

AD_____

AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-05-1-0204

TITLE: Identification, characterization, and clinical development of the new generation of breast cancer susceptibility alleles

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Nazneen Rahman M.D. Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Institute of Cancer Research
The Royal Cancer Hospital
London SW7 3RP England

REPORT DATE: March 2006

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) March 2006			2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 1 Mar 05 – 28 Feb 06	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Identification, characterization, and clinical development of the new generation of breast cancer susceptibility alleles					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
					5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-05-1-0204	
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Nazneen Rahman M.D. Ph.D. E-Mail: nazneen.rahman@icr.ac.uk					5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
					5e. TASK NUMBER	
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Institute of Cancer Research The Royal Cancer Hospital London SW7 3RP England					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT Breast cancer is a common disease in women but the causes are still largely unknown. There is considerable evidence to suggest that genetic factors play an important role in causing breast cancer, but the genes involved in the majority of breast cancers are currently unknown. Our aim is to identify genetic factors that increase the chance of breast cancer occurring. We have collected clinical information and samples from over 1500 breast cancer families. We will compare the frequency of genetic factors in these cases with control women without breast cancer. Initially we will analyze genes that we suspect may have a role in breast cancer. However over the course of the study we plan to use new technologies to analyze every gene (~30,000 genetic variants). If we find any variants that are more frequent in breast cancer cases than controls, it suggests that they may be involved in causing breast cancer. We will evaluate these variants in further cases and controls to prove an association with breast cancer and to define the risk and outcomes of carrying the genetic variant(s).						
15. SUBJECT TERMS Breast cancer; genetic predisposition; cancer gene						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:				17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)			
				UU	12	

Table of Contents

Cover.....	1
SF 298.....	2
Introduction.....	4
Body.....	5
Key Research Accomplishments.....	10
Reportable Outcomes.....	10
Conclusions.....	10
References.....	11
Appendices.....	12
Supporting Data.....	12

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is a common disease in women but the causes are still largely unknown. There is considerable evidence to suggest that genetic factors play an important role in causing breast cancer. In the last decade considerable progress has been made and two major breast cancer genes, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, have been identified (Rahman and Stratton, 1998). These genes carry a high risk of breast cancer but only account for a very small proportion of breast cancer families. Weaker genes are likely to be involved in the majority of familial breast cancers and some breast cancer cases without a family history of the disease, but few have been identified (Antoniou and Easton, 2003; Meijers-Heijboer et al. 2002).

Our aim is to identify and characterize the genetic factors that increase the chance of breast cancer occurring. We have collected clinical information and samples from over 1500 breast cancer families. We are first characterizing these for the known breast cancer genes, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, with particular emphasis on clarifying the contribution and nature of large rearrangements of these genes, which have recently been identified in some familial breast cancer pedigrees and which are not identifiable by gene sequencing. We will then proceed to try to identify new genes, by comparing the frequency of genetic factors in these cases with control women without breast cancer. Initially we will analyze genes that we suspect may have a role in breast cancer. However over the course of the study we plan to use new technologies to analyze every gene. If we find any variants that are more frequent in breast cancer cases than controls, it suggests that they may be involved in causing breast cancer. We will evaluate these variants in further cases and controls to prove an association with breast cancer and to define the risk and outcomes of carrying the genetic variant(s).

BODY

As part of the program of work we defined five tasks, two of which we aimed to start in the first year. Although the grant has been active for one year we needed to recruit four members of staff to undertake the work and this took four-six months. We have therefore only been working to full capacity for six months. Nevertheless we have made substantial progress towards our outlined aims. The progress towards the tasks is outlined in detail below.

Task 1: Evaluate the contribution of BRCA1 and BRCA2 exonic deletions and duplications to breast cancer susceptibility.

We have undertaken analyses for genomic exonic deletions and duplications of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* in 856 familial breast cancer cases from separate pedigrees in which mutations of these genes have been excluded. We use a simple, cost-effective copy number analysis technique, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (Schouten et al. 2002; Bunyan et al. 2004). This analysis has resulted in the identification of the following 27 abnormalities (Table 1). Each finding has been repeated in triplicate using fresh template. 19 abnormalities were identified in *BRCA1* and 8 in *BRCA2*. The overall frequency of large deletions / duplications in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* in UK familial breast cancer is therefore ~3%.

