.38E+03	3.90E+03	1.10E+03	1.73E+03	45.9
7	2.30E+04	2.30E+05	3.60E+03	1.80E+04	2.38E+03	3.56E+03	1.55E+03	1.81E+03	42.6
8	1.80E+04	2.30E+05	1.16E+03	1.79E+04	2.52E+02	1.88E+03	1.39E+02	4.61E+02	39.6
9	2.10E+03	2.60E+04	6.21E+02	1.88E+04	4.13E+02	1.69E+04	2.02E+02	7.34E+03	42.7
10	5.54E+04	5.89E+04	1.47E+04	1.66E+04	9.33E+03	1.14E+04	5.44E+03	6.89E+03	52.8

#### 2.4. Coverage of L, B/B0

Orbit coverage of L, B/B0 space is illustrated in Figures 6-10 for orbits 1, 4, 5, 9 and 10, respectively. In the top part of each figure (a) two days of orbit path are plotted together with the relative intensities of the 36.3 MeV protons and 1.6 MeV electrons as predicted by the CRRESPRO active and CRRESELE maximum models. The lower portion of each figure (b) shows the dwell time computed from a year's integrated orbit path. At any point along the orbit path a well-instrumented satellite will be able to map the particle distribution along a vertical line in B/B0 from the orbit point up to the dark solid line representing the surface of the Earth.



Figure 6. (a) Two Days of Orbit 1 Path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) Coordinates Overlayed on the Relative Flux Intensity Distribution of 1.6 MeV Electrons and 36.3 MeV Protons as Determined by the CRRES Models. (b) Dwell time in (L, B/B0) for a Year's Orbit Path. The thick solid line represents the surface of the Earth.



Figure 7. (a) Two Days of Orbit 4 Path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) Coordinates Overlayed on the Relative Flux Intensity Distribution of 1.6 MeV Electrons and 36.3 MeV Protons as Determined by the CRRES Models. (b) Dwell Time in (L, B/B0) for a Year's Orbit Path. The thick solid line represents the surface of the Earth.



Figure 8. (a) Two days of orbit 5 path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) coordinates overlayed on the relative flux intensity distribution of 1.6 MeV electrons and 36.3 MeV protons as determined by the CRRES models. (b) Dwell time in (L, B/B0) for a year's orbit path. The thick solid line represents the surface of the Earth.



Figure 9. (a) Two days of orbit 9 path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) coordinates overlayed on the relative flux intensity distribution of 1.6 MeV electrons and 36.3 MeV protons as determined by the CRRES models. (b) Dwell time in (L, B/B0) for a year's orbit path. The thick solid line represents the surface of the Earth.



Figure 10. (a) Two days of orbit 10 path (thin solid line) in (L, B/B0) coordinates overlayed on the relative flux intensity distribution of 1.6 MeV electrons and 36.3 MeV protons as determined by the CRRES models. (b) Dwell time in (L, B/B0) for a year's orbit path. The thick solid line represents the surface of the Earth.

The nominal DSX orbit (Figure 6) has good coverage of the MEO slot region from  $L \sim 1.8 - 3.0$  with decreasing equatorial coverage to the edges of the outer zone at  $L \sim 4.0$ . As the perigee moves down to 540 km the good coverage region extends down to cover the inner belt (Figure 7). At the higher inclination of 63 degrees the coverage extends to higher L shell but degrades significantly in the equatorial region with gaps in the middle of the slot region (Figure 8) for the 6000 km perigee case (orbit 5). These gaps increase in size as the perigee is decreased through the inner belt. Examining the Magic orbit projections (Figures 9 and 10), we see that a large amount of time is spent at low B/B0 inside  $L \sim 2.2$  with the exact locations of maximum dwell time depending on choice of LTML. A satellite in orbit 10 will spend considerable time in the heart of the inner belt experiencing an intense radiation environment. Both inner belt orbits also clip the horns of the outer zone, acquiring dose from the energetic electrons.

#### **3. RECOMMENDATIONS**

The optimum orbit to map the L, B/B0 region relevant to the inner belt orbits while maintaining the capability of DSX to map and carry out experiments in the slot region is orbit 4: 540 km x 12000 km with 28 degrees *or less* inclination. A well-instrumented satellite flying in orbit 4 will be able to map the entire trapped particle inner belt and slot region distributions impacting the inner belt orbit. The energetic electrons in the horns of the outer belt will be missed. However, this population has been much better characterized by existing data sets (e.g. data sets from the Aerospace HEO instruments and the NASA Polar satellite) than have the populations in the inner magnetosphere. Doses for orbit 4 are approximately an order of magnitude higher than the nominal DSX orbit (1) but lower by a factor of approximately two than the worst inner belt orbit considered (10).

Obvious options for implementing orbit 4 in the DSX mission are two: (a) injecting directly into orbit 4, or (b) injecting initially into orbit 1, conducting a year of nominal DSX operations, then moving into orbit 4. The first option has the advantage of immediately obtaining inner belt particle measurements but requires the satellite to be built to a higher dose tolerance than the nominal mission. Option (b) ensures the original DSX mission can be met with the nominal design specifications but delays the gathering of data in the inner belt and carries the risk that the satellite, if not significantly hardened, will not last long enough once moved to the inner belt. Also, the feasibility of including a propulsion system aboard DSX, not included in the nominal mission, must be considered for (b). It is recommend that both option (a) and (b) be fleshed out in terms of cost and schedule impact prior to a decision on whether or not to alter the nominal DSX mission.

### REFERENCES

Bell, J.T., M.S. Gussenhoven and E.G. Mullen, Super Storms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 14189-14198, 1997.

Brautigam, D.H., M.S. Gussenhoven, and E.G. Mullen, Quasi-static Model of the Outer Zone Electrons, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, **39**, 1797-1803, 1992.

Brautigam, D.H., J. Bell, *CRRESELE Documentation*, PL-TR-95-2128, Phillips Laboratory, Hanscom AFB, MA 10731, 1995. ADA 301770

Gussenhoven, M.S., E.G. Mullen, M.D. Violet, C. Hein, J. Bass, and D. Madden, CRRES High Energy Proton Flux Maps, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, **40**, 1450-1457, 1993.

Gussenhoven, M.S., E.G. Mullen, M. Sperry, K.J. Kerns and J. B. Blake, The Effect of the March 1991 Storm on Accumulated Dose for Selected Satellite Orbits: CRRES Dose Models, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, **39**, 1765-1772, 1992.

Feynman, J., G. Spitale, J. Wang, and S. Gabriel, Interplanetary Proton Fluence Model: JPL 1991, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **98**, 13281-13294, 1993.

Hilmer, R., Ed., *AF-GEOSpace User's Manual Version 2.0 and 2.0P*, update to AFRL-VS-TR-1999-1551 Special Reports, No. 281, available from AFRL (robert.hilmer@hanscom.af.mil), 2001.Also available from NTIS, ADA389056

Kerns, K.J., and M.S. Gussenhoven, *CRRESRAD Documentation*, PL-TR-92-2201, Phillips Laboratory, Hanscom AFB, MA, 1992. ADA 256673

Meffert, J.D., and M.S. Gussenhoven, *CRRESPRO Documentation*, PL-TR-94-2218, Phillips Laboratory, Hanscom AFB, MA, 1994. ADA 284578

Vette, J.I., The NASA/National Space Science Data Center Trapped Radiation Environment Model Program (1964-1991), NSSDC/WDC-A-RS 91-29, available from nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov, 1991.