Table 1. Exonic deletions and duplications identified in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*

Abnormality	Gene	Number of families
Del exons 1-2	BRCA1	5
Del exon 1	BRCA1	1
Del exon 1-7	BRCA1	1
Del exon 1-23	BRCA1	1
Del exon 9-12	BRCA1	1
Dup exon 13	BRCA1	6
Del exon 14-20	BRCA1	2
Dup exon 21	BRCA1	1
Del exon 20-22	BRCA1	1
Del exon 1-2	BRCA2	2
Del exon 8-10	BRCA2	1
Del exon 14	BRCA2	1
Del exon 14-16	BRCA2	4

During the course of this experiment we have discovered that whilst MLPA analysis is simple to run and cheap (£8 per assay), a number of potential limitations require further investigation and evaluation.

- 1) MLPA analysis is much more sensitive to DNA quality than sequencing which can lead to both false positive and false negative results. Sub-optimal DNA quality is detectable as it results in probe variability for the whole assay. We are therefore defining the parameters of optimal and acceptable assays with a view to disregarding all results for which DNA

quality is below a pre-defined threshold. In such cases we would aim to collect a new sample for analysis.

- 2) False positive results can occur for single exon deletions if there is a polymorphism or mutation in one of the MLPA probe sequences for that exon. We therefore now sequence fragments containing all apparent single exon abnormalities.
- 3) Certain probes in the kits give variable results especially for exons 1 and 2. We have notified the kit suppliers who are evaluating new probes that may be able to be substituted or included in addition to the existing probes.
- 4) The frequency of *BRCA1* large rearrangements is greater than *BRCA2* rearrangements (19 compared with 7) despite the fact that *BRCA2* mutations make a larger contribution to familial breast cancer pedigrees than *BRCA1* mutations overall in our series (and in the UK generally). The reasons for this are unclear and merit further investigation. In the first instance we plan to extend our analyses to include another 1000 familial breast cancer pedigrees.

Task 2. Perform familial case-control analyses of non-synonymous coding single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in DNA repair genes in familial breast cancer cases, Months 1-36:

- a) Complete identification of coding SNPs by full gene screening of ~50 DNA repair genes in 96 non-BRCA1/2 familial breast cancer cases.*
- b) Analyse all non-synonymous coding SNPs identified in (a) in 500 additional non-BRCA1/2 familial breast cancer cases and 500 controls.*
- c) Analyse SNPs that show positive association with breast cancer in (b) in 10,000 unselected breast cancer cases and 10,000 controls.*

We have identified 114 non-synonymous coding single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in DNA repair genes through our sequencing of DNA repair genes in 92 *BRCA1/2* negative cases (of the 96 cases included in the original experiment, in two we identified covert *BRCA2*

abnormalities and two samples failed in multiple fragments and therefore we have excluded them). We have prepared an array that contains these SNPs ready to analyze in phase (b) of this task.

Initially we proposed to analyze 500 familial cases and 500 controls but stated that if the costs decreased we would analyze more samples as this would increase the power of the experiment. Fortunately, the costs of the analyses have decreased sufficiently that we are now planning to analyze 900 non-*BRCA1/2* familial breast cancer cases and 1000 controls. We anticipate that the genotyping results of phase (b) will be available for analysis within the next 12 months.

Task 3. Characterise the histopathology and immunohistochemistry of familial breast cancer.

Months 12-36:

- a) Perform detailed pathological review and immunohistochemical analysis of at least 150 non-*BRCA1/2* familial breast cancers.*
- b) Compare pathology and immunohistochemistry of non-*BRCA1/2* familial cancers, *BRCA1* cancers, *BRCA2* cancers and unselected breast cancers.*
- c) Define pathological / immunohistochemical characteristics of non-*BRCA1/2* cancers which may allow stratification into subgroups that facilitate identification of underlying susceptibility alleles.*

We have not started this task as yet.

Task 4. Perform genome-wide familial case-control analyses of non-synonymous coding SNPs,

Months 12-48:

- a) Analyse ~30,000 non-synonymous coding SNPs (at least 1 from every gene) in 400 non-*BRCA1/2* familial cases and 400 controls.*

a) *Evaluate top 5% (1500 SNPs) in 800 cases and 800 controls.*

We have made some progress towards this task, identifying 15,000 non-synonymous coding SNPs from ~ 50% of known genes. Unfortunately, the harvest of exonic SNPs by sequencing centres has been slower than anticipated and so all genes have not yet been sequenced, though this is likely to be completed within the next 18-24 months. In view of this we have decided to undertake this experiment in two phases and will analyze the 15,000 identified thus far and then analyze a second set of SNPs once all genes have been screened for SNPs. As the costs of analyzing SNPs have decreased this alteration in strategy will not increase the costs. In fact we will now be able to analyze 900 familial breast cancer cases and 1000 controls, greatly increasing the power of the experiment. We anticipate that the genotyping results of the 15,000 SNPs will be available for analysis within the next year.

Task 5. Identify low penetrance breast cancer susceptibility alleles, Months 36-60:

- a) *Evaluate top 30-50 SNPs identified in Task 4 in 10,000 unselected breast cancer cases and 10,000 controls to identify which are truly associated with breast cancer and to determine the risks and phenotype in families and isolated breast cancer.*
- b) *Evaluate novel breast cancer susceptibility alleles in BRCA1 / BRCA2 / CHEK2* 1100delC families to determine whether they modify or interact with these genes in breast cancer.*

This task has not been started as yet.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- 1) We have completed copy number analyses of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* in 856 familial breast cancer cases in whom *BRCA1/2* intragenic mutations have been excluded.
- 2) We have identified 27 exonic deletions / duplications, 19 in *BRCA1* and 8 in *BRCA2*.
- 3) We have identified 114 non-synonymous coding SNPs in DNA repair genes in breast cancer cases and have prepared an array including these SNPs.
- 4) We have identified 15,000 non-synonymous coding SNPs through in silico data mining.

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

None

CONCLUSION

The research program is proceeding on course. In our first year we have made substantial headway towards our stated aims, which we will consolidate and expand in the next year. There have been no major problems or alterations in strategy.

REFERENCES

Antoniou AC and Easton DF. Polygenic inheritance of breast cancer: Implications for design of association studies. *Genet. Epidemiol.* 25:190-202 (2003).

Bunyan DJ, Eccles DM, Silibourne J, Wilkins E, Thomas NS, Shea-Simmonds J, Duncan PJ, Curtis CE, Robinson DO, Harvey JF, Cross NC. Dosage analysis of cancer predisposition genes by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Br. J. Cancer* 91:1155-1159 (2004).

Meijers-Heijboer H, van den Ouweland A, Klijn J, Wasielewski M, de Snoo A, Oldenburg R, Hollestelle A, Houben M, Crepin E, van Veghel-Plandsoen M, Elstrodt F, van Duijn C, Bartels C, Meijers C, Schutte M, McGuffog L, Thompson D, Easton DF, Sodha N, Seal S, Barfoot R, Mangion J, Chang-Claude J, Eccles D, Eeles RA, Evans DG, Houlston RS, Murday VA, Narod S, Peretz T, Peto J, Phelan C, Zhang H, Szabo C, Devilee P, Goldgar D, Futreal PA, Nathanson K, Weber B, Rahman N, Stratton MR. Low-penetrance susceptibility to breast cancer due to CHEK2*1100delC in noncarriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. *Nature Genetics* 31:55-59 (2002).

Rahman N and Stratton MR. Breast cancer susceptibility genes. *Annual Review of Genetics* 32:95-121 (1998).

Schouten JP, McElgunn CJ, Waaijer R, Zwijnenburg D, Diepvens F, Pals G. Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30:e57 (2002).

APPENDICES

None

SUPPORTING DATA

None